

Correction to: Transformational supervisor synthesis for evolving systems

Sander Thuijsman¹ • Michel Reniers¹

Received: 11 July 2023 / Accepted: 27 August 2023 / Published online: 3 October 2023 © The Author(s) 2023

Abstract

The paper mentioned in the title used an incorrect implementation of the algorithms to produce the experimental results. The mistake significantly impacts the computational efficiency of the algorithms, on which they are evaluated. In this correction we explain the mistake, present the new results, and update our conclusions based on the new results.

Keywords Discrete event systems \cdot Supervisory control theory \cdot Supervisor synthesis \cdot Software product line engineering \cdot Delta modeling \cdot Software evolution

This is a correction to Thuijsman and Reniers (2022).

In a continuation on Thuijsman and Reniers (2022), it was pointed out by an anonymous reviewer that our implementation used for the experiments contained a mistake. We are very grateful to this reviewer for pointing out this mistake. We realized that the implementation of Thuijsman and Reniers (2022) contained the same mistake.

Although the algorithms were still functionally correct, because of the mistake their computational efficiency was significantly worse than that of a proper implementation. Since Thuijsman and Reniers (2022) aims to improve computational efficiency, and uses experiments to indicate that improvement, the implementation should be properly efficient. Note that the theoretical parts of Thuijsman and Reniers (2022) are still correct, the mistake only affects the timing measurements in the experimental part of the paper.

However, because the mistake has a significant impact on the timing measurements, we present this correction. In this correction we explain the mistake, present the new results, and update our conclusions based on the new results.

In particular, the reachability search algorithms (Algorithms 3 and 4 in Thuijsman and Reniers (2022)) were not properly implemented. This is because an inappropriate data structure was used to store the transition relation. In the erroneous implementation, an *edge list* structure was used: a list of triples, each specifying an origin state, event, and target state. Using an edge list, each time the neighbors of some state are evaluated (e.g., lines 6-7 in

Department of Mechanical Engineering, Eindhoven University of Technology, Eindhoven, Netherlands



Sander Thuijsman s.b.thuijsman@tue.nl

Michel Reniers m.a.reniers@tue.nl

Evolution	Variant model size	Model delta size	Variant SS	model runt	time [ms] GTSS	% change from SS
TL to TL'_1	$ X' = 49$ $ \longrightarrow' = 148$	$ X^{-} = 16$ $ \longrightarrow^{+} = 16$ $ \longrightarrow^{-} = 68$	0.41	15.08	2.40	487
TL to TL'_2	$ X' = 97$ $ \longrightarrow' = 308$	$ X^{+} = 32$ $ \longrightarrow^{+} = 124$ $ \longrightarrow^{-} = 16$	0.63	53.35	4.22	573
TL to TL'_3	$ X' = 65$ $ \longrightarrow' = 200$	$ X_0^+ = 1 X_0^- = 1$	0.41	0.24	0.36	-13
TL to TL'_4	$ X' = 65$ $ \longrightarrow' = 232$	$ \Sigma^{+} = 1$ $ \longrightarrow^{+} = 32$	0.39	7.16	1.11	184
TL to TL'_5	$ X' = 73$ $ \longrightarrow' = 228$	$ X^{+} = 24$ $ X^{-} = 16$ $ \longrightarrow^{+} = 108$ $ \longrightarrow^{-} = 80$	0.47	56.55	5.44	1058

Table 1 Experimental results of performing SS, ITSS, and GTSS on five variant models of the Transfer Line model

Algorithm 3 of Thuijsman and Reniers (2022)), an iteration is performed over all transitions, leading to a complexity of $O(|X| \cdot | \longrightarrow |)$ for the algorithm overall. Instead, the transition relation should be stored using an *adjacency list*: each index in the list corresponds to a state index and stores all neighbors of that state. When an adjacency list is used, no iteration is required to find the neighbors of a state, and a complexity of $O(|X| + | \longrightarrow |)$ for the reachability search can be obtained (Kleinberg and Tardos, 2005).

The implementation has been corrected. The code repository¹ we refer to in Thuijsman and Reniers (2022) has been updated accordingly. We have repeated the experiments from Thuijsman and Reniers (2022). Tables 1, 2, and 3 respectively present the updated results relative to Tables 1, 2, and 3 in Thuijsman and Reniers (2022). Each runtime value we present in this correction is the mean runtime over 100 executions of the experiment.

We observe that in almost all experimental cases Transformational Supervisor Synthesis (TSS) requires more runtime than basic Supervisor Synthesis (SS). Perhaps in some particular cases, TSS may be quicker than SS. However, based on these updated experimental results, we must conclude that in practical cases TSS is generally less efficient than SS. Therefore, it is recommended to use SS over TSS, also for evolving systems.



https://github.com/sbthuijsman/JDEDS_TSS

 $\textbf{Table 2} \ \ \text{Experimental results of performing SS and $\tt GTSS$ for evolution of the complete Component B Wafer Logistics model}$

Evolution	Variant model size	Model delta size	Variant model runtime [ms] SS GTSS		% change from SS
B1 to B2	X' = 69121 $ \longrightarrow' = 1042548$	$ \Sigma^{+} = 1$ $ \longrightarrow^{+} = 4320$	138	140	1
B2 to B3	X' = 77761 $ \longrightarrow' = 1154772$	$ X^{+} = 8640$ $ \Sigma^{+} = 8$ $ \longrightarrow^{+} = 112224$	153	1256	723
B3 to B4	X' = 73441 $ \longrightarrow' = 1102980$	$ X^{-} = 4320$ $ \Sigma^{-} = 1$ $ \longrightarrow^{-} = 51792$	147	1126	668
B4 to B5	X' = 73441 ' = 1121412	$ \Sigma^{+} = 4$ $ \longrightarrow^{+} = 18432$	146	230	58
<i>B</i> 5 to <i>B</i> 6	X' = 73441 $ \longrightarrow' = 1121412$	$ \Sigma^{+} = 1$ $ \Sigma^{-} = 1$ $ \longrightarrow^{+} = 4320$ $ \longrightarrow^{-} = 4320$	147	270	83
<i>B</i> 6 to <i>B</i> 7	X' = 73441 $ \longrightarrow' = 1130052$		147	318	117
<i>B</i> 7 to <i>B</i> 8	X' = 78337 $ \longrightarrow' = 1200980$	$ X^{+} = 4896$ $ \Sigma^{+} = 5$ $ \Sigma^{-} = 1$ $ \longrightarrow^{+} = 75824$ $ \longrightarrow^{-} = 4896$	156	973	522
B8 to B9	X' = 73441 $ \longrightarrow' = 1102980$	$ X^{-} = 4896$ $ \Sigma^{-} = 10$ $ \longrightarrow^{-} = 98000$	146	1650	1031
<i>B</i> 9 to <i>B</i> 10	X' = 78337 $ \longrightarrow' = 1200980$	$ X^{+} = 4896$ $ \Sigma^{+} = 10$ $ \longrightarrow^{+} = 98000$	155	960	521
<i>B</i> 10 to <i>B</i> 11	X' = 83233 ' = 1267012	$ X^{+} = 4896$ $ \Sigma^{+} = 4$ $ \longrightarrow^{+} = 66032$	165	773	368



 $\textbf{Table 3} \ \ \text{Experimental results of performing SS and GTSS for evolution of the reachable part of the Component B Wafer Logistics model}$

Evolution	Variant model size	Model delta size	Variant model runtime [ms] SS GTSS		% change from SS
B1 to B2	X' = 59185 ' = 892460	$ \Sigma^{+} = 1$ $ \longrightarrow^{+} = 3680$	94	109	16
B2 to B3	$ X' = 66545$ $ \longrightarrow' = 988252$	$ X^{+} = 7360$ $ \Sigma^{+} = 8$ $ \longrightarrow^{+} = 95792$	106	1065	901
B3 to B4	X' = 62865 $ \longrightarrow' = 944036$	$ X^{-} = 3680$ $ \Sigma^{-} = 1$ $ \longrightarrow^{-} = 44216$	100	848	751
B4 to B5	$ X' = 62865$ $ \longrightarrow' = 959732$	$ \Sigma^{+} = 4$ $ \longrightarrow^{+} = 15696$	100	188	88
<i>B</i> 5 to <i>B</i> 6	X' = 62865 $ \longrightarrow' = 959732$	$ \Sigma^{+} = 1$ $ \Sigma^{-} = 1$ $ \longrightarrow^{+} = 3680$ $ \longrightarrow^{-} = 3680$	101	182	80
<i>B</i> 6 to <i>B</i> 7	X' = 62865 $ \longrightarrow' = 967092$	$ \Sigma^{+} = 3$ $ \Sigma^{-} = 1$ $ \longrightarrow^{+} = 11040$ $ \longrightarrow^{-} = 3680$	100	227	126
<i>B</i> 7 to <i>B</i> 8	X' = 67033 $ \longrightarrow' = 1027520$	$ X^{+} = 4168$ $ \Sigma^{+} = 5$ $ \Sigma^{-} = 1$ $ \longrightarrow^{+} = 64596$ $ \longrightarrow^{-} = 4168$	108	771	616
B8 to B9	$ X' = 62865$ $ \longrightarrow' = 944036$	$ X^{-} = 4168$ $ \Sigma^{-} = 10$ $ \longrightarrow^{-} = 83484$	99	1266	1175
<i>B</i> 9 to <i>B</i> 10	X' = 67033 $ \longrightarrow' = 1027520$	$ X^{+} = 4168$ $ \Sigma^{+} = 10$ $ \longrightarrow^{+} = 83484$	107	814	663
<i>B</i> 10 to <i>B</i> 11	X' = 71201 $ \longrightarrow' = 1083780$	$ X^{+} = 4168$ $ \Sigma^{+} = 4$ $ \longrightarrow^{+} = 56260$	112	649	478



Data Availability The implementation of the algorithms and models can be found here: https://github.com/sbthuijsman/JDEDS_TSS

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

Kleinberg J, Tardos E (2005) Algorithm Design. Addison-Wesley Longman Publishing Co., Inc, USA Thuijsman SB, Reniers MA (2022) Transformational supervisor synthesis for evolving systems. Discrete Event Dynamic Syst 32(2):317–358. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10626-021-00354-0

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

