Orthogonal sequences constructed from quasi-orthogonal ultraspherical polynomials

Oksana Bihun^{†*} and Kathy Driver[‡]

[†]Department of Mathematics, University of Colorado, Colorado Springs 1420 Austin Bluffs Pkwy, Colorado Springs, CO 80918, USA [‡]Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, University of Cape Town, Private Bag X3, Rondebosch 7701, South Africa

Abstract

Let $\{x_{k,n-1}\}_{k=1}^{n-1}$ and $\{x_{k,n}\}_{k=1}^{n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be two sets of real, distinct points satisfying the interlacing property $x_{i,n} < x_{i,n-1} < x_{i+1,n}$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$. In [10], Wendroff proved that if $p_{n-1}(x) = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (x - x_{k,n-1})$ and $p_n(x) = \prod_{k=1}^n (x - x_{k,n})$, then p_{n-1} and p_n can be embedded in a non-unique monic orthogonal sequence $\{p_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$. We investigate a question raised by Mourad Ismail at OPSFA 2015 as to the nature and properties of orthogonal sequences generated by applying Wendroff's Theorem to the interlacing zeros of $C_{n-1}^{\lambda}(x)$ and $(x^2-1)C_{n-2}^{\lambda}(x)$, where $\{C_k^{\lambda}(x)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of monic ultraspherical polynomials and $-3/2 < \lambda < -1/2$, $\lambda \neq -1$. We construct an algorithm for generating infinite monic orthogonal sequences $\{D_k^{\lambda}(x)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ from the two polynomials $D_n^{\lambda}(x) := (x^2 - 1)C_{n-2}^{\lambda}(x)$ and $D_{n-1}^{\lambda}(x) := C_{n-1}^{\lambda}(x)$, which is applicable for each pair of fixed parameters n, λ in the ranges $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 5$ and $\lambda > -3/2$, $\lambda \neq -1$, $0, (2k-1)/2, k = 0, 1, \ldots$. We plot and compare the zeros of $D_m^{\lambda}(x)$ and $C_m^{\lambda}(x)$ for several choices of $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and a range of values of the parameters λ and n. For $-3/2 < \lambda < -1$, the curves that the zeros of $D_m^{\lambda}(x)$ approach are substantially different for large values of m. When $-1 < \lambda < -1/2$, the two curves have a similar shape while the curves are almost identical for $\lambda > -1/2$.

MSC: primary 33C50; secondary 42C05.

Keywords: Ultraspherical polynomials, Wendroff's Theorem, interlacing of zeros, quasi-orthogonal polynomials.

1 Introduction

The monic ultraspherical polynomial $C_n^{\lambda}(x)$ is defined by the three term recurrence relation [8, eqn.(8.18)]

$$C_n^{\lambda}(x) = x C_{n-1}^{\lambda}(x) - b_n^{\lambda} C_{n-2}^{\lambda}(x), \quad \lambda \neq 0, -1, \dots; \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$
(1)

where

$$C_{-1}^{\lambda}(x) \equiv 0, \ C_{0}^{\lambda}(x) = 1, \ b_{n}^{\lambda} = \frac{(n-1)(n-2+2\lambda)}{4(n-2+\lambda)(n-1+\lambda)}, \ \lambda \neq 0, -1, \dots; \ n = 1, 2, \dots$$
(2)

For each $\lambda > -\frac{1}{2}$, the sequence $\{C_n^{\lambda}(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is orthogonal on (-1,1) with respect to the weight function $(1-x^2)^{\lambda-\frac{1}{2}}$ and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 1$, the zeros of $C_n^{\lambda}(x)$ are real, simple, symmetric, lie in (-1,1) and the zeros of $C_{n-1}^{\lambda}(x)$ interlace with the zeros of $C_n^{\lambda}(x)$, $n \geq 2$, (see [9, Theorem 3.3.2]) namely,

$$-1 < x_{1,n} < x_{1,n-1} < \dots < x_{n-1,n} < x_{n-1,n-1} < x_{n,n} < 1.$$
(3)

where $\{x_{i,n}\}_{i=1}^n$ are the zeros of $C_n^{\lambda}(x)$ in increasing order.

^{*}Corresponding author: *obihun@uccs.edu*.

As λ decreases below -1/2, two (symmetric) zeros of $C_n^{\lambda}(x)$ leave the interval (-1, 1) through the endpoints -1 and 1 (see [5, p. 296]) and remain real with absolute value > 1 for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \ge 3$, and $-\frac{3}{2} < \lambda < -\frac{1}{2}, \lambda \neq -1$. For $-\frac{3}{2} < \lambda < -\frac{1}{2}, \lambda \neq -1$, the sequence $\{C_n^{\lambda}(x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is quasi-orthogonal of order 2 with respect to the weight function $(1-x^2)^{\lambda+\frac{1}{2}}$, (see [2, Theorem 6] and [3, p.144]) and, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \ge 4$, (see [6, Theorem 3.1]),

$$x_{1,n-1} < x_{1,n} < -1 < x_{2,n} < x_{2,n-1} < \dots < x_{n-2,n-1} < x_{n-1,n} < 1 < x_{n,n} < x_{n-1,n-1}.$$
(4)

It follows from (4) that the zeros of $C_{n-1}^{\lambda}(x)$ and $C_n^{\lambda}(x)$ are not interlacing for any $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \ge 4$ and $-\frac{3}{2} < \lambda < -\frac{1}{2}, \lambda \ne -1$, but we see from (3) and (4) that the zeros of $C_n^{\lambda}(x)$ interlace with the zeros of $(x^2 - 1)C_{n-1}^{\lambda}(x)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \ge 4$ and each λ with $\lambda > -\frac{3}{2}, \lambda \ne -1$.

In 1961, Wendroff [10, p. 554] proved that, for any fixed positive integer $n, n \ge 2$, if $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$ are two sets of real, distinct points satisfying the interlacing property $x_1 < y_1 < x_2 < y_2 < \cdots < x_{n-1} < y_{n-1} < x_n$, there exist infinitely many sequences $\{p_k(x)\}_{k=0}^\infty$ of monic orthogonal polynomials with $p_n(x) = \prod_{k=1}^n (x - x_k)$

and $p_{n-1}(x) = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (x - y_k)$. His proof is constructive and for a given, fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \ge 2$, each polynomial

 $p_k(x)$ of degree $k \leq n-2$ is uniquely determined by $p_n(x)$ and $p_{n-1}(x)$. In contrast, the monic polynomials of degree $n+1, n+2, \ldots$ in any orthogonal sequence that includes $p_n(x)$ and $p_{n-1}(x)$ are only constrained by the requirement that any infinite sequence of (monic) orthogonal polynomials satisfies a three term recurrence relation of the form

$$p_{n+k}(x) = (x - a_k)p_{n+k-1}(x) - b_k p_{n+k-2}(x), \ a_k \in \mathbb{R}, b_k > 0, \ k = 1, 2, \dots$$
(5)

Since there are infinitely many choices of the coefficients a_k and b_k with $a_k \in \mathbb{R}$ and $b_k > 0$ for k = 1, 2, ...,there are infinitely many distinct monic orthogonal sequences $\{p_k(x)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ that include $p_n(x)$ and $p_{n-1}(x)$.

Here, we fix $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \ge 5$, fix $\lambda, \lambda > -3/2, \lambda \ne -1, 0, (2k-1)/2, k = 0, 1, \ldots$, and define

$$D_{n-1}^{\lambda}(x) := C_{n-1}^{\lambda}(x), \quad D_n^{\lambda}(x) := (x^2 - 1)C_{n-2}^{\lambda}(x).$$
(6)

We investigate the properties of the zeros of polynomials in monic orthogonal sequences $\{D_m^{\lambda}\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$ generated by the Wendroff process. It is important to emphasize the dependence on the "starting value" of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ when generating each monic orthogonal sequence $\{D_m^{\lambda}\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$ that includes $D_{n-1}^{\lambda}(x)$ and $D_n^{\lambda}(x)$. If $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 5$ is large, the number of polynomials (namely, n-2) that are uniquely determined in every monic orthogonal sequence that includes $D_{n-1}^{\lambda}(x)$ and $D_n^{\lambda}(x)$ is correspondingly large whereas, for example, if n = 5 we have two degrees of freedom when generating each of the monic polynomials of degree > 5 and exactly 4 of the polynomials of lower degree are completely determined. The restriction $n \geq 5$ arises from the fact that when $-\frac{3}{2} < \lambda < -1$, the quadratic ultraspherical polynomial $C_2^{\lambda}(x)$ has two pure imaginary zeros (see [3, p. 144]). If we restrict λ to the range $\lambda > -1$, the results proved here apply for $n \geq 3$.

2 Notation

For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, denote

$$C_m^{\lambda}(x) = x^m + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{j,m} \, x^{m-j} = \prod_{j=1}^m (x - x_{j,m}), \quad c_m = \sum_{j=1}^m x_{j,m}.$$
(7)

$$D_m^{\lambda}(x) = x^m + \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_{j,m} \, x^{m-j} = \prod_{j=1}^m (x - y_{j,m}), \quad d_m = \sum_{j=1}^m y_{j,m}.$$
(8)

The zeros $\{x_{j,m}\}_{j=1}^m$ of $C_m^{\lambda}(x)$ are distinct, real and symmetric with respect to the origin for $m \geq 3$ so that $c_m = 0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq 3$ while the zeros $\{y_{j,m}\}_{j=1}^m$ of $D_m^{\lambda}(x)$ are distinct, real and symmetric when m = n or m = n - 1 so that $d_n = d_{n-1} = 0$. Note that

$$y_{1,n} = x_{1,n-2}, \quad y_{2,n} = -1, \quad y_{3,n} = x_{2,n-2}, \dots, \\ y_{n-1,n} = 1, \quad y_{n,n} = x_{n-2,n-2}$$

$$\tag{9}$$

and

$$y_{k,n-1} = x_{k,n-1}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$
 (10)

3 Orthogonal sequences generated by $C_{n-1}^{\lambda}(x)$ and $(x^2-1)C_{n-2}^{\lambda}(x)$, $n \ge 5$

Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1 Let $\{C_m^{\lambda}(x)\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$ be the sequence of monic ultraspherical polynomials defined by (1) and (2). Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 5$, fix $\lambda \in (-\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}), \lambda \neq -1$, and suppose that $\epsilon > 0$ is abitrary. Define

$$a_n := x_{n-2,n-2} + \epsilon \tag{11}$$

where $x_{n-2,n-2} > 1$ is the largest zero of $C_{n-2}^{\lambda}(x)$.

Let the sequence of monic polynomials $\{D_m^{\lambda}(x)\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$ be defined by:

$$D_n^{\lambda}(x) = (x^2 - 1)C_{n-2}^{\lambda}(x), \quad D_{n-1}^{\lambda}(x) = C_{n-1}^{\lambda}(x), \tag{12}$$

$$D_{n-j}^{\lambda}(x) = -\frac{1}{\ell_{n-j+2}} \left[D_{n-j+2}^{\lambda}(x) - x D_{n-j+1}^{\lambda}(x) \right], \ j = 2, 3, \dots, n$$
(13)

$$D_{n+j}^{\lambda}(x) = x D_{n+j-1}^{\lambda}(x) - \ell_{n+j} D_{n+j-2}^{\lambda}(x), \ j = 1, 2, \dots$$
(14)

where

$$\ell_{n-j} = \beta_{2,n-j-1} - \beta_{2,n-j} > 0, \ j = 0, 1, \dots, n-2,$$
(15)

$$\ell_{n+j} \in \left(0, \frac{a_n D_{n+j-1}^{\lambda}(a_n)}{D_{n+j-2}^{\lambda}(a_n)}\right), \ j = 1, 2, \dots,$$
(16)

and $\beta_{2,m}$ is the coefficient of x^{m-2} in $D_m^{\lambda}(x)$, see (8). Then the sequence $\{D_m^{\lambda}(x)\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$ is symmetric and orthogonal with respect to a positive measure supported on the interval $(-a_n, a_n)$.

Proof of Theorem 3.1

Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 5$. The monic polynomial $D_{n-2}^{\lambda}(x)$ is uniquely determined by

$$D_{n}^{\lambda}(x) - xD_{n-1}^{\lambda}(x) = -\ell_{n}D_{n-2}^{\lambda}(x)$$
(17)

where $D_n^{\lambda}(x)$ and $D_{n-1}^{\lambda}(x)$ are defined by (12) and the coefficient ℓ_n is chosen so that $D_{n-2}^{\lambda}(x)$ is monic. The positivity of ℓ_n follows from the interlacing property of the zeros of $D_n^{\lambda}(x)$ and $D_{n-1}^{\lambda}(x)$. In the same way, for each $j = 3, 4, \ldots, n$, the polynomial $D_{n-j}^{\lambda}(x)$ is constructed from the polynomials $D_{n-j+1}^{\lambda}(x)$ and $D_{n-j+2}^{\lambda}(x)$. The process is repeated until we obtain $D_0^{\lambda}(x) = 1$. The polynomials $D_{n+j}^{\lambda}(x)$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots$ are constructed recursively using the three-term recurrence relation

$$D_{n+j}(x) = x D_{n+j-1}^{\lambda}(x) - \ell_{n+j} D_{n+j-2}(x), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots,$$
(18)

choosing positive coefficients ℓ_{n+j} for $j = 1, 2, \ldots$ This ensures (Favard's Theorem) that the infinite sequence $\{D_m^{\lambda}(x)\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$ is orthogonal with respect to a positive measure. Wendroff mentions in his proof [10, p. 554] that the coefficients ℓ_{n+j} can be chosen in such a way that all zeros of $D_{n+j}^{\lambda}(x)$ lie in the interval $(-a_n, a_n)$ for each $j \geq 1$ but does not indicate how to choose the coefficients to achieve this outcome. Here, we prove that the choice of ℓ_{n+1} given in (16) ensures that all the zeros of $D_{n+1}^{\lambda}(x)$ lie in the interval $(-a_n, a_n)$. Applying the same argument iteratively, it can be shown that the choice of ℓ_{n+j} given in (16) ensures that the zeros of $D_{n+j}^{\lambda}(x)$ lie in the interval $(-a_n, a_n)$. Applying the interval $(-a_n, a_n)$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Suppose $\ell_{n+1} \in \left(0, \frac{a_n D_n^{\lambda}(a_n)}{D_{n-1}^{\lambda}(a_n)}\right)$. We show that the zeros of D_{n+1}^{λ} lie in the interval $(-a_n, a_n)$. From (4) with n replaced by n-1, it follows immediately that the zeros of $D_n^{\lambda}(x) = (x^2 - 1)C_{n-2}^{\lambda}(x)$ and $D_{n-1}^{\lambda}(x) = C_{n-1}^{\lambda}(x)$

lie in the open interval $(-a_n, a_n)$. In addition, $D_n^{\lambda}(x)$ and $D_{n-1}^{\lambda}(x)$ are monic polynomials with no zeros greater than $x_{n-2,n-2}$ so $D_n^{\lambda}(a_n) > 0$ and $D_{n-1}^{\lambda}(a_n) > 0$. Since $a_n > 0$, it follows that $\frac{a_n D_n^{\lambda}(a_n)}{D_{n-1}^{\lambda}(a_n)} > 0$. By construction, the zeros of D_{n+1}^{λ} and D_n^{λ} interlace and the zeros of D_n^{λ} lie in the interval $(-a_n, a_n)$ so it follows that $y_{1,n+1} < y_{1,n} < y_{2,n+1} < y_{2,n} < \cdots < y_{n,n+1} < y_{n,n} < y_{n+1,n+1}$ and $-a_n < y_{1,n} < y_{n,n} < a_n$. We need to show that the largest zero $y_{n+1,n+1}$ of D_{n+1}^{λ} satisfies $y_{n+1,n+1} < a_n$.

From (14) with j = 1, we have $D_{n+1}^{\lambda}(x) = x D_n^{\lambda}(x) - \ell_{n+1} D_{n-1}^{\lambda}(x)$ so that

$$D_{n+1}^{\lambda}(y_{n,n}) = -\ell_{n+1}D_{n-1}^{\lambda}(y_{n,n}) < 0.$$
(19)

On the other hand, since we are assuming that $\ell_{n+1} \in \left(0, \frac{a_n D_n^{\lambda}(a_n)}{D_{n-1}^{\lambda}(a_n)}\right)$, we have

$$D_{n+1}^{\lambda}(a_n) = a_n D_n^{\lambda}(a_n) - \ell_{n+1} D_{n-1}^{\lambda}(a_n) > a_n D_n^{\lambda}(a_n) - \frac{a_n D_n^{\lambda}(a_n)}{D_{n-1}^{\lambda}(a_n)} D_{n-1}^{\lambda}(a_n) = 0.$$
(20)

Therefore, $D_{n+1}^{\lambda}(y_{n,n}) < 0$ and $D_{n+1}^{\lambda}(a_n) > 0$ so that $y_{n+1,n+1} < a_n$ as required.

Remark 3.1. The sequences of polynomials $\{D_m^{\lambda}(x)\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$ defined in Theorem 3.1 with $D_{n-1}^{\lambda}(x) = C_{n-1}^{\lambda}(x)$ and $D_n^{\lambda}(x) = (x^2 - 1)C_{n-2}^{\lambda}(x)$ are (by construction) orthogonal for each $n \ge 5$ and $\lambda > -\frac{1}{2}$ satisfying $\lambda \ne 0, \lambda \ne \frac{2k-1}{2}$, k = 1, 2... It is therefore natural to compare orthogonal sequences $\{D_m^{\lambda}(x)\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$ generated by the Wendroff process with the sequences $\{C_m^{\lambda}(x)\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$ of ultraspherical polynomials orthogonal on (-1, 1). In this case, we can choose $a_n = 1$ so the interval (-1, 1) contains the zeros of all the polynomials in the sequence $\{D_m^{\lambda}(x)\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$ as well as the sequence $\{C_m^{\lambda}(x)\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$.

Remark 3.2. For $-3/2 < \lambda < -1/2$, $\lambda \neq -1$, and $n \geq 5$ fixed, the largest (real) zero $x_{n-2,n-2}$ of $C_{n-2}^{\lambda}(x)$ is bounded above by $\left(\frac{n-3}{2\lambda+n-2}\right)^{1/2}$, see [7, (4)]. An alternative upper bound for $x_{n-2,n-2}$ is given by $1 - \frac{2\lambda+1}{(n-2)(n+2\lambda-2)}$, see [7, (15)]. These bounds give estimates for the interval of orthogonality $(-a_n, a_n)$ of the sequences $\{D_m^{\lambda}(x)\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$, where $D_{n-1}^{\lambda}(x) = C_{n-1}^{\lambda}(x)$ and $D_n^{\lambda}(x) = (x^2 - 1)C_{n-2}^{\lambda}(x)$.

Remark 3.3. For $-3/2 < \lambda < -1/2$, $\lambda \neq -1$, and $n \geq 5$ fixed, we can choose $\epsilon > 0$ in Theorem 3.1 in such a way that the interval $(-a_n, a_n)$ contains all the zeros of the polynomials $\{C_m^{\lambda}(x)\}_{m=3}^{\infty}$. To this end, we use the estimates $x_{m,m} < \left(\frac{m-1}{2\lambda+m}\right)^{1/2}$ and $x_{m,m} < 1 - \frac{2\lambda+1}{m(m+2\lambda)}$, $m \geq 3$, see [7], where $x_{m,m}$ is the largest zero of C_m^{λ} . Because $\max\left\{\left(\frac{m-1}{2\lambda+m}\right)^{1/2} : m \geq 3, \lambda > -3/2\right\} = \left(\frac{2}{2\lambda+3}\right)^{1/2}$ and $\max\left\{1 - \frac{2\lambda+1}{m(m+2\lambda)} : m \geq 3, \lambda > -3/2\right\} = \frac{4(2+\lambda)}{3(3+2\lambda)}$, we may choose $a_n := a$ independent of $n \in \mathbb{N}$, namely

$$a = A_1(\lambda) := \left(\frac{2}{2\lambda + 3}\right)^{1/2} \tag{21}$$

or

$$a = A_2(\lambda) := \frac{4(2+\lambda)}{3(3+2\lambda)}.$$
 (22)

To choose the sharper of the two bounds $A_1(\lambda)$ and $A_2(\lambda)$, we use the following comparisons: $A_1(-5/4) = A_2(-5/4)$, $A_1(\lambda) < A_2(\lambda)$ if $\lambda \in (-3/2, -5/4)$ and $A_1(\lambda) > A_2(\lambda)$ if $\lambda \in (-5/4, -1/2)$. Note that $A_1(-1/2) = A_2(-1/2)$ and $\lim_{\lambda \to (-3/2)+} A_k(\lambda) = +\infty$ for k = 1, 2.

Remark 3.4. When developing an algorithm for generating orthogonal sequences, we can choose $\ell_{n+j} = \frac{aD_{n+j-1}^{\lambda}(a)}{\sigma D_{n+j-2}^{\lambda}(a)}$, j = 1, 2, ..., where $\sigma > 1$. Using this expression for ℓ_{n+j} and putting x = a into (14), we obtain $\ell_{n+j+1} = \frac{(\sigma-1)}{\sigma^2}a^2$ for all j = 1, 2, ... The advantage of choosing $\ell_{n+j} = \frac{aD_{n+j-1}^{\lambda}(a)}{\sigma D_{n+j-2}^{\lambda}(a)}$, j = 1, 2, ..., with $\sigma > 1$, is that all coefficients ℓ_{n+j+1} , j = 1, 2, ... are equal, namely, $\ell_{n+j+1} = \frac{(\sigma-1)}{\sigma^2}a^2$ for j = 1, 2, ... This results in a significant reduction in the computational complexity of the algorithm.

4 Algorithm for construction of $\{D_m^{\lambda}(x)\}_{m=0}^{n+k}$, *n* fixed, $n \ge 5$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$

Using Theorem 3.1 and Remarks 1,3,4, we present an algorithm for construction of the first n + k + 1 terms of orthogonal sequences $\{D_m^{\lambda}(x)\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$.

- 1. Choose integers $n \ge 5$ and $k \ge 1$.
- 2. Choose $\lambda \in (-\frac{3}{2}, +\infty)$ with $\lambda \neq -1, 0, (2k-1)/2, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$
- 3. If $-3/2 < \lambda < -5/4$, define $a = A_1(\lambda) = \left(\frac{2}{2\lambda+3}\right)^{1/2}$. If $-5/4 \le \lambda < -1/2$, define $a = A_2(\lambda) = \frac{4(2+\lambda)}{3(3+2\lambda)}$. If $\lambda > -\frac{1}{2}$, define a = 1.
- 4. Choose $\sigma > 1$.
- 5. Let $D_n^{\lambda}(x) = (x^2 1)C_{n-2}^{\lambda}(x), \quad D_{n-1}^{\lambda}(x) = C_{n-1}^{\lambda}(x).$
- 6. For j = 0, 1, let $\beta_{2,n-j}$ be the coefficient of x^{n-j-2} in $D_{n-j}^{\lambda}(x)$.
- 7. For j = 2, 3, ..., n, let $\ell_{n-j+2} = \beta_{2,n-j+1} - \beta_{2,n-j+2}$; let $D_{n-j}^{\lambda}(x) = -\frac{1}{\ell_{n-j+2}} \left[D_{n-j+2}^{\lambda}(x) - x D_{n-j+1}^{\lambda}(x) \right]$; let $\beta_{2,n-j}$ be the coefficient of x^{n-j-2} in $D_{n-j}^{\lambda}(x)$.
- 8. Let $\ell_{n+1} = \frac{a D_n^{\lambda}(a)}{\sigma D_{n-1}^{\lambda}(a)}$ and $D_{n+1}^{\lambda}(x) = x D_n^{\lambda}(x) \ell_{n+1} D_{n-1}^{\lambda}(x)$.

9. For
$$j = 2, ..., k$$
, let $D_{n+j}^{\lambda}(x) = x D_{n+j-1}^{\lambda}(x) - \frac{(\sigma-1)}{\sigma^2} a^2 D_{n+j-2}^{\lambda}(x)$.

The above algorithm generates the first n + k + 1 terms of a sequence of symmetric polynomials $\{D_m^{\lambda}(x)\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$ orthogonal with respect to some positive measure supported on the interval (-a, a), which contains all the zeros of the symmetric polynomials $\{C_m^{\lambda}(x)\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$. If $\lambda \in (-3/2, -1/2)$ and $\lambda \neq -1$, the sequence $\{C_m^{\lambda}(x)\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$ is quasi-orthogonal of order 2 on (-1, 1) with respect to the weight function $(1 - x^2)^{\lambda+1/2}$; if $\lambda \in (-1/2, +\infty)$ and $\lambda \neq 0$, $\lambda \neq \frac{2k-1}{2}$ for $k = 1, 2, \ldots$, the sequence $\{C_m^{\lambda}(x)\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$ is orthogonal with respect to the weight function $(1 - x^2)^{\lambda+1/2}$; on the interval (-1, 1).

Example 4.1. In this example we present the first 11 terms of the sequence $\{D_m^\lambda\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$ using our algorithm with $n = 5, k = 5, \sigma = 2$, and $a = \frac{4(2+\lambda)}{3(3+2\lambda)}$, where $\lambda \in (-\frac{3}{2}, +\infty), \lambda \neq -1, 0$ and $\lambda \neq (2k-1)/2, k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$

$$\begin{split} D_0^{\lambda}(x) &= 1, \\ D_1^{\lambda}(x) &= x, \\ D_2^{\lambda}(x) &= x^2 - \frac{2\lambda^2 + 7\lambda + 9}{2(2\lambda^3 + 7\lambda^2 + 9\lambda + 6)}, \\ D_3^{\lambda}(x) &= x^3 - \frac{3(2\lambda + 5)}{2(2\lambda^2 + 7\lambda + 9)}x, \\ D_4^{\lambda}(x) &= x^4 - \frac{3}{\lambda + 3}x^2 + \frac{3}{4(\lambda^2 + 5\lambda + 6)}, \\ D_5^{\lambda}(x) &= x^5 - \frac{(2\lambda + 7)}{2\lambda + 4}x^3 + \frac{3}{2\lambda + 4}x, \\ D_6^{\lambda}(x) &= x^6 \\ &+ \frac{-26624\lambda^8 - 315136\lambda^7 - 1452096\lambda^6 - 3030464\lambda^5 - 1350544\lambda^4 + 6634848\lambda^3 + 14325052\lambda^2 + 11993936\lambda + 3814971)}{18(\lambda + 2)(2\lambda + 3)^2(512\lambda^5 + 2944\lambda^4 + 5208\lambda^3 + 4\lambda^2 - 8638\lambda - 6429)}x^2 \\ &- \frac{(-8192\lambda^7 - 55552\lambda^6 - 93408\lambda^5 + 238480\lambda^4 + 1249616\lambda^3 + 2167224\lambda^2 + 1773274\lambda + 577325)}{6(\lambda + 2)(2\lambda + 3)^2(512\lambda^5 + 2944\lambda^4 + 5208\lambda^3 + 4\lambda^2 - 8638\lambda - 6429)}x^2 \\ &+ \frac{4(2\lambda + 1)^2(80\lambda^3 + 426\lambda^2 + 753\lambda + 442)}{(3(2\lambda + 3)^2(512\lambda^5 + 2944\lambda^4 + 5208\lambda^3 + 4\lambda^2 - 8638\lambda - 6429)}, \\ D_7^{\lambda}(x) &= x^7 \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &+ \frac{(-10240)^{8} - 121088\lambda^{7} - 501408\lambda^{6} - 1198624\lambda^{9} - 506972\lambda^{4} + 2250730\lambda^{3} + 154272\lambda^{2} + 4387984\lambda + 1408809)}{6(\lambda + 2)(2\lambda + 3)^{2}(512\lambda^{5} + 2944\lambda^{4} + 5208\lambda^{3} + 4\lambda^{2} - 8638\lambda - 6429)} \\ &+ \frac{4096\lambda^{8} + 78848\lambda^{7} + 458888\lambda^{6} + 1074016\lambda^{5} + 2954374\lambda^{4} - 3734688\lambda^{3} - 813086\lambda^{2} - 7213054\lambda - 2452023}{18(\lambda + 2)(2\lambda + 3)^{2}(512\lambda^{5} + 2944\lambda^{4} + 5208\lambda^{3} + 4\lambda^{2} - 8638\lambda - 6429)} \\ &- \frac{2(512\lambda^{6} + 2328\lambda^{5} - 7084\lambda^{4} + 858\lambda^{3} - 1042\lambda^{2} - 28573\lambda - 1.3742)}{3(2\lambda + 3)^{2}(512\lambda^{5} + 2944\lambda^{4} + 5208\lambda^{3} + 4\lambda^{2} - 8638\lambda - 6429)} \\ \\ &p_{k}^{1}(z) = z^{6} \\ &+ \frac{-34810\lambda^{8} - 411392\lambda^{7} - 1916352\lambda^{6} - 4161280\lambda^{5} - 2589488\lambda^{4} + 6899568\lambda^{3} + 16600220\lambda^{2} + 14333968\lambda + 4637883}{16(\lambda + 2)(2\lambda + 3)^{4}(512\lambda^{5} + 2944\lambda^{4} + 5208\lambda^{3} + 4\lambda^{2} - 8638\lambda - 6429)} \\ \\ &p_{k}^{1}(z) = z^{6} \\ &+ \frac{-34810\lambda^{6} + 411392\lambda^{7} - 1916352\lambda^{6} - 4161280\lambda^{5} - 2599488\lambda^{4} + 5208379\lambda^{5} - 81398016\lambda^{4} \\ &+ (25392\lambda^{10} + 496742\lambda^{10} + 396636672\lambda^{8} + 1102018770\lambda + 2944\lambda^{7} + 5208\lambda^{3} + 4\lambda^{2} - 8638\lambda - 6429) \\ &- 112(\lambda + 2)(2\lambda + 3)^{4}(512\lambda^{5} + 2944\lambda^{4} + 5208\lambda^{3} + 4\lambda^{2} - 8638\lambda - 6429) \\ &- \frac{16(\lambda + 2)(2\lambda + 3)^{4}(512\lambda^{5} + 2944\lambda^{4} + 5208\lambda^{3} + 4\lambda^{2} - 8638\lambda - 6429) \\ &- \frac{16(\lambda + 2)^{2}(2\lambda + 3)^{2}(612\lambda^{5} + 2944\lambda^{4} + 5208\lambda^{3} + 4\lambda^{2} - 8638\lambda - 6429) \\ &- \frac{16(\lambda + 2)(2\lambda + 3)^{4}(512\lambda^{5} + 2944\lambda^{4} + 5208\lambda^{3} + 4\lambda^{2} - 8638\lambda - 6429) \\ \\ &- \frac{16(\lambda + 2)(2\lambda + 3)^{4}(512\lambda^{5} + 2944\lambda^{4} + 5208\lambda^{3} + 4\lambda^{2} - 8638\lambda - 6429) \\ &- \frac{16(\lambda + 2)(2\lambda + 3)^{4}(512\lambda^{5} + 2944\lambda^{4} + 5208\lambda^{3} + 4\lambda^{2} - 8638\lambda - 6429) \\ \\ &- \frac{16(\lambda + 2)(2\lambda + 3)^{4}(512\lambda^{5} + 2944\lambda^{4} + 5208\lambda^{3} + 4\lambda^{2} - 8638\lambda - 6429) \\ \\ &- \frac{16(\lambda + 2)(2\lambda + 3)^{4}(512\lambda^{5} + 2944\lambda^{4} + 5208\lambda^{3} + 4\lambda^{2} - 8638\lambda - 6429) \\ \\ &- \frac{16(\lambda + 2)(2\lambda + 3)^{4}(512\lambda^{5} + 1064\lambda^{4} - 228\lambda^{3} - 81006\lambda^{5} - 3228960\lambda^{4} + 28672660\lambda^{3} - 5722366\lambda^{2} + 40687679\lambda - 11707302)_{2}z^{8} \\ \\ &- \frac{16(\lambda + 2)^{2}(2\lambda + 3)^{4}(512\lambda^{5} + 2944\lambda^{4} + 5208\lambda^{3} + 4\lambda^{2} - 8638\lambda - 6429) \\ \\ &- \frac{16(\lambda + 2)^{2}(2\lambda + 3)^$$

5 The zeros of $D_m^{\lambda}(x)$ and $C_m^{\lambda}(x)$

In this section, we plot and compare the zeros of $D_m^{\lambda}(x)$ constructed using the algorithm in Section 4 with the zeros of $C_m^{\lambda}(x)$, where $m = 3, 4, \ldots, n+k$ and $n \ge 5$, $k \ge 1$ are fixed integers.

Example 5.1. Let n = 5 and $\sigma = 2$. Choose k = 5, $\lambda = -5/4$ and $a = \frac{4(2+\lambda)}{3(3+2\lambda)} = 2$. The polynomials $D_m^{\lambda}, 0 \le m \le 10$, are listed below with the approximate values of their zeros in curly brackets $\{\}$:

$$D_0^{\lambda}(x) = 1, \{\} \\ D_1^{\lambda}(x) = x, \{0\},$$

$$\begin{split} D_2^\lambda(x) &= x^2 - \frac{18}{19}, \{-0.973329, 0.973329\}, \\ D_3^\lambda(x) &= x^3 - \frac{10}{9}x, \{-1.05409, 0, 1.05409\}, \\ D_4^\lambda(x) &= x^4 - \frac{12}{7}x^2 + \frac{4}{7}, \{-1.12303, -0.673114, 0.673114, 1.12303\}, \\ D_5^\lambda(x) &= x^5 - 3x^3 + 2x, \{-1.41421, -1, 0, 1, 1.41421\}, \\ D_6^\lambda(x) &= x^6 - \frac{72}{17}x^4 + \frac{70}{17}x^2 - \frac{12}{17}, \{-1.7026, -1.05773, -0.466529, 0.466529, 1.05773, 1.7026\}, \\ D_7^\lambda(x) &= x^7 - \frac{89}{17}x^5 + \frac{121}{17}x^3 - \frac{46}{17}x, \{-1.83123, -1.10502, -0.812906, 0, 0.812906, 1.10502, 1.83123\}, \\ D_8^\lambda(x) &= x^8 - \frac{106}{17}x^6 + \frac{193}{17}x^4 - \frac{116}{17}x^2 + \frac{12}{17}, \{-1.89282, -1.23417, -1, -0.359651, 0.359651, 1, 1.23417, 1.89282\}, \\ D_9^\lambda(x) &= x^9 - \frac{123}{17}x^7 + \frac{282}{17}x^5 - \frac{237}{17}x^3 + \frac{58}{17}x, \\ \{-1.92625, -1.41421, -1.05407, -0.643268, 0, 0.643268, 1.05407, 1.41421, 1.92625\}, \\ D_{10}^\lambda(x) &= x^{10} - \frac{140}{17}x^8 + \frac{388}{17}x^6 - \frac{430}{17}x^4 + \frac{174}{17}x^2 - \frac{12}{17}, \\ \{-1.94625, -1.55305, -1.09439, -0.867151, -0.292897, 0.292897, 0.867151, 1.09439, 1.55305, 1.94625\}. \end{split}$$

Note that the zeros of $D_5^{-5/4}(x)$ are $-\sqrt{2}$; $-1, 0, 1, \sqrt{2}$. The largest and smallest zeros of $D_{10}^{-5/4}(x)$ are close to the limits -2 and 2.

In Figures 1 through 4, the *y*-coordinates of the plotted points are the zeros of $D_m^{-5/4}(x)$ (diamond, brown) and $C_m^{-5/4}(x)$ (round, blue) for m = 3, 4, 5, 10. The figures suggest that the greatest difference between the zeros of $D_m^{-5/4}(x)$ and $C_m^{-5/4}(x)$ are at the extreme zeros.





Figure 1: $n = 5, \lambda = -5/4, m = 3$. The polynomials $C_3^{-5/4}$ and $D_3^{-5/4}$ have a common zero at the origin.

Figure 2: $n = 5, \lambda = -5/4, m = 4$. Since $D_4^{-5/4}(x) = C_4^{-5/4}(x)$, their zeros are equal.





Figure 3: $n = 5, \lambda = -5/4, m = 5$. By construction, the zeros of $D_5^{-5/4}(x)$ are the zeros of $C_3^{-5/4}(x)$ together with the points -1 and 1.



Example 5.1 provides numerical confirmation that the relative ordering of the zeros of $D_{n+1}^{-5/4}$, $D_n^{-5/4}$, and $D_{n-1}^{-5/4}$, is consistent with [1, Theorem 4]. Replacing n by n-1 and putting $b_n = 0$ in (7) and (8) in [1, Theorem 4], the negative zeros of $D_m^{-5/4}$, $m \in \{n-1, n, n+1\}$, should satisfy

$$y_{1,n+1} < y_{1,n} < y_{1,n-1} < y_{2,n+1} < y_{2,n} < y_{2,n-1} \dots$$

while the positive zeros of $D_m^{-5/4}$, $m \in \{n-1, n, n+1\}$, should satisfy

$$y_{n+1,n+1} > y_{n,n} > y_{n-1,n-1} > y_{n,n+1} > y_{n-1,n} \dots$$

From Example 5.1, we see that the zeros of $D_4^{-5/4}$, $D_5^{-5/4}$, and $D_6^{-5/4}$ satisfy

$$y_{1,6} < y_{1,5} < y_{1,4} < y_{2,6} < y_{2,5} < y_{2,4} < y_{3,6} < y_{3,5} = 0$$

and

$$y_{6,6} > y_{5,5} > y_{4,4} > y_{5,6} > y_{4,5} > y_{3,4} > y_{4,6} > y_{3,5} = 0.$$

as expected.

In the examples and figures that follow, we plot the zeros of $D_m^{\lambda}(x)$ and $C_m^{\lambda}(x)$ for selected values of n (the "starting value"), m and λ .

Example 5.2. Let n = 5, k = 5, and $\sigma = 2$, as in the previous example. In Figures 5 and 6, the *y*-coordinates of the plotted points are the zeros of D_{10}^{λ} (diamond, brown) and C_{10}^{λ} (round, blue) respectively for $\lambda = -3/4$, $a = \frac{4(2+\lambda)}{3(3+2\lambda)} = \frac{10}{9}$ and $\lambda = -1/4$, $a = \frac{4(2+\lambda)}{3(3+2\lambda)} = \frac{14}{15}$.



Figure 5: $n = 5, m = 10, \lambda = -3/4.$

Figure 6: $n = 5, m = 10, \lambda = -1/4$.

Example 5.3. Let n = 10, k = 58, $\sigma = 2$. Choose $\lambda = -5/4$ and $a = \frac{4(2+\lambda)}{3(3+2\lambda)} = 2$. In Figures 7 through 14 the *y*-coordinates of the plotted points are the zeros of D_m^{λ} (diamond, brown) and C_m^{λ} (round, blue) for selected integer values of *m* between 3 and 68. The figures suggest that, as *m* increases, the curves that fit the zeros of $D_m^{-5/4}$ and $C_m^{-5/4}$ are significantly different.



Figure 11: $n = 10, \lambda = -5/4, m = 12$.





Figure 13: $n = 10, \lambda = -5/4, m = 32$. **Example 5.4.** Let $n = 10, k = 58, \sigma = 2$. Choose $\lambda = -3/4$ and $a = \frac{4(2+\lambda)}{3(3+2\lambda)} = \frac{10}{9}$. In Figures 15 through 20 the *y*-coordinates of the plotted points are the zeros of D_m^{λ} and C_m^{λ} for selected integer values of *m* between 8 and 68.



Figure 17: $n = 10, \lambda = -3/4, m = 10.$

Figure 18: $n = 10, \lambda = -3/4, m = 11$.



Figure 19: $n = 10, \lambda = -3/4, m = 35$. **Example 5.5.** Let $n = 10, k = 58, \sigma = 2$. Choose $\lambda = -1/4$ and $a = \frac{4(2+\lambda)}{3(3+2\lambda)} = \frac{14}{15}$. In Figures 21 through 26 the *y*-coordinates of the plotted points are the zeros of D_m^{λ} and C_m^{λ} for several integer values of *m* between 8 and 68.



Figure 23: $n = 10, \lambda = -1/4, m = 10.$ Figure 24: $n = 10, \lambda = -1/4, m = 11.$



Figure 25: $n = 10, \lambda = -1/4, m = 34.$

Figure 26: $n = 10, \lambda = -1/4, m = 67.$

Remark 5.2. As *m* increases, the zeros of $D_m^{\lambda}(x)$ and $C_m^{\lambda}(x)$ appear to be asymptotically equal for $\lambda = -1/4$. This is not unexpected since $\lambda = -1/4$ lies in the orthogonal range $\lambda > -1/2$ for ultraspherical polynomials. Note that the interval of orthogonality is (-a, a), where a < 1.

Example 5.6. Let n = 5, k = 18, $\sigma = 2$. In Figures 27 through 34, the *y*-coordinates of the plotted points are the zeros of D_m^{λ} and C_m^{λ} for a selection of values of $\lambda \in (-\frac{3}{2}, +\infty)$ with $\lambda \neq -1, 0, (2k-1)/2, k = 0, 1, 2, ...$ where m = 23 is fixed. We choose $a = \frac{4(2+\lambda)}{3(3+2\lambda)}$ if $\lambda < -1/2$ and a = 1 if $\lambda > -1/2$; the zeros of D_m^{λ} and C_m^{λ} are contained in (-a, a).

For $-3/2 < \lambda < -1$, the curves to which the zeros of $D_m^{\lambda}(x)$ and $C_m^{\lambda}(x)$ can be fitted are substantially different for some values of m. As λ approaches -1/2 from the left, the two curves are very similar, and, as $\lambda >$ increases further, the curves are almost identical.



Figure 27: $n = 5, m = 23, \lambda = -11/8, a = 10/3.$

Figure 28: $n = 5, m = 23, \lambda = -9/8, a = 14/9.$

20



Figure 29: $n = 5, m = 23, \lambda = -7/8, a = 6/5.$



Figure 30: $n = 5, m = 23, \lambda = -5/8, a = 22/21.$



Figure 33: $n = 5, m = 23, \lambda = 3/8, a = 1$.

Figure 34: $n = 5, m = 23, \lambda = 5/8, a = 1.$

6 Acknowledgements

Kathy Driver would like to express her thanks to the Mathematics Department, University of Colorado, Colorado Springs, for its hospitality during her visit in Spring 2018, during which the work on this paper began.

7 Bibliography

References

- Beardon, A., Driver, K., Jordaan, K. Zeros of polynomials embedded in an orthogonal sequence, Numer. Algor. 48(3) (2011) 399–403.
- [2] Brezinski, C., Driver, K. A., and Redivo-Zaglia, M. Quasi-orthogonality with applications to some families of classical orthogonal polynomials, Applied Numerical Mathematics, 48 (2004) 157–168.
- Brezinski, C., Driver, K. A., and Redivo-Zaglia, M. Zeros of quadratic quasi-orthogonal order 2 polynomials, Applied Numerical Mathematics, 139 (2019) 143–145.
- [4] Chihara, T.S. On quasi-orthogonal polynomials, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1957) 765–767.
- [5] Driver, K., Duren, P. Zeros of ultraspherical polynomials and the Hilbert-Klein formulas, J. Comp. Appl. Math. 135 (2001) 293–301.
- [6] Driver, K., Muldoon, M. E. Zeros of quasi-orthogonal ultraspherical polynomials, Indag. Math. 27(4) (2016) 930–944.
- [7] Driver, K., Muldoon, M. E. Bounds for extreme zeros of quasi-orthogonal ultraspherical polynomials, J. Class. Anal. 9 (2016) 69–78.
- [8] Koekoek, R., Lesky, P. A., Swarttouw, R. F., *Hypergeometric Orthogonal Polynomials and their q-Analogues*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics (2010).
- [9] Szegő, G. Orthogonal Polynomials, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, Vol 23, 4th ed. (1975).
- [10] Wendroff, B. On Orthogonal Polynomials, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 12 (1961) 554–555.