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#### Abstract

Spingarn's method of partial inverses has found many applications in nonlinear analysis and in optimization. We show that it can be employed to solve composite monotone inclusions in duality, thus opening a new range of applications for the partial inverse formalism. The versatility of the resulting primal-dual splitting algorithm is illustrated through applications to structured monotone inclusions and optimization.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a real Hilbert space, let $A: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{H}}$ be a set-valued operator, let gra $A=$ $\{(x, u) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \mid u \in A x\}$ denote the graph of $A$, let $V$ be a closed vector subspace of $\mathcal{H}$, and let $P_{V}$ and $P_{V^{\perp}}$ denote respectively the projectors onto $V$ and onto its orthogonal complement. The partial inverse of $A$ with respect to $V$ is defined through

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{gra} A_{V}=\left\{\left(P_{V} x+P_{V^{\perp}} u, P_{V} u+P_{V^{\perp}} x\right) \mid(x, u) \in \operatorname{gra} A\right\} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This operator, which was introduced by Spingarn in [20], can be regarded as an intermediate object between $A$ and $A^{-1}$. A key result of [20] is that, if $A$ is maximally monotone, problems of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { find } x \in V \text { and } u \in V^{\perp} \text { such that } u \in A x \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be solved by applying the proximal point algorithm algorithm [19] to the partial inverse $A_{V}$. The resulting algorithm, known as the method of partial inverses, has been applied to various problems in nonlinear analysis and optimization; see, e.g., [3, 6, 8, 10, 12, 13, 14, 16, 20, 21, 22]. The goal of the present paper is to propose a new range of applications of the method of partial inverses by showing that it can be applied to solving the following type of monotone inclusions in duality.

Problem 1.1 Let $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ be real Hilbert spaces, let $A: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{H}}$ and $B: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{G}}$ be maximally monotone operators, and let $L: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a bounded linear operator. Solve the primal inclusion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { find } x \in \mathcal{H} \text { such that } 0 \in A x+L^{*} B L x \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

together with the dual inclusion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { find } v \in \mathcal{G} \text { such that } 0 \in-L A^{-1}\left(-L^{*} v\right)+B^{-1} v \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The operator duality described in (1.3)-(1.4) is an extension of the classical Fenchel-Rockafellar duality setting for functions [18] which has been studied in particular in [1, 5, 11, 15, 17]. Our main result shows that, through a suitable reformulation, Problem 1.1 can be reduced to a problem of the form (1.2) and that the method of partial inverses applied to the latter leads to a splitting algorithm in which the operators $A, B$, and $L$ are used separately.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we revisit the method of partial inverses and propose new convergence results. Our method of partial inverses for solving Problem 1.1 is presented in Section 3 together with applications. An alternative implementation of this method is proposed in Section4, where further applications are provided. Section 5 contains concluding remarks.

Notation. The scalar product of a real Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is denoted by $\langle\cdot \mid \cdot\rangle$ and the associated norm by $\|\cdot\| ; \quad$ and $\rightarrow$ denote, respectively, weak and strong convergence, and Id is the identity operator. We denote by $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{G})$ the class of bounded linear operators from $\mathcal{H}$ to a real Hilbert space $\mathcal{G}$. Let $A: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{H}}$. The inverse $A^{-1}$ of $A$ is defined via gra $A^{-1}=\{(u, x) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \mid(x, u) \in \operatorname{gra} A\}, J_{A}=$ $(\operatorname{Id}+A)^{-1}$ is the resolvent of $A, \operatorname{ran} A=\bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{H}} A x$ is the range of $A$, and zer $A=\{x \in \mathcal{H} \mid 0 \in A x\}$ is the set of zeros of $A$. We denote by $\Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{H})$ the class of lower semicontinuous convex functions $f: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow]-\infty,+\infty]$ such that $\operatorname{dom} f=\{x \in \mathcal{H} \mid f(x)<+\infty\} \neq \varnothing$. Let $f \in \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{H})$. The conjugate of $f$ is the function $f^{*} \in \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{H})$ defined by $f^{*}: u \mapsto \sup _{x \in \mathcal{H}}(\langle x \mid u\rangle-f(x))$. For every $x \in \mathcal{H}, f+\|x-\cdot\|^{2} / 2$ possesses a unique minimizer, which is denoted by prox ${ }_{f} x$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{prox}_{f}=J_{\partial f}, \quad \text { where } \quad \partial f: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{H}}: x \mapsto\{u \in \mathcal{H} \mid(\forall y \in \mathcal{H})\langle y-x \mid u\rangle+f(x) \leqslant f(y)\} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the subdifferential of $f$. See [2] for background on monotone operators and convex analysis.

## 2 A method of partial inverses

Throughout this section, $\mathcal{K}$ denotes a real Hilbert space. We establish the convergence of a relaxed, error-tolerant method of partial inverses. First, we review some results about partial inverses and the proximal point algorithm.

Lemma 2.1 [20, Section 2] Let $\boldsymbol{A}: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{K}}$ be maximally monotone, let $\boldsymbol{V}$ be a closed vector subspace of $\mathcal{K}$, and let $\boldsymbol{z} \in \mathcal{K}$. Then $\boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{V}}$ is maximally monotone and $\boldsymbol{z} \in \operatorname{zer} \boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{V}} \Leftrightarrow\left(P_{\boldsymbol{V}} \boldsymbol{z}, P_{\boldsymbol{V}^{\perp}} \boldsymbol{z}\right) \in \operatorname{gra} \boldsymbol{A}$.

Lemma 2.2 Let $\boldsymbol{A}: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{K}}$ be maximally monotone, let $\boldsymbol{V}$ be a closed vector subspace of $\mathcal{K}$, let $\boldsymbol{z} \in \mathcal{K}$, and let $\boldsymbol{p} \in \mathcal{K}$. Then $\boldsymbol{p}=J_{\boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{V}}} \boldsymbol{z} \Leftrightarrow P_{\boldsymbol{V}} \boldsymbol{p}+P_{\boldsymbol{V}^{\perp}}(\boldsymbol{z}-\boldsymbol{p})=J_{\boldsymbol{A}} \boldsymbol{z}$.

Proof. This result, which appears implicitly in [20, Section 4], follows from the equivalences

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{\boldsymbol{V}} \boldsymbol{p}+P_{\boldsymbol{V}^{\perp}}(\boldsymbol{z}-\boldsymbol{p})=J_{\boldsymbol{A}} \boldsymbol{z} & \Leftrightarrow\left(P_{\boldsymbol{V}} \boldsymbol{p}+P_{\boldsymbol{V}^{\perp}}(\boldsymbol{z}-\boldsymbol{p}), \boldsymbol{z}-P_{\boldsymbol{V}} \boldsymbol{p}-P_{\boldsymbol{V}^{\perp}}(\boldsymbol{z}-\boldsymbol{p})\right) \in \operatorname{gra} \boldsymbol{A} \\
& \Leftrightarrow\left(P_{\boldsymbol{V}} \boldsymbol{p}+P_{\boldsymbol{V}^{\perp}}(\boldsymbol{z}-\boldsymbol{p}), P_{\boldsymbol{V}}(\boldsymbol{z}-\boldsymbol{p})+P_{\boldsymbol{V}^{\perp}} \boldsymbol{p}\right) \in \operatorname{gra} \boldsymbol{A} \\
& \Leftrightarrow(\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{z}-\boldsymbol{p}) \in \operatorname{gra} \boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{V}} \\
& \Leftrightarrow \boldsymbol{p}=J_{\boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{V}}} \boldsymbol{z}, \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used (1.1).
Lemma 2.3 [8, Remark 2.2(vi)] Let $\boldsymbol{B}: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{K}}$ be a maximally monotone operator such that zer $\boldsymbol{B} \neq$ $\varnothing$, let $\boldsymbol{z}_{0} \in \mathcal{K}$, let $\left(\boldsymbol{c}_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{K}$, and let $\left(\lambda_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $] 0,2[$. Suppose that $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_{n}\left(2-\lambda_{n}\right)=+\infty$ and $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_{n}\left\|c_{n}\right\|<+\infty$, and set

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad \boldsymbol{z}_{n+1}=\boldsymbol{z}_{n}+\lambda_{n}\left(J_{\boldsymbol{B}} \boldsymbol{z}_{n}+\boldsymbol{c}_{n}-\boldsymbol{z}_{n}\right) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $J_{\boldsymbol{B}} \boldsymbol{z}_{n}-\boldsymbol{z}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$ and $\left(\boldsymbol{z}_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly to a point in zer $\boldsymbol{B}$.
The next theorem analyzes a method of partial inverses and extends the results of [10] and [20].
Theorem 2.4 Let $\boldsymbol{A}: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{K}}$ be a maximally monotone operator, let $\boldsymbol{V}$ be a closed vector subspace of $\mathcal{K}$, let $\left(\lambda_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $] 0,2\left[\right.$, and let $\left(e_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{K}$ such that $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_{n}\left(2-\lambda_{n}\right)=+\infty$ and $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_{n}\left\|e_{n}\right\|<+\infty$. Suppose that the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { find } \boldsymbol{x} \in \boldsymbol{V} \text { and } \boldsymbol{u} \in \boldsymbol{V}^{\perp} \text { such that } \boldsymbol{u} \in \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

has at least one solution, let $\boldsymbol{x}_{0} \in \boldsymbol{V}$, let $\boldsymbol{u}_{0} \in \boldsymbol{V}^{\perp}$, and set

$$
(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad\left[\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{p}_{n}=J_{\boldsymbol{A}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{n}+\boldsymbol{u}_{n}\right)+\boldsymbol{e}_{n}  \tag{2.4}\\
\boldsymbol{r}_{n}=\boldsymbol{x}_{n}+\boldsymbol{u}_{n}-\boldsymbol{p}_{n} \\
\boldsymbol{x}_{n+1}=\boldsymbol{x}_{n}-\lambda_{n} P_{\boldsymbol{V}} \boldsymbol{r}_{n} \\
\boldsymbol{u}_{n+1}=\boldsymbol{u}_{n}-\lambda_{n} P_{\boldsymbol{V}^{\perp}} \boldsymbol{p}_{n} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then the following hold:
(i) $P_{\boldsymbol{V}}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{n}-\boldsymbol{e}_{n}\right)-\boldsymbol{x}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$ and $P_{\boldsymbol{V}^{\perp}}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{n}+\boldsymbol{e}_{n}\right)-\boldsymbol{u}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$.
(ii) There exists a solution $(\overline{\boldsymbol{x}}, \overline{\boldsymbol{u}})$ to (2.3) such that $\boldsymbol{x}_{n} \rightharpoonup \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}$ and $\boldsymbol{u}_{n} \rightharpoonup \overline{\boldsymbol{u}}$.

Proof. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad \boldsymbol{z}_{n}=\boldsymbol{x}_{n}+\boldsymbol{u}_{n} \quad \text { and } \quad \boldsymbol{c}_{n}=P_{\boldsymbol{V}} \boldsymbol{e}_{n}-P_{\boldsymbol{V}^{\perp}} \boldsymbol{e}_{n} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_{n}\left\|\boldsymbol{c}_{n}\right\| \leqslant \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_{n}\left(\left\|P_{\boldsymbol{V}} \boldsymbol{e}_{n}\right\|+\left\|P_{\boldsymbol{V}^{\perp}} \boldsymbol{e}_{n}\right\|\right) \leqslant 2 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_{n}\left\|\boldsymbol{e}_{n}\right\|<+\infty$. Furthermore, since $\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ lies in $\boldsymbol{V}$ and $\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ lies in $\boldsymbol{V}^{\perp}$, (2.4) can be rewritten as

$$
(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad\left[\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{p}_{n}=J_{\boldsymbol{A}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{n}+\boldsymbol{u}_{n}\right)+\boldsymbol{e}_{n}  \tag{2.6}\\
\boldsymbol{r}_{n}=\boldsymbol{x}_{n}+\boldsymbol{u}_{n}-\boldsymbol{p}_{n} \\
\boldsymbol{x}_{n+1}=\boldsymbol{x}_{n}+\lambda_{n}\left(P_{\boldsymbol{V}} \boldsymbol{p}_{n}-\boldsymbol{x}_{n}\right) \\
\boldsymbol{u}_{n+1}=\boldsymbol{u}_{n}+\lambda_{n}\left(P_{\boldsymbol{V}^{\perp}} \boldsymbol{r}_{n}-\boldsymbol{u}_{n}\right),
\end{array}\right.
$$

which yields

$$
\begin{align*}
(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) & P_{\boldsymbol{V}}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{z}_{n+1}-\boldsymbol{z}_{n}}{\lambda_{n}}+\boldsymbol{z}_{n}-\boldsymbol{c}_{n}\right)+P_{\boldsymbol{V}^{\perp}}\left(\boldsymbol{z}_{n}-\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{z}_{n+1}-\boldsymbol{z}_{n}}{\lambda_{n}}+\boldsymbol{z}_{n}-\boldsymbol{c}_{n}\right)\right) \\
& =P_{\boldsymbol{V}}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{z}_{n+1}-\boldsymbol{z}_{n}}{\lambda_{n}}+\boldsymbol{z}_{n}-\boldsymbol{c}_{n}\right)+P_{\boldsymbol{V}^{\perp}}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{z}_{n}-\boldsymbol{z}_{n+1}}{\lambda_{n}}+\boldsymbol{c}_{n}\right) \\
& =P_{\boldsymbol{V}}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{x}_{n+1}-\boldsymbol{x}_{n}}{\lambda_{n}}+\boldsymbol{x}_{n}\right)+P_{\boldsymbol{V}^{\perp}}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{u}_{n}-\boldsymbol{u}_{n+1}}{\lambda_{n}}\right)-\boldsymbol{e}_{n} \\
& =P_{\boldsymbol{V}} \boldsymbol{p}_{n}+P_{\boldsymbol{V}^{\perp}}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{n}-\boldsymbol{r}_{n}\right)-\boldsymbol{e}_{n} \\
& =P_{\boldsymbol{V}} \boldsymbol{p}_{n}+P_{\boldsymbol{V}^{\perp}}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{n}-\boldsymbol{x}_{n}\right)-\boldsymbol{e}_{n} \\
& =\boldsymbol{p}_{n}-\boldsymbol{e}_{n} \\
& =J_{\boldsymbol{A}} \boldsymbol{z}_{n} . \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, it follows from (2.5), (2.6), and Lemma 2.2 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad \boldsymbol{z}_{n+1}=\boldsymbol{z}_{n}+\lambda_{n}\left(J_{\boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{V}}} \boldsymbol{z}_{n}+\boldsymbol{c}_{n}-\boldsymbol{z}_{n}\right) . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Altogether, we derive from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{V}}} \boldsymbol{z}_{n}-\boldsymbol{z}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{0} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that there exists $\boldsymbol{z} \in \operatorname{zer} \boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{V}}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{n} \rightharpoonup z . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

(i): In view of (2.4), (2.5), Lemma 2.2, and (2.9), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{x}_{n}-P_{\boldsymbol{V}}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{n}-\boldsymbol{e}_{n}\right)=\boldsymbol{x}_{n}-P_{\boldsymbol{V}} J_{\boldsymbol{A}} \boldsymbol{z}_{n}=\boldsymbol{x}_{n}-P_{\boldsymbol{V}} J_{\boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{V}}} \boldsymbol{z}_{n}=P_{\boldsymbol{V}}\left(\boldsymbol{z}_{n}-J_{\boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{V}}} \boldsymbol{z}_{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{0} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{u}_{n}-P_{\boldsymbol{V}^{\perp}}\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{n}+\boldsymbol{e}_{n}\right)=P_{\boldsymbol{V}^{\perp}} \boldsymbol{z}_{n}-P_{\boldsymbol{V}^{\perp}}\left(\boldsymbol{z}_{n}-J_{\boldsymbol{A}} \boldsymbol{z}_{n}\right)=P_{\boldsymbol{V}^{\perp}} J_{\boldsymbol{A}} \boldsymbol{z}_{n}=P_{\boldsymbol{V}^{\perp}}\left(\boldsymbol{z}_{n}-J_{\boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{V}}} \boldsymbol{z}_{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{0} . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii): Since $\boldsymbol{z} \in \operatorname{zer}\left(\boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{V}}\right)$, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that $(\overline{\boldsymbol{x}}, \overline{\boldsymbol{u}})=\left(P_{\boldsymbol{V}} \boldsymbol{z}, P_{\boldsymbol{V}^{\perp}} \boldsymbol{z}\right)$ solves (2.3). Furthermore, using (2.5), (2.10), and the weak continuity of $P_{\boldsymbol{V}}$ and $P_{\boldsymbol{V}^{\perp}}$, we get $\boldsymbol{x}_{n}=P_{\boldsymbol{V}} \boldsymbol{z}_{n} \rightharpoonup$ $P_{\boldsymbol{V}} \boldsymbol{z}=\overline{\boldsymbol{x}}$ and $\boldsymbol{u}_{n}=P_{\boldsymbol{V}^{\perp} \boldsymbol{z}_{n}} \rightharpoonup P_{\boldsymbol{V}^{\perp}} \boldsymbol{z}=\overline{\boldsymbol{u}}$.

Remark 2.5 Theorem 2.4[(ii)] was obtained in [10, Section 5] under the additional assumptions that $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|e_{n}\right\|<+\infty, \inf _{n \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_{n}>0$, and $\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_{n}<2$; the original weak convergence result of [20] corresponds to the case when $(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) \boldsymbol{e}_{n}=\mathbf{0}$ and $\lambda_{n}=1$. As noted in [8, Remark 2.2(iii)], our assumptions do not require that $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|e_{n}\right\|<+\infty$ and they even allow for situations in which $\left(e_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ does not converge weakly to 0 .

Remark 2.6 It follows from Lemma 2.1 that any algorithm that constructs a zero of $\boldsymbol{A}_{V}$ can be used to solve (2.3). We have chosen to employ the proximal point algorithm of Lemma 2.3 because it features a flexible error model and it allows for under- and over-relaxations which can prove very useful in improving the convergence pattern of the algorithm. In addition, (2.8) leads to the simple implementation (2.4) in terms of the resolvent $J_{\boldsymbol{A}}$. An alternative proximal point method is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad \boldsymbol{z}_{n+1}=\boldsymbol{z}_{n}+\lambda_{n}\left(J_{\gamma_{n}} \boldsymbol{A}_{V} \boldsymbol{z}_{n}+\boldsymbol{c}_{n}-\boldsymbol{z}_{n}\right) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(\gamma_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ lies $] 0,+\infty\left[\right.$ (weak convergence conditions under various hypotheses on $\left(\lambda_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\left(\gamma_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, and $\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ exist; see for instance [7, Corollary 4.5] and [10, Theorem 3]). However, due to the presence of the parameters $\left(\gamma_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, (2.13) results in an algorithm which is much less straightforward to execute than (2.4). This issue is also discussed in [10, 14, 20].

## 3 Primal-dual composite method of partial inverses

The following technical facts will be needed subsequently.
Lemma 3.1 Let $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ be real Hilbert spaces, and let $L \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{G})$. Set $\mathcal{K}=\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{G}$ and $\boldsymbol{V}=$ $\{(x, y) \in \mathcal{K} \mid L x=y\}$. Then, for every $(x, y) \in \mathcal{K}$, the following hold:
(i) $P_{\boldsymbol{V}}(x, y)=\left(x-L^{*}\left(\operatorname{Id}+L L^{*}\right)^{-1}(L x-y), y+\left(\operatorname{Id}+L L^{*}\right)^{-1}(L x-y)\right)$.
(ii) $P_{\boldsymbol{V}}(x, y)=\left(\left(\operatorname{Id}+L^{*} L\right)^{-1}\left(x+L^{*} y\right), L\left(\operatorname{Id}+L^{*} L\right)^{-1}\left(x+L^{*} y\right)\right)$.
(iii) $P_{V^{\perp}}(x, y)=\left(L^{*}\left(\operatorname{Id}+L L^{*}\right)^{-1}(L x-y),-\left(\operatorname{Id}+L L^{*}\right)^{-1}(L x-y)\right)$.
(iv) $P_{\boldsymbol{V}^{\perp}}(x, y)=\left(x-\left(\operatorname{Id}+L^{*} L\right)^{-1}\left(x+L^{*} y\right), y-L\left(\operatorname{Id}+L^{*} L\right)^{-1}\left(x+L^{*} y\right)\right)$.

Proof. Set $\boldsymbol{T}: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}:(x, y) \mapsto L x-y$. Then $\boldsymbol{T} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{G}), \boldsymbol{T}^{*}: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}: v \mapsto\left(L^{*} v,-v\right), \boldsymbol{T}^{*}=$ (Id $+L L^{*}$ ) is invertible, and $\boldsymbol{V}=\operatorname{ker} \boldsymbol{T}$ is a closed vector subspace of $\mathcal{K}$. Let us fix $(x, y) \in \mathcal{K}$.
(i); Since $\boldsymbol{V}=\operatorname{ker} \boldsymbol{T}$, it follows from [2, Example 28.14(iii)] that

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{\boldsymbol{V}}(x, y) & =(x, y)-\boldsymbol{T}^{*}\left(\boldsymbol{T} \boldsymbol{T}^{*}\right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{T}(x, y) \\
& =\left(x-L^{*}\left(\operatorname{Id}+L L^{*}\right)^{-1}(L x-y), y+\left(\operatorname{Id}+L L^{*}\right)^{-1}(L x-y)\right) . \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\operatorname{Id}+L^{*} L\right)\left(x-L^{*}\left(\operatorname{Id}+L L^{*}\right)^{-1}(L x-y)-\left(\operatorname{Id}+L^{*} L\right)^{-1}\left(x+L^{*} y\right)\right) \\
& \quad=x+L^{*} L x-L^{*}\left(\operatorname{Id}+L L^{*}\right)^{-1}(L x-y)-L^{*} L L^{*}\left(\operatorname{Id}+L L^{*}\right)^{-1}(L x-y)-x-L^{*} y \\
& \quad=L^{*}(L x-y)-L^{*}\left(\operatorname{Id}+L L^{*}\right)^{-1}(L x-y)-L^{*} L L^{*}\left(\operatorname{Id}+L L^{*}\right)^{-1}(L x-y) \\
& \quad=L^{*}\left(\operatorname{Id}+L L^{*}-\operatorname{Id}-L L^{*}\right)\left(\operatorname{Id}+L L^{*}\right)^{-1}(L x-y) \\
& \quad=0 \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, $x-L^{*}\left(\operatorname{Id}+L L^{*}\right)^{-1}(L x-y)=\left(\operatorname{Id}+L^{*} L\right)^{-1}\left(x+L^{*} y\right)$. Likewise,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\operatorname{Id}+L L^{*}\right)\left(y+\left(\operatorname{Id}+L L^{*}\right)^{-1}(L x-y)-L\left(\operatorname{Id}+L^{*} L\right)^{-1}\left(x+L^{*} y\right)\right) \\
& \quad=y+L L^{*} y+L x-y-L\left(\operatorname{Id}+L^{*} L\right)^{-1}\left(x+L^{*} y\right)-L L^{*} L\left(\operatorname{Id}+L^{*} L\right)^{-1}\left(x+L^{*} y\right) \\
& \quad=L\left(x+L^{*} y\right)-L\left(\operatorname{Id}+L^{*} L\right)^{-1}\left(x+L^{*} y\right)-L L^{*} L\left(\operatorname{Id}+L^{*} L\right)^{-1}\left(x+L^{*} y\right) \\
& \quad=\left(L\left(\operatorname{Id}+L^{*} L\right)-L-L L^{*} L\right)\left(\operatorname{Id}+L^{*} L\right)^{-1}\left(x+L^{*} y\right) \\
& \quad=0 \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

and hence $y+\left(\operatorname{Id}+L L^{*}\right)^{-1}(L x-y)=L\left(\operatorname{Id}+L^{*} L\right)^{-1}\left(x+L^{*} y\right)$.
(i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): $P_{\boldsymbol{V}^{\perp}}(x, y)=(x, y)-P_{\boldsymbol{V}}(x, y)=\left(L^{*}\left(\operatorname{Id}+L L^{*}\right)^{-1}(L x-y),-\left(\operatorname{Id}+L L^{*}\right)^{-1}(L x-y)\right)$.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv): $P_{\boldsymbol{V}^{\perp}}(x, y)=(x, y)-P_{\boldsymbol{V}}(x, y)=\left(x-\left(\operatorname{Id}+L^{*} L\right)^{-1}\left(x+L^{*} y\right), y-L\left(\operatorname{Id}+L^{*} L\right)^{-1}\left(x+L^{*} y\right)\right)$.

Our main algorithm is introduced and analyzed in the next theorem. It consists of applying (2.4) to the operator $\boldsymbol{A}:(x, y) \mapsto A x \times B y$ and the subspace $\boldsymbol{V}=\{(x, y) \in \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{G} \mid L x=y\}$.

Theorem 3.2 In Problem [1.1] set $Q=\left(\operatorname{Id}+L^{*} L\right)^{-1}$ and assume that $\operatorname{zer}\left(A+L^{*} B L\right) \neq \varnothing$. Let $\left(\lambda_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $] 0,2\left[\right.$, let $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{H}$, and let $\left(b_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{G}$ such that $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_{n}\left(2-\lambda_{n}\right)=+\infty$ and $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_{n} \sqrt{\left\|a_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|b_{n}\right\|^{2}}<+\infty$. Let $x_{0} \in \mathcal{H}$ and $v_{0} \in \mathcal{G}$, and set $y_{0}=L x_{0}, u_{0}=-L^{*} v_{0}$, and

$$
\left\lvert\, \begin{align*}
& p_{n}=J_{A}\left(x_{n}+u_{n}\right)+a_{n}  \tag{3.4}\\
& q_{n}=J_{B}\left(y_{n}+v_{n}\right)+b_{n} \\
& r_{n}=x_{n}+u_{n}-p_{n} \\
& s_{n}=y_{n}+v_{n}-q_{n} \\
& t_{n}=Q\left(r_{n}+L^{*} s_{n}\right) \\
& w_{n}=Q\left(p_{n}+L^{*} q_{n}\right) \\
& x_{n+1}=x_{n}-\lambda_{n} t_{n} \\
& y_{n+1}=y_{n}-\lambda_{n} L t_{n} \\
& u_{n+1}=u_{n}+\lambda_{n}\left(w_{n}-p_{n}\right) \\
& v_{n+1}=v_{n}+\lambda_{n}\left(L w_{n}-q_{n}\right) .
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Then the following hold:
(i) $x_{n}-w_{n}+Q\left(a_{n}+L^{*} b_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ and $y_{n}-L w_{n}+L Q\left(a_{n}+L^{*} b_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$.
(ii) $u_{n}-r_{n}+t_{n}-a_{n}+Q\left(a_{n}+L^{*} b_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ and $v_{n}-s_{n}+L t_{n}-b_{n}+L Q\left(a_{n}+L^{*} b_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$.

Moreover, there exists a solution $\bar{x}$ to (1.3) and a solution $\bar{v}$ to (1.4) such that the following hold:
(iii) $-L^{*} \bar{v} \in A \bar{x}$ and $\bar{v} \in B L \bar{x}$.
(iv) $x_{n} \rightharpoonup \bar{x}$ and $v_{n} \rightharpoonup \bar{v}$.

Proof. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K}=\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{G} \quad \text { and } \quad \boldsymbol{V}=\{(x, y) \in \mathcal{K} \mid L x=y\} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{V}^{\perp}=\left\{(u, v) \in \mathcal{K} \mid u=-L^{*} v\right\} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{Z}=\left\{(x, v) \in \mathcal{K} \mid-L^{*} v \in A x \text { and } v \in B L x\right\} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{A}: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{K}}:(x, y) \mapsto A x \times B y . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also introduce the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{S}=\left\{(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{u}) \in \boldsymbol{V} \times \boldsymbol{V}^{\perp} \mid \boldsymbol{u} \in \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x}\right\} . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{S}=\left\{\left((x, L x),\left(-L^{*} v, v\right)\right) \in \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K} \mid(x, v) \in \boldsymbol{Z}\right\} . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus (see [5, 15] for the first two equivalences),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{zer}\left(A+L^{*} B L\right) \neq \varnothing \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{zer}\left(-L A^{-1}\left(-L^{*}\right)+B^{-1}\right) \neq \varnothing \Leftrightarrow \boldsymbol{Z} \neq \varnothing \Leftrightarrow \boldsymbol{S} \neq \varnothing \text {. } \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now define $(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) \boldsymbol{e}_{n}=\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right), \boldsymbol{p}_{n}=\left(p_{n}, q_{n}\right), \boldsymbol{r}_{n}=\left(r_{n}, s_{n}\right), \boldsymbol{u}_{n}=\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)$, and $\boldsymbol{x}_{n}=\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$. Then $\boldsymbol{x}_{0} \in \boldsymbol{V}$ and $\boldsymbol{u}_{0} \in \boldsymbol{V}^{\perp}$. Moreover, by [2, Proposition 23.16], $\boldsymbol{A}$ is maximally monotone and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad J_{\boldsymbol{A}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{n}+\boldsymbol{u}_{n}\right)=\left(J_{A}\left(x_{n}+u_{n}\right), J_{B}\left(y_{n}+v_{n}\right)\right) . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, it follows from (3.5) and Lemma 3.1][(ii) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad P_{V} \boldsymbol{r}_{n}=\left(Q\left(r_{n}+L^{*} s_{n}\right), L Q\left(r_{n}+L^{*} s_{n}\right)\right), \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from Lemma 3.1](iv) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad P_{\boldsymbol{V}^{\perp}} \boldsymbol{p}_{n}=\left(p_{n}-Q\left(p_{n}+L^{*} q_{n}\right), q_{n}-L Q\left(p_{n}+L^{*} q_{n}\right)\right) . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we derive from (3.12), (3.13), and (3.14) that (2.4) yields (3.4). Altogether, since $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_{n}\left\|\boldsymbol{e}_{n}\right\|=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_{n} \sqrt{\left\|a_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|b_{n}\right\|^{2}}<+\infty$, Theorem [2.4](i) and Lemma 3.1 imply that (i) and (ii) are satisfied, and Theorem 2.4(iii) implies that there exists $(\bar{x}, \bar{u}) \in S$ such that $\boldsymbol{x}_{n} \rightarrow \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}$ and $\boldsymbol{u}_{n} \rightharpoonup \overline{\boldsymbol{u}}$. Therefore, by (3.10), there exists $(\bar{x}, \bar{v}) \in Z$ such that $\left(x_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightarrow(\bar{x}, \bar{v})$. Since $\boldsymbol{Z} \subset\left(\operatorname{zer}\left(A+L^{*} B L\right)\right) \times\left(\operatorname{zer}\left(-L A^{-1}\left(-L^{*}\right)+B^{-1}\right)\right)$ [5] Proposition 2.8(i)], the proof is complete.

Remark 3.3 In the special case when $A=0$ and $L$ has closed range, an algorithm was proposed in [16] to solve the primal problem (1.3), i.e., to find a point in zer $\left(L^{*} B L\right)$. It employs the method of partial inverses in $\mathcal{G}$ for finding $y \in V$ and $v \in V^{\perp}$ such that $v \in B y$, where $V=\operatorname{ran} L$, and then solves $L x=y$. Each iteration of the resulting algorithm requires the computation of the generalized inverse of $L$, which is numerically demanding.

The following application of Theorem 3.2 concerns multi-operator inclusions.
Problem 3.4 Let $m$ be a strictly positive integer, and let $\mathcal{H}$ and $\left(\mathcal{G}_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}$ be real Hilbert spaces. Let $z \in \mathcal{H}$, let $C: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{H}}$ be maximally monotone, and, for every $i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$, let $B_{i}: \mathcal{G}_{i} \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{G}_{i}}$ be maximally monotone, let $o_{i} \in \mathcal{G}_{i}$, and let $L_{i} \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{G}_{i}\right)$. Solve

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { find } \bar{x} \in \mathcal{H} \text { such that } z \in C \bar{x}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} L_{i}^{*} B_{i}\left(L_{i} \bar{x}-o_{i}\right) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

together with the dual problem
find $\bar{v}_{1} \in \mathcal{G}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{v}_{m} \in \mathcal{G}_{m}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\forall i \in\{1, \ldots, m\})-o_{i} \in-L_{i} C^{-1}\left(z-\sum_{j=1}^{m} L_{j}^{*} \bar{v}_{j}\right)+B_{i}^{-1} \bar{v}_{i} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 3.5 In Problem 3.4 set $Q=\left(\operatorname{Id}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} L_{i}^{*} L_{i}\right)^{-1}$ and assume that $z \in \operatorname{ran}\left(C+\sum_{i=1}^{m} L_{i}^{*} B_{i}\left(L_{i}\right.\right.$. $\left.-o_{i}\right)$. Let $\left(\lambda_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $] 0,2\left[\right.$ such that $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_{n}\left(2-\lambda_{n}\right)=+\infty$, let $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{H}$, and let $x_{0} \in \mathcal{H}$. For every $i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$, let $\left(b_{i, n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{G}_{i}$, let $v_{i, 0} \in \mathcal{G}_{i}$, and set $y_{i, 0}=L_{i} x_{0}$. Suppose that $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_{n} \sqrt{\left\|a_{n}\right\|^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left\|b_{i, n}\right\|^{2}}<+\infty$, and set $u_{0}=-\sum_{i=1}^{m} L_{i}^{*} v_{i, 0}$ and

$$
(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad\left[\begin{array}{l}
p_{n}=J_{C}\left(x_{n}+u_{n}+z\right)+a_{n} \\
r_{n}=x_{n}+u_{n}-p_{n} \\
F o r i=1, \ldots, m \\
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
q_{i, n}=o_{i}+J_{B_{i}}\left(y_{i, n}+v_{i, n}-o_{i}\right)+b_{i, n} \\
s_{i, n}=y_{i, n}+v_{i, n}-q_{i, n}
\end{array}\right. \\
t_{n}=Q\left(r_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} L_{i}^{*} s_{i, n}\right)  \tag{3.17}\\
w_{n}=Q\left(p_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} L_{i}^{*} q_{i, n}\right) \\
x_{n+1}=x_{n}-\lambda_{n} t_{n} \\
u_{n+1}=u_{n}+\lambda_{n}\left(w_{n}-p_{n}\right) \\
F o r i=1, \ldots, m \\
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
y_{i, n+1}=y_{i, n}-\lambda_{n} L_{i} t_{n} \\
v_{i, n+1}=v_{i, n}+\lambda_{n}\left(L_{i} w_{n}-q_{i, n}\right) .
\end{array}\right.
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then there exists a solution $\bar{x}$ to (3.15) and a solution $\left(\bar{v}_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}$ to (3.16) such that the following hold:
(i) $z-\sum_{i=1}^{m} L_{i}^{*} \bar{v}_{i} \in C \bar{x}$ and $(\forall i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}) \bar{v}_{i} \in B_{i}\left(L_{i} \bar{x}-o_{i}\right)$.
(ii) $x_{n} \rightharpoonup \bar{x}$ and $(\forall i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}) v_{i, n} \rightharpoonup \bar{v}_{i}$.

Proof. Set $A: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{H}}: x \mapsto-z+C x, \mathcal{G}=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \mathcal{G}_{i}, L: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}: x \mapsto\left(L_{i} x\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}$, and $B: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow$ $2^{\mathcal{G}}:\left(y_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m} \mapsto \times_{i=1}^{m} B_{i}\left(y_{i}-o_{i}\right)$. Then $L^{*}: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}:\left(y_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m} \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{m} L_{i}^{*} y_{i}$ and Problem 3.4 is therefore an instantiation of Problem 1.1]. Moreover, [2, Propositions 23.15 and 23.16] yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{A}: x \mapsto J_{C}(x+z) \quad \text { and } \quad J_{B}:\left(y_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m} \mapsto\left(o_{i}+J_{B_{i}}\left(y_{i}-o_{i}\right)\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m} . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now set $(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) b_{n}=\left(b_{i, n}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}, q_{n}=\left(q_{i, n}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}, s_{n}=\left(s_{i, n}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}, v_{n}=\left(v_{i, n}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}$, and $y_{n}=\left(y_{i, n}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}$. In this setting, (3.4) coincides with (3.17) and the claims therefore follow from Theorem 3.2|(iii) \& (iv),

The next application addresses a primal-dual structured minimization problem.
Problem 3.6 Let $m$ be a strictly positive integer, and let $\mathcal{H}$ and $\left(\mathcal{G}_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}$ be real Hilbert spaces. Let $z \in \mathcal{H}$, let $f \in \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{H})$, and, for every $i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$, let $g_{i} \in \Gamma_{0}\left(\mathcal{G}_{i}\right), o_{i} \in \mathcal{G}_{i}$, and $L_{i} \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{G}_{i}\right)$. Solve the primal problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{x \in \mathcal{H}}{\operatorname{minimize}} f(x)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} g_{i}\left(L_{i} x-o_{i}\right)-\langle x \mid z\rangle \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

together with the dual problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{v_{1} \in \mathcal{G}_{1}, \ldots, v_{m} \in \mathcal{G}_{m}}{\operatorname{minimize}} f^{*}\left(z-\sum_{i=1}^{m} L_{i}^{*} v_{i}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(g_{i}^{*}\left(v_{i}\right)+\left\langle v_{i} \mid o_{i}\right\rangle\right) . \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 3.7 In Problem [3.6 set $Q=\left(\operatorname{Id}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} L_{i}^{*} L_{i}\right)^{-1}$ and assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z \in \operatorname{ran}\left(\partial f+\sum_{i=1}^{m} L_{i}^{*}\left(\partial g_{i}\right)\left(L_{i} \cdot-o_{i}\right)\right), \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left(\lambda_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $] 0,2\left[\right.$ such that $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_{n}\left(2-\lambda_{n}\right)=+\infty$, let $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{H}$, and let $x_{0} \in \mathcal{H}$. For every $i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$, let $\left(b_{i, n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{G}_{i}$, let $v_{i, 0} \in \mathcal{G}_{i}$, and set $y_{i, 0}=L_{i} x_{0}$. Suppose that $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_{n} \sqrt{\left\|a_{n}\right\|^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left\|b_{i, n}\right\|^{2}}<+\infty$, and set $u_{0}=-\sum_{i=1}^{m} L_{i}^{*} v_{i, 0}$ and

$$
(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad\left[\left.\begin{array}{l}
p_{n}=\operatorname{prox}_{f}\left(x_{n}+u_{n}+z\right)+a_{n}  \tag{3.22}\\
r_{n}=x_{n}+u_{n}-p_{n} \\
\operatorname{For}^{2}=1, \ldots, m \\
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
q_{i, n}=o_{i}+\operatorname{prox}_{g_{i}}\left(y_{i, n}+v_{i, n}-o_{i}\right)+b_{i, n} \\
s_{i, n}=y_{i, n}+v_{i, n}-q_{i, n}
\end{array}\right. \\
t_{n}=Q\left(r_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} L_{i}^{*} s_{i, n}\right) \\
w_{n}=Q\left(p_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} L_{i}^{*} q_{i, n}\right) \\
x_{n+1}=x_{n}-\lambda_{n} t_{n} \\
u_{n+1}=u_{n}+\lambda_{n}\left(w_{n}-p_{n}\right) \\
F o r i=1, \ldots, m
\end{array} \right\rvert\, \begin{array}{l}
y_{i, n+1}=y_{i, n}-\lambda_{n} L_{i} t_{n} \\
v_{i, n+1}=v_{i, n}+\lambda_{n}\left(L_{i} w_{n}-q_{i, n}\right) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then there exists a solution $\bar{x}$ to (3.19) and a solution $\left(\bar{v}_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}$ to (3.20) such that $z-\sum_{i=1}^{m} L_{i}^{*} \bar{v}_{i} \in$ $\partial f(\bar{x}), x_{n} \rightharpoonup \bar{x}$, and $(\forall i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}) v_{i, n} \rightharpoonup \bar{v}_{i} \in \partial g_{i}\left(L_{i} \bar{x}-o_{i}\right)$.

Proof. Set $C=\partial f$ and $\left(\forall i \in\{1, \ldots, m\} B_{i}=\partial g_{i}\right.$. Then, using the same type of argument as in the proof of [9, Theorem 4.2], we derive from (3.21) that Problem 3.4 reduces to Problem 3.6 and that (3.17) reduces to (3.22). Thus, the assertions follow from Corollary 3.5,

## 4 Alternative composite primal-dual method of partial inverses

The partial inverse method (3.4) relies on the implicit assumption that the operator ( $\left.\operatorname{Id}+L^{*} L\right)^{-1}$ is relatively easy to implement. In some instances, it may be advantageous to work with $\left(\operatorname{Id}+L L^{*}\right)^{-1}$ instead. In this section we describe an alternative method tailored to such situations.

Theorem 4.1 In Problem 1.1 set $R=\left(\operatorname{Id}+L L^{*}\right)^{-1}$ and assume that $\operatorname{zer}\left(A+L^{*} B L\right) \neq \varnothing$. Let $\left(\lambda_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $] 0,2\left[\right.$, let $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{H}$, and let $\left(b_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{G}$ such that $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_{n}\left(2-\lambda_{n}\right)=+\infty$ and $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_{n} \sqrt{\left\|a_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|b_{n}\right\|^{2}}<+\infty$. Let $x_{0} \in \mathcal{H}$ and $v_{0} \in \mathcal{G}$, and set $y_{0}=L x_{0}, u_{0}=-L^{*} v_{0}$, and

$$
(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad \left\lvert\, \begin{align*}
& p_{n}=J_{A}\left(x_{n}+u_{n}\right)+a_{n} \\
& q_{n}=J_{B}\left(y_{n}+v_{n}\right)+b_{n} \\
& r_{n}=x_{n}+u_{n}-p_{n} \\
& s_{n}=y_{n}+v_{n}-q_{n} \\
& t_{n}=R\left(L r_{n}-s_{n}\right)  \tag{4.1}\\
& w_{n}=R\left(L p_{n}-q_{n}\right) \\
& x_{n+1}=x_{n}+\lambda_{n}\left(L^{*} t_{n}-r_{n}\right) \\
& y_{n+1}=y_{n}-\lambda_{n}\left(t_{n}+s_{n}\right) \\
& u_{n+1}=u_{n}-\lambda_{n} L^{*} w_{n} \\
& v_{n+1}=v_{n}+\lambda_{n} w_{n}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Then the conclusions of Theorem 3.2 are true.

Proof. The proof is analogous to that of Theorem 3.2 except that we replace (3.13) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad P_{\boldsymbol{V}} \boldsymbol{r}_{n}=\left(r_{n}-L^{*} R\left(L r_{n}-s_{n}\right), s_{n}+R\left(L r_{n}-s_{n}\right)\right), \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (3.14) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad P_{V^{\perp}} \boldsymbol{p}_{n}=\left(L^{*} R\left(L p_{n}-q_{n}\right),-R\left(L p_{n}-q_{n}\right)\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

by invoking Lemma 3.1](i) \& (iii),,
Next, we present an application to a coupled inclusions problem. This problem has essentially the same structure as Problem 3.4, except that primal and dual inclusions are interchanged.

Problem 4.2 Let $m$ be a strictly positive integer, and let $\left(\mathcal{H}_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ be real Hilbert spaces. Let $o \in \mathcal{G}$, let $D: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{G}}$ be maximally monotone, and, for every $i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$, let $z_{i} \in \mathcal{H}_{i}$, let $A_{i}: \mathcal{H}_{i} \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{H}_{i}}$ be maximally monotone, and let $L_{i} \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathcal{H}_{i}, \mathcal{G}\right)$. Solve the primal problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { find } \bar{x}_{1} \in \mathcal{H}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{x}_{m} \in \mathcal{H}_{m} \text { such that }(\forall i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}) z_{i} \in A_{i} \bar{x}_{i}+L_{i}^{*} D\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} L_{j} \bar{x}_{j}-o\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

together with the dual problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { find } \bar{v} \in \mathcal{G} \text { such that }-o \in-\sum_{i=1}^{m} L_{i} A_{i}^{-1}\left(z_{i}-L_{i}^{*} \bar{v}\right)+D^{-1} \bar{v} \text {. } \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 4.3 In Problem [4.2] set $R=\left(\operatorname{Id}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} L_{i} L_{i}^{*}\right)^{-1}$ and assume that (4.4) has at least one solution. Let $\left(\lambda_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $] 0,2\left[\right.$ such that $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_{n}\left(2-\lambda_{n}\right)=+\infty$, let $\left(b_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{G}$, and let $v_{0} \in \mathcal{G}$. For every $i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$, let $\left(a_{i, n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{H}_{i}$, let $x_{i, 0} \in \mathcal{H}_{i}$, and set $u_{i, 0}=-L_{i}^{*} v_{0}$. Suppose that $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_{n} \sqrt{\left\|b_{n}\right\|^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left\|a_{i, n}\right\|^{2}}<+\infty$, and set $y_{0}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} L_{i} x_{i, 0}$ and

$$
(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad \begin{align*}
& \text { For } i=1, \ldots, m \\
& \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
p_{i, n}=J_{A_{i}}\left(x_{i, n}+u_{i, n}+z_{i}\right)+a_{i, n} \\
r_{i, n}=x_{i, n}+u_{i, n}-p_{i, n}
\end{array}\right. \\
& q_{n}=o+J_{D}\left(y_{n}+v_{n}-o\right)+b_{n} \\
& s_{n}=y_{n}+v_{n}-q_{n} \\
& t_{n}=R\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} L_{i} r_{i, n}-s_{n}\right) \\
& w_{n}=R\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} L_{i} p_{i, n}-q_{n}\right)  \tag{4.6}\\
& F o r i=1, \ldots, m \\
& \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
x_{i, n+1}=x_{i, n}+\lambda_{n}\left(L_{i}^{*} t_{n}-r_{i, n}\right) \\
u_{i, n+1}=u_{i, n}-\lambda_{n} L_{i}^{*} w_{n} \\
y_{n+1}=y_{n}-\lambda_{n}\left(t_{n}+s_{n}\right) \\
v_{n+1}=v_{n}+\lambda_{n} w_{n} .
\end{array}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

Then there exists a solution $\left(\bar{x}_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}$ to (4.4) and a solution $\bar{v}$ to (4.5) such that the following hold:
(i) $\bar{v} \in D\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} L_{i} \bar{x}_{i}-o\right)$ and $(\forall i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}) z_{i}-L_{i}^{*} \bar{v} \in A_{i} \bar{x}_{i}$.
(ii) $v_{n} \rightharpoonup \bar{v}$ and $(\forall i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}) x_{i, n} \rightharpoonup \bar{x}_{i}$.

Proof. Set $B: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{G}}: y \mapsto D(y-o), \mathcal{H}=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \mathcal{H}_{i}, L: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}:\left(x_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m} \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{m} L_{i} x_{i}$, and $A: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow$ $2^{\mathcal{H}}:\left(x_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m} \mapsto \times_{i=1}^{m}\left(-z_{i}+A_{i} x_{i}\right)$. Then $L^{*}: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}: y \mapsto\left(L_{i}^{*} y\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}$ and hence Problem4.2 is a special case of Problem 1.1. On the other hand, [2, Propositions 23.15 and 23.16] yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{A}:\left(x_{i}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m} \mapsto\left(J_{A_{i}}\left(x_{i}+z_{i}\right)\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m} \quad \text { and } \quad J_{B}: y \mapsto o+J_{D}(y-o) . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now set $(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) a_{n}=\left(a_{i, n}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}, p_{n}=\left(p_{i, n}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}, r_{n}=\left(r_{i, n}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}, u_{n}=\left(u_{i, n}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}$, and $x_{n}=\left(x_{i, n}\right)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}$. Then (4.1) reduces to (4.6) and we can appeal to Theorem4.1 to conclude.

Remark 4.4 In Problem 4.2, set $D=\partial g$, where $g \in \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{G})$, and $\left(\forall i \in\{1, \ldots, m\} A_{i}=\partial f_{i}\right.$, where $f_{i} \in \Gamma_{0}\left(\mathcal{H}_{i}\right)$ and $z_{i} \in \operatorname{ran}\left(\partial f_{i}+L_{i}^{*}(\partial g)\left(L_{i} \cdot-o\right)\right)$. Then, arguing as in the proof of Corollary 3.7, we derive from Corollary 4.3 an algorithm for solving the primal problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{x_{1} \in \mathcal{H}_{1}, \ldots, x_{m} \in \mathcal{H}_{m}}{\operatorname{minimize}} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(f_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)-\left\langle x_{i} \mid z_{i}\right\rangle\right)+g\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} L_{i} x_{i}-o_{i}\right) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

together with the dual problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{v \in \mathcal{G}}{\operatorname{minimize}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} f_{i}^{*}\left(z_{i}-L_{i}^{*} v\right)+g^{*}(v)+\langle v \mid o\rangle \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

by replacing $J_{A_{i}}$ by prox $f_{f_{i}}$ and $J_{D}$ by prox ${ }_{g}$ in (4.6).

## 5 Concluding remarks

We have shown that the method of partial inverses can be used to solve composite monotone inclusions in duality and have presented a few applications of this new framework. Despite their apparent complexity, all the algorithms developed in this paper are instances of the method of partial inverses, which is itself an instance of the proximal point algorithm. This underlines the fundamental nature of the proximal point algorithm and its far reaching ramifications. Finally, let us note that in [4] the method of partial inverses was coupled to standard splitting methods for the sum of two monotone operators to solve inclusions of the form $0 \in A x+B x+N_{V} x$, where $N_{V}$ is the normal cone operator of the closed vector subspace $V$. Combining this approach to our results should lead to new splitting methods for more general problems involving composite operators, such as those studied in [9].
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