

# Towards Estimating Computer Users' Mood from Interaction Behaviour with Keyboard and Mouse

Iftikhar, KHAN (✉)<sup>1</sup>

Willem-Paul, BRINKMAN (✉)<sup>2</sup>

Robert, HIERONS (✉)<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Engineering & Technology, Mardan Campus, Pakistan

<sup>2</sup> Delft University of Technology, the Netherlands

<sup>3</sup> Brunel University, West London, UK

**Abstract** The purpose of this exploratory research was to study the relationship between the mood of computer users and their use of keyboard and mouse to examine the possibility of creating a generic or individualized mood measure. To examine this, a field study ( $n = 26$ ) and a controlled study ( $n = 16$ ) were conducted. In the field study, interaction data and self-reported mood measurements were collected during normal PC use over several days. In the controlled study, participants worked on a programming task while listening to high or low arousing background music. Besides subjective mood measurement, Galvanic Skin Response data was also collected. Results found no generic relationship between the interaction data and the mood data. However, the results of the studies found significant average correlations between mood measurement and personalized regression models based on keyboard and mouse interaction data. Together the results suggest that individualized mood prediction is possible from interaction behaviour with keyboard and mouse.

**Keywords:** keyboard, mouse, interaction, mood measure, computer users, programming

## 1 Introduction

With the aim of enhancing the interaction with computer systems by considering features such as recognition, interpretation and expression of moods and emotions [8, 39], affective computing has received a considerable amount of research attention in the last decades [38]. A computer that recognizes affects (moods/emotions) is proposed to be more effective and natural [52]. Such computers have the promise of making tasks easier to do, and providing effective support to reduce frustration levels [26]. Similarly such computers might provide encouragement and comfort [26]. This seems desirable as research shows that computer users do get frustrated and moody [29]. For example, Ross & Zhang [56] showed that programmers get frustrated and moody because of deadlines, pressure to produce error free programs and pressure to produce some useful output. Furthermore, their performance on tasks such as debugging has been shown to be affected by their mood [23]. To develop systems that respond appropriately to users' situation, it is therefore important that computers can estimate the users' mood.

The task of measuring the user's mood however is not a trivial one. Several methods have been suggested (see related work section). However, they all have the drawback of requiring some kind of additional, often expensive equipment, or obstruct or interrupt the primary task the user is engaged in [52]. This paper therefore argues for an alternative way of measuring users' mood, by analysis of their keyboard and mouse behaviour. The two main advantages of this approach are: 1) unobtrusiveness, i.e. users do not have to wear special measuring equipment, or are not interrupted to complete a questionnaire; and 2) accessibility, i.e. no need to purchase additional equipment. The two empirical studies presented here show that individualized mood measure based on user interaction is possible, while no support was found for a generic measure. Before presenting these studies, the next section describes related work on measuring computer users' mood.

## 2 Related Work

The task of affect recognition is complex. Various affect recognition methods like self-reports [27], physiological methods [41] and automatic facial recognition [49] are in practice. One of these methods also includes affect recognition and prediction from the individual's behaviour. Affects are known to have an impact on behaviour. As moods last for a longer time, their influence on behaviour might be more prominent than emotions [52]. The impact of moods may either be on informational behaviour or directive behaviour [16]. The informational impact of moods affects judgements, which then will result in behavioural adjustments while a directive impact influences behavioural preferences to fulfil hedonic<sup>1</sup> motives [16]. Moods also affect behaviours like inter-group discrimination [13], helping [33], judgments and evaluative judgments [44], helping tasks that are incompatible with good moods [20], acceptance of certain level of risks [19], decision rules in risk situations [36] and decision making [37].

Although significant amounts of literature confirm the impact of moods on behaviour, there is limited literature on mood measuring techniques from behaviour. One of the exceptions is Johnson et al. [22] who acquired ordered sets of training data from human interactions. These interactions included shaking of hands and body movements that are also called spatial interactions. Various other techniques are in practice to measure moods like automatic facial recognition [49], human movement recognition [15], emotion recognition from speech [21] and action recognition [5]. A psychophysiological measure like electroencephalograms (EEG) or electromyograms (EMG) can also be used to study unconscious functions of human body e.g. affects [41].

There is much research which shows an increase or decrease of various psychophysiological measures like heart rate, respiration rate, finger pulse volume amplitude, eye blink rate and finger temperature under conditions like strain [3]. There is also field, simulation and laboratory research available on the effects of arousal on psychophysiological measures. For example, Chanel et. al., [34] used EEG to measure valence and arousal in emotion recall conditions. Various researches like Boucsein & Thum [7] focused on bio-signals from skin to determine emotional aspects. They found an increase in NS.SCR (non-Specific Skin Conductance Response) frequency while examining emotional strain during prolonged interruption of patent examiners.

Electrodermal activity (EDA) is considered to be the most convenient measure taken from the skin and is measured as SCR or as SRR (Skin resistance response) [3]. Researchers found psychophysiology parameters like increase in heart rate (HR) and respiration rate related to human-computer interaction [17] and computer related data entry work [42]. However skin response is also used widely for studying emotional responses related to computers. For example, Sakurazawa et. al. [41] utilized galvanic skin responses in computer gaming. Lissetti and Nasoz [30] proposed an interface to sense a user's emotional and affective states by using visuals (images, videos), Kinaesthetic (Automatic nervous system signals) and Auditory (speech).

All methods reviewed above need complex software and algorithms or dedicated hardware like video cameras, pressure sensitive devices and other physiological devices [52]. These methods can also be a source of disruptions in user attention. One of the alternatives could be to analyse keyboard and mouse use. This would reduce overheads as they are the most basic devices used with computers. Computer users are familiar with these devices and there is no risk of external device disruptions. These devices are cheap as they are available with almost every computer and implementation of the needed functionality is also not difficult [52].

Very limited literature focuses on the possibility of estimating moods from the users' interactions with keyboard and mouse. Some examples include Mahr et al. [32], who used mouse motions to detect emotions with some significant correlations with arousal. However, they found no correlation between mouse motions with valence. Another example is Zimmerman et al. [52] who used keyboard and mouse and stored keystrokes and mouse movements in a log file to find correlations of these events with affective states. Zimmerman et al. [52]

---

<sup>1</sup> Living and behaving in ways that mean you get as much pleasure out of life as possible

1 proposed experiments on the possibility of mood estimation from keyboard and mouse usage, and in 2010,  
2 Khanna and Sasikumar [24] reported on such a kind of experiment. They found that typing speed decrease when  
3 their participants were in a negative emotional state, and increased when they were in a positive emotional state.  
4 Others like [47] have investigated the possibility of using keyboard usage to improve visual-facial emotion  
5 recognition.

6 The two studies presented in this paper are a continuation of this exploration into the relationship between  
7 mood and keyboard, mouse behaviour. An argument is made that although a generic mouse-keyboard based mood  
8 measure is probably difficult to establish, an individualized mood measure is possible.

9 Russell [60], Bradley & Lang [57], and Mehrabian [58] argue that affective stimuli complexity and meaning  
10 can be described by three basic emotional dimensions: valence, arousal, and dominance. Valence and arousal are  
11 the primary dimensions, as they account for most of the variance in affective reactions [57], and are therefore  
12 important components of any mood measurement including the one presented in this paper.

### 14 **3 Study 1 – Field Study**

#### 16 3.1 Experimental Material

17  
18 In the first study keyboard and mouse events were recorded in log files over several days. In addition, at fixed  
19 intervals self-reported moods of the participants were also obtained and recorded in log files. To do this a  
20 background application was developed to collect this data. This application recorded keystrokes and mouse button  
21 clicks in a log file and it presented a mood rating dialogue box that appeared after every twenty minutes. The  
22 purpose of the mood rating dialogue box was to record participants self-reports of their moods on the valence and  
23 arousal scale called SAM (Self-Assessment Manikin) measurement devised by Lang [28]. SAM represents  
24 valence and arousal along a nine-point scale using graphical characters. Arousal scale ranges from manikin with  
25 excited open eyes (high arousal) to sleepy closed eyes (low arousal). Similarly, the valence scale ranges from  
26 smiling happy figure (high valence) to frowning unhappy figure (low valence).

27 In addition the application provided various other functionalities such as pause logging<sup>2</sup> for 5 and 10 minutes,  
28 pause logging for variable time in minutes, exit logging<sup>3</sup>, and stopping the pop-up<sup>4</sup> dialogue box. Participants  
29 were also able to uninstall the application with all their data deleted, if they wanted to withdraw from the study.

---

<sup>2</sup> It was assured to participants that the application would not record any personal information. To further increase the trust, pause logging for specific time interval functionality was provided

<sup>3</sup> Participants were able to exit logging at any time. The application was able to restart itself at the next computer boot up; Participants were also able to restart application without rebooting the computer.

<sup>4</sup> The appearance of a pop-up dialogue box after a fixed interval could be annoying especially when doing very important and concentration demanding work. This functionality was provided to stop appearance of pop-up dialogues until the participant restart it again.

**Table 1:** Data logged

Data type	Description
Window name	This data was used to identify the application that was in use at specific event time. However special care was taken not to identify the names of the documents that participants were working on. The application was developed to record only specific application names like Microsoft Word, Internet Explorer, Visual Studio etc.
Keyboard or mouse event	This data was used to identify a particular event. An event could either be a mouse clicks or a key press. Mouse click events were identified as “Mouse button”. Key press events were further divided into two categories i.e. of Key Up and of Key Down.
Date and time of event	This was used to record date and time at which event occurred.
Category of event	This was used to store the category of the event that occurred. It stored “Left” or “Right” if a mouse clicked and stored “Short Alphabet”, “Capital Alphabet”, “Numeric key”, “Special Character” etc. if the event was a key press event.

4 Categories of the keystrokes recorded in the log files were: (1) capital alphabets recorded as ‘capital alphabet’, (2)  
5 lower-case alphabets recorded as ‘short alphabet’, (3) numbers recorded as ‘numeric’, and (4) some special  
6 character like /, @ etc. were recorded as ‘special character’. Keystrokes were only recorded in categories to  
7 provide protection to participants’ personal data and information.

8

### 9 3.2 Participants

10

11 Participants were 26 frequent computer users. Their average age was 27 years ( $SD = 3$ ). Their age range was 22 – 34  
12 years. Fifty percent of the participants classified themselves as programmers, 42% as expert computer users, and 8%  
13 as medium computer users. Participants mean experiences with computer was 5 years ( $SD$  of 1.6) with a range of  
14 2-9 years. There were only two female participants in this study.

15

### 16 3.3 The Experiment Setup

17

18 The department ethics committee approved this experiment. The application was installed on participants’ computers  
19 as a background running process. Participants were asked to sign a consent form before they could use this  
20 application. Four different kinds of data were recorded in the log files as is shown in Table 1. As explained earlier,  
21 this application also recorded self-reported moods using the mood rating dialogue in the log files.

22

### 23 3.4 Results

24

#### 25 3.4.1 Preparation of Data

26

27 Participants were instructed to answer at least 86 mood-rating dialogues to have 80% probability of finding at least  
28 medium size correlations [12]. The background application recorded events for (on average) 8 days. A single log file  
29 was created for each day, and these files were later merged into a single log file. Each participant log file contained  
30 an average of 0.1 million lines of event recordings. An application was developed to extract the required information  
31 from the log files. The application extracted self-reported arousal and valence values from these log files and


1 keyboard/mouse behaviour within 10 minute windows around these mood ratings as shown in Fig 1.

- 1 The basic measures taken for each window were:
- 2 • Self-reported valence and arousal values that a participants provided
  - 3 • Total number of events around a particular mood rating
  - 4 • Average time between these events
  - 5 • Total windows switched
  - 6 • Standard deviation of the time between events
  - 7 • Number of backspace and delete key events
  - 8 • Number of alphabetical and numerical key events
  - 9 • Number of mouse clicks
  - 10 • Number of all other keys

11 These measures are termed as log variables in the rest of the text. Slots with 10 or fewer events were removed  
 12 as interaction was considered too limited for analysis. Another filter was based on the key press and mouse click  
 13 rates. All the key press and mouse click events with less than 50 milliseconds difference from the previous event  
 14 were filtered. This value was chosen since, while a novice has a typing speed of 1000 milliseconds, a champion  
 15 typist has an average speed of 60 milliseconds to type a character [11]. A difference less than or equal to 50  
 16 milliseconds might be an indication that a participant was stroking and clicking haphazardly. Similarly all the key  
 17 press and mouse click events with more than 20,000 milliseconds (20 seconds) difference from the previous events  
 18 were also filtered because people waiting more than 20 seconds to type a key press might be involved in some other  
 19 activity such as reading a website or other documents. These filters, however, reduced the initial 86 samples per  
 20 individuals to a range of 83 to 21 samples per individual.

21  
 22 3.4.2 Analysis

23 As data was collected from multiple individuals over multiple events, a meta-analysis approach [6] was taken. This  
 24 meant that first Pearson correlations were calculated between interaction data in ten minutes window and the  
 25 valence or arousal ratings of each individual. Next these correlations were combined into a weighted average  
 26 correlation across the individuals. The weighting was based on the sample size of the individual correlations. As the  
 27 individual correlations were obtained from a single individual, the sample size of the meta-analysis was set to 26, the  
 28 number of participants. The setup of the experiment was similar for each participant; therefore a fixed-effect model  
 29 was used to compute summary effect.



33  
 34 **Fig 1:** Time windows used to take events around self-reported valence/arousal.

**Table 2:** Weighted correlations, Fisher's  $z$  scores and  $p$  values of valence arousal ratings with behavioural variables

Measures	Valence							
	Events	Average Time Between Events	Windows Switched	SD Time b/w Events	Back space, delete Keys	Alphabetic numeric keys	Mouse Clicks	Others keys
Weighted $r$	-0.014	0.0044	0.030	-0.009	-0.01	0.025	-0.065	-0.002
Fisher's $z$	-0.013	0.0041	0.030	-0.01	-0.01	0.025	-0.066	-0.002
$p$ value	0.52	0.49	0.44	0.51	0.52	0.44	0.63	0.50
Measures	Arousal							
	Events	Average Time Between Events	Windows Switched	SD Time b/w Events	Back space, delete Keys	Alphabetic numeric keys	Mouse Clicks	Others keys
Weighted $r$	-0.06	0.034	-0.021	0.015	-0.059	0.006	-0.068	-0.046
Fisher's $z$	-0.06	0.035	-0.022	0.015	-0.059	0.006	-0.070	-0.047
$p$ value	0.62	0.43	0.54	0.46	0.62	0.48	0.63	0.59

To examine the possibility of a generic interaction based mood measure, *weighted correlations* and a *Fisher's  $z$  score* (i.e. *effect size*) were computed from the calculated correlations of each participant's valence, arousal ratings and the interaction measures. Table 2 shows the respective weighted correlations, Fisher's  $z$  transformations and the associate  $p$  values. No weighted correlations between the interaction data and mood rating reached a significant level ( $p. > 0.05$ ). These results therefore provide no support for the idea of a generic mood measure based on interaction data.

The next step of the analysis was to examine whether an individualized interaction based mood measure is possible. For each participant a multiple regression analysis was conducted with either the valence or the arousal rating as the dependent variable and the eight interaction measures as independent variables by using the Enter method. The  $R$ -values of these individual analyses were again combined by calculating a weighted average correlation across the participants. Table 3 shows results for the valence prediction with a weighted correlation of 0.36 and significant ( $Z = 1.97$ , one-tailed<sup>5</sup>  $p. = 0.025$ ) Fisher's  $z$  score of 0.39. This suggests that on an individual level there was a significant relation between people's interaction behaviour and their valence rating. A similar observation could be made for arousal rating (Table 4). The weighted average correlation was 0.35 with a significant ( $Z = 1.91$ ,  $p. = 0.028$ ) Fisher's  $z$  conversion of 0.37. This suggests that arousal of an individual might also be predictable from its interaction behaviour with keyboard and mouse.

### 3.4.3 Discussion

The results of study 1 provide clear support for an individualized mood measure based on user interaction behaviour. Interesting in this study is also what was not found. No support was found for a generic measure based on user interaction behaviour. The ecological validity, i.e. the study closely approximates real-world situations, suggests that these findings are based on natural mood variations and therefore applicable to everyday working situations. To strengthen these conclusions even further, the next step was therefore to reflect on how a second confirmation study could address potential counterarguments, i.e. potential limitations of study 1, as any empirical study has limitations. Two limitations could be identified as alternative explanations why no generic correlations were found. They were: (1) Participants' mood ratings had a small standard deviation for both valence ( $M = 4.80$ ,  $SD = 0.94$ ) and arousal ( $M$

<sup>5</sup> The correlation results of regression analysis cannot be negative, a one-tailed test is therefore appropriate in this case.

1 = 5.19,  $SD = 1.15$ ) with both means near to 5, the centre point of both ratings. This suggests that participants' moods  
 2 variation was limited and might therefore provide only limited data to explore correlations.

3 **Table 3:** Correlations and effect size of regression analyses on individual sample set to predict Valence rating

Participant	Individual sample size	$r$	Fisher's $z$
1	73	0.40	0.42
2	49	0.51	0.56
3	72	0.39	0.41
4	68	0.33	0.35
5	46	0.31	0.32
6	67	0.22	0.22
7	19	0.43	0.46
8	54	0.41	0.43
9	56	0.60	0.69
10	18	0.43	0.46
11	69	0.44	0.47
12	65	0.23	0.23
13	30	0.40	0.43
14	62	0.30	0.31
15	66	0.28	0.28
16	69	0.20	0.20
17	46	0.45	0.48
18	57	0.27	0.28
19	45	0.64	0.76
20	31	0.50	0.54
21	46	0.31	0.32
22	58	0.47	0.51
23	59	0.27	0.27
24	66	0.29	0.30
25	32	0.45	0.48
26	68	0.31	0.32
<i>n meta-analysis</i>		<i>weighted r</i>	<i>weighted Fisher's z</i>
26		0.36	0.39

4 This might be a side effect of a field study, which has no experimentally controlled mood induction to elicit more  
 5 extreme mood variance. (2) Also participants cancelled 27% of their mood rating dialogues on average. Two  
 6 participants reported them as a distraction. Participants might therefore especially have cancelled them when in  
 7 either high arousal or low arousal situations.  
 8  
 9

1 **Table 4:** Correlations and effect size of regression analyses on individual sample set to predict Arousal rating.

Participant	Individual sample size	<i>r</i>	Fisher's <i>z</i>
1	73	0.40	0.42
2	49	0.51	0.57
3	72	0.28	0.29
4	68	0.26	0.27
5	46	0.40	0.42
6	67	0.24	0.25
7	19	0.59	0.68
8	54	0.24	0.25
9	56	0.35	0.36
10	18	0.66	0.78
11	69	0.50	0.55
12	65	0.35	0.36
13	30	0.52	0.58
14	62	0.35	0.36
15	66	0.23	0.23
16	69	0.28	0.28
17	46	0.34	0.36
18	57	0.31	0.32
19	45	0.39	0.42
20	31	0.59	0.67
21	46	0.33	0.35
22	58	0.37	0.39
23	59	0.30	0.31
24	66	0.47	0.51
25	32	0.13	0.13
26	68	0.33	0.35
<i>n</i> meta-analysis		<i>weighted r</i>	<i>weighted Fisher's z</i>
26		0.35	0.37

2

3 Given these two limitations, the second study was therefore setup to have more mood variance and no potentially  
4 distracting mood rating dialogues.

5

## 6 **4 Study 2 – Controlled Study**

7

### 8 4.1 Experimental Material and Design

9

10 The basic design of the second study was similar to the first study; however, this time the variation in mood was  
11 systematically manipulated by using music. The keyboard and mouse events were again recorded in a log file. The  
12 self-reported moods measurement, with its problems concerning distraction or missing reports, was replaced by  
13 galvanic skin response (GSR) measurement. As mentioned before, mood inducing music was used in the background

1 to induce moods. The aim of the study was to find correlations between keyboard and mouse events and GSR  
2 measurements. Measuring valence and arousal without human intervention was the basic aim however previous  
3 research [50] indicated that it is difficult to accurately measure valence information from physiological sensors.  
4 Therefore this experiment only targeted arousal for objective measurements. To confirm the validity of mood  
5 inducing music, first the impact of mood inducing music was analysed based on GSR ratings. To handle various  
6 external mood-affecting factors, like the nature of the task, programming skills, etc., only mood induction via music  
7 was systematically changed between conditions. The other external factors could therefore be assumed to have  
8 affected the experimental conditions equally, or more precisely, not systematically biased the results in one condition.  
9 Any difference observed in the physiological data above the noise level, caused by individual difference of the  
10 participants (e.g. programming skill, preference to listen to music etc.), could therefore be attributed to the only  
11 systematically varied environmental factor, i.e. the music. For this a 2x2 factorial design was applied with arousal  
12 (High and Low) and music type (Based on the literature vs. Brought by participants) as independent variables. GSR  
13 measurements were used as dependent variable. GSR meter is a psychometric research biofeedback monitor  
14 designed to measure the electrical conductivity of the skin. The changes are a response to certain emotional reactions.  
15 Increase in the activity (high arousal, high valence) results in the increase of electrical conductivity whereas  
16 relaxations (low arousal, low valence) results in the decrease of electrical conductivity [43]

17 A GSR meter was used to measure GSR by attaching electrodes to the base of the left-hand middle finger and  
18 ring finger. In addition, keyboard key press and mouse clicks were also recorded like study 1, except that there  
19 was no self-reporting of mood.

#### 21 4.1.1 Mood Induction

23 For mood induction, one audio clip for high arousal and one for low arousal were selected. The two audio clips,  
24 Mozart Sonata for two pianos K448 (1985, track 1) for high arousal and Adagio by Albinoni [2] for low arousal,  
25 used in this research were validated for their arousal induction nature by Thompson et al., [46]. Thompson et al. [46]  
26 observed that performance of the participants who listened to the music by Mozart (high arousal music) was better in  
27 spatial tasks compared to silent condition. They further observed that both piece of music induced different  
28 responses on enjoyment, arousal and mood measures. As was done in a study by Silvia and Abele [45], a neutral  
29 audio clip “Hymn” [35] was selected to induce neutral mood. In addition, participants were instructed to bring their  
30 own high and low arousal audio clips, which they thought would put them in a low or high arousing state.  
31 Participants were not asked to bring neutral music.

#### 33 4.1.2 Participants

34 Experiment took place in each individual’s home with a quiet room with no interruptions. The aim of this setup was  
35 to avoid anxiety of coming to a lab. In addition it was assumed that they would be more relaxed in their own home  
36 environment. A total of 16 participants took part in this study. All the participants were male computer users with a  
37 mean age of 26 years ( $SD = 3.1$ ). The participants had an average computer use experience of 7.3 years ( $SD = 2.8$ ).  
38 Among participants 38% rated themselves as medium computer users, 31% rated themselves as expert computer  
39 users, and 31% rated themselves as programmers.

#### 40 4.2 Study Setup

41 Department ethics committee approved this experiment. Consent was obtained from participants before conducting  
42 the experiment. Participants were asked to complete some programming tasks in Alice. Alice is an object-oriented  
43 language used to teach programming to children and was developed by Carnegie Mellon University. It is free to use

1 and has some interesting aspects like visual object and visual construct and structures. All participants completed  
2 four learning tutorials present in the Alice Interface. The tutorials were about using Alice and its various  
3 functionalities as well as an introduction about simulating stories. Two Aesop stories ('The Hare and the Tortoise'  
4 and 'The Cow and the Frog') were assigned as tasks to each participant.

5 The study was composed of two sessions of 30-minute with a 10-minute break between them. Each session  
6 was further composed of three sub-sessions. One sub-session was of neutral music, and two sub-sessions of either  
7 high arousal or low arousal music. High or low arousal music sub-sessions were counterbalanced. Similarly  
8 personal music and music from the literature were also counterbalanced. Neutral music sub-session started with  
9 five minutes of no music at all followed by five minutes of neutral music. This was followed by either high arousal  
10 (brought by participants or taken from the literature in sequence one after another) or low arousal (brought by  
11 participants or taken from the literature in sequence one after another) music. There was a break of 10 minutes or  
12 more between two main sessions. The sequences of sessions as well as music sequences are illustrated in Table 5.

13 The music was played in the background on the participants' headphone so that they were able to listen to the  
14 music while completing the tasks. The use of a headphone and a separate room made sure no external interruptions  
15 affected the participants.

## 16 4.3 Results

### 17 4.3.1 Data Preparation

18 The experiment was divided into two sub-sessions separated by a gap of 10 minutes or more. The whole  
19 experiment took nearly an hour and ten minutes. GSR were sampled every 10 seconds in both of the sessions, thus  
20 recording about 180 responses in each session of 30 minutes with an average of 60 responses in each of the neutral,  
21 personal and literature music sessions. Similarly the second session also had 180 responses forming 360 responses  
22 from a single participant. At some points in the experiment, participants of course also had no interaction with the  
23 computer for more than 10 seconds in order to think or to write ideas down on paper. Exploring the log showed  
24 that only in one occasion did a participant have no interaction with the computer for more than one minute. In all  
25 other cases this never exceeded a minute. Therefore, it was decided to take the average of GSR responses in a  
26 minute and take the average of behavioural data recorded in that minute. This resulted in 30 responses from each  
27 sub-session forming 60 responses for the experiment.

28 An application was developed to count and analyse all the behavioural data within the target minute of GSR  
29 response. The behavioural variables considered for analysis were (1) number of events, (2) time between events,  
30 (3) total number of windows switched, (4) standard deviation of time between events, (5) total alphabetic and  
31 numeric keys pressed, (6) total mouse clicks, (7) total backspace/delete keys pressed and (8) total of all other keys  
32 pressed within that time. Each GSR measurement was then linked to the average of each behavioural measure  
33 recorded within that minute of GSR measurement. This resulted into 60 GSR responses each with their associated  
34 eight behavioural measurement values.

35 The purpose of GSR meter was to record electrical conductivity of the skin, which changes according to  
36 changes in emotional reactions. However when initially connected with the fingers, the reading started from  
37 minimum and gradually increased. Similarly a rapid and fast movement of hand also caused an increase or  
38 decrease in measurements. To reduce this effect the first step carried out on the data was to smooth it. This was  
39 done using a macro function called "Smooth"<sup>6</sup>. This function performs all standard smoothing methods of  
40 exploratory data analysis explained by [48] with a high degree of flexibility.

---

6 <http://www.quantdec.com/Excel/smoothing.htm>

**Table 5:** The four-session/music sequencing permutation participants were allocated to

Session 1 (30 min)				Session 2 (30 min)				
		Sub-Ses	Sub-Sessi			Sub-Ses	Sub-Sessi	
Sub-Session 1 (10 min)		sion 2	on 3 (10	Sub-Session 1 (10 min)		sion 2	on 3 (10	
		(10 min)	min)			(10 min)	min)	
NoM (5min)	NeM (5min)	HAP	HAL	Break (10 minute) break	NoM (5min)	NeM (5min)	LAP	LAL
NoM (5min)	NeM (5min)	LAP	LAL		NoM (5min)	NeM (5min)	HAP	HAL
NoM (5min)	NeM (5min)	HAL	HAP		NoM (5min)	NeM (5min)	LAL	LAP
NoM (5min)	NeM (5min)	LAL	LAP		NoM (5min)	NeM (5min)	HAL	HAP

**Note:** NoM = No Music, NeM = Neutral Music, HAP = High Arousal Brought by person, LAP = Low arousal brought by person, HAL, High arousal indicated in literature, LAL = Low arousal indicated in literature

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

A command passed to the smoothing function to smooth was “3R<sup>7</sup>S<sup>8</sup>SH<sup>9</sup>”. Smoothing is included in the Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA) and is used in various studies of psychophysiological nature to reduce the noise (e.g. [4]).

#### 4.3.2 Analysis

The first part of the analysis was to test whether the music chosen from the literature or brought by the participants’ had an effect on participants’ GSR. Each participant’s average GSR score in high arousal or low arousal session was calculated. A relative score was calculated by subtracting GSR measurements obtained when participants were listening to high arousal or low arousal music from the GSR measurements when participants were listening to neutral music or no music at all. The equation for this can be represented as follows:

$$GSR_{relative} = GSR_{neutral} - GSR_{low\ or\ high\ arousal}$$

A repeated measure Multivariate Analysis of Variance (MANOVA) was conducted to assess if there was a difference between high arousal and low arousal music, and music selected from the literature or brought by the participants on their GSR. No significant main effect ( $F(1, 16) = 2.30, p = 0.15$ ) was found for high arousal versus low arousal. This means that together these two types of music did not induced uniformly either high or low arousal. However a significant effect ( $F(1, 16) = 13.43, p = 0.002$ ) was found for the music type. Examining Fig 2, it seems that on average the music from the literature induced an arousal level close to the arousal level obtained in the neutral sessions (high arousal with a relative mean of 11.3  $\mu$ S and a low arousal with a relative mean of -4.75  $\mu$ S). The music brought by the participants resulted in much more high arousal (high arousal with a relative mean of -78.4  $\mu$ S and low arousal with a relative mean of -24.4  $\mu$ S). Also the analysis found a significant interaction effect ( $F(1, 16) = 13.99, p = 0.002$ ) between music type and arousal level. It seems only the music brought by the participants significantly induced the expected relative levels of arousal. To confirm this, two paired sample *t*-tests were conducted as a post analysis. One pair contained readings of GSR while high arousal

<sup>7</sup> When a median smooth is immediately followed by an "R" (repeat) command, then continue to apply the median smooth until no more changes occur

<sup>8</sup> "Split" the sequence. This process dissects the sequence into shorter subsequences at all places where two successive values are identical, applies a 3R smooth to each subsequence, reassembles them, and polishes the result with a 3 smooth.

<sup>9</sup> "Hann" the sequence: This is convolution with a symmetrical kernel having weights (1/4, 1/2, 1/4). That is, each value  $x[i]$  is replaced by  $x[i-1]/4 + x[i]/2 + x[i+1]/4$ . The end values are not changed.

1 and low arousal was being induced via music brought by participants.

**Table 6:** Weighted correlations, Fisher's  $z$  scores and  $p$ . values of GSR and behavioural variables.

Measures	GSR							
	Events	Average Time Between Events	Windows Switched	SD b/w Events	Time	Back space, delete Keys	Alphabetic numeric keys	Mouse Clicks
Weighted $r$	-0.021	0.157	-0.013	-0.051	0.047	-0.066	0.057	0.023
Fisher $z$ score	-0.022	0.176	-0.011	0.001	0.052	-0.070	0.063	0.026
$p$ value	0.544	0.185	0.522	0.498	0.396	0.640	0.374	0.448

2

3 The second pair contained reading of GSR while high arousal and low arousal was being induced using selected  
4 music from literature. The results confirmed that the music brought by the participants significantly induced  
5 expected relative level of arousal ( $t(16) = -4.9, p < 0.001$ ). However the effect of music selected from literature did  
6 not have significant effect ( $t(16) = 0.8, p = 0.42$ ). The following analyses therefore only include the 20 samples  
7 obtained from the sessions using music brought by the participants.

8 The next step was to see whether a similar effect of music induced mood would be found in the behavioural  
9 measures. This would give an insight into whether a uniform i.e. generic mood measure across the participants  
10 would be possible. A within subject repeated MANOVA was conducted with arousal as independent variable with  
11 two levels (High vs. Low) for music brought by the participants. The dependent measures were the eight  
12 behavioural measure derived from keyboard and mouse data.

13 The analysis found no significant main ( $F(8,8) = 1.03, p = 0.48$ ) effect for arousal on the behavioural  
14 keyboard and mouse data. The Univariate analyses also did not show a significant effect of arousal on the separate  
15 behavioural measures. This suggests that arousal difference did not show a clear uniform effect on keyboard and  
16 mouse behaviour across the participants in these two conditions.

17 To confirm above finding, eight individual Pearson correlations between 180 interaction behaviour samples  
18 and GSR samples were calculated. Next, weighted correlations and related Fisher's  $z$  score transformations were  
19 calculated. Table 6 shows these values and respective  $p$ . values. As in study 1, none of the correlations reached a  
20 significant level ( $p > 0.05$ ). This again indicates that a generic mood measure from interaction behaviour of  
21 keyboard and mouse is unlikely.

22 As in study 1 the second step was to examine the possibility of individualized mood measures. For each  
23 participant a multiple regression analysis was conducted with as dependent variable GSR and as independent  
24 variables the eight interaction measures by using the Enter methods. Table 7 shows the individual correlations, the  
25 Fisher's  $z$  scores and their weighted averages. With a weighted correlation of 0.63 and Fisher's  $z$  score of 0.75 the  
26 analysis again shows a significant ( $Z = 3.34, \text{one-tailed } p. < 0.001$ ) relation between actual GSR values and the  
27 predicted GSR values based on keyboard and mouse data.


28

#### 29 4.4 Discussion

30

31 The result seems to confirm the findings of the first study. No support was again found for a generic relationship  
32 between mood and interaction behaviour and but again results supported individual relationships. Still as in any  
33 empirical study, study 2 also had a number of limitations to reflect on. For example, there was a large variability in  
34 GSR, which is not uncommon as discussed by Gaillard and Kramer [14]. Data smoothing algorithms were used to  
35 remove this variability but it could not be removed completely. As [14] also pointed out, psychophysiological

1 measures might also be affected by other factors than arousal like the workload and the strain of the participants' task.



**Fig 2:** Relative Galvanic Skin Response ( $GSR_{relative} = GSR_{neutral} - GSR_{low/high}$ ) in low (LA) and high (HA) arousal conditions. Upper line represents music from the literature whereas line near the bottom represents music brought by person.

However, by systematically assigning participants to the different music conditions it is unlikely that these factors would have caused the effects found. Another noise factor might have been the movement of the hands while typing or moving the mouse. Giving participants instructions to limit their hand movement would have interfered with natural interaction behaviour, which would have been undesirable for this study.

## 5 Conclusions, Discussions and Future Research

Moods and emotions are important factors in effective human computer interaction and so it is important to consider them and how they affect performance [18]. The results from both studies suggest that it is possible to measure individual moods based on their keyboard and mouse interaction. Therefore the two main scientific contributions of the paper are the new empirical established insights that (1) an individualized mood predictor based on keyboard and mouse behaviour is possible, and (2) creating a generic mood predictor based on the same data seems to our current knowledge not possible at present day. The practical applications of such a relatively inexpensive and non-interruptive individual mood measure could be sought in situations where individuals require more insight in their own mood, or where computers could benefit from information about the user's mood in order to act on this. For example, people with bipolar disorder sometime use mood charts to identify patterns in their mood swings in an attempt to manage their illness. Potentially this subjective self-tracking could be supported with automated mood analysis of their computer interaction. Nowadays computer applications are more often designed to act as a social actor also, e.g. electronic coach, trainer, or assistant and therefore might also benefit from information about the mood state of the user. This would for example allow the computer to tailor its affective feedback to the mood of its user and thereby be more effective or persuasive [59].

**Table 7:** Correlations and effect size of regression analyses on individual sample set to predict galvanic skin response

Participant ID	Individual sample size	<i>R</i>	Effect size
1	20	.55	0.62
2	20	.19	0.19
3	20	.79	1.08
4	20	.70	0.87

5	20	.56	0.63
6	20	.78	1.04
7	20	.74	0.95
8	20	.77	1.02
9	20	.63	0.73
10	20	.42	0.45
11	20	.62	0.73
12	20	.63	0.75
13	20	.52	0.57
14	20	.59	0.67
15	20	.50	0.54
16	20	.80	1.10
<i>n meta-analysis</i>		<i>weighted r</i>	<i>Fisher's z</i>
16		0.63	0.75

Like speech recognition technology, this individualized measure first needs to be trained to adapt to the individual user. The computer will learn by tracking keyboard and mouse behaviour and asking for subjective mood rating. Based on this, it could build an individualized model over time, which it then can utilize to predict the user's mood.

Besides multiple regression models, future research might explore whether other techniques would improve mood prediction; for example, using neural networks and other learning algorithms. This might increase the percentage of affective state classification. Zhai and Barreto [50] considered such work. They extracted some features from Skin Responses (GSR), Pupil Diameter (PD), and Blood Pressure Volume (BPV) and fed these features into three learning algorithms: Naïve Bayes Classifier, Decision Tree Classifier and Support Vector Machine (SVM). They found an accuracy of 78.65%, 88% and 90.1% respectively in classifying affective states. Future research could take this a step further in not only classifying the states but also classifying rating of valence and arousal; something the results of this study suggest is possible on an individual level. Another possibility of future work is to combine various interactional data like speech, images and video with keyboard interaction. Combined measures might increase estimation chance as research such as Pantic & Rothkrantz [31] and Chitu et. al. [1] demonstrated.

There are also some potential ethical issues that need to be considered. For example, users sometimes might not want a computer to know their mood and act on it. Also there are risks that other people besides the user can get this mood information, such as a colleague or an employer. Similarly an on-line shopping application that could detect someone's mood could use this to make persuasive sales offers. These issues might be addressed by giving users control over their mood measurement i.e. allowing user to enable and disable the mood measurement. While these are important issues that need to be addressed, the work presented in this paper suggests that individualized interaction based mood measurement might be possible, laying the foundation for a less intrusive mood measure instrument.

## 6 References

- 1 1. A.G. Chitu, L.J.M. Rothkrantz, J.C. Wojdel, P. Wiggers, Comparison between Different Feature Extraction  
2 Techniques for Audio-Visual Speech Recognition, *Journal on Multimodal User Interfaces*, 2007, pp. 7-20,  
3 Springer
- 4 2. Albinoni, T.G., Adagio in G Minor for organ and strings [Recorded by SolistiVeniti, Conducted by Scimone,  
5 C.], 1981, On Albinoni's Adagios [CD]. Perivale, England Warner Classics, 1996
- 6 3. Backs, R.W. and Boucsein, W, Engineering Psychophysiology as a Discipline: Historical and Theoretical  
7 Aspects in Engineering Psychophysiology, eds. R.W. Backs and W. Boucsein, 1st edn, Lawrence Erlbaum  
8 Publications, London, 2000, pp. 3-30.
- 9 4. Baumgartner, R., Ryner, L., Somorjai, R. and Summers, R., Exploratory Data Analysis Reveals  
10 Spatio-temporal Structure of Null fMRI Data, *Proc. Intl. Sot. Mag. Reson. Med.*, 2000, 8.
- 11 5. Bobick, A. and Davis, J.W., Action recognition using temporal templates. In *CVPR-97*, 1997., pp. 125-146.
- 12 6. Borenstein, M., Hedges, L.V., Higgins, J.P.T., and Rothstein, H.R. *Introduction to meta-analysis*. Chichester,  
13 UK: Wiley, 2009.
- 14 7. Boucsein, W., and Thum, M., Design of work/rest schedules for computer work based on psychophysiological  
15 recovery measure, *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics*, Vol. 20, 1997., pp. 51-57.
- 16 8. Brave, S and Nass, C., Emotion in Human-Computer Interaction: In *The Human-Computer Interaction*  
17 *handbook: fundamentals, evolving technologies and emerging application*, eds. Jacko, J.A and Sears, A.  
18 Routledge Publishers, 2003.
- 19 9. Brinkman, W.-P., Haakma, R., & Bouwhuis, D.G., "Towards an empirical method of efficiency testing of  
20 system parts: a methodological study", *Interacting with Computers*, 2007, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 342-356.
- 21 10. Buchanan., T., Johnson., J. A., and Goldberg., L. R., Implementing a five-factor personality inventory for use  
22 on the internet, *European Journal of Psychological Assessment*, 2005., vol. 21, pp. 115-127.
- 23 11. Card, K.C., Moran, T.P. and Newell, A. *The Psychology of Human-Computer Interaction*, Lawrence Erlbaum  
24 Publishers, Hillsdale, New Jersey, 1983.
- 25 12. Cohen, J., *Statistical Power Analysis*, *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, 1992., Vol. 1, No. 3, pp. 61-69.
- 26 13. Forgas, J. P., and Fiedler, K. Us and Them: Mood Effects on Intergroup Discrimination, *Journal of Personality*  
27 *and Social Psychology*, 1996., Vol. 70, pp. 28-40.
- 28 14. Gaillard, A. W. K., and Kramer, A. F. Theoretical and methodological issues in psychophysiological research.  
29 In R. W. Backs and W. Boucsein Eds., *Engineering psychophysiology*, 2001., pp. 31-58. Mahwah, NJ:  
30 Erlbaum.
- 31 15. Gavrilu, D. M. and Davis, L. S., Towards 3-D model-based tracking and recognition of human movement: a  
32 multi-view approach, In *Proc. International Workshop on Automatic Face and Gesture Recognition*, 1995., pp.  
33 272-277.
- 34 16. Gendolla, G., On the Impact of Mood on Behaviour: An Integrative Theory and a Review, *Review of General*  
35 *Psychology*, 2000., Vol. 4, pp. 378-408.
- 36 17. Haider, E., Luczak, H., and Rohmert, W., Ergonomics investigations of workplaces in a police  
37 command-control centre equipped with TV displays, *Applied Ergonomics*, 1982., Vol. 13, pp. 163-170.
- 38 18. Harper, R., Rodden, T., Rogers, Y. and Sellen, A. *Being Human: Human Computer Interaction in 2020*, HCI  
39 2020, Microsoft Research meeting El Bulli Hacienda Hotel, Sveille, Spain., 2007.
- 40 19. Isen, A. M. and Nehemia, G. The Influence of Positive Affect on Acceptable Level of Risk: The Person with a  
41 Large Canoe Has a Large Worry, *Organizational Behaviour and Human Decision Processes*, 1987, Vol. 39, pp.  
42 145-54.
- 43 20. Isen, A. M. and Stanley F. S., The Effect of Feeling Good on a Helping Task That Is Incompatible with Good  
44 Mood, *Social Psychology*, 1978, Vol. 41, pp. 346-49.

- 1 21. Iulia Lefter, Leon J. M. Rothkrantz, Pascal Wiggers, and David. A. van Leeuwen, "Emotion Recognition from  
2 Speech by Combining Databases and Fusion of Classifiers", Text, Speech and Dialogue, ISBN 3-642-15759-9,  
3 vol. Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence, 2010, No. 6231, pp. 353-359, Springer, Berlin, September
- 4 22. Johnson, N., Galata, A. and Hogg, D., The acquisition and use of interaction behaviour models, Proceedings of  
5 IEEE Computer Society Conference on, 1998, issue. 23-25, pp, 866–871.
- 6 23. Khan, I.A., Brinkman, W.-P., Hierons, R.M., , "Do moods affect programmers debug performance?", Cognition  
7 Technology & Work, 2010.
- 8 24. Khanna, P., and Sasikumar, M., Recognising emotions from keyboard stroke Pattern. International journal of  
9 computer applications, 2010, 119, 1-5.
- 10 25. Kirchsteiger, G., Rigotti, L. and Rustichini, A., Your morals might be your moods. Journal of Economic  
11 Behaviour & Organization, 2006, Vol. 59, pp. 155-172.
- 12 26. Klein, J., Computer Response to User Frustration, Thesis, MIT Media Lab Technical Report, 1999, No. 480
- 13 27. Lang, P. J., The Emotion Probe: Studies of Motivation and Attention. American Psychologist, 1995, 505,  
14 372-385.
- 15 28. Lang, P.J. Behavioural treatment and bio-behavioural assessment: computer applications, In: Sidowski, J. B.,  
16 Johnson, J. H. and Williams, T. A. Eds. Technology in mental health care delivery systems. Norwood, NJ:  
17 Ablex, 1980.
- 18 29. Lazar, J., Jones, A., Hackley, M. and Shneiderman, B. Severity and impact of computer user frustration: A  
19 comparison of student and workplace users, interacting with Computers, 2006, Vol. 18, Issue. 2, pp. 187-207
- 20 30. Lissetti, C.L. and Nasoz, F. MAUI: a Multimodal affective user interface, Proceedings of the tenth ACM  
21 international conference on Multimedia, Juan-les-Pins, France, 2002, pp. 161-170.
- 22 31. M. Pantic, L.J.M. Rothkrantz, "Towards an Affect-sensitive Multimodal Human-Computer Interaction",  
23 Proceedings of the IEEE, 2003, vol. 91, no. 9, pp. 1370-1390.
- 24 32. Mahr, W., Carlsson, R., Fredriksson, J., Maul, O. and Fjeld, M. Tabletop Interaction, Research Alert. Proc.  
25 ACM NordiCHI 2006, pp. 499-500.
- 26 33. Manucia, G. K., Donald, J. B. and Robert B. C. Mood Influences on Helping: Direct Effects or Side Effects?  
27 Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 1984, Vol. 46, pp. 357–64.
- 28 34. Chanel, G., K. Ansari-Asl, and Pun, T. Valence-arousal evaluation using physiological signals in an emotion  
29 recall paradigm, IEEE, 2007.
- 30 35. Moby. Hymn. On Everything is wrong [CD]. New York: Elektra, 1995
- 31 36. Nygren, T. E., Alice M. I., Pamela J. T., and Jessica D. the Influence of Positive Affect on the Decision Rule in  
32 Risk Situations: Focus on Outcome and Especially Avoidance of Loss Rather than Probability, Organizational  
33 Behaviour and Human Decision Processes, 1996, Vol. 66, pp. 59–72.
- 34 37. Pham, M. T. Representativeness, Relevance, and the Use of Feelings in Decision Making, Journal of Consumer  
35 Research, 1998. Vol. 25, pp. 144–59.
- 36 38. Picard, R. W. Affective Computing, MIT Paper back edition 2000.
- 37 39. Plutchik, R. Emotion: A psychoevolutionary synthesis. New York: Harper and Row, 1980.
- 38 40. Remington, N. A. and Fabrigar, L. R. Re-examining the Circumplex model of affect, Journal of Personality and  
39 Social Psychology, 2000, Vol. 79, No. 2, pp. 286-300.
- 40 41. Sakurazawa, s., Yoshida, N., Munekata, N., Omi, A., Takeshima, H., Koto, H., Gentsu, K., Kimura, K.,  
41 Kawamura, K., Miyamoto, M., Arima, R., Mori, T., Sekiya, T., Furukawa, T., Hashimoto, T., Numata, H., Akita, J.,  
42 Tsukahara, Y. and Matsubara, H. A Computer gaming using galvanic skin response, ACM International  
43 Conference Proceeding Series, 2003. Vol. 38, pp. 1-3.
- 44 42. Schleifer, L.M., and Ley, R. End tidal PCO as an index of psychophysiological activity during VDT data-entry  
45 work and relaxation, Ergonomics, 1994, vol. 37, pp. 245-2

- 1 43. Shepherd, P. Tools for Transformation, trans4mind.com, 2001, accessed at 12/10/2010
- 2 44. Sheskin, D.J. Parametric and Nonparametric Statistical Procedures, CRC Press, 1996.
- 3 45. Silvia, P. J. and Abele, A. E. Can positive affect induce self-focused attention? Methodological and  
4 measurement issues, *Cognition and Emotion*, 2002, Vol. 16, No. 6, pp. 845-853.
- 5 46. Thompson, W.F., Schellenberg, E.G. and Husain, G. Arousal, Mood and the Mozart effect, *Psychological*  
6 *Science*, 2001, Vol. 12, No. 3.
- 7 47. Tsihrintzis, G.A., Virvou, M., Alepis, E., and Stathopoulou, I.O. "Towards Improving Visual-Facial Emotion  
8 Recognition through Use of Complementary Keyboard-Stroke Pattern Information," *Information Technology:*  
9 *New Generations*, 2008, ITNG, Fifth International Conference on , pp.32-37, doi: 10.1109/ITNG.2008.152
- 10 48. Tukey, J. *Exploratory Data Analysis*, Addison-Wesley Press, 1977.
- 11 49. Valstar, M. Patras, I. and Pantic, M. Facial action unit recognition using temporal templates, 13th  
12 *IEEE International Workshop on Robot and Human Interactive Communication*, 2004.
- 13 50. Wang, H., Prendinger, H. & Igarashi, T. Communicating emotions in online chat using physiological sensors  
14 animated text, In: *Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems CHI*, 04 Vienna Austria, 2004, p.  
15 1171-1174
- 16 51. Zhai, J. and Barreto, A. Stress Detection in Computer Users through Non-Invasive Monitoring of Physiological  
17 Signals, *Biomedical Science Instrumentation*, 2006, vol. 42, pp. 495-500.
- 18 52. Zimmermann, P., Guttormsen, S., Danuser, B. and Gomez, P. Affective Computing - A Rationale for Measuring  
19 Mood with Mouse and Keyboard. *International Journal of Occupational Safety and Ergonomics*. 2003, Vol. 9,  
20 539-551
- 21 53. LeDoux, J. E.: Emotion: Clues from the brain. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 1995, 46, 209-235.
- 22 54. Wierzbicka, A. Talking about emotions: Semantics, culture, and cognition. *Cognition and Emotion*, 1992 6,  
23 285-319.
- 24 55. Lang P J, Bradley M M, and Cuthbert B *International Affective Picture System IAPS: Technical Manual and*  
25 *Affective Ratings National Institute of Mental Health Center for the Study of Emotion and Attention*, 1997,  
26 Gainesville, FL
- 27 56. Ross, J. M. and Zhang, H. (1997). Structured Programmers Learning Object-Oriented Programming: Cognitive  
28 Considerations, *SIGCHI Bulletin*, Vol. 29, No. 4
- 29 57. Bradley, M.M., Lang, P.J. Measuring emotion: The self-assessment manikin and the semantic differential.  
30 *Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry*, 1994, 25, 49-59.
- 31 58. Mehrabian, A. *Basic Dimensions for a General Psychological Theory*: OG&H Publishers. 1980.
- 32 59. Jenniger, R. Evaluating the consequences of feedback in intelligent tutoring systems. *Third International*  
33 *Conference on Affective Computing and Intelligent Interaction and Workshops ACII*. North Carolina State  
34 Univ., Raleigh, NC, USA. 2009.
- 35 60. Russell, J.A. (1980). A Circumplex Model of Affect, *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, Vol. 39, No.  
36 6, pp. 1161-1178.