SYSTEM MODELING AND OPTIMIZATION

IFIP - The International Federation for Information Processing

IFIP was founded in 1960 under the auspices of UNESCO, following the First World Computer Congress held in Paris the previous year. An umbrella organization for societies working in information processing, IFIP's aim is two-fold: to support information processing within its member countries and to encourage technology transfer to developing nations. As its mission statement clearly states,

IFIP's mission is to be the leading, truly international, apolitical organization which encourages and assists in the development, exploitation and application of information technology for the benefit of all people.

IFIP is a non-profitmaking organization, run almost solely by 2500 volunteers. It operates through a number of technical committees, which organize events and publications. IFIP's events range from an international congress to local seminars, but the most important are:

- The IFIP World Computer Congress, held every second year;
- Open conferences;
- Working conferences.

The flagship event is the IFIP World Computer Congress, at which both invited and contributed papers are presented. Contributed papers are rigorously refereed and the rejection rate is high.

As with the Congress, participation in the open conferences is open to all and papers may be invited or submitted. Again, submitted papers are stringently refereed.

The working conferences are structured differently. They are usually run by a working group and attendance is small and by invitation only. Their purpose is to create an atmosphere conducive to innovation and development. Refereeing is less rigorous and papers are subjected to extensive group discussion.

Publications arising from IFIP events vary. The papers presented at the IFIP World Computer Congress and at open conferences are published as conference proceedings, while the results of the working conferences are often published as collections of selected and edited papers.

Any national society whose primary activity is in information may apply to become a full member of IFIP, although full membership is restricted to one society per country. Full members are entitled to vote at the annual General Assembly, National societies preferring a less committed involvement may apply for associate or corresponding membership. Associate members enjoy the same benefits as full members, but without voting rights. Corresponding members are not represented in IFIP bodies. Affiliated membership is open to non-national societies, and individual and honorary membership schemes are also offered.

SYSTEM MODELING AND OPTIMIZATION

Proceedings of the 21st IFIP TC7 Conference held in July 21st-25th, 2003, Sophia Antipolis, France

Edited by

John Cagnol Pôle Universitaire Léonard de Vinci, Paris, France

Jean-Paul Zolésio CNRS/INRIA Sophia Antipolis, France



KLUWER ACADEMIC PUBLISHERS

John Cagnol Pôle Universitaire Léonard de Vinci Courbevoie, FRANCE Jean-Paul Zolésio CNRS/INRIA Sophia Antipolis, FRANCE

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

A C.I.P. Catalogue record for this book is available from the Library of Congress.

SYSTEM MODELING AND OPTIMIZATION / Edited by John Cagnol, John-Paul Zolésio.

p.cm. —(The International Federation for Information Processing) Includes bibliographical references..

ISBN: (HC) 1-4020-7760-2/ (eB00K) ISBN: 0-387-23467-5 Printed on acid-free paper.

Copyright © 2005 by International Federation for Information Processing.

All rights reserved. This work may not be translated or copied in whole or in part without the written permission of the publisher [Springer Science+Business Media, Inc., 233 Spring Street, New York, NY 10013, USA), except for brief excerpts in connection with reviews or scholarly analysis. Use in connection with any form of information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now know or hereafter developed is forbidden. The use in this publication of trade names, trademarks, service marks and similar terms, even if the are not identified as such, is not to be taken as an expression of opinion as to whether or not they are subject to proprietary rights.

Printed in the United States of America.

9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 springeronline.com SPIN 11054290 (HC) / 11333548 (eBook)

Contents

Foreword		ix
Organizing Institutions		
Contribu	ting Authors	viii
Contribu		
Toward	a Mathematical Theory of Aeroelasticity	1
A.V. Bal	akrishnan	
1	The Wing Model	3
2	The Aerodynamic Model	4
3	Time-Domain Formulation of Control Problem	14
Uniform	n Cusp Property, Boundary Integral, and Compact-	
ness	for Shape Optimization	25
Michel C	. Delfour, Nicolas Doyon, Jean-Paul Zolésio	
1	Preliminaries: Topologies on Families of Sets	26
2	Extension of the Uniform Cusp Property	27
3	Extended Uniform Cusp Property and Boundary Integral	30
4	Compactness under the Uniform Cusp Property and a Bound on the Perimeter	37
Interio	r and Boundary Stabilization of Navier-Stokes Equa-	
Interior tions	r and Boundary Stabilization of Navier-Stokes Equa-	41
Interior tions Roberto	r and Boundary Stabilization of Navier-Stokes Equa- Triggiani	41
Interior tions Roberto	r and Boundary Stabilization of Navier-Stokes Equa- Triggiani Introduction	41 42
Interior tions Roberto 1 2	r and Boundary Stabilization of Navier-Stokes Equa- Triggiani Introduction The Main Results	41 42 45
Interior tions Roberto 1 2 3	r and Boundary Stabilization of Navier-Stokes Equa- Triggiani Introduction The Main Results Introduction	41 42 45 48 54
Interior tions Roberto 1 2 3 4	and Boundary Stabilization of Navier-Stokes Equa- Triggiani Introduction The Main Results Introduction Main Results (Case $d = 3$)	41 42 45 48 54
Interior tions Roberto 1 2 3 4 Matrix	and Boundary Stabilization of Navier-Stokes Equa- Triggiani Introduction The Main Results Introduction Main Results (Case $d = 3$) Rounding with Application to Digital Halftoning	41 42 45 48 54 59
Interior tions Roberto 1 2 3 4 Matrix Naoki K	and Boundary Stabilization of Navier-Stokes Equa- Triggiani Introduction The Main Results Introduction Main Results (Case $d = 3$) Rounding with Application to Digital Halftoning atoh	41 42 45 48 54 59
Interior tions Roberto 1 2 3 4 Matrix Naoki K 1	and Boundary Stabilization of Navier-Stokes Equa- Triggiani Introduction The Main Results Introduction Main Results (Case $d = 3$) Rounding with Application to Digital Halftoning atoh Introduction	41 42 45 48 54 59 59
Interior tions Roberto 1 2 3 4 Matrix Naoki K 1 2	and Boundary Stabilization of Navier-Stokes Equa- Triggiani Introduction The Main Results Introduction Main Results (Case $d = 3$) Rounding with Application to Digital Halftoning atoh Introduction Mathematical Programming Formulations	41 42 45 48 54 59 63
Interior tions Roberto 1 2 3 4 Matrix Naoki K 1 2 3	and Boundary Stabilization of Navier-Stokes Equa- Triggiani Introduction The Main Results Introduction Main Results (Case $d = 3$) Rounding with Application to Digital Halftoning atoh Introduction Mathematical Programming Formulations Geometric Families of Regions Defining Unimodular Hypergraphs	41 42 45 48 54 59 63 66 66
Interior tions Roberto 1 2 3 4 Matrix Naoki K 1 2 3 4	and Boundary Stabilization of Navier-Stokes Equa- Triggiani Introduction The Main Results Introduction Main Results (Case $d = 3$) Rounding with Application to Digital Halftoning atoh Introduction Mathematical Programming Formulations Geometric Families of Regions Defining Unimodular Hypergraphs Algorithms for Computing the Optimal Rounding	41 42 45 48 54 59 63 66 67 67
$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Interior}\\ \textbf{tions}\\ Roberto\\ 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c}\textbf{Matrix}\\ Naoki K\\ 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ \end{array}$	r and Boundary Stabilization of Navier-Stokes Equa- <i>Triggiani</i> Introduction The Main Results Introduction Main Results (Case $d = 3$) Rounding with Application to Digital Halftoning <i>atoh</i> Introduction Mathematical Programming Formulations Geometric Families of Regions Defining Unimodular Hypergraphs Algorithms for Computing the Optimal Rounding Upper Bounds for the L_p -Discrepancy	41 42 45 48 54 59 63 59 63 66 67 68
$ \begin{array}{c} \textbf{Interior}\\ \textbf{tions}\\ Roberto\\ 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ \end{array} \\ \textbf{Matrix}\\ Naoki K\\ 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ \end{array} $	r and Boundary Stabilization of Navier-Stokes Equa- <i>Triggiani</i> Introduction The Main Results Introduction Main Results (Case $d = 3$) Rounding with Application to Digital Halftoning <i>atoh</i> Introduction Mathematical Programming Formulations Geometric Families of Regions Defining Unimodular Hypergraphs Algorithms for Computing the Optimal Rounding Upper Bounds for the L_p -Discrepancy Application to Digital Halftoning	41 42 45 48 54 59 63 66 67 68 68 68
$ \begin{array}{c} \textbf{Interior}\\ \textbf{tions}\\ Roberto\\ 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ \end{array} \\ \textbf{Matrix}\\ Naoki K\\ 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ \end{array} $	r and Boundary Stabilization of Navier-Stokes Equa- <i>Triggiani</i> Introduction The Main Results Introduction Main Results (Case $d = 3$) Rounding with Application to Digital Halftoning <i>atoh</i> Introduction Mathematical Programming Formulations Geometric Families of Regions Defining Unimodular Hypergraphs Algorithms for Computing the Optimal Rounding Upper Bounds for the L_p -Discrepancy Application to Digital Halftoning Global Roundings	41 42 45 48 54 59 63 66 67 68 68 68 68 68 69

No	nlinea catior	ar Programming: Algorithms, Software, and Appli- is	73
Kla	us Sch	ittkowski, Christian Zillober	
	1	Sequential Quadratic Versus Sequential Convex Programming Methods	76
	2	Very Large Scale Optimization by Sequential Convex Program- ming	84
	3	Case Study: Horn Radiators for Satellite Communication	88
	4	Case Study: Design of Surface Acoustic Wave Filters	93
	5	Case Study: Optimal Control of an Acetylene Beactor	97
	6	Case Study: Weight Reduction of a Cruise Ship	102
Ste	ochast	tic Modeling and Optimization of Complex Infras-	1 A Q
л	m		100
Ρ.	Thoft-C	Inristensen	110
	1	Formulation of the Cost Optimization Problem	110
	2	Bridge Networks	111
	3 .	Estimation of Service Life of Infrastructures	112
	4	Stochastic Modeling of Maintenance Strategies	114
	5	Design of Long Bridges	115
	6	Conclusions	120
Fe	edbac equal	k Robust Control for a Parabolic Variational In- ity	123
Vy	a ches la	v Maksimov	
	1	Introduction	123
	2	Statement of the Problem	124
	3	The Algorithm for Solving Problem 1	127
	4	The Algorithm for Solving Problem 2	131
Tr	acking	g Control of Parabolic Systems	135
Lu	ciano F	Pandolfi, Enrico Priola	
	1	Introduction and Preliminaries	135
	2	The Tracking Problem	137
M Se	odelin rovej A	ng of Topology Variations in Elasticity	147
00	1	Problem Formulation	148
	2	Modeling of Singularly Perturbed Boundary Value Problem	150
	2	Modeling with Solf Adjoint Extensions	151
	ა 4	Modeling with Sen Aujoint Extensions	152
	4	How to Determine the Model Decemeters	152
	0	How to Determine the Model Parameters	156
	0	Spectral Problems	150
Fa	ctoriz	ation by Invariant Embedding of Elliptic Problems	S 1 F O
	in a (Uircular Domain	198
J.	Henry,	B. Louro, M.C. Soares	~
	1	Motivation	160
	2	Formulation of the Problem and a Regularization Result	161
	3	Factorization by Invariant Embedding	162

Contents	vii			
 4 Sketch of the Proof of Theorem 2 5 Factorization by Invariant Embedding: Dual Case 6 Sketch of the Proof of Theorem 7 7 Final Remarks 	164 166 168 170			
On Identifiability of Linear Infinite-Dimensional Systems 1	71			
1 Basic Definitions 2 Identifiability Analysis	$\frac{172}{174}$			
An Inverse Problem For the Telegraph Equation				
 A.D. Ratzadaski, M.M. Sorokina The Telegraph Equation and the Estimation Problem Some Properties of the Telegraph Equation Observability The Filtering Equations The Duality of Optimal Control and Observation problems 	178 180 181 184 187			
Solvability and Numerical Solution of Variational Data As- similation Problems	191			
Victor Shutyaev1Statement of Data Assimilation Problem2Linear Data Assimilation Problem3Solvability of Nonlinear Problem4Iterative Algorithms	191 193 196 198			
Existence of Solutions to Evolution Second Order Hemivari- ational Inequalities with Multivalued Damping 203				
Zdzisław Denkowski , Stanisław Migórski				
1 Motivation	205			
2 Preliminaries	207			
3 Existence Theorem	210			
Probabilistic Investigation on Dynamic Response of Deck Slabs of Highway Bridges	217			
Chul-Woo Kim, Mitsuo Kawatani	010			
Governing Equations of Bridge-Vehicle Interaction System	218			
2 Model Description	221			
4 Concluding Remarks	$\frac{224}{227}$			
Optimal Maintenance for Bridge Considering Earthquak Effects				
nitosni Furuta, Kazuniro Koyama	020			
1 Earthquake Occurrence Probability in Service Time	230			
2 Analysis of Required Yield Strength Spectrum	231			
3 Reliability Analysis of Steel Bridge Pier				
4 Life Cycle Cost Considering Parthaustre Effects	233			

Uniform Decay Rates of Solutions to a Nonlinear Wave				
Manula M. Constanti Valuis N. Dominus Conduction Manula	Se 239			
Marcelo M. Cavalcanti, Valeria N. Domingos Cavalcanti, Mauro L.	Santos			
2 Exponential Decay	243 946			
2 Exponential Decay 3 Polynomial Bate of Decay	240 251			
5 I ofynolliai Rate of Decay	201			
Bayesian Deconvolution of Functions in RKHS using				
MCMC Techniques	257			
Gianluigi Pillonetto, Bradley M. Bell				
1 Introduction	257			
2 Preliminaries	258			
3 Statement of the Estimation Problem	261			
4 MCMC Deconvolution Algorithms in RKHS	263			
5 Numerical Experiments	265			
6 Conclusions	266			
Appendix: Proof of Theorem 6	267			
Modeling Stachastia Hubrid Systems 260				
Mrinal K. Chosh Armabha Bagahi	205			
1 Stochastic Hybrid Model I	971			
2 Stochastic Hybrid Model II	275			
2 Stochastic Hybrid Model H 3 Conclusion	279			
6 Conclusion	-10			
Mathematical Models and State Observation of the Glucose-				
Insulin Homeostasis	281			
A. De Guelano, D. Di Martino, A. Germani, C. Manes	000			
2 The Minimal Model	200			
3 The Fisher Model	200			
4 Glucose Feedback Model	200			
5 Conclusions and Future Developments	293			
Convergence Estimates of POD-Galerkin Methods for	•			
Parabolic Problems	295			
Thibault Henri, Jean-Pierre Yvon				
1 Principle of Proper Orthogonal Decomposition (POD)	296			
2 Problem Formulation	298			
3 Estimates of the Error of POD-Approximation in a Regula	ar Case299			
4 Choosing the Order of Approximation	303			
5 Conclusion	305			

Foreword

This volume comprises selected papers from the 21st Conference on System Modeling and Optimization that took place from July 21st to July 25th, 2003, in Sophia Antipolis, France. This event is part of a series of conferences that meet every other year and bring together the seventh Technical Committee of the International Federation for Information Processing (IFIP). It has been co-organized by three institutions: Institut National de Recherche en Informatique et Automatique (INRIA), Pôle Universitaire Léonard de Vinci and Ecole des Mines de Paris. It was chaired by Jean-Paul Zolésio and co-chaired by John Cagnol.

IFIP is a multinational federation of professional and technical organizations concerned with information processing. The Federation is organized into the IFIP Council, the Executive Board, and the Technical Assembly. The Technical Assembly is divided into eleven Technical Committees of which TC 7 is one. The TC 7 on system modeling and optimization aims to provide an international clearing house for computational, as well as related theoretical, aspects of optimization problems in diverse areas and to share computing experience gained on specific applications. It also aims to promote the development of importants high-level theory to meet the needs of complex optimization problems and establish appropriate cooperation with the International Mathematics Union and similar organizations. In addition, IFIP fosters interdisciplinary activity on optimization problems spanning the various areas such as Economics, including Business Administration and Management, Biomedicine, Meteorology, etc. in cooperation with associated international bodies. The technical committee is composed of seven working groups and is chaired by Irena Lasiecka. It was founded by A.V. Balakrishnan, J.L. Lions and M. Marchuk.

System modeling and optimization are two disciplines arising from many spheres of scientific activities. Their fields include, but are not limited to: bioscience, environmental science, optimal design, transport and telecommunications, control in electromagnetics, image analysis, multiphisics systems that are coupled by moving interfaces, free boundary problems, non cylindrical evolution control, etc... The emergence of smart materials allows the existence of new actuators and new configurations, and thus we are required to revisit many classical settings. For example, the dynamical systems involved are often non autonomous. The uncertainty in the modeling and the robustness (or the lack thereof) results in stochastic modeling. In addition, intrinsic geometry is increasing in control theory since the boundaries are moving and minimal regularity is sought. The coupling of fluid and structural mechanics leads to the superposition of Eulerian and Lagrangian representations. The coupling of several physical models such as fluid (wind, blood, solar flux,...), structures (elastic shell, elasto-plastic crash, airfoils, arteries,...), electromagnetism (antennas, dynamical frequency assignations, nerves and heart control), thermal effects (rheology, boundary conditions, damping,...), acoustics (supersonic plane, sound control, helicopter cabin noise reduction...), and chemical effects (climate, pollution, ionisation,....) lead to hierarchical modeling associated with multiscale control theory and computation. Optimization and optimal control of such systems include inverse problems and topological identification analysis for applications to non destructive control such as cracks and surface identifications. Many of these problems lead to non linear, non quadratic control problems.

The editors would like to acknowledge the contributions of the many members of the IFIP Program Committee who have given valuable advice. They would like to thank George Avalos, Arunabha Bagchi, Francesca Bucci, Dan Dolk, Hitohsi Furuta, Irena Lasiecka, Catherine Lebiedzik, Guenter Leugering, Zdzisław Naniewicz, Vyachesłav Maksimov, Luciano Pandolfi, Mike Polis, Hans-Jürgen Sebastian, Irina Sivergina, Jan Sokolowski, Marc Thiriet and Fredi Troeltzsch for accepting to organize minisymposia.

The editors would also like to thank Michel Cosnard, head of INRIA-Sophia Antipolis who made possible the organization of the conference and Yves Laboureur head of the Sophia-Antipolis branch of the Ecole des Mines for hosting the conference.

Finally, the chair and co-chair would like to thank their wives Monique and Bethany for their support and help during the organization of the conference.

John Cagnol and Jean-Paul Zolésio

Organizing Institutions

INRIA, the National Institute for Research in Computer Science and Control, was created in 1967 at Rocquencourt near Paris. INRIA is a public scientific and technological establishment under the joint supervision of the Research Ministry and the Ministry of Economy, Treasury and Industry. INRIA's mission is to be a world player, a research institute at the heart of the information society. INRIA aims to network skills and talents from the fields of information and computer science and technology from the entire French research system. This network allows scientific excellence to be used for technological progress, for creating employment, and prosperity and for finding renewed applications in response to socio-economic needs. Its decentralized organization (six research units), small autonomous teams, and regular evaluation enable INRIA to develop partnerships with 95 research projects shared with universities, Grandes Ecoles and research organizations.

Pôle Universitaire Léonard de Vinci is a private university founded in 1995, and located in Paris La Défense, France. It includes an accredited engineering school with several departments: Scientific Computation, Computer Science, Financial Engineering and Computational Mechanics. These programs have received very positive feedback from the industry.

The Ecole des Mines de Paris was founded in 1783 by Louis XVI. It was originally a mining school. The exploitation and processing of raw materials formed the basis of the development of Europe's economy. The art of mining in particular was one area in which scientific thinking had to be applied. Naturally, the focus of the School closely followed industrial development and the Ecole des Mines now studies, develops and teaches a wide range of sciences and techniques of value to engineers, including economic and social sciences. Today the Ecole des Mines de Paris is split into four locations: Paris, Fontainebleau, Evry and Sophia Antipolis.

Contributing Authors

Arunabha Bagchi University of Twente, The Netherlands

A.V. Balakrishnan Flight Systems Research Center, UCLA, U.S.A.

Bradley M. Bell University of Washington, U.S.A.

Marcelo M. Cavalcanti State University of Maringá, Brazil

Valéria N. Domingos Cavalcanti State University of Maringá, Brazil

Andrea De Gaetano Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Italy

Michel C. Delfour CRM, Université de Montréal, Canada

Zdzisław Denkowski Jagiellonian University, Poland

Domenico Di Martino IASI-CNR, Italy

Nicolas Doyon Université Laval, Canada

Hitoshi Furuta Kansai University, Japan

Alfredo Germani Università degli Studi dell'Aquila, Italy

Mrinal K. Ghosh Indian Institute of Science, India Thibault Henri INSA de Rennes, France

Jacques Henry INRIA, France

Naoki Katoh Kyoto University, Japan

Mitsuo Kawatani Kobe University, Japan

Chul-Woo Kim Kobe University, Japan

Kazuhiro Koyama Kansai University, Japan

A.B. Kurzhanski Moscow State University, Russia

B. Louro Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal

Vyacheslav Maksimov Russian Academy of Sciences, Russia

Costanzo Manes Università degli Studi dell'Aquila, Italy

Stanisław Migórski Jagiellonian University, Poland

Serguei A. Nazarov Russian Academy of Sciences, Russia

Yury Orlov CICESE Research Center, Mexico

Luciano Pandolfi Politecnico di Torino, Italy

Gianluigi Pillonetto University of Padova, Italy

Enrico Priola Università di Torino, Italy

xiv

Contributing Authors

Mauro L. Santos Universidade Federal do Pará, Brazil

Klaus Schittkowski University of Bayreuth, Germany

Victor Shutyaev Russian Academy of Sciences, Russia

M.C. Soares Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal

Jan Sokolowski Université Henri Poincaré Nancy I, France

M.M. Sorokina Moscow State University, Russia

P. Thoft-Christensen Aalborg University, Denmark

Roberto Triggiani University of Virginia, U.S.A.

Jean-Pierre Yvon INSA de Rennes, France

Christian Zillober University of Bayreuth, Germany

Jean-Paul Zolésio CNRS and INRIA, France