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#### Abstract

Motivated by the study of matter waves in Bose-Einstein condensates and coupled nonlinear optical systems, we study a system of two coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations with inhomogeneous parameters, including a linear coupling. For that system we prove the existence of two different kinds of homoclinic solutions to the origin describing solitary waves of physical relevance. We use a Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem together with a suitable compactness criterion.
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## 1 Introduction

Nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equations appear in a great array of contexts [53,49] as for example in semiconductor electronics [10,30, optics in nonlinear media [27], photonics [24], plasmas [17], fundamentation of quantum mechanics [44], dynamics of accelerators [19], mean-field theory of Bose-Einstein condensates [14] or in biomolecule dynamics [15]. In some of these fields and many others, the NLS equation appears as an asymptotic limit for a slowly varying dispersive wave envelope propagating in a nonlinear medium [47].

In this paper we will be interested in systems of two coupled NLS equations in which there is a linear coupling between both components.

A first example which arises in the study of a spinor Bose-Einstein condensate composed of two hyperfine states (for instance in many experiments, the $\left|F=1, m_{f}=-1\right\rangle$ and $\left|F=2, m_{f}=1\right\rangle$ states of ${ }^{87} \mathrm{Rb}$ atoms are used) and coupled by an optical or r.f. field. These systems have received a lot of attention both experimentally $[32,33,35,36,31,11]$ and theoretically (see e.g. Refs. [55, 20, 21, 16, 26|, 9|34|,37,45] and references therein) since they represent the simplest mixture of different ultracold quantum degenerate gases and were studied immediately after the historial achievement of Bose-Einstein condensation in 1995 [14].

To simplify the treatment yet preserving the spatial aspects we assume the condensate to be magnetically tightly confined along two of the transverse directions to a single effective dimension [39]. In the mean-field approximation the system is described by the Gross-Pitaevskii equations [32/33]

$$
\begin{align*}
& i \frac{\partial \psi_{1}}{\partial t}=\left(L_{1}+U_{11}\left|\psi_{1}\right|^{2}+U_{12}\left|\psi_{2}\right|^{2}\right) \psi_{1}+\lambda \psi_{2}  \tag{1a}\\
& i \frac{\partial \psi_{2}}{\partial t}=\left(L_{2}+U_{22}\left|\psi_{2}\right|^{2}+U_{21}\left|\psi_{1}\right|^{2}\right) \psi_{2}+\lambda \psi_{1} \tag{1b}
\end{align*}
$$

where $L_{j}=-\partial^{2} / \partial x^{2}+V_{j}$ with $j=1,2$. Equation (1) is written in dimensionless form: the spatial coordinates and time are measured in units of $\ell=\sqrt{\hbar /(2 m \omega)}$ and $1 / \omega$, respectively, while the energies and frequencies are measured in units of $\hbar \omega$ and $\omega, \omega$ being the trap frequency in the $(x, z)$ plane. The dimensionless nonlinear coefficients, for the quasi-one-dimensional condensate, are given by $U_{i j}=U_{j i}=2 N a_{i j} / \ell$ where $a_{i j}$ are the scattering lengths for binary collisions, $N$ is the total number of atoms, and $\ell$ is the oscillator length in the $y$-direction. The normalization of the wave function $\psi=\operatorname{col}\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right)$ is then $\int \psi^{\dagger} \psi d^{2} r=1$. In many practical situations for spinor condensates we have $U_{11} \simeq U_{12}=U_{21} \simeq U_{22}$ and it is customary to consider all of the scattering lengths to be equal.

An specific situation which arises also in applications to BEC happens when two different condensates do not coexist but are spatially separated and weakly coupled. In that situation, described for example in Refs. [42|29] and references therein the model is equivalent to Eq. 1 but with different nonlinearities given by $U_{12}=U_{21}=0$ (i.e. there is no nonlinear coupling between both equations).

Although the dynamics of coupled Bose-Einstein condensates has attracted a lot of attention in the last years, Equations (1) also arise in other physical contexts, most notably in Nonlinear Optical models, where they describe coupled optical fibers where the functions $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ describe the light field within each waveguide [56]. Also other physical situations in Nonlinear Optics are described by this type of model equations [27].

In this paper we will consider the physically relevant question of the existence of solitary wave solutions to models such as the one given by Eqs. (1) but in more general scenarios where all the parameters (i.e. the potential, the nonlinear coefficients and the coupling coefficients) are functions depending on the spatial variables.

The study of the properties of localized solutions and propagating waves in Bose-Einstein condensates with spatially inhomogeneous interactions has been a field of an enormous level of activity in Physics in the last few years [43,50, 41, $1,22,54,46,7,38,18,40,8$ motivated by novel experimental ways to control experimentally the interactions (i.e. optical manipulation of the Feschbach resonances [51]).

In this paper we will consider theoretically the problem of the existence of solitary wave solutions in two-component condensates when all of the physical parameters are spatially dependent, i.e. the potentials $V_{j}(x)$, the nonlinear coefficients $U_{i j}(x)$ and the coupling parameter $\lambda(x)$. The latter posibility has not been explored yet, neither experimentally nor theoretically. First because the analyses of spatially dependent interactions have been focused on the case of a single component $43,50,41,1,22,54,46,7,38,18,40,8$. Secondly because the coupling coefficient $\lambda$ is usually taken to be spatially homogeneous. However it is very simple to add an spatial dependence to this parameter in experiments since $\lambda$ is related to the coupling field and in the optical case this means just using a spatially dependent laser, i.e. a beam with a prescribed profile on the scale of the BEC.

Thus we will consider the physically relevant problem of studying solitary wave solutions to the problem

$$
\begin{align*}
i \frac{\partial \psi_{1}}{\partial t} & =\left[-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+a(x)\right] \psi_{1}-b(x) \psi_{2}-c(x) F\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right)  \tag{2a}\\
i \frac{\partial \psi_{2}}{\partial t} & =\left[-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+d(x)\right] \psi_{2}-e(x) \psi_{1}-f(x) G\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right) \tag{2b}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\psi_{j}$ are the complex wavefunctions defined on all $\mathbb{R}$ which for solitary waves, i.e. localized solutions, must also decay at infinity and we consider general nonlinearities $F$ and $G$ satisfying certain conditions to be made precise later. In order to look for stationary solutions we eliminate time as usual by defining

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{j}(x, t)=u_{j}(x) \exp \left(i \omega_{j} t\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since all physically relevant nonlinearities are homogeneous in time and redefining the potentials $a(x), d(x)$ to incorporate the constant factors proportional to $\omega_{j} u_{j}$ we get the final equations to be studied in detail in this paper

$$
\begin{align*}
& -u_{1}^{\prime \prime}(x)+a(x) u_{1}(x)-b(x) u_{2}(x)=c(x) F\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) u_{1}  \tag{4a}\\
& -u_{2}^{\prime \prime}(x)+d(x) u_{2}(x)-e(x) u_{1}(x)=f(x) H\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) u_{2} \tag{4b}
\end{align*}
$$

i.e. a set of two coupled stationary linearly coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations with spatially inhomogeneous coefficients. We will keep in mind the previous discussion from which it is clear that the two most interesting situations from the point of view of applications are

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=u_{1}^{2}, \quad H\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=u_{2}^{2} \tag{5a}
\end{equation*}
$$

for weakly coupled Bose-Einstein condensates or optical fibers and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=H\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=u_{1}^{2}+u_{2}^{2}, \tag{5b}
\end{equation*}
$$

for multicomponent spinor Bose-Einstein condensates coupled by an optical field [55]. The purpose of this paper is to study the existence of localized positive solutions $\mathbf{u}=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$ of Eqs. (4) satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u_{i}(x)=0, \quad \lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u_{i}^{\prime}(x)=0, \quad i=1,2 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a, b, c, d, e, f \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, in Eqs. (4), are non-negative almost everywhere and $F\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$ and $G\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$ are continuous functions. Such solution $\mathbf{u}$ will have finite energy, that mathematically means that it belongs to $H^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{1}(\mathbb{R})$.

Between the different solutions of Eq. (4) those with a more direct physical interest are the so called ground states, which are positive real solutions of that system. Although we will be mostly interested on those solutions we will also consider the existence of other families of solutions which are relevant for applications. The existence of positive solutions for different types of vector Nonlinear Schödinger Equations has attracted a lot of interest in recent years from the mathematical point of view. For instance in Refs. [3,4/5]6 the existence of positive solutions was proven using either critical point theory or variational approaches.

In that context in this paper we complement previous studies with the analysis of the richer system given by Eqs. (4), which describes physical situations beyond those studied previously. To do so we will also develop a new theoretical approach to the problem based on the use of a fixed point theorem due to Krasnoselskii for completely continuous operators defined in cones of a Banach space together with a suitable study of the Green's function for the linear part of the problem. This method has been employed successfully for scalar problems on the real line [52] and in some problems on bounded domains [12]. Using this technique, we will be able to prove the existence of positive solutions of Eqs. (4) under the conditions (6). We think that, beyond its own interest, this topological approach appears to be complementary to the variational approach.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 some preliminary results are collected. Section 3 contains the main result about the existence of a homoclinic orbit to the origin (positive solution). In Section 4 we prove that, if in addition to the hypotheses of the main result of Section 3 we assume a set of hypotheses on the functions $a, b, c, d, e, f$ when there exists a second wave which is odd. Finally, section 5 contains a result about the study of branches of solutions dependent on a parameter.

We use the notation $\mathbb{R}^{+}=(0,+\infty), \mathbb{R}_{+}=[0,+\infty)$.For a given $a \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, the essential infimum is denoted as $a_{*}$. The support of a given function $a$ is denoted by $\operatorname{Supp}(\mathrm{a})$. The limit value of a given function $u$ in $+\infty$ (or $-\infty$ ) is written simply as $u(+\infty)($ or $u(-\infty)$ ).

## 2 Preliminaries

The proof of the main results is based on a well-know fixed point theorem in cones for a completely continuous operator defined on a Banach space, due to Krasnoselskii [28]. We recall the statement of this result below, after introducing the definition of a cone (see, for example, [23]).

Definition 1 Let $X$ be a Banach space and $P$ be a closed, nonempty subset of $X . P$ is a cone if
(1) $\lambda x+\mu y \in P \quad \forall x, y \in P$ and $\quad \forall \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$,
(2) $x,-x \in P$ implies $x=0$.

We also recall that a given operator is completely continuous if the image of a bounded set is relatively compact.

Theorem 1 Let $X$ be a Banach space, and let $P \subset X$ be a cone in $X$. Assume $\Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}$ are open subsets of $X$ with $0 \in \Omega_{1}, \bar{\Omega}_{1} \subset \Omega_{2}$ and let $T: P \cap\left(\bar{\Omega}_{2} \backslash \Omega_{1}\right) \rightarrow P$ be a completely continuous operator such that one of the following conditions is satisfied
(1) $\|T u\| \leqslant\|u\|$, if $u \in P \cap \partial \Omega_{1}$, and $\|T u\| \geqslant\|u\|$, if $u \in P \cap \partial \Omega_{2}$.
(2) $\|T u\| \geqslant\|u\|$, if $u \in P \cap \partial \Omega_{1}$, and $\|T u\| \leqslant\|u\|$, if $u \in P \cap \partial \Omega_{2}$.

Then, $T$ has at least one fixed point in $P \cap\left(\bar{\Omega}_{2} \backslash \Omega_{1}\right)$.
This result has been extensively employed in the study of nonlinear equations [52,57] and also in the study of boundary value nonlinear systems [12,25]. However, for problems defined in non-compact intervals such as ours, there is the difficulty that the Ascoli-Arzela theorem is not sufficient for proving the complete continuity of the operator. We shall employ the following com-
pactness criterion (reminiscent of a result by M. Zima [57]) to show that the operator is completely continuous.

Proposition 1 Let $\Omega \subset B C(\mathbb{R})$. Let us assume that the functions $u \in \Omega$ are equicontinuous in each compact interval of $\mathbb{R}$ and that for all $u \in \Omega$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u(x)| \leq \xi(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi \in B C(\mathbb{R})$ verifies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|x| \rightarrow+\infty} \xi(x)=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, $\Omega$ is relatively compact.
Proof: Given $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n}$ a sequence of functions of $\Omega$, we have to prove that there exists a partial sequence which is uniformly convergent to a certain $u$. Note that the elements of $\Omega$ are uniformly bounded by $\|\xi\|_{\infty}$ and equicontinuous on compact intervals by hypothesis, therefore the Ascoli-Arzela theorem provides partial sequence (call it again $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n}$ ) which is uniformly convergent to a certain $u$ on compact intervals. Of course, $u$ satisfies also (7). Now, we have to prove that

$$
\forall \varepsilon>0, \exists n_{0} \text { s.t. } n \geq n_{0} \Longrightarrow\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{\infty}<\varepsilon .
$$

By using (8]), fix $k>0$ such that $\max _{x \in \mathbb{R} \backslash]-k, k[ }|\xi(x)|<\varepsilon / 4$. On the other hand, by using the uniform convergence on compact intervals, there exists $n_{0}$ such that $\max _{x \in[-k, k]}\left|u_{n}(x)-u(x)\right|<\varepsilon / 2$ for all $n \geq n_{0}$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{\infty} \leq \max _{x \in[-k, k]}\left|u_{n}(x)-u(x)\right|+\max _{x \in \mathbb{R} \backslash]-k, k[ } & \left|u_{n}(x)-u(x)\right|< \\
& <\frac{\varepsilon}{2}+2 \max _{x \in \mathbb{R} \backslash]-k, k[ }|\xi(x)|<\varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

and the proof is finished.
In order to apply Theorem 1, we need some information about the properties of the Green's function. Let $a \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}), a_{*}>0$. For the homogeneous problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\phi^{\prime \prime}+a(x) \phi=0  \tag{9a}\\
& \phi(-\infty)=0, \phi(\infty)=0 \tag{9b}
\end{align*}
$$

the associated Green's function is given by

$$
G_{1}(x, s)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\phi_{1}(x) \phi_{2}(s),-\infty<x \leq s<+\infty  \tag{10}\\
\phi_{1}(s) \phi_{2}(x),-\infty<s \leq x<+\infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ are solutions such that $\phi_{1}(-\infty)=0, \phi_{2}(+\infty)=0$. Moreover, $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ can be chosen as positive increasing and positive decreasing functions
respectively. For a given $h(x) \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$, the function $u(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} G(x, s) h(s) d s$ is the unique solution of the equation $-\phi^{\prime \prime}+a(x) \phi=h(x)$ in the Sobolev space $H^{1}(\mathbb{R})$. In particular, $u$ and $u^{\prime}$ vanishes at $\pm \infty$. See for instance [48].

Note that $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ intersect in a unique point $x_{0}$. So, we can define a function $p_{1} \in B C(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$
p_{1}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1 / \phi_{2}(x), x \leq x_{0}  \tag{11}\\
1 / \phi_{1}(x), x>x_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

The following result was proven in [52].
Proposition 2 The following properties for the Green's function defined by (10) hold.
(P1) $G_{1}(x, s)>0$ for every $(x, s) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$.
(P2) $G_{1}(x, s) \leq G_{1}(s, s)$ for every $(x, s) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$.
(P3) Given a non-empty compact subset $P \subset \mathbb{R}$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{1}(P)=\min \left(\phi_{1}(\inf P), \phi_{2}(\sup P)\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{1}(x, s) \geq m(P) p(s) G_{1}(s, s) \quad \text { for all } \quad(x, s) \in P \times \mathbb{R} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, the linear operator $L_{2}[u] \equiv-u^{\prime \prime}+d(x) u$ with $d_{*}>0$ defines a second Green's function $G_{2}(x, s)$ with analogous properties involving properly defined $p_{2}(x), m_{2}(P)$.

## 3 Existence of positive bound states

From now on, we will assume that $M=\operatorname{Supp}(b) \cup \operatorname{Supp}(c) \cup \operatorname{Supp}(e) \cup \operatorname{Supp}(f)$ is a non-empty compact set. In order to apply Theorem 1, we take the Banach space $X=B C(\mathbb{R}) \times B C(\mathbb{R})$ with the norm $\|\mathbf{u}\|=\max _{i=1,2}\left|u_{i}\right|$, for $\mathbf{u}=$ $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in X$. Let us define the cone

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\left\{\mathbf{u}=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in X: u_{1}(x), u_{2}(x) \geq 0 \quad \forall x, \quad \min _{x \in M} u_{i}(x) \geq m_{i} p_{0}^{i}\left\|u_{i}\right\|\right\} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{0}^{1}=\inf _{M} p_{1}(x), p_{0}^{2}=\inf _{M} p_{2}(x)$ and the constants $m_{1} \equiv m_{1}(M)$ and $m_{2} \equiv m_{2}(M)$ are defined by property (P3). Note that the compactness of $M$ implies that $p_{0}^{1}>0$ and $p_{0}^{2}>0$. Moreover, as from $(P 3)$ it is easy to see that $m_{i} p_{0}^{i}<1$, for $i=1,2$. Thus, one can easily verify that $P$ is a cone in $X$.

Let $T: P \rightarrow X$ be a map with components $\left(T_{1}, T_{2}\right)$ defined by

$$
\begin{gather*}
T_{1}(\mathbf{u})(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} G_{1}(x, s)\left(b(s) u_{2}(s)+c(s) F\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) u_{1}(s)\right) d s \\
\quad=\int_{M} G_{1}(x, s)\left(b(s) u_{2}(s)+c(s) F\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) u_{2}(s)\right) d s  \tag{15a}\\
\begin{array}{c}
T_{2}(\mathbf{u})(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} G_{2}(x, s)\left(e(s) u_{1}(s)+f(s) H\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) u_{2}(s)\right) d s \\
\quad=\int_{M} G_{2}(x, s)\left(e(s) u_{1}(s)+f(s) H\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) u_{2}(s)\right) d s
\end{array}
\end{gather*}
$$

A fixed point of $T$ is a solution of system (4) which belong to $H^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{1}(\mathbb{R})$, and therefore verifies the boundary conditions (6).

Lemma 1 Let us assume that

$$
F\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right), H\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \geqslant 0 \quad \text { for every } u_{1}, u_{2} \geqslant 0
$$

Then, $T(P) \subset P$.
Proof: Take $\mathbf{u}=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in P$. The property $(P 1)$ of the Green's function together with $b_{*}, c_{*} \geq 0$ implies $T_{1} \mathbf{u}(x) \geq 0$ for all $x$. Let us call $x_{m}$ the point where $\min _{x \in M} T_{1} \mathbf{u}$ is attained. Then, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{1}(\mathbf{u})\left(x_{m}\right) & =T_{1}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\left(x_{m}\right)=\int_{M} G_{1}\left(x_{m}, s\right)\left(b(s) u_{2}(s)+c(s) F\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) u_{1}(s)\right) d s \\
& \geq m_{1} \int_{M} p(s) G_{1}(s, s)\left(b(s) u_{2}(s)+c(s) F\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) u_{1}(s)\right) d s \\
& \geq m_{1} p_{0}^{1} \int_{M} G_{1}(x, s)\left(b(s) u_{2}(s)+c(s) F\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) u_{1}(s)\right) d s \\
& =m_{1} p_{0}^{1} T_{1}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)(x)=m_{1} p_{0}^{1} T_{1}(\mathbf{u})(x) \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used ( $P 2$ ) and ( $P 3$ ). In a similar way, we can prove that $T_{2}(\mathbf{u})\left(x_{m}\right) \geq m_{2} p_{0}^{2} T_{2}(\mathbf{u})(x)$. This completes the proof.

Lemma $2 T: P \rightarrow P$ is continuous and completely continuous.
Proof: The continuity is trivial. Let us prove that the components of $T$ are completely continuous. Let $\Omega \subset P$ be a bounded set, with $C>0$ a uniform bound for its elements. The functions of $T_{1}(\Omega)$ are equicontinuous on each compact interval (in fact, the derivative is bounded in compacts). On the other hand, for any $\mathbf{u} \in \Omega$,
$\left|T_{1}(\mathbf{u})(x)\right| \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} G_{1}(x, s) b(s) d s+C \max _{\|\mathbf{u}\| \leq C} F\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} G_{1}(x, s) c(s) d s=: \xi(x)$.

Since the supports of $b, c$ are compact, $\xi \in B C(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$, therefore $T_{1}(\Omega)$ is relatively compact by Proposition 1. The proof for $T_{2}$ is analogous.

The following one is the main result in this section.
Theorem 2 Let us assume the following hypotheses,
(i) $a_{*}, d_{*}>0, b_{*}, c_{*}, e_{*}, f_{*} \geqslant 0$.
(ii) $M$ is a non-empty compact set.
(iii) $F\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right), H\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \geqslant 0$ for every $u_{1}, u_{2} \geqslant 0$.
(iv) There exist $r_{0}>0$ and $\gamma, k>0$ such that given $0<r<r_{0}$,

$$
F\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right), H\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)<k r^{\gamma}, \text { for all }\|\mathbf{u}\|<r
$$

(v) There exist $R_{0}>0$ and $\delta, K>0$ such that given $R>R_{0}$,

$$
F\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right), H\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)>K R^{\delta}, \text { if } u_{1} \in\left[m_{1} p_{0}^{1} R, R\right] \text { or } u_{2} \in\left[m_{2} p_{0}^{2} R, R\right] .
$$

(vi) $\int_{M} G_{1}(x, s) b(s) d s, \int_{M} G_{2}(x, s) e(s) d s<1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Then, there exists a non-trivial solution $\mathbf{u} \in X$ of the system (4)-(6).
Proof: We define the open sets $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ as the open balls in $X$ centered in the origin and with radius $r$ and $R$, respectively, to be fixed later. Let us take $\mathbf{u} \in P \cap \partial \Omega_{1}$. Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|T \mathbf{u}\|=\max _{x \in \mathbb{R}}\left(\int_{M} G_{1}(x, s)\left(c(s) F\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) u_{1}(s)+b(s) u_{2}(s)\right) d s\right. \\
& \left.\quad \int_{M} G_{2}(x, s)\left(f(s) H\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) u_{2}(s)+e(s) u_{1}(s)\right) d s\right) \\
& \leq k r^{\gamma+1} \max _{x \in \mathbb{R}}\left(\int_{M} G_{1}(x, s) c(s) d s, \int_{M} G_{2}(x, s) f(s) d s\right) \\
& \quad+r \max _{x \in \mathbb{R}}\left(\int_{M} G_{1}(x, s) b(s) d s, \int_{M} G_{2}(x, s) e(s) d s\right) \leq r=\|\mathbf{u}\| \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

for $r$ sufficiently small, where we have used the hypothesis (iv) and (vi).
On the other hand, let us take $\mathbf{u} \in P \cap \partial \Omega_{2}$. Thus, at least a component of $\mathbf{u}$, say $u_{1}$, satisfies $\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{\infty}=R$ (the case $\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{\infty}=R$ is similar). Then, $m_{1} p_{0}^{1} R \leqslant u_{1}(x) \leqslant R$ for all $x \in M$. Therefore, by using hypothesis $(v)$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\|T \mathbf{u}\|= & \max _{i=1,2, x \in \mathbb{R}}(|T \mathbf{u}|)_{i} \geq
\end{align*} \max _{x \in M} \int_{M} G_{1}(x, s)\left(c(s) F\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) u_{1}(s)+b(s) u_{2}(s)\right) d s
$$

for $R$ sufficiently large. For the case $\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{\infty}=R$, everything works in the same way.

Now, Theorem 1 guarantees that $T$ has a fixed point $\mathbf{u} \in P \cap\left(\bar{\Omega}_{2} \backslash \Omega_{1}\right)$. Thus $r \leq\|\mathbf{u}\| \leq R$ so it is a non-trivial solution.

Although the hypothesis (vi) appears to be quite technical, its meaning can be clarified by realizing that the function $\int_{M} G_{1}(x, s) b(s) d s$ (resp. $\left.\int_{M} G_{2}(x, s) e(s) d s\right)$ can be interpreted as the unique solution of the equation $-u^{\prime \prime}+a(x) u=b(x)$ (resp. $\left.-u^{\prime \prime}+d(x) u=e(x)\right)$ with boundary conditions $u(-\infty)=0=u(+\infty)$. Having this idea in mind, we can formulate the following corollary.

Corollary 1 The later result still holds if (vi) is replaced by

$$
\text { (vi') } \max _{x \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{b(x)}{a(x)}<1, \quad \max _{x \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{e(x)}{d(x)}<1
$$

Proof: Defining $u(x)=\int_{M} G_{1}(x, s) b(s) d s$, we need to prove that $\|u\|_{\infty}<1$. Take $x_{0}$ such that $u\left(x_{0}\right)=\|u\|_{\infty}$, then $u$ is convex in a neighborhood of $x_{0}$, so from the equation $-u^{\prime \prime}+a(x) u=b(x)$ we easily get

$$
u\left(x_{0}\right) \leq \frac{b\left(x_{0}\right)}{a\left(x_{0}\right)}<1
$$

In the same way, $\int_{M} G_{2}(x, s) e(s) d s<1$ and Theorem 2 applies.

## 4 Odd solitary waves in the equation with symmetric coefficients

The aim of this section is to extend some ideas presented in [52, Section 4] for a scalar NLS equation to the vectorial case. In the previous section, we have obtained positive solutions of the problem under consideration, which is the case considered in the all related papers known to the authors. In this section, we prove the existence of a new kind of solution when the coefficients are even. In the following result, $p_{0}^{1}=\inf _{M \cap \mathbb{R}^{+}} p_{1}(x), p_{0}^{2}=\inf _{M \cap \mathbb{R}^{+}} p_{2}(x)$ and $m_{1} \equiv m_{1}\left(M \cap \mathbb{R}^{+}\right), m_{2} \equiv m_{2}\left(M \cap \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$.

Theorem 3 Under the conditions of theorem (2) if moreover $a, b, c, d, e, f$ are even functions and $0 \notin M$, then there exists an odd non-trivial solution $\mathbf{u} \in$ $H^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ of equation (4) such that $r \leq\|\mathbf{u}\| \leq R$.

Proof: The proof mimics the steps of the proof of Theorem 2, working now with the Green's functions for the problem on the half-line $\mathbb{R}_{+}$, with boundary conditions $u_{i}(0)=0=u_{i}(+\infty)$. The operator $T: B C\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \times B C\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \rightarrow$ $B C\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \times B C\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$is defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{1}(\mathbf{u})(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} G_{1}(x, s)\left(b(s) u_{2}(s)+c(s) F\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) u_{1}(s)\right) d s  \tag{19a}\\
& T_{2}(\mathbf{u})(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} G_{2}(x, s)\left(e(s) u_{1}(s)+f(s) H\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) u_{2}(s)\right) d s \tag{19b}
\end{align*}
$$

The adequate cone is

$$
\begin{align*}
& P=\left\{\mathbf{u} \in B C\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \times B C\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right): u_{1}(0)=u_{2}(0)=0,\right. \\
&  \tag{20}\\
& \left.u_{1}(x), u_{2}(x) \geq 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}, \min _{x \in M \cap \mathbb{R}_{+}} u_{i}(x) \geq m_{i} p_{0}^{i}\left\|u_{i}\right\|\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Then $T(P) \subset P$ and $T$ is a continuous and completely continuous operator, since Proposition 1 can be applied to functions $u$ defined only in $\mathbb{R}_{+}$and such that $u(0)=0$ by simply extending as the zero constant function on the negative axis. Finally, the sets $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ are defined again as the open balls of radius $r$ and $R$, respectively. Everything works in the same way so the repetitive details are omitted. In conclusion, we obtain a positive non-trivial solution $\mathbf{u} \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \times H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$such that $u_{1}(0)=u_{2}(0)=0$ and the odd extension gives the desired solution. $\boldsymbol{m}$

Note that the assumptions $0 \notin M$ is necessary in order to have $m_{1} \neq 0$, $m_{2} \neq 0$, which is a key point in the proper definition of the cone.

Finally, let us note that an analogous of Corollary 1 also holds for this case.

## 5 Branches of solutions in situations of physical interest

As it was said in the introduction, there are two situations arising in physical applications described by Eqs. (5a) and (5b). Our results can also provide some information on the localization of the solutions that can be of interest in the study of branches of solutions in systems controlled by parameters. As a basic example, we consider the system (4) with the nonlinear contribution given by Eq. (5a), i.e.

$$
\begin{align*}
& -u_{1}^{\prime \prime}(x)+a(x) u_{1}(x)=b(x) u_{2}(x)+\lambda c(x) u_{1}^{3}(x)  \tag{21a}\\
& -u_{2}^{\prime \prime}(x)+d(x) u_{2}(x)=e(x) u_{1}(x)+\lambda f(x) u_{2}^{3}(x) \tag{21b}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda>0$.
Corollary 2 Let us assume the conditions of Theorem 2. Then, for all $\lambda>0$ there exists a positive solution $\mathbf{u}_{\lambda}=\left(u_{1 \lambda}, u_{2 \lambda}\right) \in H^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ of (21a). Moreover

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left\|\mathbf{u}_{\lambda}\right\|=+\infty, \quad \lim _{\lambda \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|\mathbf{u}_{\lambda}\right\|=0 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

If moreover $a, b, c, d, e, f$ are even functions and $0 \notin M$, there exists a second branch of odd solutions $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{\lambda} \in H^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ with the same limiting properties.

Proof: The application of Theorem 2 requires the existence of $r_{\lambda}, R_{\lambda}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{\lambda}^{2} \leqslant\left[1-\max _{x \in M}\left(\int_{M} G_{1}(x, s) b(s) d s, \int_{M} G_{2}(x, s) e(s) d s\right)\right] \times \\
& {\left[\lambda \max _{x \in M}\left(\int_{M} G_{1}(x, s) c(s) d s, \int_{M} G_{2}(x, s) f(s) d s\right)\right]^{-1}} \\
& \leqslant\left[\lambda m_{0} p_{0}^{1} \max _{x \in M} \int_{M} G_{1}(x, s) c(s) d s\right]^{-1} \leqslant R_{\lambda}^{2} \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\int_{M} G_{1}(x, s) b(s) d s, \int_{M} G_{2}(x, s) e(s) d s<1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, such $r_{\lambda}, R_{\lambda}$ exist and can be chosen so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0^{+}} r_{\lambda}=+\infty, \quad \lim _{\lambda \rightarrow+\infty} R_{\lambda}=0 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we obtain a branch of solutions $\mathbf{u}_{\lambda}$ such that $r_{\lambda} \leqslant\left\|\mathbf{u}_{\lambda}\right\| \leqslant R_{\lambda}$, and now a passing to the limit finishes the proof. The arguments for the branch of odd solutions are analogous.

Thus, we obtain a bifurcation from infinity when $\lambda \rightarrow 0^{+}$.
We can also include the linear part under the effect of the parameter $\lambda$, i.e.

$$
\begin{align*}
-u_{1}^{\prime \prime}(x)+a(x) u_{1}(x) & =\lambda\left(b(x) u_{2}(x)+c(x) u_{1}^{3}(x)\right)  \tag{25a}\\
-u_{2}^{\prime \prime}(x)+d(x) u_{2}(x) & =\lambda\left(e(x) u_{1}(x)+f(x) u_{2}^{3}(x)\right) \tag{25b}
\end{align*}
$$

where, now, $\lambda \in(0, m)$ is a positive parameter, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=\left[\max _{x \in M}\left(\int_{M} G_{1}(x, s) b(s) d s, \int_{M} G_{2}(x, s) e(s) d s\right)\right]^{-1} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we obtain the following result.
Corollary 3 Let us assume the conditions of Theorem 圆. Then, for all $\lambda \in$ $(0, m)$ there exists a positive solution $\mathbf{u}_{\lambda}=\left(u_{1 \lambda}, u_{2 \lambda}\right) \in H^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ of (25a). Moreover

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left\|\mathbf{u}_{\lambda}\right\|=+\infty \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

If moreover $a, b, c, d, e, f$ are even functions and $0 \notin M$, there exists a second branch of odd solutions $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{\lambda} \in H^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ with the same limiting properties.

By using the same argument as in Corollary 1 , one get an explicit lower bound for $m$.
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