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#### Abstract

The square of a graph is obtained by adding additional edges joining all pair of vertices of distance two in the original graph. Particularly, if $C$ is a hamiltonian cycle of a graph $G$, then the square of $C$ is called a hamiltonian square of $G$. In this paper, we characterize all possible forbidden pairs, which implies the containment of a hamiltonian square, in a 4 -connected graph. The connectivity condition is necessary as, except $K_{3}$ and $K_{4}$, the square of a cycle is always 4-connected.
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## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we only consider simple and finite graphs. Let $G$ and $H$ be two graphs. We use $G \sqcup H$ to denote the vertex-disjoint union of $G$ and $H$ if $G$ and $H$ are vertex disjoint, use $G \cup H$ to denote the union of $G$ and $H$, and use $G+H$ to denote the join of $G$ and $H$, which is the graph on $V(G) \cup V(H)$ with edges including all edges of $G$ and $H$, and all edges between $V(G)$ and $V(H)$. The notation $\bar{G}$ denotes the complement of $G$; that is, the graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edges between all non-adjacent pairs of vertices in $G$. The square of a graph is obtained by adding additional edges joining all pair of vertices of distance two in the original graph. Particularly, if $C$ is a hamiltonian cycle of a graph $G$, then the square of $C$ is called a hamiltonian square of $G$. If $G$ contains a hamiltonian square, we then say $G$ has an $H^{2}$. The earliest problem on hamiltonian square can be traced back to a conjecture proposed by Pósa [4]. The conjecture states that any $n$-vertex graph with minimum degree at least $\frac{2 n}{3}$ contains a hamiltonian square. The complete tripartite graph $K_{t, t, t-1}$ has minimum degree $2(3 t-1) / 3-1 / 3$, but has no $H^{2}$. So, if true, the conjecture is best possible. In 1973, Seymour [14] made a
more general conjecture, which says that any n-vertex graph with minimum degree at least $\frac{k n}{k+1}$ contains a $k$ th power of a hamiltonian cycle. Here, the $k$ th power of a graph is obtained by joining every pair of vertices of distance at most $k$ in the original graph. Pósa's conjecture is almost completely solved. In 1994, Fan and Häggkvist [5] showed Pósa's conjecture for $\delta(G) \geq 5 n / 7$. Fan and Kierstead [6], in 1996, proved that for any $\varepsilon>0$, there is a number $m$, dependent only on $\varepsilon$, such that if $\delta(G) \geq(2 / 3+\varepsilon) n+m$, then $G$ contains the square of a Hamiltonian path between every pair of edges. This implies that $G$ then also contains the square of a hamiltonian cycle. The same authors in 1996 [7], showed that if $\delta(G) \geq(2 n-1) / 3$, then $G$ contains the square of a hamiltonian path. For graphs with large orders, Pósa's conjecture was solved by Komlós, Sárközy, and Szemerédi [12] in 1996 using the Regularity Lemma and the Blow-up Lemma. Using the absorbing method in avoiding using the Regularity Lemma, Levitt, Sárközy, and Szemerédi [13] in 2010 improved the bound on the orders. In 2011, Châu, DeBiasio, and Kierstead [2] verified Pósa's conjecture for $n \geq 200,000,000$. The work, in investigating Pósa's conjecture, was trying to find an $H^{2}$ in graphs with high minimum degrees. We may ask, what about finding an $H^{2}$ in other classes of graphs? One such possible class is the class of graphs forbidding some given small graphs.

Given a family $\mathcal{F}=\left\{F_{1}, F_{2}, \cdots, F_{k}\right\}$ of graphs, we say that a graph $G$ is $\mathcal{F}$ free if $G$ contains no induced subgraph isomorphic to any of $F_{i}, i=1,2, \cdots, k$. Particularly, when $\mathcal{F}=\{F\}$, we simply say that $G$ is $F$-free. If $G$ is $\mathcal{F}$-free, then the graphs in $\mathcal{F}$ are called forbidden subgraphs. The use of forbidden subgraphs to obtain classes of graphs possessing special properties has long been a common graphical technique. A pair $\{R, S\}$ of connected graphs is called a hamiltonian forbidden pair if every 2 -connected $\{R, S\}$-free graph is hamiltonian. The characterizations for hamiltonian forbidden pairs were completely done (for example, see [1], [3], and [8]). Research has also been done on characterizing the forbidden pairs for stronger hamiltonicity properties [8], such as panconectivity (a graph $G$ of order $n$ is said to be panconnected if any two vertices of $G$, say $x$ and $y$, are joined by paths of all possible lengths $l$ from $\operatorname{dist}(x, y)$ to $n-1$ ), pancyclicity (an $n$-vertex graph is pancyclic if it contains cycles of length $l$, for each $3 \leq l \leq n$ ). In this paper, we define forbidden pairs for hamiltonian squares $\left(H^{2}\right)$. A pair of connected graphs $\{R, S\}$ is called an $H^{2}$ forbidden pair if every 4-connected $\{R, S\}$-free graph has an $H^{2}$. Further more, we give a full characterization for all the possible $H^{2}$ forbidden pairs.

Theorem 1.1. A pair $\{R, S\}$ of connected graphs with $R, S \neq P_{3}$ is an $H^{2}$ forbidden pair if and only if $R=K_{1,3}$ and $S=Z_{1}$, where $Z_{1}$, as depicted in Figure 1,
is obtained from $K_{1,3}$ be adding one edge between two non-adjacent vertices.

$K_{1,3}$

$K_{1,4}$

$Z_{1}$


$$
G_{0}=K_{4}+\overline{K_{3}}
$$

Figure 1: Small subgraphs
To force $R=K_{1,3}$ and $S=Z_{1}$ in Theorem 1.1, a 4-connected 7 -vertex graph with no $H^{2}$ is used in the proof. Considering graphs with larger order, we prove a stronger result.

Theorem 1.2. A pair $\{R, S\}$ of connected graphs with $R, S \neq P_{3}$ has the property that every 4 -connected $\{R, S\}$-free graph with at least 9 vertices has an $H^{2}$ if and only if $R \in\left\{K_{1,3}, K_{1,4}\right\}$ and $S=Z_{1}$.

In the study of forbidden pairs for hamiltonian or related properties, people usually consider pairs $\left\{K_{1,3}, P_{i}\right\}$ for $i \geq 4$. Except 4 classes of graphs, we show that all other 4-connected $\left\{K_{1,3}, P_{4}\right\}$-free graphs have an $H^{2}$, as given in the theorem below.

Theorem 1.3. Every 4-connected $\left\{K_{1,3}, P_{4}\right\}$-free graph $G$ has an $H^{2}$ unless $G$ is isomorphic to a graph in one of the following families.
(i) $\left(K_{1} \sqcup K_{3}\right)+\left(K_{m} \sqcup K_{q}\right)$ with $m+q \geq 4$;
(ii) $\left(K_{2} \sqcup K_{2}\right)+\left(K_{1} \sqcup K_{m}\right)$ with $m \geq 3$;
(iii) $\left(K_{2} \sqcup K_{3}\right)+\left(K_{1} \sqcup K_{m}\right)$ with $m \geq 3$;
(iv) $\left(K_{3} \sqcup K_{3}\right)+\left(K_{1} \sqcup K_{m}\right)$ with $m \geq 3$.

It is easy to see that the square of a cycle is pancyclic. This is true for any graphs containing an $H^{2}$. Hence, partially, we give an answer to a question asked by Gould at the 2010 SIAM Discrete Math meeting in Austin, TX.

Problem 1. Characterize the pairs of forbidden subgraphs that imply a 4-connected graph is pancyclic.

It is worth mentioning that all the known forbidden pairs on Problem 1 include the claw: $K_{1,3}$ (see [10], [9] and [11]). Hence Theorem 1.2 gives a new forbidden pair for pancyclicity.

## 2 Properties of Some Non-hamiltonian Square Graphs

In this section, we examine some properties of the graphs depicted in Figure 2. These graphs will be used in the following section to characterize the $H^{2}$ forbidden pairs. The formal definitions of these graphs are given below.


Figure 2: 4-connected no $H^{2}$ graphs
$G_{1}: K_{m, m}$, a complete bipartite graph with $m$ vertices in each bipartite sets, where $m \geq 4$.
$G_{2}: K_{m} \sqcup K_{m} \cup M$, a graph obtained from two vertex-disjoint copies of $K_{m}$ by adding a perfect matching $M$ between them, where $m \geq 4$.
$G_{3}: K_{m}+\overline{K_{m-1}}$, the join of $K_{m}$ and $\overline{K_{m-1}}$, where $m \geq 4$.
$G_{4}$ : The graph obtained from the square of a cycle, denoted as $C^{2}$, by joining a new vertex $v_{4}$ to four vertices on $C^{2}$ such that the four vertices induces $P_{3} \sqcup K_{1}$ in the $C^{2}$.
$G_{5}$ : Let $T_{t}$ be a rooted tree of depth $t$ (the length of a longest path from the root to a leaf is $t$ ) such that all the leaves are at the same depth and all non-leaves have degree 4 (known as a prefect 4-ary tree). Then $G_{5}(t)(t \geq 2)$ is the graph obtained from $T_{t}$ by connecting the leaves into a cycle in a way such that the girth of the finally resulted graph is greater than 4 . The graph $G_{5}$ from the family $G_{5}(2)$ is depicted in Figure 2. $G_{5}$ is obtained as follows: embed a copy of $T_{2}$ on the plane, and name the leaves from the left to right, consecutively, as $x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}, x_{2}, y_{2}, z_{2}, \cdots, x_{4}, y_{4}, z_{4}$; then a cycle $C=x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4} y_{1} \cdots y_{4} z_{1} \cdots z_{4} x_{1}$ is obtained by joining the corresponding edges. The construction can be easily generalized to $G_{5}(t)$ for $t \geq 3$. (In $G_{5}(2)$, a cycle using the root vertex contains three non-leaves and at least two leaves; and a cycle not using the root vertex uses at least two non-leaves and 4 leaves. In any case, it indicates that $G_{5}(2)$ has girth at least 5. Similarly, $G_{5}(t)$ has girth at least 5.)
$G_{6}: \quad\left(K_{2} \sqcup K_{2}\right)+\left(K_{m} \sqcup K_{1}\right)$, where $m \geq 4$. Denote the isolated vertex in $K_{m} \sqcup K_{1}$ by $v_{6}$.

It is not hard to check that all those graphs are 4-connected. Furthermore, we have the following fact.

Lemma 2.1. None of the graphs in Figire 2 has an $H^{2}$.

Proof. Notice that in an $H^{2}$, the neighborhood of any vertex induces a $P_{4}$. If $G_{2}$ has an $H^{2}$, then it must contain one of the edges connecting the two copies of $K_{m}$. Let $x y$ be a such edge. Then the neighbors of $x$ on the $H^{2}$ consists of $y$ and another three vertices from the copy of $K_{m}$ containing $x$. However, those four vertices do not induce a copy of $P_{4}$, showing a contradiction. Similarly, neither of
the set of neighborhoods of $v_{4}$ in $G_{4}$ or of $v_{6}$ in $G_{6}$ induces $P_{4}$. Thus, neither $G_{4}$ nor $G_{6}$ has an $H^{2}$. As $G_{3}=K_{m}+\overline{K_{m-1}}$, any hamiltonian cycle of $G_{3}$ contains a pair of vertices from $V\left(\overline{K_{m-1}}\right)$ such that they have distance 2 on the hamiltonian cycle. This in turn implies that $G_{3}$ has no $H^{2}$. As an $H^{2}$ contains triangles, the triangle-free graph $G_{5}(t)$ has no $H^{2}$.

As the graph $G_{2}$ will be used more frequently later on, we discuss its properties in more detail here.

Lemma 2.2. Let $S \notin\left\{K_{3}, P_{3}\right\}$ be a connected $\left\{P_{4}, C_{4}, K_{4}\right\}$-free graph. If $G_{2}$ contains $S$ as an induced subgraph, then $S$ is $Z_{1}$.

Proof. Since $V(G) \neq \emptyset$ and $E(G) \neq \emptyset, S \notin\left\{K_{1}, K_{2}\right\}$. Thus $|V(S)| \geq 3$. Since $S \notin\left\{K_{3}, P_{3}\right\}$ and any connected 3-vertex subgraph of $G_{2}$ is either $K_{3}$ or $P_{3}$, we conclude that $|V(S)| \geq 4$. Furthermore, as $S$ is $K_{4}$-free, it contains at most 3 vertices from one of the copies of $K_{m}$. Since $S$ is connected and $\left\{P_{4}, C_{4}\right\}$-free, if it contains at least two vertices from one copy of $K_{m}$, then it contains at most one vertex from the other copy of $K_{m}$. Hence $S$ contains exactly three vertices from one copy of $K_{m}$, and exactly one vertex from the other. The connected graph induced on such four vertices can only be isomorphic to $Z_{1}$.

## 3 Proofs of the Main Results

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.2, and Theorem 1.3. We first characterize the single forbidden subgraph for 4-connected graphs containing an $H^{2}$. As any $P_{3}$-free graph is complete, we observe that any 4-connected $P_{3}$-free graph has an $H^{2}$. Conversely, we have the following result.

Proposition 3.1. A connected graph $F$ has the property that every 4 -connected $F$-free graph has an $H^{2}$ if and only if $F=P_{3}$.

Proof. Since $G_{1}=K_{m, m}$ has no $H^{2}, G_{1}$ contains $F$ as an induced subgraph. Hence $F=K_{1, r}$, where $r \geq 2$ or $F$ contains an induced $C_{4}$. As the graph $G_{4}$ in Figure 2 has no $H^{2}$ and is $C_{4}$-free, we see that $F=K_{1, r}$. The only induced star contained in all the graphs of family $G_{2}$ is $K_{1,2}$; that is, an induced copy of $P_{3}$. Hence $F=P_{3}$.

We study the structure of a connected $Z_{1}$-free graph in the following theorem, which will help us in knowing the structure of a $\left\{K_{1, r}, Z_{1}\right\}$-free graph $(r \geq 3)$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $G$ be a connected $Z_{1}$-free graph. If there exists a vertex $v \in V(G)$ such that $d(v) \geq 3$ and $v$ is contained in a triangle, then $G$ is isomorphic to a complete multipartite graph $K_{t_{1}, t_{2}, \cdots, t_{k}}$.

Proof. We use induction on $n=|V(G)|$. When $n=4, G$ is either $K_{4}$ or the graph obtained from $K_{4}$ by removing one edge, so the result holds. Suppose that $n \geq 5$ and that Lemma 3.1 holds for graphs with less than $n$ vertices. Let $v \in V(G)$ be a vertex such that $d(v) \geq 3$ and $v$ is contained in a triangle. Let $N[v]:=N(v) \cup\{v\}$ and $\bar{N}[v]=V(G)-N[v]$. Notice that $\bar{N}[v]$ may be empty. As $G$ is $Z_{1}$-free, we know $G[N(v)]$ is $\left(K_{2} \sqcup K_{1}\right)$-free. Together with the fact that $G[N(v)]$ contains an edge, we then know $G[N(v)]$ is connected. Before examining the structure of $G[N(v)]$ further, we claim the following.

Claim 3.1. If $\bar{N}[v] \neq \emptyset$, then for every $w \in \bar{N}[v], N(w)=N(v)$ holds.

Proof. Let $w \in \bar{N}[v]$. We first claim that if $N(w) \cap N(v) \neq \emptyset$, then $N(v) \subseteq$ $N(w)$. Suppose not, then there exists $v^{\prime} \in N(v)$ such that $w v^{\prime} \notin E(G)$. We choose a such $v^{\prime}$ such that $w$ is adjacent to a neighbor of $v^{\prime}$, say $u^{\prime}$, in $N(v)$. However, the graph induced on $\left\{v, v^{\prime}, u^{\prime}, w\right\}$ is isomorphic to $Z_{1}$, showing a contradiction. Hence $N(v) \subseteq N(w)$. The claim is proved.

We then claim that if $N(w) \cap N(v) \neq \emptyset$, then $N(w) \subseteq N(v)$. Otherwise, assume that $w$ is adjacent to a vertex $w^{\prime} \in \bar{N}[v]$. If $w^{\prime}$ is adjacent to a vertex in $N(v)$, then we have $N(v) \subseteq N(w) \cap N\left(w^{\prime}\right)$ by the earlier assertion. Let $v^{\prime} \in$ $N(v) \subseteq N(w) \cap N\left(w^{\prime}\right)$. Then $\left\{v, v^{\prime}, w, w^{\prime}\right\}$ induces a $Z_{1}$. Hence we assume $w^{\prime}$ is not adjacent to any vertex in $N(v)$. Let $v^{\prime}, u^{\prime} \in N(v) \subseteq N(w)$. Then $\left\{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}, w, w^{\prime}\right\}$ induces a $Z_{1}$. Thus $w$ is not adjacent to any vertex in $\bar{N}[v]$.

As $G$ is connected, Claim 3.1 is then implied by the above two assertions.
We now proceed with the proof according to several cases depending on the structure of $G[N(v)]$. Let $|V(G)-N(v)|=t^{\prime}$ and $G^{\prime}=G[N(v)]$. Recall that $G^{\prime}$ is connected and is ( $K_{2} \sqcup K_{1}$ )-free.

Case 1. $G^{\prime}$ has a vertex with degree at least 3 in $G^{\prime}$ and the vertex is contained in a triangle in $G^{\prime}$.

By the induction hypothesis, $G^{\prime} \cong K_{t_{1}, t_{2}, \cdots, t_{k-1}}$. Then we have $G \cong K_{t_{1}, t_{2}, \cdots, t_{k-1}, t^{\prime}}$.

So we suppose that the condition in Case 1 is not satisfied by $G^{\prime}$. Let $u \in V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ be a vertex of maximum degree in $G^{\prime}$.

Case 2. $d_{G^{\prime}}(u) \leq 2$.
Then $G^{\prime}$ is the union of vertex disjoint paths and cycles. As $G^{\prime}$ is connected and is $\left(K_{2} \sqcup K_{1}\right)$-free, we know $G^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to one of the graphs $K_{3}, P_{3}$, or $C_{4}$. In any case, $G$ is isomorphic to a complete multipartite graph.

Case 3. $d_{G^{\prime}}(u) \geq 3$.
As $u$ is not on a triangle in $G^{\prime}, N_{G^{\prime}}(u)$ is an independent set in $G^{\prime}$. If $N_{G^{\prime}}[u]=$ $V\left(G^{\prime}\right)=N(v)$, then it is already seen that $G$ is isomorphic to a complete multiple graph with the size of each parts as $t^{\prime}, 1$, and $d_{G^{\prime}}(u)$, respectively. Hence, we assume $N(v)-N_{G^{\prime}}[u] \neq \emptyset$. As $G^{\prime}$ is connected and is $\left(K_{2} \sqcup K_{1}\right)$-free, every vertex in $N(v)-N_{G^{\prime}}[u]$ is adjacent to every vertex in $N_{G^{\prime}}(u)$. Again, by the fact that $G^{\prime}$ is $\left(K_{2} \sqcup K_{1}\right)$-free, we know there is no edge with the two ends in $N(v)-N_{G^{\prime}}[u]$. Hence, $N(v)-N_{G^{\prime}}[u]$ is an independent set. Let $t_{1}=d_{G^{\prime}}(u)$ and $t_{2}=\left|N(v)-N_{G^{\prime}}(u)\right|$. We see that $G \cong K_{t_{1}, t_{2}, t^{\prime}}$.

The proof is complete.
Additionally, if $G$ is a $\left\{Z_{1}, K_{1, r}\right\}$-free graph with a vertex of degree at least $r(r \geq 3)$, then $G$ contains a vertex which is contained in a triangle and is of degree at least 3 . Thus by applying Lemma 3.1 and by the fact that $G$ is $K_{1, r}$-free, we have the following result.

Corollary 3.1. Let $G$ be a connected $\left\{Z_{1}, K_{1, r}\right\}$-free graph with a vertex of degree at least $r$. Then $G$ is isomorphic to a complete multipartite graph $K_{t_{1}, t_{2}, \cdots, t_{k}}$ such that each $1 \leq t_{i} \leq r-1$.

The case of $r=3$ in the above Corollary has been mentioned in other research papers, for example, in [8]. By Corollary 3.1, we have the following result.

Corollary 3.2. A connected $\left\{K_{1, r}, Z_{1}\right\}$-free graph with a vertex of degree at least $r$ is $(n-r+1)$-connected.

By Corollary 3.1, a 4-connected $\left\{Z_{1}, K_{1,3}\right\}$-free graph $G$ is a complete graph missing at most a matching. By finding a hamiltonian cycle of $G$ such that nonadjacent pairs of vertices are of distance at least 3 on the cycle, we can construct an $H^{2}$ in $G$. Hence, we obtain the result below.

Theorem 3.1. Every 4 -connected $\left\{Z_{1}, K_{1,3}\right\}$-free graph contains an $H^{2}$.

For 4-connected $\left\{Z_{1}, K_{1,4}\right\}$-free graphs, we have a similar result.
Theorem 3.2. Every 4 -connected $\left\{Z_{1}, K_{1,4}\right\}$-free graph contains an $H^{2}$ provided $|V(G)| \geq 9$.

Proof. Let $n=|V(G)|$. We use induction on $n$ to show the theorem. By Corollary 3.1, any 4-connected 9-vertex $\left\{Z_{1}, K_{1,4}\right\}$-free graph contains $K_{3,3,3}$ as a spanning subgraph. It is not difficult to verify that $K_{3,3,3}$ contains an $H^{2}$. For example, let $\left\{x_{i}, y_{i}, z_{i}\right\}(i=1,2,3)$ be the three vertices in the $i$-th tripartition. Then $x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} y_{1} y_{2} y_{3} z_{1} z_{2} z_{3} x_{1}$ with the additional edges gives an $H^{2}$. So we assume $n \geq 10$. Let $v \in V(G)$ be a vertex. We consider the graph $G^{\prime}=G-v$. Then $G^{\prime}$ is 6 -connected by Corollary 3.2. Additionally, $G^{\prime}$ has at least 9 vertices and is $\left\{Z_{1}, K_{1,4}\right\}$-free. Hence it contains an $H^{2}$, say $C_{1}^{2}$ by the induction hypothesis. Since $G$ is a multipartite graph with each partition of size at most 3, there are at most two vertices on $C_{1}^{2}$ which are not adjacent to $v$. Thus, there are at least 4 consecutive vertices on $C_{1}^{2}$ such that each of them is adjacent to $v$. Let $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}$ be 4 such consecutive vertices on $C_{1}^{2}$. Then $C_{1}^{2}-\left\{v_{2} v_{3}, v_{2} v_{4}, v_{1} v_{3}\right\} \cup\left\{v v_{i} \mid i=\right.$ $1,2,3,4\}$ gives an $H^{2}$ of $G$.

Notice that the order 9 condition in the above theorem is sharp. The complete tripartite 8-vertex graph $K_{2,3,3}$ is 4 -connected and $\left\{K_{1,4}, Z_{1}\right\}$-free, but contains no $H^{2}$.

Before proving Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2, we notice that if $\{R, S\}$ is a forbidden pair implying the containment of an $H^{2}$ in a 4-connected graph, then neither of $R$ or $S$ is a triangle since an $H^{2}$ always contains triangles.

### 3.1 Proof of Theorem 1.1

The sufficiency follows from Theorem 3.1.
Conversely, we will first show that one of $R$ and $S$ must be a claw. Thus, suppose that $R, S \neq K_{1,3}$. Assume, without loss of generality, that $R$ is an induced subgraph of $G_{1}=K_{m, m}$. Then $R=K_{1, r}$, where $r \geq 4$ or $R$ contains an induced $C_{4}$. We now consider two cases.

Case 1: $\quad R=K_{1, r}(r \geq 4)$.

The graph $G_{4}$ has no induced copy of $R$, so it contains an induced copy of $S$. As $G_{4}$ is $\left\{K_{4}, K_{1,3}\right\}$-free, we see that $S$ contains no $K_{4}$ and no induced $K_{1,3}$. Also, $R$ is not an induced subgraph of $G_{0}=K_{4}+\overline{K_{3}}$. So $G_{0}$ contains $S$ as an induced subgraph. Since $S \notin\left\{P_{3}, K_{3}\right\}$ and any connected 3 -vertex subgraph of $G_{0}$ is contained in $\left\{P_{3}, K_{3}\right\}$, we conclude that $S$ has at least 4 vertices. In $G_{0}$, any 4 vertices of $G_{0}$ with at most one vertex in $\overline{K_{3}}$ induces a $K_{4}$; and any 4 vertices of $G_{0}$ with three vertices in $\overline{K_{3}}$ induces a $K_{1,3}$. Hence, $S$ contains exactly two vertices from the subgraph $K_{4}$ of $G_{0}$ and exactly two vertices from the subgraph $\overline{K_{3}}$ of $G_{0}$, as $S$ contains no $K_{4}$, and no induced $K_{1,3}$. So $S$ is an induced $K_{4}^{-}\left(K_{4}\right.$ with exactly one edge removed). However, $G_{2}$ has no induced $R=K_{1, r}(r \geq 4)$, and no induced $K_{4}^{-}$. We obtain a contradiction.

Case 2: $\quad R$ contains an induced $C_{4}$.
Since $G_{4}$ has no induced copy of $R$, it contains an induced copy of $S$. As $G_{4}$ is $\left\{K_{4}, K_{1,3}\right\}$-free, we see that $S$ contains no $K_{4}$ and no induced $K_{1,3}$. Also, $R$ is not an induced subgraph of $G_{3}$. So $G_{3}$ contains $S$ as an induced subgraph. Since $S$ is connected and $S \notin\left\{K_{1}, K_{2}, K_{3}, P_{3}\right\}$, and any connected 2 -vertex, 3 -vertex subgraphs of $G_{3}$ are contained in $\left\{K_{2}, K_{3}, P_{3}\right\}$, we conclude that $|V(S)| \geq 4$. In $G_{3}$, any 4 vertices from $K_{m}$ or any 3 vertices from $K_{m}$ and one vertex from $\overline{K_{m-1}}$ induce a $K_{4}$; and any 4 vertices in which three from $\overline{K_{m-1}}$ induce a $K_{1,3}$. We conclude that $S$ contains exactly two vertices from $K_{m}$ and exactly two vertices from $\overline{K_{m-1}}$, as $S$ contains no $K_{4}$ and no induced $K_{1,3}$. So $S$ is an induced $K_{4}^{-}$. However, each graph in $G_{5}(t)$ has no $H^{2}$, no induced $C_{4}$, and no triangle (so no $K_{4}^{-}$). This gives a contradiction.

Thus, one of $R$ and $S$ must be a claw. We assume, without loss of generality, that $R=K_{1,3}$. As $R$ is $K_{1,3}, S$ in an induced subgraph of $G_{2}, G_{4}$, and $G_{6}$, as none of them contains induced claws. Note that $G_{4}$ is $\left\{C_{4}, K_{4}\right\}$-free, and $G_{6}$ is $P_{4}$-free, so $S$ is $\left\{P_{4}, C_{4}, K_{4}\right\}$-free. Applying Lemma 2.2, we see $S$ is $Z_{1}$.

### 3.2 Proof of Theorem 1.2

The sufficiency follows from Theorem 3.2.
Conversely, we will first show that one of $R$ and $S$ must be $Z_{1}$. Thus, suppose that $R, S \neq Z_{1}$. Assume, without loss of generality, that $R$ is an induced subgraph of $G_{1}=K_{m, m}$. Then $R=K_{1, r}$, where $r \geq 3$ or $R$ contains an induced $C_{4}$. We
now consider two cases.
Case 1: $\quad R=K_{1, r}(r \geq 3)$.
Then $R$ is not an induced subgraph of $G_{2}$. So $G_{2}$ contains $S$ as an induced subgraph. Both $G_{4}$ and $G_{6}$ contains an induced copy of $S$ since neither of them contains an induced copy of $R$. Since $G_{4}$ is $\left\{C_{4}, K_{4}\right\}$-free and $G_{6}$ is $P_{4}$-free, we see that $S$ is $\left\{P_{4}, C_{4}, K_{4}\right\}$-free. Applying Lemma 2.2, we have $S=Z_{1}$.

Case 2: $\quad R$ contains an induced $C_{4}$.
The graph $G_{4}$ has no induced copy of $R$, so it contains an induced copy of $S$. As $G_{4}$ is $\left\{K_{4}, K_{1,3}\right\}$-free, we see that $S$ contains no $K_{4}$ and no induced $K_{1,3}$. Also, $R$ is not an induced subgraph of $G_{3}$. So $G_{3}$ contains $S$ as an induced subgraph. Since $S$ is connected and $S \notin\left\{K_{1}, K_{2}, K_{3}, P_{3}\right\}$, and any connected 2-vertex, 3 -vertex subgraphs of $G_{3}$ are contained in $\left\{K_{2}, K_{3}, P_{3}\right\}$, we conclude that $|V(S)| \geq 4$. In $G_{3}$, any 4 vertices from $K_{m}$ or any 3 vertices from $K_{m}$ and one vertex from $\overline{K_{m-1}}$ induce a $K_{4}$; and any 4 vertices in which three from $\overline{K_{m-1}}$ induce a $K_{1,3}$. We conclude that $S$ contains exactly two vertices from $K_{m}$ and exactly two vertices from $\overline{K_{m-1}}$, as $S$ contains no $K_{4}$ and no induced $K_{1,3}$. So $S$ is an induced $K_{4}^{-}$. However, $G_{2}$ has no induced $R=K_{1, r}(r \geq 3)$ and no induced $K_{4}^{-}$. We obtain a contradiction.

Thus one of $R$ and $S$ must be $Z_{1}$. Assume, without loss of generality, that $S=Z_{1}$. As $G_{1}=K_{m, m}$ contains no $Z_{1}, G_{1}$ contains an induced copy of $R$. Hence $R=K_{1, r}$, where $r \geq 3$ or $R$ contains an induced $C_{4}$. Since each graph in $G_{5}(t)(t \geq 2)$ is $C_{4}$-free, and the only possible stars in it are $K_{1, r}$ for $r \leq 4$, we see that $R=K_{1, r}$ for $r=3,4$.

### 3.3 Proof of Theorem 1.3

We now prove Theorem 1.3. Let $P$ be a path. We use $P^{2}$ to denote the square of $P$. In omitting the edges joining distance 2 vertices on the path, we will use the same notation to denote the square of the path. Similar notation for the square of a cycle. Let $P_{1}^{2}=v_{1} v_{2} \cdots v_{s-1} v_{s}$ and $P_{2}^{2}=u_{1} u_{2} \cdots u_{t-1} u_{t}$ be two path squares. We denote by $P_{1}^{2} P_{2}^{2}$ as the concatenation of $P_{1}^{2}$ and $P_{2}^{2}$ by adding edges $u_{1} v_{s}, u_{1} v_{s-1}$ and $u_{2} v_{s}$, where $u_{1} v_{s-1}$ exists only if $s \geq 2$ and $u_{2} v_{s}$ exists only if $t \geq 2$. Also, the notations $v_{1} P_{1}^{2}, P_{1}^{2} v_{s}$, or $v_{1} P_{1}^{2} v_{s}$ may be used for specifying the end vertices of $P_{1}^{2}$.

We may assume that $G$ is not complete. Let $S$ be a minimum vertex-cut of $G$. Let $G_{i}=\left(V_{i}, E_{i}\right)(i=1,2, \cdots, k)$ be all the components of $G-S$. Since $G$ is 4-connected, $|S| \geq 4$. As $S$ is a minimum vertex-cut, we have the following claim.
$\operatorname{Claim}$ 1: For every vertex $v \in S, N(v) \cap V_{i} \neq \emptyset$, for all $i=1,2, \cdots, k$.
Since $G$ is claw-free, from Claim 1 we get Claim 2 below.
Claim 2: $k=2$; that is, $G-S$ has exactly two components.
Also, by the fact that $G$ is $P_{4}$-free, we conclude the following claim.
Claim 3: For each $v \in S, N_{G_{i}}(v)=V_{i}$ for $i=1,2$.
As $E\left(V_{1}, V_{2}\right)=\emptyset, G$ is claw-free, and by Claim 3, we obtain Claim 4 as follows.
Claim 4: $G_{i}$ is a complete subgraph of $G$ for $i=1,2$.
We will use induction on $n=|V(G)|$ in some cases of the proof. The smallest 4 -connected $\left\{K_{1,3}, P_{4}\right\}$-free graph is $K_{5}$, it contains an $H^{2}$. So we suppose $n \geq 6$ and suppose that the theorem holds for the described graphs of smaller orders. Let $P_{i}^{2}$ be a hamiltonian path square of $G_{i}(i=1,2)$.

If $G[S]$ is 4-connected and is not isomorphic to any graphs in the exception families, then by the induction hypothesis, $G[S]$ contains an $H^{2}$, say $C_{s}^{2}$, which contains at least 4 vertices by the assumption that $G[S]$ is 4 -connected. Let $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ and $x_{4}$ be 4 consecutive vertices on $C_{s}^{2}$. By Claim $3, N_{G_{i}}\left(x_{j}\right)=V_{i}$ for $j=1,2,3,4$ and $i=1,2$. Hence $C^{2}=x_{1} x_{2} P_{1}^{2} x_{3} x_{4} P_{2}^{2} C_{s}^{2} x_{1}$ is an $H^{2}$ of $G$.

So, we assume that $G[S]$ is 4 -connected and $G[S]$ is a graph in some of the exception families. In this case, we first show that every graph in the exception families has a hamiltonian path square. Then by concatenating the path square, $P_{1}^{2}$, and $P_{2}^{2}$ together, we can get an $H^{2}$ of $G$.

Let $Q$ be a graph isomorphic to $\left(K_{1} \sqcup K_{3}\right)+\left(K_{m} \sqcup K_{q}\right)$ for some $m+q \geq 4$. We may assume, without loss of generality, that $m \geq 2$. Then we let $P_{3}^{2}$ be a path square of $K_{3}, P_{m}^{2}$ a path square of $K_{m}$, and $P_{q}^{2}$ a path square of $K_{q}$. Also, let $x$ be the single vertex from $K_{1}$. Then $P_{q}^{2} P_{3}^{2} P_{m}^{2} x$ is a hamiltonian path square of $Q$. The constructions for a hamiltonian path square for graphs in the families of $\left(K_{2} \sqcup K_{2}\right)+\left(K_{1} \sqcup K_{m}\right),\left(K_{2} \sqcup K_{3}\right)+\left(K_{1} \sqcup K_{m}\right)$, and $\left(K_{3} \sqcup K_{3}\right)+\left(K_{1} \sqcup K_{m}\right)$ are similar, so we omit the details here.

Now let $P_{s}^{2}$ be a hamiltonian path square of $G[S]$, and let $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ and $x_{4}$ be 4 consecutive vertices on $P_{s}^{2}$. By Claim 3, for any $v \in S, N_{G_{i}}(v)=V_{i}(i=1,2)$. So $C^{2}=x_{1} x_{2} P_{1}^{2} x_{3} x_{4} P_{2}^{2} P_{s}^{2} x_{1}$ is an $H^{2}$ of $G$.

The remaining proof is divided into two cases according to the connectivity of $G[S]$. Let $G^{\prime}=G[S]$.

Case 1. Suppose $G^{\prime}$ is connected but not 4-connected.
If $G^{\prime} \cong K_{4}$, let $C_{s}^{2}=x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4}$ be an $H^{2}$ of it. Then $C^{2}=x_{1} x_{2} P_{1}^{2} x_{3} x_{4} P_{2}^{2} x_{1}$ is an $H^{2}$ of $G$. So suppose $G^{\prime} \neq K_{4}$. As $\left|V\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq 4$ and $G^{\prime}$ is not 4 -connected, $G^{\prime}$ is not complete. Let $S^{\prime}$ be a minimum vertex-cut of $G^{\prime}$. Notice that $1 \leq$ $\left|S^{\prime}\right| \leq 3$. Similar discussion as in Claim 1-Claim 4 shows that $G^{\prime}-S^{\prime}$ has exactly two components, say, $G_{1}^{\prime}$ and $G_{2}^{\prime}$ such that each is a complete subgraph, and $G^{\prime}=G^{\prime}\left[S^{\prime}\right]+\left(G_{1}^{\prime} \sqcup G_{2}^{\prime}\right)$. As $G^{\prime}$ is also claw-free, we see that $S^{\prime}$ is $\overline{K_{3}}$-free. Let $P_{1 i}^{2}$ be a hamiltonian path square of $G_{i}^{\prime}(i=1,2)$. Suppose, without loss of generality, that $\left|V\left(P_{11}^{2}\right)\right| \leq\left|V\left(P_{12}^{2}\right)\right|$. We define two new vertex disjoint path squares of $G^{\prime}$.

C1. $\left|S^{\prime}\right|=1$. Let $S^{\prime}=\left\{x_{1}\right\}$ and $P_{21}^{2}=P_{11}^{2} x_{1}, P_{22}^{2}=P_{12}^{2}$;
C2. $\left|S^{\prime}\right|=2$. Let $S^{\prime}=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}$ and $P_{21}^{2}=P_{11}^{2} x_{1}, P_{22}^{2}=P_{12}^{2} x_{2}$;
C3. $\left|S^{\prime}\right|=3$. Let $S^{\prime}=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}$, and assume that $x_{1} x_{3} \in E\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ by the fact that $S^{\prime}$ is $\overline{K_{3}}$-free, then let $P_{21}^{2}=x_{1} x_{3} P_{11}^{2}, P_{22}^{2}=P_{12}^{2} x_{2}$.

If C 1 is true, then $\max \left\{\left|V_{1}\right|,\left|V_{2}\right|\right\} \geq 2$. Otherwise, $S^{\prime} \cup V_{1} \cup V_{2}$, a 3-set, separates $G_{1}^{\prime}$ and $G_{2}^{\prime}$, contradicting the 4-connectedness assumption of $G$. Assume, without loss of generality, that $\left|V_{1}\right| \geq 2$. To specify the end vertices, we denote $P_{21}^{2}=x_{1} P_{21}^{2} x$ and $P_{22}^{2}=z P_{22}^{2} x_{2}$, where $x_{1} \in S^{\prime}$ and $z \in V\left(G^{\prime}\right)-S^{\prime}$. Clearly, $x_{1} z \in E(G)$. As $\left|V\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq 4$ and $\left|S^{\prime}\right|=1,\left|V\left(P_{12}^{2}\right)\right| \geq 2$ by the assumption that $\left|V\left(P_{11}^{2}\right)\right| \leq\left|V\left(P_{12}^{2}\right)\right|$. Hence, both $P_{21}^{2}$ and $P_{22}^{2}$ have at least 2 vertices. In specifying one end of the hamiltonian path square $P_{2}^{2}$ of $G_{2}$, let $P_{2}^{2}=P_{2}^{2} w$. Then $x_{1} P_{21}^{2} x P_{1}^{2} x_{2} P_{22}^{2} z P_{2}^{2} w x_{1}$ is an $H^{2}$ of $G$ even if $\left|V\left(P_{2}^{2}\right)\right|=1$.

For cases C 2 and C 3 , to specify the end vertices, we denote $P_{21}^{2}=x_{1} P_{21}^{2} x$ and $P_{22}^{2}=z P_{22}^{2} x_{2}$, where $x_{1}, x_{2} \in S^{\prime}$ and $x, z \in V\left(G^{\prime}\right)-S^{\prime}$. Since each of $P_{11}^{2}$ and $P_{12}^{2}$ has at least one vertex, each of the $P_{21}^{2}$ and $P_{22}^{2}$ defined in C 2 and C 3 has at least two vertices. By the fact that $G^{\prime}=G^{\prime}\left[S^{\prime}\right]+\left(G_{1}^{\prime} \sqcup G_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ and the assumption that $x_{1} x_{3} \in E(G)$, we see both $P_{21}^{2}$ and $P_{22}^{2}$ are path squares satisfying $x_{1} z, x x_{2} \in E(G)$.

In specifying one end of the hamiltonian path square $P_{2}^{2}$ of $G_{2}$, let $P_{2}^{2}=P_{2}^{2} w$. Then $x_{1} P_{21}^{2} x P_{1}^{2} x_{2} P_{22}^{2} z P_{2}^{2} w x_{1}$ is an $H^{2}$ of $G$ even if $\left|V\left(P_{1}^{2}\right)\right|=1$ or $\left|V\left(P_{2}^{2}\right)\right|=1$.

Case 2. Suppose $G^{\prime}$ is disconnected.
As $G$ is claw-free and $G=G^{\prime}+\left(G_{1} \sqcup G_{2}\right)$, we see that $G^{\prime}$ consists of exactly two complete components, say $G_{1}^{\prime}$ and $G_{2}^{\prime}$. So $G=\left(G_{1}^{\prime} \sqcup G_{2}^{\prime}\right)+\left(G_{1} \sqcup G_{2}\right)$ and $V_{1} \cup V_{2}$ is also a vertex-cut of $G$. For $i=1,2$, let $\left|V\left(G_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right|=\left|V_{i}^{\prime}\right|$. So $\left|V_{1} \cup V_{2}\right| \geq\left|V_{1}^{\prime} \cup V_{2}^{\prime}\right|=|S|$, by the minimality of $|S|$. Recall that $G$ is not isomorphic to any of the graphs in the following families:
(i) $\left(K_{1} \sqcup K_{3}\right)+\left(K_{m} \sqcup K_{q}\right)$ with $m+q \geq 4$;
(ii) $\left(K_{2} \sqcup K_{2}\right)+\left(K_{1} \sqcup K_{m}\right)$ with $m \geq 3$;
(iii) $\left(K_{2} \sqcup K_{3}\right)+\left(K_{1} \sqcup K_{m}\right)$ with $m \geq 3$;
(iv) $\left(K_{3} \sqcup K_{3}\right)+\left(K_{1} \sqcup K_{m}\right)$ with $m \geq 3$.

Assume first that $\min \left\{\left|V_{1}\right|,\left|V_{2}\right|,\left|V_{1}^{\prime}\right|,\left|V_{2}^{\prime}\right|\right\} \geq 2$. In specifying the end vertices, we let $P_{1}^{2}=x_{1} P_{1}^{2} y_{1}, P_{2}^{2}=x_{2} P_{2}^{2} y_{2}, P_{11}^{2}=x_{11} P_{11}^{2} y_{11}$, and $P_{12}^{2}=x_{21} P_{12}^{2} y_{21}$ be the hamiltonian path square of $G_{1}, G_{2}, G_{1}^{\prime}$ and $G_{2}^{\prime}$, respectively. Then as $G=\left(G_{1}^{\prime} \sqcup G_{2}^{\prime}\right)+\left(G_{1} \sqcup G_{2}\right)$, we know $x_{1} P_{1}^{2} y_{1} x_{11} P_{11}^{2} y_{11} x_{2} P_{2}^{2} y_{2} x_{21} P_{12}^{2} y_{21} x_{1}$ is an $H^{2}$ of $G$. So assume, without loss of generality, that $\left|V_{1}\right|=1$. Then as $G$ is not isomorphic to any graphs in (i) and $\left|V_{1} \cup V_{2}\right| \geq\left|V_{1}^{\prime} \cup V_{2}^{\prime}\right|=|S| \geq 4$, we have that $\left|V_{2}\right| \geq 4$. So, $G_{1} \sqcup G_{2} \cong K_{1} \sqcup K_{m}$ for some $m \geq 4$. Also, as $G$ is not isomorphic to any graphs in (i)-(iv), $G_{1}^{\prime} \sqcup G_{2}^{\prime} \not \neq K_{1} \sqcup K_{3}, K_{2} \sqcup K_{2}, K_{2} \sqcup K_{3}, K_{3} \sqcup K_{3}$. This indicates that $\max \left\{\left|V_{1}^{\prime}\right|,\left|V_{2}^{\prime}\right|\right\} \geq 4$. We may assume, without loss of generality, that $\left|V_{1}^{\prime}\right| \geq 4$. Let $P_{2}^{2}=x_{21} x_{22} \cdots x_{2, s-1} x_{2 s}(s \geq 4)$ be the hamiltonian path square of $G_{2}$ specified earlier, $P_{11}^{2}=x_{11} x_{12} \cdots x_{1, t-1} x_{1 t}(t \geq 4)$ be a hamiltonian path square of $G_{1}^{\prime}$, and let $P_{12}^{2}$ be a hamiltonian path square of $G_{2}^{\prime}$. Then $x_{11} x_{12} P_{1}^{2} x_{13} x_{14} P_{11}^{2} x_{1, t-1} x_{1 t} x_{21} x_{22} P_{12}^{2} x_{23} x_{24} P_{2}^{2} x_{2, s-1} x_{2 s} x_{11}$ is an $H^{2}$ of $G$.

The proof of Theorem 1.3 is then complete.
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