Decomposition of a complete bipartite multigraph into arbitrary cycle sizes

John Asplund
jasplund@daltonstate.edu
Dalton State College
Department of Technology and Mathematics
Dalton, GA 30720, USA

Joe Chaffee
joseph.chaffee@kp.org
Kaiser Permanente
3495 Piedmont Road
Building 9
Atlanta, GA 30305

James M. Hammer
jmhammer@cedarcrest.edu
Cedar Crest College
Allentown, PA 18104, USA

September 6, 2018

Abstract

In a graph G, let $\mu_G(xy)$ denote the number of edges between x and y in G. Let $\lambda K_{v,u}$ be the graph $(V \cup U, E)$ with $|V| = v$, $|U| = u$, and

$$\mu_G(xy) = \begin{cases} \lambda & \text{if } x \in U \text{ and } y \in V \text{ or if } x \in V \text{ and } y \in U \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let M be a sequence of non-negative integers $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_n$. An (M)-cycle decomposition of a graph G is a partition of the edge set into cycles of lengths $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_n$. In this paper, we establish necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of an (M)-cycle decomposition of $\lambda K_{v,u}$.

1 Introduction

Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be a graph. If $\{x, y\} \in E(G)$, we write $x \sim y$. A graph G is said to be even or odd if the degree of each vertex in G is even or odd respectively. A 1-factor in a graph G is a subset $E \subseteq E(G)$ such that for each $x \in V(G)$, x is incident with the edge e for precisely one $e \in E$. A path of length m, an m-path, is a sequence $[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_m]$ of $m + 1$ distinct vertices such that $x_i \sim x_{i+1}$ are the only edges for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ (so the path has m edges). A cycle of length m, an m-cycle, is a graph with $V(G) = \mathbb{Z}_m$ and $E(G) = \{\{i, i+1\} : i \in \mathbb{Z}_m\}$ where $i + 1$ is reduced mod m and denoted as $(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{m-1})$. A cycle decomposition of a

graph G is a partition of the edge set of G such that each element of the partition induces a cycle. In a cycle decomposition, not all cycles must have the same length, but in the case where all the cycles do have the same length, say m, it is common to say that there exists an m-cycle decomposition of G. A cycle packing of a graph G is a cycle decomposition of a subgraph H of G ($H = G$ is allowed). The leave of this packing is defined to be $E(G) \setminus E(H)$. It is also sometimes helpful to think of the leave as the subgraph of G with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set equal to L. It should cause no confusion to use both definitions, and we will use both at different times in the paper depending on which is more natural in the situation. If $M = m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_t$ is a sequence of integers and there is a cycle packing whose partition contains t elements and the ith element induces a cycle of length m_i, then, for notational convenience, we will call this cycle packing an (M)-cycle packing. Let $\mu_G(xy)$ be the number of edges that join x and y in G and $\mu_G = \max(\{\mu_G(xy) \mid x, y \in V(G)\})$. For notational convenience, for a sequence of integers M, define $\nu_k(M)$ to be the number of times k appears in M.

Let $\lambda K_{v,u}$ be the graph $(V \cup U, E)$ with $|V| = v$, $|U| = u$, and

$$\mu_G(xy) = \begin{cases} \lambda & \text{if } x \in U \text{ and } y \in V \text{ or if } x \in V \text{ and } y \in U \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

The main result of this paper establishes necessary and sufficient conditions for an (M)-cycle decomposition of $\lambda K_{v,u}$.

Decomposition problems have been studied heavily in the last several decades. Most of the focus has been on showing that there exists an (M)-cycle decomposition of K_v. Many steps were made along the way, but the final pieces were put together by Bryant et al. in [8], thus solving this existence problem. Though there were many results with uniform cycle lengths on a complete bipartite graph before, much of the progress for showing the existence of an (M)-cycle decomposition of $K_{v,u}$ when the cycles are of even length was made by Horsley in [9]. All of these results were for simple graphs; here we look at multigraphs. The first result below leads to a necessary condition for the existence of an (M)-cycle decomposition of $\lambda K_{u,v}$.

Theorem 1. [7] *Suppose G is a graph in which $\mu_G(xy)$ is even for each pair of vertices x and y, $\mathcal{D}$ is a cycle decomposition of G, and $C \in \mathcal{D}$. Then $|\mathcal{D}| \leq |E(G)|/2 - |E(C)| + 2$.*

Theorem 2. *Let $M = m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_t$ such that $m_1 \leq m_2 \leq \cdots \leq m_t$ and $m_i \equiv 0 \pmod 2$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, t\}$. If there exists an (M)-cycle decomposition of $\lambda K_{v,u}$ then all of the following hold:*

(a) $m_t \leq 2\min(\{v, u\})$,

(b) $\lambda v \equiv \lambda u \equiv 0 \pmod 2$,

(c) $t \leq \frac{\lambda}{2} vu - m_t + 2$ *if λ is even, and*

(d) $2\nu_2(M) \leq (\lambda - 1)vu$ *if λ is odd.*

Proof. Since $\lambda K_{v,u}$ is bipartite, any cycle must alternate between the two parts. Therefore Condition (a) is necessary. In any cycle decomposition, each vertex must have even degree and thus Condition (b) is necessary. Condition (c) follows directly from Theorem 1. If λ is odd then at least one edge between every pair of vertices cannot be used in a 2-cycle. This shows that Condition (d) is necessary. $\square$

Notice that a necessary condition for an (M)-cycle decomposition of G is that G is even. If G is odd and $M = m_1, \ldots, m_t$ is a sequence of integers then we will say there exists an $(M)^*$-cycle decomposition of G if $E(G)$ can be partitioned into a 1-factor and t cycles, the ith inducing a cycle of length m_i for $1 \leq i \leq t$.

Section 2 will provide a cycle switching method that will be vital in Section 3. Section 3 is dedicated to showing that if we have a cycle decomposition of $\lambda K_{v,u}$ that contains an m_1- and m_2-cycle, then we can join these two cycles together to form an $(m_1 + m_2)$-cycle under certain conditions. This result will be the key lemma for the main theorem found in Section 4.

2 Edge Switching

The idea for cycle switching was first introduced for complete graphs by Bryant, Horsley, and Maenhaut in [5]. Later it was used in other decompositions of complete graphs [2, 3, 4] which culminated in the solution for the Alspach conjecture in [8]. Further, this cycle switching method was adapted for other graphs and other decompositions in [1, 6, 9, 10]. We will extend the cycle switching method to general multigraphs. Let the *open neighborhood* of x in G be defined as $N_G(x) = \{y \in V : x \sim y\}$. Two distinct vertices α and β are said to be *twin* if $\mathrm{N}_G(\alpha) \setminus \{\beta\} = \mathrm{N}_G(\beta) \setminus \{\alpha\}$.

Given the permutation π of a set V and a graph $G = (V(G) = V, E(G))$, let $\pi(G)$ be the graph defined as having vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $\{\pi(x)\pi(y) : xy \in E(G)\}$. The following theorem is an edge-switching result for λK_n which we will then extend to a general multigraph.

Theorem 3. [6] *Let $\alpha, \beta \in V(\lambda K_n)$ and let σ denote the permutation $(\alpha\beta)$. If H is a subgraph of λK_n, then we define $\sigma(H)$ to be the subgraph H' of λK_n with $V(H') = \sigma(V(H))$ and $\mu_{H'}(\sigma(a)\sigma(b)) = \mu_H(ab)$ for any distinct $a, b \in V(H)$. Let n and λ be positive integers, let $\mathcal{P}$ be an (M)-cycle or $(M)^*$-cycle packing of λK_n when λK_n is even or odd respectively, let L be the leave of $\mathcal{P}$, let α and β be distinct vertices of L, and let σ be as defined above. Let E be a subset of $E(L)$ such that, for each vertex $u \in V(L) \setminus \{\alpha, \beta\}$, E contains precisely $\max(\{0, \mu_L(u\alpha) - \mu_L(u\beta)\})$ edges with endpoints u and α, and precisely $\max(\{0, \mu_L(u\beta) - \mu_L(u\alpha)\})$ edges with endpoints u and β (so E may contain multiple edges with the same endpoints), and E contains no other edges. Then there exists a partition τ of E into pairs such that for each pair $\{x_1y_1, x_2y_2\} \in \tau$, there exists an (M)-cycle or $(M)^*$-cycle packing $\mathcal{P}'$ of λK_n with leave $L' = (L - \{x_1y_1, x_2y_2\}) \cup \{\sigma(x_1)\sigma(y_1), \sigma(x_2)\sigma(y_2)\}$.*

Furthermore, P' also has the following properties. If $\mathcal{P} = \{C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_t\}$ ($\lambda(n-1)$ even) or $\mathcal{P} = \{F, C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_t\}$ ($\lambda(n-1)$ odd), where $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_t$ are cycles and F is a perfect matching, then $\mathcal{P}' = \{C_1', C_2', \ldots, C_t'\}$ ($\lambda(n-1)$ even) or $\mathcal{P}' = \{F', C_1', C_2', \ldots, C_t'\}$ ($\lambda(n-1)$ odd) where for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t$ C_i' is a cycle of the same length as C_i and F' is a perfect matching such that

- *$F' = F$ or $F' = \pi(F)$;*
- *for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t$, if neither α nor β is in $V(C_i)$, then $C_i' = C_i$;*
- *for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t$, if exactly one of α and β is in $V(C_i)$, then $C_i' = C_i$ or $C_i = \pi(C_i)$; and*
- *for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t$, if both α and β are in $V(C_i)$, then $C_i' = Q_i \cup Q_i'$ where $Q_i = P_i$ or $\pi(P_i)$, $Q_i' = P_i'$ or $\pi(P_i')$, and where P_i and P_i' are the two paths from α to β in C_i.*

If one reads the proof of Theorem 3 in [6], they will notice that the key to the proof is the existence of twin vertices, which of course exist in λK_n. The next lemma is the analogue of Theorem 3 for some multigraph G.

Lemma 4. *Let M be a sequence of integers, let $\mathcal{P}$ be an (M)-cycle or $(M)^*$-cycle packing of a multigraph G when G is even or odd respectively, let L be the leave of $\mathcal{P}$, and let α and β be twin vertices of L. Let A be a subset of $E(L)$ such that, for each vertex $u \in V(L) \setminus \{\alpha, \beta\}$, A contains precisely $\max(\{0, \mu_L(u\alpha) - \mu_L(u\beta)\})$ edges with endpoints u and α, and precisely $\max(\{0, \mu_L(u\beta) - \mu_L(u\alpha)\})$ edges with endpoints u and β (so A may contain multiple edges with the same endpoints), and A contains no other edges. Let π be a permutation of V. Then there exists a partition τ of A into pairs such that for each pair $\{x_1y_1, x_2y_2\}$ in the partition of A, there exists an (M)-cycle or $(M)^*$-cycle packing $\mathcal{P}'$ of G with leave $L' = (L - \{x_1y_1, x_2y_2\}) \cup \{\pi(x_1)\pi(y_1), \pi(x_2)\pi(y_2)\}$.*

Furthermore, $\mathcal{P}'$ also has the following properties: If $\mathcal{P} = \{C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_t\}$ (G even) or $\mathcal{P} = \{F, C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_t\}$ (G odd), where $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_t$ are cycles and F is a 1-factor, then $\mathcal{P}' = \{C_1', C_2', \ldots, C_t'\}$ ($|V(G)|$ even) or $\mathcal{P}' = \{F', C_1', C_2', \ldots, C_t'\}$ ($|V(G)|$ odd) where for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t$, C_i' is a cycle of the same length as C_i and F' is a perfect matching such that

- *$F' = F$ or $F' = \pi(F)$;*
- *for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t$, if neither α nor β is in $V(C_i)$, then $C_i' = C_i$;*

- *for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t$, if exactly one of α and β is in $V(C_i)$, then $C_i' = C_i$ or $C_i' = \pi(C_i)$; and*
- *for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t$, if both α and β are in $V(C_i)$, then $C_i' = Q_i \cup Q_i'$ where $Q_i = P_i$ or $\pi(P_i)$, $Q_i' = P_i'$ or $\pi(P_i')$, and where P_i and P_i' are the two paths from α to β in C_i.*

Proof. Let $G^\dagger$ be obtained from G by adding an isolated vertex ∞ if both $|V(G)|$ is even and G is even and let $G^\dagger = G$ otherwise. Note that we can consider $\mathcal{P}$ as an $(M)^*$-cycle packing of a complete graph with multiplicity $\mu_{G^\dagger}$ and $|V(G^\dagger)|$ vertices. The edge set of $G^\dagger$ is the same as G in either case. Let the leave H of $\mathcal{P}$ be the edge-disjoint union of L and $\mu_{G^\dagger} - \mu_{G^\dagger}(xy)$ copies of each edge $xy \in E(G^\dagger)$. By applying Theorem 3, we obtain a permutation π such that for each $x \in (\mathrm{N}_L(\alpha) \cup \mathrm{N}_L(\beta)) \setminus ((\mathrm{N}_L(\alpha) \cap \mathrm{N}_L(\beta)) \cup \{\alpha, \beta\})$ there exists an (M)-cycle packing $\mathcal{P}'$ of $G^\dagger$ with leave H' which differs from H only in that each of the edges $\alpha x, \alpha\pi(x), \beta x, \beta\pi(x)$ is an edge of H' if and only if it is not an edge of H. Furthermore, by Theorem 3 edges are switched so that the following hold:

- $F' = F$ or $F' = \pi(F)$;
- for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t$, if neither α nor β is in $V(C_i)$, then $C_i' = C_i$;
- for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t$, if exactly one of α and β is in $V(C_i)$, then $C_i' = C_i$ or $C_i = \pi(C_i)$; and
- for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t$, if both α and β are in $V(C_i)$, then $C_i' = Q_i \cup Q_i'$ where $Q_i = P_i$ or $\pi(P_i)$, $Q_i' = P_i'$ or $\pi(P_i')$, and where P_i and P_i' are the two paths from α to β in C_i.

By the way we constructed H and since $\mathrm{N}_G(\alpha) \setminus \{\beta\} = \mathrm{N}_G(\beta) \setminus \{\alpha\}$, it follows that

$$(\mathrm{N}_H(\alpha) \cup \mathrm{N}_H(\beta)) \setminus ((\mathrm{N}_H(\alpha) \cap \mathrm{N}_H(\beta)) \cup \{\alpha, \beta\}) = (\mathrm{N}_L(\alpha) \cup \mathrm{N}_L(\beta)) \setminus ((\mathrm{N}_L(\alpha) \cap \mathrm{N}_L(\beta)) \cup \{\alpha, \beta\}).$$

Let L' be the leave on vertex set $V(G)$ and differ from L only in that $\alpha x, \alpha\pi(x), \beta x, \beta\pi(x)$ are edges in L' if and only if they are not edges in L. Since $\alpha x, \alpha\pi(x), \beta x, \beta\pi(x) \in E(G)$, $H' = L'$ if $G = G^\dagger$ or H' the edge-disjoint union of L and $\mu_{G^\dagger} - \mu_{G^\dagger}(xy)$ copies of each edge $xy \in E(G^\dagger)$. Now looking at L' as a leave of G, we have our result. $\qquad\square$

Whenever Lemma 4 is applied we have the ability to choose twin vertices α and β, and vertex a such that $\mu_L(a\alpha) > \mu_L(a\beta)$ in which case $a\alpha \in E$. To simplify this concept, we say that an $(M)^*$-cycle packing $\mathcal{P}'$ was obtained from $\mathcal{P}$ when we perform an (α, β)-*switch* from an $(M)^*$-cycle packing $\mathcal{P}$ with *origin* a and *terminus* b (equivalently origin b and terminus a). By applying Lemma 4, notice that if the leave L is a simple graph for some packing $\mathcal{P}$ of a multigraph G, then the leave L' of $\mathcal{P}'$ obtained by performing an (α, β)-switch with origin a will also be a simple graph. In fact, L' differs from L in Lemma 4 only in that each of the edges $\alpha a, \alpha b, \beta a$, and βb is an edge in L' if and only if it is not an edge of L. So if L_1 is a leave for a packing $\mathcal{P}_1$ on a simple graph G_1, L_2 is the leave for a packing $\mathcal{P}_2$ on a multigraph G_2, $L_1 = L_2$, and α and β are twin, then the leaves L_1' and L_2' obtained by performing an (α, β)-switch with origin a and terminus $\pi(a)$ (where the vertices α, β, and a correspond to the same vertices in both L_1 and L_2) are equivalent if this switch has the same terminus in L_1 and L_2 (the terminus may not be the same vertex in L_1 as L_2 but we know that the choices for the terminus must be the same in L_1 and L_2). This observation allows us to use the lemmas proved in [9] whose proofs only use results stemming directly from a simple graph version of the cycle switching method in Theorem 3 to prove results related to cycle decompositions of $\lambda K_{v,u}$ if the leave is a simple graph. That is, Theorems 5-13 were all proven using Theorem 2.1 in [3] (but could have used Theorem 3 since Theorem 3 works on multigraphs and simple graphs while Theorem 2.1 in [3] only works on simple graphs and they do the same transformation to the leave), and so can be used to help prove multigraph analogues to Theorems 5-13 whenever the leave is a simple graph.

3 Joining Cycles

The aim of this section is to prove that we can modify a cycle decomposition to join two specific cycles together into a single cycle and all other cycles remain the same size. We begin with

several theorems from Horsley [9], then prove several analogue theorems for the multigraph case before proving the main result of this section in Lemma 21. The proof of Lemma 21 is very similar to that found in Lemma 3.6 in [9] and could be written almost verbatim into the proof of Lemma 21. We will use this similarity to shorten or omit proofs when the proofs in [9] are enough. In [9], Lemmas 2.3, 2.5, 2.6, and 3.1–3.5 were required to prove Lemma 3.6 and so we will need the analogous versions of these lemmas for the multigraph case to prove Lemma 21. These lemmas from [9] are provided below in order.

We use the same definitions found in [9] for the following terms. A *chain* is a collection of cycles $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_r$ such that

- A_i is a cycle of length $a_i \geq 2$, and
- for $1 \leq i < j \leq r$, $|V(A_i) \cap V(A_j)| = 1$ if $j = i + 1$ and $|V(A_i) \cap V(A_j)| = 0$ otherwise.

The cycles A_1 and A_r are called *end cycles* and the vertex in $A_i \cap A_{i+1}$ is called the *link vertex*. A chain containing r cycles is called an *r-chain*. A 2-chain with cycles C_1 and C_2 will be denoted $C_1 \cdot C_2$ or as a (c_1, c_2)-chain where c_1 and c_2 are the lengths of C_1 and C_2 respectively.

A *ring* is a collection of cycles $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_r$ such that

- A_i is a cycle of length $a_i \geq 2$,
- if $r \geq 3$ and $1 \leq i < j \leq r$, then $|V(A_i) \cap V(A_j)| = 1$ if $j = i + 1$ or $(1, r) = (i, j)$, and $|V(A_i) \cap V(A_j)| = 0$ otherwise, and
- if $r = 2$ then $|V(A_1) \cap V(A_2)| = 2$.

Each cycle $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_r$ is called a *ring cycle*.

Theorem 5. [9] *Let a and b be positive integers. Suppose that there exists an $(M)^*$-cycle packing $\mathcal{P}$ of $K_{a,b}$ with a leave of size ℓ whose only non-trivial component H contains a path $P = [x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_t]$ of even length at least 4 such that the edges in $E(H) \setminus E(P)$ form a path and such that $x_1 x_t \notin E(H)$. Let S be the (x_0, x_t)-switch with origin x_1 (note that x_0 and x_t are in the same part of $K_{a,b}$ since P is a path of even length) and let $\mathcal{P}'$ be the $(M)^*$-cycle packing of $K_{a,b}$ obtained from $\mathcal{P}$ by performing S. If S does not have terminus x_{t-1}, then the leave of $\mathcal{P}'$ has a decomposition into a t-cycle and an $(\ell - t)$-cycle, and there are at least as many vertices of degree 4 in the leave of $\mathcal{P}'$ as there are in the leave of $\mathcal{P}$.*

Theorem 6. [9] *Let a and b be positive integers. Suppose there exists an $(M)^*$-cycle packing of $K_{a,b}$ with a leave whose only non-trivial component is a (p, q)-chain. If m is an even integer such that $p \leq m$ and $p + q - m \geq 4$, then there exist*

(i) *an $(M)^*$-cycle packing of $K_{a,b}$ with a leave whose only non-trivial component either has a decomposition into an m-cycle and a $(p+q-m)$-cycle, or is an $(m-p+2, 2p+q-m-2)$-chain.*

(ii) *an $(M)^*$-cycle packing of $K_{a,b}$ with a leave whose only non-trivial component either has a decomposition into an m-cycle and a $(p+q-m)$-cycle, or is an $(m-p+4, 2p+q-m-4)$-chain.*

Theorem 7. [9] *Let a and b be positive integers. Suppose there exists an $(M)^*$-cycle packing of $K_{a,b}$ with a leave whose only non-trivial component is a (p, q)-chain. If m_1 and m_2 are even integers such that $m_1, m_2 \geq 4$ and $m_1 + m_2 = p + q$, then there exists an $(M, m_1, m_2)^*$-cycle decomposition of $K_{a,b}$.*

Theorem 8. [9] *Let a and b be positive integers. Suppose there exists an $(M)^*$-cycle packing of $K_{a,b}$ where $a \leq b$, with a leave of size ℓ, where $\ell \leq 2a + 2$ if $a < b$ and $\ell \leq 2a$ if $a = b$, with only one non-trivial component H. If m_1 and m_2 are even integers such that $m_1, m_2 \geq 4$ and either*

- *H is a chain which has a decomposition into an m_1-path and an m_2-path; or*
- *H is a ring which has a decomposition into an m_1-path and an m_2-path;*

then there exists an $(M, m_1, m_2)^*$-cycle decomposition of $K_{a,b}$.

Theorem 9. [9] *Let a and b be positive integers. Suppose that there exists an $(M)^*$-cycle packing of $K_{a,b}$ with a leave L of size ℓ with k non-trivial components such that exactly one vertex of L has degree 4 and every other vertex of L has degree 2 or degree 0. If R is one of the parts of $K_{a,b}$ and m_1 and m_2 are integers such that $m_1, m_2 \geq k + 1$ and $m_1 + m_2 = \ell$, then there exists an $(M)^*$-cycle packing of $K_{a,b}$ with a leave whose only non-trivial component is a chain which has a decomposition into an m_1-path and an m_2-path such that if $m_1, m_2 \geq 3$ then at least one end vertex of the paths is in R.*

Theorem 10. [9] *Let a and b be positive integers. Suppose that there exists an $(M)^*$-cycle packing of $K_{a,b}$ with a leave L of size ℓ with k non-trivial components such that exactly one vertex of L has degree 4, and every other vertex of L has degree 2 or degree 0. If m_1 and m_2 are even integers such that $m_1, m_2 \geq \max(4, k + 1)$ and $m_1 + m_2 = \ell$, then there is an $(M, m_1, m_2)^*$-cycle decomposition of $K_{a,b}$.*

A vertex x in a connected graph G is said to be a *cut vertex* if the removal of x from G makes the resulting graph disconnected.

Theorem 11. [9] *Let a and b be positive integers. Suppose that there exists an $(M)^*$-cycle packing of $K_{a,b}$ with a leave L. If u and v are vertices in the same part of $K_{a,b}$ such that $\deg_L(u) > \deg_L(v)$, then there exists an $(M)^*$-cycle packing of $K_{a,b}$ with a leave L' such that $\deg_{L'}(u) = \deg_L(u) - 2$, $\deg_{L'}(v) = \deg_L(v) + 2$, and $\deg_{L'}(x) = \deg_L(x)$ for all $x \in V(L) \backslash \{u, v\}$. Furthermore, this L' also satisfies*

   (i) if $\deg_L(v) = 0$ and u is not a cut vertex of L, then L' has the same number of non-trivial components as L; and

   (ii) if $\deg_L(v) = 0$ then either L' has the same number of non-trivial components as L or L' has one more non-trivial component than L.

Theorem 12. [9] *Let a and b be positive integers. For an $(M)^*$-cycle packing $\mathcal{P}$ of a graph G let*

$$d(\mathcal{P}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in D} (\deg_L(x) - 2),$$

where L is the leave of $\mathcal{P}$ and D is the set of vertices of L having degree at least 4. Suppose that there exists an $(M)^*$-cycle packing $\mathcal{P}_0$ of $K_{a,b}$, where $a \leq b$, with a leave L_0 of size ℓ, where $\ell \leq 2a + 2$ if $a < b$ and $\ell \leq 2a$ if $a = b$, with k_0 non-trivial components such that L_0 has at least one vertex of degree at least 4. Then, there exists an $(M)^*$-cycle packing of $K_{a,b}$ with a leave L' such that exactly one vertex of L' has degree 4, every other vertex of L' has degree 2 or degree 0, and L' has at most $\min(k_0 + d(\mathcal{P}_0) - 1, \lfloor \frac{\ell}{4} \rfloor - 1)$ non-trivial components.

Theorem 13. [9] *Let a and b be positive integers. Suppose there exists an $(M, h, m, m')^*$-cycle decomposition of $K_{a,b}$ where $a \leq b$. If $m + m' \leq 3h$, $m + m' + h \leq 2a + 2$ if $a < b$, and $m + m' + h \leq 2a$ if $a = b$, then there exists an $(M, h, m + m')^*$-cycle decomposition of $K_{a,b}$.*

This next lemma is the multigraph analogue to Theorem 5. Even though one of the assumptions in the proof of Theorem 5 requires v and u to be even, this fact is only used to show that the degree of x_0 and x_t in H are both 2 which we can do since $\lambda v \equiv \lambda u \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$.

Lemma 14. *Suppose there exists an $(M)^*$-cycle packing $\mathcal{P}$ of $\lambda K_{v,u}$ with a leave of size ℓ whose only non-trivial component H contains a path $P = [x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_t]$ of even length at least 4 such that the edges in $E(H) \backslash E(P)$ form a path and such that $x_1 x_t \notin E(H)$. Let S be the (x_0, x_t)-switch with origin x_1 (note that x_0 and x_t are in the same part of $\lambda K_{v,u}$ since P is a path of even length) and let $\mathcal{P}'$ be the $(M)^*$-cycle packing of $\lambda K_{v,u}$ obtained from $\mathcal{P}$ by performing S. If S does not have terminus x_{t-1}, then the leave of $\mathcal{P}'$ has a decomposition into a t-cycle and an $(\ell - t)$-cycle, and there are at least as many vertices of degree 4 in the leave of $\mathcal{P}'$ as there are in the leave of $\mathcal{P}$.*

Proof. By our assumptions, the degree of each vertex in the leave of $\mathcal{P}$ must have even degree and further $\deg_H(x_0) = \deg_H(x_t) = 2$. Since Lemma 4 is no different than Theorem 3 if the

6

leave is a simple graph when comparing $\lambda K_{v,u}$ to $K_{v,u}$, the result follows from Theorem 3 in the case when there are no 2-cycles in the leave of $\mathcal{P}$. So suppose there is at least one 2-cycle in the leave of $\mathcal{P}$. Let the path containing the edges $E(H) \setminus E(P)$ be $[y_0(=x_0), y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{\ell-t}(=x_t)]$. It is clear that either y_1 or $y_{\ell-t-1}$ are the terminus of S since it is supposed that x_{t-1} is not a terminus. If S has terminus y_1 then the leave of $\mathcal{P}'$ can be decomposed into a t-cycle $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_t)$ and an $(\ell - t)$-cycle $(y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{\ell-t})$ with at least as many vertices of degree 4 as in the leave of $\mathcal{P}$. Suppose $y_{\ell-t-1}$ is the terminus. Then $y_{\ell-t-1} \neq x_{t-1}$ since x_{t-1} is not a terminus by assumption. By performing S, the leave of $\mathcal{P}'$ can be decomposed into a t-cycle $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_t)$ and an $(\ell - t)$-cycle $(y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_{\ell-t-1})$ with at least as many vertices of degree 4 as in the leave of $\mathcal{P}$. □

The following lemma is the analogue to Theorems 6 and 7.

Lemma 15. *Suppose there exists an $(M)^*$-cycle packing of $\lambda K_{v,u}$ with a leave whose only non-trivial component is a (p,q)-chain. If m_1 and m_2 are even integers such that $m_1, m_2 \geq 2$ and $m_1 + m_2 = p + q$, then there exists an $(M, m_1, m_2)^*$-decomposition of $\lambda K_{v,u}$.*

Proof. If $p, q \geq 3$, then we are done by Theorem 7. If $p = q = 2$, then $m_1 = m_2 = 2$ and we are done. Let $p = 2$, so if $m_1 = 2$, $p = m_1$ and $q = m_2$. Then $2 < m_1 \leq m_2 < q$, and let the $(2,q)$-chain be $(x_0, c) \cdot (c, y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{q-1})$. Let $\mathcal{P}'$ be the $(M)^*$-cycle packing of $\lambda K_{v,u}$ obtained from $\mathcal{P}$ by performing the (x_0, y_{m_2-1})-switch with origin c (x_0 and y_{m_2-1} are twin since $m_2 - 1$ is odd). Then regardless of the terminus, we form an m_2-cycle and a $(q + 2 - m_2 = m_1)$-cycle in the leave of $\mathcal{P}'$. □

This next lemma is the multigraph version of Theorem 8.

Lemma 16. *Suppose there exists an $(M)^*$-cycle packing of $\lambda K_{v,u}$, where $v \leq u$, with a leave of size ℓ, where $\ell \leq 2v + 2$ if $v < u$ and $\ell \leq 2v$ if $v = u$, with only one non-trivial component H. If m_1 and m_2 are even integers such that $m_1, m_2 \geq 2$ and either*

- *H is a chain which has a decomposition into an m_1-path and an m_2-path; or*

- *H is a ring which has a decomposition into an m_1-path and an m_2-path;*

then there exists an $(M, m_1, m_2)^*$-cycle decomposition of $\lambda K_{v,u}$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be an $(M)^*$-cycle packing of $G = \lambda K_{v,u}$ with vertex partition $\{V, U\}$ that satisfies the conditions of this lemma and let L be its leave. Let $P = [x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{m_1-1}, x_{m_1}]$ be an m_1-path in H. The result will be shown using induction on the number of cycles in the ring or chain, s. Note that if H contains no 2-cycles, we are done by Theorem 8 so let H contain a 2-cycle.

If $s = 2$ and H is a chain then we are done by Lemma 15. Suppose $s = 2$ and H is a ring. Then there are two vertices of degree 4 in H. Since $s = 2$ and H has a 2-cycle, the two vertices of degree 4 are adjacent, and hence in different parts. Let α be the vertex of degree 4 in U. There exists a vertex $\beta \in U$ (that is hence twin to α in G) such that $\deg_H(\beta) = 0$ for the following reason. Note that every vertex in H has even degree. Further, H is bipartite (since G was) and hence $\sum_{u \in U} \deg_H(u) = |L|$. If no such β existed, then $\sum_{u \in U} \deg_H(u) \geq 2u + 2$ (where the extra $+2$ comes from the vertex of degree 4). But then $2u + 2 \leq |L|$ a contradiction with the size of L.

Then let $\mathcal{P}'$ be the $(M)^*$-cycle packing of $\lambda K_{v,u}$ obtained by performing an (α, β)-switch where the origin is a vertex that is a neighbor of α in H. Regardless of the terminus, the resulting leave will be a 2-chain and so by Lemma 15, the intended result follows. Now suppose by way of induction that for all $3 \leq t < s$, a t-chain or t-ring, which has a decomposition into an m_1-path and an m_2-path, can be decomposed as the lemma states. Notice that since $s \geq 3$, $m_1, m_2 \geq 4$. We shall proceed in two cases depending on whether H is a chain or ring.

Case 1: Suppose H is an s-chain. It is clear that the degree of x_0 and x_{m_1} is 2 in L since otherwise either P is not a path or $E(L) \setminus E(P)$ is not a path. So x_0 and x_{m_1} are in the end cycles of the s-chain H. Since m_1 is even, x_0 and x_{m_1} are twin. Let $\mathcal{P}^\dagger$ be the $(M)^*$-cycle

packing of $\lambda K_{v,u}$ obtained by performing the (x_0, x_{m_1})-switch S with origin x_1 where the leave is $L^\dagger$. Recall that $x_1 x_{m_1} \notin E(H)$ since H is an s-chain. So if the terminus of S is not x_{m_1-1} then we are done by Lemma 14. Suppose that the terminus of S is x_{m_1-1}. Then the resulting leave is an $(s-1)$-ring and $P^\dagger = (E(P) \setminus \{x_0 x_1, x_{m_1-1} x_{m_1}\}) \cup \{x_0 x_{m_1-1}, x_1 x_{m_1}\}$ is an m_1-path in $L^\dagger$ such that $E(L^\dagger) \setminus E(P^\dagger)$ is an m_2-path. Thus, we are done by the induction hypothesis.

Case 2: Suppose H is an s-ring. If all ring cycles in H have length 2 then let α be a link vertex in H and β be a vertex twin with α in L such that $\deg_L(\beta) = 0$ (vertices α and β exist for the same reason as in Case 1). Then let $\mathcal{P}'$ be the $(M)^*$-cycle packing of $\lambda K_{v,u}$ obtained by performing the (α, β)-switch where the origin is a neighbor of α in H. The resulting leave will either be an $(s-1)$-ring in which case we are done by induction or an s-chain in which case we are back in Case 1, so the required decomposition is reached.

So H must contain a ring cycle C that is larger than a 2-cycle such that $|V(C) \cap V(D)| = 1$ where D is a 2-cycle (recall that there must be at least one 2-cycle). Let c be the link vertex in C and D, let $D = (\alpha, c)$, and let $\beta \in V(L)$ such that $\deg_L(\beta) = 0$ (vertices α and β exist for the same reason as in Case 1). Then we obtain an $(M)^*$-cycle packing of $\lambda K_{v,u}$ by performing a (c, β)-switch with origin α with a leave that is either an s-chain in which case we proceed as in Case 1 or an $(s-1)$-ring in which case we are done by the induction hypothesis. $\qquad\square$

The following lemma will use Theorem 9 to prove the multigraph case when 2-cycles are not in the leave. Note that we do not need the m_1-path and m_2-path to have end vertices in a designated part of $\lambda K_{v,u}$ as was needed in [9].

Lemma 17. *Suppose that there exists an $(M)^*$-cycle packing $\mathcal{P}$ of $\lambda K_{v,u}$ with a leave L of size ℓ with k non-trivial components such that exactly one vertex of L has degree 4 and every other vertex of L has degree 2 or degree 0. If m_1 and m_2 are integers such that $m_1, m_2 \geq k+1$ and $m_1 + m_2 = \ell$, then there exists an $(M)^*$-cycle packing of $\lambda K_{v,u}$ with a leave whose only non-trivial component is a chain which has a decomposition into an m_1-path and an m_2-path.*

Proof. Note that the leave must have one component that is a 2-chain and all other non-trivial component must be cycles. Let k_1 be the number of components of the leave that are 2-cycles and let $k_2 = k - k_1 - 1$ be the number of components of the leave that are cycles of length at least 3.

Recall that Theorem 9 holds when the leave contains only cycles that are not 2-cycles and the 2-chain does not contain a 2-cycle. Let H be the 2-chain and $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_{k-1}$ be the cycles such that $H \cup C_1 \cup C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_{k-1}$ forms the leave of $\mathcal{P}$ and $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_{k_1}$ are 2-cycles (if $k = 1$ then the leave has a single component H). We break this proof into three cases depending on the number of 2-cycles in H.

Case 1: Suppose H does not contain a 2-cycle. The breakdown of this case will be to first use Theorem 9 to join H to all the cycles of length at least 3 (since there are no 2-cycles in $H \cup C_{k_1+1} \cup C_{k_1+2} \cup \cdots \cup C_{k-1}$) and then we will join the resulting chain to the 2-cycles afterward. Notice that by Lemma 4, if α and β are two twin vertices in L and some cycle C_i, $i \in \mathbb{Z}_k \setminus \{0\}$, does not contain vertices α or β, then none of the edges in C_i will change after performing an (α, β)-switch. Then by Theorem 9, the k_2 cycles of length at least 3 can be joined to H without changing the length of the k_1 2-cycles, so that there exists an $(M)^*$-cycle packing $\mathcal{P}'$ of $\lambda K_{v,u}$ with a leave whose non-trivial components are k_1 2-cycles and a chain which has a decomposition into an $(m_1 - k_1)$-path and an $(m_2 - k_1)$-path. We will form a sequence of $(M)^*$-cycle packings of $\lambda K_{v,u}$ $\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{P}_{k_1}$ as follows. Let $P_1 = [x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{m_1-k_1}]$ and $P'_1 = [y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_{m_2-k_1}]$ be the paths that decompose the chain in the leave of $\mathcal{P}_1 = \mathcal{P}'$. Since $x_0 = y_0$, let $C_1 = (z_0, z_1)$ such that z_0 and x_0 are twin (since our graph is bipartite). Then let $\mathcal{P}_2$ be the $(M)^*$-cycle packing of $\lambda K_{v,u}$ obtained by performing the (z_0, x_0)-switch S with origin x_1 and let L_2 be the leave of $\mathcal{P}_2$. Regardless of the terminus of S, the resulting leave L_2 will have $k_1 - 1$ disjoint 2-cycles and the chain will have two more edges so that each path P_1 and P'_1 can be extended by a single edge to form new paths P_2 and P'_2. By repeating this process

8

k_1 times, we extend each path to form P_{k_1} and P'_{k_1} that together decompose the leave of $\mathcal{P}_{k_1}$ so that we end up with a single chain that can be decomposed into an m_1-path and an m_2-path.

Case 2: Suppose H contains exactly one 2-cycle. We will form a sequence of $(M)^*$-cycle packings of $\lambda K_{v,u}$ $\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{P}_{k_2-1}$ as follows. Let $x_1 \in V(H)$ such that x_1 is not in the 2-cycle in H, let y_1 be a neighbor of x_1 in H. If there exists a cycle of length at least 3, let $z_1 \in V(C_{k_1+1})$ (recall that C_{k_1+1} is *not* a 2-cycle) such that z_1 and x_1 are twin (we can guarantee such twin vertices exist since our graph is bipartite). If there is no such cycle, that is, if $k_2 = 0$. Then the leave is a 2-chain with a 2-cycle and an $(m_1 + m_2 - 2k_1 - 2)$-cycle. This 2-chain can easily be decomposed into an $(m_1 - k_1)$-path and an $(m_2 - k_1)$-path. We proceed to join this 2-chain with the remaining 2-cycles as we did in Case 1 to form a single chain which has a decomposition into an m_1-path and an m_2-path. Otherwise, if $k_2 \neq 0$, we proceed as follows. Let $\mathcal{P}_1$ be the $(M)^*$-cycle packing of $\lambda K_{v,u}$ obtained by performing the (x_1, z_1)-switch with origin y_1 and let H_2 be the component of the leave of $\mathcal{P}_1$ that is a chain (H_2 is either a 2-chain or 3-chain). If H_2 is a 3-chain where the 2-cycle is an end cycle, then there is an isolated vertex in L (since $m_1 + m_2 < v + u$ and $|V(H_2)| < m_1 + m_2 - 2$), say b, in the same part of the partition $V(\lambda K_{v,u})$ as the link vertex, x', of the 2-cycle in H_2, and so let $\mathcal{P}'_1$ be the $(M)^*$-cycle packing of $\lambda K_{v,u}$ obtained by performing the (b, x')-switch S where the origin of S is a neighbor of x' and let H'_2 be the chain in the leave of $\mathcal{P}'_1$. Regardless of the terminus, H'_2 is a 2-chain with no 2-cycles in which case we proceed as in Case 1. So we may assume that H_2 is a 2-chain that contains exactly one 2-cycle. We proceed in the following inductive manner to form a sequence $\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{P}_{k_2-1}$ of cycle packings of $\lambda K_{v,u}$ so that the leave contains fewer and fewer cycles with lengths at least 3. As in the beginning of this case, let $x_i \in V(H_i)$ such that x_i is not in the 2-cycle, let y_i be a neighbor of x_i in H_i, and let $z_i \in V(C_{k_1+i})$ such that x_i and z_i are twin (since our graph is bipartite), so then let $\mathcal{P}_i$ be the $(M)^*$-cycle packing of $\lambda K_{v,u}$ obtained by performing the (x_i, z_i)-switch with origin y_i and let H_{i+1} be the component of the leave of $\mathcal{P}_i$ that is a chain for $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k_2 - 1\}$. If H_{i+1} is ever a 3-chain, we can perform the same cycle switch as described earlier and proceed as in Case 1. So H_{k_2} is a 2-chain with a 2-cycle and an $(m_1 + m_2 - 2k_1 - 2)$-cycle. This 2-chain can easily be decomposed into an $(m_1 - k_1)$-path and an $(m_2 - k_1)$-path. Now we proceed to join this 2-chain with the remaining 2-cycles as we did in Case 1 to form a single chain which has a decomposition into an m_1-path and an m_2-path.

Case 3: Suppose H contains exactly two 2-cycles. Suppose that $k_2 = 0$. Then there are $k_1 + 1 = k$ components, $m_1 + m_2 = \ell = 2k_1 + 4 = 2k - 2 + 4 = 2k + 2$ and $m_1 = m_2 = k + 1$. Let a be a vertex of degree 2 in H and b be a vertex in C_1 that is twin with a (which exists since our graph is bipartite). Then let $\mathcal{P}^\dagger$ be the $(M)^*$-cycle packing of $\lambda K_{v,u}$ obtained by performing the (a, b)-switch where the origin is in C_1, so the resulting leave will have one less component and the leave will either be a 3-chain of 2-cycles (if the terminus is in C_1) or a 2-chain with a 4-cycle and a 2-cycle (if the terminus is not in C_1) which in either case can be decomposed into two 3-paths. In the latter case we are in Case 2. Thus we continue this process for the remaining $k_1 - 1$ 2-cycles to form a chain that can be decomposed into an m_1-path and an m_2-path.

Now suppose $k_2 > 0$. Let $H = (x, c) \cdot (c, y)$ and let $C_{k_1+1} = (z_0, z_1, \ldots, z_t)$ such that z_0 is twin with x (which exists since our graph is bipartite). Then let $\mathcal{P}^\ddagger$ be the $(M)^*$-cycle packing of $\lambda K_{v,u}$ obtained by performing the (x, z_0)-switch with origin z_1 and let the leave be $L^\ddagger$. Then the leave is a collection of vertex-disjoint 2-cycles and either a 2-chain with one cycle that is not a 2-cycle or a 3-chain with two 2-cycles. In the former case we proceed as in Case 2. We continue assuming the latter case, so let y' be the link vertex between two of the 2-cycles. Since there is now at least one isolated vertex in $L^\ddagger$, say b, that is twin with y' (since our graph is bipartite), let $\mathcal{P}''$ be the $(M)^*$-cycle packing of $\lambda K_{v,u}$ obtained by performing the (y', b)-switch where the origin is a neighbor of y' in the 3-chain and let the leave of $\mathcal{P}''$ be L''. Then the resulting chain in L'' will either be a 2-chain with no 2-cycles or a 2-chain with exactly one 2-cycle. In the former case, we proceed as in Case 1 and in the latter case, we proceed as in Case 2. $\qquad\square$

For completeness, we state below the analogous lemmas to Theorems 10, 11, and 12 respectively. Their proofs follow mostly from the corresponding proofs in [9]. Note that Lemma 20

is different to Theorem 12 in that the latter result has at most $\min(\{k_0 + d(\mathcal{P}_0) - 1, \lfloor\frac{\ell}{4}\rfloor - 1\})$ non-trivial components in the leave L'. This is a direct consequence of 2-cycles existing in multi-graphs and not in simple graphs. Again, it is clear that the same proof with just the obvious generalizations works for multigraphs. It should be noted that even though v and u are even for Theorems 10, 11, and 12, that fact is only used to show that each vertex in the leave has even degree. If there exists an $(M)^*$-cycle packing of $\lambda K_{v,u}$, then each vertex in the leave has even degree.

Lemma 18. *Suppose that there exists an $(M)^*$-cycle packing of $\lambda K_{v,u}$ with a leave L of size ℓ with k non-trivial components such that exactly one vertex of L has degree 4, and every other vertex of L has degree 2 or degree 0. If m_1 and m_2 are even integers such that $m_1, m_2 \geq \max(\{2, k+1\})$ and $m_1 + m_2 = \ell$, then there is an $(M, m_1, m_2)^*$-cycle decomposition of $\lambda K_{v,u}$.*

Proof. This result is a direct consequence of applying Lemma 17 to $\mathcal{P}$ to obtain an $(M)^*$-cycle packing of $\lambda K_{v,u}$ with the appropriate leave and then applying Lemma 16 to attain the required cycle decomposition. □

Lemma 19. *Suppose that there exists an $(M)^*$-cycle packing of $\lambda K_{v,u}$ with a leave L. If a and b are vertices in the same part of $\lambda K_{v,u}$ such that $\deg_L(a) > \deg_L(b)$, then there exists an $(M)^*$-cycle packing of $\lambda K_{v,u}$ with a leave L' such that $\deg_{L'}(a) = \deg_L(a) - 2$, $\deg_{L'}(b) = \deg_L(b) + 2$, and $\deg_{L'}(x) = \deg_L(x)$ for all $x \in V(L) \setminus \{a, b\}$. Furthermore, this L' also satisfies*

(i) *if $\deg_L(b) = 0$ and a is not a cut vertex of L, then L' has the same number of non-trivial components as L; and*

(ii) *if $\deg_L(b) = 0$, then either L' has the same number of non-trivial components as L or L' has one more non-trivial component than L.*

Proof. Suppose $\mathcal{P}$ is an $(M)^*$-cycle packing of $\lambda K_{v,u}$ as described in this lemma. Since L is even, it follows that $\deg_L(a) \geq \deg_L(b) + 2$. As a consequence of this and the fact that a and b are twins, there exists an (a, b)-switch whose origin and terminus are neighbors in L of a. It then follows that the properties in the lemma are satisfied as a result of L being an even graph. □

Lemma 20. *Suppose that there exists an $(M)^*$-cycle packing $\mathcal{P}_0$ of $\lambda K_{v,u}$, where $v \leq u$, with a leave L_0 of size ℓ, where $\ell \leq 2v + 2$ if $v < u$ and $\ell \leq 2v$ if $v = u$, with k_0 non-trivial components such that L_0 has at least one vertex of degree at least 4. Then, there exists an $(M)^*$-cycle packing of $\lambda K_{v,u}$ with a leave L' such that exactly one vertex of L' has degree 4, every other vertex of L' has degree 2 or degree 0, and L' has at most $\min(\{k_0 + d(\mathcal{P}_0) - 1, \lfloor\frac{\ell}{2}\rfloor - 1\})$ non-trivial components where*

$$d(\mathcal{P}_0) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in D} (\deg_L(x) - 2),$$

where D is the set of vertices of L having degree at least 4.

Proof. Let $d = d(\mathcal{P}_0)$. At minimum, Lemma 19 must be applied $d-1$ times to form the required packing from $\mathcal{P}_0$ into an $(M)^*$-cycle packing with a leave containing exactly one vertex of degree 4 and all other vertices of degree 2 or 0.

Let $\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{P}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{P}_{d-1}$ be a sequence of $(M)^*$-cycle packing of $\lambda K_{v,u}$ formed in the following inductive manner. The $(M)^*$-cycle packing $\mathcal{P}_{i+1}$ with leave L_{i+1} and k_{i+1} components is formed from $\mathcal{P}_i$ by choosing one isolated vertex a in the leave of $\mathcal{P}_i$ and a twin vertex b (by choosing vertices in the same part as described in the proof to Lemma 16) of degree 4 or more in the leave of $\mathcal{P}_i$. Such vertices a and b exist (depending on whether or not all the vertices of degree at least 4 are in the same part) based on the number of edges in L, and based on the fact that any $(M)^*$-cycle packing in the sequence given above save for $\mathcal{P}_{d-1}$ has either a single vertex of degree at least 6 or at least 2 vertices of degree 4.

Now it remains to show that $k_{d-1} \leq \min(\{k_0 + d - 1, \lfloor\frac{\ell}{2}\rfloor - 1)$. It is clear that L_{d-1} has a decomposition into $k_{d-1} + 1$ cycles since the largest degree occurs at a single vertex in L_{d-1}

with degree 4. Each of these cycles have length at least 2 which shows $\ell \geq 2(k_{d-1} + 1)$, thus $k_{d-1} \leq \lfloor \frac{\ell}{2} \rfloor - 1$. By Property (ii) of Lemma 19, $k_{i+1} \leq k_i + 1$ for each $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, d-2\}$ and so $k_{d-1} \leq k_0 + d - 1$, attaining the desired conclusion. $\qquad\square$

Lemma 21. *Suppose there exists an $(M, h, m, m')^*$-cycle decomposition of $\lambda K_{v,u}$, where $v \leq u$. If*

- $m + m' \leq h$,
- $m + m' + h \leq 2v + 2$ *if $v < u$, and*
- $m + m' + h \leq 2v$ *if $v = u$,*

then there exists an $(M, h, m + m')^*$-cycle decomposition of $\lambda K_{v,u}$.

Proof. This lemma was proved for simple graphs in [9], but the same proof can be generalized for multigraphs as long as the leave does not contain a 2-cycle (with the additional change that $m + m' \leq h$). Further, we can use Lemmas 18, 19, and 20 as was done in [9] to prove this lemma with just the obvious generalizations for the first condition in this lemma. This modification is a direct result of 2-cycles being a possible cycle in $\lambda K_{v,u}$. To satisfy the conditions in Lemma 18, $m + m'$ and h must be greater than k, the number of non-trivial components in the leave but when we use Lemma 20, $k \leq \min(\{m + m' - 1, \lceil \frac{m+m'+h}{2} \rceil - 1\})$, hence our first condition. With this explanation above for the modified first condition of the lemma, follow the proof in Theorem 3.6 of [9] to attain the desired result. $\qquad\square$

The lemma above should come as no surprise since Lemma 21 is a multigraph version of Theorem 13 (except it turns out that when 2-cycles are involved, we require $m + m' \leq h$ instead of $m + m' \leq 3h$).

4 Main Theorem

To attain our main result, we first need this next result from [9].

Theorem 22. [9] *Let a and b be positive integers such that either a and b are even or $a = b$, and let $K_{a,b}^*$ be the graph $K_{a,b}$ if a and b are even and the graph $K_{a,b} - I$ if $a = b$ and a is odd where I is a 1-factor. If $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_t$ are even integers such that $4 \leq m_1 \leq m_2 \leq \cdots \leq m_t \leq \min(a, b, 3m_{t-1})$ and $m_1 + m_2 + \cdots + m_t = |E(K_{a,b}^*)|$, then there is a cycle decomposition of $K_{a,b}^*$ into cycles of lengths $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_t$.*

Let $M_1 = m_1', m_2', \ldots, m_s'$ and $M_2 = m_1'', m_2'', \ldots, m_t''$ be non-decreasing sequences of positive integers. We say $M_1 < M_2$ if and only if $s > t$ or $s = t$ and $m_k' < m_k''$ where k is the smallest positive integer such that $m_k' \neq m_k''$. Thus we have an ordering to the sequences representing the cycle sizes. Based on the definition, the next lemma proves our main result for the smallest cases. Recall that $\nu_k(M)$ is the number of times k appears in the sequence M.

Lemma 23. *Let λ, u, and v be positive integers satisfying the necessary conditions found in Theorem 2. If λ is even, let $M_1 = 2, 2, \ldots, 2, 4, 4, \ldots, 4, m_t$ be a non-decreasing sequence of t terms such that $\nu_4(M) = k$ and $\nu_2(M) = t - k - 1$. If λ is odd, let $M_2 = 2, 2, \ldots, 2, 4, 4, \ldots, 4, m_{t-1}, m_t$ be a non-decreasing sequence of t terms such that $\nu_4(M) = k$ and $\nu_2(M) = t - k - 2$, and $m_t \leq \min(\{v, u, 3m_{t-1}\})$ satisfies the conditions in Theorem 2. Regardless of the value of λ, let k be as small as possible and still satisfy the necessary conditions in Theorem 2. Then there exists an (M_1)-cycle decomposition $\mathcal{P}$ of $\lambda K_{v,u}$ when λ is even and there exists an (M_2)-cycle decomposition $\mathcal{P}$ of $\lambda K_{v,u}$ when λ is odd.*

Proof. Let $G = \lambda K_{v,u}$. Suppose λ is even. Then $\lambda vu = m_t + 4k + 2(t - k - 1)$ implies $m_t = \lambda vu - 2k - 2t + 2$. So

$$k + \frac{m_t - 2}{2} + t = \frac{\lambda}{2} vu \geq t + m_t - 2.$$

11

The last inequality is by Theorem 1(c). It follows that

$$k \geq m_t - 2 - \frac{m_t - 2}{2} = \frac{2m_t - 4 - m_t + 2}{2} = \frac{m_t - 2}{2}.$$

Since we want to minimize k, there are $k = \frac{m_t-2}{2}$ 4-cycles in $\mathcal{P}$. Let $C_{m_t} = (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{m_t-1})$ be a cycle of length m_t. Also, let $C_{\frac{m_t+2}{2}+k} = (x_0, x_{2k-1}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})$ for $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, \frac{m_t-2}{2}\}$ be cycles of length 4. Then the resulting subgraph $G' = C_{\frac{m_t+2}{2}} \cup C_{\frac{m_t+4}{2}} \cup \cdots \cup C_{m_t}$ is an even subgraph of G where for each pair of vertices x and y in G, $\mu_{G'}(xy) \in \{0, 2\}$. It is clear that the remainder of the graph can be decomposed into 2-cycles. Thus, the required cycle decomposition exists.

Suppose λ is odd. By Theorem 1(d) we need $k = \lceil \frac{vu - m_t - m_{t-1}}{4} \rceil$ 4-cycles. If 4 divides $vu - m_t - m_{t-1}$ then by Theorem 22 there exists a $(4, 4, \ldots, 4, m_{t-1}, m_t)$-cycle decomposition of $K_{v,u}$ and it is clear that there is a decomposition of $(\lambda - 1)K_{v,u}$ into 2-cycles. Suppose 4 does not divide $vu - m_t - m_{t-1}$. Since $\lambda v \equiv \lambda u \equiv 0 \pmod 2$ and λ is odd, $v \equiv u \equiv 0 \pmod 2$, and so there exists a $(4, 4, \ldots, 4, m_{t-1}, m_t)$-cycle packing $\mathcal{P}$ of $K_{v,u}$ with a leave that is a 6-cycle, $C_0 = (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_5)$ by Theorem 22. Then $C_1 = (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3)$ and $C_2 = (x_0, x_3, x_4, x_5)$ are the remaining 4-cycles and thus $\mathcal{P} \cup \{E(C_1) \cup E(C_2)\}$ is an (M_2)-cycle decomposition of $K_{v,u}$ with an additional 2-cycle between x_0 and x_3. So the remaining edges of $\lambda K_{v,u}$ can be decomposed into 2-cycles. $\qquad\square$

Theorem 24. *Let v, u, and λ be positive integers such that $v, u \geq 5$, $v \leq u$, and $\lambda v \equiv \lambda u \equiv 0 \pmod 2$. Let $M = m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_t$ be a non-decreasing sequence of positive even integers such that $2 \leq m_1$. An (M)-cycle decomposition of $\lambda K_{v,u}$ exists if all of the following hold:*

- *$m_t \leq 3m_{t-1}$,*
- *$t \leq \frac{\lambda}{2}vu - m_t + 2$ if λ is even,*
- *$2\nu_2(M) \leq (\lambda - 1)vu$,*
- *$m_{t-1} + m_t \leq 2v + 2$ if $v < u$,*
- *$m_{t-1} + m_t \leq 2v$ if $v = u$, and*
- *$m_1 + m_2 + \cdots + m_t = \lambda vu$.*

Proof. Let $v \leq u$. If λ is even, by Lemma 23, for any $m_t \in \{4, 6, \ldots, 2v - 2\}$ there exists a $(2, 2, \ldots, 2, 4, 4, \ldots, 4, m_t)$-cycle decomposition $\mathcal{P}$ of $\lambda K_{v,u}$ where the number of 4-cycles is as small as possible to satisfy the necessary conditions in Lemma 2. If λ is odd, by Lemma 23 there exists a $(2, 2, \ldots, 2, 4, 4, \ldots, 4, m_{t-1}, m_t)$-cycle decomposition $\mathcal{P}$ of $\lambda K_{v,u}$ where the sum of the edges in the 4-cycles and m_{t-1} and m_t is vu or $vu + 2$. Since $m_i \leq m_t$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, t-1\}$ when λ is even or $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, t-2\}$ when λ is odd, and by Lemma 21 (with $m = 4$ or $m = 2$, $m' = n_i - 4$ or $m' = n_i - 2$ where $n_i \leq m_i$ is an even integer, and $h = m_t$), it follows that cycles can be joined in $\mathcal{P}$ to form an $(m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_t)$-cycle decomposition of $\lambda K_{v,u}$. $\qquad\square$

References

[1] Darryn Bryant. Packing paths in complete graphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 100(2):206–215, 2010.

[2] Darryn Bryant and Daniel Horsley. Packing cycles in complete graphs. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 98(5):1014–1037, 2008.

[3] Darryn Bryant and Daniel Horsley. Decompositions of complete graphs into long cycles. *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, 41(5):927–934, 2009.

[4] Darryn Bryant and Daniel Horsley. An asymptotic solution to the cycle decomposition problem for complete graphs. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 117(8):1258–1284, 2010.

[5] Darryn Bryant, Daniel Horsley, and Barbara Maenhaut. Decompositions into 2-regular subgraphs and equitable partial cycle decompositions. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 93(1):67–72, 2005.

[6] Darryn Bryant, Daniel Horsley, Barbara Maenhaut, and Benjamin R Smith. Cycle decompositions of complete multigraphs. *Journal of Combinatorial Designs*, 19(1):42–69, 2011.

[7] Darryn Bryant, Daniel Horsley, Barbara Maenhaut, and Benjamin R Smith. Decompositions of complete multigraphs into cycles of varying lengths. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1508.00645*, 2015.

[8] Darryn Bryant, Daniel Horsley, and William Pettersson. Cycle decompositions v: Complete graphs into cycles of arbitrary lengths. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, page pdt051, 2013.

[9] Daniel Horsley. Decomposing various graphs into short even-length cycles. *Annals of Combinatorics*, 16(3):571–589, 2012.

[10] Daniel Horsley and Rosalind A Hoyte. Doyen–wilson results for odd length cycle systems. *Journal of Combinatorial Designs*, 2015.