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#### Abstract

The Erdős-Hajnal conjecture says that for every graph $H$ there exists $c>0$ such that $$
\max (\alpha(G), \omega(G)) \geq n^{c}
$$ for every $H$-free graph $G$ with $n$ vertices, and this is still open when $H=C_{5}$. Until now the best bound known on $\max (\alpha(G), \omega(G))$ for $C_{5}$-free graphs was the general bound of Erdős and Hajnal, that for all $H$, $$
\max (\alpha(G), \omega(G)) \geq 2^{\Omega(\sqrt{\log n})}
$$ if $G$ is $H$-free. We improve this when $H=C_{5}$ to $$
\max (\alpha(G), \omega(G)) \geq 2^{\Omega(\sqrt{\log n \log \log n})}
$$


## 1 Introduction

All graphs in this paper are finite and have no loops or parallel edges, and the cardinalities of the largest stable sets and cliques in a graph $G$ are denoted by $\alpha(G), \omega(G)$ respectively. If $G, H$ are graphs, we say that $G$ contains $H$ if some induced subgraph of $G$ is isomorphic to $H$, and $G$ is $H$-free otherwise.

The Erdős-Hajnal conjecture [5, 6] asserts:
1.1 Conjecture: For every graph $H$, there exists $\epsilon>0$ such that every $H$-free graph $G$ satisfies

$$
\max (\alpha(G), \omega(G)) \geq|V(G)|^{\epsilon}
$$

This is true for all $H$ with at most four vertices, but is open when $H=C_{5}\left(C_{5}\right.$ denotes the cycle of length five). The problem for $C_{5}$ has attracted a good deal of unsuccessful attention, for several reasons; not only is $C_{5}$ arguably the smallest open case of 1.1, but also it has a good amount of symmetry, and more importantly, by excluding $C_{5}$ we exclude its complement as well. (Excluding both a graph and its complement is an approach that has been quite fruitful lately, for instance [1, 2].) So we are happy to report some progress at last.

The best general bound for the Erdős-Hajnal conjecture to date was proved by Erdős and Hajnal in [6], namely:
1.2 For every graph $H$, there exists $c>0$ such that

$$
\max (\alpha(G), \omega(G)) \geq 2^{c \sqrt{\log n}}
$$

for every $H$-free graph $G$ with $n>0$ vertices.
(Logarithms are to base two, throughout the paper.) Until now, this was also the best bound known when $H=C_{5}$, but in this paper we will improve it to:
1.3 There exists $c>0$ such that

$$
\max (\alpha(G), \omega(G)) \geq 2^{c \sqrt{\log n \log \log n}}
$$

for every $C_{5}$-free graph $G$ with $n>1$ vertices.
If $A, B \subseteq V(G)$ are disjoint and nonempty, the edge-density between them means the number of edges joining $A, B$, divided by $|A| \cdot|B|$. The proof of 1.3 is via the following conjecture of Conlon, Fox and Sudakov [4]:
1.4 Conjecture: For every graph $H$ there exist $\epsilon, \sigma>0$ such that for every $H$-free graph $G$ on $n>1$ vertices, and all $c$ with $0 \leq c \leq 1 / 2, V(G)$ contains two disjoint subsets $A, B$ with $|A| \geq \epsilon c^{\sigma} n$ and $|B| \geq \epsilon n$, such that the edge-density between $A, B$ is either at most $c$ or at least $1-c$.

This has not been proved so far for any graph $H$ with more than four vertices, but in this paper we prove it for $H=C_{5}$ (with $\sigma=1$ ), and this is the key to proving 1.3. We first prove it for sparse graphs $G$, and then use a theorem of Rödl to deduce it in general (both in the next section). The proof of 1.3 is completed in section 3.

We remark that 1.4 (for all $H$ ) is equivalent to the same statement for sparse graphs (for all $H$ ), because of the theorem of Rödl discussed in the next section; but for sparse graphs we can prove 1.4 for many more graphs $H$ than just $C_{5}$ (for instance, for all bipartite $H$, and all cycles of length at least four). These results will appear in a later paper [3]. But $C_{5}$ is still the largest graph $H$ for which we can show that both $H$ and its complement satisfy 1.4 in sparse graphs, and so the largest for which we can prove 1.4.

## 2 Sparse graphs

In this section we prove 1.4 for $H=C_{5}$, and first we prove it when $G$ is sufficiently sparse. Let us say the closed degree of a vertex is one more than its degree. (Counting cardinalities of subsets works out more conveniently using closed degree.) For disjoint $A, B \subseteq V(G)$, we say $A$ is anticomplete to $B$ if there are no edges between $A$ and $B$. We will prove:
2.1 For all $c$ with $0<c \leq 1 / 2$, and every graph $G$ with $n>0$ vertices, if $G$ satisfies:

- every vertex has closed degree at most n/16, and
- for every two disjoint subsets $A, B \subseteq V(G)$ with $|A| \geq c n / 2$ and $|B| \geq n / 16$, the edge-density between $A, B$ is at least $c$,
then $G$ contains $C_{5}$.
Proof. Let $0<c \leq 1 / 2$, and let $G, n$ be as in the theorem. Since every vertex has closed degree at most $n / 16$, it follows that $n \geq 16$ and in particular, $\lfloor n / 2\rfloor \geq n / 4$. Choose a set $N_{0} \subseteq V(G)$ of cardinality $\lfloor n / 2\rfloor$. It follows that $\left|N_{0}\right| \geq n / 4 \geq c n / 2$, and so the edge-density between $N_{0}$ and its complement is at least $c$. In particular, some vertex in $N_{0}$ has at least $c n / 2$ neighbours.

Let $v_{1}$ be a vertex of degree at least $c n / 2$, let $N_{1}$ be the set of all neighbours of $v_{1}$, and let $Z_{2}=V(G) \backslash\left(N_{1} \cup\left\{v_{1}\right\}\right)$. Since $\left|N_{1}\right|+1 \leq n / 16$, it follows that $\left|Z_{2}\right| \geq 15 n / 16$. But $\left|N_{1}\right| \geq c n / 2$, and so fewer than $n / 16$ vertices in $Z_{2}$ have no neighbour in $N_{1}$, since $c>0$. Hence at least $7 n / 8$ vertices in $Z_{2}$ do have such a neighbour. Choose $B_{1} \subseteq N_{1}$ minimal such that $B_{1}$ covers at least $5 \mathrm{n} / 16$ vertices in $Z_{2}$. Let $B_{2}$ be the set of vertices in $Z_{2}$ covered by $B_{1}$. Thus $5 n / 16 \leq\left|B_{2}\right| \leq 3 n / 8$ from the minimality of $B$. Let $A_{2}=Z_{2} \backslash B_{2}$. Thus $A_{2}$ is anticomplete to $B_{1}$, and $\left|A_{2}\right|=\left|Z_{2}\right|-\left|B_{2}\right| \geq$ $(15 n / 16-3 n / 8)=9 n / 16$.

Let $A_{1}=N_{1} \backslash B_{1}$. Since $\left|N_{1}\right| \geq c n / 2$, the edge-density between $N_{1}, A_{2}$ is at least $c$. In particular there is a vertex $v_{2} \in A_{1}$ with at least $c\left|A_{2}\right| \geq 9 c n / 16 \geq c n / 2$ neighbours in $A_{2}$. (Note that $v_{2} \notin B_{1}$ since $B_{1}$ is anticomplete to $A_{2}$.) Let $N_{2}$ be the set of neighbours of $v_{2}$ in $A_{2}$. Let $C_{1}$ be the set of vertices in $B_{1}$ adjacent to $v_{2}$, and let $D_{2}$ be the set of vertices in $B_{2}$ that have a neighbour in $B_{1} \backslash C_{1}$.
(1) If $\left|D_{2}\right| \geq n / 8$ then $G$ contains $C_{5}$.

Assume that $\left|D_{2}\right| \geq n / 8$. It follows that there is a set $D_{2}^{\prime} \subseteq D_{2}$ of at least $n / 16$ vertices that are nonadjacent to $v_{2}$. The edge-density between $N_{2}$ and $D_{2}^{\prime}$ is at least $c$, since $\left|N_{2}\right| \geq c n / 2$, and in particular some vertex $d_{2} \in D_{2}^{\prime}$ has a neighbour $w \in N_{2}$. Since $d_{2} \in D_{2}^{\prime} \subseteq D_{2}$, it is adjacent to some vertex $d_{1} \in B_{1}$ that is nonadjacent to $v_{2}$; but then

$$
d_{1} \mathrm{v}_{1} \mathrm{y}_{2} \mathrm{wd}_{2} \mathrm{~d}_{1}
$$

is an induced cycle of length 5 . (Note that $d_{1}$ is nonadjacent to $w$ since $B_{1}$ is anticomplete to $A_{2}$.) This proves (1).

Let $Y_{2}=A_{2} \backslash N_{2}$; it follows that $\left|Y_{2}\right| \geq\left|A_{2}\right|-n / 16 \geq n / 2$. Since $\left|N_{2}\right| \geq c n / 2$ the edge-density between $N_{2}, Y_{2}$ is at least $c$, and so some vertex $v_{3} \in N_{2}$ has at least $c\left|Y_{2}\right| \geq c n / 2$ neighbours in $Y_{2}$. Let $N_{3}$ be the set of neighbours of $v_{3}$ in $Y_{2}$. Let $C_{2}$ be the set of vertices in $B_{2}$ with a neighbour in $C_{1}$.
(2) If $\left|C_{2}\right| \geq 3 n / 16$ then $G$ contains $C_{5}$.

Assume that $\left|C_{2}\right| \geq 3 n / 16$. It follows that there is a set $C_{2}^{\prime} \subseteq C_{2}$ of at least $n / 16$ vertices that are nonadjacent to both $v_{2}, v_{3}$. The edge-density between $N_{3}$ and $C_{2}^{\prime}$ is at least $c$, since $\left|N_{3}\right| \geq c n / 2$, and in particular some vertex $c_{2} \in C_{2}^{\prime}$ has a neighbour $w \in N_{3}$. Since $c_{2} \in C_{2}^{\prime} \subseteq C_{2}$, it is adjacent to some vertex $c_{1} \in C_{1}$; but then

$$
c_{1} \mathrm{Y}_{2} \mathrm{Y}_{3} \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{W}_{2}} \mathrm{c}_{1}
$$

is an induced cycle of length 5 . (Note that $c_{1}$ is nonadjacent to $v_{3}, w$ since $B_{1}$ is anticomplete to $A_{2}$.) This proves (2).

Since $B_{1}$ covers $B_{2}$, it follows that $C_{2} \cup D_{2}=B_{2}$, and since $\left|B_{2}\right| \geq 5 n / 16$, the result follows from (1) and (2). This proves 2.1,

Next we apply a theorem of Rödl [8], the following. ( $\bar{G}$ denotes the complement graph of $G$.)
2.2 For every graph $H$ and all $d>0$ there exists $\delta>0$ such that for every $H$-free graph $G$, there exists $X \subseteq V(G)$ with $|X| \geq \delta|V(G)|$ such that in one of $G[X], \bar{G}[X]$, every vertex in $X$ has degree at most $d|X|$.

We deduce:
2.3 There exists $\epsilon>0$ such that for all $c$ with $0 \leq c \leq 1 / 2$, if $G$ is $C_{5}$-free with $n>1$ vertices, then there exist disjoint $A, B \subseteq V(G)$ with $|A| \geq \epsilon c n$ and $|B| \geq \epsilon n$, such that the edge-density between $A, B$ is either less than $c$ or more than $1-c$.

Proof. Let $\delta$ satisfy 2.2, taking $d=1 / 20$ and $H=C_{5}$. Now let $\epsilon=\delta / 16$, and let $G$ be $C_{5}$-free with $n>1$ vertices. Let $v$ be a vertex; then it has either at least $(n-1) / 2$ neighbours or at least ( $n-1$ )/2 non-neighbours; and since $(n-1) / 2 \geq \epsilon n$, we may assume that $1<\epsilon c n$, for otherwise the theorem holds taking $A=\{v\}$. In particular $n>2 \epsilon^{-1} \geq 32 \delta^{-1}$.

By [2.2, there exists $X \subseteq V(G)$ with $|X| \geq \delta n$ such that every vertex of $J$ has degree at most $|V(J)| / 20$, where $J$ is one of $G[X], \bar{G}[X]$. Since $|V(J)| \geq \delta n \geq 32$, it follows that every vertex of $J$ has closed degree at most $|V(J)| / 16$. Since $C_{5}$ is isomorphic to its complement, $J$ is $C_{5}$-free, and so from 2.1, there are two disjoint subsets $A, B \subseteq V(J)$ with $|A| \geq c|V(J)| / 2$ and $|B| \geq|V(J)| / 16$, such that the edge-density between $A, B$ in $J$ is at most $c$. Thus $|A| \geq c \delta n / 2 \geq \epsilon c n$ and $|B| \geq \delta n / 16=\epsilon n$, and the edge-density between $A, B$ in $G$ is either at most $c$ or at least $1-c$. This proves 2.3.

It is possible to deduce versions of 1.2 from versions of Rödl's theorem 2.2 directly, as follows. If we have $d, \delta$ satisfying [2.2, then for any $n$, if we choose $k \leq \min \left(\frac{1}{2 d}, \frac{\delta n}{2}\right)$ then we can use Turán's theorem to obtain a stable set or clique on $k$ vertices from the set of at least $2 k$ vertices with density at most $\frac{1}{2 k}$ or at least $1-\frac{1}{2 k}$ that 2.2 gives us. This motivates trying to improve the bound in 2.2,

- Rödl's original proof of 2.2 uses Szemerédi's regularity lemma and gives a tower-type bound for $1 / \delta$ in terms of $1 / d$, which yields something worse than 1.2 ,
- In [7], a better bound of $\delta=2^{-15|V(H)|\left(\log (1 / d)^{2}\right.}$ in 2.2 is proved, which implies the bound of 1.2 ,
- It is conjectured that a polynomial dependence of $\delta$ on $d$ holds, and this would imply the Erdős-Hajnal conjecture itself.
- For $H=C_{5}$ we can get mid-way between, and that provides a different route to proving 1.3 , as follows. One can prove that for $H=C_{5}$ we may take

$$
\delta=2^{-O\left(\log (1 / d)^{2} / \log \log (1 / d)\right)}
$$

in 2.2 by appropriately adapting the proof of [2.2 in [7] using that we now know 1.4 for $H=C_{5}$. This would imply [1.3. But the details of the proof of this improved bound for 2.2 for $C_{5}$ are involved and similar to that of the proof of 1.3 given in the next section, and we omit them for the sake of brevity.

## 3 The proof of 1.3 .

Now we use 2.3 to prove 1.3. Since the argument to come is rather heavy, and works just as well for any graph $H$ satisfying 1.4 instead of $C_{5}$, it might be wise to present it in full generality. Thus, let us say a class of graphs $\mathcal{I}$ is hereditary if every graph isomorphic to an induced subgraph of a member of the class also belongs to the class. Let $\epsilon$ be as in 2.3, and let $\sigma>\log \left(\epsilon^{-1}\right)$. Then for $c \leq 1 / 2$, $c^{\sigma} \leq \epsilon$, and so by [2.3], if $G$ is $C_{5}$-free with $n \geq 2$ vertices, and $0 \leq c \leq 1 / 2$, then there exist disjoint $A, B \subseteq V(G)$ with $|A| \geq c^{\sigma} n$ and $|B| \geq \epsilon n$, such that the edge-density between them is either at most $c$ or at least $1-c$. Then 1.3 follows from 2.3 and the following, applied to the hereditary class of all $C_{5}$-free graphs:
3.1 Let $\mathcal{I}$ be a hereditary class of graphs, and let $\sigma \geq 0$ and $0 \leq \epsilon \leq 1$ with the following property: for every graph $G \in \mathcal{I}$ with at least two vertices, and all $c$ with $0 \leq c \leq 1 / 2$, there are disjoint subsets $A, B \subseteq V(G)$ with $|A| \geq c^{\sigma} n$ and $|B| \geq \epsilon n$, such that the edge-density between $A, B$ is either at most $c$ or at least $1-c$, where $n=|V(G)|$. Then there exists $\kappa>0$ such that

$$
\max (\alpha(G), \omega(G)) \geq 2^{\kappa \sqrt{\log n \log \log n}}
$$

for every $G \in \mathcal{I}$, where $n=|V(G)| \geq 2$.
Proof. Let us define $r(n)=\sqrt{\log n \log \log n}$ for $n \geq 2$, for typographical convenience.
A cograph is a graph not containing a 4 -vertex path. Thus the disjoint union of two cographs is a cograph, and so is the complement of a cograph. We prove 3.1 by showing that $G$ contains a
cograph with at least $2^{2 \kappa r(n)}$ vertices. As cographs are perfect, there is a clique or independent set with $2^{\kappa r(n)}$ vertices (and so of the desired cardinality).

For a graph $G$, let $\phi(G)$ denote the maximum of $|V(H)|$ over all cographs $H$ contained in $G$. For each real number $x \geq 0$, let $f(x)$ be the minimum of $\phi(G)$, over all graphs $G \in \mathcal{I}$ with $|V(G)|=\lceil x\rceil$ (we may assume there is some such graph $G$, or else the result is trivially true). It is easy to see that $f(x)$ is non-decreasing with $x$.

We may assume that $\sigma \geq 1$ (by increasing $\sigma$ if necessary). Let $\mu=(32 \sigma)^{-1 / 2}$. Choose $n_{0}$ such that

$$
\left\lfloor\frac{\sigma 2 \mu r(n)-1}{\log (2 / \epsilon)}\right\rfloor \geq \sqrt{\log n}
$$

for all $n \geq n_{0}$, and also such that $\mu r\left(n_{0}\right) \geq 2$, and $\log n_{0} \geq 4 \sigma \mu r\left(n_{0}\right)$. Choose $\kappa \leq \mu / 2$ such that $2 \kappa r\left(n_{0}\right) \leq 1$. We will show that $\kappa$ satisfies the theorem.
(1) For all $n \geq 2$ and all $c$ with $0 \leq c \leq 1 / 2$, either $f(n) \geq 1 /(4 c)$ or $f(n) \geq f\left(c^{\sigma} n / 2\right)+f(\epsilon n / 2)$.

Let $G \in \mathcal{I}$ with $n \geq 2$ vertices, such that $\phi(G)=f(n)$. Since $G \in \mathcal{I}$, the hypothesis implies that there are disjoint sets $A, B \subseteq V(G)$ with $|A| \geq c^{\sigma} n$ and $|B| \geq \epsilon n$ such that the edge-density between $A$ and $B$ is either at most $c$ or at least $1-c$. We suppose without loss of generality that this density is at most $c$ (in the other case, we apply the same argument to $\bar{G}$ ).

Let $A^{\prime \prime}$ be the set of vertices in $A$ with at least $2 c|B|$ neighbours in $B$. As the number of edges between $A, B$ is at least $2 c|B|\left|A^{\prime \prime}\right|$ and at most $c|A||B|$, it follows that $\left|A^{\prime \prime}\right| \leq|A| / 2$. Let $A^{\prime}=A \backslash A^{\prime \prime} ;$ so $\left|A^{\prime}\right|=|A|-\left|A^{\prime \prime}\right| \geq|A| / 2$ and every vertex in $A^{\prime}$ has at most $2 c|B|$ neighbours in $B$. Since $G\left[A^{\prime}\right] \in \mathcal{I}$, it follows from the definition of $f$ that $\phi\left(G\left[A^{\prime}\right]\right) \geq f\left(\left|A^{\prime}\right|\right)$. Let $A_{0} \subseteq A^{\prime}$ induce a cograph, with $\left|A_{0}\right|=f\left(\left|A^{\prime}\right|\right)$.

If $\left|A_{0}\right|>1 /(4 c)$, then $f(n)=\phi(G) \geq\left|A_{0}\right| \geq 1 /(4 c)$ as required, so we may assume that $\left|A_{0}\right| \leq$ $1 /(4 c)$. Let $B^{\prime}$ be those vertices in $B$ with no neighbours in $A_{0}$; so $\left|B^{\prime}\right| \geq|B|-2 c|B|\left|A_{0}\right| \geq|B| / 2$. Again from the definition of $f, \phi\left(G\left[B^{\prime}\right]\right) \geq f\left(\left|B^{\prime}\right|\right) \geq f(\epsilon n / 2)$. Since $A_{0}$ is anticomplete to $B^{\prime}$, it follows that

$$
f(n)=\phi(G) \geq\left|A_{0}\right|+\phi\left(G\left[B^{\prime}\right]\right) \geq f\left(c^{\sigma} n / 2\right)+f(\epsilon n / 2) .
$$

This proves (1).
(2) For all $n \geq 2$ and all $c$ with $0 \leq c \leq 1 / 2$, if $\log n \geq \sigma \log (1 / c)$ then either $f(n) \geq 1 /(4 c)$ or $f(n) \geq k f\left(c^{2 \sigma} n\right)$, where

$$
k=\left\lfloor\frac{\sigma \log (1 / c)-1}{\log (2 / \epsilon)}\right\rfloor .
$$

We may assume that $f(n)<1 /(4 c)$, and hence $f\left(n^{\prime}\right)<1 /(4 c)$ for all $n^{\prime} \leq n$. From the definition of $k, k \log (2 / \epsilon) \leq \sigma \log (1 / c)-1 \leq \log n-1$, and so $n(\epsilon / 2)^{k} \geq 2$. Hence we may recursively apply (1) $k$ times without violating the condition " $n \geq 2$ " in (1); and we obtain

$$
f(n) \geq f\left(c^{\sigma} n / 2\right)+f\left(c^{\sigma}(\epsilon / 2) n / 2\right)+f\left(c^{\sigma}(\epsilon / 2)^{2} n / 2\right)+\cdots+f\left(c^{\sigma}(\epsilon / 2)^{k} n / 2\right)
$$

Each of the $k+1$ terms on the right side is at least $f\left(c^{2 \sigma} n\right)$, from the definition of $k$, and so $f(n) \geq k f\left(c^{2 \sigma} n\right)$. This proves (2).
(3) For all $n \geq 2$ and all $c$ with $0 \leq c \leq 1 / 2$, if $\log n \geq 2 \sigma \log (1 / c)$ and with $k$ as in (2), either $f(n) \geq 1 /(4 c)$ or $f(n) \geq k^{j}$, where

$$
j=\left\lfloor\frac{\log n}{4 \sigma \log (1 / c)}\right\rfloor .
$$

Again, we may assume that $f(n)<1 /(4 c)$, and hence $f\left(n^{\prime}\right)<1 /(4 c)$ for all $n^{\prime} \leq n$. From the definition of $j, c^{2 \sigma j} n \geq n^{1 / 2}$, and so $\log \left(c^{2 \sigma j} n\right) \geq \frac{1}{2} \log n \geq \sigma \log (1 / c)$. Moreover, $c^{2 \sigma(j-1)} n \geq n^{1 / 2} c^{-2 \sigma} \geq 2$ since $\sigma \geq 1$. Hence we may apply (2) recursively $j$ times, and deduce that $f(n) \geq k^{j} f\left(c^{2 \sigma j} n\right) \geq k^{j}$. This proves (3).
(4) Let $n \geq n_{0}$, and $c=2^{-2 \mu r(n)}$. Then

- $c \leq 1 / 2$;
- $\log n \geq 4 \sigma \mu r(n)$;
- $k \geq \sqrt{\log n}$, where $k$ is as defined in (2); and
- $1 /(4 c) \geq 2^{\mu r(n)}$.

We observe first that $c \leq 1 / 2$ if $n \geq n_{0}$, since $\mu r\left(n_{0}\right) \geq 1$. Also, $\log n_{0} \geq 4 \sigma \mu r\left(n_{0}\right)$ from the choice of $n_{0}$, and since $\frac{\log n}{r(n)}$ increases with $n$, it follows that $\log n \geq 4 \sigma \mu r(n)$ for $n \geq n_{0}$. But $4 \sigma \mu r(n)=$ $2 \sigma \log (1 / c)$, and so $\log n \geq 2 \sigma \log (1 / c)$. This proves the second statement. The third statement follows from the choice of $n_{0}$. For the final statement, we must check that $\log (1 / c)-2 \geq \mu r\left(n_{0}\right)$, that is, $2 \mu r(n) \geq \mu r\left(n_{0}\right)+2$; but $\mu r(n) \geq \mu r\left(n_{0}\right)$ since $n \geq n_{0}$, and $\mu r(n) \geq 2$ from the definition of $n_{0}$. This proves (4).
(5) If $n \geq n_{0}$ then $f(n) \geq 2^{\mu r(n)}$.

Let $c$ be as in (4) and let $n \geq n_{0}$. By the first two statements of (4); we may apply (3), and so either $f(n) \geq 1 /(4 c)$ or $f(n) \geq(\log n)^{j / 2}$, by the third statement of (4). In the first case, the claim follows from the final statement of (4), so we may assume that

$$
f(n) \geq(\log n)^{j / 2} \geq(\log n)^{(\log n) /(16 \sigma \log (1 / c))}=2^{(16 \sigma \cdot 2 \mu)^{-1} r(n)} .
$$

As $\mu=(16 \sigma \cdot 2 \mu)^{-1}$ from the definition of $\mu$, this proves (5).
We recall that $\kappa \leq \mu / 2$ and $2 \kappa r\left(n_{0}\right) \leq 1$. We claim that $f(n) \geq 2^{2 \kappa r(n)}$ for all $n \geq 2$. This is true if $n \leq n_{0}$, because then $f(n) \geq 2 \geq 2^{2 \kappa r(n)}$; and if $n>n_{0}$ then it follows from (5). This proves 3.1 .
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