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#### Abstract

In this paper we solve three open problems on maximal curves with Frobenius dimension 3. In particular, we prove the existence of a maximal curve with order sequence $(0,1,3, q)$.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$ be a finite field with $q^{2}$ elements where $q$ is a power of a prime $p$. An $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$-rational curve, that is a projective, geometrically absolutely irreducible, non-singular algebraic curve defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$, is called $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$-maximal if the number of its $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$-rational points attains the Hasse-Weil upper bound

$$
q^{2}+1+2 g q
$$

where $g$ is the genus of the curve. Maximal curves have interesting properties and have also been investigated for their applications in Coding theory. Surveys on maximal curves are found in [5, 6, 7, 18, 19] and [13, Chapter 10]; see also [3, 4, 8, 15, 17].

For an $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$-maximal curve $\mathcal{X}$, the Frobenius linear series is the complete linear series $\mathcal{D}=\left|(q+1) P_{0}\right|$, where $P_{0}$ is any $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$-rational point of $\mathcal{X}$. The projective dimension $r$ of the Frobenius linear series, called the Frobenius dimension of $\mathcal{X}$, is one of the most important birational invariants of maximal curves. No maximal curve with Frobenius dimension 1 exists, whereas the Hermitian curve is the only maximal curve with Frobenius dimension 2. Maximal curves with higher Frobenius dimension have small genus, see Proposition 2.3 .

In this paper, we deal with some open problems concerning maximal curves $\mathcal{X}$ with Frobenius dimension 3. For $P \in \mathcal{X}$ denote by $j_{i}(P)$ the $i$-th $(\mathcal{D}, P)$-order and by $\epsilon_{i}$ the $i$-th $\mathcal{D}$-order $(i=0, \ldots, 3)$. For $i \neq 2$, the values of $\epsilon_{i}$ and $j_{i}(P)$ are known, see e.g. [13, Prop. 10.6]. More precisely, $\epsilon_{0}=0, \epsilon_{1}=1$ and $\epsilon_{3}=q$; for an $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$-rational point $P \in \mathcal{X}$, $j_{0}(P)=0, j_{1}(P)=1, j_{3}(P)=q+1$; for a non- $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$-rational point $P, j_{0}(P)=0, j_{1}(P)=1$, $j_{3}(P)=q$.

[^0]In 1999, Cossidente, Korchmáros and Torres [2] proved that $\epsilon_{2}$ is either 2 or 3 , and that if the latter case holds then $p=3$. They also showed that for an $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$-rational point $P \in \mathcal{X}$ only a few possibilities for $j_{2}(P)$ can occur, namely

$$
j_{2}(P) \in\left\{2,3, q+1-\left\lfloor\frac{1}{2}(q+1)\right\rfloor, q+1-\left\lfloor\frac{2}{3}(q+1)\right\rfloor\right\} .
$$

In [2] it was asked whether the following three cases actually occur for maximal curves with Frobenius dimension 3:
(A) $\epsilon_{2}=3$;
(B) $\epsilon_{2}=2, j_{2}(P)=3$ for some $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$-rational point $P$;
(C) $\epsilon_{2}=2, j_{2}(P)=q+1-\left\lfloor\frac{2}{3}(q+1)\right\rfloor$ for some $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$-rational point $P$.

The main result of the paper is the proof that the recently discovered GK-curve [10] defined over $\mathbb{F}_{27^{2}}$ provides an affirmative answer to question (A), see Theorem 3.5. It is also shown that the curve of equation $Y^{16}=X(X+1)^{6}$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_{49}$ provides an affirmative answer to both questions (B) and (C), see Theorem 4.1. Finally, in Section 5 we construct an infinite family of maximal curves with $\mathcal{D}$-orders $(0,1,2, q)$ having an $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$-rational point $P$ with $j_{2}(P)=3$, see Theorem 5.4.

It should be noted that in [1, Section 4] it is pointed out that due to some results by Homma and Hefez-Kakuta, an interesting geometrical property of a maximal curves $\mathcal{X}$ with Frobenius dimension 3 with $\epsilon_{2}=3$ is that of being a non-reflexive space curve of degree $q+1$ whose tangent surface is also non-reflexive.

The language of function fields will be used throughout the paper. The points of a maximal curve $\mathcal{X}$ will be then identified with the places of the function field $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}(\mathcal{X})$. Places of degree one correspond to $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$-rational points.

## 2. Preliminaries

Throughout the paper, $p$ is a prime number, $q=p^{n}$ is some power of $p, K=\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$ is the finite field with $q^{2}$ elements, $F$ is a function field over $K$ such that $K$ is algebraically closed in $F, g(F)$ is the genus of $F, N(F)$ is the number of places of degree 1 of $F, \mathbb{P}(F)$ is the set of all places of $F$.

For a place $P$ of degree 1, let $H(P)$ be the Weierstrass semigroup at $P$, that is, the set of non-negative integers $i$ for which there exists $\alpha \in F$ such that the pole divisor $(\alpha)_{\infty}$ is equal to $i P$.

For a divisor $D$ of $F$, let $\mathcal{L}(D)$ be the Riemann-Roch space of $D$, see e.g. [16, Def. 1.4.4]. The set of effective divisors $|D|=\{\alpha+D \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{L}(D)\}$ is the complete linear series associated to $D$. The degree $n$ of $|D|$ is the degree of $D$, whereas the dimension $r$ of $|D|$ is the dimension of the $K$-linear space $L(D)$ minus 1 .

We recall some facts on orders of linear series, for which we refer to [13, Section 7.6]. For a place $P$ of $F$, an integer $j$ is a $(|D|, P)$-order if there exists a divisor $E$ in $|D|$ with $v_{P}(E)=j$. There are exactly $r+1$ orders

$$
j_{0}(P)<j_{1}(P)<\ldots<j_{r}(P)
$$

and $\left(j_{0}(P), j_{1}(P), \ldots, j_{r}(P)\right)$ is said to be the $(|D|, P)$-order sequence. For all but a finite number of places the $(|D|, P)$-order sequence is the same. Let $\left(\epsilon_{0}, \ldots, \epsilon_{r}\right)$ be the generic $(|D|, P)$-order sequence, called the $|D|$-order sequence. In general, $j_{i}(P) \geq \epsilon_{i}$. The socalled $p$-adic criterion (see e.g. [13, Lemma 7.62]) states that if $\epsilon<p$ is a $|D|$-order, then $0,1, \ldots, \epsilon-1$ are also $|D|$-orders.

Let $F$ be a maximal function field, that is, $N(F)=q^{2}+1+2 g q$. For a place $P_{0}$ of degree 1, let $\mathcal{D}=\left|(q+1) P_{0}\right|$ be the Frobenius linear series of $F$. By the so-called fundamental equation (see e.g. [13, Section 9.8]) the linear series $\mathcal{D}$ does not depend on the choice of $P_{0}$. The dimension $r$ of $\mathcal{D}$ is the Frobenius dimension of $F$. Some facts on the Frobenius linear series of a maximal function field are collected in the following proposition (see 13, Prop. 10.6]).

Proposition 2.1. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the Frobenius linear series of a maximal function field $F$, and let $\left(\epsilon_{0}, \ldots, \epsilon_{r}\right)$ be the order sequence of $\mathcal{D}$. For a place $P$ of degree 1 , let

$$
H(P)=\left\{0=m_{0}(P)<m_{1}(P)<m_{2}(P)<\ldots\right\} .
$$

(a) $m_{r}(P)=q+1, m_{r-1}(P)=q$.
(b) The $(\mathcal{D}, P)$-orders at a place $P$ of degree 1 are the terms of the sequence

$$
0<1<q+1-m_{r-2}(P)<\ldots<q+1-m_{1}(P)<q+1 .
$$

(c) $\epsilon_{0}=0, \epsilon_{1}=1, \epsilon_{r}=q$.

The only maximal function field with Frobenius dimension 2 is the Hermitian function field $H=K(x, y)$ with $y^{q+1}=x^{q}+x$, see e.g. [13, Remark 10.23]. Maximal function fields with Frobenius dimension 3 were investigated in [2]. Corollary 3.5 in [2] states that if $\epsilon_{2}=2$, then for any place $P$ of degree 1

$$
j_{2}(P) \in\left\{2,3, q+1-\left\lfloor\frac{1}{2}(q+1)\right\rfloor, q+1-\left\lfloor\frac{2}{3}(q+1)\right\rfloor\right\} .
$$

For each value of $q$ there exists a unique maximal function field such that $j_{2}(P)=q+$ $1-\left\lfloor\frac{1}{2}(q+1)\right\rfloor$ holds for some place $P$ (see [2, Remark 3.6]). A number of examples for which $j_{2}(P)=2$ occurs are known, see [13, Chapter 10]. So far, no example of a maximal function field with Frobenius dimension 3 having a place $P$ of degree 1 with $j_{2}(P) \in\left\{3, q+1-\left\lfloor\frac{2}{3}(q+1)\right\rfloor\right\}$ appears to have been known in the literature (see [2, Remark 3.9], [1, Section 4]).

A result from [2] that will be useful in the sequel is the following.

Lemma 2.2. [2, Lemma 3.7] If the Frobenius dimension of a maximal function field is 3, then there exists a place $P$ of degree 1 with $j_{2}(P)=\epsilon_{2}$.

Maximal function fields with higher Frobenius dimension have smaller genus, as stated in the next result.

Proposition 2.3. [13, Corollary 10.25] The genus $g$ of a maximal function field with Frobenius dimension $r$ is such that

$$
g \leq \begin{cases}\frac{(2 q-(r-1))^{2}-1}{8(r-1)} & \text { if } r \text { is even } \\ \frac{(2 q-(r-1))^{2}}{8(r-1)} & \text { if } r \text { is odd }\end{cases}
$$

## 3. The $\mathcal{D}$-order sequence of the GK function field

Throughout this section, we assume that $q=\bar{q}^{3}$ with $\bar{q}$ a prime power. Let $F$ be the function field $K(x, y)$, where $y^{\bar{q}+1}=x^{\bar{q}}+x$. Let $u=y \frac{x^{\bar{q}^{2}-1}-1}{x^{\bar{q}-1}+1}$, and consider the field extension $F(z) / F$ where $z^{\bar{q}^{2}-\bar{q}+1}=u$. The GK function field is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{F}=F(z) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We first recall some proprieties of $\bar{F}$, for which we refer to [10, Section 2]. The function field $\bar{F}$ is a Kummer extension of $F$, and in particular $\bar{F} / F$ is Galois of degree $\bar{q}^{2}-\bar{q}+1$. The Galois group $\Gamma$ of $\bar{F} / F$ consists of all the automorphisms $g_{u}$ of $\bar{F}$ such that

$$
g_{u}(x)=x, \quad g_{u}(y)=y, \quad g_{u}(z)=u z
$$

with $u^{\bar{q}^{2}-\bar{q}+1}=1$.
The function field $\bar{F}$ is $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$-maximal. Significantly, for $q>8, \bar{F}$ is the only known function field that is maximal but not a subfield of the Hermitian function field (see [10, Theorem 5]). The genus of $\bar{F}$ is

$$
g=\frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{q}^{3}+1\right)\left(\bar{q}^{2}-2\right)+1
$$

Also, the only common pole of $x, y$ and $z$ is a place $P_{0}$ of degree 1 for which

$$
\mathcal{L}\left((q+1) P_{0}\right)=<1, x, y, z>
$$

Therefore the Frobenius linear series $\mathcal{D}$ consists of divisors
$\mathcal{D}=\left\{\operatorname{div}\left(a_{0}+a_{1} x+a_{2} y+a_{3} z\right)+(q+1) P_{0} \mid\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right) \in K^{4},\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right) \neq(0,0,0,0)\right\}$.
Let $P^{\prime}$ be any place of degree 1 of $\bar{F}$. Let $P$ be the place of $F$ lying under $P^{\prime}$. Then

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
e\left(P^{\prime} \mid P\right)=\bar{q}^{2}-\bar{q}+1, \quad \text { if } P \text { is either a zero or a pole of } z  \tag{3.2}\\
e\left(P^{\prime} \mid P\right)=1, \quad \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

We now describe the $\left(\mathcal{D}, P^{\prime}\right)$-orders for a place $P^{\prime}$ of degree 1 of $\bar{F}$.

Proposition 3.1. [10, Section 4] If $P^{\prime}$ is such that $e\left(P^{\prime} \mid P\right)=\bar{q}^{2}-\bar{q}+1$, then the Weierstrass semigroup at $P$ is the subgroup generated by $\bar{q}^{3}-\bar{q}^{2}+\bar{q}, \bar{q}^{3}$, and $\bar{q}^{3}+1$.
¿From (b) of Proposition 2.1 the following corollary is obtained.
Corollary 3.2. If $P^{\prime}$ is such that $e\left(P^{\prime} \mid P\right)=\bar{q}^{2}-\bar{q}+1$, then

$$
\left(j_{0}\left(P^{\prime}\right), j_{1}\left(P^{\prime}\right), j_{2}\left(P^{\prime}\right), j_{3}\left(P^{\prime}\right)\right)=\left(0,1, \bar{q}^{2}-\bar{q}+1, \bar{q}^{3}+1\right)
$$

Assume now that $e\left(P^{\prime} \mid P\right)=1$. As this occurs for an infinite number of places $P$, it is possible to choose $P$ in such a way that there exists $a y+b y+c \in F$ such that $v_{P}(a y+b y+c)=\bar{q}$ (see e.g. [13, p. 302]). Then $v_{P^{\prime}}(a x+b y+c)=v_{P}(a x+b y+c)=\bar{q}$ holds. Then by (b) of Proposition [2.1, $\bar{q}^{3}-\bar{q}+1 \in H\left(P^{\prime}\right)$. Taking into account that the automorphism group of $\bar{F}$ acts transitively on the set of places of degree 1 with $e\left(P^{\prime} \mid P\right)=1$ [10, Theorem 7], the following result is obtained.

Proposition 3.3. If $P^{\prime}$ is such that $e\left(P^{\prime} \mid P\right)=1$, then

$$
\left(j_{0}\left(P^{\prime}\right), j_{1}\left(P^{\prime}\right), j_{2}\left(P^{\prime}\right), j_{3}\left(P^{\prime}\right)\right)=\left(0,1, \bar{q}, \bar{q}^{3}+1\right)
$$

Theorem 3.4. If $q$ is a cube, then there exists a maximal function field with Frobenius dimension 3 and with $\mathcal{D}$-order sequence ( $0,1, \sqrt[3]{q}, q$ ).

Proof. We prove that the $\mathcal{D}$-order sequence of the GK function field is $\left(0,1, \bar{q}, \bar{q}^{3}\right)$. By Lemma 2.2, there exists an $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$-rational place $P$ of $\bar{F}$ such that $j_{2}(P)=\epsilon_{2}$. Since the only possibilities for $j_{2}(P)$ are $\bar{q}$ and $\bar{q}^{2}-\bar{q}+1$, and since $j_{2}(P) \geq \epsilon_{2}$ for every $P \in \mathbb{P}(\bar{F})$, the claim follows.

Therefore, the answer to question (A) in Introduction is obtained.
Theorem 3.5. There exists a maximal curves over $\mathbb{F}_{27^{2}}$ with Frobenius dimension 3 and with $\mathcal{D}$-order sequence $(0,1,3,27)$.

## 4. On a maximal function field over $\mathbb{F}_{49}$

In [9, Example 6.3] it is shown that for every divisor $m$ of $q^{2}-1$ the function field $F=K(x, y)$ with

$$
y^{\frac{q^{2}-1}{m}}=x(x+1)^{q-1}
$$

is a maximal function field with genus $g=\frac{1}{2 m}(q+1-d)(q-1)$, where $d=\operatorname{gcd}(m, q+1)$. In this section we focus on the case $q=7$ and $m=3$, whence $d=1$ and $g=7$. We are going to prove the following result, which provides an affirmative answer to both questions (B) and (C) in Introduction.

Theorem 4.1. Let $F=K(z, t)$ be the function field defined over $K=\mathbb{F}_{49}$ by the equation $z^{16}=t(t+1)^{6}$. Then the Frobenius dimension of $F$ is 3 , the $\mathcal{D}$-order sequence of $F$ is $(0,1,2,7)$, and there exists an $\mathbb{F}_{49}$-rational place $P$ of $F$ such that $j_{2}(P)=3=8-\left\lfloor\frac{2}{3}(8)\right\rfloor$.

The function field $F$ is a subfield of the Hermitian function field $H=K(x, y)$ with $y^{8}=x^{7}+x$. More precisely, $F \cong K\left(x^{6}, y^{3}\right)$, and $H / F$ is Galois of degree 3 (cf. [9, Example 6.3]). The Galois group of $H / F$ is $\Gamma=\left\{1, \tau, \tau^{2}\right\}$, where $\tau(x)=a^{8} x, \tau(y)=a y$, with $a$ a primitive cubic root of unity.
Let $P_{0}$ (resp. $P_{\infty}$ ) be the only zero (resp. pole) of $x$ in $H$. Let $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{6}$ be the zeros of $y$ in $H$ distinct from $P_{0}$.

Lemma 4.2. The only ramification points of $H / F$ are $P_{0}$ and $P_{\infty}$.

Proof. It is easy to see that for each point $P$ of $H$ distinct from $P_{0}$ and $P_{\infty}$ the stabilizer of $P$ in $\Gamma$ is trivial. On the other hand, both $P_{0}$ and $P_{\infty}$ are fixed by $\Gamma$.

Let $\bar{P}_{0}$ and $\bar{P}_{\infty}$ be the places of $F$ lying under $P_{0}$ and $P_{\infty}$, respectively. Let $\bar{P}_{1}$ and $\bar{P}_{2}$ be the two places of $F$ lying under the places $P_{i}$ of $H, i=1, \ldots, 6$. Also, let $z=y^{3}$ and $t=x^{6}$ in $F$. Then

$$
\begin{array}{r}
v_{\bar{P}_{0}}(z)=\frac{1}{3} v_{P_{0}}\left(y^{3}\right)=1, \quad v_{\bar{P}_{0}}(t+1)=\frac{1}{3} v_{P_{0}}\left(x^{6}+1\right)=0 \\
v_{\bar{P}_{\infty}}(z)=\frac{1}{3} v_{P_{\infty}}\left(y^{3}\right)=-7, \quad v_{\bar{P}_{\infty}}(t+1)=\frac{1}{3} v_{P_{\infty}}\left(x^{6}+1\right)=\frac{6}{3} \operatorname{ord}_{P_{\infty}}(x)=-16 \\
\text { for } i=1,2, \quad v_{\bar{P}_{i}}(z)=v_{P_{i}}\left(y^{3}\right)=3, \quad v_{\bar{P}_{i}}(t+1)=v_{P_{i}}\left(x^{6}+1\right)=7
\end{array}
$$

To sum up,

$$
(z)=3\left(\bar{P}_{1}+\bar{P}_{2}\right)+\bar{P}_{0}-7 \bar{P}_{\infty}, \quad(t+1)=8\left(\bar{P}_{1}+\bar{P}_{2}\right)-16 \bar{P}_{\infty}
$$

Proposition 4.3. Let $i, j$ be non-negative integers such that $3 i \geq 8 j$. Then $7 i-16 j \in$ $H\left(\bar{P}_{\infty}\right)$.

Proof. Let $\gamma=z^{i}(t+1)^{-j}$. Then

$$
(\gamma)=3 i\left(\bar{P}_{1}+\bar{P}_{2}\right)+\bar{P}_{0}-7 i \bar{P}_{\infty}-8 j\left(\bar{P}_{1}+\bar{P}_{2}\right)+16 j \bar{P}_{\infty},
$$

whence

$$
(\gamma)_{\infty}=(7 i-16 j) \bar{P}_{\infty}
$$

Corollary 4.4. The only non-gaps at $\bar{P}_{\infty}$ that are less than or equal to 8 are $0,5,7,8$.

Proof. The integers 7 and 8 are non-gaps since $F$ is an $\mathbb{F}_{49}$-maximal function field (see Proposition (2.1). Proposition 4.3 for $i=3$ and $j=1$ implies that 5 is a non-gap at $\bar{P}_{\infty}$. Then it is easy to see that $10,12,13$ are non-gaps as well. Therefore, we have 7 non-gaps less than $2 g=14$. Since $g=7$, this rules out the possibility that there is another positive non-gap less than 7 and distinct from 5 .

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 4.1.
Proof of Theorem 4.1 The Frobenius dimension of $F$ is 3 by Corollary 4.4. By the $p$-adic criterion (see Section 2), the $\mathcal{D}$-order sequence is $(0,1,2,7)$. Again by Corollary 4.4 we have $j_{2}\left(\bar{P}_{\infty}\right)=3$.

## 5. AN $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$-MAXIMAL FUNCTION FIELD OF GENUS $\frac{q^{2}-q+4}{6}$

Througouth this section we assume that that $q \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$. We recall some facts about the function field $F$ over $K$, defined by

$$
F=K(x, y) \quad \text { with } y^{\frac{q+1}{3}}+x^{\frac{q+1}{3}}+1=0 .
$$

Clearly $F$ is a subfield of the hermitian function field $H$ over $K$ defined by $H=K(z, t)$ with $z^{q+1}+t^{q+1}+1=0$, and therefore $F$ is a maximal function field. Since the equation $Y^{\frac{q+1}{3}}+X^{\frac{q+1}{3}}+1=0$ defines a non-singular plane algebraic curve of degree $\frac{q+1}{3}$, the genus $g(F)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{q+1}{3}-1\right)\left(\frac{q+1}{3}-2\right)$, and therefore $N(F)=q^{2}+1+q\left(\frac{q+1}{3}-1\right)\left(\frac{q+1}{3}-2\right)$.

It is straightforward to check that the zeros of $x$ are $\frac{q+1}{3}$ distinct places of degree 1 . The same holds for $y$. The pole set of $x$ coincides with the pole set of $y$, and consists of $\frac{q+1}{3}$ places of degree 1 .

For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$ such that $\alpha^{\frac{q+1}{3}}+\beta^{\frac{q+1}{3}}+1=0$, let $P_{\alpha, \beta} \in \mathbb{P}(F)$ denote the common zero of $x-\alpha$ and $y-\beta$. Let $P_{\infty, 1}, \ldots, P_{\infty, \frac{q+1}{3}}$ be the poles of $x$ (and $y$ ). Clearly, $P_{\alpha, \beta}$ is a place of degree 1. Also, for any $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$ with $\beta^{\frac{q+1}{3}}+1=0$, the zero divisor $(y-\beta)_{0}$ of $y-\beta$ is equal to $\frac{q+1}{3} P_{0, \beta}$.
Henceforth, $w$ is an element in $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$ such that $w^{\frac{q+1}{3}}=3$. Let

$$
u=w x y \in F .
$$

For any place $P$ of $F$ which is a zero of either $x$ or $y, v_{P}(u)=1$ holds. Moreover, for any common pole $P$ of $x$ and $y$ we have $v_{P}(u)=-2$. Any other place of $F$ is neither a pole or a zero of $u$.

Consider the field extension $F(z) / F$ where $z^{3}=u$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{F}=F(z) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, $u$ is not a 3 -rd power of an element in $F$. Then $\bar{F}$ is a Kummer extension of $F$ (see [16, Proposition III.7.3]), and in particular $\bar{F} / F$ is Galois of degree 3. The ramification index $e\left(P^{\prime} \mid P\right)$ can be easily computed for any place $P^{\prime}$ of $\bar{F}$ lying over a place $P$ of $F$ : as $\operatorname{gcd}(2,3)=1$, (b) of [16, Proposition III.7.3] gives

$$
\begin{cases}e\left(P^{\prime} \mid P\right)=3, & \text { if } P \text { is either a zero or a pole of } x y  \tag{5.2}\\ e\left(P^{\prime} \mid P\right)=1, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

By [16, Corollary III.7.4],

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(\bar{F})=1+3(g(F)-1)+3 \frac{q+1}{3}=\frac{q^{2}-q+4}{6} . \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we compute the number $N$ of places of degree 1 of $\bar{F}$. Any place in $\mathbb{P}(\bar{F})$ of degree 1 either lies over some $P_{\infty, i}$, or some $P_{\alpha, \beta}$. By (5.2), any place lying over either $P_{\infty, i}$ or $P_{\alpha, \beta}$ with $\alpha \beta=0$ is fully ramified. This gives $q+1$ places of degree 1 of $\bar{F}$.

Assume now that $\alpha \beta \neq 0$. Let

$$
\varphi_{\alpha, \beta}(T)=T^{3}-w \alpha \beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}[T] .
$$

As $\operatorname{gcd}(3, p)=1, \varphi_{\alpha, \beta}(T)$ has 3 distinct roots in the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$. Let $\lambda$ be any of such roots. Then $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=\lambda^{q^{2}-1}=\left(\lambda^{q+1}\right)^{q-1}=\left((w \alpha \beta)^{\frac{q+1}{3}}\right)^{q-1}=\left(3(\alpha \beta)^{\frac{q+1}{3}}\right)^{q-1} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is $3(\alpha \beta)^{\frac{q+1}{3}} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$. Taking into account the classical relation

$$
(A+B+C) \mid A^{3}+B^{3}+C^{3}-3 A B C,
$$

we have that $\alpha^{\frac{q+1}{3}}+\beta^{\frac{q+1}{3}}+1=0$ yields

$$
3(\alpha \beta)^{\frac{q+1}{3}}=\alpha^{q+1}+\beta^{q+1}+1 .
$$

Then (5.4) follows since $\left(\alpha^{q+1}+\beta^{q+1}+1\right)^{q}=\left(\alpha^{q+1}+\beta^{q+1}+1\right)$.
By [16, Proposition III.7.3], the minimal polynomial of $z$ over $F$ is $\varphi(T)=T^{3}-w x y$. As $w x y \in \mathcal{O}_{P_{\alpha, \beta}}$, Kummer's Theorem [16, Theorem III.3.7] applies, and hence $P_{\alpha, \beta}$ has 3 distinct extensions $P \in \mathbb{P}(\bar{F})$ with $\operatorname{deg}(P)=1$.
Since $F$ is maximal, the number of pairs $(\alpha, \beta)$ with $\alpha \beta \neq 0$ and $\alpha^{\frac{q+1}{3}}+\beta^{\frac{q+1}{3}}+1=0$ is

$$
q^{2}+1+q\left(\frac{q+1}{3}-1\right)\left(\frac{q+1}{3}-2\right)-(q+1)
$$

Therefore, the total number $N$ of places of degree 1 of $\bar{F}$ is

$$
N=q+1+3\left(q^{2}-q+q\left(\frac{q+1}{3}-1\right)\left(\frac{q+1}{3}-2\right)\right)
$$

By straightforward computation

$$
N=q^{2}+1+2 q \frac{q^{2}-q+4}{6}
$$

whence the following result is obtained.
Theorem 5.1. $\bar{F}$ is an $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$-maximal function field.
Proposition 5.2. The Frobenius dimension of $\bar{F}$ is equal to 3 .
Proof. The assertion follows from Proposition 2.3,

Remark 5.3. In [14] $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$-maximal function fields with Frobenius dimension 3 and genus $\frac{q^{2}-q+4}{6}$. We are not able to tell whether they are isomorphic to $\bar{F}$ or not.

Fix $\beta \in K$ with $\beta^{\frac{q+1}{3}}=1$, and let $P=P_{0, \beta} \in \mathbb{P}(F)$. Let $\bar{P}$ be the place of $\bar{F}$ lying over $P$. The pole divisor of $\frac{x}{y-\beta}$ in $F$ is $\frac{q-2}{3} P$. Whence $\bar{P}$ is the only pole of $\frac{x}{y-\beta}$ in $\bar{F}$, and

$$
v_{\bar{P}}\left(\frac{x}{y-\beta}\right)=-(q-2) .
$$

This means that $j_{2}(\bar{P})=3$.
Taking into account that by the $p$-adic criterion the third $\mathcal{D}$-order must be equal to 2 , the following result is arrived at.

Theorem 5.4. Let $q$ be odd, $q \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$. Then $\bar{F}$ is an $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$-maximal function field with Frobenius dimension 3 such that

$$
\left(\epsilon_{0}, \epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}, \epsilon_{3}\right)=(0,1,2, q)
$$

and having an $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$-rational point $P$ with

$$
j_{0}\left(P_{\infty}\right)=0, \quad j_{1}\left(P_{\infty}\right)=1, \quad j_{2}\left(P_{\infty}\right)=3, \quad j_{3}\left(P_{\infty}\right)=q+1
$$
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