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#### Abstract

In this paper, s-PD-sets of minimum size $\boldsymbol{s}+1$ for partial permutation decoding for the binary linear Hadamard code $H_{m}$ of length $2^{m}$, for all $m \geq 4$ and $2 \leq s \leq 1 \frac{n a}{} J-1$, are constructed. Moreover, recursive constructions to obtain $s$-PD-sets of size $I \geq s+1$ for $H_{m+1}$ of length $2^{m+1}$, from an $s$-PD-set of the same size for $H_{m}$, are also described. These results are generalized to find $s$-PD-sets for the $\mathrm{Z}_{4}$-linear Hadamard codes $H_{Y, \delta}$ of length $2^{m}, m=Y+2 \delta-1$, which are binary Hadamard codes (not necessarily linear) obtained as the Gray map image of quaternary linear codes of type $2^{\gamma} 4^{\delta}$. Specifically, s-PD-sets of minimum size $\boldsymbol{s}+1$ for $H_{\gamma, \delta}$, for all $\delta \geq 3$ and $2 \leq s \leq \frac{\left.\right|_{\delta} ^{2 z-2}}{2 z}-1$, are constructed and recursive constructions are described.
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## 1 Introduction

A binary Hadamard code of length $n$ is a binary code with $2 n$ codewords and minimum distance $n / 2$ [16, Ch.2. §3.]. It is well known that there is a unique binary linear Hadamard code $H_{m}$ of length $n=2^{m}$, for any $m \geq 2$, which is the dual of the extended Hamming code of length $2^{m}$ and also coincides with the first order Reed-Muller code of the same length [16, Ch.13. §3]. Binary
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Hadamard codes of length $2^{m}$ which are obtained as the Gray map image of quaternary linear codes of length $2^{m-1}$ and type $2^{\gamma} 4^{\delta}$, where $m=\gamma+2 \delta-1$, are called $\mathrm{Z}_{4}$-linear Hadamard codes and denoted by $H_{Y, \delta}$. These quaternary linear codes are called quaternary linear Hadamard codes and denoted by $H_{Y, \delta}$, that is, $H_{Y, \delta}=\Phi\left(H_{Y, \delta}\right)$, where $\Phi$ is the Gray map. The $\mathrm{Z}_{4}$-linear Hadamard codes have been studied and classified in [14, 18], and their automorphism groups have been characterized in $[13,17]$. They can be seen as a generalization of the binary linear Hadamard codes, since when $\delta \in\{1,2\}$ they are linear, so isomorphic to $H_{m}$, but when $\delta \geq 3$ they are nonlinear. In general, $\mathrm{Z}_{4}$-linear codes have become important since 1994, when it was shown that several wellknown families of binary nonlinear codes can be simply constructed as binary images under the Gray map of linear codes over $\mathrm{Z}_{4}$ [9].

Permutation decoding is a technique introduced by Prange [19] and developed by MacWilliams [15] for linear codes that involves finding a special subset, called a PD-set, of the automorphism group of a code. This method is described in detail in [16, Ch.16. §9.] and [7, Section 8].

For linear codes, in [5], it is shown how to find $\boldsymbol{s}$-PD-sets of size $\boldsymbol{s}+1$ that satisfy the Gordon-Schönheim bound for (partial) permutation decoding up to $s$ errors for the binary simplex code of length $2^{m}-1$ for all $m \geq 4$ and $1_{2}<s \leq{ }^{\prime} \frac{2}{m}_{m}^{m}-1$ - 1. In [21], 2-PD-sets of size 5 and 4-PD-sets of size $\overline{2}(m+m+4)$ are found for $H_{m}$ for $m \geq 5$. In [10], the method used in [21] is extended to find $(m-1)$-PD-sets of size $\frac{1}{2}\left(m^{2}+m+4\right)$ for $H_{m}$ for $m \geq 5$, and $(m+1)$-PD-sets of size $\frac{1}{6}\left(m^{3}+5 m+12\right)$ for $H_{m}$ for $m \geq 6$. Small PD-sets that satisfy the Gordon-Schonheim bound have also been found for binary Golay codes [6, 22] and for the binary simplex code $S_{4}$ [12]. In [2], a new permutation decoding method for $\mathrm{Z}_{4}$-linear codes (not necessarily linear) was introduced. The determination of PD-sets for those that are nonlinear remained an open problem.

In this paper, following the same technique as for the binary simplex codes in [5], we establish similar results for binary linear and Z4-linear Hadamard codes. More specifically, this paper is organized as follows. In Section 3, we first notice that the Gordon-Schönheim bound can be adapted to systematic codes, not necessarily linear (see Proposition 1). Furthermore, we apply this result to obtain a bound $f_{m}$ on the maximum value of $\boldsymbol{s}$ for which $\boldsymbol{s}$-PD-sets of minimum size $\boldsymbol{s}+1$ may be found for binary linear and $\mathbf{Z}_{4}$-linear Hadamard codes, which are systematic and nonlinear in general (see Proposition 2). In Section 4, we regard the permutation automorphism group of $H_{m}$ as a certain subgroup of the general linear group $\mathrm{GL}(m+1,2)$ and we provide a criterion on subsets of matrices of this subgroup to be an $\boldsymbol{s}$-PD-set of minimum size $\boldsymbol{s}+1$ for $\boldsymbol{H}_{m}$ (see Theorem 1). Then, we give $\boldsymbol{s}$-PD-sets of size $\boldsymbol{s}+1$ for all $m \geq 4$ and $2 \leq s \leq{ }_{1+m}^{m} J-1=f_{m}$ (see Theorem 2). In Section 5, we define recursive constructions to obtain $s$-PD-sets of size $I \geq s+1$ for $H_{m+1}$ from an $s$-PD-set of the same size for $H_{m}$ (see Propositions 3 and 4).

Regarding the results for binary linear Hadamard codes, we remark that Theorem 2 has also earlier been proved independently in [11, Corollary 4], as it was pointed out to us by one of the referees in the review process of
this paper. Moreover, most of the results given in Sections 3, 4 and 5 appear without proofs in our conference paper [1], but the main Theorem 2 and the recursive construction shown in Proposition 4 are novel contributions.

In Sections 6 and 7, we establish similar results for (nonlinear) $Z_{4}$-linear Hadamard codes $H_{y, \delta}$ of length $2^{m}$ and type $2^{v} 4^{\delta}$, where $m=y+2 \delta-1$. They represent the first $s$-PD-sets for a family of nonlinear codes. Specifically, in Section 6, we regard the permutation automorphism group of $H_{\gamma, \delta}$ as a certain subset of $\mathrm{GL}\left(\gamma+\delta, Z_{4}\right)$ and we provide a criterion on subsets of matrices of this group to be an $\boldsymbol{s}$-PD-set of minimum size $\boldsymbol{s}+1$ for $\boldsymbol{H}_{\gamma, \delta}=\boldsymbol{\Phi}\left(H_{\gamma, \delta}\right)$ (see Theorem 3). Moreover, we obtain a new bound $f_{\gamma, \delta}$ on the maximum value of $s$ for which $s$-PD-sets of minimum size $s+1$ can be found for these codes by using this theorem (see Corollary 4). Then, for all these codes, we give s-PDsets of size $s+1$ for all $\delta \geq 3$ and $2 \leq s \leq{ }^{-\delta^{2}} \boldsymbol{J} J-1=f_{0, \delta}$ (see Theorem 4 and Corollary 5). In Section 7, we also define rećursive constructions to obtain $s$-PD-sets of size $I \geq s+1$ for $H_{y+i, \delta+j}$, for any $i, j \geq 0$, from an $s$-PD-set of the same size for $H_{y, \delta}$ (see Proposition 6 and Corollary 6).

In Section 8, we give some computational results and a new example, which improve the explicit construction given by Corollary 5 for $H_{y, \delta}$ with $\gamma>0$. Actually, we can found easily some $s$-PD-sets of size $s+1$ for $f_{0, \delta}<s \leq f_{y, \delta}$. by computer search. It is also worth mentioning that most of the concepts and results described in this paper have been implemented as new functions in Magma extending its functionality for linear and $\mathrm{Z}_{4}$-linear codes. Magma version 2.22 (from May 2016) and later contains these functions by default [4, Chapters 158 and 162], and they can also be downloaded from http://ccsg.uab.cat. Finally, in Section 9, we give the conclusions.

## 2 Terminology and deftnitions

Let $Z_{2}$ and $Z_{4}$ be the rings of integers modulo 2 and modulo 4, respectively. Let $Z_{2}^{n}$ denote the set of all binary vectors of length $n$ and let $Z^{n} \quad 4$ be the set of all $n$-tuples over the ring Z 4 . The Hamming weight $\mathrm{wt}(v)$ of a vector $v \in Z_{2}^{n}$ is the number of nonzero coordinates in $v$. The Hamming distance $d(u, v)$ between two vectors $u, v \in{\underset{2}{2}}^{n}$ is the number of coordinates in which $u$ and $v$ differ, that is, $d(u, v)=\mathrm{wt}(u+v)$. Let $e_{;}$be the binary vector or $n$-tuple over $Z_{4}$ with a 1 in the ith coordinate and zeros elsewhere. Let $\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, 2$ and $\mathbf{3}$ be the binary vectors or $n$-tuples over $Z_{4}$ having $0,1,2$ and 3 , respectively, repeated in each coordinate. It will be clear by the context whether we refer to binary vectors or $n$-tuples over $Z_{4}$.

Any nonempty subset $C$ of $Z^{n}$ is a binary code and a subgroup of $\mathrm{Z}^{n}$ is $_{2}$
called a binary linear code. Similarly, any nonempty subset $C$ of $Z_{4}^{n}$ is a quaternary code and a subgroup of $\mathrm{Z}_{4}^{n}$ is called a quaternary linear code. Quaternary codes can be viewed as binary codes under the usual Gray map $\Phi: \dot{Z}^{n} \xrightarrow{\rightarrow} Z^{2 n}$ defined as $\Phi\left(\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)\right)=\left(\varphi\left(y_{1}\right), \ldots, \varphi\left(y_{n}\right)\right)$, where $\varphi(0)=(0,)^{4}, \varphi(1)^{2}=$ $(0,1), \varphi(2)=(1,1), \varphi(3)=(1,0)$, for all $y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \in Z^{n}$. If $C$ is a quaternary linear code, then the binary code $C=\boldsymbol{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{C})$ is said to be a $\mathrm{Z}_{4}$-linear
code. Moreover, since $C$ is a subgroup of $Z^{n}$ it is isomorphic to an abelian group $Z_{2}^{V} \times Z_{4}^{\delta}$ and we say that $C$ (or equivalently, the corresponding $Z_{4}$-linear code $\boldsymbol{C}=\boldsymbol{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{C})$ ) is of type $2^{\Upsilon} 4^{\delta}$, see for example [9], [8, Chapter 12], or [23].

Let $C$ be a binary code of length $n$ and size $|C|=2^{k}$. For a vector $v \in$ $Z^{\text {T }}$ and a set $\boldsymbol{I} \subseteq\{1, \ldots, n\},|\boldsymbol{I}|=k$, we denote the restriction of $v$ to the coordinates in $\boldsymbol{I}$ by $v \boldsymbol{\prime} \in Z_{2}^{k}$ and the set $\{v ı: v \in C\}$ by $C \boldsymbol{\prime}$. A set $\boldsymbol{I} \subseteq\{1, \ldots, n\}$ of $k$ coordinate positions such that $\left|C_{\boldsymbol{\prime}}\right|=2^{k}$ is called an information set for $C$. If such a set $I$ exists, then $C$ is said to be a systematic code. For each information set $\boldsymbol{I}$ of size $k$, the set $\{1, \ldots, n\} \neq \boldsymbol{l}$ of the remaining $n-k$ coordinate positions is called a check set for $C$.

Let $\operatorname{Sym}(n)$ be the symmetric group of permutations on the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and let id $\in \operatorname{Sym}(n)$ be the identity permutation. The group operation is the function composition, $\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}$, which maps any element $X$ to $\sigma_{1}\left(\sigma_{2}(x)\right)$, $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2} \in \operatorname{Sym}(n)$. A $\sigma \in \operatorname{Sym}(n)$ acts linearly on words of $Z^{n}$ or $Z_{4}^{n}$ by permuting their coordinates as follows: $\sigma\left(\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)\right)=\left(v_{\sigma-1(1)}, \ldots, v_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}\right)$. The permutation automorphism group of $C$ or $C=\Phi(C)$, denoted by PAut $(C)$ or $\operatorname{PAut}(C)$, respectively, is the group generated by all permutations that preserve the set of codewords [7].

Let $C$ be a binary systematic $t$-error-correcting code $C$ with information set $I$. A subset $S \subseteq \operatorname{PAut}(C)$ is said to be an $S$-PD-set for the code $C$ if every $\boldsymbol{s}$-set of coordinate positions is moved out of $\boldsymbol{I}$ by at least one element of $S$, where $1 \leq s \leq t$. When $s=t, S$ is said to be a PD-set.

## 3 Minimum size of s-PD-sets for Hadamard codes

There is a well-known bound on the minimum size of PD-sets for linear codes based on the length, dimension and minimum distance of such codes that can be adapted to systematic codes (not necessarily linear) easily.

Proposition 1 Let $C$ be a systematic $t$-error-correcting code of length n, size $|C|=2^{k}$ and minimum distance $d$. Let $r=n-k$ be the redundancy of $C$. If $S$ is a PD-set for $C$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\geq{ }_{r} \underline{n} \frac{n-1}{r-1}, \cdots \frac{n-t+1}{}, \cdots, \cdots, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above inequality (1) is often called the Gordon-Schönheim bound [6, 20]. The result given by Proposition 1 is quoted and proved for linear codes in [7]. We can follow the same proof, since the linearity of the code is only used to guarantee that the code is systematic. In [2], it is shown that $\mathrm{Z}_{4}$-linear codes are systematic and a systematic encoding is given for these codes. Therefore, the result can be applied to any $\mathrm{Z}_{4}$-linear code, not necessarily linear.

The Gordon-Schönheim bound can be adapted to s-PD-sets for all $s$ up to the error-correcting capability of the code. Note that the error-correcting capability of any binary linear or Z,4-linear Hadamard code of length $n=2^{m}$ is $t_{m}=\mid(d-1) / 2 J={ }^{\prime}\left(2^{m-1}-1\right) / 2^{\prime}=2^{m-2}-1[16$, Ch.1. §3]. Moreover, all
these codes are systematic and have size $2 \boldsymbol{n}=2^{m+1}$. Therefore, the right-hand side of the bound given by (1) for $s$-PD-sets, for binary linear and $\mathrm{Z}_{4}$-linear Hadamard codes of length $2^{m}$ and for all $1 \leq s \leq t_{m}$, becomes

$$
g_{m}(s)={ }^{\prime} \frac{2^{m}}{2^{m}-m-1}{\frac{2^{m}-1}{2^{m}-m-2}}_{\cdots}^{, \quad 2^{m}-s+1} 2^{m}-m-s \quad \cdots
$$

We compute the minimum value of $g_{m}(\boldsymbol{s})$ in the following lemma.
Lemma 1 Let $m$ be an integer, $m \geq 4$. Then $g_{m}(s) \geq s+1$ for all $1 \leq s \leq$ $t_{m}=2^{m-2}-1$.
Proof We need to prove that $g_{m}(s) \geq s+1$. This fact is clear, since the central term $\left|\left(2^{m}-s+1\right) /\left(2^{m}-m-s\right)\right|=2$, for all $s \in\left\{1, \ldots, 2^{m-2}-1\right\}$, and in each stage of the ceiling function working from inside, $g_{m}(s)$ increases its value by at least 1 .

The smaller the size of the PD-set is, the more efficient permutation decoding becomes. In this paper, we study the simple case, when we have that $g_{m}(\boldsymbol{s})=\boldsymbol{s}+1$. For each binary linear and $\mathrm{Z}_{4}$-linear Hadamard code of length $2^{m}, m \geq 4$, we define the integer $f_{m}=\max \left\{s: 2 \leq s, g_{m}(s)=s+1\right\}$, which represents the greater $\boldsymbol{s}$ in which we can find $\boldsymbol{s}$-PD-sets of size $\boldsymbol{s}+1$. The following result characterize this parameter from the value of $m$. Note that for $m=3$, since the error-correcting capability is $t_{3}=1$, the permutation decoding becomes unnecessary and we do not take it into account in the results.
Proposition 2 Let $m$ be an integer, $m \geq 4$. Then, $f_{m}={ }_{\frac{,}{1 \neq m}}$, -1 .
Proof By Lemma 1 and an argument similar to the proof of Lemma 2 in [5].

## 4 Construction of $s$-PD-sets of size $s+1$ for binary linear Hadamard codes

For any $m \geq 2$, there is a unique linear Hadamard code $H_{m}$ of length $2^{m}$, which is also the first order Reed-Muller code with parameters $\left[2^{m}, m+1,2^{m-1}\right] 2$ [16, Ch.13. §3]. A generator matrix $t t_{m}$ for $H_{m}$ can be constructed as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t t_{m}={ }^{-} \frac{1}{\mathbf{0}} \mathbf{1}_{t^{-}}^{-} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t t^{r}$ is any matrix having as column vectors the $2^{m}-1$ nonzero vectors from $Z_{2}^{m}$, with the vectors $\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{i}}, \boldsymbol{i} \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$, in the first $m$ positions. Note that $t t^{2}$ can be seen as a generator matrix of the simplex code of length $2^{m}-1$.

By construction, from (2), it is clear that $I_{m}=\{1, \ldots, m+1\}$ is an information set for $\boldsymbol{H}_{m}$. Let $\boldsymbol{w}_{i}$ be the $\boldsymbol{i}$ th column vector of $t_{m}, i \in\left\{1, \ldots, 2^{m}\right\}$. By labelling the coordinate positions with the columns of $t t_{m}$, we can take as
an information set $I_{m}$ for $H_{m}$ the first $m+1$ column vectors of $t t_{m}$ considered as row vectors, that is, $I_{m}=\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{m+1}\right\}=\left\{e_{1}, e_{1}+e_{2}, \ldots, e_{1}+e_{m+1}\right\}$. Then, depending on the context, $I_{m}$ will be taken as a subset of $\left\{1, \ldots, 2^{m}\right\}$ or $\{1\} \times \mathrm{Z}^{m}$.

It is knơwn that the permutation automorphism group $\operatorname{PAut}\left(H_{m}\right)$ of $H_{m}$ is isomorphic to the general affine group $\operatorname{AGL}(m, 2)[16$, Ch.13. $\S 9]$. Let GL $(m, 2)$ be the general linear group over $\mathrm{Z}_{2}$. Recall that $\operatorname{AGL}(m, 2)$ consists of all mappings $\alpha: Z_{2}^{m} \rightarrow Z_{2}^{m}$ of the form $\alpha(x)=A x+b$ for $x \in Z_{2}^{m}$, where $A \in \mathrm{GL}(m, 2)$ and $b \in{ }_{2} \mathrm{Z}^{m}$, together with the function composition as the group operation. The monomorphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi: \operatorname{AGL}(m, 2) & \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(m+1,2) \\
(b, A) & \rightarrow \\
& -1 b^{-} \\
& \mathbf{0} A
\end{aligned}
$$

defines an isomorphism between $\operatorname{AGL}(\boldsymbol{m}, 2)$ and the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(\boldsymbol{m}+1,2)$ consisting of all nonsingular matrices whose first column is $\boldsymbol{e}_{1}$. Therefore, from now on, we also regard $\operatorname{PAut}\left(H_{m}\right)$ as this subgroup. Note that any matrix $M \in \operatorname{PAut}\left(H_{m}\right)$ can be seen as a permutation of coordinate positions, that is, as an element of $\operatorname{Sym}\left(2^{m}\right)$. By multiplying the $i$ th column vector $\boldsymbol{w}_{i}$ of $t t_{m}$ by $M$, we obtain another column vector $w_{j}=w i M$, which means that the ith coordinate position moves to the $j$ th coordinate position, $i, j \in\left\{1, \ldots, 2^{m}\right\}$.

Let $\boldsymbol{M}$ be a binary matrix with $r$ rows and let $\boldsymbol{m}_{i}$ be the $i$ th row of $\boldsymbol{M}$, $i \in\{1, \ldots, r\}$. We define $M^{*}$ as the matrix

$$
M^{*}=\begin{gather*}
m_{1} \dot{m}_{1} m_{2}{ }^{\bullet}  \tag{3}\\
\vdots \\
\\
m_{1}+m_{r}
\end{gather*} \quad \vdots
$$

An $s$-PD-set of size $s+1$ for $H_{m}$ meets the Gordon-Schönheim bound if $2 \leq$ $s \leq f_{m}$. The following theorem provides us a condition on sets of matrices of $\operatorname{PAut}\left(\boldsymbol{H}_{m}\right)$ in order to be $\boldsymbol{s}$-PD-sets of size $\boldsymbol{s}+1$ for $\boldsymbol{H}_{m}$.

Theorem 1 Let $H_{m}$ be the binary linear Hadamard code of length $2^{m}$, with $m \geq 4$. Let $P_{s}=\left\{M_{i}: 0 \leq i \leq s\right\}$ be a set of $s+1$ matrices in $\operatorname{PAut}\left(H_{m}\right)$. Then, $P_{s}$ is an $s-\mathrm{PD}$-set of size $s+1$ for $H_{m}$ with information set $I_{m}$ if and only if no two matrices $\left(M_{i}^{-1}\right)^{*}$ and $\left(M_{j}^{-1}\right)^{*}$ for $i f=j$ have a row in common.
Moreover, any subset $P_{k} \subseteq P_{s}$ of size $k+1$ is a $k$-PD-set for $k \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$.
Proof Suppose that the set $P_{s}=\left\{M_{i}: 0 \leq i \leq s\right\}$ satisfies that no two matrices $\left(M_{i}^{-1}\right)^{*}$ and $\left(M_{j}^{-1}\right)^{*}$ for $\boldsymbol{i} f=\boldsymbol{j}$ have a row in common. Let $\boldsymbol{E}=$ $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{s}\right\} \subseteq\{1\} 2 \times Z^{m}$ be a set of $\boldsymbol{s}$ different column vectors of the generator matrix $t t_{m}$ regarded as row vectors, which represents a set of $\boldsymbol{s}$ error positions. Assume we cannot move all the error positions to the check set by any element of $P_{s .}$. Then, for each $i \in\{0, \ldots, s\}$, there is a $v \in E$ such that $v M_{i} \in I_{m}$. In other words, there is at least one error position that remains in the information
set $\boldsymbol{I}_{\boldsymbol{m}}$ after applying any permutation of $\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{s}}$. Note that there are $\boldsymbol{s}+1$ values for $\boldsymbol{i}$, but only $s$ elements in $E$. Therefore, $v M_{\boldsymbol{i}} \in \boldsymbol{I}_{m}$ and $v M_{\boldsymbol{j}} \in \boldsymbol{I}_{m}$ for some $v \in E$ and $\boldsymbol{i} f=\boldsymbol{j}$. Suppose $v M_{\boldsymbol{i}}=w_{r}$ and $v M_{\boldsymbol{j}}=w_{t}$ for $w_{r}, w_{t} \in \boldsymbol{I}_{m}$. Then, $v=w_{r} M^{-1}=w_{t} M^{-1}$. Thus, we obtain that $\left(M^{-1}\right)^{*}$ and $\left(M^{-1}\right)^{*}$ have a row $\underset{j}{j}{ }^{j}{ }^{j}$
in common, contradicting our assumption. Let $P_{k}^{\dot{j}} \subseteq P_{s}$ of size $k+1$. If this set satisfies the condition on the inverse matrices and we suppose that it is not a $k$-PD-set, we arrive at a contradiction in the same way as before.

Conversely, suppose that the set $P_{s}=\left\{M_{\boldsymbol{i}}: 0 \leq i \leq s\right\}$ forms an $s$ -PD-set for $H_{m}$, but does not satisfy the condition on the inverse matrices. Thus, some $v \in\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{2 m}\right\}$ must be the $r$ th row of $\left(M^{-1}\right)^{*}$ and the $t$ th row of $\left(M_{j}^{-1}\right)^{*}$ for some $r, t \in\{1, \ldots, m+1\}, i, j \in\left\{0, \ldots, s^{\prime}\right\}$. In other words, we have that $v=e_{r}\left(M_{i}^{-1}\right)^{*}=e_{t}\left(M_{j}^{-1}\right)^{*}$. Therefore, $v=w_{r} M_{i}^{-1}=w_{t} M_{j}^{-1}$, where $w_{r}, w_{t} \in I_{m}$, and thus $v M_{i}=w_{r}$ and $v M_{j}=w_{t}$. These equalities implies that the vector $v$, which represents an error position, cannot be moved to the check set by the permutations defined by the matrices $\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ and $\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{j}}$. Let $L=\{I: 0 \leq I \leq s, I f=i, j\}$. For each $l \in L$, choose a row $v_{I}$ of $\left(M^{-1}\right)^{*}$. It is clear that $v_{l}=e_{h}\left(M^{-1}\right)^{*}=w_{h} M^{-1}$ for some $h \in\{1, \ldots, m$ $+1\}$, so
 $E=\left\{v_{l}: I \in L\right\} \cup\{v\}$ of size at most $s$. Nevertheless, no matrix in $P_{s}$ will map every member of $E$ into the check set, a fact that contradicts our assumption.

```
H
```

Let $\boldsymbol{S}$ be an $\boldsymbol{s}$-PD-set of size $\boldsymbol{s}+1$. The set $\boldsymbol{S}$ is a nested $\boldsymbol{s}$-PD-set if there is an ordering of the elements of $S, S=\left\{\sigma_{0}, \ldots, \sigma_{s}\right\}$, such that $S_{i}=$ $\left\{\sigma_{0}, \ldots, \sigma_{i}\right\} \subseteq S$ is an $\boldsymbol{i}$-PD-set of size $\boldsymbol{i}+1$, for all $\boldsymbol{i} \in\{0, \ldots, s\}$. Note that $S_{i} \subset S_{\boldsymbol{j}}$ if $0 \leq \boldsymbol{i}<\boldsymbol{j} \leq s$ and $S_{s}=S$. From Theorem 1, we have two important consequences. The first one is related to how to obtain nested $s$-PD-sets and the second one provides another proof of Proposition 2.

Corollary 1 Let $m$ be an integer, $m \geq 4$. If $P_{s}$ is an $s$-PD-set of size $s+1$ for the binary linear Hadamard code $H_{m}$, then any ordering of the elements

Corollary 2 Let $m$ be an integer, $m \geq 4$. If $P_{s}$ is an $s$-PD-set of size $s+1$
for the binarylinear Hadamard code $H_{m}$, then $s \leq f_{m}={ }^{\prime} \frac{2^{m}}{1+m}{ }^{\prime}-1$.
Proof Following the condition on sets of matrices to be $\boldsymbol{s}$-PD-sets of size $\boldsymbol{s}+1$, given by Theorem 1, we have to obtain certain $\boldsymbol{s}+1$ matrices with no rows in common. Note that the number of possible vectors of length $m+1$ over $\mathbf{Z}_{2}$ with 1 in the first coordinate is $2^{m}$. Thus, taking this fact into account and counting the number of rows of each one of these $\boldsymbol{s}+1$ matrices, we have that $(s+1)(m+1) \leq 2^{m}$, so $s+1 \leq \frac{2^{m}}{m+1}$ and finally $s \leq \boldsymbol{f}_{m}$.

Next, by using Theorem 1, we give an explicit construction of s-PD-sets of minimum size $s+1$ for $H_{m}$, for all $m \geq 4$ and $2 \leq s \leq f_{m}$. We follow a similar technique to the one described for simplex codes in [5].

Lemma 2 Let $K=Z_{2}[x] /(\boldsymbol{f}(x))$, where $f(x) \in Z_{2}[x]$ is a primitive polynomial of degree $m$. Let $\alpha \in K$ be a root of $f(x)$. Then $\alpha^{i+1}-\alpha^{i}, \ldots, \alpha^{i+m}-\alpha^{i}$ are linearly independent over $Z_{2}$ for all $i \in\left\{0, \ldots, 2^{m}-2\right\}$.

Proof It is straightforward to see that $\alpha^{i+1}-\alpha^{i}, \ldots, \alpha^{i+m}-\alpha^{i}$ are linearly independent over $\mathrm{Z}_{2}$ for all $i \in\left\{0, \ldots, 2^{m}-2\right\}$, if and only if $\alpha-1, \ldots, \alpha^{m}-1$ are linearly independent over $Z_{2}$, since $\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{i} \in K \neq\{0\}$.

Note that $\alpha^{m}-1={ }_{j=1}^{-m-1} \mu_{j} \alpha^{j}$ for some $\mu_{j} \in\{0,1\}$. Moreover, this summation has an odd number of nonzero terms, since $\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x})$ is irreducible. Let $\mu=\left(\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{m-1}\right) \in Z_{2}$. Note that in vectorial notation $\boldsymbol{\alpha}-1=e_{1}+e_{\boldsymbol{j}+1}$, $\boldsymbol{j} \in\{1, \ldots, m-1\}$, and $\boldsymbol{a}^{m}-1={ }^{-\underset{j}{\boldsymbol{j}=1}} \boldsymbol{m} \mu_{\boldsymbol{j}} \mathrm{e}_{\boldsymbol{j}+1}$. Finally, it is easy to see that the $m \times m$ binary matrix

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -1 \operatorname{Id} m-1{ }^{-} \\
& 0 \mu
\end{aligned}
$$

which has as rows $\boldsymbol{a}-1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{a}^{m}-1$, has determinant $\underset{\boldsymbol{j}=1}{-\boldsymbol{m}-1} \mu_{\boldsymbol{j}}=1 f=0 . H$
For $\boldsymbol{i} \in\left\{1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{f}_{m}\right\}$, we consider the $(m+1) \times(m+1)$ binary matrices

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text {-0 } 1 \\
& N_{0}=\begin{array}{llll}
\bullet & & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \\
& 0 & \text { and } & N_{i}=:
\end{array} \\
& 0 \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(m+1) \boldsymbol{i}+m-1}-\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(m+1) \boldsymbol{i}-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 2 Let $P_{s}=\left\{M_{i}: 0 \leq i \leq s\right\}$, where $M_{i}=N_{i}^{-1}$. Then, $P_{s}$ is an $s$-PD-set of size $s+1$ for the binary linear Hadamard code $H_{m}$ of length $2^{m}$ with information set $I_{m}$, for all $m \geq 4$ and $2 \leq s \leq f_{m}$.

Proof Clearly, $N_{0} \in \operatorname{PAut}\left(H_{m}\right)$. By Lemma 2, $\boldsymbol{N}_{i} \in \operatorname{PAut}\left(H_{m}\right)$ for all $i \in$ $\left\{1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{f}_{\boldsymbol{m}}\right\}$. The rows of the matrices $\boldsymbol{N}_{0}^{*}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{N}_{\boldsymbol{f}_{\boldsymbol{m}}}^{*}$, constructed as in (3), are the elements of the form $(1, a)$ for all $a \in\left\{0,1, \boldsymbol{a}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{a}^{\boldsymbol{f}_{m}(m+1)+m-1}\right\}$. They are all different, since $\alpha$ is primitive and $f_{m}(m+1)+m-1 \leq 2^{m}-2$. By Theorem 1, the result follows.

Note 1 The construction of the matrices in Theorem 2 is virtually identical to a construction submitted earlier in [11, Corollary 4].

Example 1 Let $H_{4}$ be the binary linear Hadamard code of length 16 with a generator matrix constructed as in (2). Let $K=\mathrm{Z}_{2}[x] /\left(x^{4}+x+1\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ be a root of $\boldsymbol{x}^{4}+\boldsymbol{x}+1$. We have that $f_{4}=2$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 11100 \\
& \text { - } 1 a^{9} \\
& 10101 \\
& 01011
\end{aligned}
$$

The matrices $N_{0}=\operatorname{Id}_{5}$, where $\operatorname{Id}_{5}$ is the $5 \times 5$ identity matrix, $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ are elements of $\operatorname{PAut}\left(H_{4}\right)$. By Theorem 2, $P_{2}=\left\{N_{0}^{-1}, N_{1}^{1}, N_{2}^{-1}\right\}$ is a 2-PD-set of size 3 for $H_{4}$. It is straightforward to check that the matrices $\boldsymbol{N}^{*}{ }_{0}$,

have no rows in common. Finally, note that no $\boldsymbol{s}$-PD-set of size $\boldsymbol{s}+1$ can be found for $s \geq 3$ since $f_{4}=2$.

## 5 Recursive constructions of s-PD-sets for binary linear Hadamard codes

Given a matrix $M \in \operatorname{PAut}\left(H_{m}\right)$ and an integer $\kappa \geq 1$, we define the matrix $M(\kappa) \in \operatorname{PAut}\left(H_{m+\kappa}\right)$ as

$$
M(\kappa)=-\underset{\mathbf{0} \operatorname{Id}_{\kappa}}{M \mathbf{0}^{-}}
$$

where $\operatorname{Id}_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}}$ denotes the $\boldsymbol{\kappa} \times \boldsymbol{\kappa}$ identity matrix.
Proposition 3 Let $m$ be an integer, $m \geq 4$, and let $P_{s}=\left\{M_{i}: 0 \leq i \leq s\right\}$ be an s-PD-set of size $s+1$ for $H_{m}$ with information set $I_{m}$. Then, $Q_{s}=$ $\left\{M_{i}(\kappa): 0 \leq i \leq s\right\}$ is an s-PD-set of size $s+1$ for $H_{m+\kappa}$ with information set $I_{m+\kappa}$, for any $\kappa \geq 1$.
Proof Since $P_{s}$ is an $s$-PD-set for $H_{m}$, matrices $\left(M_{1}^{-1}\right)^{*}, \ldots,\left(M_{s}^{-1}\right)^{*}$, constructed as in (3), have no rows in common by Theorem 1. Therefore, it is straightforward to check that matrices $\left(M_{1}^{-1}(K)\right)^{*}, \ldots,\left(M_{s}^{-1}(K)\right)^{*}$ have no rows in common either. Moreover, $M_{j}^{-1}(\kappa) \in \operatorname{PAut}\left(H_{m+\kappa}\right)$ for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$. Thus, applying again Theorem 1 and the fact that $M_{i}(\kappa)^{-1}=M_{j}^{-1}(\kappa)$, we have that $Q_{s}$ is an s-PD-set for $H_{m+\kappa}$.

Note that the bound $f_{m+1}$ for $H_{m+1}$ cannot be achieved recursively from an $s$-PD-set for $H_{m}$, since the recursive construction given by Proposition 3 works for a given fixed $\boldsymbol{s}$, while increasing the length of the Hadamard code.

Next, we show a second recursive construction which holds when the size of the $\boldsymbol{s}$-PD-set is any integer $I, I \geq \boldsymbol{s}+1$, unlike the first recursive construction. Now, the elements of $\operatorname{PAut}\left(H_{m}\right)$ will be regarded as permutations of coordinate positions, that is, as elements of $\operatorname{Sym}\left(2^{m}\right)$ instead of matrices of $\mathrm{GL}(m+1,2)$.

It is well known that a generator matrix $t t_{m+1}$ for the binary linear Hadamard code $H_{m+1}$ of length $2^{m+1}$ can be constructed as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t t_{m} t t_{m} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
t t_{m+1}=\quad \mathbf{0} \quad \mathbf{1}
$$

where $t t_{m}$ is a generator matrix for the binary linear Hadamard code $H_{m}$ of length $2^{m}$. Given two permutations $\sigma_{1} \in \operatorname{Sym}\left(n_{1}\right)$ and $\sigma_{2} \in \operatorname{Sym}\left(n_{2}\right)$, we define $\left(\sigma_{1} \mid \sigma_{2}\right) \in \operatorname{Sym}\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right)$, where $\sigma_{1}$ acts on the coordinates $\left\{1, \ldots, n_{1}\right\}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ on $\left\{n_{1}+1, \ldots, n_{1}+n_{2}\right\}$.

Proposition 4 Let $m$ be an integer, $m \geq 4$, and $S$ be an s-PD-set of size
I for $H_{m}$ with information set I. Then, $(S \mid S)=\{(\sigma \mid \sigma): \sigma \in S\}$ is an $s$ -PD-set of size I for $H_{m+1}$ constructed from (4), with any information set $\boldsymbol{I}=\boldsymbol{I} \cup\left\{i+2^{m}\right\}, i \in I$.

Proof Since I is an information set for $H_{m}$, we have that $\left|\left(H_{m}\right) \boldsymbol{I}\right|=2^{m+1}$. Since $H_{m+1}$ is constructed from (4), it follows that $H_{m+1}=\{(x, x),(x, \bar{x})$ : $\left.x \in H_{m}\right\}$, where $\bar{x}$ is the complementary vector of $\boldsymbol{x}$. A vector and its complementary have different values in each coordinate, so $\left.\mid\left(H_{m+1}\right) / \cup\{i\}\right) \mid=2$ for all $i \in\left\{2^{m}+1, \ldots, 2^{m+1}\right\}$. Thus, any set of the form $I^{r}=\{ \}^{\prime \prime}\left\{\bar{i}+2^{m}\right\}$, $i \in I$, is an information set for $H_{m+1}$.

If $\sigma \in \operatorname{PAut}\left(H_{m}\right)$, then $\sigma(x)=z \in H_{m}$ for all $x \in H_{m}$. Therefore, since $(\sigma \mid \sigma)(x, x)=(z, z)$ and $(\sigma \mid \sigma)(x, \bar{x})=(z, z+\sigma(\mathbf{1}))=(z, \bar{z})$, we can conclude that $(\sigma \mid \sigma) \in \operatorname{PAut}\left(H_{m+1}\right)$.

Let $e=(a, b) \in Z_{2}^{2 n}$, where $a=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right), b=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right) \in Z_{2}^{n}$, and $n=2^{m}$. Finally, we will prove that for every $e \in Z_{2}^{2 n}$ with wt $(\boldsymbol{e}) \leq s$, there is $(\sigma \mid \sigma) \in(S \mid S)$ such that $(\sigma \mid \sigma)(e) \boldsymbol{t}=\mathbf{0}$. Let $c=\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right)$ be the binary vector defined as follows: $c_{i}=1$ if and only if $a_{i}=1$ or $b_{i}=1$, for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Note that $\mathrm{wt}(\boldsymbol{c}) \leq s$, since $\mathrm{wt}(\boldsymbol{e}) \leq s$. Taking into account that $S$ is an $\boldsymbol{s}$-PD-set with respect to $\boldsymbol{I}$, there is $\sigma \in S$ such that $\sigma(c) \boldsymbol{\prime}=\mathbf{0}$. Therefore, we also have that $(\sigma \mid \sigma)(a, b) \boldsymbol{\prime}) \boldsymbol{J}=\mathbf{0}$, where $\boldsymbol{J}=\{\boldsymbol{i}+n: \boldsymbol{i} \in \boldsymbol{I}\}$. The result follows trivially since $\boldsymbol{\Gamma} \subseteq \boldsymbol{I} \cup J$.

## 6 Construction of s-PD-sets of size s + 1 for $Z_{4}$-linear Hadamard codes

A $Z_{4}$-linear Hadamard code $H_{Y, \delta}$ of length $2^{m}$ is a binary Hadamard code obtained as the Gray map image of a quaternary linear code of length $\beta=$ $2^{m-1}$ and type $2^{V} 4^{\delta}$ with $m=Y+2 \delta-1$ [14]. Note that they have the same parameters $\left(2^{m}, 2^{m+1}, 2^{m-1}\right) 2$ as the binary linear Hadamard codes. For any $m \geq 3$ and each $\delta \in\left\{1, \ldots, \frac{m+1}{2}\right\}$, there is a unique (up to equivalence) $Z_{4}$-linear Hadamard code of length $2^{m}$. Moreover, for a fixed $m$, all these codes are pairwise nonequivalent, except for $\delta=1$ and $\delta=2$, since these ones are equivalent to the binary linear Hadamard code $H_{m}$ of length $2^{m}$ [14]. Therefore, the number of nonequivalent $\mathbf{Z}_{4}$-linear Hadamard codes of length $2^{m}$ is' $\frac{m-1}{2}$ ' for all $m \geq 3$. Note that when $\delta \geq 3$, the $\mathrm{Z}_{4}$-linear Hadamard codes are nonlinear.

Let $H_{\gamma, \delta}$ be the quaternary linear Hadamard code of length $\beta=2^{m-1}$ and type $2^{\gamma} 4^{\delta}$, where $m=\gamma+2 \delta-1$, and let $H_{\gamma, \delta}=\Phi\left(H_{\gamma, \delta}\right)$ be the corresponding $Z_{4}$-linear code of length $2 \beta=2^{m}$. A generator matrix $G_{Y, \delta}$ for $H_{Y, \delta}$ of size
$(\gamma+\delta) \times \beta$ can be constructed by using the following recursive constructions:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl} 
& \begin{array}{c}
- \\
G_{Y, \delta}
\end{array} G_{\gamma, \delta} \\
G_{\gamma+1, \delta} & = \\
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{2} \tag{6}
\end{array}\right]
$$

starting from $G_{0,1}=(1)$. Note that different matrices $G_{Y, \delta}$ are obtained, depending on the chosen order applying $\gamma$ times (5) and $\delta-1$ times (6). Since all of them generate permutation equivalent codes, from now on, we consider the one constructed as follows: first, the matrix $G_{0, \delta}$ is obtained from $G_{0,1}$ by using recursively $\delta-1$ times (6), and then $G_{\gamma, \delta}$ is constructed from $G_{0, \delta}$ by using $Y$ times (5). Considering the rows of $G_{\gamma, \delta}$ as elements in the group ( $Z^{\beta},_{4}+$ ), note that, following this construction, the rows of order four remain in the upper part of $G_{\gamma, \delta}$ while those of order two stay in the lower part.

Example 2 The quaternary linear Hadamard code $H_{0,3}$ of length 16 has generator matrix $G_{0,3}$ obtained by applying (6) two times to $G_{0,1}=(1)$, where

$$
G_{0,3}=\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3
\end{array} .
$$

An ordered set $I=\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{\gamma+\delta}\right\} \subseteq\{1, \ldots, \beta\}$ of $\gamma+\delta$ coordinate positions is said to be a quaternary information set for a quaternary linear code $C$ of type $2^{\gamma} 4^{\delta}$ if $\left|C_{\sigma}\right|=2^{\gamma} 4^{\delta}$. If the elements of $I$ are ordered in such a way that $\left|C_{\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{\delta}\right\}}\right|=4$, then it is easy to see that the set $\boldsymbol{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{I})$, defined as

$$
\Phi(I)=\left\{2 i_{1}-1,2 i_{1}, \ldots, 2 i_{\delta}-1,2 i_{\delta}, 2 i_{\delta+1}-1, \ldots, 2 i_{\delta+\gamma}-1\right\}
$$

is an information set for $C=\Phi(C)$. For example, the set $I=\{1\}$ is a quaternary information set for $H_{0,1}$, so $\boldsymbol{\Phi}(I)=\{1,2\}$ is an information set for $H_{0,1}=\Phi\left(H_{0,1}\right)$. In general, there is not a unique way to obtain a quaternary information set for $H_{\gamma, \delta}$. The following result provides a recursive and simple form to obtain such a set.

Proposition 5 Let I be a quaternary information set for the quaternary linear Hadamard code $H_{\gamma, \delta}$ of length $\beta=2^{m-1}$ and type $2^{\gamma} 4^{\delta}$, where $m=$ $Y+2 \delta-1$. Then $I \cup\{\beta+1\}$ is a quaternary information set for the codes $H_{\gamma+1, \delta}$ and $H_{\gamma, \delta+1}$, which are obtained from $H_{\gamma, \delta}$ by applying (5) and (6), respectively.

Proof Since $\left|H_{\gamma+1, \delta}\right|=2^{\gamma+1} 4^{\delta}$ and $\left|H_{Y, \delta+1}\right|=2^{\gamma} 4^{\delta+1}$, it is clear that a quaternary information set for codes $H_{\gamma+1, \delta}$ and $H_{\gamma, \delta+1}$ should have $\gamma+\delta+1=|I|+1$ coordinate positions.

Taking into account that $H_{y, \delta+1}$ is constructed from (6), we have that $H_{y, \delta+1}=\{(u, u, u, u),(u, u+\mathbf{1}, u+2, u+3),(u, u+2, u, u+2),(u, u+3, u+$ $\mathbf{2}, \boldsymbol{u}+\mathbf{1}): u \in H_{y, \delta\}}$. Vectors $\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u}+\mathbf{1}, \boldsymbol{u}+\mathbf{2}$, and $\boldsymbol{u}+\mathbf{3}$ have different values
in each coordinate, so $\left|\left(H_{Y, \delta+1}\right)_{I \cup\{i\}}\right|=2^{\gamma 4^{\delta+1}}$ for all $i \in\{\beta+1, \ldots, 2 \beta, 3 \beta+$ $1, \ldots, 4 \beta\}$. In particular, $I \cup\{\beta+1\}$ is a quaternary information set for $H_{\gamma, \delta+1}$.

A similar argument holds for $H_{\gamma+1, \delta}$. Since $H_{\gamma+1, \delta}$ is constructed from (5), we have that $H_{\gamma+1, \delta}=\left\{(u, u),(u, u+2): u \in H_{\gamma, \delta}\right\}$. Vectors $u$ and $u+\mathbf{2}$ have different values in each coordinate, so $\left|\left(H_{\gamma+1, \delta}\right)_{I \cup\{i\}}\right|=2^{\gamma+1} 4^{\delta}$ for all $i \in\{\beta+1, \ldots, 2 \beta\}$. Thus, $I \cup\{\beta+1\}$ is a quaternary information set for $H_{\gamma+1, \delta}$.

Although the quaternary information set $I \cup\{\beta+1\}$, given by Proposition 5, is the same for $H_{\gamma+1, \delta}$ and $H_{\gamma, \delta+1}$, the information set for the corresponding binary codes $H_{Y+1, \delta}$ and $H_{Y, \delta+1}$ are different, $\boldsymbol{I r}=\boldsymbol{\Phi}(I) \cup\{2 \beta+1\}$ and $\boldsymbol{I}^{r r}=$ $\Phi(I) \cup\{2 \beta+1,2 \beta+2\}$, respectively. As for binary linear codes, we can label the $i$ th coordinate position of a quaternary linear code $C$, with the $i$ th column of a generator matrix $G$ of $C$. Thus, any quaternary information set $I$ for $C$ can also be considered as a set of vectors representing the positions in $I$. Then, by Proposition 5, we have that the set $I_{Y, \delta}=\left\{e_{1}, e_{1}+e_{2}, \ldots, e_{1}+\right.$ $\left.e_{\delta}, e_{1}+2 e_{\delta+1}, \ldots, e_{1}+2 e_{Y+\delta}\right\}$ is a suitable quaternary information set for $H_{\gamma, \delta}$. Depending on the context, $I_{Y, \delta}$ will be considered as a subset of $\{1, \ldots, \beta\}$ or $\{1\} \times{\underset{4}{Z^{\delta}}}^{1} \times\{0,2\}$.
Example 3 The set $I_{0,3}=\{1,2,5\}$, or equivalently the set of vectors $I_{0,3}=$ $\{(1,0,0),(1,1,0),(1,0,1)\}$, is a quaternary information set for the code $H_{0,3}$ given in Example 2. By applying (5) and (6) over $G_{0,3}$, we obtain matrices $G_{1,3}$ and $G_{0,4}$ that generate the codes $H_{1,3}$ and $H_{0,4}$ of length 32 and 64 , respectively. By Proposition 5, it follows that $I_{0,3} \cup\{17\}=\{1,2,5,17\}$ is a quaternary information set for $H_{1,3}$ and $H_{0,4}$. Although the quaternary information set is the same for both codes $H_{1,3}$ and $H_{0,4}$, it is important to note that in terms of vectors representing these positions, we have that $I_{1,3}=$ $\{(1,0,0,0),(1,1,0,0),(1,0,1,0),(1,0,0,2)\}$ and $I_{0,4}=\{(1,0,0,0),(1,1,0,0)$, $(1,0,1,0),(1,0,0,1)\}$. Finally, $r=\Phi\left(I_{0,3}\right) \cup\{33\}=\{1,2,3,4,9,10,33\}$ and $\operatorname{lr}=\boldsymbol{\Phi}\left(I_{0,3}\right) \cup\{33,34\}=\{1,2,3,4,9,10,33,34\}$ are information sets for the $Z_{4}$-linear Hadamard codes $H_{1,3}$ and $H_{0,4}$, respectively.

Let $C$ be a quaternary linear code of length $\beta$ and type $2^{\gamma} 4^{\delta}$, and let $C=\boldsymbol{\Phi}(C)$ be the corresponding $Z_{4}$-linear code of length $2 \beta$. Let $\boldsymbol{\Phi}: \operatorname{Sym}(\beta) \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Sym}(2 \beta)$ be the map defined as

$$
\boldsymbol{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{r})(\boldsymbol{i})=\begin{array}{ll}
-2 \boldsymbol{r}(i / 2), & \text { if } \boldsymbol{i} \text { is even, } \\
2 \boldsymbol{\tau}((\boldsymbol{i}+1) / 2)-1 \text { if } \boldsymbol{i} \text { is odd, } \tag{7}
\end{array}
$$

for all $\tau \in \operatorname{Sym}(\beta)$ and $i \in\{1, \ldots, 2 \beta\}$. Given a subset $S \subseteq \operatorname{Sym}(\beta)$, we define the set $\Phi(S)=\{\Phi(\tau): \tau \in S\} \subseteq \operatorname{Sym}(2 \beta)$. It is easy to see that if $S \subseteq \operatorname{PAut}(C) \subseteq \operatorname{Sym}(\beta)$, then $\Phi(S) \subseteq \operatorname{PAut}(C) \subseteq \operatorname{Sym}(2 \beta)$.

Let $\operatorname{GL}\left(k, Z_{4}\right)$ be the general linear group of degree $k$ over $\mathrm{Z}_{4}$. Let $L$ be the set consisting of all matrices over $\mathrm{Z}_{4}$ of the following form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \eta 2 \theta \\
& \cdot \\
& \mathbf{0} A 2 X \\
& \mathbf{0} Y B
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A \in \operatorname{GL}\left(\delta-1, Z_{4}\right), B \in \operatorname{GL}\left(\gamma, Z_{4}\right), X$ is a matrix over $Z_{4}$ of size $(\delta-1) \times \gamma$, $Y$ is a matrix over $\mathrm{Z}_{4}$ of size $Y \times(\delta-1), \eta \in \mathrm{Z}_{4}^{\delta-1}$ and $\theta \in Z_{4}^{V}$.
Lemma 3 The set $L$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}\left(\gamma+\delta, Z_{4}\right)$.
Proof We first need to check that $L \subseteq \mathrm{GL}\left(\boldsymbol{\gamma}+\boldsymbol{\delta}, \mathrm{Z}_{4}\right)$, i.e., that $\operatorname{det}(M) \in\{1,3\}$ for all $M \in L$. Note that if $M^{r} \in G L\left(k, Z_{4}\right)$, then $M=M^{r}+2 R \in \operatorname{GL}\left(k, Z_{4}\right)$ for any $R$. Thus, since $\operatorname{det}\left(M^{r}\right) \in\{1,3\}$, we have that $\operatorname{det}(M) \in\{1,3\}$, where

$$
M^{r}=\begin{gathered}
1 \eta \mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0} A \mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0} Y B
\end{gathered}
$$

It is straightforward to check that $M N \in L$ for all $M, N \in L$.
Let $\zeta$ be the map from $Z_{4}$ to $Z_{4}$ defined as $\zeta(0)=\zeta(2)=0, \zeta(1)=\zeta(3)=1$. This map can be extended to matrices over $\mathrm{Z}_{4}$ by applying $\zeta$ to each one of their entries. Let $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ be the map from $L$ to $L$ defined as

$$
\pi(M)=\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \eta & 2 \theta \\
\mathbf{0} & A & 2 X \\
\mathbf{0} \zeta(Y) & \zeta(B)
\end{array}
$$

and let $\pi(L)=\{\pi(M): M \in L\} \subseteq G L\left(\gamma+\delta, \mathrm{Z}_{4}\right)$. By Lemma 3, it is clear that $\pi(L)$ is a group with the operation $*$ defined as $M * N=\pi(M N)$ for all $M, N \in \pi(L)$. By the proof of Theorem 2 in [13], it is easy to see that the permutation automorphism group $\operatorname{PAut}\left(H_{Y, \delta}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\pi(L)$. Thus, from now on, we identify $\operatorname{PAut}\left(H_{\gamma, \delta}\right)$ with this group. Recall that we can label the $i$ th coordinate position of $H_{\gamma, \delta}$ with the $i$ th column vector $\boldsymbol{w}_{i}$ of the generator matrix $G_{\gamma, \delta}$ constructed via (5) and (6), $i \in\{1, \ldots, \beta\}$. Therefore, again, any matrix $\boldsymbol{M} \in \operatorname{PAut}\left(H_{\gamma, \delta}\right)$ can be seen as a permutation of coordinate positions $\boldsymbol{T}$ $\in \operatorname{Sym}(\beta)$, such that $\boldsymbol{\tau}(\boldsymbol{i})=\boldsymbol{j}$ as long as $w_{\boldsymbol{j}}=w_{\boldsymbol{i}} \boldsymbol{M}, \boldsymbol{i}, \boldsymbol{j} \in\{1, \ldots, \beta\}$. For any $M \in \operatorname{PAut}\left(H_{\gamma, \delta}\right)$, we define $\Phi(M)=\Phi(t) \in \operatorname{Sym}(2 \beta)$, where $\Phi(t)$ is defined as in (7), and for any $P \subseteq \operatorname{PAut}\left(H_{\gamma, \delta}\right)$, we consider $\Phi(P)=\{\Phi(M)$ : $M \in P\} \subseteq \operatorname{Sym}(2 \beta)$.

Lemma 4 Let $H_{\gamma, \delta}$ be the quaternary linear Hadamard code of length $\beta$ and type $2^{\gamma} 4^{\delta}$ and let $P \subseteq \operatorname{PAut}\left(H_{\gamma, \delta}\right)$. Then, $\Phi(P)$ is an s-PD-set for $H_{\gamma, \delta}$ with information set $\Phi\left(I_{\gamma, \delta}\right)$ if and only if for every s-set $E$ of column vectors of $G_{\gamma, \delta}$ there is $M \in P$ such that $\{g M: g \in E\} \cap I_{\gamma, \delta}=\varnothing$.

Proof If $\Phi(P)$ is an s-PD-set with respect to the information set $\Phi\left(I_{\gamma, \delta}\right)$, then for every $s$-set $E \subseteq\{1, \ldots, 2 \beta\}$, there is $\tau \in P \subseteq \operatorname{Sym}(\beta)$ such that $\Phi(t)(E) \cap$ $\Phi\left(I_{Y, \delta}\right)=\varnothing$. For every $s$-set $E \subseteq\{1, \ldots, \beta\}$, let $E_{o}=\{2 i-1: i \in E\}$. We know that there is $\tau \in P$ such that $\Phi(\tau)\left(E_{0}\right) \cap \Phi\left(I_{\gamma, \delta}\right)=\varnothing$. By the definition of $\Phi$, we also have that $T(E) \cap I_{\gamma, \delta}=\varnothing$, which is equivalent to the statement.

Conversely, we assume that for every $s$-set $E \subseteq\{1, \ldots, \beta\}$, there is $T \in$ $P \subseteq \operatorname{Sym}(\beta)$ such that $\tau(E) \cap I_{\gamma, \delta}=\varnothing$. For every $s$-set $E \subseteq\{1, \ldots, 2 \beta\}$, let $E_{0}$ be an $S$-set such that $\left\{i: \phi_{1}(i) \in E\right.$ or $\left.\phi_{2}(i) \in E\right\} \subseteq E_{0}$, where $\phi_{1}(i)=2 i-1$ and $\phi_{2}(i)=2 i$. Since there is $\tau \in P$ such that $\tau\left(E_{0}\right) \cap I_{Y, \delta}=\varnothing$, we have that $\phi(T)(E) \cap \Phi\left(I_{Y, \delta}\right)=\varnothing$.

Let $M \in \operatorname{PAut}\left(H_{\gamma, \delta}\right)$ and let $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ be the $i$ th row of $M, i \in\{1, \ldots, \delta+\gamma\}$. We define $M^{*}$ as the matrix where the first row is $m_{1}$ and the $i$ th row is $m_{1}+m_{i}$ for $i \in\{2, \ldots, \delta\}$ and $m_{1}+2 m_{i}$ for $i \in\{\delta+1, \ldots, \delta+y\}$.

Theorem 3 Let $H_{\gamma, \delta}$ be the quaternary linear Hadamard code of type $2^{r} 4^{\delta}$. Let $P_{s}=\left\{M_{i}: 0 \leq i \leq s\right\}$ be a set of $s+1$ matrices in $\operatorname{PAut}\left(H_{y, \delta}\right)$. Then, $\Phi\left(P_{s}\right)$ is an s-PD-set of size $s+1$ for $H_{V, \bar{q}}$ with information set $\Phi\left(I_{\gamma, \delta}\right)$ if and only if no two matrices $\left(M_{i}^{-1}\right)^{*}$ and $\left(M_{j}^{-1}\right)^{*}$ for $i f=j$ have a row in common.
Proof By Lemma 4 and an argument similar to the proof of Theorem 1. H
Corollary 3 Let $P_{s}$ be a set of $s+1$ matrices in $\operatorname{PAut}\left(H_{\gamma, \delta}\right)$. If $\Phi\left(P_{s}\right)$ is an $s$-PD-set of size $s+1$ for $H_{y, \delta}$, then any ordering of elements in $\Phi\left(P_{s}\right)$ provides nested $k$-PD-sets for $k \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$.
Corollary 4 Let $P_{s}$ be a set of $s+1$ matrices in PAut $\left(H_{\gamma, \delta}\right)$. If $\Phi\left(P_{s}\right)$ is an $s$-PD-set of size $s+1$ for $H_{\gamma, \delta}$, then $s \leq f_{\gamma, \delta}=\frac{{ }^{\prime} 2^{\gamma+2 \delta-2}}{\gamma^{\prime+\delta}}-1$.

Proof Following the condition on sets of matrices to be s-PD-sets of size $s+1$, given by Theorem 3, we have to obtain certain $\boldsymbol{s}+1$ matrices with no rows in common. Since the rows of length $\delta+\gamma$ must have 1 in the first coordinate, and elements from $\{0,2\}$ in the last $\gamma$ coordinates, the number of possible rows is $4^{\delta-1} 2^{r}=2^{\gamma+2 \delta-2}$. Thus, taking this fact into account and counting the number of rows of each one of these $\boldsymbol{S}+1$ matrices, we have that $(\boldsymbol{S}+1)(\gamma+\boldsymbol{\delta}) \leq$ $2^{\gamma+2 \delta-2}$, and the result follows.

We know that the $Z_{4}$-linear Hadamard codes $H_{y, \delta}$ of length $2^{m}$ with $\delta=1$ or $\boldsymbol{\delta}=2$ are equivalent to the binary linear Hadamard codes $\boldsymbol{H}_{m}$ of length $2^{m}$ [14]. However, the results given in Section 4 for these codes will always be better than the ones obtained by using Theorem 3, since $f_{V, \delta} \leq f_{m}$, where $m=\gamma+2 \delta-1$.

Example 4 In Example 1, a 2-PD-set of size 3 for $H_{4}$ is given. The code $H_{4}$ is equivalent to both $\mathrm{Z}_{4}$-linear Hadamard codes $H_{1,2}$ and $H_{3,1}$. However, a 2-PD-set of size 3 is not achievable by using Theorem 3, since $f_{1,2}=f_{3,1}=1$.
Example 5 A 4-PD-set of size 5 for $H_{5}$ can be constructed by Theorem 2, since $f_{5}=4$. However, considering $H_{5}$ as the Gray map image of $H_{2,2}$ or $H_{4,1}$, no more than a 3-PD-set of size 4 can be found by using Theorem 3, since $f_{4,1}=2$ and $f_{2,2}=3$.

Next, by using Theorem 3, we give an explicit construction of $s$-PD-sets of minimum size $s+1$ for $H_{0, \delta}$, for all $\delta \geq 3$ and $2 \leq s \leq f_{0, \delta}$. Let $R=\operatorname{GR}\left(4^{\delta-1}\right)$ be the Galois extension of dimension $\delta-1$ over $\mathrm{Z}_{4}$. It is known that $R$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Z}_{4}[x] /(h(x))$, where $h(x)$ is a monic basic irreducible polynomial of degree $\delta-1$. Let $\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}) \in Z_{2}[x]$ be a primitive polynomial of degree $\delta-1$. Let $A=2^{\delta-1}-1$. There is a unique primitive basic irreducible polynomial $h(x)$ dividing $x^{A}-1$ in $Z_{4}[x]$ and such that $\mu(h(x))=f(x)$, where $\mu$ is the map that
performs modulo 2 to all coefficients of $h(x)$. Let $T=\left\{0,1, \alpha, \ldots, \alpha^{A-1}\right\} \subseteq R$, where $\alpha$ is a root of $h(x)$. It is well known that any $r \in R$ can be written uniquely as $r=a+2 b$, where $a, b \in T$. We take $R$ as the ordered set:

$$
\begin{aligned}
R & =\left\{r_{1}, \ldots, r_{4^{\delta-1}}\right\} \\
& =\left\{0+2 \cdot 0, \ldots, \alpha^{A-1}+2 \cdot 0, \ldots, 0+2 \cdot \alpha^{A-1}, \ldots, \alpha^{A-1}+2 \cdot \alpha^{A-1}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $|R| / \delta=f_{0, \delta}+1$, we can form $f_{0, \delta}+1$ disjoints sets of $R$ of size $\delta$. For $\boldsymbol{i} \in\left\{0, \ldots, \boldsymbol{f}_{0, \delta}\right\}$, we consider the $\delta \times \delta$ quaternary matrices


Theorem 4 Let $P_{s}=\left\{M_{i}: 0 \leq i \leq s\right\}$, where $M_{i}=N_{i}^{-1}$. Then, $\Phi\left(P_{s}\right)$ is an s-PD-set of size $s+1$ for the $\mathrm{Z}_{4}$-linear Hadamard code $H_{0, \delta}$ of length $2^{2 \delta-1}=2^{m}$ with information set $\Phi\left(I_{0, \delta}\right)$, for all $\delta \geq 3$ and $2 \leq s \leq f_{0, \delta}=f_{m}$.
Proof We need to prove that $r_{\delta i+2}-r_{\delta i+1}, \ldots, r_{\delta(i+1)}-r_{\delta i+1}$ are linearly independent over $\mathrm{Z}_{4}$, for all $\boldsymbol{i} \in\left\{0, \ldots, \boldsymbol{f}_{0, \delta\}}\right\}$, in order to guarantee that $N_{\boldsymbol{i}} \in$ $\operatorname{PAut}\left(H_{0, \delta}\right)$. Note that these vectors are not zero divisors [9]. Since $\alpha^{A}=1$,
$\left\{r_{\delta i+2}-r_{\delta i+1}, \ldots, r_{\delta(i+1)}-r_{\delta i+1}\right\}$ is one of the following three sets:
$L_{1}=\left\{1, \ldots, a^{\delta-2}\right\}$,
$L_{2}=\left\{a^{k+1}-a^{k}, \ldots, a^{k+\delta-1}-a^{k}\right\}$, for some $k \in\{0, \ldots, A-1\}$,
$L_{3}=\left\{\alpha^{k+1}-a^{k}, \ldots, a^{A-1}-a^{k},-\alpha^{k}+2\left(b_{j}-b_{i}\right), \alpha^{A}-\alpha^{k}+2\left(b_{j}-b_{i}\right), \ldots\right.$,

$$
\left.a^{k+\delta-2}-a^{k}+2\left(b_{j}-b_{i}\right)\right\}, \text { for some } b_{i}, b_{j} \in T \text { and } k \in\{0, \ldots, A-1\}
$$

The elements in $L_{1}$ are clearly linearly independent over $Z_{4}$. Now, we prove that the same property is satisfied in $L_{2}$. Assume on the contrary that there are some $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{i} f=0, i \in\{1, \ldots, \delta-1\}$, such that ${ }^{-\quad} \lambda_{i}\left(\boldsymbol{a}^{k+i}-\alpha^{k}\right)=0$. If $\lambda_{i} \in\{1,3\}$ at least one $i \in\{1, \ldots, \delta-1\}$, we get a contradiction. Indeed, if we take modulo 2 in the previous linear combination, we obtain that $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{i}\left(\bar{\alpha}^{k+i}-\bar{\alpha}^{k}\right)=0$, where $\bar{\lambda}_{i} \in \mathrm{Z}_{2}$ and at least one $\bar{\lambda}_{i} f=0$. This is a contradiction by Lemma 2. On the other hand, if $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{i} \in\{0,2\}$ for all $\boldsymbol{i} \in\{1, \ldots, \delta-1\}$ and there is at ${ }^{\text {least }} \lambda_{i}=2$, then $-2 \lambda^{r}\left(\alpha^{k+i}-\alpha^{k}\right)=2\left[-\lambda^{r}\left(\alpha^{k+i}-\alpha^{k}\right)\right]=0$, where $\lambda^{r} \in\{0,1\}$ and at least one $\lambda_{i}^{r}=1$. Hence, $\lambda_{i}^{r}\left(\alpha^{k+i^{\prime}}-\alpha^{k}\right)=2 \lambda$ for some $\lambda \stackrel{i}{i} R$, that is, it is a zero divisor. By taking modulo 2, we obtain a contradiction by Lemma 2 .

We show that the elements in $L_{3}=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{\delta-1}\right\}$ are also linearly independent over $Z_{4}$ by using a slight modification of the previous argument.
 $\left.\bar{\alpha}^{k}\right)=\bar{\alpha}^{k}\left[\bar{\lambda}_{\delta_{-i}^{1}}+\bar{\lambda}_{i}\left(\bar{\alpha}^{\boldsymbol{i}}-1\right)\right]=0$. Since $\bar{\alpha}^{k}$ is a unit, it follows that $\lambda_{\delta-1}+{ }^{-} \lambda_{i}(\bar{\alpha}-1)=0$, which gives a contradiction if $\lambda_{i} \in\{1,3\}$ for at least one index, since $1, \bar{\alpha}-1, \ldots, \bar{\alpha}^{\bar{\delta}-2}-1$ are linearly independent over $\mathrm{Z}_{2}$. If $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{i} \in\{0,2\}$ for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, \delta-1\}$, we get a contradiction by applying a similar argument to the one used above.

Finally, by construction, the matrices $N_{0}^{*}, \ldots, N_{s}^{*}$ have no rows in common and the result follows by Theorem 3.

The bound $\boldsymbol{f}_{\boldsymbol{m}}$ is always attained for $H_{0, \delta}$ despite the elements of the $\boldsymbol{f}_{\boldsymbol{m}}$ PD -set belong to the subgroup $\boldsymbol{\Phi}\left(\operatorname{PAut}\left(H_{0, \delta}\right)\right) \leq \operatorname{PAut}\left(H_{0, \delta}\right)$, since $f_{m}=f_{0, \delta}$.

Example 6 Let $H_{0,3}$ be the quaternary linear Hadamard code of length 16 and type $2^{0} 4^{3}$. Let $R=\mathrm{Z}_{4}[x] /(h(x))$, where $h(x)=x^{2}+x+1$. Note that $h(x)$ is a primitive basic irreducible polynomial dividing $x^{3}-1$ in $Z_{4}[x]$. Let $\alpha$ be a root of $h(x)$. Then, $T=\left\{0,1, \alpha, \alpha^{2}\right\}$ and $R=\left\{r_{1}, \ldots, r_{16}\right\}=\{0,1, \alpha, 3+$ $3 \boldsymbol{\alpha}, 2,3,2+\boldsymbol{\alpha}, 1+3 \boldsymbol{\alpha}, 2 \boldsymbol{\alpha}, 1+2 \boldsymbol{\alpha}, 3 \boldsymbol{\alpha}, 3+\boldsymbol{\alpha}, 2+2 \boldsymbol{\alpha}, 3+2 \boldsymbol{\alpha}, 2+3 \boldsymbol{\alpha}, 1+\boldsymbol{\alpha}\}$. Let $P_{4}=\left\{N_{0}^{-1}, N_{1}^{-1}, N_{2}^{-1}, N_{3}^{-1}, N_{4}^{-1}\right\}$, where $N_{0}=\operatorname{Id} 3$,

Note that $P_{4} \subseteq \operatorname{PAut}\left(H_{0,3}\right)$. By Theorem 4, $\boldsymbol{\Phi}\left(P_{4}\right)$ is a 4-PD-set of size 5 for $H_{0,3}$. Note that the matrices $N^{*}=\operatorname{Id}^{*}$ and $_{3} N^{*}, i \in\{1, \ldots, 4\}$, have no rows in common, where

$$
N_{1}^{*}=\begin{aligned}
& 133^{-} \\
& 120 \\
& 130
\end{aligned}, \quad N_{2}^{*}=\begin{aligned}
& 121^{-} \\
& 113 \\
& 102
\end{aligned}, \quad N_{3}^{*}=\begin{aligned}
& 112^{-} \\
& 103 \\
& 131
\end{aligned}, \quad \text { and } \quad N_{4}^{*}=\begin{aligned}
& 122^{-} \\
& 132 \\
& 123
\end{aligned}
$$

## 7 Recursive constructions of s-PD-sets for $Z_{4}$-linear Hadamard codes

Given a matrix $\boldsymbol{M} \in \operatorname{PAut}\left(H_{\gamma, \delta}\right)$ and an integer $\boldsymbol{\kappa} \geq 1$, we define

$$
M(\kappa)=\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & \eta & \mathbf{0} & 2 \theta \\
\mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{A} & \mathbf{0} & 2 X \\
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \operatorname{Id}_{\kappa} & \mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0} \zeta(Y) & \mathbf{0} & \zeta(B)
\end{array} .
$$

Proposition 6 Let $P_{s}=\left\{M_{0}, \ldots, M_{s}\right\} \subseteq \operatorname{PAut}\left(H_{V, \delta}\right)$ such that $\Phi\left(P_{s}\right)$ is an S-PD-set of size $s^{-1} 1$ for $H_{V, \delta}$ with information set $\Phi\left(I_{V, \delta}\right)$. Then, $Q_{s}=$
 of size $s+1$ for $H_{Y+i, \delta+j}$ with information set $\Phi\left(I_{Y+i, \delta+j}\right)$, for any $i, j \geq 0$ such that $\boldsymbol{i}+\boldsymbol{j}=\kappa \geq 1$.
Proof Note that if $M \in \operatorname{PAut}\left(H_{Y, \delta}\right)$, then $M(\kappa) \in \operatorname{GL}\left(\boldsymbol{\gamma}+\boldsymbol{\delta}+\boldsymbol{\kappa}, \mathrm{Z}_{4}\right)$. Taking this into account, together with the fact that $\operatorname{Id}_{\kappa}$ can split as

$$
\mathrm{Id}_{\kappa}=\begin{gathered}
-\mathrm{Id}_{j} \mathbf{0}^{-} \\
\mathbf{0} \mathrm{Id}_{i}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{i}+\boldsymbol{j}=\boldsymbol{\kappa} \geq 1$, it is clear that $\boldsymbol{M}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\kappa}) \in \operatorname{PAut}\left(\boldsymbol{H}_{\gamma+i, \delta+j}\right)$ and so its ${ }_{\left(M^{-1}\right.}$ invers Thus, $Q_{s} \subseteq \operatorname{PAut}_{*}\left(H_{Y+i, \delta+j}\right)$. Finally, repeated rows in the matrices rows in the matrices $\left.\left(M_{0}^{s}\right)^{(K)}\right)^{*}, \ldots,\left(M_{s}^{_{1}}\right)^{*}$ by by this fact would imply repeated from Theorem 3.

Example 7 Let $P_{4}=\left\{M_{0}, \ldots, M_{4}\right\} \subseteq \operatorname{PAut}\left(H_{0,3}\right)$ be the set, given in Example 6 , such that $\Phi\left(P_{4}\right)$ is a 4-PD-set of size 5 for $H_{0,3}$. By Proposition $\left.6, Q_{4}=\left\{M_{j}^{-1}(1)\right)^{-1}: 0 \leq i \leq 4\right\}$ is contained in both $\operatorname{PAut}\left(H_{1,3}\right)$ and $\operatorname{PAut}\left(H_{0,4}\right)$. Moreover, $\Phi\left(Q_{4}\right)$ is a 4-PD-set of size 5 for $H_{1,3}$ and $H_{0,4}$. Nevertheless, note that the construction of $\left(M_{j}^{-1}(1)\right)^{*}$ depends on the group where $M \rightarrow(1)$ is considered.

As for binary linear Hadamard codes, a second recursive construction considering the elements of $\operatorname{PAut}\left(H_{Y, \delta}\right)$ as permutations of coordinate positions, that is as elements of $\operatorname{Sym}\left(2^{m}\right)$, can also be provided. Given four permutations $\sigma_{i} \in \operatorname{Sym}\left(n_{i}\right), i \in\{1, \ldots, 4\}$, we define $\left(\sigma_{1}\left|\sigma_{2}\right| \sigma_{3} \mid \sigma_{4}\right) \in \operatorname{Sym}\left(n_{1}+n_{2}+n_{3}+n_{4}\right)$ in the same way as we defined $\left(\sigma_{1} \mid \sigma_{2}\right) \in \operatorname{Sym}\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right)$ in Section 5.

Proposition 7 Let $S$ be an s-PD-set of size I for $H_{\gamma, \delta}$ of length $n$ and type $2^{\gamma} 4^{\delta}$ with information set I. Then, $(S \mid S)=\{(\sigma \mid \sigma): \sigma \in S\}$ is an s-PD-set of size I for $H_{\gamma+1, \delta}$ of length $2 n$ and type $2^{\gamma+1} 4^{\delta}$ constructed from (5) and the Gray map, with any information set $I^{r}=I \cup\{i+n\}, i \in I$.

Proof Since $H_{Y+1, \delta}=\left\{(x, x),(x, \bar{x}): x \in H_{Y, \delta}\right\}$, where $\bar{x}$ is the complementary vector of $x$, the result follows using the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 4. By the proof of Proposition 5, we can add any of the coordinate positions of $\{i+n: i \in I\}$ to $\boldsymbol{I}$ in order to form a suitable information set $\boldsymbol{I}$ for $H_{\gamma+1, \delta}$.

Let $2 S=2^{1} S$ denote the set $(S \mid S)$ and, recursively, $2^{i} S=2\left(2^{i-1} S\right)$.
Corollary 5 Let $P_{s}=\left\{M_{i}: 0 \leq i \leq s\right\}$, where $M_{i}=N_{j}^{-1}$. Then, $2^{r} \Phi\left(P_{s}\right)$ is an s-PD-set of size $s+1$ for the $\mathrm{Z}_{4}$-linear Hadamard code $H_{\gamma, \delta}$, for all $\gamma \geq 0, \delta \geq 3$ and $2 \leq s \leq f_{0, \delta}$.

Proof By Theorem 4 and Proposition 7, we can construct $f_{0, \delta}$-PD-sets of size $\boldsymbol{f}_{0, \delta}+1$ for $H_{Y, \delta}$, for all $\gamma \geq 0$ and $\delta \geq 3$.

Proposition 7 cannot be generalized directly for $Z_{4}$-linear Hadamard codes $H_{Y, \delta+1}$ constructed from (6) and the Gray map. Note that if $S$ is an $s$ - PDset for $H_{r, \delta}$, then $(S|S| S \mid S)=\{(\sigma|\sigma| \sigma \mid \sigma): \sigma \in S\}$ is not always an $s$-PD-set for $H_{y, \delta+1}$, since in general $(\sigma|\sigma| \sigma \mid \sigma) \notin \operatorname{PAut}\left(H_{Y, \delta}\right)$. For example, $\sigma=(1,5)(2,8,3,6,4,7) \in \operatorname{PAut}\left(H_{0,2}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Sym}(8)$, but $\pi=(\sigma|\sigma| \sigma \mid \sigma) \notin$ $\operatorname{PAut}\left(H_{0,3}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Sym}(32)$, since $\boldsymbol{\pi}(\Phi((0,0,0,0,1,1,1,1,2,2,2,2,3,3,3,3)))=$ $\Phi((0,0,0,0,0,2,0,2,2,2,2,2,2,0,2,0)) f \in H_{0,3}$.

Proposition 8 Let $S \subseteq \operatorname{PAut}\left(H_{\gamma, \delta}\right)$ such that $\Phi(S)$ is an s-PD-set of size I for $H_{\gamma, \delta}$ of length $n$ and type $2^{r} 4^{\delta}$ with information set I. Then, $\Phi((S|S| S \mid S))=$ $\{\Phi((\tau|\tau| \tau \mid \tau)): \tau \in S\}$ is an s-PD-set of size I for $H_{y, \delta+1}$ of length $4 n$ and type $2^{\curlyvee} 4^{\delta+1}$ constructed from (6) and the Gray map, with any information set $l^{r r}=I \cup\{i+n, j+n\}, i, j \in I$ and $i f=j$.

Proof Since $H_{Y, \delta+1}$ is constructed from (6), $H_{\gamma, \delta+1}=\left\{(u, u, u, u),\left(u, u+\mathbf{1}, u_{+}\right.\right.$ $\left.\mathbf{2}, u+\mathbf{3}),(u, u+\mathbf{2}, u, u+\mathbf{2}),(u, u+\mathbf{3}, u+\mathbf{2}, u+\mathbf{1}): u \in H_{y, \delta}\right\}$. It is easy to see that if $\tau \in \operatorname{PAut}\left(H_{Y, \delta}\right)$, then $(\tau|\tau| \tau \mid \tau) \in \operatorname{PAut}\left(H_{\gamma, \delta+1}\right)$.

Let $\sigma=\boldsymbol{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{T})$. Finally, we need to prove that for every $e \in Z_{2}^{4 n}$ with wt $(\boldsymbol{e}) \leq$ $s$, there is $(\sigma|\sigma| \sigma \mid \sigma) \in \Phi((S|S| S \mid S))$ such that $(\sigma|\sigma| \sigma \mid \sigma)(e) \boldsymbol{f t}=\mathbf{0}$, where $\boldsymbol{I}^{r r} \subseteq\{1, \ldots, 4 n\}$ is an information set for $H_{Y, \delta+1}$ with $Y+2(\delta+1)$ coordinate positions. Using a similar argument to that given in the proofs of Propositions 4 and 7, the result follows. Moreover, by the proof of Proposition 5, any $\boldsymbol{I}^{r r}=$ $\boldsymbol{I} \cup\{\boldsymbol{i}+n, \boldsymbol{j}+n\}$ with $\boldsymbol{i}, \boldsymbol{j} \in \boldsymbol{I}$ and $\boldsymbol{i} f=\boldsymbol{j}$ is an information set for $\boldsymbol{H}_{\gamma, \delta+1}$. $\boldsymbol{H}$ Corollary 6 Let $S \subseteq \operatorname{PAut}\left(H_{\gamma, \delta}\right)$ such that $\Phi(S)$ is an s-PD-set of size I for $H_{Y, \delta}$ of length $2^{m}$ and type $2^{\gamma} 4^{\delta}$ with information set I. Then, $\Phi\left(2^{i+2 j} S\right)$ is an $s$-PD-set of size I for $H_{V+i, \delta+j}$. of length $2^{m+i+2 j}$ and type $2^{r+i} i^{\delta+j}$ with information set obtained by applying recursively Proposition 5, for all $i, j \geq 0$.

Proof The result comes trivially by applying Propositions 5, 7 and 8. H

## 8 Computational results

Magma software supports the basic facilities for linear codes over finite fields, integer residue rings and Galois rings [4]. A new package that expands the current functionality for binary linear and $\mathrm{Z}_{4}$-linear codes, including functions to decode using different methods, has been developed by the authors. It includes functions to perform permutation decoding, to obtain the s-PD-sets described in the previous sections, and to check whether or not a set of permutations is an $\boldsymbol{s}$-PD-set with respect to an information set. Magma version 2.22 (from May 2016) and later contains these functions by default [4, Chapters 158 and 162], and they can also be downloaded from http://ccsg.uab.cat.

Using the functions implemented in this package, it is possible to easily improve the result given by Corollary 5 for $H_{Y, \delta}$ with $\gamma>0$, that is, to obtain $s$-PD-sets of size $s+1$ for $f_{0, \delta}<s \leq f_{\gamma, \delta}$ by using a nondeterministic method. Table 1 summarizes these computational results for the codes $H_{\gamma, \delta}$ with $3 \leq$ $\delta \leq 6$ and $1 \leq Y \leq 5$. Specifically, for each one of these codes, the values of $f_{0, \delta}$ and $f_{Y, \delta}$ are shown, together with the maximum $\boldsymbol{s}$ for which an $\boldsymbol{s}$-PD-set of size $\boldsymbol{s}+1$ has been found. Note that all these found $\boldsymbol{s}$-PD-sets are constructed by using only elements from $\boldsymbol{\Phi}\left(\operatorname{PAut}\left(H_{\gamma, \delta}\right)\right.$ ), which is a subgroup of the whole automorphism group of $H_{Y, \delta}$.

Even when the nondeterministic method fails to quickly find a $f_{Y, \delta}-\mathrm{PD}-$ set of minimum size $f_{\gamma, \delta}+1$, the bound $f_{\gamma, \delta}$ may be attained by using only elements in $\boldsymbol{\Phi}\left(\operatorname{PAut}\left(H_{Y, \delta}\right)\right)$ as shown in Example 8. Nevertheless, we have not been able to generalize this example to find an explicit construction in this case. The monomial automorphism group $\operatorname{MAut}\left(H_{Y, \delta}\right)$ and the permutation automorphism group $\operatorname{PAut}\left(H_{Y, \delta}\right)$ may be considered to achieve this goal.
Example 8 Let the ordered set $R$ and the matrices $N^{*}, \ldots, N^{*}$ be as in Example 6. Define $\bar{r}=(1, r) \in\{1\} \times Z_{4}^{2}$ for all $r \in R$. Let ${ }^{0} P_{7}=\left\{A_{i}^{3-1}: 0 \leq i \leq 7\right\}$,

| $\delta$ | $Y$ | $f_{0, \delta}$ | $s$ | $f_{\gamma, \delta}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 7 |
|  | 2 | 4 | 10 | 11 |
|  | 3 | 4 | 16 | 20 |
|  | 4 | 4 | 26 | 35 |
|  | 5 | 4 | 42 | 63 |
| 4 | 1 | 15 | 23 | 24 |
|  | 2 | 15 | 36 | 41 |
|  | 3 | 15 | 56 | 72 |
|  | 4 | 15 | 91 | 127 |
|  | 5 | 15 | 150 | 226 |


| $\delta$ | $Y$ | $f_{0, \delta}$ | $s$ | $f_{Y, \delta}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 1 | 50 | 72 | 84 |
|  | 2 | 50 | 116 | 145 |
|  | 3 | 50 | 187 | 255 |
|  | 4 | 50 | 312 | 454 |
|  | 5 | 50 | 518 | 818 |
| 6 | 1 | 169 | 230 | 291 |
|  | 2 | 169 | 377 | 511 |
|  | 3 | 169 | 630 | 909 |
|  | 4 | 169 | 1040 | 1637 |
|  | 5 | 169 | 1784 | 2977 |

Table 1 Maximum s-PD-sets found computationally for some codes $H_{y, \delta}$
where $A_{j}^{*}$ are the following matrices:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{-} H_{13}^{\theta^{2}}{ }^{-}, \quad{ }^{-} A_{16}^{*} 2^{-}, \quad{ }^{-} H_{15}^{*} 2^{-}, \quad \begin{array}{c}
-N_{3} \mathbf{N}^{-} \\
\bar{r}_{14} 0
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

By Theorem 3, one can easily check that $\boldsymbol{\Phi}\left(P_{7}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{PAut}\left(H_{1,3}\right)$ is a 7-PD-set of size 8 for $H_{1,3}$ of length 64 with information set $\boldsymbol{\Phi}\left(I_{1,3}\right)=\{1,2,3,4,9,10,33\}$. Since $\boldsymbol{f}_{1,3}=7$, no better $\boldsymbol{s}$-PD-sets of size $\boldsymbol{s}+1$ can be provided for $H_{1,3}$ by using Theorem 3 . However, an 8 -PD-set of size 9 could be theoretically found in $\operatorname{PAut}\left(H_{1,3}\right)$ since $f_{6}=8$.

## 9 Conclusions

An alternative permutation decoding method that can be applied to $\mathrm{Z}_{2} \mathrm{Z}_{4}$ linear codes [3], which include $\mathrm{Z}_{4}$-linear codes, was presented in [2]. However, the determination of PD-sets for some families of $Z_{2} Z_{4}$-linear codes remained an open question. In this paper, $\boldsymbol{s}$-PD-sets of minimum size $\boldsymbol{s}+1$ for binary linear and $\mathbf{Z}_{4}$-linear Hadamard codes are constructed. This approach establishes equivalent results to the ones obtained for simplex codes in [5]. For binary linear codes $H_{m}$ and (nonlinear) Z4-linear Hadamard codes $H_{0, \delta}$ of length $2^{m}$, $\boldsymbol{s}$-PD-sets of size $\boldsymbol{s}+1$ with $\boldsymbol{s}$ up to the upper bound $\boldsymbol{f}_{m}=f_{0, \delta}$ are constructed. Moreover, for (nonlinear) $\mathrm{Z}_{4}$-linear Hadamard codes $H_{y, \delta}, s$-PD-sets of size $\boldsymbol{s}+1$ up to $f_{0, \delta}$ are given. However, it still remains to find an explicit construction of $\boldsymbol{s}$-PD-sets of size $\boldsymbol{s}+1$ for $H_{\gamma, \delta}$ with $\gamma>0$ and $\delta \geq 3$ for

$$
f_{0, \delta}<s \leq f_{y, \delta} .
$$
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