

CHARACTERIZING LINEAR MAPPINGS THROUGH ZERO PRODUCTS OR ZERO JORDAN PRODUCTS

GUANGYU AN¹, JUN HE² AND JIANKUI LI^{3*}

ABSTRACT. Let \mathcal{A} be a $*$ -algebra and \mathcal{M} be a $*$ - \mathcal{A} -bimodule, we study the local properties of $*$ -derivations and $*$ -Jordan derivations from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} under the following orthogonality conditions on elements in \mathcal{A} : $ab^* = 0$, $ab^* + b^*a = 0$ and $ab^* = b^*a = 0$. We characterize the mappings on zero product determined algebras and zero Jordan product determined algebras. Moreover, we give some applications on C^* -algebras, group algebra, matrix algebras, algebras of locally measurable operators and von Neumann algebras.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, let \mathcal{A} be an associative algebra over the complex field \mathbb{C} and \mathcal{M} be an \mathcal{A} -bimodule. For each a, b in \mathcal{A} , we define the *Jordan product* by $a \circ b = ab + ba$. A linear mapping δ from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} is called a *derivation* if $\delta(ab) = a\delta(b) + \delta(a)b$ for each a, b in \mathcal{A} ; and δ is called a *Jordan derivation* if $\delta(a \circ b) = a \circ \delta(b) + \delta(a) \circ b$ for each a, b in \mathcal{A} . It follows from the results in [9, 20, 21] that every Jordan derivation from a C^* -algebra into its Banach bimodule is a derivation.

By an *involution* on an algebra \mathcal{A} , we mean a mapping $*$ from \mathcal{A} into itself, such that

$$(\lambda a + \mu b)^* = \bar{\lambda}a^* + \bar{\mu}b^*, (ab)^* = b^*a^* \text{ and } (a^*)^* = a,$$

whenever a, b in \mathcal{A} , λ, μ in \mathbb{C} and $\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu}$ denote the conjugate complex numbers. An algebra \mathcal{A} equipped with an involution is called a $*$ -algebra. Moreover, let \mathcal{A} be a $*$ -algebra, an \mathcal{A} -bimodule \mathcal{M} is called a $*$ - \mathcal{A} -bimodule if \mathcal{M} equipped with a $*$ -mapping from \mathcal{M} into itself, such that

$$(\lambda m + \mu n)^* = \bar{\lambda}m^* + \bar{\mu}n^*, (am)^* = m^*a^*, (ma)^* = a^*m^* \text{ and } (m^*)^* = m,$$

whenever a in \mathcal{A} , m, n in \mathcal{M} and λ, μ in \mathbb{C} . An element a in \mathcal{A} is called *self-adjoint* if $a^* = a$; an element p in \mathcal{A} is called an *idempotent* if $p^2 = p$; and p is called a *projection* if p is both a self-adjoint element and an idempotent.

In [24], A. Kishimoto studies the $*$ -derivations on a C^* -algebra. Let \mathcal{A} be a $*$ -algebra and \mathcal{M} be a $*$ - \mathcal{A} -bimodule. A derivation δ from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} is called a $*$ -*derivation* if $\delta(a^*) = \delta(a)^*$ for every a in \mathcal{A} . Obviously, every derivation δ is a linear combination of two $*$ -derivations. In fact, we can define a linear mapping $\hat{\delta}$ from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} by $\hat{\delta}(a) = \delta(a^*)^*$ for every a in \mathcal{A} , therefore $\delta = \delta_1 + i\delta_2$, where

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 15A86, 47A07, 47B47, 47B49.

Key words and phrases. $*$ -(Jordan) derivation, $*$ -(Jordan) left derivation, zero (Jordan) product determined algebra, C^* -algebra, von Neumann algrbra.

* Corresponding author.

$\delta_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\delta + \hat{\delta})$ and $\delta_2 = \frac{1}{2i}(\delta - \hat{\delta})$. It is easy to show that δ_1 and δ_2 are both $*$ -derivations. Similarly, we can define the $*$ -Jordan derivations.

For $*$ -derivations and $*$ -Jordan derivations, in [3, 13, 17, 18], the authors characterize the following two conditions on a linear mapping δ from a $*$ -algebra \mathcal{A} into its $*$ -bimodule \mathcal{M} :

$$(\mathbb{D}_1) \ a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \ ab^* = 0 \Rightarrow a\delta(b)^* + \delta(a)b^* = 0;$$

$$(\mathbb{D}_2) \ a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \ ab^* = b^*a = 0 \Rightarrow a\delta(b)^* + \delta(a)b^* = \delta(b)^*a + b^*\delta(a) = 0;$$

where \mathcal{A} is a C^* -algebra, a zero product determined algebra or a group algebra $L^1(G)$.

Let \mathcal{J} be an ideal of \mathcal{A} , we say that \mathcal{J} is a *right separating set* or *left separating set* of \mathcal{M} if for every m in \mathcal{M} , $\mathcal{J}m = \{0\}$ implies $m = 0$ or $m\mathcal{J} = \{0\}$ implies $m = 0$, respectively. We denote by $\mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{A})$ the subalgebra of \mathcal{A} generated algebraically by all idempotents in \mathcal{A} .

In Section 2, we suppose that \mathcal{A} is a $*$ -algebra and \mathcal{M} is a $*$ - \mathcal{A} -bimodule that satisfy one of the following conditions:

- (1) \mathcal{A} is a zero product determined Banach $*$ -algebra with a bounded approximate identity and \mathcal{M} is an essential Banach $*$ - \mathcal{A} -bimodule;
- (2) \mathcal{A} is a von Neumann algebra and $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{A}$;
- (3) \mathcal{A} is a unital $*$ -algebra and \mathcal{M} is a unital $*$ - \mathcal{A} -bimodule with a left or right separating set $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{A})$;

and we investigate whether the linear mappings from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} satisfying the condition \mathbb{D}_1 characterize $*$ -derivations. In particular, we generalize some results in [13, 17, 18].

An \mathcal{A} -bimodule \mathcal{M} is said to have the *property \mathbb{M}* , if there is an ideal $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{A})$ of \mathcal{A} such that

$$\{m \in \mathcal{M} : xmx = 0 \text{ for every } x \in \mathcal{J}\} = \{0\}.$$

It is clear that if $\mathcal{A} = \mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{A})$, then \mathcal{M} has property \mathbb{M} .

For $*$ -Jordan derivations, we can study the following conditions on a linear mapping δ from a $*$ -algebra \mathcal{A} into its $*$ - \mathcal{A} -bimodule \mathcal{M} :

$$(\mathbb{D}_3) \ a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \ a \circ b^* = 0 \Rightarrow a \circ \delta(b)^* + \delta(a) \circ b^* = 0.$$

$$(\mathbb{D}_4) \ a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \ ab^* = b^*a = 0 \Rightarrow a \circ \delta(b)^* + \delta(a) \circ b^* = 0.$$

It is obvious that the condition \mathbb{D}_2 or \mathbb{D}_3 implies the condition \mathbb{D}_4 .

In Section 3, we suppose that \mathcal{A} is a $*$ -algebra and \mathcal{M} is a $*$ - \mathcal{A} -bimodule that satisfy one of the following conditions:

- (1) \mathcal{A} is a unital zero Jordan product determined $*$ -algebra and \mathcal{M} is a unital $*$ - \mathcal{A} -bimodule;
- (2) \mathcal{A} is a unital $*$ -algebra and \mathcal{M} is a unital $*$ - \mathcal{A} -bimodule such that the property \mathbb{M} ;
- (3) \mathcal{A} is a C^* -algebra (not necessary unital) and \mathcal{M} is an essential Banach $*$ - \mathcal{A} -bimodule;

and we investigate whether the linear mappings from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} satisfying the condition \mathbb{D}_3 or \mathbb{D}_4 characterize $*$ -Jordan derivations. In particular, we improve some results in [13, 17, 18].

2. *-DERIVATIONS ON SOME ALGEBRAS

A (Banach) algebra \mathcal{A} is said to be *zero product determined* if every (continuous) bilinear mapping ϕ from $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ into any (Banach) linear space \mathcal{X} satisfying

$$\phi(a, b) = 0, \text{ whenever } ab = 0$$

can be written as $\phi(a, b) = T(ab)$, for some (continuous) linear mapping T from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{X} . In [7], M. Brešar shows that if $\mathcal{A} = \mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{A})$, then \mathcal{A} is a zero product determined, and in [1], the authors prove that every C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} is zero product determined.

Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach $*$ -algebra and \mathcal{M} be a Banach $*$ - \mathcal{A} -bimodule. Denote by $\mathcal{M}^{\#}$ the second dual space of \mathcal{M} . In the following, we show that $\mathcal{M}^{\#}$ is also a Banach $*$ - \mathcal{A} -bimodule.

Since \mathcal{M} is a Banach $*$ - \mathcal{A} -bimodule, $\mathcal{M}^{\#}$ turns into a dual Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule with the operation defined by

$$a \cdot m^{\#} = \lim_{\mu} am_{\mu} \text{ and } m^{\#} \cdot a = \lim_{\mu} m_{\mu}a$$

for every a in \mathcal{A} and every $m^{\#}$ in $\mathcal{M}^{\#}$, where (m_{μ}) is a net in \mathcal{M} with $\|m_{\mu}\| \leq \|m^{\#}\|$ and $(m_{\mu}) \rightarrow m^{\#}$ in the weak*-topology $\sigma(\mathcal{M}^{\#}, \mathcal{M}^{\#})$.

We define an involution $*$ in $\mathcal{M}^{\#}$ by

$$(m^{\#})^*(\rho) = \overline{m^{\#}(\rho^*)}, \quad \rho^*(m) = \overline{\rho(m^*)},$$

where $m^{\#}$ in $\mathcal{M}^{\#}$, ρ in $\mathcal{M}^{\#}$ and m in \mathcal{M} . Moreover, if (m_{μ}) is a net in \mathcal{M} and $m^{\#}$ is an element in $\mathcal{M}^{\#}$ such that $m_{\mu} \rightarrow m^{\#}$ in $\sigma(\mathcal{M}^{\#}, \mathcal{M}^{\#})$, then for every ρ in $\mathcal{M}^{\#}$, we have that

$$\rho(m_{\mu}) = m_{\mu}(\rho) \rightarrow m^{\#}(\rho).$$

It follows that

$$(m_{\mu}^*)(\rho) = \rho(m_{\mu}^*) = \overline{\rho^*(m_{\mu})} \rightarrow \overline{m^{\#}(\rho^*)} = (m^{\#})^*(\rho)$$

for every ρ in $\mathcal{M}^{\#}$. It means that the involution $*$ in $\mathcal{M}^{\#}$ is continuous in $\sigma(\mathcal{M}^{\#}, \mathcal{M}^{\#})$. Thus we can obtain that

$$(a \cdot m^{\#})^* = (\lim_{\mu} am_{\mu})^* = \lim_{\mu} m_{\mu}^* a^* = (m^{\#})^* \cdot a^*,$$

Similarly, we can show that $(m^{\#} \cdot a)^* = a^* \cdot (m^{\#})^*$. It implies that $\mathcal{M}^{\#}$ is a Banach $*$ - \mathcal{A} -bimodule.

Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach $*$ -algebra, a *bounded approximate identity* for \mathcal{A} is a net $(e_i)_{i \in \Gamma}$ of self-adjoint elements in \mathcal{A} such that $\lim_i \|ae_i - a\| = \lim_i \|e_i a - a\| = 0$ for every a in \mathcal{A} and $\sup_{i \in \Gamma} \|e_i\| \leq k$ for some $k > 0$.

In [18], H. Ghahramani and Z. Pan prove that if \mathcal{A} is a unital zero product determined $*$ -algebra and a linear mapping δ from \mathcal{A} into itself satisfies the condition

$$(\mathbb{D}_1) \quad a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \quad ab^* = 0 \Rightarrow a\delta(b)^* + \delta(a)b^* = 0$$

then $\delta(a) = \Delta(a) + \delta(1)a$ for every a in \mathcal{A} , where Δ is a $*$ -derivation.

For general zero product determined Banach $*$ -algebra with a bounded approximate identity, we have the following result.

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose that \mathcal{A} is a zero product determined Banach $*$ -algebra with a bounded approximate identity, and \mathcal{M} is an essential Banach $*$ - \mathcal{A} -bimodule. If δ is a continuous linear mapping from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} such that*

$$a, b \in \mathcal{A}, ab^* = 0 \Rightarrow a\delta(b)^* + \delta(a)b^* = 0$$

then there exist a $$ -derivation Δ from \mathcal{A} into $\mathcal{M}^\#$ and an element ξ in $\mathcal{M}^\#$ such that $\delta(a) = \Delta(a) + \xi \cdot a$ for every a in \mathcal{A} . Furthermore, ξ can be chosen in \mathcal{M} in each of the following cases:*

- (1) \mathcal{A} is a unital $*$ -algebra.
- (2) \mathcal{M} is a dual $*$ - \mathcal{A} -bimodule.

Proof. Let $(e_i)_{i \in \Gamma}$ be a bounded approximate identity of \mathcal{A} . Since δ is continuous, the net $(\delta(e_i))_{i \in \Gamma}$ is bounded and we can assume that it converges to ξ in $\mathcal{M}^\#$ with the topology $\sigma(\mathcal{M}^\#, \mathcal{M}^\#)$.

Since \mathcal{M} is an essential Banach $*$ - \mathcal{A} -bimodule, we know that the nets $(e_i m)_{i \in \Gamma}$ and $(m e_i)_{i \in \Gamma}$ converge to m with the norm topology for every m in \mathcal{M} . Thus we have that

$$\text{Ann}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{A}) = \{m \in \mathcal{M} : amb = 0 \text{ for each } a, b \in \mathcal{A}\} = \{0\}.$$

By the hypothesis, we can obtain that

$$a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}, ab^* = b^*c = 0 \Rightarrow a\delta(b)^*c = 0.$$

It follows that

$$a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}, ab = bc = 0 \Rightarrow c^*b^* = b^*a^* = 0 \Rightarrow c^*\delta(b)^*a^* = 0 \Rightarrow a\delta(b)c = 0. \quad (2.1)$$

By (2.1) and [1, Theorem 4.5], we know that

$$\delta(ab) = \delta(a)b + a\delta(b) - a \cdot \xi \cdot b$$

for each a, b in \mathcal{A} , and ξ can be chosen in \mathcal{M} if \mathcal{A} is a unital $*$ -algebra or \mathcal{M} is a dual $*$ - \mathcal{A} -bimodule.

Define a linear mapping Δ from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} by

$$\Delta(a) = \delta(a) - \xi \cdot a$$

for every a in \mathcal{A} . It is easy to show that Δ is a norm-continuous derivation from \mathcal{A} into $\mathcal{M}^\#$ and we only need to show that $\Delta(b^*) = \Delta(b)^*$ for every b in \mathcal{A} .

First we claim that $\Delta(e_i) = \delta(e_i) - \xi \cdot e_i$ converges to zero in $\mathcal{M}^\#$ with the topology $\sigma(\mathcal{M}^\#, \mathcal{M}^\#)$. In fact, since $(e_i)_{i \in \Gamma}$ is bounded in \mathcal{A} , we assume $(e_i)_{i \in \Gamma}$ converges to ζ in $\mathcal{A}^\#$ with the topology $\sigma(\mathcal{A}^\#, \mathcal{A}^\#)$. For every $m^\#$ in $\mathcal{M}^\#$, define

$$m^\# \cdot \zeta = \lim_i m^\# \cdot e_i.$$

Thus $m \cdot \zeta = m$ for every m in \mathcal{M} . By [10, Proposition A.3.52], we know that the mapping $m^\# \mapsto m^\# \cdot \zeta$ from $\mathcal{M}^\#$ into itself is $\sigma(\mathcal{M}^\#, \mathcal{M}^\#)$ -continuous, and by the $\sigma(\mathcal{M}^\#, \mathcal{M}^\#)$ -denseness of \mathcal{M} in $\mathcal{M}^\#$, we have that

$$m^\# \cdot \zeta = m^\# \quad (2.2)$$

for every $m^\#$ in $\mathcal{M}^\#$. Hence $\Delta(e_i) = \delta(e_i) - \xi \cdot e_i$ converges to zero in $\mathcal{M}^\#$ with the topology $\sigma(\mathcal{M}^\#, \mathcal{M}^\#)$.

Next we prove $\Delta(b^*) = \Delta(b)^*$ for every b in \mathcal{A} . By the definition of Δ , we know that $a\Delta(b)^* + \Delta(a)b^* = 0$ for each a, b in \mathcal{A} with $ab^* = 0$. Define a bilinear mapping from $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ into $\mathcal{M}^{\#}$ by

$$\phi(a, b) = a\Delta(b^*)^* + \Delta(a)b.$$

Thus $ab = 0$ implies $\phi(a, b) = 0$. Since \mathcal{A} is a zero product determined algebra, there exists a norm-continuous linear mapping T from \mathcal{A} into $\mathcal{M}^{\#}$ such that

$$T(ab) = \phi(a, b) = a\Delta(b^*)^* + \Delta(a)b \quad (2.3)$$

for each a, b in \mathcal{A} . Let $b = e_i$ be in (2.3), we can obtain that

$$T(ae_i) = a\Delta(e_i)^* + \Delta(a)e_i.$$

By the continuity of T and (2.2), it follows that $T(a) = \Delta(a)$ for every a in \mathcal{A} . Thus

$$T(ab) = \Delta(ab) = a\Delta(b^*)^* + \Delta(a)b.$$

Since Δ is a derivation, we have that $a\Delta(b^*)^* = a\Delta(b)$ and $\Delta(b^*)a^* = \Delta(b)^*a^*$. Let $a = e_i$ and taking $\sigma(\mathcal{M}^{\#}, \mathcal{M}^{\#})$ -limits, by (2.2), it follows that $\Delta(b^*) = \Delta(b)^*$ for every b in \mathcal{A} . \square

Let G be a locally compact group. The group algebra and the measure convolution algebra of G , are denoted by $L^1(G)$ and $M(G)$, respectively. The convolution product is denote by \cdot and the involution is denoted by $*$. It is well known that $M(G)$ is a unital Banach $*$ -algebra, and $L^1(G)$ is a closed ideal in $M(G)$ with a bounded approximate identity. By [3, Lemma 1.1], we know that $L^1(G)$ is zero product determined. By [10, Theorem 3.3.15(ii)], it follows that $M(G)$ with respect to convolution product is the dual of $C_0(G)$ as a Banach $M(G)$ -bimodule.

By [26, Corollary 1.2], we know that every continuous derivation Δ from $L^1(G)$ into $M(G)$ is an inner derivation, that is, there exists μ in $M(G)$ such that $\Delta(f) = f \cdot \mu - \mu \cdot f$ for every f in $L^1(G)$. Thus by Theorem 2.1, we can prove [17, Theorem 3.1(ii)] as follows.

Corollary 2.2. *Let G be a locally compact group. If δ is a continuous linear mapping from $L^1(G)$ into $M(G)$ such that*

$$f, g \in L^1(G), f \cdot g^* = 0 \Rightarrow f \cdot \delta(g)^* + \delta(f) \cdot g^* = 0$$

then there are μ, ν in $M(G)$ such that

$$\delta(f) = f \cdot \mu - \nu \cdot f$$

for every f in $L^1(G)$ and $\text{Re}\mu \in \mathcal{Z}(M(G))$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.1, we know that there exist a $*$ -derivation Δ from $L^1(G)$ into $M(G)$ and an element ξ in $M(G)$ such that $\delta(f) = \Delta(f) + \xi \cdot f$ for every f in $L^1(G)$. By [26, Corollary 1.2], it follows that there exists μ in $M(G)$ such that $\Delta(f) = f \cdot \mu - \mu \cdot f$. Since $\Delta(f^*) = \Delta(f)^*$, we have that

$$f^* \cdot \mu - \mu \cdot f^* = \mu^* \cdot f^* - f^* \cdot \mu^*$$

for every f in $L^1(G)$. By [3, Lemma 1.3(ii)], we know $\text{Re}\mu = \frac{1}{2}(\mu + \mu^*) \in \mathcal{Z}(M(G))$. Let $\nu = \mu - \xi$, from the definition of Δ , we have that $\delta(f) = f \cdot \mu - \nu \cdot f$ for every f in $L^1(G)$. \square

For a general C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} , in [13], B. Fadaee and H. Ghahramani prove that if δ is a continuous linear mapping from \mathcal{A} into its second dual space $\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$ such that the condition \mathbb{D}_1 , then there exist a $*$ -derivation Δ from \mathcal{A} into $\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$ and an element ξ in $\mathcal{A}^{\#\#}$ such that $\delta(a) = \Delta(a) + \xi a$ for every a in \mathcal{A} .

In [1], the authors prove that every C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} is zero product determined, and it is well known that \mathcal{A} has a bounded approximate identity. Thus by Theorem 2.1, we can improve the result in [13] for any essential Banach $*$ -bimodule.

Corollary 2.3. *Suppose that \mathcal{A} is a C^* -algebra and \mathcal{M} is an essential Banach $*$ - \mathcal{A} -bimodule. If δ is a continuous linear mapping from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} such that*

$$a, b \in \mathcal{A}, ab^* = 0 \Rightarrow a\delta(b)^* + \delta(a)b^* = 0$$

then there exist a $$ -derivation Δ from \mathcal{A} into $\mathcal{M}^{\#\#}$ and an element ξ in $\mathcal{M}^{\#\#}$ such that $\delta(a) = \Delta(a) + \xi \cdot a$ for every a in \mathcal{A} . Furthermore, ξ can be chosen in \mathcal{M} in each of the following cases:*

- (1) \mathcal{A} has an identity.
- (2) \mathcal{M} is a dual $*$ - \mathcal{A} -bimodule.

For von Neumann algebras, we have the following result.

Theorem 2.4. *Suppose that \mathcal{A} is a von Neumann algebra. If δ is a linear mapping from \mathcal{A} into itself such that*

$$a, b \in \mathcal{A}, ab^* = 0 \Rightarrow a\delta(b)^* + \delta(a)b^* = 0,$$

then $\delta(a) = \Delta(a) + \delta(1)a$ for every a in \mathcal{A} , where Δ is a $$ -derivation. In particular, δ is a $*$ -derivation when $\delta(1) = 0$.*

Proof. Define a linear mapping Δ from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} by

$$\Delta(a) = \delta(a) - \delta(1)a$$

for every a in \mathcal{A} . In the following we show that Δ is a $*$ -derivation. It is clear that $\Delta(1) = 0$ and $ab^* = 0$ can implies that $a\Delta(b)^* + \Delta(a)b^* = 0$.

Case 1: Suppose that \mathcal{A} is an abelian von Neumann algebra. First we show that Δ satisfies that

$$a, b \in \mathcal{A}, ab = 0 \Rightarrow a\Delta(b) = 0.$$

It is well known that $\mathcal{A} \cong C(X)$, where X is a compact Hausdorff space and $C(X)$ denotes the C^* -algebra of all continuous complex-valued functions on X . Thus we have that $ab = 0$ if and only if $ab^* = 0$ for each a, b in \mathcal{A} . Indeed, let f and g be two functions in $C(X)$ corresponding to a and b , respectively, we can obtain that

$$ab^* = 0 \Leftrightarrow f \cdot \bar{g} = 0 \Leftrightarrow f \cdot g = 0 \Leftrightarrow ab = 0.$$

Let a and b be in \mathcal{A} with $ab^* = ab = 0$, we have that $a\Delta(b)^* + \Delta(a)b^* = 0$. Multiply a from the left side of above equation, we can obtain that $a^2\Delta(b)^* = 0$. Let f and h be two functions in $C(X)$ corresponding to a and $\Delta(b)$, then we have that

$$0 = f^2\bar{g} = f^2g = fg.$$

It implies that $a\Delta(b) = 0$. By [23, Theorem 3], we know that Δ is continuous. By [19, Lemma 2.5] and $\Delta(1) = 0$, we know that $\Delta(a) = \Delta(1)a = 0$ for every a in \mathcal{A} .

Case 2: Suppose that $\mathcal{A} \cong M_n(\mathcal{B})$, where \mathcal{B} is also a von Neumann algebra and $n \geq 2$. By [6, 7] we know that \mathcal{A} is a zero product determined algebra. Thus by [18, Theorem 3.1] it follows that Δ is a $*$ -derivation.

Case 3: Suppose that \mathcal{A} is a general von Neumann algebra. It is well known that $\mathcal{A} \cong \sum_{i=1}^n \bigoplus \mathcal{A}_i$ (n is a finite integer or infinite), where each \mathcal{A}_i coincides with either Case 1 or Case 2. Denote the unit element of \mathcal{A}_i by 1_i and the restriction of Δ in \mathcal{A}_i by Δ_i . Since $1_i(1 - 1_i) = 0$ and $\Delta(1) = 0$, we have that

$$1_i\Delta(1 - 1_i)^* + \Delta(1_i)(1 - 1_i) = 0.$$

It follows that

$$-1_i\Delta(1_i)^* + \Delta(1_i) - \Delta(1_i)1_i = 0. \quad (2.4)$$

Multiplying 1_i from the left side of (2.4) and by $1_i\Delta(1_i) = \Delta(1_i)1_i$, we have that $1_i\Delta(1_i)^* = 0$. It implies that $\Delta(1_i) = 0$. For every a in \mathcal{A} , we write $a = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$ with a_i in \mathcal{A}_i . Since $a_i(1 - 1_i) = 0$, we have that $\Delta(a_i)(1 - 1_i) = 0$, which means that $\Delta(a_i) \in \mathcal{A}_i$. Let a_i, b_i be in \mathcal{A}_i with $a_ib_i^* = 0$, we have that

$$\Delta(a_i)b_i^* + a_i\Delta(b_i)^* = \Delta_i(a_i)b_i^* + a_i\Delta_i(b_i)^* = 0.$$

By Cases 1 and 2, we know that every Δ_i is a $*$ -derivation. Thus Δ is a $*$ -derivation. \square

In the following, we characterize a linear mapping δ satisfies the condition \mathbb{D}_1 from a unital $*$ -algebra into a unital $*$ - \mathcal{A} -bimodule with a right or left separating set $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{A})$.

Lemma 2.5. [7, Theorem 4.1] *Suppose that \mathcal{A} is a unital algebra and \mathcal{X} is a linear space. If ϕ is a bilinear mapping from $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ into \mathcal{X} such that*

$$a, b \in \mathcal{A}, ab = 0 \Rightarrow \phi(a, b) = 0,$$

then we have that

$$\phi(a, x) = \phi(ax, 1) \text{ and } \phi(x, a) = \phi(1, xa)$$

for every a in \mathcal{A} and every x in $\mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{A})$.

Theorem 2.6. *Suppose that \mathcal{A} is a unital $*$ -algebra and \mathcal{M} is a unital $*$ - \mathcal{A} -bimodule with a right or left separating set $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{A})$. If δ is a linear mapping from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} such that*

$$a, b \in \mathcal{A}, ab^* = 0 \Rightarrow a\delta(b)^* + \delta(a)b^* = 0$$

then $\delta(a) = \Delta(a) + \delta(1)a$ for every a in \mathcal{A} , where Δ is a $$ -derivation. In particular, δ is a $*$ -derivation when $\delta(1) = 0$.*

Proof. Since \mathcal{A} is a unital $*$ -algebra and \mathcal{M} is a unital $*$ - \mathcal{A} -bimodule, we know that $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{A})$ is a right separating set of \mathcal{M} if and only if $\mathcal{J}^* = \{x^* : x \in \mathcal{J}\} \subseteq \mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{A})$ is a left separating set of \mathcal{M} . Thus without loss of generality, we can assume that \mathcal{J} is a left separating set of \mathcal{A} , otherwise, we replace \mathcal{J} by \mathcal{J}^* .

Define a linear mapping Δ from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} by

$$\Delta(a) = \delta(a) - \delta(1)a$$

for every a in \mathcal{A} . In the following we show that Δ is a $*$ -derivation.

It is clear that $\Delta(1) = 0$ and $ab^* = 0$ can implies that $a\Delta(b)^* + \Delta(a)b^* = 0$. Define a bilinear mapping ϕ from $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ into \mathcal{M} by

$$\phi(a, b) = a\Delta(b^*)^* + \Delta(a)b$$

for each a and b in \mathcal{A} . By the assumption we know that $ab = 0$ implies $\phi(a, b) = 0$.

Let a, b be in \mathcal{A} and x be in \mathcal{J} . By Lemma 2.5, we can obtain that

$$\phi(x, 1) = \phi(1, x) \text{ and } \phi(a, x) = \phi(ax, 1).$$

Hence we have the following two identities:

$$x\Delta(1)^* + \Delta(x) = \Delta(x^*)^* + \Delta(1)x \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$a\Delta(x^*)^* + \Delta(a)x = ax\Delta(1)^* + \Delta(ax). \quad (2.6)$$

By (2.5) and $\Delta(1) = 0$, we know that $\Delta(x)^* = \Delta(x^*)$. Thus by (2.6), it implies that

$$\Delta(ax) = a\Delta(x) + \Delta(a)x.$$

Similar to the proof of [4, Theorem 2.3], we can obtain that $\Delta(ab) = a\Delta(b) + \Delta(a)b$ for each a and b in \mathcal{A} .

It remains to show that $\Delta(a)^* = \Delta(a^*)$ for every a in \mathcal{A} . Indeed, for every a in \mathcal{A} and every x in \mathcal{J} , we have that $\Delta(ax)^* = \Delta((ax)^*)$. It implies that

$$(\Delta(a)x + a\Delta(x))^* = \Delta(x^*)a^* + x^*\Delta(a^*).$$

Thus we can obtain that $x^*(\Delta(a)^* - \Delta(a^*)) = 0$, hence $(\Delta(a) - \Delta(a^*)^*)x = 0$. It follows that $\Delta(a)^* = \Delta(a^*)$ for every a in \mathcal{A} . \square

Remark 1. Let \mathcal{A} be a $*$ -algebra, \mathcal{M} be a $*$ - \mathcal{A} -bimodule, and δ is a linear mapping from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} . Similar to the condition \mathbb{D}_1 which we have characterized in Section 2:

$$(\mathbb{D}_1) \ a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \ ab^* = 0 \Rightarrow a\delta(b)^* + \delta(a)b^* = 0,$$

we can consider the condition \mathbb{D}'_1

$$(\mathbb{D}'_1) \ a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \ a^*b = 0 \Rightarrow a^*\delta(b) + \delta(a)^*b = 0.$$

Through the minor modifications, we can obtain the corresponding results.

Remark 2. A linear mapping δ from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} is called a *local derivation* if for every a in \mathcal{A} , there exists a derivation δ_a (depending on a) from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} such that $\delta(a) = \delta_a(a)$. It is clear that every local derivation satisfies the following condition:

$$(\mathbb{H}) \ a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}, \ ab = bc = 0 \Rightarrow a\delta(b)c = 0.$$

In [1], the authors prove that every continuous linear mapping from a unital C^* -algebra into its unital Banach bimodule such that the condition \mathbb{H} and $\delta(1) = 0$ is a derivation.

Let \mathcal{A} be a $*$ -algebra and \mathcal{M} be a $*$ - \mathcal{A} -bimodule. The natural way to translate the condition \mathbb{H} to the context of $*$ -derivations is to consider the following condition

$$(\mathbb{H}') \quad a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}, \quad ab^* = b^*c = 0 \Rightarrow a\delta(b)^*c = 0.$$

However, the conditions \mathbb{H}' and \mathbb{H} are equivalent. Indeed, if condition \mathbb{H}' holds, we have that

$$a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}, \quad ab = bc = 0 \Rightarrow c^*b^* = b^*a^* = 0 \Rightarrow c^*\delta(b)^*a^* = 0 \Rightarrow a\delta(b)c = 0,$$

and if the condition \mathbb{H} holds, we have that

$$a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}, \quad ab^* = b^*c = 0 \Rightarrow c^*b = ba^* = 0 \Rightarrow c^*\delta(b)a^* = 0 \Rightarrow a\delta(b)^*c = 0.$$

It means that the condition \mathbb{H}' and $\delta(1) = 0$ can not implies that δ is a $*$ -derivation.

3. $*$ -JORDAN DERIVATIONS ON SOME ALGEBRAS

A (Banach) algebra \mathcal{A} is said to be *zero Jordan product determined* if every (continuous) bilinear mapping ϕ from $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ into any (Banach) linear space \mathcal{X} satisfying

$$\phi(a, b) = 0, \text{ whenever } a \circ b = 0$$

can be written as $\phi(a, b) = T(a \circ b)$, for some (continuous) linear mapping T from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{X} . In [5], we show that if \mathcal{A} is a unital algebra with $\mathcal{A} = \mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{A})$, then \mathcal{A} is a zero Jordan product determined algebra.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that \mathcal{A} is a unital zero Jordan product determined $*$ -algebra, and \mathcal{M} is a unital $*$ - \mathcal{A} -bimodule. If δ is a linear mapping from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} such that*

$$a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \quad a \circ b^* = 0 \Rightarrow a \circ \delta(b)^* + \delta(a) \circ b^* = 0 \text{ and } \delta(1)a = a\delta(1),$$

then $\delta(a) = \Delta(a) + \delta(1)a$ for every a in \mathcal{A} , where Δ is a $$ -Jordan derivation. In particular, δ is a $*$ -Jordan derivation when $\delta(1) = 0$.*

Proof. Define a linear mapping Δ from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} by $\Delta(a) = \delta(a) - \delta(1)a$ for every a in \mathcal{A} . It is sufficient to show that Δ is a $*$ -Jordan derivation.

It is clear that $\Delta(1) = 0$, and by $\delta(1)a = a\delta(1)$ we have that

$$a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \quad a \circ b^* = 0 \Rightarrow a \circ \Delta(b)^* + \Delta(a) \circ b^* = 0.$$

Define a bilinear mapping from $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ into \mathcal{M} by

$$\phi(a, b) = a \circ \Delta(b^*)^* + \Delta(a) \circ b.$$

Thus $a \circ b = 0$ implies $\phi(a, b) = 0$. Since \mathcal{A} is a zero Jordan product determined algebra, we know that there exists a linear mapping T from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} such that

$$T(a \circ b) = \phi(a, b) = a \circ \Delta(b^*)^* + \Delta(a) \circ b \quad (3.1)$$

for each a, b in \mathcal{A} . Let $a = 1$ and $b = 1$ be in (3.1), respectively. By $\Delta(1) = 0$, we can obtain that

$$T(a) = \Delta(a) \text{ and } T(b) = \Delta(b^*)^*.$$

It follows that $\Delta(a^*) = \Delta(a)^*$ for every a in \mathcal{A} . By (3.1), we have that

$$T(a \circ b) = \Delta(a \circ b) = \phi(a, b) = a \circ \Delta(b) + \Delta(a) \circ b.$$

It means that Δ is a $*$ -Jordan derivation. \square

In [5], we prove that the matrix algebra $M_n(\mathcal{B})(n \geq 2)$ is zero Jordan product determined, where \mathcal{B} is a unital algebra. In [16], H. Ghahramani show that every Jordan derivation from $M_n(\mathcal{B})(n \geq 2)$ into its unital bimodule \mathcal{M} is a derivation. Hence we have the following result.

Corollary 3.2. *Suppose that \mathcal{B} is a unital $*$ -algebra, $M_n(\mathcal{B})$ is a matrix algebra with $n \geq 2$, and \mathcal{M} is a unital $*$ - $M_n(\mathcal{B})$ -bimodule. If δ is a linear mapping from $M_n(\mathcal{B})$ into \mathcal{M} such that*

$$a, b \in M_n(\mathcal{B}), a \circ b^* = 0 \Rightarrow a \circ \delta(b)^* + \delta(a) \circ b^* = 0 \text{ and } \delta(1)a = a\delta(1),$$

then $\delta(a) = \Delta(a) + \delta(1)a$ for every a in $M_n(\mathcal{B})$, where Δ is a $$ -derivation. In particular, δ is a $*$ -derivation when $\delta(1) = 0$.*

Let \mathcal{H} be a complex Hilbert space and $B(\mathcal{H})$ be the algebra of all bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} . Suppose that \mathcal{A} is a von Neumann algebra on \mathcal{H} and $LS(\mathcal{A})$ the set of all locally measurable operators affiliated with the von Neumann algebra \mathcal{A} .

In [27], M. Muratov and V. Chilin prove that $LS(\mathcal{A})$ is a unital $*$ -algebra and $\mathcal{A} \subset LS(\mathcal{A})$. By [25, Proposition 21.20, Exercise 21.18], we know that if \mathcal{A} is a von Neumann algebra without direct summand of type I_1 , and \mathcal{B} is a $*$ -algebra with $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B} \subseteq LS(\mathcal{A})$, then $\mathcal{B} \cong \sum_{i=1}^k \bigoplus M_{n_i}(\mathcal{B}_i)$ (k is a finite integer or infinite), where \mathcal{B}_i is a unital algebra. By Theorem 3.1, we have the following result.

Corollary 3.3. *Suppose that \mathcal{A} is a von Neumann algebra without direct summand of type I_1 , and \mathcal{B} is a $*$ -algebra with $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B} \subseteq LS(\mathcal{A})$. If δ is a linear mapping from \mathcal{B} into $LS(\mathcal{A})$ such that*

$$a, b \in \mathcal{B}, a \circ b^* = 0 \Rightarrow a \circ \delta(b)^* + \delta(a) \circ b^* = 0 \text{ and } \delta(1)a = a\delta(1),$$

then $\delta(a) = \Delta(a) + \delta(1)a$ for every a in \mathcal{B} , where Δ is a $$ -Jordan derivation. In particular, δ is a $*$ -Jordan derivation when $\delta(1) = 0$.*

For von Neumann algebras, by Corollary 3.2 and similar to the proof of Theorem 2.4, we can easily obtain the following result and we omit the proof.

Corollary 3.4. *Suppose that \mathcal{A} is a von Neumann algebra. If δ is a linear mapping from \mathcal{A} into itself with such that*

$$a, b \in \mathcal{A}, a \circ b^* = 0 \Rightarrow a \circ \delta(b)^* + \delta(a) \circ b^* = 0 \text{ and } \delta(1)a = a\delta(1),$$

then $\delta(a) = \Delta(a) + \delta(1)a$ for every a in \mathcal{A} , where Δ is a $$ -derivation. In particular, δ is a $*$ -derivation when $\delta(1) = 0$.*

Lemma 3.5. [5, Theorem 2.1] *Suppose that \mathcal{A} is a unital algebra and \mathcal{X} is a linear space. If ϕ is a bilinear mapping from $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ into \mathcal{X} such that*

$$a, b \in \mathcal{A}, a \circ b = 0 \Rightarrow \phi(a, b) = 0,$$

then we have that

$$\phi(a, x) = \frac{1}{2}\phi(ax, 1) + \frac{1}{2}\phi(xa, 1)$$

for every a in \mathcal{A} and every x in $\mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{A})$.

Suppose that \mathcal{A} is a unital algebra and \mathcal{M} is a unital \mathcal{A} -bimodule satisfying that

$$\{m \in \mathcal{M} : xmx = 0 \text{ for every } x \in \mathcal{J}\} = \{0\},$$

where \mathcal{J} is an ideal of \mathcal{A} linear generated by idempotents in \mathcal{A} . In [15, Theorem 4.3], H. Ghahramani studies the linear mapping δ from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} satisfies

$$a, b \in \mathcal{A}, a \circ b = 0 \Rightarrow a \circ \delta(b) + \delta(a) \circ b = 0,$$

and show that δ is a generalized Jordan derivation. In the following, we suppose that \mathcal{J} is an ideal of \mathcal{A} generated algebraically by all idempotents in \mathcal{A} , and have the following result.

Theorem 3.6. *Suppose that \mathcal{A} is a unital $*$ -algebra, \mathcal{M} is a unital $*$ - \mathcal{A} -bimodule, and $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{A})$ is an ideal of \mathcal{A} such that*

$$\{m \in \mathcal{M} : xmx = 0 \text{ for every } x \in \mathcal{J}\} = \{0\}.$$

If δ is a linear mapping from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} such that

$$a, b \in \mathcal{A}, a \circ b^* = 0 \Rightarrow a \circ \delta(b)^* + \delta(a) \circ b^* = 0 \text{ and } \delta(1)a = a\delta(1),$$

then $\delta(a) = \Delta(a) + \delta(1)a$ for every a in \mathcal{A} , where Δ is a $$ -Jordan derivation. In particular, δ is a $*$ -Jordan derivation when $\delta(1) = 0$.*

Proof. Let $\widehat{\mathcal{J}}$ be an algebra generated algebraically by \mathcal{J} and \mathcal{J}^* . Since $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{A})$ is an ideal of \mathcal{A} , it is easy to show that $\widehat{\mathcal{J}} \subseteq \mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{A})$ is also an ideal of \mathcal{A} , and such that

$$\{m \in \mathcal{M} : xmx = 0 \text{ for every } x \in \widehat{\mathcal{J}}\} = \{0\}.$$

Thus without loss of generality, we can assume that \mathcal{J} is a self-adjoint ideal of \mathcal{A} , otherwise, we may replace \mathcal{J} by $\widehat{\mathcal{J}}$.

Define a linear mapping Δ from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} by

$$\Delta(a) = \delta(a) - \delta(1)a$$

for every a in \mathcal{A} . In the following we show that Δ is a $*$ -derivation.

It is clear that $\Delta(1) = 0$, and by $\delta(1)a = a\delta(1)$ we have that $a \circ b^* = 0$ implies that $a \circ \Delta(b)^* + \Delta(a) \circ b^* = 0$.

Define a bilinear mapping ϕ from $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ into \mathcal{M} by

$$\phi(a, b) = a \circ \Delta(b^*)^* + \Delta(a) \circ b$$

for each a and b in \mathcal{A} . By the assumption we know that $a \circ b = 0$ implies $\phi(a, b) = 0$.

Let a, b be in \mathcal{A} and x be in \mathcal{J} . By Lemma 3.5, we can obtain that

$$\phi(x, 1) = \phi(1, x).$$

It follows that

$$x \circ \Delta(1)^* + \Delta(x) \circ 1 = 1 \circ \Delta(x^*)^* + \Delta(1) \circ x. \quad (3.2)$$

By (3.2) and $\Delta(1) = 0$, we know that $\Delta(x)^* = \Delta(x^*)$. Again by Lemma 3.5, it follows that

$$a \circ \Delta(x^*)^* + \Delta(a) \circ x = \frac{1}{2}[\Delta(ax) \circ 1 + \Delta(xa) \circ 1]. \quad (3.3)$$

By (3.3) and $\Delta(x)^* = \Delta(x^*)$, it is easy to show that

$$\Delta(a \circ x) = a \circ \Delta(x) + \Delta(a) \circ x. \quad (3.4)$$

Next, we prove that Δ is a Jordan derivation.

Define $\{a, m, b\} = amb + bma$ and $\{a, b, m\} = \{m, b, a\} = abm + mba$ for each a, b in \mathcal{A} and every m in \mathcal{M} . Let a be in \mathcal{A} and x, y be in \mathcal{M} .

By the technique of the proof of [15, Theorem 4.3] and (3.4), we have the following two identities:

$$\Delta\{x, a, y\} = \{\Delta(x), a, y\} + \{x, \Delta(a), y\} + \{x, a, \Delta(y)\}, \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$\Delta\{x, a^2, y\} = \{\Delta(x), a^2, y\} + \{x, a \circ \Delta(a), y\} + \{x, a^2, \Delta(y)\}. \quad (3.6)$$

On the other hand, by (3.5) we have that

$$\Delta\{x, a^2, x\} = \{\Delta(x), a^2, x\} + \{x, \Delta(a^2), x\} + \{x, a^2, \Delta(x)\}. \quad (3.7)$$

By comparing (3.6) and (3.7), it follows that $\{x, \Delta(a^2), x\} = \{x, a \circ \Delta(a), x\}$. That is $x(\Delta(a^2) - a \circ \Delta(a))x = 0$. By the assumption, it implies that $\Delta(a^2) - a \circ \Delta(a) = 0$ for every a in \mathcal{A} .

It remains to show that $\Delta(a)^* = \Delta(a^*)$ for every a in \mathcal{A} . Indeed, for every a in \mathcal{A} and every x in \mathcal{J} , we have that $\Delta(xax)^* = \Delta((xax)^*)$. Since Δ is a Jordan derivation, it implies that

$$(\Delta(x)ax + x\Delta(a)x + xa\Delta(x))^* = \Delta(x^*)a^*x^* + x^*\Delta(a^*)x^* + x^*a^*\Delta(x^*).$$

Thus we can obtain that $x^*(\Delta(a)^* - \Delta(a^*))x^* = 0$. Since \mathcal{J} is a self-adjoint ideal of \mathcal{A} , it follows that $\Delta(a)^* = \Delta(a^*)$. \square

Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra and \mathcal{M} be a Banach $*$ - \mathcal{A} -bimodule. Denote by $\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}$ and $\mathcal{M}^{\sharp\sharp}$ the second dual space of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{M} , respectively. By [11, p.26], we can define a product \diamond in $\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}$ by

$$a^{\sharp\sharp} \diamond b^{\sharp\sharp} = \lim_{\lambda} \lim_{\mu} \alpha_{\lambda} \beta_{\mu}$$

for each $a^{\sharp\sharp}, b^{\sharp\sharp}$ in $\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}$, where (α_{λ}) and (β_{μ}) are two nets in \mathcal{A} with $\|\alpha_{\lambda}\| \leq \|a^{\sharp\sharp}\|$ and $\|\beta_{\mu}\| \leq \|b^{\sharp\sharp}\|$, such that $\alpha_{\lambda} \rightarrow a^{\sharp\sharp}$ and $\beta_{\mu} \rightarrow b^{\sharp\sharp}$ in the weak*-topology $\sigma(\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}, \mathcal{A}^{\sharp})$. Moreover, we can define an involution $*$ in $\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}$ by

$$(a^{\sharp\sharp})^*(\rho) = \overline{a^{\sharp\sharp}(\rho^*)}, \quad \rho^*(a) = \overline{\rho(a^*)},$$

where $a^{\sharp\sharp}$ in $\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}$, ρ in \mathcal{A}^{\sharp} and a in \mathcal{A} . By [22, p.726], we know that $\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}$ is a von Neumann algebra under the product \diamond and the involution $*$.

Since \mathcal{M} is a Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule, $\mathcal{M}^{\sharp\sharp}$ turns into a dual Banach $(\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}, \diamond)$ -bimodule with the operation defined by

$$a^{\sharp\sharp} \cdot m^{\sharp\sharp} = \lim_{\lambda} \lim_{\mu} \alpha_{\lambda} m_{\mu} \quad \text{and} \quad m^{\sharp\sharp} \cdot a^{\sharp\sharp} = \lim_{\mu} \lim_{\lambda} m_{\mu} \alpha_{\lambda}$$

for every $a^{\sharp\sharp}$ in $\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}$ and every $m^{\sharp\sharp}$ in $\mathcal{M}^{\sharp\sharp}$, where (a_λ) is a net in \mathcal{A} with $\|a_\lambda\| \leq \|a^{\sharp\sharp}\|$ and $(a_\lambda) \rightarrow a^{\sharp\sharp}$ in $\sigma(\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}, \mathcal{A}^\sharp)$, (m_μ) is a net in \mathcal{M} with $\|m_\mu\| \leq \|m^{\sharp\sharp}\|$ and $(m_\mu) \rightarrow m^{\sharp\sharp}$ in $\sigma(\mathcal{M}^{\sharp\sharp}, \mathcal{M}^\sharp)$.

We remarked, in the discussion preceding Theorem 2.1, that $\mathcal{M}^{\sharp\sharp}$ has an involution $*$ and it is continuous in $\sigma(\mathcal{M}^{\sharp\sharp}, \mathcal{M}^\sharp)$. By [1, p.553], we know that every continuous bilinear map φ from $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{M}$ into \mathcal{M} is Arens regular, which means that

$$\lim_{\lambda} \lim_{\mu} \varphi(a_\lambda, m_\mu) = \lim_{\mu} \lim_{\lambda} \varphi(a_\lambda, m_\mu)$$

for every $\sigma(\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}, \mathcal{A}^\sharp)$ -convergent net (a_λ) in \mathcal{A} and every $\sigma(\mathcal{M}^{\sharp\sharp}, \mathcal{M}^\sharp)$ -convergent net (m_μ) in \mathcal{M} . Thus we can obtain that

$$(a^{\sharp\sharp} \cdot m^{\sharp\sharp})^* = (\lim_{\lambda} \lim_{\mu} a_\lambda m_\mu)^* = \lim_{\lambda} \lim_{\mu} m_\mu^* a_\lambda^* = \lim_{\mu} \lim_{\lambda} m_\mu^* a_\lambda^* = (m^{\sharp\sharp})^* \cdot (a^{\sharp\sharp})^*,$$

where (a_λ) is a net in \mathcal{A} with $(a_\lambda) \rightarrow a^{\sharp\sharp}$ in $\sigma(\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}, \mathcal{A}^\sharp)$ and (m_μ) is a net in \mathcal{M} with $(m_\mu) \rightarrow m^{\sharp\sharp}$ in $\sigma(\mathcal{M}^{\sharp\sharp}, \mathcal{M}^\sharp)$. Similarly, we can show that $(m^{\sharp\sharp} \cdot a^{\sharp\sharp})^* = (a^{\sharp\sharp})^* \cdot (m^{\sharp\sharp})^*$. It implies that $\mathcal{M}^{\sharp\sharp}$ is a Banach $*$ - $\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}$ -bimodule.

A projection p in $\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}$ is called *open* if there exists an increasing net (a_α) of positive elements in \mathcal{A} such that $p = \lim_{\alpha} a_\alpha$ in the weak*-topology of $\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}$. If p is open, we say the projection $1 - p$ is *closed*.

For a unital C^* -algebra, we have the following result.

Theorem 3.7. *Suppose that \mathcal{A} is a unital C^* -algebra and \mathcal{M} is a unital Banach $*$ - \mathcal{A} -bimodule. If δ is a continuous linear mapping from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} such that $\delta(1)a = a\delta(1)$ for every a in \mathcal{A} , then the following three statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, $a \circ b^* = 0 \Rightarrow a \circ \delta(b)^* + \delta(a) \circ b^* = 0$;
- (2) $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, $ab^* = b^*a = 0 \Rightarrow a \circ \delta(b)^* + \delta(a) \circ b^* = 0$;
- (3) $\delta(a) = \Delta(a) + \delta(1)a$ for every a in \mathcal{A} , where Δ is a $*$ -derivation from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} .

Proof. It is clear that (1) \Rightarrow (2) and (3) \Rightarrow (1). It is sufficient to prove that (2) \Rightarrow (3).

Define a linear mapping Δ from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} by $\Delta(a) = \delta(a) - \delta(1)a$ for every a in \mathcal{A} . It is sufficient to show that Δ is a $*$ -derivation. First we prove that $\Delta(a^*) = \Delta(a)^*$ for every a in \mathcal{A} .

By assumption, we can easily show that

$$a, b \in \mathcal{A}, ab^* = b^*a = 0 \Rightarrow a \circ \Delta(b)^* + \Delta(a) \circ b^* = 0 \text{ and } \Delta(1) = 0,$$

In the following, we verify $\Delta(b) = \Delta(b)^*$ for every self-adjoint element b in \mathcal{A} .

Since Δ is a norm continuous linear mapping from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} , we know that $\Delta^{\sharp\sharp} : (\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}, \diamond) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\sharp\sharp}$ is the weak*-continuous extension of Δ to the double duals of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{M} .

Let b be a non-zero self-adjoint element in \mathcal{A} , $\sigma(b) \subseteq [-\|b\|, \|b\|]$ be the spectrum of b and $r(b) \in \mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}$ be the range projection of b .

Denote by \mathcal{A}_b the C^* -subalgebra of \mathcal{A} generated by b , and by $C(\sigma(b))$ the C^* -algebra of all continuous complex-valued functions on $\sigma(b)$. By Gelfand theory we know that there is an isometric $*$ isomorphism between \mathcal{A}_b and $C(\sigma(b))$.

For every n in \mathbb{N} , let p_n be the projection in $\mathcal{A}_b^{\sharp\sharp} \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}$ corresponding to the characteristic function $\chi_{([- \|b\|, -\frac{1}{n}] \cup [\frac{1}{n}, \|b\|]) \cap \sigma(b)}$ in $C(\sigma(b))$, and let b_n be in \mathcal{A}_b such that

$$b_n p_n = p_n b_n = b_n = b_n^* \text{ and } \|b_n - b\| < \frac{1}{n}.$$

By [28, Section 1.8], we know that (p_n) converges to $r(b)$ in the strong*-topology of $\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}$, and hence in the weak*-topology.

It is well known that p_n is a closed projection in $\mathcal{A}_b^{\sharp\sharp} \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}$ and $1 - p_n$ is an open projection in $\mathcal{A}_b^{\sharp\sharp}$. Thus there exists an increasing net (z_λ) of positive elements in $((1 - p_n)\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}(1 - p_n)) \cap \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$0 \leq z_\lambda \leq 1 - p_n$$

and (z_λ) converges to $1 - p_n$ in the weak*-topology of $\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}$. Since

$$0 \leq ((1 - p_n) - z_\lambda)^2 \leq (1 - p_n) - z_\lambda \leq (1 - p_n),$$

we have that (z_λ) also converges to $1 - p_n$ in the strong*-topology of $\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}$.

By $b_n = b_n^*$ and $z_\lambda b_n = b_n z_\lambda = 0$, it follows that

$$z_\lambda \circ \Delta^{\sharp\sharp}(b_n)^* + \Delta^{\sharp\sharp}(z_\lambda) \circ b_n = 0. \quad (3.8)$$

Taking weak*-limits in (3.8) and since $\Delta^{\sharp\sharp}$ is weak*-continuous, we have that

$$(1 - p_n) \circ \Delta^{\sharp\sharp}(b_n)^* + \Delta^{\sharp\sharp}((1 - p_n)) \circ b_n = 0. \quad (3.9)$$

Since (p_n) converges to $r(b)$ in the weak*-topology of $\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}$ and (b_n) converges to b in the norm-topology of \mathcal{A} , by (3.9), we have that

$$(1 - r(b)) \circ \Delta^{\sharp\sharp}(b)^* + \Delta^{\sharp\sharp}(1 - r(b)) \circ b = 0. \quad (3.10)$$

Since the range projection of every power b^m with $m \in \mathbb{N}$ coincides with the $r(b)$, and by (3.10), it follows that

$$(1 - r(b)) \circ \Delta^{\sharp\sharp}(b^m)^* + \Delta^{\sharp\sharp}(1 - r(b)) \circ b^m = 0$$

for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and by the linearity and norm continuity of the product we have that

$$(1 - r(b)) \circ \Delta^{\sharp\sharp}(z)^* + \Delta^{\sharp\sharp}(1 - r(b)) \circ z = 0$$

for every $z = z^*$ in \mathcal{A}_b . A standard argument involving weak*-continuity of $\Delta^{\sharp\sharp}$ gives

$$(1 - r(b)) \circ \Delta^{\sharp\sharp}(r(b))^* + \Delta^{\sharp\sharp}(1 - r(b)) \circ r(b) = 0. \quad (3.11)$$

By (3.11), we can obtain that

$$(\Delta^{\sharp\sharp}(r(b))^* + \Delta^{\sharp\sharp}(r(b)) - \Delta^{\sharp\sharp}(1)) \circ r(b) = 2\Delta^{\sharp\sharp}(r(b))^*.$$

By $\Delta(1) = 0$, we have that $\Delta^{\sharp\sharp}(1) = 0$. It implies that

$$\Delta^{\sharp\sharp}(r(b))^* = \Delta^{\sharp\sharp}(r(b)). \quad (3.12)$$

It is clear that every characteristic function

$$p = \chi_{([- \|b\|, -\alpha] \cup [\alpha, \|b\|]) \cap \sigma(b)} \quad (3.13)$$

in $C_0(\sigma(b))^{\sharp\sharp}$ with $0 < \alpha < \|b\|$, is the range projection of a function in $C(\sigma(b))$. Moreover, every projection of the form

$$q = \chi_{([- \beta, -\alpha] \cup [\alpha, \beta]) \cap \sigma(b)} \quad (3.14)$$

in $C_0(\sigma(b))^{\sharp\sharp}$ with $0 < \alpha < \beta < \|b\|$ can be written as the difference of two projections of the type in (3.13).

Since \mathcal{A}_b and $C(\sigma(b))$ are isometric $*$ isomorphism, and by $\Delta^{\sharp\sharp}(r(b))^* = \Delta^{\sharp\sharp}(r(b))$ for range projection of b in $\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}$, we have that $\Delta^{\sharp\sharp}(p)^* = \Delta^{\sharp\sharp}(p)$ for every projection p of the type in (3.13). It follows that $\Delta^{\sharp\sharp}(q)^* = \Delta^{\sharp\sharp}(q)$ for every projection q of the type in (3.14).

It is well known that b can be approximated in norm by finite linear combinations of mutually orthogonal projections q_j of the type in (3.14), and Δ is continuous, we have that $\Delta(b)^* = \Delta(b)$. Thus for every a in \mathcal{A} , we can obtain that $\Delta(a)^* = \Delta(a)$.

By the assumption, it follows that

$$a, b \in \mathcal{A}, ab = ba = 0 \Rightarrow a \circ \Delta(b) + \Delta(a) \circ b = 0.$$

By [2, Theorem 4.1], we know that Δ is a $*$ -derivation. \square

In the following we consider general C^* -algebras \mathcal{A} . Let $(e_i)_{i \in \Gamma}$ be a bounded approximate identity of \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{M} be an essential Banach $*$ - \mathcal{A} -bimodule, and δ be a continuous linear mapping from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} , then $(\delta(e_i))_{i \in \Gamma}$ is bounded and we can assume that it converges to ξ in $\mathcal{M}^{\sharp\sharp}$ with the topology $\sigma(\mathcal{M}^{\sharp\sharp}, \mathcal{M}^{\sharp})$. It follows the next result.

Theorem 3.8. *Suppose that \mathcal{A} is a C^* -algebra (not necessary unital) and \mathcal{M} is an essential Banach $*$ - \mathcal{A} -bimodule. If δ is a continuous linear mapping from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} such that $\xi \cdot a = a \cdot \xi$ for every a in \mathcal{A} , then the following three statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $a, b \in \mathcal{A}, a \circ b^* = 0 \Rightarrow a \circ \delta(b)^* + \delta(a) \circ b^* = 0$;
- (2) $a, b \in \mathcal{A}, ab^* = b^*a = 0 \Rightarrow a \circ \delta(b)^* + \delta(a) \circ b^* = 0$;
- (3) $\delta(a) = \Delta(a) + \xi \cdot a$ for every a in \mathcal{A} , where Δ is a $*$ -derivation from \mathcal{A} into $\mathcal{M}^{\sharp\sharp}$.

Proof. It is clear that (1) \Rightarrow (2) and (3) \Rightarrow (1). It is only need to prove that (2) \Rightarrow (3).

Define a linear mapping Δ from \mathcal{A} into $\mathcal{M}^{\sharp\sharp}$ by

$$\Delta(a) = \delta(a) - \xi \cdot a$$

for every a in \mathcal{A} . It is sufficient to show that Δ is a $*$ -derivation.

By the definition of Δ and $\xi \cdot a = a \cdot \xi$ for every a in \mathcal{A} , we can easily to show that

$$a, b \in \mathcal{A}, ab^* = b^*a = 0 \Rightarrow a \circ \Delta(b)^* + \Delta(a) \circ b^* = 0.$$

By [10, Proposition 2.9.16], we know that $(e_i)_{i \in \Gamma}$ converges to the identity 1 in $\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}$ with the topology $\sigma(\mathcal{A}^{\sharp\sharp}, \mathcal{A}^{\sharp})$. By the proof of Theorem 2.1, we know that

$\Delta(e_i) = \delta(e_i) - e_i \cdot \xi$ converges to zero in $\mathcal{M}^\#$ with the topology $\sigma(\mathcal{M}^\#, \mathcal{M}^\#)$, and we can obtain that

$$m^\# \cdot 1 = m^\#$$

for every $m^\#$ in $\mathcal{M}^\#$. Since $\mathcal{M}^\#$ is a Banach $*$ - $\mathcal{A}^\#$ -bimodule, we have that

$$1 \cdot m^\# = m^\#$$

for every $m^\#$ in $\mathcal{M}^\#$. Since Δ is a norm-continuous linear mapping from \mathcal{A} into $\mathcal{M}^\#$, $\Delta^\# : (\mathcal{A}^\#, \diamond) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\#\#}$ is the weak*-continuous extension of Δ to the double duals of \mathcal{A} and $\mathcal{M}^\#$ such that $\Delta^\#(1) = 0$.

By [10, Proposition A.3.52], we know that the mapping $m^{\#\#} \mapsto m^{\#\#} \cdot 1$ from $\mathcal{M}^{\#\#}$ into itself is $\sigma(\mathcal{M}^{\#\#}, \mathcal{M}^{\#\#})$ -continuous, and by the $\sigma(\mathcal{M}^{\#\#}, \mathcal{M}^{\#\#})$ -denseness of $\mathcal{M}^\#$ in $\mathcal{M}^{\#\#}$, we have that

$$m^{\#\#} \cdot 1 = m^{\#\#}$$

for every $m^{\#\#}$ in $\mathcal{M}^{\#\#}$. Since $\mathcal{M}^{\#\#}$ is a Banach $*$ - $\mathcal{A}^\#$ -bimodule, we have that

$$1 \cdot m^{\#\#} = m^{\#\#}$$

for every $m^{\#\#}$ in $\mathcal{M}^{\#\#}$.

Finally, we use the same proof of Theorem 3.7 and show that Δ is a $*$ -derivation from \mathcal{A} into $\mathcal{M}^\#$. \square

Remark 3. In [12], A. Essaleh and A. Peralta introduce the concept of a triple derivation on C^* -algebras. Suppose that \mathcal{A} is a C^* -algebra. Let a, b and c be in \mathcal{A} , define the *ternary product* by $\{a, b, c\} = \frac{1}{2}(ab^*c + cb^*a)$. A linear mapping δ from \mathcal{A} into itself is called a *triple derivation* if

$$\delta\{a, b, c\} = \{\delta(a), b, c\} + \{a, \delta(b), c\} + \{a, b, \delta(c)\}$$

for each a, b and c in \mathcal{A} . Let z be an element in \mathcal{A} . δ is called *triple derivation at z* if

$$a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}, \{a, b, c\} = z \Rightarrow \delta(z) = \{\delta(a), b, c\} + \{a, \delta(b), c\} + \{a, b, \delta(c)\}.$$

In [12], A. Essaleh and A. Peralta prove that every continuous linear mapping δ which is triple derivations at zero from a unital C^* -algebra into itself with $\delta(1) = 0$ is a $*$ -derivation.

On the other hand, it is apparent to show that if δ is triple derivation at zero, then δ satisfies that

$$a, b \in \mathcal{A}, ab^* = b^*a = 0 \Rightarrow a \circ \delta(b)^* + \delta(a) \circ b^* = 0.$$

Thus Theorem 3.7 generalizes [12, Corollary 2.10].

Remark 4. In [8], M. Brešar and J. Vukman introduce the left derivations and Jordan left derivations. A linear mapping δ from an algebra \mathcal{A} into its bimodule \mathcal{M} is called a *left derivation* if $\delta(ab) = a\delta(b) + b\delta(a)$ for each a, b in \mathcal{A} ; and δ is called a *Jordan left derivation* if $\delta(a \circ b) = 2a\delta(b) + 2b\delta(a)$ for each a, b in \mathcal{A} .

Let \mathcal{A} be a $*$ -algebra and \mathcal{M} be a $*$ - \mathcal{A} -bimodule. A left derivation (Jordan left derivation) δ from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} is called a *$*$ -left derivation* (*$*$ -Jordan left derivation*) if $\delta(a^*) = \delta(a)^*$ for every a in \mathcal{A} .

We also can investigate the following conditions on a linear mapping δ from \mathcal{A} into \mathcal{M} :

- (\mathbb{J}_1) $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, $ab^* = 0 \Rightarrow a\delta(b)^* + b^*\delta(a) = 0$;
- (\mathbb{J}_2) $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, $a \circ b^* = 0 \Rightarrow a\delta(b)^* + b^*\delta(a) = 0$;
- (\mathbb{J}_3) $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, $ab^* = b^*a = 0 \Rightarrow a\delta(b)^* + b^*\delta(a) = 0$.

Acknowledgement. This research was partly supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11801342, 11801005, 11871021); Natural Science Foundation of Shaanxi Province (Grant No. 2020JQ-693); Scientific research plan projects of Shannxi Education Department (Grant No. 19JK0130).

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Alaminos, M. Brešar, J. Extremera and A. Villena. *Maps preserving zero products*, Studia Math., **193** (2009) 131-159.
- [2] J. Alaminos, M. Brešar, J. Extremera and A. Villena. *Characterizing Jordan maps on C^* -algebras through zero products*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc., **53** (2010), 543-555.
- [3] J. Alaminos, M. Brešar, J. Extremera and A. Villena. *Orthogonality preserving linear maps on group algebras*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., **158** (2015), 493-504.
- [4] G. An and J. Li. *Characterizations of linear mappings through zero products or zero Jordan products*, Electron. J. Linear Algebra, **31** (2016), 408-424.
- [5] G. An, J. Li and J. He. *Zero Jordan product determined algebras*, Linear Algebra Appl., **475** (2015), 90-93.
- [6] M. Brešar. *Characterizing homomorphisms, derivations and multipliers in rings with idempotents*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A, **137** (2007), 9-21.
- [7] M. Brešar. *Multiplication algebra and maps determined by zero products*, Linear Multilinear Algebra, **60** (2012), 763-768.
- [8] M. Brešar and J. Vukman. *On left derivations and related mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **110** (1990), 7-16.
- [9] J. Cuntz. *On the continuity of Semi-Norms on operator algebras*, Math. Ann., **220** (1976), 171-183.
- [10] H. Dales. *Banach Algebras and Automatic Continuity*, London Math. Soc. Monog. Ser. **24**, Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 2000.
- [11] H. Dales, F. Ghahramani and N. Grønbæk. *Derivations into iterated duals of Banach algebra*, Studia Math., **128** (1998), 19-54.
- [12] A. Essaleh and A. Peralta. *Linear maps on C^* -algebras which are derivations or triple derivations at a point*, Linear Algebra Appl., **538** (2018), 1-21.
- [13] B. Fadaee and H. Ghahramani. *Linear maps behaving like derivations or anti-derivations at orthogonal elements on C^* -algebras*, arXiv: 1907.03594v1.
- [14] P. Fillmore and D. Topping. *Operator algebras generated by projections*, Duke Math. J., **34** (1967), 333-336.
- [15] H. Ghahramani. *On derivations and Jordan derivations through zero products*, Oper. Matrices, **8** (2014), 759-771.
- [16] H. Ghahramani. *Characterizing Jordan derivations of matrix rings through zero products*, Math. Slovaca, **65** (2015), 1277-1290.
- [17] H. Ghahramani. *Linear maps on group algebras determined by the action of the derivations or anti-derivations on a set of orthogonal element*, Results Math., **73** (2018), no. 4, Art. 133, 14pp.
- [18] H. Ghahramani and Z. Pan. *Linear maps on $*$ -algebras acting on orthogonal element like derivations or anti-derivations*, Filomat, **13** (2018), 4543-4554.
- [19] J. He, J. Li and W. Qian. *Characterizations of centralizers and derivations on some algebras*, J. Korean Math. Soc., **54** (2017), 685-696.

- [20] S. Hejazian and A. Niknam. *Modules, annihilators and module derivations of JB^* -algebras*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **27** (1996), 129-140.
- [21] B. Johnson. *Symmetric amenability and the nonexistence of Lie and Jordan derivations* Math. Proc. Cambd. Philos. Soc., **120** (1996), 455-473.
- [22] R. Kadison and J. Ringrose. *Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator Algebras*, I, Pure Appl. Math. 100, Academic Press, New York, 1983.
- [23] R. Kantrowitz and M. Neumann. *Disjointness preserving and local operators on algebras of differentiable functions*, Glasgow Math. J., **43** (2001), 295-309.
- [24] A. Kishimoto. *Dissipations and Derivations*, Commun. Math. Phys., **47** (1976), 25-32.
- [25] T. Lam. *A first course in noncommutative rings*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [26] V. Losert. *The derivation problem for group algebras* Ann. Math., **168** (2008), 221-246.
- [27] M. Muratov and V. Chilin. *Algebras of measurable and locally measurable operators*, Kyiv, Pratsi In-ty matematiki NAN ukraini., **69** (2007), 390 pp, (Russian).
- [28] S. Sakai. *C^* -Algebras and W^* -Algebras*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971.

¹ DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHAANXI UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, XI'AN 710021, CHINA.

E-mail address: anguangyu310@163.com

² DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ANHUI POLYTECHNIC UNIVERSITY, WUHU 241000, CHINA.

E-mail address: hejun_12@163.com

^{3*} DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, EAST CHINA UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, SHANGHAI 200237, CHINA.

E-mail address: jiankuili@yahoo.com