Strictly semi-positive tensors and the boundedness of tensor complementarity problems

Yisheng Song^{*}, Liqun Qi[†]

August 13, 2021

Abstract

In this paper, we present the boundedness of solution set of tensor complementarity problem defined by a strictly semi-positive tensor. For strictly semi-positive tensor, we prove that all $H^+(Z^+)$ -eigenvalues of each principal sub-tensor are positive. We define two new constants associated with $H^+(Z^+)$ -eigenvalues of a strictly semi-positive tensor. With the help of these two constants, we establish upper bounds of an important quantity whose positivity is a necessary and sufficient condition for a general tensor to be a strictly semi-positive tensor. The monotonicity and boundedness of such a quantity are established too.

Key words: Strictly semi-positive tensor, Tensor complementarity problem, upper and lower bounds, Eigenvalues.

AMS subject classifications (2010): 47H15, 47H12, 34B10, 47A52, 47J10, 47H09, 15A48, 47H07.

1 Introduction

An *m*-order *n*-dimensional tensor (hypermatrix) $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 \cdots i_m})$ is a multi-array of real entries $a_{i_1 \cdots i_m}$, where $i_j \in I_n = \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$ for $j \in I_m = \{1, 2, \cdots, m\}$. Let $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $\mathcal{A}\mathbf{x}^{m-1}$

^{*}Corresponding author. School of Mathematics and Information Science and Henan Engineering Laboratory for Big Data Statistical Analysis and Optimal Control, Henan Normal University, XinXiang HeNan, P.R. China, 453007. Email: songyisheng@htu.cn. This author's work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of P.R. China (Grant No. 11571095,11601134). His work was partially done when he was visiting The Hong Kong Polytechnic University.

[†]Department of Applied Mathematics, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong. Email: maqilq@polyu.edu.hk. This author's work was supported by the Hong Kong Research Grant Council (Grant No. PolyU 502111, 501212, 501913 and 15302114).

is a vector in \mathbb{R}^n with its *i*th component as

$$\left(\mathcal{A}\mathbf{x}^{m-1}\right)_i := \sum_{i_2,\cdots,i_m=1}^n a_{ii_2\cdots i_m} x_{i_2}\cdots x_{i_m}$$

for $i \in I_n$. Obviously, each component of $\mathcal{A}\mathbf{x}^{m-1}$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree m-1. For any $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we consider the tensor complementarity problem, a special class of nonlinear complementarity problems, denoted by $\mathrm{TCP}(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{q})$: finding $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

 $\mathbf{TCP}(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{q}) \qquad \qquad \mathbf{x} \ge \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{q} + \mathcal{A}\mathbf{x}^{m-1} \ge \mathbf{0}, \text{ and } \mathbf{x}^{\top}(\mathbf{q} + \mathcal{A}\mathbf{x}^{m-1}) = \mathbf{0}$

or showing that no such vector exists.

Clearly, $TCP(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{q})$ is a natural extension of linear complementarity problem (m = 2). The notion of the tensor complementarity problem was used firstly by Song and Qi [1, 2]. Recently, Huang and Qi [3] formulated an n-person noncooperative game as a tensor complementarity problem and showed that finding a Nash equilibrium point of the multilinear game is equivalent to finding a solution of the tensor complementarity problem. Very recently, the solution of $TCP(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{q})$ and related problems have been well studied. Song and Qi [4] discussed the solution of $TCP(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{q})$ with a strictly semi-positive tensor and proved the equivalence between (strictly) semi-positive tensors and (strictly) copositive tensors in the case of symmetry. Che, Qi, Wei [5] discussed the existence and uniqueness of solution of $TCP(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{q})$ with some special tensors. Song and Yu [6] studied properties of the solution set of the $TCP(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{q})$ and obtained global upper bounds of the solution of the $TCP(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{q})$ with a strictly semi-positive tensor. Luo, Qi and Xiu [7] obtained the sparsest solutions to $TCP(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{q})$ with a Z-tensor. Gowda, Luo, Qi and Xiu [8] studied the various equivalent conditions of existence of solution to $TCP(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{q})$ with a Z-tensor. Ding, Luo and Qi [9] showed the properties of $TCP(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{q})$ with a P-tensor. Bai, Huang and Wang [10] considered the global uniqueness and solvability for $TCP(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{q})$ with a strong P-tensor. Wang, Huang and Bai [11] gave the solvability of $TCP(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{q})$ with exceptionally regular tensors. Huang, Suo and Wang [12] presented the several classes of Q-tensors. Song and Qi [13], Ling, He, Qi [14, 15], Chen, Yang, Ye [16] studied the tensor eigenvalue complementarity problem for higher order tensors.

The tensor complementarity problem, as a special type of nonlinear complementarity problems, is a new topic emerged from the tensor community, inspired by the growing research on structured tensors. At the same time, the tensor complementarity problem, as a natural extension of the linear complementarity problem seems to have similar properties to such a problem, and to have its particular and nice properties other than ones of the classical nonlinear complementarity problem. So how to identify their good properties and applications will be very interesting by means of the special structure of higher order tensors (hypermatrices).

Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ real matrix and $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The linear complementarity problem, denoted by $LCP(A, \mathbf{q})$, is to find $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\mathbf{LCP}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{q})$$
 $\mathbf{x} \ge \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{q} + A\mathbf{x} \ge \mathbf{0}, \text{ and } \mathbf{x}^{\top}(\mathbf{q} + A\mathbf{x}) = 0$

or to show that no such vector exists. In past several decades, there have been numerous mathematical workers concerned with the solution of $LCP(A, \mathbf{q})$ by means of the special structure of the matrix A. An important topic of those studies is the error bound analysis for the solution of $LCP(A, \mathbf{q})$. In 1990, Mathias and Pang [17] discussed error bounds for $LCP(A, \mathbf{q})$ with a P-matrix A. Luo, Mangasarian, Ren, Solodov [18] established error bounds for $LCP(A, \mathbf{q})$ with a nondegenerate matrix. Chen and Xiang [19, 20] studied perturbation bounds of $LCP(A, \mathbf{q})$ with a P-matrix and the computation of those error bounds. Chen, Li, Wu, Vong [21] established error bounds of $LCP(A, \mathbf{q})$ with a MB-matrix. Dai [22] presented error bounds for LCP(A, q) with a DB-matrix. Dai, Li, Lu [23, 24] obtained error bounds for $LCP(A, \mathbf{q})$ with a SB-matrix. García-Esnaola and Peña [25] studied error bounds for $LCP(A, \mathbf{q})$ with a B-matrix. García-Esnaola and Peña [26] proved error bounds for LCP(A, q) with a BS-matrix. García-Esnaola and Peña [27] gave the comparison of error bounds for LCP(A, q) with a H-Matrix. Recently, Li and Zheng [28] gave a new error bound for $LCP(A, \mathbf{q})$ with a H-Matrix. Sun and Wang [29] studied error bounds for generalized linear complementarity problem under some proper assumptions. Wang and Yuan [30] presented componentwise error bounds for $LCP(A, \mathbf{q})$.

Motivated by the study on error bounds for $LCP(A, \mathbf{q})$, we consider the boundedness of solution set for the tensor complementarity problem. The following question is natural. May we extend the error bounds results of the linear complementarity problem to the tensor complementarity problem with some class of specially structured tensors?

In this paper, we will mainly consider the above question. In order to showing the boundedness of solution set of tensor complementarity problem, we first study the properties of a quantity $\beta(\mathcal{A})$ for a strictly semi-positive tensor. Such a quantity $\beta(\mathcal{A})$ closely adheres to the error bound analysis of TCP(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{q}). We show the monotonicity and boundedness of $\beta(\mathcal{A})$ and obtain that the strict positivity of $\beta(\mathcal{A})$ is equivalent to strict semi-positivity of a tensor. We introduce two new constants associated with $H^+(Z^+)$ -eigenvalues of a tensor and establish upper bounds of $\beta(\mathcal{A})$ for strictly semi-positive tensor \mathcal{A} . We also prove that all $H^+(Z^+)$ -eigenvalues of each principal sub-tensor of a strictly semi-positive tensor are positive. Finally, we present the upper and lower bounds of solution set for TCP(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{q}) with a strictly semi-positive tensor \mathcal{A} .

We briefly describe our notation. Let $I_n := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. We use small letters x, u, v, α, \dots , for scalars, small bold letters $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \dots$, for vectors, capital letters A, B, \dots , for matrices, calligraphic letters $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \dots$, for tensors. Denote the set of all real *m*th order *n*-dimensional tensors by $T_{m,n}$ and the set of all real *m*th order *n*-dimensional symmetric tensors by $S_{m,n}$. We denote the zero tensor in $T_{m,n}$ by \mathcal{O} . Denote $\mathbb{R}^n := \{\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^\top; x_i \in \mathbb{R}, i \in I_n\}$, $\mathbb{C}^n := \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T; x_i \in \mathbb{C}, i \in I_n\}, \mathbb{R}^n_+ = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n; \mathbf{x} \ge \mathbf{0}\}, \mathbb{R}^n_- = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n; \mathbf{x} \le \mathbf{0}\}$ and $\mathbb{R}^n_{++} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n; \mathbf{x} > 0\}$, where \mathbb{R} is the set of real numbers, and \mathbb{C} is the set of complex numbers, \mathbf{x}^\top is the transposition of a vector \mathbf{x} , and $\mathbf{x} \ge \mathbf{0}$ ($\mathbf{x} > \mathbf{0}$) means $x_i \ge 0$ ($x_i > 0$) for all $i \in I_n$. Let $\mathbf{e} = (1, 1, \dots, 1)^T$. Denote by $\mathbf{e}^{(i)}$ the *i*th unit vector in \mathbb{R}^n , i.e., $e_j^{(i)} = 1$ if i = j and $e_j^{(i)} = 0$ if $i \ne j$, for $i, j \in I_n$. For any vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $\mathbf{x}^{[m-1]}$ is a vector in \mathbb{C}^n with its *i*th component defined as x_i^{m-1} for $i \in I_n$, and $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, \mathbf{x}_+ is a vector in \mathbb{R}^n with $(\mathbf{x}_+)_i = x_i$ if $x_i \ge 0$ and $(\mathbf{x}_+)_i = 0$ if $x_i < 0$ for $i \in I_n$. We assume that $m \ge 2$ and $n \ge 1$. We denote by \mathcal{A}_r^J the principal sub-tensor of a tensor $\mathcal{A} \in T_{m,n}$ such that the entries of \mathcal{A}_r^J are indexed by $J \subset I_n$ with |J| = r $(1 \le r \le n)$, and denote by \mathbf{x}_J the *r*-dimensional sub-vector of a vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, with the components of \mathbf{x}_J indexed by J.

2 Preliminaries and basic facts

In this section, we will collect some basic definitions and facts, which will be used later on.

All the tensors discussed in this paper are real. An *m*-order *n*-dimensional tensor (hypermatrix) $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 \cdots i_m})$ is a multi-array of real entries $a_{i_1 \cdots i_m}$, where $i_j \in I_n$ for $j \in I_m$. If the entries $a_{i_1 \cdots i_m}$ are invariant under any permutation of their indices, then \mathcal{A} is called a **symmetric tensor**. We now give the definitions of (strictly) semi-positive tensors (strictly) copositive tensors, which was introduced by Song and Qi [2]. The concept of (strictly) copositive tensors was first introduced and used by Qi in [31]. Their equivalent definition and some special structures were proved by Song and Qi [32].

Definition 2.1. Let $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 \cdots i_m}) \in T_{m,n}$. \mathcal{A} is said to be

(i) semi-positive iff for each $\mathbf{x} \ge 0$ and $\mathbf{x} \ne \mathbf{0}$, there exists an index $k \in I_n$ such that

$$x_k > 0$$
 and $\left(\mathcal{A}\mathbf{x}^{m-1}\right)_k \ge 0;$

(ii) strictly semi-positive iff for each $\mathbf{x} \ge \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{x} \ne \mathbf{0}$, there exists an index $k \in I_n$ such that

 $x_k > 0$ and $\left(\mathcal{A}\mathbf{x}^{m-1}\right)_k > 0$,

or equivalently,

$$x_k \left(\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{m-1} \right)_k > 0;$$

- (*iii*) copositive if $A\mathbf{x}^m \ge 0$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$;
- (*iv*) strictly copositive if $A\mathbf{x}^m > 0$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n_+ \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$.
- (v) **Q-tensor** iff the $TCP(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{q})$ has a solution for all $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

The following are two basic conclusions in the study of (strictly) semi-positive tensors.

Lemma 2.1. (Song and Qi [2, Corollary 3.3, Theorem 3.4] and [4, Theorem 3.3, 3.4]) Each strictly semi-positive tensor must be a Q-tensor. If $\mathcal{A} \in S_{m,n}$, then \mathcal{A} is (strictly) semi-positive if and only if it is (strictly) copositive.

The concept of principal sub-tensors was introduced and used in [33] for symmetric tensors.

Definition 2.2. Let $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 \cdots i_m}) \in T_{m,n}$. A tensor $\mathcal{C} \in T_{m,r}$ is called a principal subtensor of a tensor $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 \cdots i_m}) \in T_{m,n}$ $(1 \leq r \leq n)$ iff there is a set J that is composed of r elements in I_n such that

$$\mathcal{C} = (a_{i_1 \cdots i_m}), \text{ for all } i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_m \in J.$$

Denote such a principal sub-tensor \mathcal{C} by \mathcal{A}_r^J .

Lemma 2.2. [4, Proposition 2.1, 2.2] Let $\mathcal{A} \in T_{m,n}$. Then

- (i) $a_{ii\cdots i} \geq 0$ for all $i \in I_n$ if \mathcal{A} is semi-positive;
- (ii) $a_{ii\cdots i} > 0$ for all $i \in I_n$ if \mathcal{A} is strictly semi-positive;
- (iii) there exists $k \in I_n$ such that $\sum_{i_2,\dots,i_m=1}^n a_{ki_2\dots i_m} \ge 0$ if \mathcal{A} is semi-positive;
- (iv) there exists $k \in I_n$ such that $\sum_{i_2,\dots,i_m=1}^n a_{ki_2\dots i_m} > 0$ if \mathcal{A} is strictly semi-positive;
- (v) each principal sub-tensor of a semi-positive tensor is semi-positive;
- (vi) each principal sub-tensor of a strictly semi-positive tensor is strictly semi-positive.

The concepts of tensor eigenvalues were introduced by Qi [33, 34] to the higher order symmetric tensors, and the existence of the eigenvalues and some applications were studied there. Lim [35] independently introduced real tensor eigenvalues and obtained some existence results using a variational approach.

Definition 2.3. Let $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 \cdots i_m}) \in T_{m,n}$.

(i) A number $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is called an **eigenvalue** of \mathcal{A} iff there is a nonzero vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that

$$\mathcal{A}\mathbf{x}^{m-1} = \lambda \mathbf{x}^{[m-1]},\tag{2.1}$$

and \mathbf{x} is called an **eigenvector** of \mathcal{A} , associated with λ . An eigenvalue λ corresponding a real eigenvector \mathbf{x} is real and is called an H-**eigenvalue**, and \mathbf{x} is called an H**eigenvector** of \mathcal{A} , respectively;

(ii) A number $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is called an **E-eigenvalue** of \mathcal{A} iff there is a nonzero vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that

$$\mathcal{A}\mathbf{x}^{m-1} = \lambda \mathbf{x}, \quad \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x} = 1, \tag{2.2}$$

and \mathbf{x} is called an E-eigenvector of \mathcal{A} , associated with λ . An E-eigenvalue λ corresponding a real E-eigenvector \mathbf{x} is real and is called an Z-eigenvalue, and \mathbf{x} is called an Z-eigenvector of \mathcal{A} , respectively.

Recently, Qi [36] introduced and used the following concepts for studying the properties of hypergraphs.

Definition 2.4. A real number λ is said to be

- (i) an H⁺-eigenvalue of \mathcal{A} iff it is an H-eigenvalue and its H-eigenvector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}_{+}$;
- (ii) an H^{++} -eigenvalue of \mathcal{A} , iff it is an H-eigenvalue and its H-eigenvector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}_{++}$.
- (iii) a Z⁺-eigenvalue of \mathcal{A} ([32]) iff it is a Z-eigenvalue and its Z-eigenvector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}_{+}$;
- (iv) a Z^{++} -eigenvalue of \mathcal{A} ([32]) iff it is a Z-eigenvalue and its Z-eigenvector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}_{++}$.

Recall that an operator $T : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is called **positively homogeneous** iff $T(t\mathbf{x}) = tT(\mathbf{x})$ for each t > 0 and all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. For $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, it is known well that

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty} := \max\{|x_i|; i \in I_n\} \text{ and } \|\mathbf{x}\|_p := \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \ (p \ge 1)$$

are two main norms defined on \mathbb{R}^n . Then for a continuous, positively homogeneous operator $T: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, it is obvious that

$$||T||_p := \max_{\|\mathbf{x}\|_p = 1} ||T(\mathbf{x})||_p \text{ and } ||T||_{\infty} := \max_{\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty} = 1} ||T(\mathbf{x})||_{\infty}$$
(2.3)

are two operator norms of T.

Let $\mathcal{A} \in T_{m,n}$. Define an operator $T_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ by for any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$T_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x}) := \begin{cases} \|\mathbf{x}\|_2^{2-m} \mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{m-1}, \ \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0}, \qquad \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}. \end{cases}$$
(2.4)

When m is even, define another operator $F_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ by for any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$F_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x}) := \left(\mathcal{A}\mathbf{x}^{m-1}\right)^{\left[\frac{1}{m-1}\right]}.$$
(2.5)

Clearly, both $F_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $T_{\mathcal{A}}$ are continuous and positively homogeneous. The following upper bounds and properities of the operator norm were established by Song and Qi [37].

Lemma 2.3. (Song and Qi [37, Theorem 4.3, Lemma 2.1]) Let $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 \cdots i_m}) \in T_{m,n}$. Then

(i) $||F_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x})||_{\infty} \leq ||F_{\mathcal{A}}||_{\infty} ||\mathbf{x}||_{\infty} \text{ and } ||F_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x})||_{p} \leq ||F_{\mathcal{A}}||_{p} ||\mathbf{x}||_{p};$ (ii) $||T_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x})||_{\infty} \leq ||T_{\mathcal{A}}||_{\infty} ||\mathbf{x}||_{\infty} \text{ and } ||T_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x})||_{p} \leq ||T_{\mathcal{A}}||_{p} ||\mathbf{x}||_{p};$ (iii) $||T_{\mathcal{A}}||_{\infty} \leq \max_{i \in I_{n}} \left(\sum_{i_{2}, \cdots, i_{m}=1}^{n} |a_{ii_{2}\cdots i_{m}}| \right);$ (iv) $||F_{\mathcal{A}}||_{\infty} \leq \max_{i \in I_{n}} \left(\sum_{i_{2}, \cdots, i_{m}=1}^{n} |a_{ii_{2}\cdots i_{m}}| \right)^{\frac{1}{m-1}}, \text{ when } m \text{ is even.}$

Lemma 2.4. Let $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 \cdots i_m}) \in T_{m,n}$. Then

(i) $\frac{1}{\sqrt[p]{n}} \|F_{\mathcal{A}}\|_{\infty} \le \|F_{\mathcal{A}}\|_p \le \sqrt[p]{n} \|F_{\mathcal{A}}\|_{\infty};$

$$(ii) \ \frac{1}{\sqrt[p]{n}} \|T_{\mathcal{A}}\|_{\infty} \le \|T_{\mathcal{A}}\|_p \le \sqrt[p]{n} \|T_{\mathcal{A}}\|_{\infty};$$

(*iii*)
$$||F_{\mathcal{A}}||_{p} \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{i_{2},\dots,i_{m}=1}^{n} |a_{ii_{2}\dots i_{m}}|\right)^{\frac{p}{m-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$
 when *m* is even;
(*iv*) $||T_{\mathcal{A}}||_{p} \leq n^{\frac{m-2}{p}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{i_{2},\dots,i_{m}=1}^{n} |a_{ii_{2}\dots i_{m}}|\right)^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$.

Proof. (i) It follows from the definitions (2.3) of the operator norm and the fact that $\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty} \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_{p} \leq \sqrt[p]{n} \|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_{\mathcal{A}}\|_{p} &= \max_{\|\mathbf{x}\|_{p}=1} \|F_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x})\|_{p} \leq \max_{\|\mathbf{x}\|_{p}=1} \sqrt[p]{n} \|F_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x})\|_{\infty} \\ &\leq \max_{\|\mathbf{x}\|_{p}=1} \sqrt[p]{n} \|F_{\mathcal{A}}\|_{\infty} \|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty} \\ &\leq \max_{\|\mathbf{x}\|_{p}=1} \sqrt[p]{n} \|F_{\mathcal{A}}\|_{\infty} \|\mathbf{x}\|_{p} \\ &= \sqrt[p]{n} \|F_{\mathcal{A}}\|_{\infty} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_{\mathcal{A}}\|_{\infty} &= \max_{\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}=1} \|F_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x})\|_{\infty} \leq \max_{\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}=1} \|F_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x})\|_{p} \\ &\leq \max_{\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}=1} \|F_{\mathcal{A}}\|_{p} \|\mathbf{x}\|_{p} \\ &\leq \max_{\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}=1} \sqrt[p]{n} \|F_{\mathcal{A}}\|_{p} \|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty} \\ &= \sqrt[p]{n} \|F_{\mathcal{A}}\|_{p}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (i). Similarly, (ii) is easy to obtain.

(iii) It follows from the definition (2.3) of the operator norm that

$$\begin{split} \|F_{\mathcal{A}}\|_{p}^{p} &= (\max_{\|x\|_{p}=1} \|F_{\mathcal{A}}x\|_{p})^{p} = \max_{\|x\|_{p}=1} \|F_{\mathcal{A}}x\|_{p}^{p} \\ &= \max_{\|x\|_{p}=1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \left(\sum_{i_{2},\cdots,i_{m}=1}^{n} a_{ii_{2}\cdots i_{m}} x_{i_{2}} x_{i_{3}} \cdots x_{i_{m}} \right)^{\frac{1}{m-1}} \right|^{p} \\ &\leq \max_{\|x\|_{p}=1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{i_{2},\cdots,i_{m}=1}^{n} |a_{ii_{2}\cdots i_{m}}| \|x_{i_{2}}| \|x_{i_{3}}| \cdots \|x_{i_{m}}| \right)^{\frac{p}{m-1}} \\ &\leq \max_{\|x\|_{p}=1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{i_{2},\cdots,i_{m}=1}^{n} |a_{ii_{2}\cdots i_{m}}| \|x\|_{p}^{m-1} \right)^{\frac{p}{m-1}} \\ &= \max_{\|x\|_{p}=1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{i_{2},\cdots,i_{m}=1}^{n} |a_{ii_{2}\cdots i_{m}}| \right)^{\frac{p}{m-1}} \|x\|_{p}^{p} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{i_{2},\cdots,i_{m}=1}^{n} |a_{ii_{2}\cdots i_{m}}| \right)^{\frac{p}{m-1}}. \end{split}$$

(iv) It follows from the definition of the norm that $||x||_2 \ge \frac{1}{\sqrt[n]{n}} ||x||_p$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{\mathcal{A}}\|_{p}^{p} &= \max_{\|x\|_{\infty}=1} \|T_{\mathcal{A}}x\|_{p}^{p} \\ &= \max_{\|x\|_{p}=1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\| \|x\|_{2}^{-(m-2)} \sum_{i_{2},\cdots,i_{m}=1}^{n} a_{ii_{2}\cdots i_{m}} x_{i_{2}} x_{i_{3}} \cdots x_{i_{m}} \right\|^{p} \\ &\leq \max_{\|x\|_{p}=1} \|x\|_{2}^{-p(m-2)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{i_{2},\cdots,i_{m}=1}^{n} |a_{ii_{2}\cdots i_{m}}| |x_{i_{2}}| |x_{i_{3}}| \cdots |x_{i_{m}}| \right)^{p} \\ &\leq \max_{\|x\|_{p}=1} n^{m-2} \|x\|_{p}^{-p(m-2)} \|x\|_{p}^{p(m-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{i_{2},\cdots,i_{m}=1}^{n} |a_{ii_{2}\cdots i_{m}}| \right)^{p} \\ &= n^{m-2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{i_{2},\cdots,i_{m}=1}^{n} |a_{ii_{2}\cdots i_{m}}| \right)^{p}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

The following conclusion about the solution to $TCP(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{q})$ with P-tensor \mathcal{A} is obtained by Song and Qi [2, 4]

Lemma 2.5. (Song and Qi [2, Corollary 3.3, Theorem 3.4] and [4, Theorem 3.2]) Let $\mathcal{A} \in T_{m,n}$ be a strictly semi-positive tensor. Then the $TCP(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{q})$ has a solution for all $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and has only zero vector solution for $\mathbf{q} \geq \mathbf{0}$.

3 Properties of Semi-positive Tensors

Recently, Song and Yu [6] defined a quantity for a strictly semi-positive tensor \mathcal{A} .

$$\beta(\mathcal{A}) := \min_{\substack{\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}=1\\\mathbf{x}>\mathbf{0}}} \max_{i \in I_n} x_i (\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{m-1})_i.$$
(3.1)

3.1 Monotonicity and boundedness of $\beta(\mathcal{A})$

We now establish the monotonicity and boundedness of the constant $\beta(\mathcal{A})$ for a (strictly) semi-positive tensor. The proof technique is similar to the proof technique of [1, Theorem 4.3] and [38, Theorem 1.2]. For completeness, we give the proof here.

Theorem 3.1. Let $\mathcal{D} = diag(d_1, d_2, \cdots, d_n)$ be a nonnegative diagonal tensor in $T_{m,n}$ and $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 \cdots i_m})$ be a semi-positive tensor in $T_{m,n}$. Then

- (i) $\beta(\mathcal{A}) \leq \beta(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{D});$
- (ii) $\beta(\mathcal{A}) \leq \beta(\mathcal{A}_r^J)$ for all principal sub-tensors \mathcal{A}_r^J ;
- (*iii*) $\beta(\mathcal{A}) \leq n^{\frac{m-2}{2}} \|T_{\mathcal{A}}\|_{\infty};$
- (iv) $\beta(\mathcal{A}) \leq ||F_{\mathcal{A}}||_{\infty}^{m-1}$ when m is even.

Proof. (i) By the definition of semi-positive tensors, clearly $\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{D}$ is a semi-positive tensor. Then $\beta(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{D})$ is well-defined. Then we have

$$\beta(\mathcal{A}) = \min_{\substack{\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}=1\\\mathbf{x}\geq\mathbf{0}}} \max_{i\in I_n} x_i (\mathcal{A}\mathbf{x}^{m-1})_i$$

$$\leq \min_{\substack{\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}=1\\\mathbf{x}\geq\mathbf{0}}} \max_{i\in I_n} \left(x_i (\mathcal{A}\mathbf{x}^{m-1})_i + d_i x_i^m \right)_i$$

$$= \min_{\substack{\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}=1\\\mathbf{x}\geq\mathbf{0}}} \max_{i\in I_n} x_i \left((\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{D})\mathbf{x}^{m-1} \right)_i$$

$$= \beta(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{D}).$$

(ii) Let a principal sub-tensor \mathcal{A}_r^J of \mathcal{A} be given. Then for each nonzero vector $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_r)^\top \in \mathbb{R}_+^r$, we may define $\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{z}) = (y_1(\mathbf{z}), y_2(\mathbf{z}), \dots, y_n(\mathbf{z}))^\top \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ with $y_i(\mathbf{z}) = z_i$ for $i \in J$ and $y_i(\mathbf{z}) = 0$ for $i \notin J$. Thus $\|\mathbf{z}\|_{\infty} = \|\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{z})\|_{\infty}$, and hence,

$$\beta(\mathcal{A}) = \min_{\substack{\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}=1\\\mathbf{x}\geq\mathbf{0}}} \max_{i\in I_n} x_i (\mathcal{A}\mathbf{x}^{m-1})_i$$

$$\leq \min_{\substack{\|\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{z})\|_{\infty}=1\\\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{z})\geq\mathbf{0}}} \max_{i\in I_n} (\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{z}))_i (\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{z}))^{m-1})_i$$

$$= \min_{\substack{\|\mathbf{z}\|_{\infty}=1\\\mathbf{z}\geq\mathbf{0}}} \max_{i\in I_n} z_i (\mathcal{A}_r^J \mathbf{z}^{m-1})_i$$

$$= \beta(\mathcal{A}_r^J).$$

(iii) It follows from Lemma 2.3 that for each nonzero vector $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \cdots, x_n)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$ and each $i \in I_n$,

$$x_i(\mathcal{A}\mathbf{x}^{m-1})_i = x_i(\|\mathbf{x}\|_2^{m-2}T_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{x}^{m-1})_i \le \|\mathbf{x}\|_2^{m-2}\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}\|T_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x})\|_{\infty} \le \|\mathbf{x}\|_2^{m-2}\|T_{\mathcal{A}}\|_{\infty}\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}^2$$

Then using $\|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \leq \sqrt{n} \|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}$, we have

$$\max_{i \in I_n} x_i (\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{m-1})_i \le \|\mathbf{x}\|_2^{m-2} \|T_{\mathcal{A}}\|_{\infty} \|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}^2 \le n^{\frac{m-2}{2}} \|T_{\mathcal{A}}\|_{\infty} \|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}^m.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\beta(\mathcal{A}) = \min_{\substack{\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}=1\\\mathbf{x}>\mathbf{0}}} \max_{i \in I_n} x_i (\mathcal{A}\mathbf{x}^{m-1})_i \le n^{\frac{m-2}{2}} \|T_{\mathcal{A}}\|_{\infty}.$$

(iv) It follows from Lemma 2.3 that for each nonzero vector $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \cdots, x_n)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$ and each $i \in I_n$,

$$x_i(\mathcal{A}\mathbf{x}^{m-1})_i = x_i(F_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{x}^{m-1})_i^{m-1} \le \|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty} \|F_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x})\|_{\infty}^{m-1} \le \|F_{\mathcal{A}}\|_{\infty}^{m-1} \|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}^m,$$

Then we have

$$\max_{i \in I_n} x_i (\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{m-1})_i \le \|F_{\mathcal{A}}\|_{\infty}^{m-1} \|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}^m.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\beta(\mathcal{A}) = \min_{\substack{\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}=1\\\mathbf{x}\geq\mathbf{0}}} \max_{i\in I_n} x_i(\mathcal{A}\mathbf{x}^{m-1})_i \le \|F_{\mathcal{A}}\|_{\infty}^{m-1}.$$

The desired conclusions follow.

3.2 Necessary and sufficient conditions of strictly semi-positive tensor

We now give necessary and sufficient conditions for a tensor $A \in T_{m,n}$ to be a strictly semi-positive tensor, based upon the constant $\beta(\mathcal{A})$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $\mathcal{A} \in T_{m,n}$. Then

- (i) \mathcal{A} is a strictly semi-positive tensor if and only if $\beta(\mathcal{A}) > 0$;
- (ii) $\beta(\mathcal{A}) \geq 0$ if \mathcal{A} is a semi-positive tensor.

Proof. (i) Let \mathcal{A} be strictly semi-positive. Then it follows from the definition of strictly semi-positive tensors that for each $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n)^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$ and $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$, there exists $k \in I_n$ such that

$$x_k > 0 \text{ and } (\mathcal{A}\mathbf{x}^{m-1})_k > 0, \text{ i.e., } x_k (\mathcal{A}\mathbf{x}^{m-1})_k > 0.$$
 (3.2)

So, we have

$$\max_{i\in I_n} x_i (\mathcal{A}\mathbf{x}^{m-1})_i > 0$$

Thus

$$\beta(\mathcal{A}) = \min_{\substack{\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}=1\\\mathbf{x}\geq\mathbf{0}}} \max_{i\in I_n} x_i(\mathcal{A}\mathbf{x}^{m-1})_i > 0.$$

If $\beta(\mathcal{A}) > 0$, then it is obvious that for each $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$ and $\mathbf{y} \neq \mathbf{0}$,

$$\max_{i\in I_n} \left(\frac{\mathbf{y}}{\|\mathbf{y}\|_{\infty}}\right)_i \left(\mathcal{A}\left(\frac{\mathbf{y}}{\|\mathbf{y}\|_{\infty}}\right)^{m-1}\right)_i \ge \beta(\mathcal{A}) > 0.$$

Hence, by $\|\mathbf{y}\|_{\infty} > 0$, we have

$$\max_{i\in I_n} y_i(\mathcal{A}\mathbf{y}^{m-1})_i > 0$$

Thus $y_k(\mathcal{A}\mathbf{y}^{m-1})_k > 0$ for some $k \in I_n$, i.e., \mathcal{A} is a strictly semi-positive tensor.

(ii) The proof is similar to ones of (i), we omit it.

Corollary 3.3. Let $\mathcal{A} \in T_{m,n}$. Then

- (i) $\min_{i \in I_n} a_{ii \cdots i} \ge \beta(\mathcal{A}) > 0$ if \mathcal{A} is a strictly semi-positive tensor;
- (ii) $\min_{i \in I_n} a_{ii \cdots i} \ge \beta(\mathcal{A}) \ge 0$ if \mathcal{A} is a semi-positive tensor.

Proof. (i) It follows from Theorem 3.1 (ii) that

$$\beta(\mathcal{A}) \leq \beta(\mathcal{A}_r^J)$$
 for all $J \subset I_n, r \in I_n$.

Choose $J = \{i\}$ for each $i \in I_n$ and r = 1. Then for all $\mathbf{x} = x_i \in \mathbb{R}^1$, we have

$$\mathcal{A}_r^J \mathbf{x}^{m-1} = a_{ii\cdots i} x_i^{m-1}.$$

Let $\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty} = 1$. Then $\mathbf{x} = 1$ or -1, and hence

$$\beta(\mathcal{A}_r^J) = \min_{\substack{\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}=1\\\mathbf{x}\geq\mathbf{0}}} \max_{i\in J} x_i (\mathcal{A}_r^J \mathbf{x}^{m-1})_i = 1 \times a_{ii\cdots i} \times 1^{m-1} = a_{ii\cdots i}.$$

Since $i \in I_n$ is arbitrary, the desired conclusion follows.

Similarly, (ii) is easy to obtain, we omit it.

Combing the above conclusions and Lemma 2.1, the following are easy to obtain.

Corollary 3.4. Let $\mathcal{A} \in S_{m,n}$. Then

- (i) \mathcal{A} is a strictly copositive tensor if and only if $\beta(\mathcal{A}) > 0$;
- (ii) $\beta(\mathcal{A}) \geq 0$ if \mathcal{A} is a copositive tensor.
- (iii) $\min_{i \in I_n} a_{ii \cdots i} \ge \beta(\mathcal{A}) > 0$ if \mathcal{A} is strictly copositive;
- (iv) $\min_{i \in I_n} a_{ii \cdots i} \ge \beta(\mathcal{A}) \ge 0$ if \mathcal{A} is copositive.

3.3 Eigenvalues of a semi-positive tensor

Theorem 3.5. Let $\mathcal{A} \in T_{m,n}$.

- (i) If \mathcal{A} is a strictly semi-positive tensor, then all H^+ -eigenvalues of \mathcal{A} are positive;
- (ii) If \mathcal{A} is a semi-positive tensor, then all H^+ -eigenvalues of \mathcal{A} are nonnegative;
- (iii) If \mathcal{A} is a strictly semi-positive tensor, then all Z^+ -eigenvalues of \mathcal{A} are positive;
- (iv) If \mathcal{A} is a semi-positive tensor, then all Z^+ -eigenvalues of \mathcal{A} are nonnegative.

Proof. (i) Let \mathcal{A} be a strictly semi-positive tensor. Then it follows from the definition of strictly semi-positive tensors that for each $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n)^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$ and $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$, there exists $k \in I_n$ such that

$$x_k > 0 \text{ and } (\mathcal{A}\mathbf{x}^{m-1})_k > 0.$$
(3.3)

Let λ be an H⁺-eigenvalue of \mathcal{A} . Then there exists a vector $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$ and $\mathbf{y} \neq \mathbf{0}$ such that

$$\left(\mathcal{A}\mathbf{y}^{m-1}\right)_i = \lambda y_i^{m-1} \text{ for all } i \in I_n.$$
(3.4)

Putting $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$ in (3.3), there exists $k \in I_n$ such that

$$y_k > 0 \text{ and } (\mathcal{A}\mathbf{y}^{m-1})_k > 0. \tag{3.5}$$

Combining (3.4) and (3.5), we have

$$0 < \left(\mathcal{A}\mathbf{y}^{m-1}\right)_k = \lambda y_k^{m-1},$$

and so, $\lambda > 0$. Since λ is an arbitrary H^+ -eigenvalue of \mathcal{A} , the desired conclusion follows. Similarly, it is easy to prove (ii), (iii) and (iv).

By Lemma 2.2, the following corollary is easy to be proved.

Corollary 3.6. Let $\mathcal{A} \in T_{m,n}$. Then

- (i) all H⁺-eigenvalues of each principal sub-tensor of A are nonnegative (positive) if A is a (strictly) semi-positive tensor;
- (ii) all Z^+ -eigenvalues of each principal sub-tensor of \mathcal{A} are nonnegative (positive) if \mathcal{A} is a (strictly) semi-positive tensor.

By Lemma 2.1, the following corollary is easy to be proved.

Corollary 3.7. Let $\mathcal{A} \in S_{m,n}$. Then

- (i) all H⁺-eigenvalues of each principal sub-tensor of A are nonnegative (positive) if A is a (strictly) copositive tensor;
- (ii) all Z^+ -eigenvalues of each principal sub-tensor of \mathcal{A} are nonnegative (positive) if \mathcal{A} is a (strictly) copositive tensor.

3.4 Upper bounds of $\beta(\mathcal{A})$

The quantity $\beta(\mathcal{A})$ is in general not easy to compute. However, it is easy to derive some upper bounds for them when \mathcal{A} is a strictly semi-positive tensor. Next we will establish some smaller upper bounds. For this purpose, we introduce two quantities about a strictly semi-positive tensor \mathcal{A} :

$$\delta_{H^+}(\mathcal{A}) := \min\{\lambda_{H^+}(\mathcal{A}_r^J); J \subset I_n, r \in I_n\},\tag{3.6}$$

where $\lambda_{H^+}(\mathcal{A})$ denotes the smallest of H^+ -eigenvalues (if any exists) of a strictly semipositive tensor \mathcal{A} ;

$$\delta_{Z^+}(\mathcal{A}) := \min\{\lambda_{Z^+}(\mathcal{A}_r^J); J \subset I_n, r \in I_n\},\tag{3.7}$$

where $\lambda_{Z^+}(\mathcal{A})$ denotes the smallest Z^+ -eigenvalue (if any exists) of a strictly semi-positive tensor \mathcal{A} . The above two minimums range over those principal sub-tensors of \mathcal{A} which indeed have H^+ -eigenvalues (Z^+ -eigenvalues).

It follows from Lemma 2.2 (or Corollary 3.3) and Corollary 3.6 that all principal diagonal entries of \mathcal{A} are positive and all $H^+(Z^+)$ -eigenvalues of each principal sub-tensor of \mathcal{A} are positive when m is even. So $\delta_{H^+}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\delta_{Z^+}(\mathcal{A})$ are well defined, finite and positive. Now we give some upper bounds of $\beta(\mathcal{A})$ using the quantities $\delta_{H^+}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\delta_{Z^+}(\mathcal{A})$.

Proposition 3.8. Let $\mathcal{A} \in T_{m,n}$ $(m \geq 2)$ be a strictly semi-positive tensor. Then

$$\delta_{H^+}(\mathcal{A}) \le \min_{i \in I_n} a_{ii \cdots i} \text{ and } \delta_{Z^+}(\mathcal{A}) \le \min_{i \in I_n} a_{ii \cdots i}.$$
(3.8)

Proof. It follows from Lemma 2.2 (or Corollary 3.3) that $a_{ii\cdots i} > 0$ for all $i \in I_n$. Since $\mathcal{A}_1^J = (a_{ii\cdots i}) \ (J = \{i\})$ is *m*-order 1-dimensional principal sub-tensor of \mathcal{A} , $a_{ii\cdots i}$ is a H⁺-eigenvalue of \mathcal{A}_1^J for all $i \in I_n$, and hence

$$\delta_{H^+}(\mathcal{A}) \le \min_{i \in I_n} a_{ii \cdots i}.$$

Similarly, it is easy to prove the other inequality.

Theorem 3.9. Let $\mathcal{A} \in T_{m,n}$ $(m \geq 2)$ be a strictly semi-positive tensor. Then

- (i) $\beta(\mathcal{A}) \leq \delta_{H^+}(\mathcal{A});$
- (ii) $\beta(\mathcal{A}) \leq n^{\frac{m-2}{2}} \delta_{Z^+}(\mathcal{A})$ if m is even.

Proof. (i) Let $\delta = \delta_{H^+}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A} - \delta \mathcal{I}$, where \mathcal{I} is unit tensor. Then it follows from the definition of $\delta_{H^+}(\mathcal{A})$ that δ is an H^+ -eigenvalue of a principal sub-tensor \mathcal{A}_r^J of \mathcal{A} . Then there exists $\mathbf{x}_J \in \mathbb{R}^r_+ \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ such that

$$\left(\mathcal{A}_{r}^{J}-\delta\mathcal{I}_{r}^{J}\right)(\mathbf{x}_{J})^{m-1}=\mathcal{A}_{r}^{J}(\mathbf{x}_{J})^{m-1}-\delta(\mathbf{x}_{J})^{[m-1]}=\mathbf{0}$$

So the principal sub-tensor $\mathcal{B}_r^J = \mathcal{A}_r^J - \delta \mathcal{I}_r^J$ of \mathcal{B} is not a strictly semi-positive tensor. Thus it follows from Lemma 2.2 that $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A} - \delta \mathcal{I}$ is not strictly semi-positive. From Theorem 3.2 (i), it follows that $\beta(\mathcal{B}) \leq 0$, and hence, by the definition of $\beta(\mathcal{B})$, there exists a vector \mathbf{y} with $\|\mathbf{y}\|_{\infty} = 1$ such that

$$\max_{i \in I_n} y_i (\mathcal{B} \mathbf{y}^{m-1})_i = \max_{i \in I_n} \left(y_i (\mathcal{A} \mathbf{y}^{m-1})_i - \delta y_i^m \right) \le 0.$$

So, we have

$$y_k(\mathcal{A}\mathbf{y}^{m-1})_k - \delta y_k^m \le \max_{i \in I_n} \left(y_i(\mathcal{A}\mathbf{y}^{m-1})_i - \delta y_i^m \right) \le 0 \text{ for all } k \in I_n,$$

and so, $y_k(\mathcal{A}\mathbf{y}^{m-1})_k \leq \delta y_k^m$ for all $k \in I_n$. Thus,

$$\max_{i \in I_n} y_i (\mathcal{A} \mathbf{y}^{m-1})_i \le \delta \max_{i \in I_n} y_i^m \le \delta \| \mathbf{y} \|_{\infty}^m = \delta.$$

This implies that $\beta(\mathcal{A}) \leq \delta_{H^+}(\mathcal{A})$.

(ii) Let $\gamma = \delta_{Z^+}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A} - \gamma \mathcal{E}$, where $\mathcal{E} = I_2^{\frac{m}{2}}$ and I_2 is $n \times n$ unit matrix $(\mathcal{E}\mathbf{x}^{m-1} = \|\mathbf{x}\|_2^{m-2}\mathbf{x}$, see Chang, Pearson, Zhang [39]). Then γ is a Z⁺-eigenvalue of a principal sub-tensor \mathcal{A}_r^J of \mathcal{A} . Then there exists $\mathbf{z}_J \in \mathbb{R}_+^r \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ such that $(\mathbf{z}_J)^\top \mathbf{z}_J = 1$ and

$$\left(\mathcal{A}_{r}^{J}-\gamma\mathcal{E}_{r}^{J}\right)(\mathbf{z}_{J})^{m-1}=\mathcal{A}_{r}^{J}(\mathbf{z}_{J})^{m-1}-\gamma\mathbf{z}_{J}=\mathbf{0}$$

So the principal sub-tensor $\mathcal{B}_r^J = \mathcal{A}_r^J - \gamma \mathcal{E}_r^J$ of \mathcal{B} is not strictly semi-positive. Thus it follows from Lemma 2.2 that $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A} - \gamma \mathcal{E}$ is not strictly semi-positive. From Theorem 3.2 (i), it follows that $\beta(\mathcal{B}) \leq 0$. So, there exists a vector \mathbf{y} with $\|\mathbf{y}\|_{\infty} = 1$ such that

$$\max_{i \in I_n} y_i (\mathcal{B} \mathbf{y}^{m-1})_i = \max_{i \in I_n} \left(y_i (\mathcal{A} \mathbf{y}^{m-1})_i - \gamma \| \mathbf{y} \|_2^{m-2} y_i^2 \right) \le 0.$$

Thus, using the similar proof technique of (i) and $\|\mathbf{y}\|_2 \leq \sqrt{n} \|\mathbf{y}\|_{\infty}$, we have

$$\max_{i \in I_n} y_i (\mathcal{A} \mathbf{y}^{m-1})_i \le \gamma \|\mathbf{y}\|_2^{m-2} \|\mathbf{y}\|_{\infty}^2 = n^{\frac{m-2}{2}} \gamma \|\mathbf{y}\|_{\infty}^m = n^{\frac{m-2}{2}} \gamma$$

The desired inequality follows.

From Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 3.9, the following corollary follows.

Corollary 3.10. Let $\mathcal{A} \in S_{m,n}$ $(m \geq 2)$ be strictly copositive. Then

- (i) $\beta(\mathcal{A}) \leq \delta_{H^+}(\mathcal{A}) \leq \min_{i \in I_n} a_{ii \cdots i};$
- (ii) $\beta(\mathcal{A}) \leq n^{\frac{m-2}{2}} \delta_{Z^+}(\mathcal{A})$ if m is even.

Question 1. It is known from Theorem 3.9 that for a strictly semi-positive tensor \mathcal{A} ,

$$\min_{i \in I_n} a_{ii \cdots i} \ge \delta_{H^+}(\mathcal{A}) \ge \beta(\mathcal{A}) > 0.$$

Then we have the following questions for further research.

- (i) Does the constant $\beta(\mathcal{A})$ have a strictly positive lower bound?
- (ii) Are the above upper bounds the best?

3.5 Boundedness of solution set of $TCP(\mathcal{A}, q)$

Song and Qi [13] introduced the concept of Pareto H(Z)-eigenvalues and used it to portray the (strictly) copositive tensor. The number and computation of Pareto H(Z)-eigenvalue see Ling, He and Qi [14, 15], Chen, Yang and Ye [16].

Definition 3.1. Let $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 \cdots i_m}) \in T_{m,n}$. A real number μ is said to be

(i) a Pareto H-eigenvalue of \mathcal{A} iff there is a non-zero vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying

$$\mathcal{A}\mathbf{x}^{m} = \mu \mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{x}^{[m-1]}, \ \mathcal{A}\mathbf{x}^{m-1} - \mu \mathbf{x}^{[m-1]} \ge 0, \ \mathbf{x} \ge 0,$$
(3.9)

where $\mathbf{x}^{[m-1]} = (x_1^{m-1}, x_2^{m-1}, \cdots, x_n^{m-1})^{\top}.$

(ii) a Pareto Z-eigenvalue of \mathcal{A} iff there is a non-zero vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying

$$\mathcal{A}\mathbf{x}^{m} = \mu(\mathbf{x}^{\top}\mathbf{x})^{\frac{m}{2}}, \ \mathcal{A}\mathbf{x}^{m-1} - \mu(\mathbf{x}^{\top}\mathbf{x})^{\frac{m}{2}-1}\mathbf{x} \ge 0, \ \mathbf{x} \ge 0.$$
(3.10)

Let

$$\lambda(\mathcal{A}) = \min\{\lambda; \lambda \text{ is Pareto H-eigenvalue of } \mathcal{A}\}$$

and

$$\mu(\mathcal{A}) = \min\{\mu; \mu \text{ is Pareto Z-eigenvalue of } \mathcal{A}\}.$$

Song and Yu [6] obtained the following upper bounds of solution set of $TCP(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{q})$.

Lemma 3.1. (Song and Yu [6, Theorems 3.3,3.4,3.5]) Let $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 \cdots i_m}) \in T_{m,n}$ be strictly semi-positive and let \mathbf{x} be a solution of the TCP(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{q}). Then

(i) $\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}^{m-1} \leq \frac{\|(-\mathbf{q})_+\|_{\infty}}{\beta(\mathcal{A})};$ (ii) $\|\mathbf{x}\|_2^{m-1} \leq \frac{\|(-\mathbf{q})_+\|_2}{\mu(\mathcal{A})}$ if \mathcal{A} is symmetric; (iii) $\|\mathbf{x}\|_m^{m-1} \leq \frac{\|(-\mathbf{q})_+\|_{\frac{m}{m-1}}}{\lambda(\mathcal{A})}$ if \mathcal{A} is symmetric,

where $\mathbf{x}_{+} = (\max\{x_1, 0\}, \max\{x_2, 0\}, \cdots, \max\{x_n, 0\})^{\top}$.

Now we present lower bounds of the solution set of $TCP(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{q})$ when $\mathcal{A} \in T_{m,n}$ is strictly semi-positive.

Theorem 3.11. Let $\mathcal{A} \in T_{m,n}$ $(m \geq 2)$ be strictly semi-positive, and let \mathbf{x} be a solution of $TCP(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{q})$. Then

- (i) $\frac{\|(-\mathbf{q})_+\|_{\infty}}{n^{\frac{m-2}{2}}\|T_{\mathcal{A}}\|_{\infty}} \le \|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}^{m-1};$
- (*ii*) $\frac{\|(-\mathbf{q})_+\|_{\infty}}{\|F_{\mathcal{A}}\|_{\infty}^{m-1}} \le \|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}^{m-1}$ if *m* is even;
- (*iii*) $\frac{\|(-\mathbf{q})_+\|_2}{\|T_A\|_2} \le \|\mathbf{x}\|_2^{m-1};$
- (iv) $\frac{\|(-\mathbf{q})_+\|_m}{\|F_A\|_m^{m-1}} \le \|\mathbf{x}\|_m^{m-1}$ if *m* is even.

Proof. For $\mathbf{q} \geq \mathbf{0}$, it follows from Lemma 2.5 that $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$. Since $\|(-\mathbf{q})_+\| = 0$, the conclusion holds obviously. Therefore, we may assume that $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$, or equivalently, that \mathbf{q} is not nonnegative. Since \mathbf{x} is a solution of $\text{TCP}(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{q})$, we have

$$\mathbf{x} \ge \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{q} + \mathcal{A}\mathbf{x}^{m-1} \ge \mathbf{0}, \text{ and } \mathbf{x}^{\top}(\mathbf{q} + \mathcal{A}\mathbf{x}^{m-1}) = 0,$$
 (3.11)

and hence,

$$(\mathcal{A}\mathbf{x}^{m-1})_i \ge (-\mathbf{q})_i \text{ for all } i \in I_n.$$

In particular,

$$|(\mathcal{A}\mathbf{x}^{m-1})_i| \ge ((\mathcal{A}\mathbf{x}^{m-1})_+)_i \ge ((-\mathbf{q})_+)_i \text{ for all } i \in I_n.$$

Thus,

$$\|\mathcal{A}\mathbf{x}^{m-1}\|_{\infty} \ge \|(-\mathbf{q})_{+}\|_{\infty}.$$
(3.12)

By the above inequality together with Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(-\mathbf{q})_{+}\|_{\infty} &\leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_{2}^{m-2} \|\|\mathbf{x}\|_{2}^{2-m} \mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{m-1}\|_{\infty} \\ &= \|\mathbf{x}\|_{2}^{m-2} \|T_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x})\|_{\infty} \\ &\leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_{2}^{m-2} \|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty} \|T_{\mathcal{A}}\|_{\infty} \\ &\leq n^{\frac{m-2}{2}} \|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}^{m-1} \|T_{\mathcal{A}}\|_{\infty} \text{ (use } \|\mathbf{x}\|_{2} \leq \sqrt{n} \|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}) \end{aligned}$$

This prove (i). Next we show (ii). Similarly, using Lemma 2.3 and (3.12), we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(-\mathbf{q})_{+}\|_{\infty} &\leq \|(\mathcal{A}\mathbf{x}^{m-1})^{\left[\frac{1}{m-1}\right]}\|_{\infty}^{m-1} \\ &= \|F_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x})\|_{\infty}^{m-1} \\ &\leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}^{m-1}\|F_{\mathcal{A}}\|_{\infty}^{m-1}. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) It follows from (3.12) and Lemma 2.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(-\mathbf{q})_{+}\|_{2} &\leq \|\mathcal{A}\mathbf{x}^{m-1}\|_{2} = \|\mathbf{x}\|_{2}^{m-2} \|\|\mathbf{x}\|_{2}^{2-m} \mathcal{A}\mathbf{x}^{m-1}\|_{2} \\ &= \|\mathbf{x}\|_{2}^{m-2} \|T_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x})\|_{2} \\ &\leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_{2}^{m-2} \|\mathbf{x}\|_{2} \|T_{\mathcal{A}}\|_{2} \\ &\leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_{2}^{m-1} \|T_{\mathcal{A}}\|_{2}. \end{aligned}$$

So,(iii) is proved. Now we show (iv). It follows from (3.12) and Lemma 2.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(-\mathbf{q})_{+}\|_{m} &\leq \|\mathcal{A}\mathbf{x}^{m-1}\|_{m} \leq \|\mathcal{A}\mathbf{x}^{m-1}\|_{\frac{m}{m-1}} = \|(\mathcal{A}\mathbf{x}^{m-1})^{[\frac{1}{m-1}]}\|_{m}^{m-1} \\ &= \|F_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x})\|_{m}^{m-1} \\ &\leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_{m}^{m-1}\|F_{\mathcal{A}}\|_{m}^{m-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The desired inequality follows.

Combining Lemmas 2.3 and 3.1 with Theorem 3.11, the following theorems are easily proved.

Theorem 3.12. Let $\mathcal{A} \in T_{m,n}$ $(m \ge 2)$ be strictly semi-positive, and let \mathbf{x} be a solution of $TCP(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{q})$. Then

$$\frac{\|(-\mathbf{q})_{+}\|_{\infty}}{n^{\frac{m-2}{2}} \max_{i \in I_{n}} \left(\sum_{i_{2}, \cdots, i_{m}=1}^{n} |a_{ii_{2}\cdots i_{m}}|\right)} \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}^{m-1} \leq \frac{\|(-\mathbf{q})_{+}\|_{\infty}}{\beta(\mathcal{A})}.$$
(3.13)

Theorem 3.13. Let $\mathcal{A} \in T_{m,n}$ $(m \geq 2)$ be strictly semi-positive, and let \mathbf{x} be a solution of $TCP(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{q})$. If m is even, then

$$\frac{\|(-\mathbf{q})_{+}\|_{\infty}}{\max_{i\in I_{n}}\left(\sum_{i_{2},\cdots,i_{m}=1}^{n}|a_{ii_{2}\cdots i_{m}}|\right)} \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}^{m-1} \leq \frac{\|(-\mathbf{q})_{+}\|_{\infty}}{\beta(\mathcal{A})}.$$
(3.14)

Combining Lemmas 2.4 and 3.1 with Theorem 3.11, the following theorems are easily proved.

Theorem 3.14. Let $\mathcal{A} \in T_{m,n}$ $(m \ge 2)$ be strictly semi-positive, and let \mathbf{x} be a solution of $TCP(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{q})$. if \mathcal{A} is symmetric, then

$$\frac{\|(-\mathbf{q})_{+}\|_{2}}{n^{\frac{m-2}{2}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{i_{2},\cdots,i_{m}=1}^{n} |a_{ii_{2}\cdots i_{m}}|\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_{2}^{m-1} \leq \frac{\|(-\mathbf{q})_{+}\|_{2}}{\mu(\mathcal{A})}$$
(3.15)

Theorem 3.15. Let $\mathcal{A} \in T_{m,n}$ $(m \geq 2)$ be strictly semi-positive, and let \mathbf{x} be a solution of $TCP(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{q})$. If \mathcal{A} is symmetric and m is even, then

$$\frac{\|(-\mathbf{q})_{+}\|_{m}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{i_{2},\cdots,i_{m}=1}^{n} |a_{ii_{2}\cdots i_{m}}|\right)^{\frac{m}{m-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}} \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_{m}^{m-1} \leq \frac{\|(-\mathbf{q})_{+}\|_{\frac{m}{m-1}}}{\lambda(\mathcal{A})}.$$
(3.16)

When m = 2, both $\lambda(A)$ and $\mu(A)$ are the smallest Pareto eigenvalue of a matrix A, denote by $\lambda(A)$. For more details on Pareto eigenvalue of a matrix, see Seeger [40], Seeger, Torki[41] and Hiriart-Urruty, Seeger [42]. Then the following conclusions are easy to obtain.

Corollary 3.16. Let A be a strictly semi-monotone $n \times n$ matrix, and let \mathbf{x} be a solution of $LCP(A, \mathbf{q})$. Then

(i)
$$\frac{\|(-\mathbf{q})_+\|_{\infty}}{\max_{i \in I_n} \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}|} \le \|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty} \le \frac{\|(-\mathbf{q})_+\|_{\infty}}{\beta(A)};$$

(ii) $\frac{\|(-\mathbf{q})_+\|_2}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}|\right)^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \le \|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \le \frac{\|(-\mathbf{q})_+\|_2}{\lambda(A)}$ if A is symmetric.

From Lemma 2.1 and Theorems 3.12, 3.13, 3.14, 3.15, the following corollary follows.

Corollary 3.17. Let $\mathcal{A} \in S_{m,n}$ $(m \geq 2)$ be strictly copositive, and let \mathbf{x} be a solution to $TCP(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{q})$. Then

$$\begin{array}{l} (i) \quad \frac{\|(-\mathbf{q})_{+}\|_{\infty}}{n^{\frac{m-2}{2}} \max_{i \in I_{n}} \left(\sum_{i_{2}, \cdots, i_{m}=1}^{n} |a_{ii_{2}}\cdots i_{m}|\right)} \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}^{m-1} \leq \frac{\|(-\mathbf{q})_{+}\|_{\infty}}{\beta(\mathcal{A})}; \\ (ii) \quad \frac{\|(-\mathbf{q})_{+}\|_{\infty}}{\max\left(\sum_{i_{2}, \cdots, i_{m}=1}^{n} |a_{ii_{2}}\cdots i_{m}|\right)} \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}^{m-1} \leq \frac{\|(-\mathbf{q})_{+}\|_{\infty}}{\beta(\mathcal{A})} \quad if \ m \ is \ even; \\ (iii) \quad \frac{\|(-\mathbf{q})_{+}\|_{2}}{n^{\frac{m-2}{2}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{i_{2}, \cdots, i_{m}=1}^{n} |a_{ii_{2}}\cdots i_{m}|\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_{2}^{m-1} \leq \frac{\|(-\mathbf{q})_{+}\|_{2}}{\mu(\mathcal{A})}; \\ (iv) \quad \frac{\|(-\mathbf{q})_{+}\|_{m}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{i_{2}, \cdots, i_{m}=1}^{n} |a_{ii_{2}}\cdots i_{m}|\right)^{\frac{m}{m-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}} \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_{m}^{m-1} \leq \frac{\|(-\mathbf{q})_{+}\|_{\frac{m}{m-1}}}{\lambda(\mathcal{A})} \quad if \ m \ is \ even; \end{array}$$

Question 2. We obtain the upper and lower bounds of solution set for tensor complementarity problem (TCP) with strictly semi-positive tensors (Theorems 3.12, 3.13, 3.14, 3.15).

- Are the upper and lower bounds best?
- May the symmetry be removed in Theorems 3.14 and 3.15?
- How to design an effective algorithm to compute the bounds?

4 Conclusions

In this paper, we discuss some nice properties of strictly semi-positive tensors. The quantity $\beta(\mathcal{A})$ closely adheres to strictly semi-positive tensors and play an important role in the error bound analysis of TCP(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{q}). More specifically, the following conclusions are proved.

- The monotonicity and boundedness of $\beta(\mathcal{A})$ are established;
- A tensor \mathcal{A} is strictly semi-positive if and only if $\beta(\mathcal{A}) > 0$;
- Each $H^+(Z^+)$ -eigenvalue of a strictly semi-positive tensor is strictly positive;
- We introduce two quantities $\delta_{H^+}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\delta_{Z^+}(\mathcal{A})$, and show they closely adhere to the upper bounds of the constant $\beta(\mathcal{A})$;
- The boundedness of solution set are presented for $TCP(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{q})$ with a strictly semipositive tensor \mathcal{A} .

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank Editor, the anonymous referees for their valuable suggestions which helped us to improve this manuscript. Our work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of P.R. China (Grant No. 11571095, 11601134) and by the Hong Kong Research Grant Council (Grant No. PolyU 502111, 501212, 501913 and 15302114). This work was partially done when the first author was visiting The Hong Kong Polytechnic University.

References

- Song, Y., Qi, L.: Properties of some classes of structured tensors. J Optim Theory Appl. 165, 854-873 (2015)
- 2. Song, Y., Qi, L.: Properties of tensor complementarity problem and some classes of structured tensors. arXiv:1412.0113v1 (2014)
- Huang, Z., Qi, L.: Formulating an n-person noncooperative game as a tensor complementarity problem, (2016). doi:10.1007/s10589-016-9872-7
- 4. Song, Y., Qi, L.: Tensor complementarity problem and semi-positive tensors. J Optim Theory Appl. 169(3) 1069-1078 (2016)
- Che, M., Qi, L., Wei, Y.: Positive definite tensors to nonlinear complementarity problems. J Optim Theory Appl. 168 475-487 (2016)
- Song, Y., Yu, G.: Properties of solution set of tensor complementarity problem. J Optim Theory Appl. 170(1), 85–96 (2016)
- 7. Luo, Z., Qi, L., Xiu, X.: The sparsest solutions to Z-tensor complementarity problems. Optimization Letters, DOI 10.1007/s11590-016-1013-9 (2015) arXiv: 1505.00993 (2015)
- 8. Gowda, M. S., Luo, Z., Qi,L., Xiu, N.: Z-tensors and complementarity problems. arXiv: 1510.07933, October 2015
- Ding, W., Luo, Z., Qi, L.: P-Tensors, P₀-Tensors, and tensor complementarity problem. July 2015 arXiv:1507.06731
- Bai, X., Huang, Z., Wang, Y.: Global uniqueness and solvability for tensor complementarity problems. J Optim Theory Appl. 170(1), 72–84 (2016)
- 11. Wang, Y., Huang, Z., Bai, X.: Exceptionally regular tensors and tensor complementarity problems. Optimization Methods and Software. 31(4), 815-828 (2016)

- 12. Huang, Z., Suo, S., Wang, J.: On Q-tensors. September 2015 arXiv:1509.03088
- Song, Y., Qi, L.: Eigenvalue analysis of constrained minimization problem for homogeneous polynomial. J. Global Optim. 64(3), 563-575 (2016)
- 14. Ling, C., He, H., Qi, L.: On the cone eigenvalue complementarity problem for higherorder tensors, Compu. Optim. Appl. 63, 143-168 (2016)
- Ling, C., He, H., Qi, L.: Higher-degree eigenvalue complementarity problems for tensors. Compu. Optim. Appl. 64(1), 149–176 (2016)
- 16. Chen, Z., Yang, Q., Ye, L.: Generalized eigenvalue complementarity problem for tensors. to appear in: Pacific Journal of Optimization arXiv:1505.02494 (2015)
- 17. Mathias, R., Pang, J.S.: Error bounds for the linear complementarity problem with a P-matrix. Linear Algebra Appl. 132, 123-136 (1990)
- Luo, Z.Q., Mangasarian, O. L., Ren, J., Solodov, M. V.: New error bounds for the linear complementarity problem. Mathematics of Operations Research, 19(4), 880-892 (1994)
- Chen, X.J., Xiang, S.H.: Perturbation bounds of P-matrix linear complementarity problems. SIAM J. OPTIM. 18(4), 1250-1265 (2007)
- 20. Chen, X.J., Xiang, S.H.: Computation of error bounds for P-matrix linear complementarity problems. Math. Program. Ser. A 106, 513-525 (2006)
- 21. Chen, T.T., Li, W., Wu, X.P., Vong, S.: Error bounds for linear complementarity problems of MB-matrices. Numer Algor. 70(2), 341–356 (2015)
- 22. Dai, P.F.: Error bounds for linear complementarity problems of DB-matrices. Linear Algebra Appl. 434, 830-840 (2011)
- Dai, P.F., Li, Y.T., Lu, C.J.: Error bounds for linear complementarity problems for SB-matrices. Numer. Algor. 61, 121-139 (2012)
- 24. Dai, P.F., Li, Y.T., Lu, C.J.: New error bounds for linear complementarity problem with an SB-matrices. Numer. Algor. 64, 741-757 (2013)
- García-Esnaola, M., Peña, J.M.: Error bounds for linear complementarity problems for B-matrices. Appl. Math. Lett. 22, 1071-1075 (2009)
- García-Esnaola, M., Peña, J.M.: Error bounds for linear complementarity problems involving BS-matrices. Appl. Math. Lett. 25, 1379-1383 (2012)

- 27. García-Esnaola, M., Peña, J.M.: A comparison of error bounds for linear complementarity problems of H-matrices. Linear Algebra Appl. 433, 956-964 (2010)
- 28. Li, W., Zheng, H.: Some new error bounds for linear complementarity problems of H-matrices. Numer. Algor. 67(2), 257-269 (2014)
- 29. Sun, H., Wang, Y.J.: Further discussion on the error bound for generalized linear complementarity problem over a polyhedral cone. J Optim Theory Appl. 159, 93-107 (2013)
- Wang, Z.Y., Yuan, Y.X.: Componentwise error bounds for linear complementarity problems. IMA Journal of Numerical Analysis 31, 348-357 (2011)
- 31. Qi, L.: Symmetric nonegative tensors and copositive tensors. Linear Algebra Appl. **439**, 228-238 (2013)
- Song, Y., Qi, L.: Necessary and sufficient conditions of copositive tensors, Linear and Multilinear Algebra. 63(1), 120-131 (2015)
- Qi, L.: Eigenvalues of a real supersymmetric tensor. J. Symbolic Comput. 40, 1302-1324 (2005)
- 34. Qi, L.: Rank and eigenvalues of a supersymmetric tensor, the multivariate homogeneous polynomial and the algebraic hypersurface it defines. J. Symbolic Comput. 41, 1309-1327 (2006)
- 35. Lim, L.H.: Singular values and eigenvalues of tensors: A variational approach. in: Proc. 1st IEEE International workshop on computational advances of multi-tensor adaptive processing, Dec. 13-15, 129-132 (2005)
- Qi, L.: H⁺-eigenvalues of Laplacian and signless Laplacian tensors, Communications in Mathematical Sciences, 12, 1045-1064 (2014)
- 37. Song, Y., Qi, L.: Spectral properties of positively homogeneous operators induced by higher order tensors. SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 34, 1581-1595 (2013)
- Xiu, N., Zhang, J.: A characteristic quantity of P-matrices. Appl. Math. Lett. 15, 41-46 (2002)
- Chang, K.C., Pearson, K., Zhang, T.: On eigenvalue problems of real symmetric tensors.
 J. Math. Anal. Appl. 350, 416-422 (2009)
- 40. Seeger, A.: Eigenvalue analysis of equilibrium processes defined by linear complementarity conditions. Linear Algebra Appl. 292, 1-14 (1999)

- 41. Seeger, A., Torki, M.: On eigenvalues induced by a cone constraint. Linear Algebra Appl. 372(1), 181-206 (2003)
- 42. Hiriart-Urruty, J.B., Seeger, A.: A variational approach to copositive matrices. SIAM Review, 52(4), 593-629 (2010)