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#### Abstract

Let $m$ be a positive integer larger than 1 , let $w$ be a finite word over $\{0,1, \ldots, m-1\}$ and let $a_{m ; w}(n)$ be the number of occurrences of the word $w$ in the $m$-expansion of $n \bmod p$ for any non-negative integer $n$. In this article, we first give a fast algorithm to generate all sequences of the form $\left(a_{m ; w}(n)\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$; then, under the hypothesis that $m$ is a prime, we prove that all these sequences are $m$-uniformly but not purely morphic, except for $w=1,2, \ldots, m-1$; finally, under the same assumption of $m$ as before, we prove that the power series $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{m ; w}(n) t^{n}$ is algebraic of degree $m$ over $\mathbb{F}_{m}(t)$.


## 1 Introduction, definitions and notation

Given a positive integer $m$ larger than 1 and a finite word $w$ over $\{0,1,2, \ldots, m-1\}$, the block-counting sequence $\left(e_{m ; w}(n)\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ counts the number of occurrences of the word $w$ in the $m$-expansion of $n$ for each non-negative integer $n$. Let us define $\left(a_{m ; w}(n)\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ to be a sequence over $\{0,1,2, \ldots, m-1\}$ such that $a_{m ; w}(n) \equiv$ $e_{m ; w}(n) \bmod (m)$ for all non-negative integer $n$. The analytical as well as the combinatorial properties of these sequences have been studied since 1900's after Thue and some well-known sequences are strongly related to this notion. Recall that the 0,1-Thue-Morse sequence can be defined as $\left(a_{2 ; 1}(n)\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ (see, for example, Page 15 in (4) and the 0, 1-Rudin-Shapiro sequence can also be defined as $\left(a_{2 ; 11}(n)\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ (see, for example, Example 3.3.1 in [4]). In this article, we review some common properties of usual block-counting sequences and generalize them to all block-counting sequences.

To be able to announce our results, here we recall some definitions and notation. Let $A$ be a finite set. It will be called an alphabet and its elements will be called letters. Let $A^{*}$ denote the free monoid generated by $A$ under concatenations and let $A^{\mathbf{N}}$ denote the set of infinite concatenations of elements in $A$. Let $A^{\infty}=A^{*} \cup A^{\mathbf{N}}$. A finite word over the alphabet $A$ is an element in $A^{*}$ and an infinite word over $A$ is an element in $A^{\mathbf{N}}$. Particularly, the empty word is an element in $A^{*}$ and it is denoted by $\epsilon$. The length of a word $w$, denoted by $|w|$, is the number of letters that it contains. The length of the empty word is 0 and the length of any infinite word is infinite. For any non-empty word $w \in A^{\infty}$, it
can be denoted by $w[0] w[1] w[2] \ldots$, where $w[i]$ are elements in $A$. A word $x$ is called a factor of $w$ if there exist two integers $0 \leq i \leq j \leq|w|-1$ such that $x=w[i] w[i+1] \ldots w[j]$, this factor can also be denoted by $w[i . . j]$. A factor $x$ is called a prefix (resp. a suffix ) of the word $w$ if there exists a positive integer $i$ such that $0 \leq i \leq|w|$ and $x=w[0 . . i]$ (resp. $x=w[i . .|w|-1]$ ). For any finite word $w$ and any positive integer $n$, let $w^{n}$ denote the concatenation of $n$ copies of $w$, i.e. $w^{n}=w w \ldots w n$ times. Particularly, $w^{0}=\epsilon$. For any pair of words $w, v$ such that $v$ is a factor of $w$, let $|w|_{v}$ denote the number of occurrences of $v$ in $w$.

Let $A$ and $B$ be two alphabets, a morphism $\phi$ from $A$ to $B$ is a map from $A^{\infty}$ to $B^{\infty}$ satisfying $\phi(x y)=\phi(x) \phi(y)$ for any pair of elements $x, y$ in $A^{\infty}$. The morphism $\phi$ is called $k$-uniform if for all elements $a \in A,|\phi(a)|=k$ and it is called non-uniform otherwise. A morphism $\phi$ is called a coding function if it is 1-uniform and it is called non-erasing if $\phi(a) \neq \epsilon$ for all $a \in A$.

Let $A$ be a finite alphabet and let $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ be an infinite sequence over $A$, it is called morphic if there exists an alphabet $B$, an infinite sequence $\left(b_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ over $B$, a non-erasing morphism $\phi$ from $B^{\infty}$ to $B^{\infty}$ and a coding function $\psi$ from $B^{\infty}$ to $A^{\infty}$, such that $\left(b_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is a fixed point of $\phi$ and $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}=\psi\left(\left(b_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}\right)$. Moreover, the sequence $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is called uniformly morphic if $\phi$ is $k$-uniform for some integer $k$, and it is called non-uniformly morphic otherwise. The sequence $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is called purely morphic if $A=B$ and $\psi=I d$.

For any positive integer $m$, let $\llbracket m \rrbracket=\{0,1,2, \ldots, m-1\}$. For any $t \in \llbracket m \rrbracket$ let $t^{+} \equiv t+1 \bmod m$; for any $w \in \llbracket m \rrbracket^{*}$, let $w^{+}=w[0]^{+} w[1]^{+} \ldots w[|w|-1]^{+}$.

In Section2 we give a fast algorithm to generate all block-counting sequences. It is well-known that the Thue-Morse sequence can be generated by the following algorithm (see, for example, [12, A008277]):

Example 1 Let $\left(w_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ be a sequence of words over the $\llbracket 2 \rrbracket^{*}$ such that $w_{0}=0$ and that $w_{i+1}=w_{i} w_{i}^{+}$for all $i$, then the Thue-Morse sequence $\left(a_{2 ; 1}(n)\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ satisfies $\left(a_{2 ; 1}(n)\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}=\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} w_{i}$.

In Section2, we prove that the Rudin-Shapiro sequence can also be generalized by a similar algorithm, see 4 More generally, we find fast algorithms to generate all block-counting sequences. These algorithms are given by 3 and 5 in Section 2 ,

From the definitions recalled as above, any morphic word can be classified as either a uniformly morphic word or a non-uniformly morphic word. However, from a recent article [5], Allouche and Shallit proved that all uniformly morphic sequences are also non-uniformly morphic. This result implies that all sequences in the family of morphic sequences are also in its subfamily of non-uniformly morphic sequences. Indeed, many works can be found in the literature in the direction of characterizing all those non-uniformly morphic sequences which are not uniformly morphic, for example, one can find [2 [13] 7, 1] 8] 9] 6. However, in [5], it is proved actually that all uniformly morphic sequences are also nonuniformly non-purely morphic. In other words, from the construction of the proof given in [5], a nontrivial coding function is required. In Section 3] we investigate all those uniformly morphic sequences which are not purely morphic. It is already known that the Rudin-Shapiro sequence is in this case (Example 26 in [3]). In
section 3 we prove that all other sequences in the form of $\left(a_{m, w}(n)\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ have the same property when $|w| \neq 1$ and $m$ is a prime. The result is announced as follows:

Theorem 2 Let $p$ be a prime number and $w \in \llbracket p \rrbracket^{*}$. The sequence $\left(a_{p ; w}(n)\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is a p-uniformly morphic. Moreover, if $|w|=1$ and $w \neq 0$, this sequence is purely morphic and if not is it non-purely morphic.

In Section 4. under the assumption that $p$ is a prime number, we prove that the formal power series $f_{p ; w}=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{m ; w}(n) t^{n}$ is algebraic and of degree $p$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)$. Indeed, from Christol's theorem [11], we know that the power series $f_{p ; w}$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)$. In Section 4, we prove that $f$ is algebraic of degree $p$.

## 2 Windows functions and $\left(a_{p ; w}(n)\right)_{n \in \mathrm{~N}}$

For any positive integer $m$ and non-negative integer $n$, let $[n]_{m}$ denote the expansion of $n$ in the base $m$. For a given word $w \in \llbracket m \rrbracket^{*}=\{0,1, \cdots, m-$ $1\}^{*}, w=w[0] w[1] \ldots w[|w|-1]$, let $(w)_{m}=\sum_{i=0}^{|w|-1} w[i] m^{|w|-1-i}$ and let $w^{\prime}=$ $w[1] w[2] \cdots w[|w|-1]$. A word $w$ is called a $x$-word if $w[0]=x$. For a given string $w$, let $\alpha_{w}=\frac{\left(w^{\prime}\right)_{m}}{m^{|w|-1}}, \beta_{w}=\frac{\left(w^{\prime}\right)_{m}+1}{m^{|w|-1}}$ and let $\phi_{w}: \llbracket m \rrbracket^{*} \rightarrow \llbracket m \rrbracket^{*}$ be a function such that for any $v \in \llbracket m \rrbracket^{*}, \phi_{w}(v)$ satisfies the following propriety:

$$
\phi_{w}(v)[i]=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
v[i]+1 \bmod m \text { if } \alpha_{w}|v| \leq i<\beta_{w}|v| \\
v[i] \text { otherwise } .
\end{array}\right.
$$

### 2.1 Block-counting sequences for non-0-words

Proposition 3 Let $m$ a positive number, let $x \in \llbracket m \rrbracket \backslash\{0\}$, let $w \in \llbracket m \rrbracket^{*}$ be a $x$-word and let $t=(v)_{m}$. If we let $\left(u_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of words such that $\left|u_{0}\right|=m^{|w|}$, that

$$
u_{0}[i]= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } i=t \\ 0 & \text { otherwise },\end{cases}
$$

and that $u_{k+1}=u_{k}^{x} \phi_{w}\left(u_{k}\right) u_{k}^{m-x-1}$, then $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} u_{k}=\left(a_{m ; w}(n)\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.
Proof. First, it is obvious that $u_{0}$ is a prefix of $\left(a_{m ; w}(n)\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$. Now let $y \in$ $\llbracket m \rrbracket \backslash\{0\}$. For any integers $r$ and $m^{k}$ such that $0 \leq r<m^{k}, 0 \leq e_{m ; w}\left(r+y m^{k}\right)-$ $e_{m ; w}(r) \leq 1$. Indeed, since $y \neq 0,\left[r+y m^{k}\right]_{m}=y 0 . .0[r]_{m}$, thus, $\left[r+y m^{k}\right]_{m}$ has exactly one more $x$-factor of length $|v|$ than $[r]_{p}$ only if $y=x$, and this factor can be $w$ or not. Moreover, $e_{m ; w}\left(r+y m^{k}\right)-e_{m ; w}(r)=1$ only if $w$ is a prefix of $\left[r+y m^{k}\right]_{m}$. Consequently, $e_{m ; w}\left(r+y m^{k}\right) \stackrel{=}{=} e_{m ; w}(r)+1$ only if $\alpha_{w} m^{k} \leq r<\beta_{w} m^{k}$ and $y=x$. Hence, for any $t \in \llbracket m \rrbracket \backslash\{x\}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(a_{m ; w}(n)\right)_{t m^{k} \leq n<(t+1) m^{k}} & =\left(a_{m ; w}(n)\right)_{0 \leq n<m^{k}} \\
\left(a_{m ; w}(n)\right)_{x m^{k} \leq n<(x+1) m^{k}} & =\phi_{w}\left(\left(a_{m ; w}(n)\right)_{0 \leq n<m^{k}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that

$$
\left(a_{m ; w}(n)\right)_{0 \leq n<m^{k+1}}=u_{k}^{x} \phi_{w}\left(u_{k}\right) u_{k}^{m-x-1}
$$

which concludes the proof.
Example 4 Let us compute the Rudin-Shapiro sequence using windows function. From Example 3.3 .1 in [4], the Rudin-Shapiro sequence can be defined as $\left(a_{2 ; 11}(n)\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$. From Proposition 3, set $\alpha_{11}=\frac{1}{2}, \beta_{11}=\frac{2}{2}$ and $s_{0}=0,0,0,1$. For any words $w \in\{0,1\}^{*}$ such that $w=w_{1} w_{2}$ with $\left|w_{1}\right|=\left|w_{2}\right|, \phi_{s}(w)=w_{1}\left(w_{2}^{+}\right)$. Thus, one can compute

$$
\begin{gathered}
s_{1}=0,0,0,1,0,0,1,0 ; \quad s_{2}=0,0,0,1,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,1,1,1,0,1 \\
s_{3}=0,0,0,1,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,1,1,1,0,1,0,0,0,1,0,0,1,0,1,1,1,0,0,0,1,0
\end{gathered}
$$

$\left(e_{s}(n)\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is the limit of $s_{n}$ when $n$ tends to infinite.

### 2.2 Block-counting sequences for 0-words

Proposition 5 Let $m$ be a positive number, let $w \in \llbracket m \rrbracket^{*} a 0$-word and let $t=(w)_{m}$. Let $u_{0}$ be such that $\left|u_{0}\right|=m^{|w|}$ and

$$
u_{0}[i]= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } i=t \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

and let $u_{k+1}=\phi_{w}\left(u_{k}\right) u_{k}^{m-1}$.
By letting $w_{-1}=u_{0}$ if $w=0^{|w|}$ and $w_{-1}=0^{m^{|w|}}$ if not, $w_{k}=u_{k}^{m-1}$ for $k \geq 0$, then

$$
\left(a_{m ; w}(n)\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}=w_{-1} w_{0} w_{1} w_{2} \cdots w_{n} \cdots
$$

Lemma 6 Let $m$ be a positive number, $y \in \llbracket m \rrbracket \backslash\{0\}$, $w \in \llbracket m \rrbracket^{*}$ a 0 -word and let $t=(w)_{m}$, then for any integer $r$ satisfying $t<m^{k} \leq r<m^{k+1}$ :

1) $e_{m ; w}\left(r+y m^{k+1}\right)=e_{m ; w}(r)$;
2) $0 \leq e_{m ; w}\left(r+m^{k}\right)-e_{m ; w}(r) \leq 1$;
3) $e_{m ; w}\left(r+m^{k}\right)-e_{m ; w}(r)=1$ only if $[r]_{m}$ is a $m-1$-word and $\alpha_{w} m^{k} \leq r<$ $\beta_{w} m^{k}$.

Proof. For any integer $r$ satisfying $t<m^{k} \leq r<m^{k+1}$, we first remark that $\left[r+y m^{k+1}\right]_{m}=y[r]_{m}$. Since $\left[r+y m^{k+1}\right]_{m}$ and $y[r]_{m}$ have the same set of 0 factors, $e_{m ; w}\left(r+y m^{k+1}\right)=e_{m ; w}(r)$. Second, if $[r]_{m}$ is not a $m-1$-word than $[r]_{m}$ and $\left[r+m^{k}\right]_{m}$ has the same set of 0 -factors. But if $[r]_{m}$ is a $m-1$-word, then $\left[r+m^{k}\right]_{m}=10[r]_{m}^{\prime}$ and thus, can have at most one more 0 factors of length $|w|$ than $[r]_{m}$. Consequently, $0 \leq e_{m ; w}\left(r+m^{k}\right)-e_{m ; w}(r) \leq 1$. Moreover, in the latter case, $e_{m ; w}\left(r+m^{k}\right)-e_{m ; w}(r)=1$ only if $1 w$ is a prefix of $\left[r+m^{k}\right]_{m}$. So $e_{m ; w}\left(r+m^{k}\right)=e_{m ; w}(r)+1$ only if $\alpha_{w} m^{k}<r \leq \beta_{w} m^{k}$.

Proof (of Proposition (5). We first remark that $w_{-1} w_{0}$ is a prefix of $\left(a_{m ; w}(n)\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$.
Further, for any integer $k$ satisfying $(w)_{m}<m^{k}$ and $x \in \llbracket m \rrbracket \backslash\{0\}$, from Lemma 6

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(a_{m ; w}(n)\right)_{x m^{k} \leq n<(x+1) m^{k}} & =\left(a_{m ; w}(n)\right)_{m^{k} \leq n<2 m^{k}} \\
\left(a_{m ; w}(n)\right)_{m^{k+1} \leq n<m^{k+1}+m^{k}} & \left.=\left(\phi\left(a_{m ; w}(n)\right)_{(p-1) m^{k} \leq n<m^{k+1}}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that

$$
\left(a_{m ; w}(n)\right)_{m^{k} \leq n<m^{k+1}}=\left(\phi_{w}\left(\left(a_{m ; w}(n)\right)_{m^{k-1} \leq n<m^{k}}\right)\left(a_{m ; w}(n)\right)_{m^{k-1} \leq n<m^{k}}^{m-1}\right)^{m-1}
$$

which concludes the proof.
Example 7 Let us compute the sequence $\left(a_{2 ; 01}(n)\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ with. From the previous theorem, set $\alpha_{01}=\frac{1}{2}, \beta_{01}=\frac{2}{2}, s_{-1}=0,0,0,0$ and $s_{0}=0,1,0,0$. For any words $w \in\{0,1\}^{*}$ such that $w=w_{1} w_{2}$ with $\left|w_{1}\right|=\left|w_{2}\right|=k$ for some integer $k$, $\phi_{s}(w)=w_{1} w_{2}^{+}$. Thus, one can compute

$$
\begin{gathered}
s_{1}=0,1,1,1,0,1,0,0 ; s_{2}=0,1,1,1,1,0,1,1,0,1,1,1,0,1,0,0 \\
s_{3}=0,1,1,1,1,0,1,1,1,0,0,0,1,0,1,1,0,1,1,1,1,0,1,1,0,1,1,1,0,1,0,0
\end{gathered}
$$

$\left(a_{2 ; 01}(n)\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is the limit of $s_{-1} s_{0} s_{1} s_{2} s_{3} \ldots s_{n}$ when $n$ tends to infinite.

## $3\left(a_{p ; w}(n)\right)_{n \in \mathrm{~N}}$ are not purely morphic

From now on, we work with $p$ a prime number.
We first prove that $\left(a_{p ; w}(n)\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is not purely morphic when $|w|>1$. We will need a simple notation, for $w=w[0] \cdots w[|w|-1]$, let $w^{\diamond}=w[0] \cdots w[|w|-2]$.

Proposition 8 For any prime number $p$ and for any $w \in \llbracket p \rrbracket^{*}$, the sub-sequences of the form $\left(a_{p ; w}(p n+i)\right)_{0 \leq i \leq p-1}$ are either constant (called type 1) or of the form

$$
a_{p ; w}(p n+i)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
t^{+} \quad \text { if } i=w[|w|-1] \\
t \quad \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

for some integer $t \in \llbracket p \rrbracket$ (called type 2). Moreover, $\left(a_{p ; w}(p n+i)\right)_{0 \leq i \leq p-1}$ is of type 2 if and only if $w^{\diamond}$ is a suffix of $[n]_{p}$.

For the sequence $\left(a_{p ; w}(n)\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$, let us define a $p$-block to be a sub-sequence of the form $\left(a_{p ; w}(p n+i)\right)_{0 \leq i \leq p-1}$ for some integer $n$. From the previous proposition, a $p$-block is either of type 1 or type 2 . For a $p$-block $\left(a_{p ; w}(p n+i)\right)_{0 \leq i \leq p-1}$ of type 2 , let us define its index to be an integer $i \in \llbracket p \rrbracket$ such that $a_{p ; w}(p n+i) \neq$ $a_{p ; w}(p n+j)$ for all $j \neq i$.

Proposition 9 For any prime number $p$ and any $w \in \llbracket p \rrbracket^{*}$, if there exists a word $v$ such that $v^{p+1}$ is a prefix of $\left(a_{p ; w}(n)\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ and that $|v| \geq 2 p^{|w|}$, then $|v|$ is a multiple of $p^{|w|-1}$.

Proof. If $|v| \geq 2 p^{|w|}$, then, from Proposition 3 and 5 $v$ contains a $p$-block of the form

$$
a_{p ; w}(p m+i)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1 \text { if } i=w[|w|-1], \\
0 \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

for some $m$. Since $v^{p+1}$ is a prefix of $\left(a_{p ; w}(n)\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}},\left(a_{p ; w}(p m+p|v|+i)\right)_{0 \leq i \leq p-1}=$ $\left(a_{p ; w}(p m+i)\right)_{0 \leq i \leq p-1}$, which is also a $p$-factor of type 2. From Proposition 8 , $w^{\diamond}$ is a suffix of both $[m]_{p}$ and $[m+|v|]_{p}$. Thus, $m+|v|-m$ is a multiple of $p^{|w|-1}$.

Proposition 10 For any prime integer $p$ and any $w \in \llbracket p \rrbracket^{*}$, the sequence $\left(a_{p ; w}(n)\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ cannot have a prefix $v^{p+1}$ such that $|v|=i p^{|w|-1}$ for some positive integer $i \geq p+1$.

This proposition will be proved with the help of the following lemmas.
Lemma 11 Let $w \in \llbracket p \rrbracket^{*}$, then for any words $a, b \in \llbracket p \rrbracket^{*}$ and for any positive integer $\ell$, there exists a word $u$ such that $|u|=l,|a u|_{w}=|a|_{w}$ and $|b u|_{w}=|b|_{w}$.

Proof. Let $x \in \llbracket p \rrbracket \backslash\{w[|w|-1]\}$ and $u=x^{\ell}$. It is clear that $|a u|_{w}=|a|_{w}$ and $|b u|_{w}=|b|_{w}$ because none of the added factor of size $|w|$ ends with $x$.

Lemma 12 Let $w$ be a word in $\llbracket p \rrbracket^{*}$ such that $|w|>1$. Let $a, b \in \llbracket p \rrbracket^{*}$ such that $a_{w} \neq b_{w}$ where $a_{w}$ and $b_{w}$ are the longest suffixes of respectively $a$ and $b$ that are prefixes of $w$. Then there exists a word $u$ such that $|u| \leq|w|-1$ and that $|a u|_{w} \not \equiv|b u|_{w} \bmod p$.

Proof. If $|a|_{w} \not \equiv|b|_{w} \bmod p$, then let $v=\epsilon$.
If $|a|_{w} \equiv|b|_{w} \bmod p$, because have $a_{w} \neq b_{w}$, then $|a|_{w} \neq\left|b_{w}\right|$ because $w$ doesn't have multiple suffixes of the same length. Suppose that $a_{w}$ is the longest. It is clear that $\left|a_{w}\right|>0$. We define $v$ to be a word satisfying $a_{w} v=w$. In this case, $|v| \leq|w|-1,|a v|_{w}=|a|_{w}+1$ and $|b v|_{w}=|b|_{w}$.

Now we are able to prove Proposition 10
Proof (of Proposition (10). We only need to prove that there exist $k, k^{\prime} \in \llbracket p \rrbracket$ such that

$$
\left(a_{p ; w}(n)\right)_{k i p|w|-1} \leq n<(k+1) i p^{|w|-1} \mid \neq\left(a_{p ; w}(n)\right)_{k^{\prime} i p^{|w|-1} \leq n<\left(k^{\prime}+1\right) i p^{|w|-1}}
$$

i.e. there exists some $j$ such that $0 \leq j<|v|$ and

$$
a_{p ; w}\left(k i p^{|w|-1}+j\right) \neq a_{p ; w}\left(k^{\prime} i p^{|w|-1}+j\right) .
$$

For $1 \leq k \leq p$, let $t_{k}=\left[k i p^{|w|-1}\right]_{p}$. One has $t_{k}=u_{k} x_{k} 0^{j}$ for some word $u_{k}$, some letter $x_{k} \in \llbracket p \rrbracket \backslash\{0\}$ and some non-negative integer $j \geq|w|-1$. Note that $u_{1} \neq 0$. Since $p$ is prime, one has $x_{k} \neq x_{k^{\prime}}$ if $k \neq k^{\prime}$. Thus, there exists $k \in \llbracket p \rrbracket$ such that $x_{k}=w[0]$.

Now, let $k^{\prime} \in \llbracket p \rrbracket \backslash\{k\}$ and let $v_{k}$ and $v_{k}^{\prime}$ be the longest suffixes of respectively $u_{k} x_{k}$ and $u_{k^{\prime}} x_{k^{\prime}}$ that are prefixes of $w$. Because $x_{k}=w[0], v_{k} \neq \epsilon$ and thus $v_{k} \neq v_{k^{\prime}}$. Therefore, by Lemma 12 and Lemma 11 there exists $u$ such that $\left|v_{k} u\right|_{w} \not \equiv\left|v_{k^{\prime}} u\right|_{w} \bmod p$ and that $|u|=|w|-1$. Let $j=[u]_{p}$, clearly $j<i p^{|w|-1}$ and one has

$$
a_{p ; w}\left(k i p^{|w|-1}+j\right) \neq a_{p ; w}\left(k^{\prime} i p^{|w|-1}+j\right),
$$

which proves the result.
Now we are able to prove the principle theorem in most cases:
Theorem 13 For any prime number $p$ and any $w \in \llbracket p \rrbracket^{*}$, the sequence $\left(a_{p ; w}(n)\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is $p$-uniformly morphic for any $w$ and non-purely morphic when $|w|>1$ and $w \neq 10$.

Proof. First, the fact that $\left(a_{p ; w}(n)\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is $p$-automatic for any word $w$ follows from the Proposition 3.1 in [10], Page 7 and Theorem 16.1.5 in [4].

Now, if $w \neq 10$ and $|w|>1$ and the sequence $\left(a_{p ; w}(n)\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is purely morphic, then $0^{p+1}$ is a prefix of $\left(a_{p ; w}(n)\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$. Thus, $\left(a_{p ; w}(n)\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ will have infinitely many prefix of type $v^{p+1}$. However, from Proposition 9 and $10\left(a_{p ; w}(n)\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ can only have finitely many prefix of the form $v^{p+1}$. We conclude.

Here we prove the $p$ particular cases.
Proposition 14 For any prime number $p$ and for any $w \in \llbracket p \rrbracket \backslash\{0\}$, the sequence $\left(a_{p, w}(n)\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is purely morphic.

Proof. It is easy to check that for any non-negative integer $m,\left(a_{p ; w}(p m+\right.$ $i))_{0 \leq i \leq p-1}$ satisfies the following property:

$$
a_{p ; w}(p m+i)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a_{p ; w}(m)^{+} \text {if } i=w, \\
a_{p ; w}(m) \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Thus, it is easy to check that $\left(a_{p ; w}(p m+i)\right)_{0 \leq i \leq p-1}$ is the fixed point of the morphism: $i \rightarrow v_{i}$ for all $i \in \llbracket p \rrbracket$, where,

$$
v_{i}[k]=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
i^{+} \text {if } k=w, \\
i \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proposition 15 The sequence $\left(a_{2,0}(n)\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is non-purely morphic.
Proof. The sequence $\left(a_{2,0}(n)\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ begins with $1,0,1,0$. Thus, if this sequence is purely morphic, then this sequence has infinitely many prefixes of the form $v^{2}$. Here we prove that $\left(a_{2,0}(n)\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ cannot have a prefix of the form $v^{2}$ with $|v| \geq 5$.

If $\left(a_{2,0}(n)\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ has a prefix of the form $v^{2}$ with $|v| \geq 5$. Let us suppose that $|v|=4 k+i$ for some non-negative integers $k, i$ such that $i=0,1,2,3$ and $k \geq 1$. First note that $a_{2,0}(r)=a_{2,0}(4 k+i+r)$ for any $r$ satisfying $0 \leq r<4 k+i$.

Also note that, for $k \geq 0, a_{2,0}(k)=a_{2,0}(4 k)=a_{2,0}(4 k+3)=a_{2,0}(2 k+1)=x$ and $a_{2,0}(4 k+1)=a_{2,0}(4 k+2)=a_{2,0}(2 k)=x^{+}$for some $x \in\{0,1\}$. This is because if $[k]_{2}=u$, then $[2 k]_{2}=u 0,[2 k+1]_{2}=u 1,[4 k]_{2}=u 00,[4 k+1]_{2}=u 01$, $[4 k+2]_{2}=u 10$ and $[4 k+3]_{2}=u 11$.

Finally, note that $a_{2,0}\left(2^{t} k-1\right)=a_{2,0}(k-1)$, because, we know that $k \geq 1$ so if $[k-1]_{2}=u$ then $\left[2^{t} k-1\right]_{2}=u 1^{t}$. Similarly, $a_{2,0}\left(2^{t} k-2^{s}-1\right)=a_{2,0}\left(2^{t} k-1\right)^{+}$ if $1<s<t$.

Now if $i=0$, then $|v|=4 k$ and $a_{2,0}(1)=a_{2,0}(4 k+1)=0, a_{2,0}(2)=$ $a_{2,0}(4 k+2)=1$ which contradicts to $a_{2,0}(4 k+1)=a_{2,0}(4 k+2)$.

If $i=1$, then $|v|=4 k+1$ and $a_{2,0}(0)=a_{2,0}(4 k+1)=1, a_{2,0}(1)=$ $a_{2,0}(4 k+2)=0$ which contradicts to $a_{2,0}(4 k+2)=a_{2,0}(4 k+2)$.

If $i=2$, then $|v|=4 k+2$ and $a_{2,0}(k-1)=a_{2,0}(4 k-1)$ but $a_{2,0}(k-1)=$ $a_{2,0}(8 k-1)=a_{2,0}(4 k-3)=a_{2,0}(4 k-1)^{+}$.

If $i=3$, then $|v|=4 k+3$ and $a_{2,0}(2(2 k+1))=a_{2,0}(4 k+2)=a_{2,0}(4 k+$ $3)^{+}=a_{2,0}(0)^{+}=0$. Thus, we have $a_{2,0}(2 k+1)=1$. But on the other hand, $a_{2,0}(2 k+1)=a_{2,0}(4(2 k+1))=a_{2,0}(8 k+4)=a_{2,0}(4 k+1)=a_{2,0}(4 k+2)=0$, which is a contradiction.

In all cases, $\left(a_{2,0}(n)\right)_{0 \leq n<|v|} \neq\left(a_{2,0}(n)\right)_{|v| \leq n<2|v|}$.
Proposition 16 For any prime number $p \geq 3$, the sequence $\left(a_{p, 0}(n)\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is non-purely morphic.
Proof. The sequence $\left(a_{p, 0}(n)\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ begins with $\left(10^{p-1}\right)^{p}$. Thus, if this sequence is purely morphic, then this sequence has infinitely many prefixes of the form $v^{2}$. Here we prove that $\left(a_{p, 0}(n)\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ cannot have a prefix of the form $v^{2}$ with $|v| \geq p^{2}$.

First, let us prove that if $v^{2}$ is a prefix of $\left(a_{p, 0}(n)\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$, then $|v|$ is a multiple of $p$. It is easy to check that $v^{2}$ is not a prefix of $\left(a_{p, 0}(n)\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ when $|v|=1,2$. Let us suppose that $|v| \geq 3$. In this case, $v$ begins with $1,0,0$. Thus, $a_{p, 0}(|v|)=$ $1, a_{p, 0}(|v|+1)=a_{p, 0}(|v|+2)=0$.

Let us suppose that $|v|=k p+t$ for some nonnegative integers $k, t$ such that $0 \leq t \leq k-1$. We first prove that $t \neq k-1$. If it is the case, then $|v|+1$ is a multiple of $p$ and $a_{p, 0}(|v|+1) \neq a_{p, 0}(|v|+2)=0$ since $|v|+2$ has one 0 less than $|v|+1$ in their p-expansions. this contradicts the fact that $a_{p, 0}(|v|+1)=a_{p, 0}(|v|+2)=0$.

Now let us suppose that $t \neq k-1$. In this case, $\left(a_{p, 0}(n)\right)_{k p \leq n \leq(k+1) p-1}$ contains the factor $a_{p, 0}(|v|) a_{p, 0}(|v|+1)=1,0$. Thus, $\left(a_{p, 0}(n)\right)_{k p \leq n \leq(k+1) p-1}$ is a factor of type 2 announced in proposition 8 . But the word $\left(a_{p, 0}(n)\right)_{0 \leq n \leq p-1}=10^{p-1}$ is also a word of type 2 and the word $\left(a_{p, 0}(n)\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ cannot have two different factors of type 2 such that the special letters are at different positions. Thus, $a_{p, 0}(|v|) a_{p, 0}(|v|+1)$ should be a prefix of $\left(a_{p, 0}(n)\right)_{k p \leq n \leq(k+1) p-1}$ and consequently $|v|$ is a multiple of $p$.

Second, $|v|$ is not a multiple of $p^{2}$. Because, if it is in this case, $a_{p, 0}(|v|)=$ $a_{p, 0}(0)=1$ and $a_{p, 0}(|v|+p)=a_{p, 0}(|v|)-1=0$. But $a_{p, 0}(p)=1$, thus, $a_{p, 0}(|v|+$ $p) \neq a_{p, 0}(p)$. Consequently, $\left(a_{p, 0}(n)\right)_{0 \leq n<|v|-1} \neq\left(a_{p, 0}(n)\right)_{|v| \leq n<2|v|-1}$.

Third, if $|v|$ is not a multiple of $p^{2}$ but larger than $p^{2}+1$, let us suppose that $|v|=k p^{2}+t p$ for some positive integers $k, t$ such that $1 \leq t \leq p-1$. Let $x=$ $(p-t) p$, we then have $a_{p, 0}(|v|+x)=a_{p, 0}(x)=1$. But in this case, $a_{p, 0}(x+p)=1$ or 2 , but $a_{p, 0}(|v|+x+p)=0$. Thus, $\left(a_{p, 0}(n)\right)_{0 \leq n<|v|-1} \neq\left(a_{p, 0}(n)\right)_{|v| \leq n<2|v|-1}$.

Proposition 17 The sequence $\left(a_{2 ; 10}(n)\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is non-purely morphic.
Proof. The sequence $\left(a_{2 ; 10}(n)\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ begins with 0010 . Thus, if this sequence is purely morphic, then this sequence has infinitely many prefixes of the form $v^{2}$. We will prove that its only prefix of square shape is 00 .

Let $v^{2}$ be a prefix of $\left(a_{p ; 10}(n)\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$. Because of that, one can note that $\left.\left(a_{p ; 10}(n)\right)_{0 \leq n<|v|}=a_{p ; 10}(|v|+n)\right)_{0 \leq n<|v|}$, in particular, $\left(a_{p ; 10}(|v|+n)\right)_{0 \leq n \leq 4}=$ 0010. Using this, we will prove this proposition by proving all the different possibility for the word $[|v|]_{2}$.

For now on, $u$ can be any word in $\llbracket p \rrbracket^{*}$ and $s$ and $t$ positive integer. Note that the computation is made in binary basis.
i) If $[|v|]_{2}=1^{t}$ with $t>1$, we have $a_{p ; 10}(|v|+1)=1 \neq 0$ because $1^{t}+1=10^{t}$.
ii) If $[|v|]_{2}=1^{t} 01$, one can simply note that $a_{p ; 10}(|v|)=1 \neq 0$.
iii) If $[|v|]_{2}=u 101^{t} 01$ then $a_{p ; 10}(|v|+3)=a_{p ; 10}(|v|)^{+}$because $u 101^{t} 01+11=$ $u 110^{s+2}$.
iv) If $[|v|]_{2}=u 101^{t}$ with $t>1$ then $a_{p ; 10}(|v|+2)=a_{p ; 10}(|v|)$, because $u 101^{t}+11=u 110^{t-1} 1$.
v) If $[|v|]_{2}=u 10^{s} 1^{t}$ with $s>1$ we have $a_{p ; 10}(|v|+1)=a_{p ; 10}(|v|)^{+}$, because $u 10^{s} 1^{t}+1=u 10^{s-1} 10^{t}$.
vi) Finally, if $[|v|]_{2}=u 10^{t}$ with $t>1$, we have on one hand $a_{p ; 10}(|v|+$ $\left.\left(1^{t-1} 0\right)_{2}\right)=a_{p ; 10}(|v|)=0$ because $u 10^{t}+1^{t-1} 0=u 1^{t} 0$. We also have $a_{p ; 10}(|v|+$ $\left.\left(1^{t-1} 0\right)_{2}\right)=a_{p ; 10}\left(\left(1^{t-1} 0\right)_{2}\right)=1$, which is a contradiction.

An attentive reader will remark that this cover all the number strictly bigger than 1.

Proposition 18 For any prime number $p \geq 3$ the sequence $\left(a_{p ; 10}(n)\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is non-purely morphic.

Proof. The sequence $\left(a_{p ; 10}(n)\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ begins with $0^{p} 1$. Thus, if this sequence is purely morphic, then this sequence has infinitely many prefixes of the form $v^{p}$. It suffices to prove that if $|v|>p^{2}$ then $v^{p}$ is not a prefix of $\left(a_{p ; 10}(n)\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$.

Let $v^{p}$ be a prefix of $\left(a_{p ; 10}(n)\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$.
Suppose that $p \nmid|v|>p^{2}$. This means that $v=u y x$ for a word $u$ and some letters $y, x$ with $x \neq 0$. Because $v^{2}$ is a prefix of $\left(a_{p ; 10}(n)\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}, v$ begins with the letters $0^{p} 1$ and $\left.\left(a_{p ; 10}(n)\right)_{0 \leq n \leq p}=a_{p ; 10}\left((v)_{p}+n\right)\right)_{0 \leq n \leq p}$. Thus $a_{p ; 10}\left((v)_{p}\right)=0$.

Let $c \in \llbracket p \rrbracket$ such that $x+c=p$; it exists because $x \neq 0$ and $p>2$. Thus, $\left[(v)_{p}+c\right]_{p}=u^{\prime} y^{\prime} 0$. Because $a_{p ; w}(c)=0, a_{p ; w}\left((v)_{p}+c\right)=0$ also and $a_{p ; w}\left((v)_{p}+\right.$ $p)=0$ or $p-1$ which is not equal to $a_{p ; w}(p)=1$. Therefore, $v^{2}$ is not a prefix of $\left(a_{p ; 10}(n)\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$.

Suppose now that $p||v| \geq p$. Let $| v \mid=s p^{t}$ for some positive integer $s, t$ such that $t \geq 1$ and $p \nmid s$ and let $[v]_{p}=u x 0^{t}$ for some word $u$ and some letter $x \in \llbracket p \rrbracket \backslash\{0\}$.

Since $p$ is prime, there exists $k \in \llbracket p \rrbracket$ such that $[k v]_{p}=u^{\prime} 10^{t}$ for some word $u^{\prime}$. Let $m=p^{t+1}-1$, thus $[m]_{p}=(p-1)^{t}$ and $[k v+m]_{p}=u^{\prime} 1(p-1)^{t}$.

Since $\left(a_{p ; 10}(n)\right)_{k_{1}|v| \leq n \leq\left(k_{1}+1\right)|v|-1}=\left(a_{p ; 10}(n)\right)_{k_{2}|v| \leq n \leq\left(k_{2}+1\right)|v|-1}$, for any $k_{1}$, $k_{2} \in \llbracket p \rrbracket$ we have $a_{p ; 10}(0)=0=a_{p ; 10}(k v)$ thus $a_{p ; 10}\left(u^{\prime}\right)=p-1$ which means that $a_{p ; 10}(m)=0 \neq a_{p ; 10}(k v+m)=p-1$.

Hence, $v^{p}$ cannot be a prefix of $\left(a_{p ; 10}(n)\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ if $v>p^{2}$ which concludes the proof.

Proof (of Theorem (2). It is a direct result of Theorem 13, Proposition 14 Proposition 15, Proposition 16, Proposition 17 and Proposition 18.

## 4 Algebraicity

By Christol's theorem [11], we know that the power series $f=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{p ; w}(n) t^{n}$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)$. Now we prove that $f$ is algebraic of degree $p$. Indeed, if we let $[w]_{p}$ denote $w_{1} p^{k-1}+\cdots+w_{k}$, and write $a_{n}=a_{p ; w}(n)$ for short, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(1+t+\cdots+t^{p-1}\right) f^{p}-f \\
= & \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1}\left(a_{n}-a_{p n+j}\right) t^{p n+j} \\
= & \sum_{n \geq 0}\left(a_{n}-a_{p n+w_{k}}\right) t^{p n+w_{k}} \\
= & \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1}\left(a_{n p+j}-a_{n p^{2}+j p+w_{k}}\right) t^{n p^{2}+j p+w_{k}} \\
= & \sum_{n \geq 0}\left(a_{n p+w_{k-1}}-a_{n p^{2}+w_{k-1} p+w_{k}}\right) t^{n p^{2}+w_{k-1} p+w_{k}} \\
\cdots & \\
= & \sum_{n \geq 0}\left(a_{n p^{k-1}+w_{1} p^{k-2} \cdots+w_{k-1}}-a_{n p^{k}+w_{1} p^{k-1}+\cdots+w_{k}}\right) t^{n p^{k}+[w]_{p}} \\
= & \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sum_{n \geq 0}-t^{n p^{k}+[w]_{p}}=t^{[w]_{p}} /\left(t^{p^{k}}-1\right), \quad \text { if } w_{1} \neq 0 \\
\sum_{n \geq 1}-t^{n p^{k}+[w]_{p}}=t^{p^{k}+[w]_{p}} /\left(t^{p^{k}}-1\right), \quad \text { if } w_{1}=0 .
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

The irreduciblity of the the above functional equations is straightforward from the Eisenstein's criterion. We thus have the following propriety:

Proposition 19 For any prime number $p$ and any finite word $w$ in $\llbracket p \rrbracket^{*}$, the power series $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{p ; w}(n) t^{n}$ is algebraic of degree $p$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)$.

## 5 Final remarks

The authors remark that the fast algorithms introduced in Section 2 for 0-words and non- 0 -words are much different. However, the generating functions given in Section 4 for 0 -words and non-0-words are quite similar. Thus, we believe that the algorithms in Section 2 can be unified for both 0 -words and non- 0 -words.
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