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#### Abstract

In the paper, the authors establish some best approximation formulas and inequalities for Wallis ratio. These formulas and inequalities improve an approximation formula and a double inequality for Wallis ratio recently presented in "S. Guo, J.-G. Xu, and F. Qi, Some exact constants for the approximation of the quantity in the Wallis' formula, J. Inequal. Appl. 2013, 2013:67, 7 pages; Available online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/ 1029-242X-2013-67".


## 1. Introduction

Wallis ratio is defined as

$$
W_{n}=\frac{(2 n-1)!!}{(2 n)!!}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\Gamma\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(n+1)}
$$

where $\Gamma$ is the classical Euler gamma function which may be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(z)=\int_{0}^{\infty} u^{z-1} e^{-u} \mathrm{~d} u, \quad \Re(z)>0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The study and applications of $W_{n}$ have a long history, a large amount of literature, and a lot of new results. For detailed information, please refer to the papers $[1,4$, $18,21]$, related texts in the survey articles $[17,19,20]$ and references cited therein. Recently, Guo, Xu, and Qi proved in [5] that the double inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{\frac{e}{\pi}}\left(1-\frac{1}{2 n}\right)^{n} \frac{\sqrt{n-1}}{n}<W_{n} \leq \frac{4}{3}\left(1-\frac{1}{2 n}\right)^{n} \frac{\sqrt{n-1}}{n} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n \geq 2$ is valid and sharp in the sense that the constants $\sqrt{\frac{e}{\pi}}$ and $\frac{4}{3}$ in (1.2) are best possible. They also proposed in [5] the approximation formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{n} \sim \chi_{n}:=\sqrt{\frac{e}{\pi}}\left(1-\frac{1}{2 n}\right)^{n} \frac{\sqrt{n-1}}{n}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sharpness of the double inequality (1.2) was proved in [5] basing on the variation of a function which decreases on $[2, \infty)$ from $\frac{4}{3}$ to $\sqrt{\frac{e}{\pi}}$. As a consequence, the right-hand side of (1.2) becomes weak for large values of $n$. Moreover, if we are interested to estimating $W_{n}$ when $n$ approaches infinity, then the constant $\sqrt{\frac{e}{\pi}}$ should be chosen and inequalities using $\sqrt{\frac{e}{\pi}}$ are welcome.

The aim of this paper is to improve the double inequality (1.2) and the approximation formula (1.3).
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## 2. A Lemma

For improving the double inequality (1.2) and the approximation formula (1.3), we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1 ([12, Lemma 1.1]). If the sequence $\left\{\omega_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ converges to 0 and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{k}\left(\omega_{n}-\omega_{n+1}\right)=\ell \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $k>1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{k-1} \omega_{n}=\frac{\ell}{k-1} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.1. Lemma 2.1 was first established in [15] and has been effectively applied in many papers such as $[2,3,6,7,8,9,10,11,13,14,16]$.

## 3. A BEST APPROXIMATION FORMULA

With the help of Lemma 2.1, we first provide a best approximation formula of Wallis ratio $W_{n}$.

Theorem 3.1. The approximation formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{n} \sim \sqrt{\frac{e}{\pi}}\left(1-\frac{1}{2 n}\right)^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the best approximation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{n} \sim \sqrt{\frac{e}{\pi}}\left(1-\frac{1}{2 n}\right)^{n} \frac{\sqrt{n+a}}{n}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ is a real parameter.
Proof. Define $z_{n}(a)$ by

$$
W_{n}=\sqrt{\frac{e}{\pi}}\left(1-\frac{1}{2 n}\right)^{n} \frac{\sqrt{n+a}}{n} \exp z_{n}(a), \quad n \geq 1
$$

It is not difficult to see that $z_{n}(a) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, A direct computation gives

$$
z_{n}(a)-z_{n+1}(a)=-\frac{a}{2 n^{2}}+\left(\frac{1}{2} a+\frac{1}{2} a^{2}+\frac{1}{12}\right) \frac{1}{n^{3}}+O\left(\frac{1}{n^{4}}\right)
$$

and

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{n^{2}\left[z_{n}(a)-z_{n+1}(a)\right]\right\}=-\frac{a}{2}
$$

Making use of Lemma 2.1, we immediately see that the sequence $\left\{z_{n}(a): n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ converges fastest only when $a=0$. The proof of Theorem 3.1 is complete.

Remark 3.1. The approximation formula (3.1) is an improvement of (1.3), since the approximation formula (1.3) is the special case $a=-1$ in (3.2).

## 4. An Asymptotic series associated to (3.1)

In this section, by discovering an asymptotic series and a single-sided inequality for Wallis ratio, we further generalize the approximation formula (3.1) and improve the left-hand side of the double inequality (1.2).

Theorem 4.1. As $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
W_{n} \sim \sqrt{\frac{e}{\pi}}\left(1-\frac{1}{2 n}\right)^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \exp \left(\frac{1}{24 n^{2}}+\frac{1}{48 n^{3}}+\frac{1}{160 n^{4}}+\frac{1}{960 n^{5}}+\cdots\right)
$$

Proof. Recall from [15] that, to an approximation formula $f(n) \sim g(n)$, the following asymptotic series is associated

$$
f(n) \sim g(n) \exp \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{k}}{n^{k}}\right)
$$

where $a_{k}$ for $k \geq 2$ is a solution of the following infinite triangular system

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}-\binom{k-1}{1} a_{2}+\cdots+(-1)^{k}\binom{k-1}{k-2} a_{k-1}=(-1)^{k} x_{k} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $x_{k}$ are coefficients of the expansion

$$
\ln \frac{f(n) g(n+1)}{g(n) f(n+1)}=\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{x_{k}}{n^{k}}
$$

Replacing $f(n)$ and $g(n)$ by $W_{n}$ and $\sqrt{\frac{e}{\pi}}\left(1-\frac{1}{2 n}\right)^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ respectively yields

$$
\ln \frac{f(n) g(n+1)}{g(n) f(n+1)}=\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}(-1)^{k}\left[\frac{1+(-1)^{k}}{(k+1) 2^{k+1}}-\frac{1}{k+1}+\frac{1}{2 k}\right] \frac{1}{n^{k}}
$$

Hence, the system (4.1) becomes

$$
a_{1}-\binom{k-1}{1} a_{2}+\cdots+(-1)^{k}\binom{k-1}{k-2} a_{k-1}=\frac{1+(-1)^{k}}{(k+1) 2^{k+1}}-\frac{1}{k+1}+\frac{1}{2 k}
$$

which has a solution

$$
a_{1}=0, \quad a_{2}=\frac{1}{24}, \quad a_{3}=\frac{1}{48}, \quad a_{4}=\frac{1}{160}, \quad a_{5}=\frac{1}{960}, \quad \ldots
$$

The proof of Theorem 4.1 is complete.
Theorem 4.2. For every integer $n \geq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{n}>\sqrt{\frac{e}{\pi}}\left(1-\frac{1}{2 n}\right)^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \exp \left(\frac{1}{24 n^{2}}+\frac{1}{48 n^{3}}+\frac{1}{160 n^{4}}+\frac{1}{960 n^{5}}\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It suffices to prove

$$
\alpha_{n}=n \ln \left(1-\frac{1}{2 n}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \ln n-\ln \frac{(2 n-1)!!}{(2 n)!!}+\ln \sqrt{\frac{e}{\pi}}+h(n)<0
$$

where

$$
h(x)=\frac{1}{24 x^{2}}+\frac{1}{48 x^{3}}+\frac{1}{160 x^{4}}+\frac{1}{960 x^{5}} .
$$

Because $\alpha_{n}$ converges to 0 , it is sufficient to show that the sequence $\left\{\alpha_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is strictly increasing. It is not difficult to obtain $\alpha_{n+1}-\alpha_{n}=s(n)$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
s(x)= & (x+1) \ln \left(1-\frac{1}{2 x+2}\right)-x \ln \left(1-\frac{1}{2 x}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \ln \left(1+\frac{1}{x}\right)-\ln \frac{2 x+1}{2 x+2}+h(x+1)-h(x), \\
s^{\prime \prime}(x)= & \frac{C(x-1)}{32 x^{7}(x+1)^{7}(2 x+1)^{2}(2 x-1)^{2}} \\
> & 0
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
C(x)= & 4913+33387 x+98177 x^{2}+164799 x^{3}+174543 x^{4} \\
& +121173 x^{5}+55197 x^{6}+15920 x^{7}+2640 x^{8}+192 x^{9}
\end{aligned}
$$

Accordingly, the function $s(x)$ is strictly convex on $[1, \infty)$. Combing this with the fact that $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} s(x)=0$ reveals that the function $s(x)$ on $[1, \infty)$, and so the sequence $\{s(n): n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, is positive. The proof of Theorem 4.2 is complete.

## 5. A NEW APPROXIMATION FORMULA AND A DOUBLE INEQUALITY

Finally we will find a new approximation formula and a double inequality for Wallis ratio $W_{n}$.
Theorem 5.1. As $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{n} \sim \mu_{n}:=\sqrt{\frac{e}{\pi}}\left[1-\frac{1}{2(n+1 / 3)}\right]^{n+1 / 3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Motivated by (3.1), we now ask for the best approximation of the form

$$
W_{n} \sim \sqrt{\frac{e}{\pi}}\left[1-\frac{1}{2(n+b)}\right]^{n+c} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty
$$

where $b$ and $c$ are real parameters. For this, let

$$
W_{n}=\sqrt{\frac{e}{\pi}}\left[1-\frac{1}{2(n+b)}\right]^{n+c} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \exp \beta_{n}(b, c)
$$

Then an easy calculation leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta_{n}(b, c)-\beta_{n+1}(b, & c)
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
2 & (c-b) \frac{1}{n^{2}}+\left(b^{2}-b c-\frac{1}{4} c+\frac{1}{12}\right) \frac{1}{n^{3}} \\
+ & \left(\frac{1}{4} c-\frac{1}{8} b+\frac{3}{4} b c-\frac{3}{8} b^{2}-\frac{3}{2} b^{3}+\frac{3}{2} b^{2} c-\frac{1}{16}\right) \frac{1}{n^{4}}+O\left(\frac{1}{n^{5}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{n^{2}\left[\beta_{n}(b, c)-\beta_{n+1}(b, c)\right]\right\}=\frac{c-b}{2}
$$

and

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{n^{2}\left[\beta_{n}(b, b)-\beta_{n+1}(b, b)\right]\right\}=\frac{3 b-1}{12}
$$

By Lemma 2.1, it follows that the sequence $\left\{\beta_{n}(b, c): n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ converges fastest only when $b=c=\frac{1}{3}$. The proof of Theorem 5.1 is complete.

Remark 5.1. We note that the approximation formula (5.1) is the most accurate possible among a class of approximation formulas mentioned above. The numerical computation in Table 1 shows the superiority of (5.1) over (1.3).

Table 1. Numerical computation

| $n$ | $W_{n}-\chi_{n}$ | $W_{n}-\mu_{n}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50 | $8.0124 \times 10^{-4}$ | $4.4198 \times 10^{-9}$ |
| 100 | $2.8269 \times 10^{-4}$ | $3.9124 \times 10^{-10}$ |
| 250 | $7.1425 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.5850 \times 10^{-11}$ |
| 1000 | $8.9225 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.2388 \times 10^{-13}$ |

Theorem 5.2. For every integer $n \geq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sqrt{\frac{e}{\pi}}\left[1-\frac{1}{2(n+1 / 3)}\right]^{n+1 / 3} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}
\end{align*}<W_{n} .
$$

Proof. It is sufficient to prove

$$
b_{n}=\left(n+\frac{1}{3}\right) \ln \left(1-\frac{1}{2(n+1 / 3)}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \ln n-\ln \frac{(2 n-1)!!}{(2 n)!!}+\ln \sqrt{\frac{e}{\pi}}<0
$$

and

$$
c_{n}=b_{n}+\frac{1}{144 n^{3}}>0
$$

Because $b_{n}$ and $c_{n}$ converge to 0 , it suffices to show that $b_{n}$ is strictly increasing and $c_{n}$ is strictly decreasing. For this, we discuss the differences $b_{n+1}-b_{n}=p(n)$ and $c_{n+1}-c_{n}=q(n)$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(x)= & \left(x+\frac{4}{3}\right) \ln \left(1-\frac{1}{2(x+4 / 3)}\right)-\left(x+\frac{1}{3}\right) \ln \left(1-\frac{1}{2(x+1 / 3)}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \ln \left(1+\frac{1}{x}\right)-\ln \frac{2 x+1}{2 x+2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
q(x)=p(x)+\frac{1}{144(x+1)^{3}}-\frac{1}{144 x^{3}}
$$

Since

$$
p^{\prime \prime}(x)=\frac{A(x-1)}{2 x^{2}(3 x+1)(3 x+4)(x+1)^{2}(2 x+1)^{2}(6 x-1)^{2}(6 x+5)^{2}}>0
$$

and

$$
q^{\prime \prime}(x)=-\frac{B(x-1)}{12 x^{5}(3 x+1)(3 x+4)(2 x+1)^{2}(6 x-1)^{2}(x+1)^{5}(6 x+5)^{2}}<0
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
A(x)= & 351068+1516131 x+2684091 x^{2}+2495340 x^{3} \\
& +1285956 x^{4}+348624 x^{5}+38880 x^{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
B(x)= & 6780036+50421819 x+166596550 x^{2}+322415601 x^{3} \\
& +405307306 x^{4}+346439295 x^{5}+204449525 x^{6}+82629900 x^{7} \\
& +22094730 x^{8}+3618864 x^{9}+305208 x^{10}+7776 x^{11}
\end{aligned}
$$

it follows that $p(x)$ is strictly convex and $q(x)$ is strictly concave on $[1, \infty)$. As a result, considering the fact that $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} p(x)=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} q(x)=0$, we derive that $p(x)>0$ and $q(x)<0$ on $[1, \infty)$. Consequently, the sequences $\{p(n): n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $\{q(n): n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ are positive. The proof of Theorem 5.2 is complete.
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