Numerical methods of solutions of boundary value problems for the multi-term variable-distributed order diffusion equation

Anatoly A. Alikhanov

Kabardino-Balkarian State University, ul. Chernyshevskogo 173, Nalchik, 360004, Russia

Abstract

Solutions of the Dirichlet and Robin boundary value problems for the multiterm variable-distributed order diffusion equation are studied. A priori estimates for the corresponding differential and difference problems are obtained by using the method of the energy inequalities. The stability and convergence of the difference schemes follow from these a priory estimates. The credibility of the obtained results is verified by performing numerical calculations for test problems.

Keywords: fractional order diffusion equation, fractional derivative, a priori estimate, difference scheme, stability and convergence

1. Introduction

Differential equations with fractional order derivatives provide a powerful mathematical tool for accurate and realistic description of physical and chemical processes proceeding in media with fractal geometry [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. It is known that the order of a fractional derivative depends on the fractal dimension of medium [6, 7]. It is therefore reasonable to construct mathematical models based on partial differential equations with the variable and distributed order derivatives [1, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14]. Analytical methods for solving such equations are scarcely effective, so that the development of the corresponding numerical methods is very important.

Email address: aaalikhanov@gmail.com()

The initial-boundary-value problems for the generalized multi-term time fractional diffusion equation over an open bounded domain $G \times (0, T), G \in \mathbb{R}^n$ were considered [15]. Multi-term linear and non-linear diffusion-wave equations of fractional order were solved in [16] using the Adomian decomposition method. Applications of the homotopy analysis and new modified homotopy perturbation methods to solutions of multi-term linear and nonlinear diffusion-wave equations of fractional order are discussed in [17, 18]. In the papers [19, 20] analytical solutions for the multi-term timefractional diffusion-wave and the multi-term time-space Caputo-Riesz fractional advection-diffusion equations on a finite domain are studied. The fundamental solution of the multi-term diffusion equation with the Dzharbashyan-Nersesyan fractional differentiation operator with respect to the time variables is constructed in [21].

Several methods for solving variable and distributed order fractional differential equations with various kinds of the variable and distributed fractional derivative have been proposed [11, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28]. A priory estimates for the difference problems obtained in [29, 30, 31] by using the maximum principle imply the stability and convergence of the considered difference schemes. Using the energy inequality method, a priori estimates for the solution of the Dirichlet and Robin boundary value problems for the fractional and variable order diffusion equation with Caputo fractional derivative have been obtained [32], [33].

2. Boundary value problems in differential setting

2.1. The Dirichlet boundary value problem

In rectangle $\bar{Q}_T = \{(x,t) : 0 \le x \le l, 0 \le t \le T\}$ let us study the boundary value problem

$$\mathbb{P}_{(\omega)}^{(\theta)}(\partial_{0t})u(x,t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(k(x,t)\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right) - q(x,t)u + f(x,t), \ 0 < x < l, \ 0 < t \le T,$$
(1)

$$u(0,t) = 0, \quad u(l,t) = 0, \quad 0 \le t \le T,$$
(2)

$$u(x,0) = u_0(x), \quad 0 \le x \le l,$$
 (3)

where

$$\mathbb{P}_{(\omega)}^{(\theta)}(\partial_{0t}) u(x,t) = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} d\gamma \sum_{r=1}^{m} \omega_r(x,\gamma) \partial_{0t}^{\theta_r(x,\gamma)} u(x,t),$$

$$\alpha < \beta, \quad 0 < \theta_r(x, \gamma) < 1, \quad \omega_r(x, \gamma) \ge 0, \quad r = 1, 2, ..., m, \quad \text{for all}$$
$$(x, \gamma) \in [0, l] \times [\alpha, \beta], \quad \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} d\gamma \sum_{r=1}^{m} \omega_r(x, \gamma) > 0, \quad \theta_r(x, \gamma) \in C[0, l] \times [\alpha, \beta],$$
$$0 < c_1 \le k(x, t) \le c_2, \quad q(x, t) \ge 0,$$

 $\partial_{0t}^{\theta_r(x,\gamma)} u(x,\eta) = \int_0^t u_\eta(x,\eta)(t-\eta)^{-\theta_r(x,\gamma)} d\eta / \Gamma(1-\theta_r(x,\gamma)) \text{ is a Caputo frac-}$ tional derivative of order $\theta_r(x, \gamma)$ [34, 35].

The existence of the solution for the initial boundary value problem of fractional, multi-term and distributed order diffusion equation has been proven in [12, 19, 36, 37, 38, 39].

Let us assume further the existence of a solution $u(x,t) \in C^{2,1}(\bar{Q}_T)$ for the problems (1)–(3), where $C^{m,n}$ is the class of functions, continuous together with their partial derivatives of the order m with respect to x and order nwith respect to t on \bar{Q}_T .

Lemma 1. For any functions v(t) and w(t) absolutely continuous on [0, T], one has the equality:

$$v(t)\mathbb{P}_{(\bar{\omega})}^{(\bar{\theta})}(\partial_{0t})w(t) + w(t)\mathbb{P}_{(\bar{\omega})}^{(\bar{\theta})}(\partial_{0t})v(t) = \mathbb{P}_{(\bar{\omega})}^{(\bar{\theta})}(\partial_{0t})(v(t)w(t)) +$$

$$+\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} d\gamma \sum_{r=1}^{m} \frac{\bar{\omega}_r(\gamma)\bar{\theta}_r(\gamma)}{\Gamma(1-\bar{\theta}_r(\gamma))} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{d\xi}{(t-\xi)^{1-\bar{\theta}_r(\gamma)}} \int_{0}^{\xi} \frac{v'(\eta)d\eta}{(t-\eta)^{\bar{\theta}_r(\gamma)}} \int_{0}^{\xi} \frac{w'(s)ds}{(t-s)^{\bar{\theta}_r(\gamma)}}, \quad (4)$$

where $\bar{\omega}_r(\gamma) \ge 0$, $0 < \bar{\theta}_r(\gamma) < 1$, for all $\gamma \in [\alpha, \beta]$, $\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} d\gamma \sum_{r=1}^{m} \bar{\omega}_r(\gamma) > 0$. **Proof.** For any fixed $\gamma \in [\alpha, \beta]$ and $r \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$, relying on lemma

1 [33] one finds the following equality

$$v(t)\partial_{0t}^{\bar{\theta}_r(\gamma)}w(t) + w(t)\partial_{0t}^{\bar{\theta}_r(\gamma)}v(t) = \partial_{0t}^{\bar{\theta}_r(\gamma)}(v(t)w(t)) +$$

$$+\frac{\bar{\theta}_r(\gamma)}{\Gamma(1-\bar{\theta}_r(\gamma))}\int\limits_0^t\frac{d\xi}{(t-\xi)^{1-\bar{\theta}_r(\gamma)}}\int\limits_0^{\xi}\frac{v'(\eta)d\eta}{(t-\eta)^{\bar{\theta}_r(\gamma)}}\int\limits_0^{\xi}\frac{w'(s)ds}{(t-s)^{\bar{\theta}_r(\gamma)}}.$$
 (5)

Multiplying (5) by $\bar{\omega}_r(\gamma)$ and summing the result over r from 1 to m, then integrating over γ from α to β one obtains (4). The proof of the lemma 1 is complete.

Corollary. For any function v(t) absolutely continuous on [0, T], the following equality takes place:

$$v(t)\mathbb{P}_{(\bar{\omega})}^{(\bar{\theta})}(\partial_{0t})v(t) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{P}_{(\bar{\omega})}^{(\bar{\theta})}(\partial_{0t})v^{2}(t) + \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} d\gamma \sum_{r=1}^{m} \frac{\bar{\omega}_{r}(\gamma)\bar{\theta}_{r}(\gamma)}{2\Gamma(1-\bar{\theta}_{r}(\gamma))} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{d\xi}{(t-\xi)^{1-\bar{\theta}_{r}(\gamma)}} \left(\int_{0}^{\xi} \frac{v'(\eta)d\eta}{(t-\eta)^{\bar{\theta}_{r}(\gamma)}}\right)^{2}, \quad (6)$$

where $\bar{\omega}_r(\gamma) \ge 0$, $0 < \bar{\theta}_r(\gamma) < 1$, for all $\gamma \in [\alpha, \beta]$, $\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} d\gamma \sum_{r=1}^{m} \bar{\omega}_r(\gamma) > 0$.

Let us use the following notation: $||u||_0^2 = \int_0^l u^2(x,t)dx$, $D_{0t}^{-\nu}u(x,t) =$ $\int_{0}^{\tau} (t-s)^{\nu-1} u(x,s) ds / \Gamma(\nu) \text{ is a fractional Riemann-Liouville integral of order}$

 $\nu > 0.$

Theorem 1. If $k(x,t) \in C^{1,0}(\bar{Q}_T), q(x,t), f(x,t) \in C(\bar{Q}_T), k(x,t) \geq$ $c_1 > 0, q(x,t) \ge 0$ everywhere on \bar{Q}_T , then the solution u(x,t) of the problem (1)-(3) satisfies the a priori estimate:

$$\int_{0}^{l} \mathbb{P}_{(\omega)}^{(\theta-1)}(D_{0t}) u^{2}(x,t) dx + c_{1} \int_{0}^{t} \|u_{x}(x,s)\|_{0}^{2} ds \leq \\ \leq \frac{l^{2}}{2c_{1}} \int_{0}^{t} \|f(x,s)\|_{0}^{2} ds + \int_{0}^{l} u_{0}^{2}(x) dx \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} d\gamma \sum_{r=1}^{m} \frac{\omega_{r}(x,\gamma)t^{1-\theta_{r}(x,\gamma)}}{\Gamma(2-\theta_{r}(x,\gamma))}, \quad (7)$$

where $\mathbb{P}_{(\omega)}^{(\theta-1)}(D_{0t}) = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} d\gamma \sum_{r=1}^{m} \omega_r(x,\gamma) D_{0t}^{\theta_r(x,\gamma)-1}$.

Proof. Let us multiply equation (1) by u(x, t) and integrate the resulting relation over x from 0 to l:

$$\int_{0}^{l} u(x,t) \mathbb{P}_{(\omega)}^{(\theta)}(\partial_{0t}) u(x,t) dx - \int_{0}^{l} u(x,t) (k(x,t)u_x(x,t))_x dx +$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{l} q(x,t)u^{2}(x,t)dx = \int_{0}^{l} u(x,t)f(x,t)dx.$$
 (8)

Then transform the terms in identity (8) as

$$-\int_{0}^{l} u(x,t)(k(x,t)u_{x}(x,t))_{x}dx = \int_{0}^{l} k(x,t)u_{x}^{2}(x,t)dx \ge c_{1}||u_{x}(x,t)||_{0}^{2}, \quad (9)$$

$$\left| \int_{0}^{t} u(x,t)f(x,t)dx \right| \le \varepsilon \|u(x,t)\|_{0}^{2} + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon} \|f(x,t)\|_{0}^{2}, \quad \varepsilon > 0.$$
 (10)

Using the equality (6) one obtains

$$\int_{0}^{l} u(x,t) \mathbb{P}_{(\omega)}^{(\theta)}(\partial_{0t}) u(x,t) dx \ge \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{l} \mathbb{P}_{(\omega)}^{(\theta)}(\partial_{0t}) u^{2}(x,t) dx.$$
(11)

Taking into account the above performed transformations, from the identity (8) one arrives at the inequality

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{l} \mathbb{P}_{(\omega)}^{(\theta)}(\partial_{0t}) u^{2}(x,t) dx + c_{1} \|u_{x}(x,t)\|_{0}^{2} \leq \varepsilon \|u(x,t)\|_{0}^{2} + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon} \|f(x,t)\|_{0}^{2}.$$
(12)

Using the inequality $||u(x,t)||_0^2 \leq (l^2/2)||u_x(x,t)||_0^2$, from the inequality (12) at $\varepsilon = c_1/l^2$, one obtains

$$\int_{0}^{l} \mathbb{P}_{(\omega)}^{(\theta)}(\partial_{0t}) u^{2}(x,t) dx + c_{1} \|u_{x}(x,t)\|_{0}^{2} \leq \frac{l^{2}}{2c_{1}} \|f(x,t)\|_{0}^{2}.$$
 (13)

Changing the variable t by s in inequality (13) and integrating it over s from 0 to t, one obtains the a priori estimate (7).

The uniqueness and the continuous dependence of the solution of the problem (1)-(3) on the input data follow from the a priori estimate (7).

2.2. The Robin boundary value problem.

In the problem (1)-(3) we replace the boundary conditions (2) with

$$\begin{cases} k(0,t)u_x(0,t) = \beta_1(t)u(0,t) - \mu_1(t), \\ -k(l,t)u_x(l,t) = \beta_2(t)u(l,t) - \mu_2(t). \end{cases}$$
(14)

In the rectangle \bar{Q}_T we consider the Robin boundary value problem (1), (3), (14).

Theorem 2. If $k(x,t) \in C^{1,0}(\bar{Q}_T)$, q(x,t), $f(x,t) \in C(\bar{Q}_T)$, $k(x,t) \geq c_1 > 0$, $q(x,t) \geq 0$ everywhere on \bar{Q}_T , $\beta_i(t), \mu_i(t) \in C[0,T]$, $\beta_i(t) \geq \beta_0 > 0$, for all $t \in [0,T]$, i = 1, 2, then the solution u(x,t) of the problem (1), (3), (14) satisfies the a priori estimate:

$$\int_{0}^{l} \mathbb{P}_{(\omega)}^{(\theta-1)}(D_{0t}) u^{2}(x,t) dx + \gamma_{1} \left(\int_{0}^{t} \left(\|u_{x}(x,s)\|_{0}^{2} + u^{2}(0,s) + u^{2}(l,s) \right) ds \right) \leq \\
\leq \frac{\delta_{1}}{\gamma_{1}} \left(\int_{0}^{t} \left(\|f(x,s)\|_{0}^{2} + \mu_{1}^{2}(s) + \mu_{2}^{2}(s) \right) ds \right) + \\
+ \int_{0}^{l} u_{0}^{2}(x) dx \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} d\gamma \sum_{r=1}^{m} \frac{\omega_{r}(x,\gamma) t^{1-\theta_{r}(x,\gamma)}}{\Gamma(2-\theta_{r}(x,\gamma))}, \qquad (15)$$

where $\gamma_1 = \min\{c_1, \beta_0\}, \, \delta_1 = \max\{1+l, l^2\}.$

Proof. Let us multiply the equation (1) by u(x,t) and integrate the resulting relation over x from 0 to l:

$$\int_{0}^{l} u(x,t) \mathbb{P}_{(\omega)}^{(\theta)}(\partial_{0t}) u(x,t) dx - \int_{0}^{l} u(x,t) (k(x,t)u_{x}(x,t))_{x} dx + \int_{0}^{l} q(x,t)u^{2}(x,t) dx = \int_{0}^{l} u(x,t)f(x,t) dx.$$
(16)

Now we transform the terms of the identity (16):

$$\int_{0}^{l} u(x,t) \mathbb{P}_{(\omega)}^{(\theta)}(\partial_{0t}) u(x,t) dx \ge \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{l} \mathbb{P}_{(\omega)}^{(\theta)}(\partial_{0t}) u^{2}(x,t) dx.$$

$$-\int_{0}^{l} u(ku_{x})_{x} dx = \beta_{1}(t)u^{2}(0,t) + \beta_{2}(t)u^{2}(l,t) - \mu_{1}(t)u(0,t) - \mu_{2}(t)u(l,t) + \int_{0}^{l} ku_{x}^{2} dx + \left| \int_{0}^{l} uf dx \right| \le \varepsilon \|u\|_{0}^{2} + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon} \|f\|_{0}^{2}, \quad \varepsilon > 0.$$

From (16), taking into account the transformations performed, one arrives at the inequality

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{l} \mathbb{P}_{(\omega)}^{(\theta)}(\partial_{0t}) u^{2}(x,t) dx + c_{1} \|u_{x}(x,t)\|_{0}^{2} + \beta_{0} u^{2}(0,t) + \beta_{0} u^{2}(l,t) \leq \\
\leq \mu_{1}(t) u(0,t) + \mu_{2}(t) u(l,t) + \varepsilon \|u\|_{0}^{2} + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon} \|f\|_{0}^{2}.$$
(17)

Using the inequalities $\mu_1(t)u(0,t) \leq \varepsilon u^2(0,t) + (4\varepsilon)^{-1}\mu_1^2(t), \ \mu_2(t)u(l,t) \leq \varepsilon u^2(l,t) + (4\varepsilon)^{-1}\mu_2^2(t), \ \varepsilon > 0; \ \|u(x,t)\|_0^2 \leq l^2 \|u_x(x,t)\|_0^2 + l(u^2(0,t) + u^2(l,t))$ with $\varepsilon = \gamma_1/(2\delta_1)$, from (17) one has the following inequality

$$\int_{0}^{l} \mathbb{P}_{(\omega)}^{(\theta)}(\partial_{0t}) u^{2}(x,t) dx + \gamma_{1} \left(\|u_{x}(x,t)\|_{0}^{2} + u^{2}(0,t) + u^{2}(l,t) \right) \leq \\ \leq \frac{\delta_{1}}{\gamma_{1}} \left(\|f(x,t)\|_{0}^{2} + \mu_{1}^{2}(t) + \mu_{2}^{2}(t) \right).$$
(18)

Changing the variable t by s in inequality (18) and integrating it over s from 0 to t, we obtain the a priori estimate (15).

The uniqueness and the continuous dependence of the solution of problem (1), (3), (14) on the input data follow from the a priori estimate (15).

3. Boundary value problems in difference setting

Suppose that a solution $u(x,t) \in C^{4,3}(Q_T)$ of the problem (1)–(3) exists, and the coefficients of the equation (1) and the functions f(x,t), $u_0(x)$ satisfy the smoothness conditions, required for the construction of difference schemes with the order of approximation $O(\tau^{2-\theta_{\max}} + h^2)$, where $\theta_{\max} = \max_{r,x,\gamma} \theta_r(x,\gamma)$. In the rectangle \bar{Q}_T we introduce the grid $\bar{\omega}_{h\tau} = \bar{\omega}_h \times \bar{\omega}_{\tau}$, where $\bar{\omega}_h = \{x_i = ih, i = 0, 1, \dots, N, hN = l\}, \ \bar{\omega}_{\tau} = \{t_j = j\tau, j = 0, 1, \dots, j_0, \tau j_0 = T\}$. Before to turn to the approximation of the problem (1)–(3), let us find

the discrete analog of the $\mathbb{P}_{(\omega)}^{(\theta)}(\partial_{0t}) u(x,t)$. For any fixed $\gamma \in [\alpha, \beta]$ and $r \in \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$, the following equality takes place

$$\partial_{0t_{j+1}}^{\theta_r(x_i,\gamma)} u(x_i,\eta) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\theta_r(x_i,\gamma))} \int_{0}^{t_{j+1}} \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} u(x_i,\eta) d\eta}{(t_{j+1}-\eta)^{\theta_r(x_i,\gamma)}} = \\ = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\theta_r(x_i,\gamma))} \sum_{s=0}^{j} \int_{t_s}^{t_{s+1}} \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} u(x_i,\eta) d\eta}{(t_{j+1}-\eta)^{\theta_r(x_i,\gamma)}} = \\ = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\theta_r(x_i,\gamma))} \sum_{s=0}^{j} \int_{t_s}^{t_{s+1}} \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} u(x_i,\eta)|_{\eta=t_{s+1/2}}}{(t_{j+1}-\eta)^{\theta_r(x_i,\gamma)}} d\eta + \\ = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\theta_r(x_i,\gamma))} \sum_{s=0}^{j} \int_{t_s}^{t_{s+1}} \frac{\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \eta^2} u(x_i,\eta)|_{\eta=t_{s+1/2}}}{(t_{j+1}-\eta)^{\theta_r(x_i,\gamma)}} d\eta + O(\tau^2) = \\ = \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\theta_r(x_i,\gamma))} \sum_{s=0}^{j} \left(t_{j-s+1}^{1-\theta_r(x_i,\gamma)} - t_{j-s}^{1-\theta_r(x_i,\gamma)} \right) \frac{u(x_i,t_{s+1}) - u(x_i,t_s)}{\tau} + \\ + \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\theta_r(x_i,\gamma))} \sum_{s=0}^{j} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \eta^2} u(x_i,\eta)|_{\eta=t_{s+1/2}} \int_{t_s}^{t_{s+1}} \frac{(\eta-t_{s+1/2}) d\eta}{(t_{j+1}-\eta)^{\theta_r(x_i,\gamma)}} + O(\tau^2).$$
(19)

Since

$$\left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 - \theta_r(x_i, \gamma))} \sum_{s=0}^j \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \eta^2} u(x_i, \eta) |_{\eta = t_{s+1/2}} \int_{t_s}^{t_{s+1}} \frac{\left(\eta - t_{s+1/2}\right) d\eta}{(t_{j+1} - \eta)^{\theta_r(x_i, \gamma)}} \right| \le \frac{M}{\Gamma(1 - \theta_r(x_i, \gamma))} \sum_{s=0}^j \left| \int_{t_s}^{t_{s+1}} \frac{\left(\eta - t_{s+1/2}\right) d\eta}{(t_{j+1} - \eta)^{\theta_r(x_i, \gamma)}} \right| =$$

$$\begin{split} &= \frac{M}{\Gamma(1-\theta_{r}(x_{i},\gamma))} \sum_{s=0}^{j} \left| \int_{t^{s+1/2}}^{t_{s+1/2}} \frac{(\eta-t_{s+1/2}) \, d\eta}{(t_{j+1}-\eta)^{\theta_{r}(x_{i},\gamma)}} - \int_{t^{s}}^{t_{s+1/2}} \frac{(t_{s+1/2}-\eta) \, d\eta}{(t_{j+1}-\eta)^{\theta_{r}(x_{i},\gamma)}} \right| = \\ &= \frac{2^{\theta_{r}(x_{i},\gamma)} M \tau^{2-\theta_{r}(x_{i},\gamma)}}{4\Gamma(1-\theta(x_{i},\gamma))} \sum_{s=0}^{j} \left(\int_{0}^{1} \frac{z \, dz}{(2s+1-z)^{\theta_{r}(x_{i},\gamma)}} - \int_{0}^{1} \frac{z \, dz}{(2s+1+z)^{\theta_{r}(x_{i},\gamma)}} \right) = \\ &= \frac{2^{\theta_{r}(x_{i},\gamma)} M \tau^{2-\theta_{r}(x_{i},\gamma)}}{4\Gamma(1-\theta_{r}(x_{i},\gamma))} \int_{0}^{1} z \sum_{s=0}^{j} \left(\frac{1}{(2s+1-z)^{\theta_{r}(x_{i},\gamma)}} - \frac{1}{(2s+1+z)^{\theta_{r}(x_{i},\gamma)}} \right) \, dz = \\ &= \frac{2^{\theta_{r}(x_{i},\gamma)} M \tau^{2-\theta_{r}(x_{i},\gamma)}}{4\Gamma(1-\theta_{r}(x_{i},\gamma))} \int_{0}^{1} z \sum_{s=1}^{j} \left(\frac{1}{(2s-1+z)^{\theta_{r}(x_{i},\gamma)}} - \frac{1}{(2s+1-z)^{\theta_{r}(x_{i},\gamma)}} \right) \, dz - \\ &- \frac{2^{\theta_{r}(x_{i},\gamma)} M \tau^{2-\theta_{r}(x_{i},\gamma)}}{4\Gamma(1-\theta_{r}(x_{i},\gamma))} \int_{0}^{1} z \sum_{s=1}^{j} \left(\frac{1}{(2s-1+z)^{\theta_{r}(x_{i},\gamma)}} - \frac{1}{(2s+1-z)^{\theta_{r}(x_{i},\gamma)}} \right) \, dz - \\ &\leq \frac{2^{\theta_{r}(x_{i},\gamma)} M \tau^{2-\theta_{r}(x_{i},\gamma)}}{4\Gamma(1-\theta_{r}(x_{i},\gamma))} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{z \, dz}{1} \frac{z \, dz}{(1-z)^{\theta_{r}(x_{i},\gamma)}} = \frac{2^{\theta_{r}(x_{i},\gamma)} M \tau^{2-\theta_{r}(x_{i},\gamma)}}{4\Gamma(3-\theta_{r}(x_{i},\gamma))} \leq \frac{M \tau^{2-\theta_{\max}}}{2} \end{split}$$

with $M = \max_{(x,t)\in\bar{Q}_T} |\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} u(x,t)|$, then multiplying (19) by $\omega_r(x_i,\gamma)$ and summing the result over r from 1 to m, then integrating over γ from α to β one finds

$$\mathbb{P}_{(\omega)}^{(\theta)}\left(\partial_{0t_{j+1}}\right)u(x_i,t) = \mathbb{P}_{(\omega)}^{(\theta)}\left(\Delta_{0t_{j+1}}\right)u(x_i,t) + O(\tau^{2-\theta_{\max}}),\tag{20}$$

where

$$\mathbb{P}_{(\omega)}^{(\theta)}\left(\Delta_{0t_{j+1}}\right)u(x_i,t) = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} d\gamma \sum_{r=1}^{m} \omega_r(x_i,\gamma)\Delta_{0t_{j+1}}^{\theta_r(x_i,\gamma)}u(x_i,t),$$

$$\Delta_{0t_{j+1}}^{\theta_r(x_i,\gamma)}u(x_i,t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\theta_r(x_i,\gamma))} \sum_{s=0}^j \left(t_{j-s+1}^{1-\theta_r(x_i,\gamma)} - t_{j-s}^{1-\theta_r(x_i,\gamma)} \right) \frac{u(x_i,t_{s+1}) - u(x_i,t_s)}{\tau}.$$

Lemma 2. For any function v(t) defined on the grid $\bar{\omega}_{\tau}$ one has the inequalities

$$v^{j+1}\mathbb{P}_{(\bar{\omega})}^{(\bar{\theta})}\left(\Delta_{0t_{j+1}}\right)v \geq \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{P}_{(\bar{\omega})}^{(\bar{\theta})}\left(\Delta_{0t_{j+1}}\right)v^{2}.$$
(21)

Proof. For any fixed $\gamma \in [\alpha, \beta]$ and $r \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$, relying on lemma 2 [33] one finds the following inequality

$$v^{j+1}\Delta_{0t_{j+1}}^{\bar{\theta}_r(\gamma)}v \ge \frac{1}{2}\Delta_{0t_{j+1}}^{\bar{\theta}_r(\gamma)}v^2 + \frac{\tau^2\Gamma(2-\theta_r(\gamma))}{2}\left(\Delta_{0t_{j+1}}^{\bar{\theta}_r(\gamma)}v\right)^2 \ge \frac{1}{2}\Delta_{0t_{j+1}}^{\bar{\theta}_r(\gamma)}v^2.$$
(22)

Multiplying (22) by $\omega_1(\gamma)$ and summing the result over r from 1 to m, then integrating over γ from α to β one arrives at (21). The proof of the lemma 2 is complete.

3.1. The Dirichlet boundary value problem

To problem (1)–(3), we assign the difference scheme:

$$\mathbb{P}_{(\omega)}^{(\theta)}\left(\Delta_{0t_{j+1}}\right)y_i = \Lambda y_i^{j+1} + \varphi_i^{j+1}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N-1, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, j_0 - 1,$$
(23)

$$y(0,t) = 0, \quad y(l,t) = 0, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, j_0,$$
(24)

$$y(x,0) = u_0(x), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, N,$$
(25)

where $\Lambda y = (ay_{\bar{x}})_x - dy, v_{\bar{x},i} = (v_i - v_{i-1})/h, v_{x,i} = (v_{i+1} - v_i)/h, a_i^{j+1} = k(x_{i-1/2}, t_{j+1}), d_i^{j+1} = q(x_i, t_{j+1}), \varphi_i^{j+1} = f(x_i, t_{j+1}), \Delta_{0t_j}^{\theta_r(x_i,\gamma)} y_i = \sum_{s=0}^j (t_{j-s+1}^{1-\theta_r(x_i,\gamma)} - t_{j-s}^{1-\theta_r(x_i,\gamma)}) y_{t,i}^s / \Gamma(2 - \theta_r(x_i,\gamma))$ is the difference analogue of the Caputo fractional derivative of order $\theta_i(x_i,\gamma)$ $u^s = (u^{s+1} - u^s)/\tau$

tional derivative of order $\theta_r(x_i, \gamma)$, $y_{t,i}^s = (y_i^{s+1} - y_i^s)/\tau$. According to [40] and the formula (20), the order of the approximation of the difference scheme (23)–(25) is $O(\tau^{2-\theta_{\max}} + h^2)$.

Theorem 3. The difference scheme (23)–(25) is absolutely stable and its solution satisfies the following a priori estimate:

$$\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} d\gamma \sum_{r=1}^{m} \left(\frac{\omega_r(x_i, \gamma)}{\Gamma(2 - \theta_r(x_i, \gamma))}, \sum_{s=0}^{j} (t_{j-s+1}^{1-\theta_r(x_i, \gamma)} - t_{j-s}^{1-\theta_r(x_i, \gamma)})(y_i^{s+1})^2 \right) + c_1 \sum_{s=0}^{j} \|y_{\bar{x}}^{j+1}\|_0^2 \tau \le \frac{l^2}{2c_1} \sum_{s=0}^{j} \|\varphi^s\|_0^2 \tau + \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} d\gamma \sum_{r=1}^{m} \left(\frac{\omega_r(x_i, \gamma)t_{j+1}^{1-\theta_r(x_i, \gamma)}}{\Gamma(2 - \theta_r(x_i, \gamma))}, u_0^2(x_i) \right),$$
(26)

where $(y, v) = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} y_i v_i h$, $(y, v] = \sum_{i=1}^{N} y_i v_i h$, $\|y\|_0^2 = (y, y)$, $\|y\|_0^2 = (y, y]$.

Proof. Let us multiply scalarly equation (23) by y_i^{j+1} :

$$\left(y^{j+1}, \mathbb{P}_{(\omega)}^{(\theta)}\left(\Delta_{0t_{j+1}}\right) y_{i}\right) - (\Lambda y^{j+1}, y^{j+1}) = (\varphi^{j}, y^{j+1}).$$
(27)

Let us transform the terms in identity (27):

$$-(\Lambda y^{j+1}, y^{j+1}) = (a, (y^{j+1}_{\bar{x}})^2] + (d, (y^{j+1})^2 \ge c_1 ||y^{j+1}_{\bar{x}}]|_0^2,$$
(28)

$$|(\varphi^{j+1}, y^{j+1})| \le \varepsilon ||y^{j+1}||_0^2 + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon} ||\varphi^{j+1}||_0^2 \le \frac{\varepsilon l^2}{2} ||y_{\bar{x}}^{j+1}]|_0^2 + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon} ||\varphi^{j+1}||_0^2, \quad \varepsilon > 0.$$
(29)

Relying on lemma 2 one has

$$\left(y^{j+1}, \mathbb{P}_{(\omega)}^{(\theta)}\left(\Delta_{0t_{j+1}}\right) y_{i}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(1, \mathbb{P}_{(\omega)}^{(\theta)}\left(\Delta_{0t_{j+1}}\right) y_{i}^{2}\right).$$
(30)

From (27) with taking into account (28), (29) and (30), it follows that

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(1, \mathbb{P}_{(\omega)}^{(\theta)} \left(\Delta_{0t_{j+1}} \right) y_i^2 \right) + c_1 \|y_{\bar{x}}^{j+1}\|_0^2 \le \frac{\varepsilon l^2}{2} \|y_{\bar{x}}^{j+1}\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon} \|\varphi\|_0^2.$$
(31)

Multiplying the inequality (31) at $\varepsilon = c_1/l^2$, by τ and summing over s from 0 to j, one obtains the a priori estimate (26).

The stability and convergence of the difference scheme (23)-(25) follow from the a priori estimate (26).

Here the results are obtained for the homogeneous boundary conditions u(0,t) = 0, u(l,t) = 0. In the case of inhomogeneous boundary conditions $u(0,t) = \mu_1(t)$, $u(l,t) = \mu_2(t)$ the boundary conditions for the difference problem will have the following form:

$$y(0,t) = \mu_1(t), \quad y(l,t) = \mu_2(t).$$
 (32)

Convergence of the difference scheme (23), (25), (32) follows from the results obtained above. Actually, let us introduce the notation y = z + u. Then the error z = y - u is a solution of the following problem:

$$\mathbb{P}_{(\omega)}^{(\theta)}\left(\Delta_{0t_{j+1}}\right)z_i = \Lambda z^{j+1} + \psi^{j+1}, \ i = 1, ..., N-1, \ j = 0, 1, ..., j_0 - 1, \quad (33)$$

$$z(0,t) = 0, \quad z(l,t) = 0, \quad j = 0, ..., j_0,$$
(34)

$$z(x,0) = 0, \quad i = 0, ..., N,$$
 (35)

where $\psi \equiv \Lambda u^{j+1} - \mathbb{P}^{(\theta)}_{(\omega)} \left(\Delta_{0t_{j+1}} \right) u_i + \varphi^{j+1} = O(\tau^{2-\theta_{\max}} + h^2).$

The solution of the problem (33)–(35) satisfies the estimation (26) so that the solution of the difference scheme (23), (25), (32) converges to the solution of the corresponding differential problem with order $O(\tau^{2-\theta_{\text{max}}} + h^2)$.

3.2. Numerical results

In this section, the following multi-term variable-distributed order time fractional diffusion equation is considered:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{P}_{(\omega)}^{(\theta)}(\partial_{0t}) u(x,t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(k(x,t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) - q(x,t)u + f(x,t), \\ u(0,t) = \mu_1(t), \quad u(l,t) = \mu_2(t), \quad 0 \le t \le 1, \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x), \quad 0 \le x \le l, \end{cases}$$
(36)

where

$$\mathbb{P}_{(\omega)}^{(\theta)}(\partial_{0t})u(x,t) = \int_{0}^{1} d\gamma \sum_{r=1}^{5} \omega_r(x,\gamma)\partial_{0t}^{\theta_r(x,\gamma)}u(x,t),$$

$$\begin{split} \theta_r(x,\gamma) &= \frac{1 + (rx+1)\gamma - \cos(rx\gamma)}{r+4}, \quad \theta_{\max} \approx 0.856, \quad \omega_r(x,\gamma) = (rx+1 + rx\sin(rx\gamma)) \frac{\Gamma(3 - \theta_r(x,z))}{2r+8}, \\ k(x,t) &= \frac{8 + \sin(t)}{3x^2 + 1}, \quad q(x,t) = 1 - \sin(xt), \quad f(x,t) = \sum_{r=1}^5 \left(t^{2 - \theta_r(x,0)} - t^{2 - \theta_r(x,1)} \right) \frac{x^3 + x + 1}{\ln t} + \\ (x^3 + x + 1)(t^2 + 1)(1 - \sin(xt)), \quad \mu_1(t) = t^2 + 1, \quad \mu_2(t) = 3(t^2 + 1), \\ u_0(x) &= x^3 + x + 1. \end{split}$$

The exact solution is $u(x,t) = (x^3 + x + 1)(t^2 + 1)$.

A comparison of the numerical solution and exact solution is provided in **Table 1**.

Table 2 shows that when we take a fixed value h = 0.001, then as the number of time of our approximate scheme is decreased, a redaction in the maximum error is observed, as expected and the convergence order of time is $O(\tau^{2-\theta_{\text{max}}}) \approx O(\tau^{1.144})$, where the convergence order is calculated by the following formula: Convergence order $= \log_{\frac{\tau_1}{\tau_2}} \frac{e_1}{e_2}$.

Table 3 shows that when we take $h^2 = \tau^{\frac{\tau_2}{2} - \theta_{\text{max}}} \approx \tau^{1.144}$, as the number as spatial subintervals/time steps is decreased, a reduction in the maximum error is observed, es expected the convergence order of the approximate scheme is $O(h^2)$, where the convergence order is calculated by the following formula: Convergence order $= \log_{\frac{h_1}{h_2}} \frac{e_1}{e_2}$.

Table 1

-

The error, numerical solution and exact solution, when t = 0.99, h = 0.1, $\tau = 0.01$.

$\operatorname{Space}(x_i)$	Numerical solution	Exact solution	Error
0.0000	1.9801000	1.9801000	0.0000000
0.1000	2.1798574	2.1800901	0.0002337
0.2000	2.3915106	2.3919608	0.0004502
0.3000	2.6269549	2.6275927	0.0006378
0.4000	2.8980853	2.8988664	0.0007811
0.5000	3.2167962	3.2176625	0.0008663
0.6000	3.5949810	3.5958616	0.0008806
0.7000	4.0445325	4.0453443	0.0008118
0.8000	4.5773422	4.5779912	0.0006490
0.9000	5.2053012	5.2056829	0.0003817
1.0000	5.9403000	5.9403000	0.0000000

Table 2

-

Maximum error behavior versus time grid size reduction at t = 0.99 when h = 0.001.

au	Maximum error	Convergence order
0.99/10	0.0006796	
0.99/20	0.0002474	1.458
0.99/40	0.0000907	1.448

Table 3

Maximum error	behavior versu	s grid size reduction	at $t = 0.99$ when $h^2 = \tau^{1.144}$.

h	Maximum error	Convergence order
1/10	0.0008536	
1/20	0.0002178	1.970
1/40	0.0000550	1.985
1/80	0.0000138	1.995

3.3. The Robin boundary value problem.

To the differential problem (1), (3), (14) we assign the following difference scheme:

$$\mathbb{P}_{(\omega)}^{(\theta)}\left(\Delta_{0t_{j+1}}\right)y_i = \Lambda y_i^{j+1} + \varphi^{j+1}, \quad i = 0, \dots, N, j = 0, 1, \dots, j_0 - 1, \quad (37)$$

$$y(x,0) = u_0(x), \quad i = 0, \dots, N,$$
(38)

where $\Lambda y = (a_1 y_x - \tilde{\beta}_1 y)/(0.5h), i = 0, \quad \Lambda y = (a y_{\bar{x}})_x - dy, i = 1, \dots, N-1,$ $\Lambda y = (-a_N y_{\bar{x}} - \tilde{\beta}_2 y)/(0.5h), i = N, \quad \varphi_0 = (2\tilde{\mu}_1)/h, \quad \varphi_N = (2\tilde{\mu}_2)/h,$ $\tilde{\beta}_1 = \beta_1 + 0.5hd_0, \quad \tilde{\beta}_2 = \beta_2 + 0.5hd_N, \quad \tilde{\mu}_1 = \mu_1 + 0.5hf_0, \quad \tilde{\mu}_2 = \mu_2 + 0.5hf_N.$ The difference scheme (37)–(38) has the order of approximation $O(\tau^{2-\theta_{\max}} + h^2).$

Theorem 4. The difference scheme (37)–(38) is absolutely stable and its solution satisfies the following a priori estimate:

$$\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} d\gamma \sum_{r=1}^{m} \left[\frac{\omega_{r}(x_{i},\gamma)}{\Gamma(2-\theta_{r}(x_{i},\gamma))}, \sum_{s=0}^{j} (t_{j-s+1}^{1-\theta_{r}(x_{i},\gamma)} - t_{j-s}^{1-\theta_{r}(x_{i},\gamma)})(y_{i}^{s+1})^{2} \right] + \gamma_{1} \sum_{s=0}^{j} \left(||(y_{\bar{x}}^{s+1})||_{0}^{2} + (y_{0}^{s+1})^{2} + (y_{N}^{s+1})^{2} \right) \tau \leq \frac{\delta_{1}}{\gamma_{1}} \sum_{s=0}^{j} \left((\tilde{\mu}_{1}^{s+1})^{2} + (\tilde{\mu}_{2}^{s+1})^{2} + ||\varphi^{s+1}||_{0}^{2} \right) \tau + \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} d\gamma \sum_{r=1}^{m} \left[\frac{\omega_{r}(x_{i},\gamma)t_{j+1}^{1-\theta_{r}(x_{i},\gamma)}}{\Gamma(2-\theta_{r}(x_{i},\gamma))}, u_{0}^{2}(x_{i}) \right],$$
(39)

where $\gamma_1 = \min\{c_1, \beta_0\}, \ \delta_1 = \max\{1+l, l^2\}, \ [y,v] = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} y_i v_i h + 0.5y_0 v_0 h + 0.5y_N v_N h, \ |[y]|_0^2 = [y, y].$

Proof. Let us multiply scalarly equation (37) by y^{j+1} :

$$\left[y^{j+1}, \mathbb{P}_{(\omega)}^{(\theta)}\left(\Delta_{0t_{j+1}}\right) y_{i}\right] - \left[\Lambda y^{j+1}, y^{j+1}\right] = \left[\varphi^{j+1}, y^{j+1}\right], \tag{40}$$

Let us transform the terms occurring in identity (40) as

$$\left[y^{j+1}, \mathbb{P}_{(\omega)}^{(\theta)} \left(\Delta_{0t_{j+1}} \right) y_i \right] \ge \frac{1}{2} \left[1, \mathbb{P}_{(\omega)}^{(\theta)} \left(\Delta_{0t_{j+1}} \right) y_i^2 \right],$$

$$- \left[\Lambda y^{j+1}, y^{j+1} \right] = \tilde{\beta}_1 (y_0^{j+1})^2 + \tilde{\beta}_2 (y_N^{j+1})^2 + (a, (y_{\bar{x}}^{j+1})^2] + [d, (y^{j+1})^2],$$

$$| [\varphi, y^{j+1}] | \le \varepsilon \| y^{j+1} \|_0^2 + \tilde{\mu}_1 y_0^{j+1} + \tilde{\mu}_2 y_N^{j+1} + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon} \| \varphi \|_0^2, \quad \varepsilon > 0.$$

Taking into account the above performed transformations, from identity (40) one arrives at the inequality

$$\frac{1}{2} \left[1, \mathbb{P}_{(\omega)}^{(\theta)} \left(\Delta_{0t_{j+1}} \right) y_i^2 \right] + c_1 \|y_{\bar{x}}^{j+1}\|_0^2 + \beta_0 ((y_0^{j+1})^2 + (y_N^{j+1})^2) \le \\
\le \varepsilon (\|y^{(\sigma)}\|_0^2 + (y_0^{j+1})^2 + (y_N^{j+1})^2) + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon} (\tilde{\mu}_1^2 + \tilde{\mu}_2^2 + \|\varphi\|_0^2).$$
(41)

From (41) at $\varepsilon = \gamma_1/(2\delta_1)$, using that $\|y\|_0^2 \leq l^2 \|y_{\bar{x}}\|_0^2 + l(y_0^2 + y_N^2)$, one has the following inequality:

$$\left[1, \mathbb{P}_{(\omega)}^{(\theta)}\left(\Delta_{0t_{j+1}}\right) y_{i}^{2}\right] + \gamma_{1}(\|y_{\bar{x}}^{j+1}]\|_{0}^{2} + (y_{0}^{j+1})^{2} + (y_{N}^{j+1})^{2}) \leq \\ \leq \frac{\delta_{1}}{\gamma_{1}} (\tilde{\mu}_{1}^{2} + \tilde{\mu}_{2}^{2} + \|\varphi\|_{0}^{2}).$$

$$(42)$$

Multiplying inequality (42) by τ and summing over s from 0 to j, one obtains a priori estimate (39). The stability and convergence of the difference scheme (37)–(38) follow from the a priori estimate (39).

3.4. Numerical results

In this section, the following multi-term variable-distributed order diffusion equation is considered:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{P}_{(\omega)}^{(\theta)}(\partial_{0t}) u(x,t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(k(x,t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) - q(x,t)u + f(x,t), \\ k(0,t)u_x(0,t) = \beta_1(t)u(0,t) - \mu_1(t), \\ -k(1,t)u_x(1,t) = \beta_2(t)u(1,t) - \mu_2(t), \quad 0 \le t \le 1, \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x), \quad 0 \le x \le 1, \end{cases}$$
(43)

where

$$\mathbb{P}_{(\omega)}^{(\theta)}(\partial_{0t})u(x,t) = \int_{-2}^{3} d\gamma \sum_{r=1}^{9} \omega_r(x,\gamma)\partial_{0t}^{\theta_r(x,\gamma)}u(x,t),$$

$$\begin{split} \theta_r(x,\gamma) &= \frac{3+\gamma+e^{x(\gamma-3)}}{rx+14}, \quad \theta_{\max} = 0.5, \quad \omega_r(x,\gamma) = \frac{(1+xe^{x(\gamma-3)})\Gamma(4-\theta_r(x,z))}{6rx+84}, \quad k(x,t) = \frac{10+\cos(2t)}{5x^4+1}, \quad q(x,t) = 1-\cos\left(2xt\right), \quad f(x,t) = \sum_{r=1}^9 \left(t^{2-\theta_r(x,-2)} - t^{2-\theta_r(x,3)}\right) \frac{x^5+x+1}{\ln t} + (x^5+x+1)(t^3+1)(1-\cos(2xt)), \quad \beta_1(t) = 5+\cos(2t), \quad \beta_2(t) = 1-\cos(2t)/3, \\ \mu_1(t) &= -5(t^2+1), \quad \mu_2(t) = 13(t^3+1), \quad u_0(x) = x^5+x+1. \end{split}$$

The exact solution is $u(x,t) = (x^5 + x + 1)(t^3 + 1)$.

A comparison of the numerical solution and exact solution is provided in **Table 4**.

Table 5 shows that when we take a fixed value h = 0.01, then as the number of time of our approximate scheme is decreased, a redaction in the maximum error is observed, as expected and the convergence order of time is $O(\tau^{2-\theta_{\max}}) = O(\tau^{1.5})$, where the convergence order is calculated by the following formula: Convergence order $= \log_{\frac{\tau_1}{\tau_2}} \frac{e_1}{e_2}$. **Table 6** shows that when we take $h^2 = \tau^{1.5}$, as the number as spatial

Table 6 shows that when we take $h^2 = \tau^{1.5}$, as the number as spatial subintervals/time steps is decreased, a reduction in the maximum error is observed, es expected the convergence order of the approximate scheme is $O(h^2 + \tau^{1.5}) = O(h^2)$, where the convergence order is calculated by the following formula: Convergence order $= \log_{\frac{h_1}{h_2}} \frac{e_1}{e_2}$.

The error, numerical solution and exact solution, when $t = 0.99$, $h = 0.1$, $\tau = 0.045$.			
$\operatorname{Space}(x_i)$	Numerical solution	Exact solution	Error
0.0000	1.9743424	1.9702990	0.0040434
0.1000	2.1715724	2.1673486	0.0042238
0.2000	2.3692967	2.3649893	0.0043074
0.3000	2.5703757	2.5661765	0.0041992
0.4000	2.7824031	2.7785945	0.0038086
0.5000	3.0200717	3.0170203	0.0030514
0.6000	3.3075397	3.3056889	0.0018508
0.7000	3.6807935	3.6806565	0.0001370
0.8000	4.1900054	4.1921658	0.0021604
0.9000	4.9018802	4.9070100	0.0051298
1.0000	5.9019826	5.9108970	0.0089144

Table 4

Tabl	е 5
Tan	сJ

Maximum error behavior versus time grid size reduction at t = 0.99 when h = 0.002.

au	Maximum error	Convergence order
0.99/10	0.0051283	
0.99/20	0.0017203	1.576
0.99/40	0.0005658	1.604
0.99/60	0.0001834	1.625

Table 6

Maximum error behavior versus grid size reduction at t = 0.99 when $h^2 = \tau^{1.5}$.

h	Maximum error	Convergence order
1/10	0.0089152	
1/20	0.0022597	1.980
1/40	0.0005727	1.980

4. Conclusion

Solutions of the Dirichlet and Robin boundary value problems for the multi-term variable-distributed order diffusion equation are studied. A priori estimates for the corresponding differential and difference problems are obtained by using the method of the energy inequalities. The stability and convergence of the difference schemes follow from these a priory estimates. The credibility of the obtained results is verified by performing numerical calculations for test problems.

The method of the energy inequalities proposed in the present paper can be used to find a priori estimates for solutions of a wide class of boundary value problems for the multi-term variable-distributed order diffusion equation to which the maximum principle is not applicable (for example, problems considered in [41, 42, 43, 44]).

It should be emphasized that 1) from the considered equation at m = 1, $\theta_1(x, \gamma) = \gamma$, $\omega_1(x, \gamma) = \omega(\gamma)$ one obtains the distributed order timefractional diffusion equation, 2) setting $\theta_r(x, \gamma) = \theta_r = const$, $\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \omega_r(x, \gamma) d\gamma = \lambda_r(x)$ yields the multi-term time-fractional diffusion equation.

5. Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (project 14-01-31246).

References

- A. M. Nahushev, Fractional Calculus and its Application, FIZMATLIT, Moscow, 2003 (in Russian).
- [2] I. Podlubny, Fractional Differential Equations, Academic Press, San Diego, 1999.

- [3] R. Hilfer (Ed.), Applications of Fractional Calculus in Physics, World Scientific, Singapore, 2000.
- [4] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava, J. J. Trujillo, Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equation, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2006.
- [5] V. V. Uchaikin, Method of Fractional Derivatives, Artishok, Ul'janovsk, 2008 (in Russian).
- [6] V. L. Kobelev, Ya. L. Kobelev, and E. P. Romanov, Non-Debye Relaxation and Diffusion in Fractal Space, Dokl. Akad. Nauk 361, 755-758 (1998) [Dokl. Phys. 43, 752-753 (1998)].
- [7] V. L. Kobelev, Ya. L. Kobelev, and E. P. Romanov, Self-Maintained Processes in the Case of Nonlinear Fractal Diffusion, Dokl. Akad. Nauk 369, 332-333 (1999) [Dokl. Phys. 44, 752-753 (1999)].
- [8] T. M. Atanackovic, S. Pilipovic, Hamilton's principle with variable order fractional derivatives, Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal. 14(1) (2011) 94–109.
- C. F. M. Coimbra, Mechanics with variable-order differential operators, Ann. Phys. 12 (11-12) (2003) 692–703.
- [10] C. F. Lorenzo, T.T. Hartley, Variable order and distributed order fractional operators, Nonlinear Dynam. 29 (2002) 57–98.
- [11] Z. Jiao , Y. Chen , I. Podlubny, Distributed-Order Dynamic Systems. Stability, Simulation, Applications and Perspectives, Springer-Briefs in Electrical and Computer Engineering: Control, Automation and Robotics, 2012, XIII, 90 p.
- [12] Y. Luchko, Boundary value problems for the generalized time-fractional diffusion equation of distributed order, Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal. 12 (2009), 409-422.
- [13] A. V. Pskhu, Partial Differential Equations of the Fractional Order, Nauka, Moscow, 2005 (in Russian).
- [14] A. V. Pskhu, On the theory of the continual integro-differentiation operator, Differential Equations, Vol. 40, No. 1, 2004, pp. 128-136.

- [15] Y. Luchko, Initial-boundary-value problems for the generalized multiterm time-fractional diffusion equation, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 374 (2011) 538-548.
- [16] V. Daftardar-Gejji, S. Bhalekar, Solving multi-term linear and nonlinear diffusionwave equations of fractional order by Adomian decomposition method, Applied Mathematics and Computation. 202 (2008) 113–120
- [17] H. Jafari, A. Golbabai, S. Seifi, K. Sayevand, Homotopy analysis method for solving multi-term linear and nonlinear diffusion-wave equations of fractional order, Computers and Mathematics with Applications 59 (2010) 1337–1344.
- [18] H. Jafari, A. Aminataei, An algorithm for solving multi-term diffusionwave equations of fractional order, Computers and Mathematics with Applications 62 (2011) 1091–1097.
- [19] H. Jiang, F. Liu, I. Turner, K. Burrage, Analytical solutions for the multi-term time-fractional diffusion-wave/diffusion equations in a finite domain, Computers and Mathematics with Applications 64 (2012) 3377-3388.
- [20] H. Jiang, F. Liu, I. Turner, K. Burrage, Analytical solutions for the multi-term timespace CaputoRiesz fractional advectiondiffusion equations on a finite domain, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 389 (2012) 1117-1127.
- [21] A. V. Pskhu, Multi-time fractional diffusion equation, Eur. Phys. J. Special Topics 222, 19391950 (2013)
- [22] S. Shen, F. Liu, J. Chen, I. Turner, V. Anh, Numerical techniques for the variable order time fractional diffusion equation, Appl. Math. Comp. 218 (2012) 10861–10870.
- [23] C. M. Chen, F. Liu, V. Anh, I. Turner, Numerical methods for solving a two-dimensional variable-order anomalous subdiffusion equation, Math. Comp. 81 (2012) 345–366.
- [24] C. Chen, F. Liu, V. Anh, I Turner, Numerical schemes with high spatial accuracy for a variable-order anomalous subdiffusion equations, SIAM J. Scien. Comput. 32(4) (2010) 1740–1760.

- [25] P. Zhuang, F. Liu, V. Anh, I. Turner, Numerical methods for the variable-order fractional advection-diffusion equation with a nonlinear source term, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 47(3) (2009) 1760–1781.
- [26] R. Lin, F. Liu, V. Anh, I. Turner, Stability and convergence of anewexplicitfinite-difference approximation for the variable-order nonlinear fractional diffusion equation, Appl. Math. Comput. 212 (2009) 435–445.
- [27] K. Diethelm, N. J. Ford, Numerical analysis for distributed-order differential equations, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 225 (2009) 96–104.
- [28] M. Stojanović, Numerical method for solving diffusion-wave phenomena, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 235 (2011) 3121-3137.
- [29] M. Kh. Shkhanukov-Lafishev, F.I. Taukenova, Difference methods for solving boundary value problems for fractional differential equations, Comput. Math. Math. Phys. 46(10) (2006) 1785–1795.
- [30] M. Kh. Shkhanukov-Lafishev, M.M. Lafisheva, Locally one-dimensional difference schemes for the fractional order diffusion equation, Computational Mathematics and Mathematical Physics 48(10) (2009) 1875–1884.
- [31] M. Kh. Shkhanukov-Lafishev, A. K. Bazzaev, Locally one-dimensional scheme for fractional diffusion equations with robin boundary conditions, Computational Mathematics and Mathematical Physics 50(7) (2010) 1141–1149.
- [32] A. A. Alikhanov, A Priori Estimates for Solutions of Boundary Value Problems for Fractional-Order Equations, Differ. Equ. 46(5) (2010) 660– 666.
- [33] A. A. Alikhanov, Boundary value problems for the diffusion equation of the variable order in differential and difference settings, Appl. Math. Comput. 219 (2012) 3938–3946.
- [34] M. Caputo, Elasticita e Dissipazione, Zanichelli, Bologna, 1969.

- [35] F. Mainardi, R. Gorenflo, Time-fractional derivatives in relaxation processes: a tutorial survey, Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal. 10(3) (2007) 269–308.
- [36] Y. Luchko, Some uniqueness and existence results for the initialboundary-value problems for the generalized time-fractional diffusion equation, Comput. Math. Applic. 59 (2010) 1766–1772.
- [37] Y. Luchko, Initial-boundary-value problems for the generalized multiterm time-fractional diffusion equation, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 374 (2011) 538–548.
- [38] M. Meerschaert, E. Nane, P. Vellaisamy, Fractional Cauchy problems on bounded domains, Ann. Probab. 37 (2009) 979–1007.
- [39] H. Jiang, F. Liu, I. Turner, K. Burrage, Analytical solutions for the multi-term time-fractional diffusion-wave/diffusion equations in a finite domain, Computers and Mathematics with Applications 64 (2012) 3377– 3388.
- [40] A. A. Samarskiy, Theory of Difference Schemes, Nauka, Moscow, 1977 (in Russian).
- [41] A. A. Alikhanov, Nonlocal boundary value problems in differential and difference settings, Differ. Equ. 44(7) (2008) 952–959.
- [42] A. A. Alikhanov, On the stability and convergence of nonlocal difference schemes, Differ. Equ. 46(7) (2010) 949–961.
- [43] A. A. Alikhanov, Stability and convergence of difference schemes approximating a two-parameter nonlocal boundary value problem, Differ. Equ. 49(7) (2013) 796–806.
- [44] A. A. Alikhanov, The Steklov nonlocal boundary value problem of the second kind for the simplest equations of mathematical physics, Vestn. Samar. Gos. Tekhn. Univ. Ser. Fiz.-Mat. Nauki, 1(30) (2013), 15–23 (in Russian).