A note on the complexity of K-METRIC DIMENSION

Yannick Schmitz, Duygu Vietz, and Egon Wanke

Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf, Germany yannick.schmitz@hhu.de, duygu.vietz@hhu.de, egon.wanke@hhu.de

Abstract

Two vertices $u, v \in V$ of an undirected connected graph G = (V, E) are resolved by a vertex w if the distance between u and w and the distance between v and w are different. A set $R \subseteq V$ of vertices is a k-resolving set for G if for each pair of vertices $u, v \in V$ there are at least k distinct vertices $w_1, \ldots, w_k \in R$ such that each of them resolves u and v. The k-Metric Dimension of G is the size of a smallest k-resolving set for G. The decision problem k-METRIC DIMENSION is the question whether G has a k-resolving set of size at most r, for a given graph G and a given number r. In this paper, we proof the NP-completeness of k-METRIC DIMENSION for bipartite graphs and each $k \geq 2$.

1 Introduction

The metric dimension of graphs has been introduced in the 1970s independently by Slater [Sla75] and by Harary and Melter [HM76]. We consider simple undirected and connected graphs G = (V, E), where V is the set of vertices and $E \subseteq \{\{u, v\} \mid u, v \in V, u \neq v\}$ is the set of edges. Such a graph has metric dimension at most r if there is a vertex set $R \subseteq V$ such that $|R| \leq r$ and $\forall u, v \in V, u \neq v$, there is a vertex $w \in R$ such that $d(w, u) \neq d(w, v)$, where d(u, v) is the distance (the length of a shortest path in an unweighted graph) between u and v. The metric dimension of G is the smallest integer r such that G has metric dimension at most r.

If $d(w, u) \neq d(w, v)$, for three vertices u, v, w, we say that u and v are resolved or distinguished by vertex w. If every pair of vertices is resolved by at least one vertex of a vertex set R, then Ris a resolving set or metric generator for G. In certain applications, the vertices of a resolving set are also called *landmark nodes* or *anchor nodes*. This is a common naming, particularly in the theory of sensor networks.

The metric dimension finds applications in various areas, including network discovery and verification [BEE⁺05], geographical routing protocols [LA06], combinatorial optimization [ST04], sensor networks [HW12], robot navigation [KRR96] and chemistry [CEJO00, Hay77].

There are several algorithms for computing a minimum resolving set in polynomial time for special classes of graphs, for example trees [CEJO00, KRR96], wheels [HMP⁺⁰⁵], grid graphs [MT84], k-regular bipartite graphs [BBS⁺¹¹], amalgamation of cycles [IBSS10] and outerplanar graphs [DPSL12]. The approximability of the metric dimension has been studied for bounded degree, dense and general graphs in [HSV12]. Upper and lower bounds on the metric dimension are considered in [CGH08, CPZ00] for further classes of graphs.

In this paper, we consider the k-Metric Dimension for some positive integer k. A set $R \subseteq V$ of vertices is a k-resolving set for G if for each pair of vertices $u, v \in V$ there are at least k vertices $w_1, \ldots, w_k \in R$ such that each of them resolves u and v. The k-Metric Dimension of G is the size of a smallest k-resolving set for G. The k-METRIC DIMENSION problem was introduced by Estrada-Moreno et al. in [EMRY13]. The 1-metric dimension is simply called metric dimension. The 2-metric dimension is also called fault-tolerant metric dimension and was introduced in [HMSW08].

Estrada-Moreno et al. analysed the (k,t)-METRIC DIMENSION [EMYRV16]. The (k,t)-METRIC DIMENSION is the k-METRIC DIMENSION, with the addition, that the distance between two vertices u, v of G is defined as the minimum of d(u, v) and t. Therefore, if t is set to the diameter of G, the (k,t)-METRIC DIMENSION is the same as the k-METRIC DIMENSION. Estrada-Moreno et al. showed the NP-completeness of (k,t)-METRIC DIMENSION for odd values of k.

The decision problem k-METRIC DIMENSION is defined as follows.

The complexity of K-METRIC DIMENSION

k-Metric Dimension	
Instance:	An undirected connected graph $G = (V, E)$ and a
	number r .
Question:	Is there a k-resolving set $R \subseteq V$ for G of size at most r ?

The complexity of deciding k-METRIC DIMENSION has only been investigated for very few graph classes, such as trees and other simple graph classes. For general graph classes, k-METRIC DIMENSION is assumed to be NP-complete if k is given as part of the input. The decision problem 1-METRIC DIMENSION is known to be NP-complete, see [GJ79]. A proof can be found in [KRR96]. In this paper, we show the NP-completeness of k-METRIC DIMENSION for bipartite graphs and each $k \geq 2$ by an alternative approach to [YER17], whose proof unfortunately is incorrect and does not offer any simple correction options.

2 The NP-completeness of *k*-METRIC DIMENSION

In this section, k-METRIC DIMENSION is shown to be NP-complete for bipartite graphs and each $k \ge 2$ by a reduction from 3-DIMENSIONAL k-MATCHING, which is defined as follows.

	3-Dimensional k-Matching $(3DkM)$
Instance:	A set $S \subseteq A \times B \times C$, where A, B and C are disjoint sets
	of the same size n .
Question:	Does S contain a k-matching, i.e. a subset M of size $k \cdot n$
	such that each element of A, B and C is contained in
	exactly k triples of M ?

For k = 1, the 3D1M problem is the well-known NP-complete 3-DIMENSIONAL MATCHING (3DM) problem, see [GJ79]. The next theorem shows that 3DkM is also NP-complete for each $k \ge 2$.

Theorem 1. 3DkM is NP-complete for each $k \ge 2$.

Proof. The 3DkM problem is obviously in NP, because it can be checked in polynomial time whether a selection of triples from S is a k-matching.

The NP-hardness is shown by a reduction from 3DM. Let

$$A = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}, \qquad B = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}, \\ C = \{c_1, \dots, c_n\}, \text{ and } S = \{s_1, \dots, s_m\}$$

be an instance for 3DM. Without loss of generality, n is assumed to be a multiple of (k-1), that is n = r(k-1) for a positive integer r. If this is not the case, then expand A, B and C by at most k-2 elements each and S by at most k-2 triples, which cover every additional element exactly once and none of the originally given elements.

Now consider the following instance for 3DkM defined by

$$A' = A \cup \{a_{n+1}, \dots, a_{3n}\}, \qquad B' = B \cup \{b_{n+1}, \dots, b_{3n}\}, \\ C' = C \cup \{c_{n+1}, \dots, c_{3n}\}, \text{ and } \quad S' = S \cup R \cup T$$

where $R = \{(a_i, b_i, c_i) | n + 1 \le i \le 3n\}$ and $T \subseteq A' \times B' \times C'$. Set T is a set with 3n(k-1) triples, which will be defined later.

The set A', B' and C' is the set A, B and C respectively, each expanded by additional 2n elements. Set S' is the set S expanded by the 2n triples of R and the 3n(k-1) triples of T.

Let $U = A \cup B \cup C$ and $U' = A' \cup B' \cup C'$. The 2*n* triples of *R* cover each element of $U' \setminus U$ exactly once and no element of *U*. Set *T* will be defined such that its 3n(k-1) triples cover each element of *U'* exactly k-1 times. Each triple of *T* will have exactly one element from *U* and two elements from $U' \setminus U$.

The complexity of K-METRIC DIMENSION

If M is a matching for U then $M \cup R \cup T$ is obviously a k-matching for U' for any $k \ge 2$. Any k-matching M' for U' contains all triples from R and T, because otherwise it is not possible to cover the elements of $U' \setminus U$ at least k times. The triples of T cover the elements of $U' \in X$ and k-matching for $U' \in Y$ at least k times. The triples of T cover the elements of $U' \in X$.

The set T of triples can be easily defined with the help of a set

$$T_{p,q} \subseteq (A \times B) \cup (A \times C) \cup (B \times C)$$

of tuples defined by

$$\begin{array}{l} \{(a_i,b_j) \mid i \in \{p,\ldots,p+q-1\}, \ j \in \{p+q,\ldots,p+2q-1\}\} \\ T_{p,q} = & \cup \quad \{(b_i,c_j) \mid i \in \{p,\ldots,p+q-1\}, \ j \in \{p+q,\ldots,p+2q-1\}\} \\ & \cup \quad \{(c_i,a_j) \mid i \in \{p,\ldots,p+q-1\}, \ j \in \{p+q,\ldots,p+2q-1\}\}. \end{array}$$

These $3q^2$ tuples cover each element of

$$\{a_p, \ldots, a_{p+2q-1}, b_p, \ldots, b_{p+2q-1}, c_p, \ldots, c_{p+2q-1}\}$$

exactly q times. There are

- q^2 tuples between the elements of $\{a_p, \ldots, a_{p+q-1}\}$ and $\{b_{p+q}, \ldots, b_{p+2q-1}\}$,
- q^2 tuples between the elements of $\{b_p, \ldots, b_{p+q-1}\}$ and $\{c_{p+q}, \ldots, c_{p+2q-1}\}$, and
- q^2 tuples between the elements of $\{c_p, \ldots, c_{p+q-1}\}$ and $\{a_{p+q}, \ldots, a_{p+2q-1}\}$.

Now let T' be the set of tuples defined by

$$T' = \bigcup_{i=0}^{r-1} T_{n+1+i2(k-1), k-1}, \text{ with } r = \frac{n}{k-1}$$

T' contains $r3(k-1)^2 = \frac{n}{k-1} \cdot 3(k-1)^2 = 3n(k-1)$ tuples. It is the union of $r = \frac{n}{k-1}$ sets $T_{p,q}$ where index p is running from n+1 to 3n+1-2(k-1) in steps of width 2(k-1) and q = k-1. These tuples of T' cover each element of $U' \setminus U$ exactly (k-1) times.

In the last step, the 3n(k-1) tuples of T' are expanded to 3n(k-1) triples for T, by including each element from U to exactly k-1 tuples from T', such that each generated triple is from the set $A' \times B' \times C'$. Each tuple from T' is extended by exactly one element from U. The result is the set T of triples with the required properties. This transformation can obviously be done in polynomial time, see also Example 1.

Example 1. Let $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_4\}$, $B = \{b_1, \ldots, b_4\}$, $C = \{c_1, \ldots, c_4\}$ and

$$S = \{(a_1, b_1, c_1), (a_1, b_2, c_3), (a_2, b_3, c_3), (a_2, b_4, c_1), (a_3, b_1, c_2), (a_4, b_3, c_4)\}$$

be an instance for 3DM. The triple $(a_1, b_2, c_3), (a_2, b_4, c_1), (a_3, b_1, c_2), (a_4, b_3, c_4)$ form a 3-dimensional matching and thus a solution for 3DM.

It follows the construction of an instance for 3DkM for k = 4 as defined in the proof of Theorem 1. Integer n has to be a multiple of k - 1 = 3. To ensure this, A is extended by a_5 and a_6 , B is extended by b_5 and b_6 , C is extended by c_5 and c_6 and S is extended by (a_5, b_5, c_5) and (a_6, b_6, c_6) . Now n = 6 and $r = \frac{n}{2} = 2$.

 $\begin{array}{l} (a_{6},b_{6},c_{6}). \ Now \ n = 6 \ and \ r = \frac{n}{k-1} = 2. \\ Then \ A' = \{a_{1},\ldots,a_{18}\}, \ B' = \{b_{1},\ldots,b_{18}\}, \ C' = \{c_{1},\ldots,c_{18}\} \ and \ R = \{(a_{i},b_{i},c_{i}) \ | \ i = 7,\ldots,18\}. \ Set \ T' \ is \ defined \ as \ T' = T_{7,3} \cup T_{13,3}. \ Finally, \ set \ S' \ is \ defined \ as \end{array}$

$$S' = S \cup R \cup T,$$

where, for example,

$$T_{7,3} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (a_7, b_{10}), (a_7, b_{11}), (a_7, b_{12}), (a_8, b_{10}), (a_8, b_{11}), (a_8, b_{12}), (a_9, b_{10}), (a_9, b_{11}), (a_9, b_{12}), (a_9, b_{10}), (b_7, c_{10}), (b_7, c_{12}), (b_8, c_{10}), (b_8, c_{11}), (b_8, c_{12}), (b_9, c_{10}), (b_9, c_{11}), (b_9, c_{12}), (c_7, a_{10}), (c_7, a_{11}), (c_7, a_{12}), (c_8, a_{10}), (c_8, a_{11}), (c_8, a_{12}), (c_9, a_{10}), (c_9, a_{11}), (c_9, a_{12}) \right\},$$



Figure 1: This graphic illustrates the transformation from 3DM to 3DkM for k = 4 as explained in Example 1. The drawing on the top left visualizes an instance with 6 triples in S that cover the elements $\{a_1, \ldots, a_4, b_1, \ldots, b_4, c_1, \ldots, c_4\}$. The triples are indicated by 6 red and 2 black lines, each covering 3 elements. Set S contains a matching indicated by the red lines. Each set A, B and C is extended by two element a_5, a_6, b_5, b_6 and c_5, c_6 respectively, and set S is extended by two triples $(a_5, b_5, c_5), (a_6, b_6, c_6)$, such that the number of elements in the new sets A, B and C is a multiple of (k - 1) = 3. These two triples are indicated by green lines. The drawing in the middle right visualizes the $2 \cdot 6 = 12$ triples of R indicated by black lines. The drawing at the bottom visualizes the 54 tuples of $T' = T_{7,3} \cup T_{13,3}$, also indicated by black lines, each covering 2 elements. The set T is formed from set T' by adding each element of A, B and C to k - 1 = 3tuples of T'. For the sake of clarity, only the triples from T for the elements a_1, b_1 and c_1 are shown in the figure. These triples are indicated by blue lines.

$$T_{13,3} = \begin{cases} (a_{13}, b_{16}), (a_{13}, b_{17}), (a_{13}, b_{18}), (a_{14}, b_{16}), (a_{14}, b_{17}), (a_{14}, b_{18}), (a_{15}, b_{16}), (a_{15}, b_{17}), (a_{15}, b_{18}), (b_{13}, c_{16}), (b_{13}, c_{17}), (b_{13}, c_{18}), (b_{14}, c_{16}), (b_{14}, c_{17}), (b_{14}, c_{18}), (b_{15}, c_{16}), (b_{15}, c_{17}), (b_{15}, c_{18}), (c_{13}, a_{16}), (c_{13}, a_{17}), (c_{13}, a_{18}), (c_{14}, a_{16}), (c_{14}, a_{17}), (c_{14}, a_{18}), (c_{15}, a_{16}), (c_{15}, a_{17}), (c_{15}, a_{18}) \end{cases} \\ = \begin{cases} (a_1, b_7, c_{10}), (a_1, b_7, c_{11}), (a_1, b_7, c_{12}), (a_2, b_8, c_{10}), (a_2, b_8, c_{11}), (a_2, b_8, c_{12}), (a_3, b_9, c_{10}), (a_3, b_9, c_{12}), (a_4, b_{13}, c_{16}), (a_4, b_{13}, c_{17}), (a_4, b_{13}, c_{18}), (a_5, b_{14}, c_{17}), (a_5, b_{14}, c_{18}), (a_6, b_{15}, c_{16}), (a_6, b_{15}, c_{17}), (a_6, b_{15}, c_{18}), (a_{11}, b_1, c_7), (a_{11}, b_2, c_8), (a_{11}, b_3, c_9), (a_{12}, b_{12}, c_{13}), (a_{13}, b_{16}, c_4), (a_{13}, b_{17}, c_4), (a_{13}, b_{18}, c_4), (a_{14}, b_{16}, c_5), (a_{14}, b_{17}, c_5), (a_{14}, b_{18}, c_5), (a_{15}, b_{16}, c_6), (a_{15}, b_{17}, c_6), (a_{15}, b_{18}, c_6), (a_{16}, b_{4}, c_{13}), (a_{16}, b_{5}, c_{14}), (a_{18}, b_{6}, c_{15}), (a_{17}, b_{4}, c_{13}), (a_{17}, b_{5}, c_{14}), (a_{17}, b_{6}, c_{15}), (a_{18}, b_{4}, c_{13}), (a_{18}, b_{5}, c_{14}), (a_{18}, b_{6}, c_{15}) \end{cases} \right\},$$

Theorem 2. k-MD is NP-complete for bipartite graphs G and each $k \ge 2$.

Proof. The k-MD problem is obviously in NP, because it can be checked in polynomial time whether a set of vertices is a k-resolving set.

The NP-hardness is proven by a reduction from 3D(k-1)M. Let $A = \{a_1, ..., a_n\}, B = \{b_1, ..., b_n\}, C = \{c_1, ..., c_n\}, S = \{s_1, ..., s_m\}$ be an instance I for 3D(k-1)M where $k \ge 2$

and n > k. The aim is to define a graph G = (V, E) and a number x such that G has a k-resolving set of size x if and only if instance I has a (k-1)-matching.

Graph G is defined as follows, see also Figure 2. It has a vertex a_i , b_i and c_i for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ and a vertex s_i for $i = 1, \ldots, m$. Graph G additionally contains vertices denoted by $a_0, b_0, c_0, v_0, v_A, v_B, v_C$ and $d_1, \ldots, d_{m'}$ where $m' = \lceil log(m) \rceil$.

- 1. Each vertex $a_i, 0 \leq i \leq n$, is connected with
 - (a) vertex v_A ,
 - (b) vertex v_0 , and
 - (c) vertex s_j , $1 \le j \le m$ if and only if triple s_j contains element a_i .
- 2. Each vertex b_i , $0 \le i \le n$, is connected with
 - (a) vertex v_B ,
 - (b) vertex v_0 , and
 - (c) vertex s_j , $1 \le j \le m$ if and only if triple s_j contains element b_i .
- 3. Each vertex c_i , $0 \le i \le n$, is connected with
 - (a) vertex v_C ,
 - (b) vertex v_0 , and
 - (c) vertex s_j , $1 \le j \le m$ if and only if triple s_j contains element c_i .
- 4. Each vertex d_i , $1 \le i \le m'$, is connected with
 - (a) vertex v_0 and
 - (b) vertex s_j , $1 \le j \le m$, if and only if the *i*-th bit of the binary representation of j is 1.

Graph G contains additionally so-called *leg vertices*. These leg vertices form paths (*legs*) with $\lceil k/2 \rceil$ or $\lfloor k/2 \rfloor$ vertices. Two such legs, one with $\lceil k/2 \rceil$ vertices and one with $\lfloor k/2 \rfloor$ vertices, are attached to each vertex of $L_{\text{root}} = \{v_A, v_B, v_C, v_0, d_1, \ldots, d_{m'}\}$, see Figure 2. Set L_{root} is the set of *root vertices* of the legs. Let L_v be the set of vertices of the two legs at vertex v and

 $L = L_{v_A} \cup L_{v_B} \cup L_{v_C} \cup L_{v_0} \cup L_{d_1} \cup \dots \cup L_{d_{m'}}$

be the set of all leg vertices of G. Set L_{root} has 4 + m' vertices, each set L_v , $v \in L_{\text{root}}$, has k vertices and L has (4 + m')k vertices.

The graph G can obviously be constructed in polynomial time from instant I. First of all, let us note some properties of G.

- P1: G is bipartite.
- P2: The distance between
 - (a) two vertices of $\{v_B, v_B, v_C\}$ is 4,
 - (b) two vertices of $\{d_1, \ldots, d_{m'}\}$ is 2,
 - (c) a vertex of $\{v_B, v_B, v_C\}$ and a vertex of $\{d_1, \ldots, d_{m'}\}$ is 3,
 - (d) vertex v_0 and a vertex of $\{v_B, v_B, v_C\}$ is 2, and
 - (e) vertex v_0 and a vertex of $\{d_1, \ldots, d_{m'}\}$ is 1.
- P3: Every k-resolving set for G contains all vertices of L. This follows from the observation that for each vertex $v \in L_{\text{root}}$ the two vertices of L_v adjacent with v are only resolved by the k vertices of L_v .

The complexity of K-METRIC DIMENSION

Schmitz, Vietz, Wanke

Now we will prove that S has a (k-1)-matching for instance I if and only if G has a resolving set of size

$$x = (4 + m')k + 3 + (k - 1)n.$$

" \Rightarrow :" Let $M \subseteq S$ be a (k-1)-matching for instance I. The aim is to show that

$$R = L \cup \{a_0, b_0, c_0\} \cup M$$

is a k-resolving set for G of size

$$x = (4+m')k + 3 + (k-1)n_{2}$$

that is, each pair of two distinct vertices u_1, u_2 of G is resolved by at least k vertices of U. Here the triple s_i of M are considered as vertices of G.

Consider the following case distinctions for two vertices u_1 and u_2 .

- 1. $u_1, u_2 \in L_v, v \in L_{\text{root}}$.
 - (a) $d(u_1, v) = d(u_2, v)$. Each of the k vertices of L_v resolves u_1 and u_2 .
 - (b) $d(u_1, v) \neq d(u_2, v)$. Each of the k vertices of $L_{v'}, v' \in L_{\text{root}} \setminus \{v\}$, resolves u_1 and u_2 .
- 2. $u_1 \in L_{v_1}, u_2 \in L_{v_2}, v_1, v_2 \in L_{root}, v_1 \neq v_2$, and $d(u_1, v_1) \leq d(u_2, v_2)$. Each of the k vertices of L_{v_1} resolves u_1 and u_2 .

Up to this point all pairs of vertices u_1, u_2 are considered of which both are in L.

- 3. $u_1 \in L_{v_A} \cup L_{v_B} \cup L_{v_C}$ and $u_2 \notin L$. Each of the k vertices of L_{v_0} resolves u_1 and u_2 .
- 4. $u_1 \in L_{d_1} \cup \cdots \cup L_{d_{m'}}$ and $u_2 \notin L$.
 - (a) $u_2 \notin \{v_B, v_C\}$. Each of the k vertices of L_{v_A} resolves u_1 and u_2 .
 - (b) $u_2 \notin \{v_A, v_C\}$. Each of the k vertices of L_{v_B} resolves u_1 and u_2 .
 - (c) $u_2 \notin \{v_A, v_B\}$. Each of the k vertices of L_{v_C} resolves u_1 and u_2 .
- 5. $u_1 \in L_{v_0}$ and $u_2 \notin L$.
 - (a) $u_2 \in \{v_A, a_0, \ldots, a_n\}$. Each of the k vertices of L_{v_A} resolves u_1 and u_2 .
 - (b) $u_2 \in \{v_B, b_0, \dots, b_n\}$. Each of the k vertices of L_{v_B} resolves u_1 and u_2 .
 - (c) $u_2 \in \{v_C, c_0, \ldots, c_n\}$. Each of the k vertices of L_{v_C} resolves u_1 and u_2 .
 - (d) $u_2 \in \{d_i\} \cup \{s_j \mid \text{the } i\text{-th bit in the binary representation of } j \text{ is } 1\}$. Each of the k vertices of L_{d_i} resolves u_1 and u_2 .

Up to this point all pairs of vertices u_1, u_2 are considered of which at least one of them is in L.

6. $u_1 \in L_{\text{root}}$ and $u_2 \notin L$. Each of the k vertices of L_{u_1} resolves u_1 and u_2 .

Up to this point all pairs of vertices u_1, u_2 are considered of which at least one of them is in $L \cup L_{\text{root}}$.

7. $u_1 = s_{i_1} \in \{s_1, \dots, s_{m'}\}$ and $u_2 \notin L \cup L_{\text{root}}$.

- (a) $u_2 = s_{i_2} \in \{s_1, \ldots, s_{m'}\}$. Each of the k vertices of L_{d_j} resolves u_1 and u_2 , if the binary representation of i_1 and i_2 differs in position j.
- (b) $u_2 \in \{a_0, \ldots, a_n\}, u_2 \in \{b_0, \ldots, b_n\}$, or $u_2 \in \{c_0, \ldots, c_n\}$. Each of the k vertices of L_{v_A}, L_{v_B} , or L_{v_C} , respectively, resolves u_1 and u_2 .

Up to this point all pairs of vertices u_1, u_2 are considered of which at least one of them is in $L \cup L_{\text{root}} \cup \{s_1, \ldots, s_{m'}\}.$

- 8. $u_1 \in \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$ and $u_2 \notin L \cup L_{\text{root}} \cup \{s_1, \ldots, s_{m'}\}.$
 - (a) $u_2 \in \{b_0, \ldots, b_n, c_0, \ldots, c_n\}$. Each of the k vertices of L_{v_A} resolves u_1 and u_2 .
 - (b) $u_2 \in \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$. Each vertex s_i for which triple s_i contains u_1 or u_2 resolves u_1 and u_2 . There are $2(k-1) \ge k$ such vertices for $k \ge 2$.
 - (c) $u_2 = a_0$. Each vertex s_i for which triple s_i contains u_1 resolves u_1 and u_2 , and vertex a_0 resolves u_1 and u_2 . Altogether these are exactly (k-1) + 1 = k vertices.
- 9. $u_1 \in \{b_1, ..., b_n\}$ and $u_2 \notin L \cup L_{root} \cup \{s_1, ..., s_{m'}\}$. (as in case 8)
- 10. $u_1 \in \{c_1, ..., c_n\}$ and $u_2 \notin L \cup L_{root} \cup \{s_1, ..., s_{m'}\}$. (as in case 8)

11. $u_1, u_2 \in \{a_0, b_0, c_0\}$. Each of the k vertices of L_{v_A}, L_{v_B} or L_{v_C} resolves u_1 and u_2 .

Now all pairs of vertices u_1, u_2 of G are considered and it is shown that all of them are resolved by at least k vertices from R. Note that only the vertex pairs $u_1, u_2 \in \{a_0, \ldots, a_n\}$, $u_1, u_2 \in \{b_0, \ldots, b_n\}$ and $u_1, u_2 \in \{c_0, \ldots, c_n\}$ are not already resolved by k vertices of L. Strictly speaking, not a single vertex from $L \cup \{v_A, v_B, v_C, v_0, d_1, \ldots, d_{m'}\}$ resolves such a pair of vertices.

" $\Leftarrow:$ " Let $R \subseteq V$ be a k-resolving set for G with x = (4 + m')k + 3 + (k - 1)n vertices. By Property P3, R contains all the (4 + m)'k vertices of L. This leaves 3 + (k - 1)n vertices of R that are not in L. Let us now consider the vertex pairs a_0, a_i , and b_0, b_i , and c_0, c_i for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. The vertices of L and the vertices of $\{v_A, v_B, v_C, v_0, d_1, \ldots, d_{m'}\}$ do not resolve these vertex pairs. The only way to resolve these 3n vertex pairs at least k times with 3 + (k - 1)n vertices for $n > k \ge 2$, is to use k-1 vertices from $\{s_1, \ldots, s_m\}$ that form a k-1 matching and the three vertices a_0, b_0, c_0 . This is the point where it is necessary that n is greater than k.

In the introduction of this paper, we mentioned that the k-METRIC DIMENSION and the (k,t)-METRIC DIMENSION in [EMYRV16] are the same if t is set to the diameter of G. Since the constructed graph in Theorem 2 has diameter $2 \cdot \lceil k/2 \rceil + 3$, Theorem 2 also proves the NP-completeness of (k,t)-METRIC DIMENSION for bipartite graphs, each $k \ge 2$ and $t \ge 2 \cdot \lceil k/2 \rceil + 3$.

References

- [BBS⁺11] BAČA, Martin ; BASKORO, Edy T. ; SALMAN, A. N. M. ; SAPUTRO, Suhadi W. ; SUPRIJANTO, Djoko: The Metric Dimension of Regular Bipartite Graphs. In: Bulletin mathématiques de la Société des sciences mathématiques de Roumanie 54 (2011), Nr. 1, S. 15–28
- [BEE⁺05] BEERLIOVA, Zuzana; EBERHARD, Felix; ERLEBACH, Thomas; HALL, Alexander; HOFF-MANN, Michael; MIHAĽÁK, Matúš; RAM, L. S.: Network Discovery and Verification. In: KRATSCH, Dieter (Hrsg.): Graph-Theoretic Concepts in Computer Science, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2005, 127–138
- [CEJO00] CHARTRAND, Gary; EROH, Linda; JOHNSON, Mark A.; OELLERMANN, Ortrud: Resolvability in graphs and the metric dimension of a graph. In: Discrete Applied Mathematics 105 (2000), Nr. 1-3, 99–113. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0166-218X(00)00198-0. - DOI 10.1016/S0166-218X(00)00198-0
- [CGH08] CHAPPELL, Glenn G.; GIMBEL, John G.; HARTMAN, Chris: Bounds on the metric and partition dimensions of a graph. In: Ars Combinatoria 88 (2008)
- [CPZ00] CHARTRAND, Gary ; POISSON, Christopher ; ZHANG, Ping: Resolvability and the upper dimension of graphs. In: Computers and Mathematics with Applications 39 (2000), Nr. 12, S. 19–28
- [DPSL12] DÍAZ, JOSEP; POTTONEN, Olli; SERNA, Maria J.; LEEUWEN, Erik J.: On the Complexity of Metric Dimension. In: Algorithms - ESA 2012 - 20th Annual European Symposium, Ljubljana, Slovenia, September 10-12, 2012. Proceedings, 2012, 419–430
- [EMRY13] ESTRADA-MORENO, Alejandro ; RODRÍGUEZ-VELÁZQUEZ, Juan A. ; YERO, Ismael G.: The k-metric dimension of a graph. In: Applied Mathematics & Information Sciences 9 (2013), 12, Nr. 6, S. 2829–2840. http://dx.doi.org/10.12785/amis/090609. – DOI 10.12785/amis/090609



Figure 2: This graphic illustrates the transformation from 3D2M to 3-MD. The Instance I consisting of $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_4\}$, $B = \{b_1, \ldots, b_4\}$, $C = \{c_1, \ldots, c_4\}$, $S = \{s_1, \ldots, s_{12}\}$ with $s_1 = (a_2, b_1, c_1)$, $s_2 = (a_3, b_2, c_2)$, $s_3 = (a_2, b_1, c_1)$, $s_4 = (a_1, b_2, c_1)$, $s_5 = (a_4, b_3, c_2)$, $s_6 = (a_1, b_3, c_3)$, $s_7 = (a_2, b_1, c_3)$, $s_8 = (a_1, b_4, c_4)$, $s_9 = (a_3, b_2, c_2)$, $s_{10} = (a_4, b_2, c_4)$, $s_{11} = (a_4, b_3, c_1)$, $s_{12} = (a_4, b_4, c_4)$ for 3D2M is transformed into the graph G and x = (4 + 4)3 + 3 + (3 - 1)n = 35. The set of triples $M = \{s_1, s_2, s_6, s_7, s_8, s_9, s_{11}, s_{12}\}$, indicated in the figure by the red lines, is a 2-matching for instance I, where $L \cup \{a_0, b_0, c_0\} \cup M$ is a 3-resolving set for G of size x. Set L is the set of vertices of the legs attached at the vertices $v_A, v_B, v_C, v_0, d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4$. In the figure, the vertices of L are colored blue.

- [EMYRV16] ESTRADA-MORENO, Alejandro ; YERO, IG ; RODRÍGUEZ-VELÁZQUEZ, JA: On the (k, t)metric dimension of graphs. In: *The Computer Journal* (2016)
- [GJ79] GAREY, Michael R.; JOHNSON, David S.: Computers and Intractability: A Guide to the Theory of NP-Completeness. W. H. Freeman, 1979. – ISBN 0–7167–1044–7
- [Hay77] HAYAT, Sakander: Computing distance-based topological descriptors of complex chemical networks: New theoretical techniques. In: *Chemical Physics Letters* 688 (1977), Nr. 1, 51–58. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cplett.2017.09.055. – DOI 10.1016/j.cplett.2017.09.055
- [HM76] HARARY, Frank ; MELTER, Robert A.: On the metric dimension of a graph. In: Ars Combinatoria 2 (1976), S. 191–195
- [HMP⁺05] HERNANDO, M. C.; MORA, Mercè; PELAYO, Ignacio M.; SEARA, Carlos; CÁCERES, José; PUERTAS, María Luz: On the metric dimension of some families of graphs. In: *Electronic Notes in Discrete Mathematics* 22 (2005), 129–133. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.endm. 2005.06.023. DOI 10.1016/j.endm.2005.06.023
- [HMSW08] HERNANDO, M. C. ; MORA, Mercè ; SLATER, Peter J. ; WOOD, David R.: Fault-tolerant metric dimension of graphs. In: *Convexity in Discrete Structures* 5 (2008), S. 81–85

- [HSV12] HAUPTMANN, Mathias ; SCHMIED, Richard ; VIEHMANN, Claus: Approximation complexity of Metric Dimension problem. In: Journal of Discrete Algorithms 14 (2012), 214–222. http: //dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jda.2011.12.010. – DOI 10.1016/j.jda.2011.12.010
- [HW12] HOFFMANN, Stefan ; WANKE, Egon: Metric Dimension for Gabriel Unit Disk Graphs Is NP-Complete. In: Algorithms for Sensor Systems, 8th International Symposium on Algorithms for Sensor Systems, Wireless Ad Hoc Networks and Autonomous Mobile Entities, ALGO-SENSORS 2012, Ljubljana, Slovenia, September 13-14, 2012. Revised Selected Papers, 2012, 90–92
- [IBSS10] ISWADI, H.; BASKORO, Edy T.; SALMAN, A.N.M.; SIMANJUNTAK, Rinovia: The metric dimension of amalgamation of cycles. In: Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences (FJMS) 41 (2010), Nr. 1, S. 19–31
- [KRR96] KHULLER, Samir ; RAGHAVACHARI, Balaji ; ROSENFELD, Azriel: Landmarks in Graphs. In: Discrete Applied Mathematics 70 (1996), Nr. 3, 217–229. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ 0166-218X(95)00106-2. – DOI 10.1016/0166-218X(95)00106-2
- [LA06] LIU, Ke; ABU-GHAZALEH, Nael B.: Virtual Coordinates with Backtracking for Void Traversal in Geographic Routing. In: Ad-Hoc, Mobile, and Wireless Networks, 5th International Conference, ADHOC-NOW 2006, Ottawa, Canada, August 17-19, 2006, Proceedings, 2006, 46–59
- [MT84] MELTER, Robert A.; TOMESCU, Ioan: Metric bases in digital geometry. In: Computer Vision, Graphics, and Image Processing 25 (1984), Nr. 1, 113–121. http://dx.doi.org/10. 1016/0734-189X(84)90051-3. – DOI 10.1016/0734-189X(84)90051-3
- [Sla75] SLATER, Peter J.: Leaves of trees. In: Congressium Numerantium 14 (1975), S. 549–559
- [ST04] SEBÖ, András ; TANNIER, Eric: On Metric Generators of Graphs. In: Mathematics of Operations Research 29 (2004), Nr. 2, 383–393. http://dx.doi.org/10.1287/moor.1030. 0070. – DOI 10.1287/moor.1030.0070
- [YER17] YERO, Ismael G. ; ESTRADA-MORENO, Alejandro ; RODRÍGUEZ-VELÁZQUEZ, Juan A.: Computing the k-metric dimension of graphs. In: Applied Mathematics and Computation 300 (2017), 60–69. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2016.12.005. – DOI 10.1016/j.amc.2016.12.005