



A reduction formula for the Kampé de Fériet function

Djurdje Cvijović^{a,*}, Allen R. Miller^b

^a Atomic Physics Laboratory, Vinča Institute of Nuclear Sciences, PO Box 522, 11001 Belgrade, Serbia

^b 1616 18th Street NW, Washington, DC 20009, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 24 November 2009

Received in revised form 8 February 2010

Accepted 18 March 2010

Keywords:

Kampé de Fériet function

Reduction formula

Generalized hypergeometric function in one and two variables

ABSTRACT

A generalization is provided for a reduction formula for the Kampé de Fériet function due to Cvijović.

© 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Recently, the authors have derived several new summation formulas for hypergeometric-type series containing the digamma or psi function $\psi(z)$. The summation formula [1]

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [\psi(\lambda + n) - \psi(\lambda)] \frac{(\alpha)_n}{(\lambda)_n} z^n = \frac{\alpha z}{\lambda^2 (1-z)^{\alpha+1}} {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha + 1, \lambda, \lambda \\ \lambda + 1, \lambda + 1 \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{z}{z-1} \right), \quad (1.1)$$

where z lies in the domain $|z| < 1$, $\Re(z) < 1/2$, was employed to obtain a reduction formula for the Kampé de Fériet (hereafter KdF) function that we shall in Section 2 extend to a much more general result. In Eq. (1.1) and below, all parameters and variables are complex unless otherwise noted or it is obvious from the context. The Pochhammer symbol $(\alpha)_n$, where n is an integer (positive, negative or zero) is defined simply by $(\alpha)_n \equiv \Gamma(\alpha + n)/\Gamma(\alpha)$.

In the sequel, the sequence $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p)$ is denoted simply by (α_p) and the product of p Pochhammer symbols $((\alpha_p))$ is defined by $((\alpha_p))_n \equiv (\alpha_1)_n \cdots (\alpha_p)_n$, where an empty product $p = 0$ reduces to unity. The KdF function is a generalized hypergeometric function in two variables defined by the double series

$$F_{q:s:v}^{p:r:u} \left[\begin{matrix} (a_p):(c_r):(f_u) \\ (b_q):(d_s):(g_v) \end{matrix} \middle| x, y \right] \equiv \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{((a_p))_{m+n} ((c_r))_m ((f_u))_n x^m y^n}{((b_q))_{m+n} ((d_s))_m ((g_v))_n m! n!}. \quad (1.2)$$

See for example [2,3] for an introduction to the KdF function and its properties including its convergence criteria.

In [1,4] we showed that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [\psi(\lambda + n + 1) - \psi(\lambda)] \frac{((\mu_p))_n}{((\nu_q))_n} z^n = \frac{1}{\lambda} F_{q:1:0}^{p:2:1} \left[\begin{matrix} (\mu_p) : \lambda, 1 \\ (\nu_q) : \lambda + 1 \end{matrix} \middle| z, z \right]. \quad (1.3)$$

This result shows that a generalized hypergeometric-type series containing the digamma function may essentially be represented by a specialization of the KdF function in two equal variables.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: djurdje@vinca.rs (D. Cvijović), allenrm1@verizon.net (A.R. Miller).

2. Reduction formula

As a byproduct of efficiently deriving closed form representations for certain series due to Miller [4] Cvijović [1, equation (3.3)] employed Eqs. (1.1) and (1.3) to obtain the reduction formula for the KdF function

$$F_{1:1:0}^{1:2:1} \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha : \beta - 1, 1 \\ \beta : \beta \end{matrix} ; - \middle| z, z \right] = \frac{1}{(1-z)^\alpha} {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha, \beta - 1, \beta - 1 \\ \beta, \beta \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{z}{z-1} \right), \quad (2.1)$$

where z lies in the domain $|z| < 1$, and $\Re(z) < 1/2$.

The KdF function has proved of practical utility in representing solutions to a wide range of problems in pure and applied mathematics and mathematical physics. See the books by Exton [2,5]; for additional examples of applications, see [6,7]. Reduction formulas such as Eq. (2.1) essentially represent the KdF function as a generalized hypergeometric function of lower order or some other function in one variable. Obviously, identifying such reductions have great value in simplifying solutions. Thus, compilations of them such as [3, pp. 28–32] and [8] are especially important, since there is no *a priori* way of knowing their existence.

It is the purpose here to augment the known results intimated above by showing that the formula (2.1) is a specialization of the much more general result

$$F_{1:1:0}^{1:2:1} \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha : \beta - \epsilon, \gamma \\ \beta : \delta \end{matrix} ; \epsilon \middle| z, z \right] = \frac{1}{(1-z)^\alpha} {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha, \beta - \epsilon, \delta - \gamma \\ \beta, \delta \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{z}{z-1} \right) \quad (2.2)$$

which we derive below. Clearly, when $\gamma = 1$, $\epsilon = 1$ and $\delta = \beta$, Eq. (2.2) reduces to Eq. (2.1). Convergence for the KdF function occurs when $|z| < 1$ (see [3, p. 27]); convergence for ${}_3F_2$ function obviously occurs when $|z/(z-1)| < 1$. Thus, Eqs. (2.1) and (2.2) are valid for z in the domain $|z| < 1$, $\Re(z) < 1/2$.

We recall the identity

$$(\alpha)_{m+n} = (\alpha)_m (\alpha + m)_n. \quad (2.3)$$

For brevity calling the left side of Eq. (2.2) $F(z, z)$, we have upon recalling the definition of the KdF function (see Eq. (1.2)) and utilizing (2.3)

$$\begin{aligned} F(z, z) &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_{m+n}}{(\beta)_{m+n}} \frac{(\beta - \epsilon)_m (\gamma)_m}{(\delta)_m} (\epsilon)_n \frac{z^m}{m!} \frac{z^n}{n!} \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_m}{(\beta)_m} \frac{(\beta - \epsilon)_m (\gamma)_m}{(\delta)_m} \frac{z^m}{m!} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha + m)_n (\epsilon)_n}{(\beta + m)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!} \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_m}{(\beta)_m} \frac{(\beta - \epsilon)_m (\gamma)_m}{(\delta)_m} \frac{z^m}{m!} {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha + m, \epsilon \\ \beta + m \end{matrix} \middle| z \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, applying Euler's transformation (see e.g. [9, p. 33, equation (19)])

$${}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} a, b \\ c \end{matrix} \middle| z \right) = \frac{1}{(1-z)^a} {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} a, c-b \\ c \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{z}{z-1} \right)$$

with $a = \alpha + m$, $b = \epsilon$, and $c = \beta + m$ we see upon again utilizing Eq. (2.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} F(z, z) &= \frac{1}{(1-z)^\alpha} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_m}{(\beta)_m} \frac{(\beta - \epsilon)_m (\gamma)_m}{m! (\delta)_m} \left(\frac{z}{1-z} \right)^m {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha + m, \beta - \epsilon + m \\ \beta + m \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{z}{z-1} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-z)^\alpha} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_m}{(\beta)_m} \frac{(\beta - \epsilon)_m (\gamma)_m}{m! (\delta)_m} \left(\frac{z}{z-1} \right)^{m+n} \frac{(-1)^m (\alpha + m)_n (\beta - \epsilon + m)_n}{(\beta + m)_n n!} \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-z)^\alpha} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_{m+n} (\beta - \epsilon)_{m+n}}{(\beta)_{m+n}} \frac{(-1)^m (\gamma)_m}{m! n! (\delta)_m} \left(\frac{z}{z-1} \right)^{m+n}. \end{aligned}$$

Assuming absolutely convergent series for an arbitrary function $B(m, n)$ by invoking series rearrangement via

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B(m, n) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^n B(m, n-m)$$

we see from the latter result for $F(z, z)$ that

$$F(z, z) = \frac{1}{(1-z)^\alpha} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_n (\beta - \epsilon)_n}{(\beta)_n} \left(\frac{z}{z-1} \right)^n \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{(-1)^m (\gamma)_m}{m! (\delta)_m (n-m)!}.$$

However, since $(-1)^m/(n-m)! = (-n)_m/n!$, we may write

$$F(z, z) = \frac{1}{(1-z)^\alpha} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_n(\beta-\epsilon)_n}{n!(\beta)_n} \left(\frac{z}{z-1}\right)^n \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{(-n)_m(\gamma)_m}{m!(\delta)_m}.$$

Now, utilizing the Vandermonde–Chu identity (see, for instance, [9, p. 30, equation (8)])

$${}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} -n, a \\ b \end{matrix} \middle| 1\right) = \frac{(b-a)_n}{(b)_n},$$

since the latter finite m -summation may be written as

$$\sum_{m=0}^n \frac{(-n)_m(\gamma)_m}{m!(\delta)_m} = {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} -n, \gamma \\ \delta \end{matrix} \middle| 1\right)$$

we obtain finally

$$F(z, z) = \frac{1}{(1-z)^\alpha} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_n(\beta-\epsilon)_n(\delta-\gamma)_n}{(\beta)_n(\delta)_n n!} \left(\frac{z}{z-1}\right)^n$$

which is a restatement of Eq. (2.2). This completes our proof of Eq. (2.2).

Acknowledgements

The authors are very grateful to the two anonymous referees for a careful and thorough reading of the previous version of this paper. In particular, we thankfully acknowledge helpful and valuable comments and suggestions which have led to a considerably improved presentation of the results. The first author acknowledges financial support from the *Ministry of Science of the Republic of Serbia* under Research Projects 142025 and 144004.

References

- [1] D. Cvijović, Closed-form summations of certain hypergeometric-type series containing the digamma function, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* 41 (2008) 455205. 7pp.
- [2] H. Exton, *Multiple Hypergeometric Functions and Applications*, Ellis Horwood, Chichester, UK, 1976.
- [3] H.M. Srivastava, P.W. Karlsson, *Multiple Gaussian Hypergeometric Series*, Ellis Horwood, Chichester, UK, 1985.
- [4] A.R. Miller, Summations for certain series containing the digamma function, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 39 (2006) 3011–3020.
- [5] H. Exton, *Handbook of Hypergeometric Integrals: Theory, Applications, Tables, Computer Programs*, Ellis Horwood, Chichester, UK, 1978.
- [6] L.U. Ancarani, G. Gasaneo, Derivatives of any order of the confluent hypergeometric function ${}_1F_1(a, b, z)$ with respect to the parameter a or b , *J. Math. Phys.* 49 (2008) 063508.
- [7] L.U. Ancarani, G. Gasaneo, Derivatives of any order of the Gaussian hypergeometric function ${}_2F_1(a, b, c; z)$ with respect to the parameters a , b and c , *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* 42 (2009) 395208. 10pp.
- [8] H. Exton, E.D. Krupnikov, *A Register of Computer-Oriented Reduction Identities for the Kampé de Fériet Function*, Draft Manuscript, Novosibirsk Russia, 1998.
- [9] H.M. Srivastava, H.L. Manocha, *A Treatise on Generating Functions*, Ellis Horwood, Chichester, UK, 1984.