

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Applied Mathematics Letters

Applied Mathematics Letters 00 (2023) 1-6

Long-time behavior for the Kirchhoff diffusion problem with magnetic fractional Laplace operator

Jiabin Zuo^a, Juliana Honda Lopes^b, Vicentiu D. Rădulescu^{c,d,e,f,*}

^aSchool of Mathematics and Information Science, Guangzhou University, Guangzhou, 510006, China
 ^bInstituto de Matemática e Estatística, Universidade de São Paulo, Rua do Matão, 1010, Sõ Paulo - SP CEP 05508-090, Brazil
 ^cFaculty of Applied Mathematics, AGH University of Science and Technology, 30-059 Kraków, Poland
 ^dBrno University of Technology, Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Communication, Technická 3058/10, Brno 61600, Czech Republic
 ^eDepartment of Mathematics, University of Craiova, Street A.I. Cuza 13, 200585 Craiova, Romania
 ^fSimion Stoilow Institute of Mathematics of the Romanian Academy, Calea Griviței 21, 010702 Bucharest, Romania

Simon Storen Instance of Manchanes of the Romanum Readens, Carea Gringer 21, 010702 Ducharess, F

Abstract

We consider a Kirchhoff-type diffusion problem driven by the magnetic fractional Laplace operator. The main result in this paper establishes that infinite time blow-up cannot occur for the problem. The proof is based on the potential well method, in relationship with energy and Nehari functionals.

© 2023 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

Keywords: Diffusion problem, Kirchhoff function, magnetic fractional Laplacian, Nehari functional, potential function 2020 Mathematics Subject Classification MSC: 35R11, 35J20, 35J60

1. Introduction

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ (n > 2s) be a bounded domain with smooth boundary. In this paper we study the following Kirchhofftype diffusion problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t + M(||u||^2_{X_{0,A}})(-\Delta)^s_A u = f(|u|)u, & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ u(x,t) = 0, & \text{in } (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega) \times (0, T), \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x), & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$
(1)

Given $s \in (0, 1)$ and $A \in L^{\infty}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we define the magnetic fractional Laplace operator defined $(-\Delta)^s_A$ by

$$(-\Delta)^s_A u(x,t) = 2 \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x,\varepsilon)} \frac{u(x,t) - e^{i(x-y) \cdot A(\frac{i+y}{2})} u(y,t)}{|x-y|^{n+2s}} \, dy, \tag{2}$$

^{*}Corresponding author. The research of V. D. Rădulescu is supported by the grant "Nonlinear Differential Systems in Applied Sciences" of the Romanian Ministry of Research, Innovation and Digitization, within PNRR-III-C9-2022-I8 (Grant No. 22). Jiabin Zuo is supported by the Guangdong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation (2022A1515110907) and the Project funded by China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2023M730767).

Email addresses: zuojiabin88@163.com (Jiabin Zuo), juhonlopes@gmail.com (Juliana Honda Lopes), radulescu@inf.ucv.ro (Vicentiu D. Rădulescu)

for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $u \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C})$. This differential operator is weighted by a Kirchhoff-type function $M : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ (see [7]), satisfying (M1)-(M2) below. When $A \equiv 0$ in (2), then we have the usual fractional Laplacian differential operator denoted by $(-\Delta)^s$. Such differential operator was studied in the context of problems in quantum mechanics and of the motion of chains or arrays of particles connected by elastic springs, as well as in the context of problems of unusual diffusion processes in turbulent fluids and of mass transport in fractured media. We refer to [1] (Lévy processes), [3] (nonlocal diffusions, drifts and games), [2, 8, 9, 10, 16, 17] for other classes of nonlocal operators. In all the aforementioned works, the authors deal with Schrödinger operators with magnetic fields. For instance, [10] establish the existence of nontrivial solutions to a parametric fractional Schrödinger equation in the case of critical or supercritical nonlinearity. Next, the operator $(-\Delta)^s_A$ (see (2)) was introduced in [4], as a fractional counterpart of the magnetic Laplacian $(\nabla - iA)^2$, where $A : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a L_{loc}^{∞} -vector potential. Zuo & Lopes [19] established the existence of weak solutions to problem (1). The strategy is based on the potential well method, hence they obtain global in time solutions and blow-up in finite time solutions. Here, we show that the global in time solutions to (1) can not blow-up in infinite time. We also mention [18] (non-local parabolic equation in a bounded convex domain), [4] (for the equation $(-\Delta)_A^s u + u = |u|^{p-2}u$ posed in \mathbb{R}^3), [11] (fractional Choquard equation), and [15] (system of Kirchhoff type equations).

2. Mathematical background and hypotheses

The right framework for the analysis of equation (1) is the function space $X_{0,A}$ (hence $H^{s}_{A}(\Omega)$) defined as follows. For an open and bounded set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ (n > 2s), let $|\Omega|$ be the measure of the set Ω . By $L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ we mean the Lebesgue space of complex valued functions with norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$ and inner product $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$. For $p = 2, s \in (0, 1)$ and $A \in L^{\infty}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we consider the magnetic Gagliardo semi-norm defined by

$$[u]_{H^s_A(\Omega)}^2 := \int \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{|u(x,t) - e^{i(x-y) \cdot A\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)} u(y,t)|^2}{|x-y|^{n+2s}} \, dx \, dy.$$

Hence we consider the space $H^s_A(\Omega)$ of functions $u \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ with $[u]_{H^s_A(\Omega)} < \infty$ and furnished with the norm $\|u\|_{H^{s}_{A}(\Omega)} := (\|u\|^{2}_{L^{2}(\Omega)} + [u]^{2}_{H^{s}_{4}(\Omega)})^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Referring to [5, 6], we define $X_{0,A} := \{u \in H^{s}_{A}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) : u = 0 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^{n} \setminus \Omega\}$, with the real scalar product (see [4]) given as

$$\langle u, v \rangle_{X_{0,A}} := \mathcal{R} \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \frac{\left(u(x,t) - e^{i(x-y) \cdot A\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)} u(y,t) \right) \overline{\left(v(x,t) - e^{i(x-y) \cdot A\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)} v(y,t) \right)}}{|x-y|^{n+2s}} \, dx \, dy.$$

where, for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$, by $\Re z$ we mean the real part of z and by \overline{z} its complex conjugate. This scalar product induces the following norm

$$||u||_{X_{0,A}} := \left(\int \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \frac{|u(x,t) - e^{i(x-y) \cdot A\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)} u(y,t)|^2}{|x-y|^{n+2s}} \, dx \, dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We will use \xrightarrow{w} and \rightarrow to denote weak and strong convergences, respectively. Our hypotheses on problem (1) are the following:

(F) $f \in C^1([0,\infty))$, and we can find C > 0 and $\gamma \ge p$, for $p \in (2,2^*)$ with $2^*_s = \frac{2n}{n-2s}$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} |F(u)| &\le Cu^p, f(u)u^2 \le pCu^p, \text{ for } u \ge 0, \\ 0 &< \gamma F(u) \le f(u)u^2, u^2(uf'(u) - (p-2)f(u)) \ge 0 \text{ for } u > 0, \end{aligned}$$
(3)

where $F(u) := \int_0^u f(\tau) \tau \, d\tau$.

Further, the Kirchhoff function $M : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is as follows:

- (M1) it is a continuous function and there exist constants $m_0 > 0$ and $\theta \in (1, \frac{2^*}{2})$ such that $M(u) \ge m_0 u^{\theta-1}$ for all $u \in [0, \infty);$
- (M2) there exists a constant $\mu \in (1, \frac{2^*_s}{2})$ such that $\mu \mathcal{M}(u) \ge M(u)u$ for all $u \in [0, \infty)$, where $\mathcal{M}(u) := \int_0^u M(\tau) d\tau$.

Here, θ and μ satisfy the condition: (P) $2 \max\{\theta, \mu\} .$ $We consider the <math>C^1$ -functional related to problem (1) and defined by

$$J(u) := \frac{1}{2} \mathscr{M}(||u||_{X_{0,A}}^2) - \int_{\Omega} F(|u|) \, dx.$$
(4)

We have $\langle J'(u), \phi \rangle = M(||u||_{X_{0,A}}^2) \langle u, \phi \rangle_{X_{0,A}} - \mathcal{R} \int_{\Omega} f(|u|) u \overline{\phi} dx$, for any $\phi \in X_{0,A}$, and we introduce the Nehari functional for (1) given by $I(u) := \langle J'(u), u \rangle$. Hence, we can consider the non-negative value *d* (i.e., mountain pass level) given as $d = \inf_{u \in X_{0,A} \setminus \{0\} : I(u)=0} J(u)$. According to Sattinger [14] and Payne & Sattinger [13] we know that if the initial energy $J(u_0)$ is less than the mountain pass level *d*, then the solution to problem (1) exists globally if it begins in the stable set $\mathcal{W} = \{u \in X_{0,A} : I(u) > 0, J(u) < d\} \cup \{0\}$, and fails to exist globally if it starts from the unstable set $\mathcal{U} = \{u \in X_{0,A} : I(u) < 0, J(u) < d\}$. The functionals $I(\cdot)$ and $J(\cdot)$ are energy functionals of the stationary problem

$$\begin{cases} M(||u||^2_{X_{0,A}})(-\Delta)^s_A u = f(|u|)u, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u(x) = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega, \end{cases}$$
(5)

and we recall that $u \in X_{0,A}(\Omega)$ is a solution to (5) (i.e., stationary solution to problem (1)) if $\langle J'(u), \phi \rangle = 0$, namely $M(||u||^2_{X_{0,A}})\langle u, \phi \rangle_{X_{0,A}} - \mathcal{R} \int_{\Omega} f(|u|)u\overline{\phi} \, dx = 0$, for all $\phi \in X_{0,A}(\Omega)$, see also [19, Definition 1]. So, $u \in X_{0,A}(\Omega)$ solves (1) on (0, T) for T > 0 if $u \in L^{\infty}(0, \infty; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^{\infty}(0, \infty; X_{0,A}) \cap C(0, \infty; L^2(\Omega))$ with $u_t \in L^2(0, \infty; L^2(\Omega))$ and

$$\mathcal{R} \int_0^T \int_\Omega u_t \overline{\phi} \, dx \, dt + \int_0^T M(||u||_{X_{0,A}}^2) \langle u, \phi \rangle_{X_{0,A}} \, dt$$
$$-\mathcal{R} \int_0^T \int_\Omega f(|u|) u \overline{\phi} \, dx \, dt = 0 \text{ for all } \phi \in X_{0,A}(\Omega)$$

Remark 2.1. We note that [19] established the existence of a weak solution $u \in X_{0,A}(\Omega)$ to problem (1), based on hypotheses (F) and (M1) (see [19, Theorem 2.1] for the precise requirements). Imposing also (M2), they obtained that weak solutions blow-up in a finite time, provided that the initial energy is negative (see [19, Theorem 2.2]). Further, problem (1) has a weak solution for all T > 0 (namely, a global solution) such that $u \in L^{\infty}(0, \infty; L^{2}(\Omega)) \cap L^{\infty}(0, \infty; X_{0,A}) \cap C(0, \infty; L^{2}(\Omega))$ with $u_{t} \in L^{2}(0, \infty; L^{2}(\Omega))$, provided that $u_{0} \in W$ (see [19, Theorem 2.3]).

3. Main result

Let u = u(t) be solution of (1) with initial data $u_0 \in X_{0,A}$, then by $T = T(u_0)$ we denote the maximal existence time of u = u(t) given as

- (i) $T = \infty$ if $u(t) \in X_{0,A}$ for $t \in [0, \infty)$;
- (ii) $T = t_{\max} (> 0)$ if $u(t) \in X_{0,A}$ for $t \in [0, t_{\max}), u(t_{\max}) \notin X_{0,A}$.

Theorem 3.1. Assume that hypotheses (F), (M1), (M2) and (P) hold and $p \in (2, 2_s^*)$ (n > 2s). Let u = u(t) be a solution of (1) with $u_0 \in X_{0,A}$ such that $J(u_0) \leq d$ and $I(u_0) > 0$. If the maximal existence time is $T = \infty$, then there exists an increasing sequence $\{t_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ with $t_k \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$, such that $u(t_k)$ converges to a stationary solution $v \in X_{0,A}(\Omega)$ of problem (1), that is $u(t_k) \to v$ as $k \to \infty$.

Proof. We show global in time solutions to (1) can not blow-up in infinite time, by arguing in three steps.

Step 1: Existence of an increasing sequence $\{t_k\}$ **.**

Let u = u(t) be a solution of problem (1) with $u_0 \in X_{0,A}$ and maximal existence time $T = \infty$. Now, [19, Theorem 2.3] gives us $u \in L^{\infty}(0, \infty; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^{\infty}(0, \infty; X_{0,A}) \cap C(0, \infty; L^2(\Omega))$, $u_t \in L^2(0, \infty; L^2(\Omega))$. Multiplying equation (1) by $\phi \in X_{0,A}$ and integrating over Ω , we get

$$(u'(t),\phi) = -M(||u||^{2}_{X_{0,A}})\langle u(t),\phi\rangle_{X_{0,A}} + \mathcal{R}\int_{\Omega} f(|u(t)|)u(t)\overline{\phi}\,dx.$$
(6)

3

By [19, Lemma 3.3] J(u(t)) is non-increasing with respect to t, hence

$$0 \le J(u(t)) \le J(u_0),\tag{7}$$

4

where we use a contradiction argument to conclude the first inequality in (7). So, we assume that there exists a time t_0 such that $J(u(t_0)) < 0$, then by (4) we deduce that $0 > J(u(t_0)) = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{M}(||u(t_0)||^2_{X_{0,4}}) - \int_{\Omega} F(|u(t_0)|) dx$. It follows that

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathscr{M}(\|u(t_0)\|_{X_{0,A}}^2) < \int_{\Omega} F(|u(t_0)|) \, dx.$$
(8)

Combining the Nehari functional $I(\cdot)$, together with assumptions (3), (M2), (P) and inequality (8), we conclude that

$$\begin{split} I(u(t_0)) &= M(||u(t_0)||^2_{X_{0,A}}) ||u(t_0)||^2_{X_{0,A}} - \mathcal{R} \int_{\Omega} f(|u(t_0)|) |u(t_0)|^2 \, dx \\ &\leq \mu \mathcal{M}(||u(t_0)||^2_{X_{0,A}}) - \gamma \int_{\Omega} F(|u(t_0)|) \, dx < \mu \mathcal{M}(||u(t_0)||^2_{X_{0,A}}) - \frac{\gamma}{2} \mathcal{M}(||u(t_0)||^2_{X_{0,A}}) \\ &\leq (\mu - \frac{p}{2}) \mathcal{M}(||u(t_0)||^2_{X_{0,A}}) < 0 \quad (\text{recall that } \gamma \ge p). \end{split}$$

So, at time $t = t_0$ we have $J(u(t_0)) < 0$ and $I(u(t_0)) < 0$, hence $u(t_0)$ is in the so-called unstable set $\mathcal{U} = \{u \in X_{0,A} : u \in X_{0,A} : u \in X_{0,A}\}$ I(u) < 0, J(u) < d (d > 0)}. By [19, Theorem 2.4], u(t) blows-up in a finite time, which contradicts $T = \infty$. Since J(u(t)) is non-increasing with respect to t, by (7) we can find c with $0 \le c \le J(u_0)$ and such that $J(u(t)) \to c$ as $t \to \infty$. Passing to the limit as $t \to \infty$ in $\int_0^t ||u'(s)||_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 ds + J(u) = J(u_0)$, then we get $c = J(u_0) - \int_0^\infty ||u'(s)||_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 ds$. It follows that we can find an increasing sequence $\{t_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ with $t_k \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$ satisfying

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \|u'(t_k)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 0.$$
(9)

Step 2: Convergence of $\{u(t_k)\}$ to a function $v \in X_{0,A}$.

Equation (6) leads to the following

$$\langle J'(u(t)), \phi \rangle = M(||u(t)||^2_{X_{0,A}}) \langle u(t), \phi \rangle_{X_{0,A}} - \mathcal{R} \int_{\Omega} f(|u(t)|) u(t) \overline{\phi} \, dx = (-u'(t), \phi) \text{ for all } \phi \in X_{0,A}$$

Combining the Schwartz inequality, the definition of the first eigenvalue λ_1 of $(-\Delta)^s_A$ (see [6, Proposition 3.3]) and the limit in (9), we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \|J'(u(t_{k}))\|_{X_{0,A}'} &= \sup_{\substack{\phi \in X_{0,A} \\ \|\phi\|_{X_{0,A}} = 1}} \langle J'(u(t_{k})), \phi \rangle = \sup_{\substack{\phi \in X_{0,A} \\ \|\phi\|_{X_{0,A}} = 1}} (-u'(t_{k}), \phi) \\ &\leq \sup_{\substack{\phi \in X_{0,A} \\ \|\phi\|_{X_{0,A}} = 1}} \|u'(t_{k})\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \|\phi\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq \|u'(t_{k})\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \sup_{\phi \in X_{0,A} \setminus \{0\}} \left(\frac{\|\phi\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}}{\|\phi\|_{X_{0,A}}}\right) \\ &\leq \|u'(t_{k})\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \left(\inf_{\phi \in X_{0,A} \setminus \{0\}} \left(\frac{\|\phi\|_{X_{0,A}}}{\|\phi\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}}\right)\right)^{-1} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_{1}}} \|u'(t_{k})\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \to 0 \end{aligned}$$
(10)

as $k \to \infty$. As usual, by $X'_{0,A}$ we denote the dual space of $X_{0,A}$, hence we can find $c_1 > 0$, independent of the index k, such that

$$\|J'(u(t_k))\|_{X'_{0,k}} \le c_1, \ k = 1, 2, \cdots.$$
(11)

For the Nehari energy functional $I(\cdot)$, the bound from above in (11) and the Young inequality lead to

$$|I(u(t_k))| \le |\langle J'(u(t_k)), u(t_k)\rangle| \le ||J'(u(t_k))||_{X'_{0,A}} ||u(t_k)||_{X_{0,A}} \le c_1 ||u(t_k)||_{X_{0,A}} \le c_2 + \frac{(p-2\mu)m_0}{4p\mu} ||u(t_k)||_{X_{0,A}}^{2\theta}.$$

Using $J(\cdot)$ and $I(\cdot)$, together with (12) and (M1), we obtain

$$\begin{split} J(u(t_k)) &= \frac{1}{2} \mathscr{M} \left(||u(t_k)||^2_{X_{0,A}} \right) - \int_{\Omega} F(|u(t_k)|) \, dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2\mu} M(||u(t_k)||^2_{X_{0,A}}) ||u(t_k)||^2_{X_{0,A}} - \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_{\Omega} f(|u(t_k)|) ||u(t_k)||^2 \, dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2\mu} M(||u(t_k)||^2_{X_{0,A}}) ||u(t_k)||^2_{X_{0,A}} + \frac{1}{p} I(u(t_k)) - \frac{1}{p} M(||u(t_k)||^2_{X_{0,A}}) ||u(t_k)||^2_{X_{0,A}} \\ &\geq \frac{(p-2\mu)}{2p\mu} M(||u(t_k)||^2_{X_{0,A}}) ||u(t_k)||^2_{X_{0,A}} - c_2 - \frac{(p-2\mu)}{4p\mu} m_0 ||u(t_k)||^2_{X_{0,A}} \\ &\geq \frac{(p-2\mu)}{2p\mu} m_0 ||u(t_k)||^2_{X_{0,A}} - \frac{(p-2\mu)}{4p\mu} m_0 ||u(t_k)||^2_{X_{0,A}} - c_2. \end{split}$$

This inequality, taking into account the bound from above in (7), gives us $J(u_0) + c_2 \ge \frac{(p-2\mu)}{4p\mu} m_0 ||u(t_k)||_{X_{0,A}}^{2\theta}$, which implies that

$$\|u(t_k)\|_{X_{0,k}} \le \left[\frac{(J(u_0) + c_2)4p\mu}{(p - 2\mu)m_0}\right]^{\frac{1}{2\theta}}, \quad k = 1, 2, \cdots.$$
(12)

From (12) and the fact that the embedding $X_{0,A} \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ is compact for all $q \in [1, 2^*_s)$ (see [5, Lemma 2.2]), then there exist an increasing subsequence, still denoted by $\{t_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, and a function $v \in X_{0,A}$ such that $u_k := u(t_k)$ satisfies the following convergences

$$u_k \xrightarrow{w} v \text{ in } X_{0,A} \text{ as } k \to \infty, \tag{13}$$

$$u_k \to v \text{ in } L^q(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \text{ for all } q \in [1, 2^*_s) \text{ as } k \to \infty.$$
 (14)

By (14), there exist a subsequence, still denoted by $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, and a function $w \in L^q(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ for all $q \in [1, 2_s^*)$, such that

$$u_k(x) \to v(x) \text{ a.e. in } \Omega \text{ as } k \to \infty,$$
 (15)

for all
$$k, |u_k(x)| \le w(x)$$
 a.e. in Ω . (16)

Step 3: *v* is a solution to the stationary problem (5).

Let us prove that for all $\phi \in X_{0,A}$ we have

$$\mathcal{R} \int_{\Omega} f(|u_k|) u_k \overline{\phi} \, dx \to \mathcal{R} \int_{\Omega} f(|v|) v \overline{\phi} \, dx \quad \text{as } k \to \infty.$$
(17)

By (15) and $f \in C^1([0,\infty))$, we get $f(|u_k|)u_k\overline{\phi} \to f(|v|)v\overline{\phi}$, for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ as k to ∞ . Using (3) and (16) we get $|f(|u_k|)u_k\overline{\phi}| \le pC|w|^{p-2}|w||\phi| = pC|w|^{p-1}|\phi|$, for a.e. $x \in \Omega$. The Hölder inequality implies

$$\int_{\Omega} pC|w|^{p-1}|\phi| \, dx \le c ||\phi||_{L^p} \left(\int_{\Omega} |w|^{(p-1)\frac{p}{p-1}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} = c ||\phi||_{L^p} ||w||_{L^p}^{p-1} \le c$$

thanks to $w \in L^q(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ for all $q \in [1, 2^*_s)$ and $\phi \in X_{0,A} \hookrightarrow L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ for $p \in (2, 2^*_s)$. We conclude $|w|^{p-1} |\phi| \in L^1(\Omega)$. The Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem gives us (17). We show that

$$\langle J'(u_k), \phi \rangle = M(||u_k||^2_{X_{0,A}}) \langle u_k, \phi \rangle - \mathcal{R} \int_{\Omega} f(|u_k|) u_k \overline{\phi} \, dx, \tag{18}$$

converges to $0 = M(||v||_{X_{0,A}}^2)\langle v, \phi \rangle - \mathcal{R} \int_{\Omega} f(|v|)v\phi dx$ as $k \to \infty$ and $u_k \to v$ in $X_{0,A}$. We show that $M(||u_k||_{X_{0,A}}^2) \to M(||v||_{X_{0,A}}^2)$ and $u_k \to v$ strongly in $X_{0,A}$ (see [12]). Since M is continuous, (12) implies $M(||u_k||_{X_{0,A}}^2) \leq c$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, some c > 0, hence $\{M(||u_k||_{X_{0,A}}^2)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in \mathbb{R} . Now, there is a subsequence, still say $\{M(||u_k||_{X_{0,A}}^2)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, converging to \overline{M} , so $\lim_{k\to\infty} M(||u_k||_{X_{0,A}}^2)\langle v, \phi \rangle_{X_{0,A}} = \overline{M}\langle v, \phi \rangle_{X_{0,A}}$ and

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \left[M(||u_k||^2_{X_{0,A}}) - \overline{M} \right]^2 \frac{|v(x) - e^{i(x-y) \cdot A\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)} v(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} \, dx \, dy = 0,$$

 $\leq \|J'(u_k)\|_{X'_{0,k}} \left[\frac{(J(u_0)+c_2)4p\mu}{(p-2\mu)m_0} \right]^{\frac{1}{2\theta}} \to 0 \text{ as } k \to \infty. \text{ We first deduce that}$

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} M(||u_k||^2_{X_{0,A}}) \langle u_k, u_k \rangle_{X_{0,A}} = \lim_{k \to \infty} (\langle J'(u_k), u_k \rangle + \int_{\Omega} f(|u_k|) |u_k|^2 \, dx)$$
$$= \int_{\Omega} f(|v|) |v|^2 \, dx = \lim_{k \to \infty} M(||u_k||^2_{X_{0,A}}) \langle u_k, v \rangle_{X_{0,A}},$$

then $\lim_{k\to\infty} M(||u_k||^2_{X_{0,k}})(\langle u_k, u_k \rangle_{X_{0,k}} - \langle u_k, v \rangle_{X_{0,k}}) = 0$, and so

$$0 = \lim_{k \to \infty} M(||u_k||^2_{X_{0,A}}) \langle u_k, u_k - v \rangle_{X_{0,A}}$$

=
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} M(||u_k||^2_{X_{0,A}}) \left[||u_k - v||^2_{X_{0,A}} + \langle v, u_k - v \rangle_{X_{0,A}} \right]$$

=
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} M(||u_k||^2_{X_{0,A}}) ||u_k - v||_{X_{0,A}}.$$

Since $M(\sigma) \ge m_0 \sigma^{\theta-1}$ for all $\sigma \ge 0$, then $\lim_{k\to\infty} ||u_k - v||_{X_{0,A}} = 0$. So, $u_k(x) \to v(x)$ in $X_{0,A}$, which implies that $||u_k||^2_{X_{0,A}} \to ||v||^2_{X_{0,A}}$ as $k \to \infty$. Using the continuity of M, we get $\lim_{k\to\infty} M(||u_k||^2_{X_{0,A}}) = M(||v||^2_{X_{0,A}})$, which allows us to conclude that $\overline{M} = M(||v||^2_{X_{0,A}})$.

References

- [1] D. Applebaum, Lévy processes From probability to finance quantum groups, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. 51 (2004) 1336-1347.
- [2] J.E. Avron, I.W. Herbst, B. Simon, Schrödinger operators with magnetic fields. Commun. Math. Phys. 79 (1981) 529-572.
- [3] L. Caffarelli, Non-local diffusions, drifts and games, in Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations, Abel Symposia, Vol. 7 (Springer, 2012), pp. 37–52.
- [4] P. d'Avenia, M. Squassina, Ground states for fractional magnetic operators. ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var. 24 (2018) 1–24.
- [5] A. Fiscella, A. Pinamonti, E. Vecchi, Multiplicity results for magnetic fractional problems, J. Differential Equations. 263 (2017) 4617–4633.
- [6] A. Fiscella, E. Vecchi, Bifurcation and multiplicity results for critical magnetic fractional problems, Electron. J. Diff. Equ. 153 (2018) 1–18.
- [7] G. Kirchhoff, Mechanik. Teubner, Leipzig, 1883.
- [8] C. Ji, V.D. Rădulescu, Concentration phenomena for magnetic Kirchhoff equations with critical growth, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 41 (2021), no. 12, 5551-5577.
- [9] C. Ji, V.D. Rădulescu, Multi-bump solutions for the nonlinear magnetic Choquard equation with deepening potential well, J. Differential Equations 306 (2022), 251-279.
- [10] Q. Li, K. Teng, W. Wang, J. Zhang, Existence of nontrivial solutions for fractional Schrödinger equations with electromagnetic fields and critical or supercritical nonlinearity, Bound. Value Probl. 2020;112 (2020) 1–10.
- [11] Q. Li, W. Wang, M. Liu, Normalized solutions for the fractional Choquard equations with Sobolev critical and double mass supercritical growth. Lett. Math. Phys. 113:49 (2023) 1–9.
- [12] X. Mingqi, V. D. Rădulescu, B. Zhang, Nonlocal Kirchhoff diffusion problems: local existence and blow-up of solutions, Nonlinearity. 31 (2018) 3228–3250.
- [13] L.E. Payne and D.H. Sattinger, Saddle points and instability of nonlinear hyperbolicequations, Israel J. Math. 22 (1975), 273-303.
- [14] D.H. Sattinger, Stability of nonlinear hyperbolic equations, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 28 (1968) 226–244.
- [15] E. Toscano, C. Vetro, D. Wardowski, Systems of Kirchhoff type equations with gradient dependence in the reaction term via subsolutionsupersolution method, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S (2023), doi:10.3934/dcdss.2023070
- [16] L. Wen, V.D. Rădulescu, Groundstates for magnetic Choquard equations with critical exponential growth, Appl. Math. Lett. 132 (2022), Paper No. 108153, 8 pp.
- [17] M. Xiang, V.D. Rădulescu, B. Zhang, A critical fractional Choquard-Kirchhoff problem with magnetic field, Commun. Contemp. Math. 21:1850004 (2019).
- [18] J. Zhou, Lifespan, asymptotic behavior and ground-state solutions to a nonlocal parabolic equation, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. (2020) 71:28.
- [19] J. Zuo, J.H. Lopes, The Kirchhoff-type diffusion problem driven by a magnetic fractional Laplace operator, J. Math. Phys. 63:061505 (2022) 1–14.

6