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#### Abstract

A new Runge-Kutta-Nyström method, with phase-lag of order infinity, for the integration of second-order periodic initial-value problems is developed in this paper. The new method is based on the Dormand and Prince Runge-Kutta-Nyström method of algebraic order four [1]. Numerical illustrations indicate that the new method is much more efficient than the classical one. Key words: Runge-Kutta-Nyström methods; Phase-fitted; Initial-value problems; Phase-lag infinity


PACS: 02.60

## 1. Introduction

In this paper we study a special Runge-Kutta-Nyström method of Dormand et al.[1] for integrating systems of ODEs of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} u(t)}{d t^{2}}=f(t, u(t)) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for which it is known in advantage that their solution is periodic or oscillating.

[^0]Several authors in their papers (for example see [3,7-10]) have developed Runge-Kutta-Nyström methods with the purpose of making the phase-lag of the method smaller.

The phase-lag of a method, first defined by Brusa and Nigro [2] at 1980. Van der Houwen and Sommeijer [3] proposed second-order $m$-stage methods (with $m=4,5,6$ ) and phase-lag order $q=6,8,10$ respectively. They also derived some third-order methods with phase-lag order $6,8,10$. In [3, 5] Chawla and Rao have constructed Numerov-type methods with minimal phase-lag for the numerical integration of second-order initial-value problems. Simos et al. [8] obtain fourth-order Runge-Kutta-Nyström with minimal phase-lag of order eigth. He also derived in [9] a Runge-Kutta-Fehlberg method of order infinity.

In the present paper and based on the requirements of infinite order of phase-lag, we will construct a phase-fitted four-stage Runge-Kutta-Nyström which is based on the coefficients of the well-known Runge-Kutta-Nyström Dormand et al. [1] method of algebraic order 4.

## 2. Phase lag analysis for Runge-Kutta-Nyström methods

The general m-stage method for the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} u(t)}{d t^{2}}=f(t, u(t)) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is of the form

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
u_{n} & { }^{(0)}=u_{n-1}, \\
u_{n}^{(i)}=u_{n-1}+h \hat{u}_{n-1}+h^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{i} b_{j} f_{j}  \tag{3}\\
u_{n}=u_{n}^{(m)}, & \hat{u}_{n}=\hat{u}_{n-1}+h \sum_{j=1}^{i} \hat{b}_{j} f_{j},
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}=f\left(t_{n-1}+c_{i} h, u_{n-1}+h c_{i} \hat{u}_{n-1}+h^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \alpha_{i, j} u_{n}^{(j)}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $c_{1}=0$ and $c_{m}=1$
The above expressions are presented using the well-known Butcher table, given below:

| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $c_{2}$ | $\alpha_{21}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $c_{3}$ | $\alpha_{31}$ | $\alpha_{32}$ |  |  |  |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |  |  |  |
| $c_{m}$ | $\alpha_{m, 1}$ | $\alpha_{m, 2}$ | $\ldots$ | $\alpha_{m, m-1}$ |  |
|  | $b_{1}$ | $b_{2}$ | $\ldots$ | $b_{m-1}$ | $b_{m}$ |
|  | $\hat{b}_{1}$ | $\hat{b}_{2}$ | $\ldots$ | $\hat{b}_{m-1}$ | $\hat{b}_{m}$ |

Table 1: m-stage Runge-Kutta-Nystöm method

In order to develop the new method, we use the test equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} u(t)}{d t^{2}}=(i v)^{2} u(t) \Longrightarrow u^{\prime \prime}(t)=-v^{2} u(t), \quad v \in R \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By applying the general method (3) to the test equation (5) we obtain the numerical solution

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
u_{n}  \tag{6}\\
h \hat{u}_{n}
\end{array}\right]=D^{n}\left[\begin{array}{c}
u_{0} \\
h \hat{u}_{0}
\end{array}\right], \quad D=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A\left(z^{2}\right) & B\left(z^{2}\right) \\
A^{\prime}\left(z^{2}\right) & B^{\prime}\left(z^{2}\right)
\end{array}\right], \quad z=v h,
$$

where $A, B, A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}$ are polynomials in $z^{2}$, completely determined by the parameters of method (3)

The exact solution of (5) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u\left(t_{n}\right)=\sigma_{1}[\exp (i v)]^{n}+\sigma_{2}[\exp (-i v)]^{n} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\sigma_{1,2}=\frac{1}{2}\left[u_{0} \pm \frac{\left(i \hat{u}_{0}\right)}{v}\right] \quad \text { or } \quad \sigma_{1,2}=|\sigma| \exp ( \pm i \chi) .
$$

Substituting in (7), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u\left(t_{n}\right)=2|\sigma| \cos (\chi+n z) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore we assume that the eigenvalues of $D$ are $\varrho_{1}, \varrho_{2}$, and the consequent eigenvectors are $\left[1, v_{1}\right]^{T},\left[1, v_{2}\right]^{T}$, where $v_{i}=A^{\prime} /\left(\rho_{i}-B^{\prime}\right), i=1,2$. The numerical solution of (5) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}=c_{1} \rho_{1}^{n}+c_{2} \rho_{2}^{n}, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
c_{1}=-\frac{v_{2} u_{0}-h \hat{u}_{0}}{v_{1}-v_{2}}, \quad c_{2}=-\frac{v_{1} u_{0}-h \hat{u}_{0}}{v_{1}-v_{2}} .
$$

If $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}$ are complex conjugate, then $c_{1,2}=|c| \exp ( \pm i w)$ and $\rho_{1,2}=$ $|\rho| \exp ( \pm i p)$. By substituting in (9), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}=2|c||\rho|^{n} \cos (w+n p) . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equations (8) and (10) we take the following definition.
Definition 1. (Phase-lag). Apply the RKN method (3) to the general method (5). Then we define the phase-lag $\Phi(z)=z-p$. If $\Phi(z)=O\left(z^{q+1}\right)$, then the RKN method is said to have phase-lag order $q$.

In addition, the quantity $a(z)=1-|\rho|$ is called amplification error.
Let us denote

$$
\begin{align*}
& R\left(z^{2}\right)=\operatorname{tr}(D)=A\left(z^{2}\right)+B^{\prime}\left(z^{2}\right) \\
& Q\left(z^{2}\right)=\operatorname{det}(D)=A\left(z^{2}\right) B^{\prime}\left(z^{2}\right)-A^{\prime}\left(z^{2}\right) B\left(z^{2}\right) \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

where $z=v h$. From Definition 1 it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(z)=z-\operatorname{arcoss}\left(\frac{R\left(z^{2}\right)}{2 \sqrt{Q\left(z^{2}\right)}}\right), \quad|\rho|=\sqrt{Q\left(z^{2}\right)} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can also put forward an alternative definition for the case of infinite order of phase lag.

Definition 2. (Phase-lag of order infinity). To obtain phase-lag of order infinity the relation $\Phi(z)=z-\arccos \left(\frac{R\left(z^{2}\right)}{2 \sqrt{Q\left(z^{2}\right)}}\right)=0$ must hold.

## 3. Derivation of the new Runge-Kutta-Nyström method

In this section we construct a 4 -stage explicit Runge-Kutta-Nyström method (presented in Table 1), based on $R\left(z^{2}\right)$ and $Q\left(z^{2}\right)$. Now let us rewrite R and Q in the following form

$$
\begin{align*}
& R\left(z^{2}\right)=2-r_{1} z^{2}+r_{2} z^{4}-r_{3} z^{6}+\ldots+r_{i} z^{2 i}=0 \\
& Q\left(z^{2}\right)=1-q_{1} z^{2}+q_{2} z^{4}-q_{3} z^{6}+\ldots+q_{i} z^{2 i}=0 \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

By computing the polynomials $A, B, A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}$ and therefore $R$ and $Q$ in terms of RKN parameters we obtain the following expressions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A\left(z^{2}\right)=1+b_{4} a_{4,3} a_{3,2} a_{2,1} z^{8}+\left(-b_{4} a_{4,2} a_{2,1}-b_{3} a_{3,2} a_{2,1}-b_{4} a_{4,3} a_{3,1}-b_{4} a_{4,3} a_{3,2}\right) z^{6}+ \\
& \left(b_{2} a_{2,1}+b_{4} a_{4,1}+b_{4} a_{4,2}+b_{3} a_{3,1}+b_{4} a_{4,3}+b_{3} a_{3,2}\right) z^{4}+\left(-b_{4}-b_{1}-b_{3}-b_{2}\right) z^{2} \\
& B\left(z^{2}\right)=1-b_{4} a_{4,3} a_{3,2} c_{2} z^{6}+\left(b_{4} a_{4,3} c_{3}+b_{4} a_{4,2} c_{2}+b_{3} a_{3,2} c_{2}\right) z^{4}+\left(-b_{3} c_{3}-b_{4} c_{4}-\right. \\
& \left.b_{2} c_{2}\right) z^{2} \\
& A^{\prime}\left(z^{2}\right)=\hat{b}_{4} a_{4,3} a_{3,2} a_{2,1} z^{8}+\left(-\hat{b}_{3} a_{3,2} a_{2,1}-\hat{b}_{4} a_{4,3} a_{3,1}-\hat{b}_{4} a_{4,3} a_{3,2}-\hat{b}_{4} a_{4,2} a_{2,1}\right) z^{6}+ \\
& \left(\hat{b}_{2} a_{2,1}+\hat{b}_{3} a_{3,1}+\hat{b}_{3} a_{3,2}+\hat{b}_{4} a_{4,1}+\hat{b}_{4} a_{4,2}+\hat{b}_{4} a_{4,3}\right) z^{4}+\left(-\hat{b}_{4}-\hat{b}_{2}-\hat{b}_{1}-\hat{b}_{3}\right) z^{2} \\
& B^{\prime}\left(z^{2}\right)=1-\hat{b}_{4} a_{4,3} a_{3,2} c_{2} z^{6}+\left(\hat{b}_{4} a_{4,3} c_{3}+\hat{b}_{4} a_{4,2} c_{2}+\hat{b}_{3} a_{3,2} c_{2}\right) z^{4}+\left(-\hat{b}_{3} c_{3}-\hat{b}_{4} c_{4}-\right. \\
& \left.\hat{b}_{2} c_{2}\right) z^{2} \\
& R\left(z^{2}\right)=2+b_{4} a_{4,3} a_{3,2} a_{2,1} z^{8}+\left(-b_{3} a_{3,2} a_{2,1}-b_{4} a_{4,3} a_{3,2}-b_{4} a_{4,2} a_{2,1}-\hat{b}_{4} a_{4,3} a_{3,2} c_{2}-\right. \\
& \left.b_{4} a_{4,3} a_{3,1}\right) z^{6}+\left(b_{2} a_{2,1}+b_{3} a_{3,2}+b_{4} a_{4,3}+\hat{b}_{3} a_{3,2} c_{2}+\hat{b}_{4} a_{4,3} c_{3}+\hat{b}_{4} a_{4,2} c_{2}+b_{3} a_{3,1}+\right. \\
& \left.b_{4} a_{4,1}+b_{4} a_{4,2}\right) z^{4}+\left(-b_{3}-b_{2}-\hat{b}_{3} c_{3}-\hat{b}_{4} c_{4}-\hat{b}_{2} c_{2}-b_{4}-b_{1}\right) z^{2} \\
& Q\left(z^{2}\right)=1+\left(-\hat{b}_{4} a_{4,3} a_{3,1} b_{2} c_{2}-\hat{b}_{4} a_{4,2} a_{2,1} b_{3} c_{3}-\hat{b}_{2} a_{2,1} b_{4} a_{4,3} c_{3}-\hat{b}_{3} a_{3,2} a_{2,1} b_{4} c_{4}+\right. \\
& b_{3} a_{3,1} \hat{b}_{4} a_{4,2} c_{2}-\hat{b}_{3} a_{3,1} b_{4} a_{4,2} c_{2}-\hat{b}_{4} a_{4,3} a_{3,2} a_{2,1}+b_{4} a_{4,2} a_{2,1} \hat{b}_{3} c_{3}-\hat{b}_{1} b_{4} a_{4,3} a_{3,2} c_{2}+ \\
& b_{4} a_{4,1} \hat{b}_{3} a_{3,2} c_{2}-\hat{b}_{4} a_{4,1} b_{3} a_{3,2} c_{2}+b_{4} a_{4,3} a_{3,2} a_{2,1}+b_{1} \hat{b}_{4} a_{4,3} a_{3,2} c_{2}+b_{3} a_{3,2} a_{2,1} \hat{b}_{4} c_{4}+ \\
& \left.b_{4} a_{4,3} a_{3,1} \hat{b}_{2} c_{2}+b_{2} a_{2,1} \hat{b}_{4} a_{4,3} c_{3}\right) z^{8}+\left(-b_{4} a_{4,3} a_{3,1}-b_{3} a_{3,2} a_{2,1}-b_{4} a_{4,2} a_{2,1}-b_{4} a_{4,3} a_{3,2}-\right. \\
& b_{1} \hat{b}_{4} a_{4,2} c_{2}-b_{1} \hat{b}_{3} a_{3,2} c_{2}-b_{3} \hat{b}_{4} a_{4,2} c_{2}+\hat{b}_{2} b_{4} a_{4,3} c_{3}-b_{2} a_{2,1} \hat{b}_{3} c_{3}-b_{2} a_{2,1} \hat{b}_{4} c_{4}-b_{4} a_{4,1} \hat{b}_{3} c_{3}- \\
& b_{4} a_{4,1} \hat{b}_{2} c_{2}-b_{4} a_{4,2} \hat{b}_{3} c_{3}+\hat{b}_{3} a_{3,2} b_{4} c_{4}+\hat{b}_{4} a_{4,1} b_{3} c_{3}+\hat{b}_{4} a_{4,1} b_{2} c_{2}+\hat{b}_{4} a_{4,2} b_{3} c_{3}-b_{2} \hat{b}_{4} a_{4,3} c_{3}+ \\
& \hat{b}_{2} a_{2,1} b_{4} c_{4}+\hat{b}_{3} a_{3,1} b_{4} c_{4}+\hat{b}_{3} a_{3,1} b_{2} c_{2}-b_{3} a_{3,1} \hat{b}_{4} c_{4}-b_{3} a_{3,1} \hat{b}_{2} c_{2}-b_{4} a_{4,3} \hat{b}_{2} c_{2}-b_{3} a_{3,2} \hat{b}_{4} c_{4}- \\
& b_{4} \hat{b}_{3} a_{3,2} c_{2}-b_{1} \hat{b}_{4} a_{4,3} c_{3}+\hat{b}_{4} b_{3} a_{3,2} c_{2}+\hat{b}_{1} b_{4} a_{4,3} c_{3}+\hat{b}_{4} a_{4,3} b_{2} c_{2}+\hat{b}_{1} b_{4} a_{4,2} c_{2}+\hat{b}_{1} b_{3} a_{3,2} c_{2}+ \\
& \left.\hat{b}_{3} b_{4} a_{4,2} c_{2}+\hat{b}_{2} a_{2,1} b_{3} c_{3}+\hat{b}_{3} a_{3,2} a_{2,1}+\hat{b}_{4} a_{4,3} a_{3,1}+\hat{b}_{4} a_{4,2} a_{2,1}+\hat{b}_{4} a_{4,3} a_{3,2}-\hat{b}_{4} a_{4,3} a_{3,2} c_{2}\right) \\
& z^{6}+\left(-\hat{b}_{4} b_{3} c_{3}+b_{4} \hat{b}_{2} c_{2}-\hat{b}_{2} b_{4} c_{4}-\hat{b}_{3} b_{4} c_{4}+b_{2} \hat{b}_{4} c_{4}+b_{3} \hat{b}_{2} c_{2}+b_{3} \hat{b}_{4} c_{4}-\hat{b}_{1} b_{3} c_{3}+b_{4} \hat{b}_{3} c_{3}+\right. \\
& b_{1} \hat{b}_{3} c_{3}-\hat{b}_{4} b_{2} c_{2}-\hat{b}_{1} b_{2} c_{2}-\hat{b}_{1} b_{4} c_{4}+b_{1} \hat{b}_{2} c_{2}+b_{2} \hat{b}_{3} c_{3}+b_{1} \hat{b}_{4} c_{4}-\hat{b}_{3} b_{2} c_{2}-\hat{b}_{2} b_{3} c_{3}- \\
& \hat{b}_{2} a_{2,1}-\hat{b}_{3} a_{3,1}-\hat{b}_{3} a_{3,2}-\hat{b}_{4} a_{4,1}-\hat{b}_{4} a_{4,2}-\hat{b}_{4} a_{4,3}+b_{2} a_{2,1}+b_{4} a_{4,1}+b_{4} a_{4,2}+ \\
& \left.b_{3} a_{3,1}+b_{4} a_{4,3}+b_{3} a_{3,2}+\hat{b}_{3} a_{3,2} c_{2}+\hat{b}_{4} a_{4,3} c_{3}+\hat{b}_{4} a_{4,2} c_{2}\right) z^{4}+\left(-b_{2}-\hat{b}_{4} c_{4}+\hat{b}_{2}-\right. \\
& \left.b_{4}+\hat{b}_{1}+\hat{b}_{3}-\hat{b}_{2} c_{2}-b_{1}-b_{3}-\hat{b}_{3} c_{3}+\hat{b}_{4}\right) z^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $z=\nu h$

As it has already been defined, in order to have phase-lag of order infinity, the following relation must hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(z)=z-\arccos \left(\frac{R\left(z^{2}\right)}{2 \sqrt{Q(z)^{2}}}\right)=0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

By applying $R\left(z^{2}\right)$ and $Q\left(z^{2}\right)$ to the formula of the direct calculation of the phase lag (12) and substituting the following coefficients that have been used by Dormand et al. in [1] :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{21} & =\frac{1}{32}, \quad \alpha_{31}=\frac{7}{1000}, \quad \alpha_{32}=\frac{119}{500}, \quad \alpha_{41}=\frac{1}{14}, \quad \alpha_{42}=\frac{8}{27}, \\
c_{2} & =\frac{1}{4}, \quad c_{3}=\frac{7}{10}, \quad c_{4}=1, \\
b_{1} & =\frac{1}{14}, \quad b_{2}=\frac{8}{27}, \quad b_{3}=\frac{25}{189}, \quad b_{4}=0 \\
\hat{b}_{1} & =\frac{1}{14}, \quad \hat{b}_{2}=\frac{32}{81}, \quad \hat{b}_{3}=\frac{250}{567}, \quad \hat{b}_{4}=\frac{5}{54},
\end{aligned}
$$

After satisfying relation (14), we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi(z) & =z-\operatorname{arcoss}\left(\frac{R\left(z^{2}\right)}{2 \sqrt{Q(z)^{2}}}\right)=0 \Rightarrow \\
a_{4,3} & =-\frac{5}{5292} \frac{1}{289 z^{4}-6800 z^{2}+40000 z^{4}}\left(54621 z^{8}-4793320 z^{6}+99172960 z^{4}\right. \\
& +5179680 z^{4}(\sin (z))^{2}-768268800 z^{2}+4043520 z^{2}(\sin (z))^{2} \\
& +1866240000-559872000(\sin (z))^{2}+24\left(-654383577600 z^{6}\right. \\
& +212348252160000 z^{4}-1366377865200 z^{8}-1710031785 z^{12} \\
& +89285428680 z^{10}-202307339750400 z^{4}(\sin (z))^{2} \\
& +2023399802880000 z^{2}(\sin (z))^{2}-2015539200000000 z^{2} \\
& +581660870400 z^{6}(\sin (z))^{2}+1319799592800 z^{8}(\sin (z))^{2} \\
& +1710031785 z^{12}(\sin (z))^{2}-89285428680 z^{10}(\sin (z))^{2} \\
& +46578272400 z^{8}(\sin (z))^{4}+72722707200 z^{6}(\sin (z))^{4} \\
& -10040912409600 z^{4}(\sin (z))^{4}+544195584000000(\sin (z))^{4} \\
& -7860602880000 z^{2}(\sin (z))^{4}+6046617600000000 \\
& \left.\left.-6590813184000000(\sin (z))^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right) \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

The Taylor expansion series for $a_{4,3}$, which is given from the above formula is:

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{4,3} & =\frac{25}{189}-\frac{43}{2400} z^{2}-\frac{1531}{30240000} z^{4}-\frac{3273029}{36288000000} z^{6} \\
& +\frac{59772887431}{9699782400000000} z^{8}+\cdots . \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

## 4. Numerical examples

In this section we will apply our method to three problems. We are going to compare our results with those derived by using the high order method of embedded Runge-Kutta-Nyström 4(3)4 method of Dormand and Prince (see [1]).

One way to measure the efficiency of the method is to compute the accuracy in the decimal digits, that is $-\log _{10}$ (maximum error through the integration intervals)
$\operatorname{acc}(T)=-\log _{10}\left(\max \left|u\left(t_{n}\right)-u_{n}\right|\right), \quad$ where $\quad t_{n}=1+n h, \quad n=1,2, \ldots, \frac{T-1}{h}$ and $u(t)$ is the vector of the solution.

Table 2 shows the accuracy for the two methods. In our computations we have two step values, for Problems 1 and $2, h=0.025$ and $h=0.050$, and for Problems 3 and $4, h=0.25$ and $h=0.50$.

Problem 1.(Inhomogeneous equation)

$$
\frac{d^{2} u(t)}{d t^{2}}=-\nu^{2} u(t)+\left(\nu^{2}-1\right) \sin (t), \quad u(0)=1, \quad u^{\prime}(0)=\nu+1
$$

where $t \geq 0$ and $\nu=10$.
The analytical solution is $u(t)=\cos (\nu t)+\sin (\nu t)+\sin (t)$
Problem 2.(Two-Body problem)

$$
u^{\prime \prime}=-\frac{u}{\left(u^{2}+z^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}, \quad z^{\prime \prime}=-\frac{z}{\left(u^{2}+z^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}
$$

where $\quad u(0)=1, \quad u^{\prime}(0)=0, \quad z(0)=0, \quad z^{\prime}(0)=1 \quad$ and $\nu=1$

|  | Our method |  |  | Dormand and Prince method |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{T}=100$ | $\mathrm{~T}=1000$ | $\mathrm{~T}=5000$ | $\mathrm{~T}=100$ | $\mathrm{~T}=1000$ | $\mathrm{~T}=5000$ |
| $\underline{\text { Problem 1 }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{h}=0.025$ | 4.2 | 3.2 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 0.6 |
| $\mathrm{~h}=0.050$ | 2.7 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.2 | -0.3 |
| Problem 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{h}=0.025$ | 7.3 | 5.9 | 4.6 | 6.5 | 5.1 | 3.8 |
| $\mathrm{~h}=0.050$ | 6.0 | 4.4 | 3.1 | 5.2 | 3.6 | 2.3 |
| Problem 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{h}=0.25$ | 5.7 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 4.2 | 4.1 | 4.1 |
| $\mathrm{~h}=0.50$ | 4.2 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.8 |
| Problem 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{h}=0.25$ | 5.2 | 4.3 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 1.6 |
| $\mathrm{~h}=0.50$ | 3.8 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 0.4 |

Table 2: Accuracy for the maximum absolute error for problems 1-4

The analytical solution is $u(t)=\cos (t) \quad$ and $\quad z(t)=\sin (t)$
Problem 3.(Duffing equation)

$$
\frac{d^{2} u(t)}{d t^{2}}=-u(t)-(u(t))^{3}+B \cos (\nu t)
$$

where $B=0.002$ and $\nu=1.01$.
The analytical solution is $u(t)=A_{1} \cos (\nu t)+A_{3} \cos (3 \nu t)+A_{5} \cos (5 \nu t)+$ $A_{7} \cos (7 \nu t)+A_{9} \cos (9 \nu t)$
where $A_{1}=0.200179477536, A_{3}=0.000246946143, A_{5}=0.000000304014$, $A_{7}=0.000000000374$ and $A_{9}=0.000000000000$

Problem 4.(Franco and Palacios problem)
$\frac{d^{2} u(t)}{d t^{2}}=-u(t)+\epsilon \exp (i t), \quad u(t) \in C \quad u(0)=1, \quad u^{\prime}(0)=\left(1-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon\right) i$,
where $\epsilon=0.001$ and $\nu=1$
The analytical solution is $u(t)=\cos (t)+\frac{1}{2} \epsilon t \sin (t)+i\left[\sin (t)-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon t \cos (t)\right]$

## 5. Conclusion

A new fourth order Runge-Kutta-Nyström method with phase-lag of order infinity is developed in the present paper. The new method is based on the very well known classical Dormand and Prince fourth algebraic order Runge-Kutta-Nystöm method. The numerical results show that the new method is much more efficient for integrating second-order equations with periodic oscillating behavior than the classical one.
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