# Spanning trees in complete bipartite graphs and resistance distance in nearly complete bipartite graphs

Jun Ge<sup>\*</sup> and Fengming  $Dong^{\dagger}$ 

#### Abstract

Using the theory of electrical network, we first obtain a simple formula for the number of spanning trees of a complete bipartite graph containing a certain matching or a certain tree. Then we apply the effective resistance (i.e., resistance distance in graphs) to find a formula for the number of spanning trees in the nearly complete bipartite graph  $G(m, n, p) = K_{m,n} - pK_2$ ( $p \leq \min\{m, n\}$ ), which extends a recent result by Ye and Yan who obtained the effective resistances and the number of spanning trees in G(n, n, p). As a corollary, we obtain the Kirchhoff index of G(m, n, p) which extends a previous result by Shi and Chen.

Keywords: spanning tree; complete bipartite graph; electrical network; effective resistance Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 05C30, 05C05

## 1 Introduction

Throughout this paper we assume that all graphs considered are loopless, while parallel edges are allowed. Let G = (V, E) be a graph. For any  $e \in E(G)$ , let G - e be the graph obtained from G by deleting e. Let G/e be the edge contraction of G by e, with loops deleted. For any vertex set  $S \subseteq V(G)$ , let G/S be the graph obtained from G by identifying all the vertices in S, with resulting loops deleted. Similarly, for any edge set  $F \subseteq E(G)$ , let G/F be the graph obtained from G by contracting all the edges in F, with resulting loops deleted.

Suppose G is a weighted graph with edge weight  $w_e$  for each edge e. For any  $F \subseteq E$ , define  $w_F = \prod_{e \in F} w_e$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}(G)$  denote the set of spanning trees of G and let  $\tau(G) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}(G)} w_T$ . It is easy to see that for an unweighted graph G (weighted graph with unit weight on each edge),  $\tau(G)$  is the number of spanning trees. The first famous result for  $\tau(G)$  is the Cayley's formula.

Theorem 1.1 (Cayley's formula, [1]).

$$\tau(K_n) = n^{n-2}.$$

For a subgraph H of G, let  $\mathcal{T}_H(G)$  denote the set of spanning trees of G containing all edges in H and let  $\tau_H(G) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_H(G)} w_T$ . For unweighted graph G,  $\tau_H(G)$  is the number of spanning trees

<sup>\*</sup>School of Mathematical Sciences, Sichuan Normal University, Chengdu, 610066, Sichuan, P. R. China. Email: mathsgejun@163.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Mathematics and Mathematics Education, National Institute of Education, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore. Email: fengming.dong@nie.edu.sg

of G containing H. The following beautiful formula due to Moon generalizes Cayley's formula by obtaining the number of spanning trees of  $K_n$  containing a given spanning forest.

**Theorem 1.2** ([11], also see Problem 4.4 in [10]). For any spanning forest F of  $K_n$ , if c is the number of components of F and  $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_c$  are the orders of those components, then

$$\tau_F(K_n) = n^{c-2} \prod_{i=1}^c n_i.$$

Note that Cayley's formula is the special case of Theorem 1.2 that F is an empty graph.

It is also well known that  $\tau(K_{m,n}) = m^{n-1}n^{m-1}$  for any complete bipartite graph  $K_{m,n}$  by Fiedler and Sedláček [5]. In this paper, we prove the following two theorems as bipartite analogues of Moon's formula (Theorem 1.2).

**Theorem 1.3.** For any matching M of size k in  $K_{m,n}$ ,

$$\tau_M(K_{m,n}) = (m+n)^{k-1}(m+n-k)m^{n-k-1}n^{m-k-1}.$$

**Theorem 1.4.** Let T be any tree which is a subgraph of  $K_{m,n}$ . Then

$$\tau_T(K_{m,n}) = (sn + tm - st)m^{n-t-1}n^{m-s-1},$$

where  $s = |V(T) \cap X|$ ,  $t = |V(T) \cap Y|$ , and (X, Y) is the bipartition of  $K_{m,n}$  with |X| = m and |Y| = n.

Effective resistance is a concept used in electric circuit analysis to define the equivalent resistance between two points in an electric network. In graph theory it is also known as the resistance distance [9] between two vertices of a weighted connected graph. Here the graph considered can be viewed as an electric network, where edge uv with edge weight  $w_{uv} > 0$  corresponds to a resistor with resistance  $r_{uv} = \frac{1}{w_{uv}}$ , with a voltage source connected to a pair of vertices.

Recently, Ye and Yan [23] studied the resistance distance between any two vertices of the graph  $K_{n,n} - pK_2$   $(p \le n)$ . In this paper, we extend their results to any nearly complete bipartite graph  $K_{m,n} - pK_2$   $(p \le \min\{m, n\})$ , that is, the graph obtained from the complete bipartite graph  $K_{m,n}$  by deleting a matching of size p.

As a corollary, the number of spanning trees of any nearly complete bipartite graph is obtained as follows.

**Theorem 1.5.** For any integer  $p \leq \min\{m, n\}$ ,

$$\tau(K_{m,n} - pK_2) = (mn - m - n + p)(mn - m - n)^{p-1}m^{n-p-1}n^{m-p-1}.$$

Theorem 1.5 can be viewed as a "dual" result of Theorem 1.3, since  $\tau(K_{m,n} - pK_2)$  equals the number of those spanning trees of  $K_{m,n}$  contain no edge of a fixed matching of size p.

Shi and Chen [16] studied the problem of computing resistance distances and Kirchhoff index (which will be introduced in the next section) of graphs with an involution and obtained the Kirchhoff index of  $G(n, n, p) = K_{n,n} - pK_2$ . We extend their result to all nearly complete bipartite graphs  $G(m, n, p) = K_{m,n} - pK_2$ .

# 2 Electrical network

The main tool used in proving our results is the theory of electrical network. In this section, we introduce some basics of the theory of electrical network and a nice relation between electrical network and spanning trees of graphs.

For readers who are not familiar with basics of electricity, we refer to [7]. Doyle and Snell's book [4] provides rich materials on random walks and electric networks. Wagner's excellent notes [20] also contain further materials. Vos's master thesis [19] is a well written survey on how to determining the effective resistance.

For any graph G, we view each edge as a resistor with some assigned resistance. If a voltage source is connected to two vertices of G, then each vertex receives an electric potential, each edge receives a current flow (possibly 0 for some edges), and the graph becomes an electrical network. Followings are some notations:

- (i). the electric potential at point a is denoted by  $v_a$ ;
- (ii). the voltage, electric potential difference, or electric pressure from a to b is denoted by  $U_{ab} = v_a v_b$ ;
- (iii). the electric current through a conductor represented by the edge ab (from a to b) is denoted by  $I_{ab}$ ;
- (iv). the electrical resistance of a conductor represented by the edge ab is denoted by  $r_{ab}$ .

Then we know  $U_{ab} = -U_{ba}$ ,  $I_{ab} = -I_{ba}$ , and  $r_{ab} = r_{ba}$ . Now we introduce some basic laws in the theory of electrical network.

**Theorem 2.1** (Ohm's law). For the conductor between two points a and b,

$$U_{ab} = I_{ab} r_{ab}.$$

**Theorem 2.2** (Kirchhoff's current law). The algebraic sum of currents in a network of conductors meeting at a point is zero.

**Theorem 2.3** (Kirchhoff's voltage law). The directed sum of the potential differences (voltages) around any closed circle is zero.

Ohm's law easily yields formulae for the equivalent resistance of resistors in series or in parallel. Figure 1 shows n resistors in series. If we view the resistors in series as one single resistor with resistance r, then  $U_{ab} = Ir = I(r_1 + r_2 + \cdots + r_n)$ , implying that  $r = r_1 + r_2 + \cdots + r_n$ , which is called the series law.

Figure 2 shows *n* resistors in parallel. If we view the resistors in parallel as one single resistor with resistance *r*, then  $U_{ab} = Ir = i_1r_1 = i_2r_2 = \cdots = i_nr_n$ , implying that  $I = \frac{U_{ab}}{r}$  and  $i_i = \frac{U_{ab}}{r_i}$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$ . Since  $I = i_1 + i_2 + \cdots + i_n$ , we obtain  $\frac{U_{ab}}{r} = \frac{U_{ab}}{r_1} + \frac{U_{ab}}{r_2} + \cdots + \frac{U_{ab}}{r_n}$ , that is,  $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{r_1} + \frac{1}{r_2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{r_n}$ , which is called the parallel law.

The basic idea of effective resistance is established by letting a voltage source connects vertices u and v. Let I be the electric current from the voltage source to u (Kirchhoff's current law



Fig. 1: Resistors in series.



Fig. 2: Resistors in parallel.

guarantees that it equals the electric current from v to the voltage source). The voltage source effectively sees the network between u and v as a single resistor connecting u and v, through which the electric current flows. The effective resistance is basically the resistance of that single resistor.

**Definition 1.** Let G be a graph with assigned resistances for edges. Connect a voltage source (a battery) between vertices u and v. Let I be the electric current from the voltage source to u. The effective resistance between vertices u and v,  $R_{uv}(G)$  (or  $R_{uv}$  if there is no confusion), is defined by the following:

$$R_{uv}(G) = \frac{U_{uv}}{I}.$$

**Remark 1.** It is easy to see that  $R_{uv}$  is independent of the value of the voltage source we added.

In graph theory, sometimes we call  $R_{uv}$  the resistance distance [9] between u and v. This is because the effective resistance function R is proved to be a metric on graphs [15]:

 $R:V(G)\times V(G)\rightarrow [0,+\infty)$  is a function that satisfies

- (i). non-negativity:  $R_{xy} \ge 0$  for all  $x, y \in V(G)$ ,
- (ii). identity of indiscernibles:  $R_{xy} = 0$  if and only if x = y,
- (iii). symmetry:  $R_{xy} = R_{yx}$  for all  $x, y \in V(G)$ ,
- (iv). triangle inequality:  $R_{xy} \leq R_{xz} + R_{yz}$  for all  $x, y, z \in V(G)$ .

In [9], after named  $R_{uv}$  the resistance distance, Klein and Randić defined the Kirchhoff index Kf(G) of G as the sum of resistance distances between each pair of vertices of G, that is,

$$Kf(G) = \sum_{\{u,v\}: u,v \in V(G)} R_{uv}$$

See [7, 16, 12, 21, 23, 22] for some recent researches on effective resistance and Kirchhoff index. Now we introduce a nice relation between electrical network and spanning trees of graphs.

**Theorem 2.4** ([14]). Let  $G = (V, E, \{w_e\})$  be a simple weighted graph with weight  $w_{ij} = \frac{1}{r_{ij}}$  if  $ij \in E$ , and  $w_{ij} = 0$  if  $ij \notin E$ , where  $r_{ij}$  is the resistance of edge  $ij \in E$ . For any two vertices  $u, v \in V$ , the effective resistance between u and v is

$$R_{uv} = \frac{\tau(G/\{u,v\})}{\tau(G)}$$

## **3** Spanning trees in $K_{m,n}$ containing a certain matching

In this section, we aim to prove Theorem 1.3.

For any graph G and any  $F \subseteq E(G)$ , it is easy to see that  $\tau_F(G) = \tau(G/F)$ . So for any two matchings  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  in  $K_{m,n}$  with the same size,  $\tau_{M_1}(K_{m,n}) = \tau_{M_2}(K_{m,n})$  because  $K_{m,n}/M_1$ and  $K_{m,n}/M_2$  are isomorphic. So  $\tau_M(K_{m,n})$  only depend on the size of M. Now let  $\tau_k(K_{m,n})$  be the number of spanning trees of  $K_{m,n}$  containing any given matching of size k. Then  $\tau_0(K_{m,n}) = \tau(K_{m,n}) = m^{n-1}n^{m-1}$ .

**Lemma 1.**  $\tau_1(K_{m,n}) = \frac{m+n-1}{mn} \cdot \tau(K_{m,n}).$ 

Proof. For any  $e \in E(K_{m,n})$ , we prove there are  $\frac{m+n-1}{mn}\tau(K_{m,n})$  spanning trees contain e. Note that  $K_{m,n}$  has mn edges, and  $\tau(K_{m,n})$  spanning trees. Construct a bipartite graph H with bipartition (X, Y), where X is the set of edges in  $K_{m,n}$  and Y is the set of spanning trees in  $K_{m,n}$ , and with edge set  $\{\{e, T\} : e \in X, T \in Y, e \in E(T)\}$ . By symmetry, H is a biregular graph. Each vertex in X has degree  $\tau_1(K_{m,n})$  and each vertex in Y has degree m + n - 1. Therefore, we have

$$|X| \cdot \tau_1(K_{m,n}) = |Y| \cdot (m+n-1).$$

By definition, |X| = mn and  $|Y| = \tau(K_{m,n})$ . Thus,

$$mn \cdot \tau_1(K_{m,n}) = (m+n-1) \cdot \tau(K_{m,n}),$$

that is,

$$\tau_1(K_{m,n}) = \frac{m+n-1}{mn} \cdot \tau(K_{m,n}).$$

**Theorem 3.1.** For any  $1 \le k \le \min\{m, n\} - 1$ ,

$$\frac{\tau_{k+1}(K_{m,n})}{\tau_k(K_{m,n})} = \frac{(m+n)(m+n-k-1)}{mn(m+n-k)}$$

Proof. For any matching M with size k, we consider the graph  $K_{m,n}/M$ . Assume that (X, Y) is the bipartition of  $K_{m,n}$ , where  $X = \{s, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{m-1}\}$  and  $Y = \{t, y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{n-1}\}$ , and that  $M = \{x_{m-i}y_{n-i} : i = 1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ . Then the vertex set of  $K_{m,n}/M$  is  $\{s, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{m-k-1}\} \cup$  $\{t, y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{n-k-1}\} \cup \{z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_k\}$ , where each  $z_i$  is the vertex obtained after contracting edge  $x_{m-i}y_{n-i}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ . An example of  $K_{m,n}/M$  is shown in Figure 3.



Fig. 3:  $K_{6,7}/M$ , where M is a matching of size 3.

We view  $K_{m,n}/M$  as an electrical network. Assume a unit current enters at s and leaves at t and each edge has unit resistance.

Applying the parallel law, we replace the two parallel edges between  $z_i$  and  $z_j$   $(i \neq j)$  by one single edge  $z_i z_j$  with resistance  $\frac{1}{2}$ , and denote the resulting graph by G'. Then  $R_{st}(K_{m,n}/M) = R_{st}(G')$ . In what follows, we consider the electrical network G' with a unit current enters at s and leaves at t.

Write N = m + n - k. We assign a current to each edge as follows:

$$\begin{cases} I_{st} = w_0 = \frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{mN} - \frac{1}{nN}, & 1 \le i \le n - k - 1, \\ I_{sy_i} = w_1 = \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{mN} - \frac{1}{nN}, & 1 \le i \le n - k - 1, \\ I_{sz_i} = w_2 = \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{nN}, & 1 \le i \le k, \\ I_{tx_i} = w_3 = \frac{1}{mN} + \frac{1}{nN} - \frac{1}{m}, & 1 \le i \le m - k - 1, \\ I_{tz_i} = w_4 = \frac{1}{mN} - \frac{1}{m}, & 1 \le i \le k, \\ I_{x_iy_j} = w_5 = -\frac{1}{mN} - \frac{1}{nN}, & 1 \le i \le m - k - 1, 1 \le j \le n - k - 1, \\ I_{z_ix_j} = w_6 = \frac{1}{nN}, & 1 \le i \le k, 1 \le j \le m - k - 1, \\ I_{z_iy_j} = w_7 = -\frac{1}{mN}, & 1 \le i \le k, 1 \le j \le n - k - 1, \\ I_{z_iz_j} = 0, & 1 \le i \le k. \end{cases}$$

First we check Kirchhoff's current law for each vertex:

at vertex  $s: -1 + \sum_{u:u \sim s} I_{su} = -1 + w_0 + (n - k - 1)w_1 + kw_2 = 0;$ at vertex  $t: 1 + \sum_{u:u \sim t} I_{tu} = 1 - w_0 + (m - k - 1)w_3 + kw_4 = 0;$ at vertex  $x_i \ (1 \le i \le m - k - 1): \sum_{u:u \sim x_i} I_{x_iu} = (n - k - 1)w_5 - w_3 - kw_6 = 0;$ at vertex  $y_i \ (1 \le i \le n - k - 1): \sum_{u:u \sim y_i} I_{y_iu} = w_1 + (m - k - 1)w_5 + kw_7 = 0;$ at vertex  $z_i \ (1 \le i \le k): \sum_{u:u \sim z_i} I_{z_iu} = (m - k - 1)w_6 + (n - k - 1)w_7 - w_2 - w_4 = 0.$ 

Then we check Kirchhoff's voltage law for cycles.

A cycle basis of a graph is a minimal set of cycles such that each Eulerian subgraph can be expressed as a symmetric difference of cycles in this set.

It is easy to see that if cycle C is the symmetric difference of k cycles, and the Kirchhoff's voltage law holds for all these k cycles, then the Kirchhoff's voltage law also holds for C. That is to say, we only need to check those cycles in a cycle basis.

Let T be a spanning tree of  $K_{mn}/M$  that T is the star with center vertex  $z_1$ . For any  $e \in E(K_{mn}/M) - E(T)$ , we have a unique cycle  $C_e$  consisting of e together with the unique path in T connecting the endpoints of e. All these cycles are called fundamental cycles and they form a special basis for the cycle space called fundamental cycle basis. We check Kirchhoff's voltage law for all these fundamental cycles.

 $z_1 \rightarrow s \rightarrow t \rightarrow z_1$  (the fundamental cycle corresponding to the edge st):

$$U_{z_1s} + U_{st} + U_{tz_1} = I_{z_1s}r_{z_1s} + I_{st}r_{st} + I_{tz_1}r_{tz_1}$$
  
=  $-w_2 \cdot 1 + w_0 \cdot 1 + w_4 \cdot 1$   
=  $-\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{nN} + \frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{mN} - \frac{1}{nN} + \frac{1}{mN} - \frac{1}{m}$   
= 0.

 $z_1 \to s \to y_i \to z_1$  (the fundamental cycle corresponding to edge  $sy_i$ ):

$$U_{z_1s} + U_{sy_i} + U_{y_iz_1} = I_{z_1s}r_{z_1s} + I_{sy_i}r_{sy_i} + I_{y_iz_1}r_{y_iz_1}$$
  
=  $-w_2 \cdot 1 + w_1 \cdot 1 - w_7 \cdot 1$   
=  $-\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{nN} + \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{mN} - \frac{1}{nN} + \frac{1}{mN}$   
= 0.

 $z_1 \rightarrow s \rightarrow z_i \rightarrow z_1$  (the fundamental cycle corresponding to the edge  $sz_i$ ):

$$U_{z_1s} + U_{sz_i} + U_{z_iz_1} = I_{z_1s}r_{z_1s} + I_{sz_i}r_{sz_i} + I_{z_iz_1}r_{z_iz_1}$$
$$= -w_2 \cdot 1 + w_2 \cdot 1 - 0 \cdot 1$$
$$= 0.$$

 $z_1 \rightarrow t \rightarrow x_i \rightarrow z_1$  (the fundamental cycle corresponding to the edge  $tx_i$ ):

$$U_{z_1t} + U_{tx_i} + U_{x_iz_1} = I_{z_1t}r_{z_1t} + I_{tx_i}r_{tx_i} + I_{x_iz_1}r_{x_iz_1}$$
  
=  $-w_4 \cdot 1 + w_3 \cdot 1 - w_6 \cdot 1$   
=  $-\frac{1}{mN} + \frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{mN} + \frac{1}{nN} - \frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{nN}$   
= 0.

 $z_1 \rightarrow t \rightarrow z_i \rightarrow z_1$  (the fundamental cycle corresponding to the edge  $tz_i$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} U_{z_1t} + U_{tz_i} + U_{z_iz_1} &= I_{z_1t}r_{z_1t} + I_{tz_i}r_{tz_i} + I_{z_iz_1}r_{z_iz_1} \\ &= -w_4 \cdot 1 + w_4 \cdot 1 - 0 \cdot 1 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

 $z_1 \to x_i \to y_j \to z_1$  (the fundamental cycle corresponding to the edge  $x_i y_j$ ):

$$U_{z_1x_i} + U_{x_iy_j} + U_{y_jz_1} = I_{z_1x_i}r_{z_1x_i} + I_{x_iy_j}r_{x_iy_j} + I_{y_jz_1}r_{y_jz_1}$$
  
=  $w_6 \cdot 1 + w_5 \cdot 1 - w_7 \cdot 1$   
=  $\frac{1}{nN} - \frac{1}{mN} - \frac{1}{nN} + \frac{1}{mN}$   
= 0.

 $z_1 \rightarrow x_i \rightarrow z_j \rightarrow z_1$  (the fundamental cycle corresponding to the edge  $x_i z_j$ ):

$$U_{z_1x_i} + U_{x_iz_j} + U_{z_jz_1} = I_{z_1x_i}r_{z_1x_i} + I_{x_iz_j}r_{x_iz_j} + I_{z_jz_1}r_{z_jz_1}$$
$$= w_6 \cdot 1 - w_6 \cdot 1 - 0 \cdot 1$$
$$= 0.$$

 $z_1 \rightarrow y_i \rightarrow z_j \rightarrow z_1$  (the fundamental cycle corresponding to the edge  $y_i y_j$ ):

$$U_{z_1y_i} + U_{y_iz_j} + U_{z_jz_1} = I_{z_1y_i}r_{z_1y_i} + I_{y_iz_j}r_{y_iz_j} + I_{z_jz_1}r_{z_jz_1}$$
  
=  $w_7 \cdot 1 - w_7 \cdot 1 - 0 \cdot 1$   
= 0.

 $z_1 \rightarrow z_i \rightarrow z_j \rightarrow z_1, i \neq j$  (the fundamental cycle corresponding to the edge  $z_i z_j$ ):

$$U_{z_1z_i} + U_{z_iz_j} + U_{z_jz_1} = I_{z_1z_i}r_{z_1z_i} + I_{z_iz_j}r_{z_iz_j} + I_{z_jz_1}r_{z_jz_1}$$
  
=  $0 \cdot \frac{1}{2} + 0 \cdot \frac{1}{2} + 0 \cdot \frac{1}{2}$   
=  $0.$ 

Therefore, the resistances and currents we assigned satisfy the Kirchhoff's laws. By the definition of effective resistance, we obtain

$$R_{st}(K_{m,n}/M) = R_{st}(G') = \frac{U_{st}}{1} = I_{st}r_{st} = w_0 = \frac{(m+n)(m+n-k-1)}{mn(m+n-k)}.$$

Then, following from Theorem 2.4, we have

$$\frac{\tau_{k+1}(K_{m,n})}{\tau_k(K_{m,n})} = \frac{\tau\left((K_{m,n}/M)/st\right)}{\tau(K_{m,n}/M)} \\ = \frac{\tau\left((K_{m,n}/M)/\{s,t\}\right)}{\tau(K_{m,n}/M)} \\ = R_{st}(K_{m,n}/M) \\ = \frac{(m+n)(m+n-k-1)}{mn(m+n-k)}.$$

**Proof of Theorem 1.3.** Since  $\tau(K_{m,n}) = m^{n-1}n^{m-1}$ , Theorem 1.3 holds when k = 0.

Lemma 1 shows that Theorem 1.3 holds when k = 1.

When  $2 \le k \le \min\{m, n\}$ , by using Theorem 3.1, we obtain

The proof is complete.

# 4 Spanning trees in $K_{m,n}$ containing a certain tree

In this section, we aim to prove Theorem 1.4.

Let (X, Y) be the bipartition of  $K_{m,n}$  with |X| = m and |Y| = n. Note that for any  $F \subseteq E(G)$ , there exists  $\tau_F(G) = \tau(G/F)$ . We observe that for any two trees  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  in  $K_{m,n}$ , if  $|V(T_1) \cap X| = |V(T_2) \cap X|$ , and  $|V(T_1) \cap Y| = |V(T_2) \cap Y|$ , then  $\tau_{T_1}(K_{m,n}) = \tau_{T_2}(K_{m,n})$  since  $K_{m,n}/T_1$  and  $K_{m,n}/T_2$  are isomorphic, where for a subgraph H in a graph G, G/H is the graph G/E(H). So when we count the number of spanning trees in  $K_{m,n}$  containing a certain tree T, the only thing matters is the pair of numbers s and t, where  $s = |V(T) \cap X|$  and  $t = |V(T) \cap Y|$ .

Now let  $\tau_{s,t}(K_{m,n})$  be the number of spanning trees of  $K_{m,n}$  containing any given T as a subgraph such that  $|V(T) \cap X| = s$  and  $|V(T) \cap Y| = t$ . Then  $\tau_{0,0}(K_{m,n}) = \tau_{1,0}(K_{m,n}) = \tau_{0,1}(K_{m,n}) = \tau(K_{m,n})$ . Lemma 1 tells that  $\tau_{1,1}(K_{m,n}) = \frac{m+n-1}{mn} \cdot \tau(K_{m,n})$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** (1) For any  $1 \le s \le m, 1 \le t \le n-1$ ,

$$\frac{\tau_{s,t+1}(K_{m,n})}{\tau_{s,t}(K_{m,n})} = \frac{sn + (m-s)(t+1)}{m[sn + (m-s)t]}$$

(2) For any  $1 \le s \le m - 1, \ 1 \le t \le n$ ,

$$\frac{\tau_{s+1,t}(K_{m,n})}{\tau_{s,t}(K_{m,n})} = \frac{tm + (n-t)(s+1)}{n[tm + (n-t)s]}$$

*Proof.* We only prove part (1), after that, part (2) remains the same.

Let tree T be a subgraph of  $K_{m,n}$ . Let  $s = |V(T) \cap X|$ , and  $t = |V(T) \cap Y|$ , where (X, Y)is the bipartition of  $K_{m,n}$  with |X| = m and |Y| = n. Assume that  $X = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m\}$ ,  $Y = \{y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_{n-1}\}$ , and  $V(T) = \{x_{m-s+1}, x_{m-s+2}, \ldots, x_m\} \cup \{y_{n-t}, y_{n-t+1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}\}$ . Now we consider the graph  $K_{m,n}/T$ , in which all vertices in V(T) are identified as a single vertex z, as shown in Figure 4.



Fig. 4: Graph  $K_{m,n}/T$ , where  $|V(T) \cap X| = s$  and  $|V(T) \cap Y| = t$ . Edges labelled by s or t means they are multiple edges with multiplicity s or t.

We view the  $K_{m,n}/T$  as an electrical network. Assume a unit current enters at z and leaves at  $y_0$  and each edge of  $K_{m,n}/T$  has unit resistance. Our aim is to obtain the effective resistance  $R_{zy_0}$ .

Applying the parallel law, we can replace q parallel edges between two vertices u and v by a single edge uv with resistance  $\frac{1}{q}$ . Thus, for any i with  $1 \le i \le m-s$ , all t parallel edges between z and  $x_i$  can be replaced by one single edge  $zx_i$  with resistance  $\frac{1}{t}$ , and for any j with  $0 \le j \le n-t-1$ , all s parallel edges between z and  $y_j$  can be replaced by one single edge  $zy_j$  with resistance  $\frac{1}{s}$ . We denote the resulting graph by G''. Then  $R_{zy_0}(K_{m,n}/T) = R_{zy_0}(G'')$ . In what follows, we consider the electrical network G'' with a unit current enters at z and leaves at  $y_0$ .

Write N = sn + tm - st. To each edge of G'' we assign a current as follows:

$$\begin{cases} I_{zy_0} = w_0 = \frac{s}{m} + \frac{s(m-s)}{mN}, \\ I_{zy_i} = w_1 = \frac{s(m-s)}{mN}, & 1 \le i \le n-t-1, \\ I_{zx_i} = w_2 = \frac{t}{N}, & 1 \le i \le m-s, \\ I_{x_iy_0} = w_3 = \frac{1}{m} - \frac{s}{mN}, & 1 \le i \le m-s, \\ I_{x_iy_j} = w_4 = -\frac{s}{mN}, & 1 \le i \le m-s, 1 \le j \le n-t-1 \end{cases}$$

First we check Kirchhoff's current law for each vertex:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{at vertex } z: & -1 + \sum\limits_{u:u \sim z} I_{zu} = -1 + (m-s)w_2 + w_0 + (n-t-1)w_1 = 0; \\ \text{at vertex } y_0: & 1 + \sum\limits_{u:u \sim y_0} I_{y_0u} = 1 - w_0 - (m-s)w_3 = 0; \\ \text{at vertex } x_i \ (1 \le i \le m-s): & \sum\limits_{u:u \sim x_i} I_{x_iu} = -w_2 + w_3 + (n-t-1)w_4 = 0; \\ \text{at vertex } y_i \ (1 \le i \le n-t-1): & \sum\limits_{u:u \sim y_i} I_{y_iu} = -w_1 - (m-s)w_4 = 0. \end{array}$$

Then we check Kirchhoff's voltage law for cycles. Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1, we only need to check those cycles in a cycle basis.

Let T be a spanning tree of G'' that is the star with  $z_1$  as its center. For any  $e \in E(G'') - E(T)$ , we have a unique cycle  $C_e$  consisting of e and the unique path in T connecting the endpoints of e. All these cycles form a special basis for the cycle space. We check Kirchhoff's voltage law for all these cycles:

for the cycle  $C_{zx_iy_0}$   $(z \to x_i \to y_0 \to z)$ :

$$U_{zx_{i}} + U_{x_{i}y_{0}} + U_{y_{0}z} = I_{zx_{i}}r_{zx_{i}} + I_{x_{i}y_{0}}r_{x_{i}y_{0}} + I_{y_{0}z}r_{y_{0}z}$$
  
$$= w_{2} \cdot \frac{1}{t} + w_{3} \cdot 1 - w_{0} \cdot \frac{1}{s}$$
  
$$= \frac{1}{N} + \frac{1}{m} - \frac{s}{mN} - \left(\frac{1}{m} + \frac{m-s}{mN}\right)$$
  
$$= 0;$$

and for the cycle  $C_{zx_iy_j}$   $(z \to x_i \to y_j \to z)$ , where  $j \neq 0$ :

$$U_{zx_{i}} + U_{x_{i}y_{j}} + U_{y_{j}z} = I_{zx_{i}}r_{zx_{i}} + I_{x_{i}y_{j}}r_{x_{i}y_{j}} + I_{y_{j}z}r_{y_{j}z}$$
  
$$= w_{2} \cdot \frac{1}{t} + w_{4} \cdot 1 - w_{1} \cdot \frac{1}{s}$$
  
$$= \frac{1}{N} - \frac{s}{mN} - \frac{s(m-s)}{mN} \cdot \frac{1}{s}$$
  
$$= 0.$$

Therefore, the resistances and currents we assigned satisfy the Kirchhoff's laws. By the definition of effective resistance, we obtain

$$R_{zy_0}(K_{m,n}/T) = R_{zy_0}(G'') = \frac{U_{zy_0}}{1} = I_{zy_0}r_{zy_0} = w_0 \cdot \frac{1}{s} = \frac{sn + (m-s)(t+1)}{m[sn + (m-s)t]}.$$

Then, following from Theorem 2.4, we have

$$\frac{\tau_{s,t+1}(K_{m,n})}{\tau_{s,t}(K_{m,n})} = \frac{\tau\left((K_{m,n}/T)/2y_0\right)}{\tau(K_{m,n}/T)}$$
$$= \frac{\tau\left((K_{m,n}/T)/\{z, y_0\}\right)}{\tau(K_{m,n}/T)}$$
$$= R_{zy_0}(K_{m,n}/T)$$
$$= \frac{sn + (m-s)(t+1)}{m[sn + (m-s)t]}.$$

	-

**Proof of Theorem 1.4.** When  $1 \le s \le m$ , and  $1 \le t \le n$ , by using Theorem 4.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{s,t}(K_{m,n}) &= \tau_{s,1}(K_{m,n}) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{t-1} \frac{\tau_{s,i+1}(K_{m,n})}{\tau_{s,i}(K_{m,n})} \\ &= \tau_{1,1}(K_{m,n}) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{s-1} \frac{\tau_{i+1,1}(K_{m,n})}{\tau_{i,1}(K_{m,n})} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{t-1} \frac{\tau_{s,i+1}(K_{m,n})}{\tau_{s,i}(K_{m,n})} \\ &= \frac{m+n-1}{mn} \cdot \tau(K_{m,n}) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{s-1} \frac{m+(n-1)(i+1)}{n[m+(n-1)i]} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{t-1} \frac{sn+(m-s)(i+1)}{m[sn+(m-s)i]} \\ &= \frac{m+n-1}{mn} \cdot \tau(K_{m,n}) \cdot \frac{m+(n-1)s}{n^{s-1}(m+n-1)} \cdot \frac{sn+(m-s)t}{m^{t-1}(sn+m-s)} \\ &= \frac{sn+tm-st}{m^s n^t} \cdot \tau(K_{m,n}). \end{aligned}$$
(1)

For s = m, t = n, (1) implies that  $\tau_{m,n}(K_{m,n}) = \frac{\tau(K_{m,n})}{m^{n-1}n^{m-1}}$ . Since  $\tau_{m,n}(K_{m,n})$  is the number of spanning trees of  $K_{m,n}$  which contain a given spanning tree, obviously  $\tau_{m,n}(K_{m,n}) = 1$ . It follows immediately that  $\tau(K_{m,n}) = m^{n-1}n^{m-1}$ . By (1) again, when  $2 \le s \le m$ , and  $2 \le t \le n$ , we have  $\tau_{s,t}(K_{m,n}) = (sn + tm - st)m^{n-t-1}n^{m-s-1}$ . Theorem 1.4 holds in this case.

Since  $\tau_{0,0}(K_{m,n}) = \tau_{1,0}(K_{m,n}) = \tau_{0,1}(K_{m,n}) = \tau(K_{m,n}) = m^{n-1}n^{m-1}$ , it is easy to see that Theorem 1.4 holds for  $(s,t) \in \{(0,0), (1,0), (0,1)\}$ . It is not possible for  $s = 0, t \ge 2$  or  $s \ge 2, t = 0$ . So Theorem 1.4 holds for all remaining cases. The proof is complete.

**Remark 2.** It is surprising that throughout the proof of Theorem 1.4, we do not need the exact value of  $\tau(K_{m,n})$  as the initial data. On the contrary, we can deduce the formula  $\tau(K_{m,n}) = m^{n-1}n^{m-1}$  from the proof.

Theorem 1.4 can also be deduced from Kirchoff's Matrix-Tree Theorem. Let G be a multigraph without loops. Let A(G) be its adjacency matrix and D(G) its diagonal matrix. We call L(G) = D(G) - A(G) the Laplacian of G. Let  $L(G)_{i,j}$  denote the matrix obtained from L(G) by deleting the *i*-th row and *j*-th column. Sometimes we call this submatrix a reduced Laplacian of G.

Theorem 4.2 (Kirchoff's Matrix-Tree Theorem, [8]).

$$\tau(G) = (-1)^{i+j} \det(L(G)_{ij}).$$

**Lemma 2** (Schur's determinant identity, [13]). Suppose M is a square matrix that can be decomposed into blocks as

$$M = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} A & B \\ C & D \end{array} \right],$$

where A and D are square, and D is invertible. Then

$$\det(M) = \det(D) \cdot \det(A - BD^{-1}C).$$

Second proof of Theorem 1.4. Let  $K_{m,n}/T$  be the graph show in Figure 4. It is easy to see that

$$D(K_{m,n}/T) = \operatorname{diag}\{tm + sn - 2st, \underbrace{n, \dots, n}_{m-s}, \underbrace{m, \dots, m}_{n-t}\},$$
(2)

and

$$A(K_{m,n}/T) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0}_{m-s} & \mathbf{1}_{(m-s)\times(n-t)} \\ \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1}_{(n-t)\times(m-s)} & \mathbf{0}_{n-t} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore,

$$L(K_{m,n}/T)_{1,1} = \begin{bmatrix} nI_{m-s} & -\mathbf{1}_{(m-s)\times(n-t)} \\ -\mathbf{1}_{(n-t)\times(m-s)} & mI_{n-t} \end{bmatrix}$$

By Theorem 4.2 and Lemma 2, we obtain

$$\tau_{s,t}(K_{m,n}) = \tau(K_{m,n}/T)$$

$$= \det\left( \begin{bmatrix} nI_{m-s} & -\mathbf{1}_{(\mathbf{m}-\mathbf{s})\times(\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{t})} \\ -\mathbf{1}_{(\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{t})\times(\mathbf{m}-\mathbf{s})} & mI_{n-t} \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

$$= \det(mI_{n-t}) \cdot \det\left(nI_{m-s} - (-\mathbf{1}_{(m-s)\times(n-t)})(mI_{n-t})^{-1}(-\mathbf{1}_{(n-t)\times(m-s)})\right)$$

$$= m^{n-t} \det\left(nI_{m-s} - \frac{1}{m}(-\mathbf{1}_{(m-s)\times(n-t)}) \cdot (-\mathbf{1}_{(n-t)\times(m-s)})\right)$$

$$= m^{n-t} \det\left(nI_{m-s} - \frac{n-t}{m}\mathbf{1}_{(m-s)}\right)$$

$$= m^{n-t} \cdot \left(n - \frac{n-t}{m} + (m-s-1) \cdot \left(-\frac{n-t}{m}\right)\right) \cdot n^{m-s-1}$$

$$= (sn + tm - st)m^{n-t-1}n^{m-s-1}.$$

The second-to-last equality above follows from the following identity:

$$\det \left( \begin{bmatrix} a & b & \cdots & b \\ b & a & \cdots & b \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b & b & \cdots & a \end{bmatrix}_{n \times n} \right) = (a + (n-1)b) (a-b)^{n-1}.$$

# 5 Effective resistances in nearly complete bipartite graphs

In this section, we first introduce some known results on effective resistances, and then apply them to obtain the effective resistances of nearly complete bipartite graphs. As applications, we obtain the number of spanning trees (Theorem 1.5) and the Kirchhoff indices of nearly complete bipartite graphs.

#### 5.1 Known results on effective resistances

Foster [6] obtained a famous result on the sum of effective resistances for all edges as follows.

**Theorem 5.1** ([6]). Let G be a connected graph with n vertices in which each edge ij receives unit resistance. Then

$$\sum_{ij\in E(G)} R_{ij} = n - 1.$$

Tetali [17, 18] gave two beautiful probabilistic proofs of Foster's Theorem. It is natural to see this fact by considering the relation between effective resistance and the number of spanning trees of graphs. We give a short proof of Foster's Theorem based on Theorem 2.4.

**Proof of Theorem 5.1.** By Theorem 2.4, we have  $R_{ij} = \frac{\tau(G/\{i,j\})}{\tau(G)} = \frac{\tau(G/ij)}{\tau(G)}$  for each  $ij \in E(G)$ . Then

$$\sum_{ij \in E(G)} R_{ij} = \sum_{e \in E(G)} \frac{\tau(G/e)}{\tau(G)} = \frac{1}{\tau(G)} \sum_{e \in E(G)} \tau(G/e).$$

Since for any  $e \in E(G)$ ,  $\tau(G/e)$  is the number of spanning trees of G containing edge e,  $\sum_{e \in E(G)} \tau(G/e)$  is the size of the following set:

 $\Phi = \{ (e,T) : e \in E(T), T \text{ is a spanning tree of } G \text{ with } e \in E(T) \}.$ 

For each spanning tree T,  $\Phi$  contains exactly n-1 elements, implying that  $|\Phi| = \tau(G) \cdot (n-1)$ . Thus

$$\sum_{ij\in E(G)}\tau(G/ij) = (n-1)\tau(G)$$

and

$$\sum_{ij\in E(G)} R_{ij} = n - 1$$

It was noted in [18] that Foster's Theorem can be extended to graphs with arbitrary resistances.

**Theorem 5.2** ([6, 18]). Let G be a connected graph with n vertices. Each edge ij has resistance  $r_{ij}$ . Then

$$\sum_{ij\in E(G)}\frac{R_{ij}}{r_{ij}}=n-1.$$

Theorem 5.2 can also be proved by considering  $R_{ij} = \frac{\tau(G/\{i,j\})}{\tau(G)}$ . The proof is almost the same. We leave it to the readers.

We call Foster's Theorem the global rule for the effective resistance of graphs. In 2008, Chen and Zhang [3] proved a local sum rule for the resistance of graphs.

**Theorem 5.3** ([3]). Let G be a simple connected graph with n vertices. Each edge is assigned unit resistance. For any two vertices u and v of G,

$$d(u)R_{uv} + \sum_{x \in N(u)} (R_{ux} - R_{vx}) = 2,$$

where d(u) is the degree of vertex u and N(u) is the set of neighbors of u in G.

Theorem 5.3 offers a complete set of local rules that can determine all resistance distances of a graph. The following two theorems can be viewed as special cases of Theorem 5.3.

**Theorem 5.4** ([7]). Let G be a graph with two non-adjacent vertices a and b such that N(a) = N(b). Then  $R_{a,b} = \frac{2}{|N(a)|}$ .

**Theorem 5.5.** Let G be a graph with two adjacent vertices a and b such that N(a) = N(b). Then  $R_{a,b} = \frac{2}{|N(a)|+1}$ . Similar to Foster's Theorem, Chen and Zhang's local rules can also be extended to graphs with arbitrary resistances.

**Theorem 5.6** ([2]). Let G be a simple connected graph with n vertices. Each edge ij has resistance  $r_{ij}$ . For any two vertices u and v of G,

$$\left(\sum_{x\in N(u)}\frac{1}{r_{ux}}\right)R_{uv} + \sum_{x\in N(u)}\frac{1}{r_{ux}}(R_{ux} - R_{vx}) = 2,$$

where N(u) is the set of neighbors of u in G.

## 5.2 Effective resistances and the number of spanning trees of G(m, n, p)

Let G(m, n, p) denote the nearly complete bipartite graph  $K_{m,n} - pK_2$  with unit resistance on each edge, where  $p \leq \min\{m, n\}$ . Assume that the vertex set of G(m, n, p) is  $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m\} \cup \{y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n\}$  and its edge set  $\{x_iy_j : 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\} \setminus \{x_1y_1, x_2y_2, \ldots, x_py_p\}$ .

For convenience, let 
$$[k] = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$$
 if  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$  and  $[k] = \emptyset$  if  $k = 0$ .

Now we state our main result of this section as follows.

**Theorem 5.7.** Assume that  $G(m, n, p) = K_{m,n} - pK_2$  has a unit resistance on each edge. Then the following conclusions hold:

(1) for 
$$1 \le i, j \le m$$
,

$$R_{x_i x_j} = \begin{cases} \frac{2(m-1)}{mn-m-n}, & \text{if } i \neq j \text{ and } i, j \in [p], \\ \frac{2}{n}, & \text{if } i \neq j \text{ and } i, j \in [m] \backslash [p], \\ \frac{2n-1}{n(n-1)} + \frac{p-1}{p(n-1)(mn-m-n)} + \frac{n-p}{pn(n-1)(mn-m-n+p)}, & \text{if } i \in [p], j \in [m] \backslash [p]. \end{cases}$$

(2) for 
$$1 \le i, j \le n$$
,

$$R_{y_iy_j} = \begin{cases} \frac{2(n-1)}{mn-m-n}, & \text{if } i \neq j \text{ and } i, j \in [p], \\ \frac{2}{m}, & \text{if } i \neq j \text{ and } i, j \in [n] \setminus [p], \\ \frac{2m-1}{m(m-1)} + \frac{p-1}{p(m-1)(mn-m-n)} + \frac{m-p}{pm(m-1)(mn-m-n+p)}, & \text{if } i \in [p], j \in [n] \setminus [p]. \end{cases}$$

(3) for  $1 \le i \le m$  and  $1 \le j \le n$ ,

$$R_{x_iy_j} = \begin{cases} \frac{m+n}{mn-m-n} - \frac{mn}{(mn-m-n)(mn-m-n+p)}, & \text{if } i = j \in [p], \\ \frac{m+n-2}{mn-m-n} - \frac{mn}{(mn-m-n)(mn-m-n+p)}, & \text{if } i \neq j \text{ and } i, j \in [p], \\ \frac{1}{m} + \frac{(p-1)(m-1)}{p(mn-m-n)} + \frac{(m-p)(m-1)}{pm(mn-m-n+p)}, & \text{if } i \in [p], j \in [n] \backslash [p], \\ \frac{1}{n} + \frac{(p-1)(n-1)}{p(mn-m-n)} + \frac{(n-p)(n-1)}{pn(mn-m-n+p)}, & \text{if } i \in [m] \backslash [p], j \in [p], \\ \frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n} - \frac{mn-m-n}{mn(mn-m-n+p)}, & \text{if } i \in [m] \backslash [p], j \in [n] \backslash [p] \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* By symmetry of G(m, n, p), we set

$$\begin{aligned} r_{1} &= R_{x_{i}x_{j}}, \text{ for } i \neq j \text{ and } i, j \in [p], \\ r_{2} &= R_{y_{i}y_{j}}, \text{ for } i \neq j \text{ and } i, j \in [p], \\ r_{3} &= R_{x_{i}x_{j}}, \text{ for } i \neq j \text{ and } i, j \in [m] \setminus [p], \\ r_{4} &= R_{y_{i}y_{j}}, \text{ for } i \neq j \text{ and } i, j \in [n] \setminus [p], \\ r_{5} &= R_{x_{i}y_{i}}, \text{ for } i \in [p], \\ r_{6} &= R_{x_{i}y_{j}}, \text{ for } i \in [p], \\ r_{7} &= R_{x_{i}x_{j}}, \text{ for } i \in [p], j \in [m] \setminus [p], \\ r_{8} &= R_{x_{i}y_{j}}, \text{ for } i \in [p], j \in [n] \setminus [p], \\ r_{9} &= R_{x_{i}y_{j}}, \text{ for } i \in [m] \setminus [p], j \in [n] \setminus [p], \\ r_{10} &= R_{y_{i}y_{j}}, \text{ for } i \in [m] \setminus [p], j \in [n] \setminus [p], \\ r_{11} &= R_{x_{i}y_{j}}, \text{ for } i \in [m] \setminus [p], j \in [n] \setminus [p]. \end{aligned}$$

Claim 1.  $r_3 = \frac{2}{n}, r_4 = \frac{2}{m}.$ 

Proof. Claim 1 follows directly from Theorem 5.4.

Claim 2.  $r_1 = \frac{2(m-1)}{mn-m-n}, r_2 = \frac{2(n-1)}{mn-m-n}.$ 

*Proof.* Applying Theorem 5.3 to  $\{x_1, x_2\}$  we obtain

$$(n-1)r_1 + (r_6 - r_5) = 2. (3)$$

Applying Theorem 5.3 to  $\{y_1,y_2\}$  we obtain

$$(m-1)r_2 + (r_6 - r_5) = 2. (4)$$

From (3) and (4) we have

$$r_1 = \frac{m-1}{n-1}r_2.$$
 (5)

Applying Theorem 5.3 to  $\{x_1,y_1\}$  we obtain the following two equations.

$$(n-1)r_5 + (p-1)(r_6 - r_2) + (n-p)(r_8 - r_{10}) = 2.$$
 (6)

$$(m-1)r_5 + (p-1)(r_6 - r_1) + (m-p)(r_9 - r_7) = 2.$$
(7)

Applying Theorem 5.3 to  $\{x_1,y_2\}$  leads to two equations, we choose the following one of them.

$$(n-1)r_6 + (r_6 - 0) + (p-2)(r_6 - r_2) + (n-p)(r_8 - r_{10}) = 2.$$
(8)

(8) - (6) we obtain

$$(n-1)(r_6 - r_5) + r_2 = 0. (9)$$

Combine (4) and (9) we have

Then from (5) we can see that

$$r_{2} = \frac{2(n-1)}{mn - m - n}.$$
$$r_{1} = \frac{2(m-1)}{mn - m - n}.$$

(10)

Now we turn to the rest effective resistances. Since we have known the exact value of  $r_1$  and

 $r_2$ , (3), (6) and (7) become  $r_5 - r_6 = \frac{2}{mn - m - n}$ ,

$$(n-1)r_5 + (p-1)r_6 + (n-p)(r_8 - r_{10}) = 2 + \frac{2(n-1)(p-1)}{mn - m - n},$$
(11)

and

$$(m-1)r_5 + (p-1)r_6 + (m-p)(r_9 - r_7) = 2 + \frac{2(m-1)(p-1)}{mn - m - n}.$$
(12)

Applying Theorem 5.3 to  $\{x_1, x_{p+1}\}$  we obtain the following two equations.

$$(n-1)r_7 + (p-1)(r_6 - r_9) + (n-p)(r_8 - r_{11}) = 2.$$
  
$$nr_7 + (r_9 - r_5) + (p-1)(r_9 - r_6) + (n-p)(r_{11} - r_8) = 2.$$

Add them up we obtain

$$(2n-1)r_7 + r_9 - r_5 = 4. (13)$$

Applying Theorem 5.3 to  $\{y_1, y_{p+1}\}$  we obtain the following two equations.

$$(m-1)r_{10} + (p-1)(r_6 - r_8) + (m-p)(r_9 - r_{11}) = 2.$$
  
$$mr_{10} + (r_8 - r_5) + (p-1)(r_8 - r_6) + (m-p)(r_{11} - r_9) = 2.$$

Add them up we obtain

$$(2m-1)r_{10} + r_8 - r_5 = 4. (14)$$

Applying Theorem 5.3 to  $\{x_1, y_{p+1}\}$  we obtain

$$(n-1)r_8 + (p-1)(r_6 - r_{10}) + (r_8 - 0) + (n-p-1)(r_8 - r_4) = 2$$

that is,

$$(p-1)(r_6 - r_{10}) + (2n - p - 1)r_8 = \frac{2(m + n - p - 1)}{m}.$$
(15)

Foster's Theorem (Theorem (5.1)) shows

$$p(p-1)r_6 + p(n-p)r_8 + p(m-p)r_9 + (m-p)(n-p)r_{11} = m+n-1.$$
 (16)

Solving the system of linear equations consists of Eq. (8)-(14), we get the following results we need:

$$r_{5} = \frac{m+n}{mn-m-n} - \frac{mn}{(mn-m-n)(mn-m-n+p)},$$

$$r_{6} = \frac{m+n-2}{mn-m-n} - \frac{mn}{(mn-m-n)(mn-m-n+p)},$$

$$r_{7} = \frac{2n-1}{n(n-1)} + \frac{p-1}{p(n-1)(mn-m-n)} + \frac{n-p}{pn(n-1)(mn-m-n+p)},$$

$$r_{8} = \frac{1}{m} + \frac{(p-1)(m-1)}{p(mn-m-n)} + \frac{(m-p)(m-1)}{pm(mn-m-n+p)},$$

$$r_{9} = \frac{1}{n} + \frac{(p-1)(n-1)}{p(mn-m-n)} + \frac{n-p}{pn(n-1)(mn-m-n+p)},$$

$$r_{10} = \frac{2m-1}{m(m-1)} + \frac{p-1}{p(m-1)(mn-m-n)} + \frac{m-p}{pm(m-1)(mn-m-n+p)},$$

$$r_{11} = \frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n} - \frac{mn-m-n}{mn(mn-m-n+p)}.$$

Now we turn to the number of spanning trees of G(m, n, p) and prove Theorem 1.5. **Proof of Theorem 1.5.** Recall Theorem 2.4, we have  $\frac{\tau(G(m,n,p)/x_{p+1}y_{p+1})}{\tau(G(m,n,p))} = r_{11}$ , implying that

$$\frac{\tau(G(m,n,p+1))}{\tau(G(m,n,p))} = \frac{\tau(G(m,n,p)) - \tau(G(m,n,p)/x_{p+1}y_{p+1})}{\tau(G(m,n,p))}$$

$$= 1 - r_{11}$$

$$= 1 - \frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{n} + \frac{mn - m - n}{mn(mn - m - n + p)}$$

$$= \frac{(mn - m - n)(mn - m - n + p + 1)}{mn(mn - m - n + p)}.$$

Therefore,

$$\tau(G(m,n,p)) = \left(\prod_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\tau(G(m,n,k+1))}{\tau(G(m,n,k))}\right) \cdot \tau(G(m,n,0))$$
  
=  $\frac{(mn-m-n)^p(mn-m-n+p)}{m^p n^p(mn-m-n)} \cdot \tau(K_{m,n})$   
=  $(mn-m-n+p)(mn-m-n)^{p-1}m^{n-p-1}n^{m-p-1}.$ 

	-	_	
_		_	

## **5.3** Kirchhoff indices of G(m, n, p)

In 2016, Shi and Chen obtained the Kirchhoff index of  $G(n, n, p) = K_{n,n} - pK_2$  as follows.

**Theorem 5.8** ([16]). Let G be a graph constructed by removing p disjoint edges from the complete bipartite graph  $K_{n,n}(p \le n)$ . Then

$$Kf(G) = \frac{np(2n^2 - 5n + 2p)}{(n-2)(n^2 - 2n + p)} + \frac{(n-p)(2n^2 - 5n + 2p + 2)}{n^2 - 2n + p} + 2(n-1).$$

Particularly, if p = n, we have

$$Kf(G) = \frac{5n-6}{(n-1)(n-2)} + 4n + 1.$$

Since we have obtained effective resistances for G(m, n, p), the Kirchhoff index of G(m, n, p) is an obvious corollary of Theorem 5.7, which extends Shi and Chen's results.

## Corollary 3.

$$Kf(G(m,n,p)) = m+n-1 + \frac{p^2(m+n-2)+2p}{mn-m-n} + \frac{(m-p)(m-1)}{n} + \frac{(n-p)(n-1)}{m} - \frac{pmn}{(mn-m-n)(mn-m-n+p)} + \frac{p(m-p)}{n-1} + \frac{(m-p)(p-1)}{(n-1)(mn-m-n)} + \frac{(m-p)(n-p)}{n(n-1)(mn-m-n+p)} + \frac{p(n-p)}{m-1} + \frac{(n-p)(p-1)}{(m-1)(mn-m-n)} + \frac{(m-p)(n-p)}{m(m-1)(mn-m-n+p)}.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{split} Kf(G(m,n,p)) &= \sum_{\{u,v\}:u,v\in V(G)} R_{uv} \\ &= \sum_{\{u,v\}:uv\in E(G)} R_{uv} + \sum_{\{u,v\}:uv\notin E(G)} R_{uv} \\ &= m+n-1 + \binom{p}{2}r_1 + \binom{p}{2}r_2 + \binom{m-p}{2}r_3 + \binom{n-p}{2}r_4 + pr_5 \\ &+ p(m-p)r_7 + p(n-p)r_{10} \\ &= m+n-1 + \frac{p^2(m+n-2)+2p}{mn-m-n} + \frac{(m-p)(m-1)}{n} + \frac{(n-p)(n-1)}{m} \\ &- \frac{pmn}{(mn-m-n)(mn-m-n+p)} + \frac{p(m-p)}{n-1} + \frac{(m-p)(p-1)}{(n-1)(mn-m-n)} \\ &+ \frac{(m-p)(n-p)}{n(n-1)(mn-m-n+p)} + \frac{p(n-p)}{m-1} + \frac{(n-p)(p-1)}{(m-1)(mn-m-n)} \\ &+ \frac{(m-p)(n-p)}{m(m-1)(mn-m-n+p)}. \end{split}$$

# Acknowledgements

Jun Ge is partially supported by NSFC (No. 11701401) and China Scholarship Council (No. 201708515087).

# References

- [1] A. Cayley, A theorem on trees, Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math. 23 (1889), 376–378.
- [2] H. Chen, Random walks and the effective resistance sum rules, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 158 (2010), 1691–1700.
- [3] H. Chen, F. Zhang, Resistance distance local rules, J. Math. Chem. 44 (2008) 405-417.
- [4] P. G. Doyle and J. L. Snell, Random walks and electric networks, Carus Mathematical Monographs, 22, Mathematical Association of America, Washington, DC, 1984.
- [5] M. Fiedler and J. Sedláček, Über Wurzelbasen von gerichteten Graphen, *Časopis Pěst. Mat.* 83 (1958), 214–225.

- [6] R. M. Foster, The average impedance of an electrical network, in: J. W. Edwards, Contributions to Applied Mechanics, Ann Arbor, Michigan, 1949, pp. 333–340.
- [7] S. V. Gervacio, Resistance distance in complete n-partite graphs, Discrete Appl. Math. 203 (2016), 53-61.
- [8] G. Kirchhoff, Uber die Auflösung der Gleichungen, auf welche man bei der untersuchung der linearen verteilung galvanischer Ströme geführt wird, Ann. Phys. Chem. 72 (1847), 497–508.
- [9] D. J. Klein and M. Randić, Resistance distance, J. Math. Chem. 12(1) (1993), 81–95.
- [10] L. Lovász, Combinatorial problems and exercises, second edition, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1993.
- [11] J. W. Moon, The second moment of the complexity of a graph, *Mathematika* 11 (1964), 95–98.
- [12] X. Qi, B. Zhou, Z. Du, The Kirchhoff indices and the matching numbers of unicyclic graphs, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 289 (2016), 464–480.
- [13] J. Schur, Über Potenzreihen, die im Innern des Einheitskreises beschränkt sind, J. Reine Angew. Math. 147 (1917), 205–232.
- [14] S. Seshu, M. B. Reed, Linear graphs and electrical networks, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1961.
- [15] G. E. Sharpe, Solution of the (m + 1)-terminal resistive network problem by means of metric geometry, in: Proceedings of the First Asilomar Conference on Circuits and Systems, Pacific Grove, CA, November 1967, pp. 319–328.
- [16] L. Shi, H. Chen, Resistance distances and Kirchhoff index of graphs with an involution, Discrete Appl. Math. 215 (2016) 185–196.
- [17] P. Tetali, Random walks and effective resistance of networks, J. Theoret. Probab. 4(1) (1991), 101–109.
- [18] P. Tetali, An extension of Foster's network theorem, Combin. Probab. Comput. 3 (1994) 421– 427.
- S. [19] V. S. Vos. Methods for determining the effective resistance, Master's thesis. Leiden University, Dec. 2016, https://www.universiteitleiden.nl/binaries/content/assets/science/mi/scripties/master/vos\_va
- [20] D. G. Wagner, Combinatorics of electrical networks, Lecture notes, University of Waterloo, 2009, https://www.math.uwaterloo.ca/~dgwagner/Networks.pdf.
- [21] Y. Yang, Y. Cao, H. Yao, J. Li, Solution to a conjecture on a NordhausCGaddum type result for the Kirchhoff index, Appl. Math. Comput. 332 (2018), 241–249.
- [22] Y. Yang, D. J. Klein, Two-point resistances and random walks on stellated regular graphs, J. Phys. A 52(7) (2019), 075201.

[23] L. Ye, W. Yan, Resistance between two vertices of almost complete bipartite graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 257 (2019), 299–305.