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#### Abstract

Noticing that some recent variations of descent polynomials are special cases of Carlitz and Scoville's four-variable polynomials, which enumerate permutations by the parity of descent and ascent positions, we prove a $q$-analogue of Carlitz-Scoville's generating function by counting the inversion number and a type B analogue by enumerating the signed permutations with respect to the parity of desecnt and ascent positions. As a by-product of our formulas, we obtain a $q$-analogue of Chebikin's formula for alternating descent polynomials, an alternative proof of Sun's gamma-positivity of her bivariate Eulerian polynomials and a type B analogue, the latter refines Petersen's gamma-positivity of the type B Eulerian polynomials.
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## 1. Introduction

In the past few years of this century, several variations and refinements of permutation descent, according to the parity of descent positions, have been studied, see [5, 29, 15, [21, 32, 34, 33, 23, 20, 25]. This paper arose from the observation that some of these
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results are related to a work of Carlitz and Scoville [4] dated back to 1973. For example, Chebikin's alternating descent polynomial [5] and the bivariate Eulerian polynomials in H . Sun [32] and Y. Sun and Zhai [34] are both special cases of Carlitz-Scoville's four-variable polynomials enumerating the permutations according to the parity of both descents and ascents. On the other hand, this connection leads immediately to obtain two equivalent simpler versions of Carlitz-Scoville's generating function. As Carlitz and Scoville's original proof relies on solving a system of differential equations, this prompted us to find a more conceptuel proof, which led up straightforwardly to a $q$-analogue.

If $\pi$ is a permutation of $[n]:=\{1, \ldots, n\}$, an index $i \in[n-1]$ is a descent position (resp. ascent position) of $\pi$ if $\pi(i)>\pi(i+1)$ (resp. $\pi(i)<\pi(i+1)$ ). Let des $\pi$ (resp. $\operatorname{des}_{1} \pi$ and $\operatorname{des}_{0} \pi$ ) be the number of descents of $\pi$ (resp. at odd and even positions), i.e.,

$$
\operatorname{des}_{\nu}(\pi)=\#\{i \in[n] \mid \pi(i)>\pi(i+1) \text { and } i \equiv \nu \quad(\bmod 2)\} \quad(\nu \in\{0,1\})
$$

The statistics asc $\pi, \operatorname{asc}_{1} \pi$ and $\operatorname{asc}_{0} \pi$ are defined similarly. For $i \in\{2,3, \ldots, n-1\}$, we say $\pi(i)$ is a valley (resp. peak) of $\pi$, if $\pi(i-1)>\pi(i)<\pi(i+1)$ (resp. $\pi(i-1)<\pi(i)>$ $\pi(i+1))$ and $\pi(i)$ is a double ascent (resp. double descent) of $\pi$, if $\pi(i-1)<\pi(i)<\pi(i+1)$ (resp. $\pi(i-1)>\pi(i)>\pi(i+1)$ ). Finally we recall that the inversion number of $\pi$ is $\operatorname{inv} \pi=|\{(i, j) \mid \pi(i)>\pi(j), 1 \leq i<j \leq n\}|$.

Define the enumerative polynomial of permutations of $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ by the parity of ascent and descent positions as

$$
P_{n}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, y_{0}, y_{1}, q\right)=\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}} x_{0}^{\operatorname{asc}_{0} \sigma} x_{1}^{\operatorname{asc}_{1} \sigma} y_{0}^{\operatorname{des}_{0} \sigma} y_{1}^{\operatorname{des}_{1} \sigma} q^{\operatorname{inv} \sigma}
$$

Recall the following $q$-exponential series

$$
\exp _{q}(x)=\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{x^{n}}{n!_{q}}
$$

where $0!_{q}=1$ and $n!_{q}=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1+q+\cdots+q^{i-1}\right)$ for $n \geq 1$, and the $q$-trignometric series

$$
\begin{aligned}
\cosh _{q} t & =\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{t^{2 n}}{(2 n)!_{q}}, \quad \sinh _{q} t=\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{t^{2 n-1}}{(2 n-1)!_{q}} \\
\cos _{q} x & =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{n} \frac{x^{2 n}}{(2 n)!_{q}}, \quad \sin _{q} x=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{n-1} \frac{x^{2 n-1}}{(2 n-1)!_{q}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 1.1. Let $\alpha=\sqrt{\left(y_{0}-x_{0}\right)\left(y_{1}-x_{1}\right)}$. Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{n \geq 1} P_{n}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, y_{0}, y_{1}, q\right) \frac{t^{n}}{n!} \\
=\frac{\left(x_{1}+y_{1}\right) \cosh _{q}(\alpha t)+\alpha \sinh _{q}(\alpha t)-y_{1}\left(\cosh _{q}^{2}(\alpha t)-\sinh _{q}^{2}(\alpha t)\right)-x_{1}}{x_{0} x_{1}-\left(x_{0} y_{1}+x_{1} y_{0}\right) \cosh _{q}(\alpha t)+y_{0} y_{1}\left(\cosh _{q}^{2}(\alpha t)-\sinh _{q}^{2}(\alpha t)\right)} . \tag{1.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

Remark 1. When $q=1$ Eq. (1.1) reduces to Carlitz-Scoville's formula [4, Theorem 3.1] ${ }^{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \geq 1} P_{n}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, y_{0}, y_{1}, 1\right) \frac{t^{n}}{n!}=\frac{\left(x_{1}+y_{1}\right) \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\beta^{n-1} t^{2 n}}{(2 n)!}+\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\beta^{n-1} t^{2 n-1}}{(2 n-1)!}}{1-\left(x_{0} y_{1}+x_{1} y_{0}\right) \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\beta^{n-1} t^{2 n}}{(2 n)!}} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\beta=\left(y_{0}-x_{0}\right)\left(y_{1}-x_{1}\right)$. For the homegeous Eulerian polynomials $P_{n}(y, y, x, x, 1)$, i.e., $\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}} x^{\text {des } \sigma} y^{\text {asc } \sigma}$, the corresponding formula reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \geq 1} P_{n}(y, y, x, x, 1) \frac{t^{n}}{n!}=\frac{e^{x t}-e^{y t}}{x e^{y t}-y e^{x t}} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Chen and Fu [6] recently gave a context-free grammar proof of (1.3).
Let $\mathrm{UD}_{\mathrm{n}}$ be the set of up-down permutations of $12 \ldots$, i.e., permutations $\sigma:=$ $\sigma(1) \ldots \sigma(n)$ such that $\sigma(1)<\sigma(2)>\sigma(3)<\cdots$. Obviously

$$
P_{n}(0,1,1,0, q)=\sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{UD}_{\mathrm{n}}} q^{\operatorname{inv} \sigma}
$$

and Eq. (1.1) reduces to a $q$-analogue of André's classical result (see [31, 16, 18]) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\sum_{n \geq 1} P_{n}(0,1,1,0, q) \frac{x^{n}}{n!}=\frac{1+\sin _{q} x}{\cos _{q} x} . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the following two special cases:

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{n}(x, y, q):=P_{n}(1,1, y, x, q)=\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}} x^{\operatorname{des}_{1} \sigma} y^{\operatorname{des}_{0} \sigma} q^{\operatorname{inv} \sigma}  \tag{1.5a}\\
& \widehat{A}_{n}(x, y, q):=P_{n}(y, 1,1, x, q)=\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}} x^{\operatorname{des}_{1} \sigma} y^{\operatorname{asc}_{0} \sigma} q^{\mathrm{inv} \sigma} \tag{1.5b}
\end{align*}
$$

[^0]we derive from Theorem 1.1 that
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad \sum_{n \geq 1} A_{n}(x, y, q) \frac{t^{n}}{n!}=\frac{(1+x) \cosh _{q}(\alpha t)+\alpha \sinh _{q}(\alpha t)-x\left(\cosh _{q}^{2}(\alpha t)-\sinh _{q}^{2}(\alpha t)\right)-1}{1-(x+y) \cosh _{q}(\alpha t)+x y\left(\cosh _{q}^{2}(\alpha t)-\sinh _{q}^{2}(\alpha t)\right)},  \tag{1.6a}\\
& \sum_{n \geq 1} \widehat{A}_{n}(x, y, q) \frac{t^{n}}{n!q}=\frac{(1+x) \cos _{q}(\alpha t)-\alpha \sin _{q}(\alpha t)-x\left(\cos _{q}^{2}(\alpha t)+\sin _{q}^{2}(\alpha t)\right)-1}{y-(x y+1) \cos _{q}(\alpha t)+x\left(\cos _{q}^{2}(\alpha t)+\sin _{q}^{2}(\alpha t)\right)}  \tag{1.6b}\\
& \text { with } \alpha=\sqrt{(1-x)(1-y)} .
\end{align*}
$$
\]

Remark 2. Formulae (1.2), (1.6a) and (1.6b) are actually equivalent. Indeed, for any $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$ it is clear that

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{des}_{0} \sigma+a s c_{0} \sigma & =\lfloor(n-1) / 2\rfloor,  \tag{1.7a}\\
d e s_{1} \sigma+a s c_{1} \sigma & =\lfloor n / 2\rfloor . \tag{1.7b}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence the distribution of the quadruple statistics $\left(a s c_{0}, a s c_{1}, d e s_{0}, d e s_{1}\right)$ is equivalent to any pair of the statistics in $\left\{d e s_{1}, a s c_{1}\right\} \times\left\{d e s_{0}, a s c_{0}\right\}$. In particular, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{A}_{n}(x, y, q)=y^{\lfloor(n-1) / 2\rfloor} A_{n}(x, 1 / y, q) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, y_{0}, y_{1}, q\right)=x_{0}^{\lfloor(n-1) / 2\rfloor} x_{1}^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor} A_{n}\left(\frac{y_{1}}{x_{1}}, \frac{y_{0}}{x_{0}}, q\right) . \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The polynomial $A_{n}(x, x, q):=\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}} x^{\text {des } \sigma} q^{\text {inv }}$ is a classical $q$-analogue of Eulerian polynomials and Eq. (1.6a) yields Stanley's formula [30, 28],

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\sum_{n \geq 1} x A_{n}(x, x, q) \frac{t^{n}}{n!_{q}}=\frac{1-x}{1-x \exp _{q}((1-x) t)} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

of which another refinement was given in [26].
As a variation of descent, Chebikin [5] introduced the alternating descent set of permutation $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$ by

$$
\widehat{D}(\pi)=\{i \in[n-1] \mid \pi(i)>\pi(i+1) \text { and } i \text { is odd or } \pi(i)<\pi(i+1) \text { and } i \text { is even }\} .
$$

Hence, the number of alternating descents $\widehat{d e s} \pi=|\widehat{D}(\pi)|$ equals des ${ }_{1} \sigma+a s c_{0} \sigma$ and formula (1.6b) with $x=y$ and $q=1$ reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\sum_{n \geq 1} x \widehat{A}_{n}(x, x, 1) \frac{t^{n}}{n!}=\frac{1-x}{1-x(\sec (1-x) t+\tan (1-x) t)} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivalent to [5, Theorem 4.2 ], see also [15, Eq. (22)]. As Chebikin, being unaware of the work of Carlitz and Scoville, Sun [32] and Sun and Zhai 34] reconsidered
the polynomials $A_{n}(x, y, 1)$, and a cumbersome formula for (1.6a) is given in [34, Theorem 2.2]. Other proofs of formula (1.11) and generalizations appeared in [29, 15, 20, 25].

As the original proof of (1.2) with $q=1$ in [4] is not easy (see also the solution of Exercise 4.3.14 in [16]), we shall give a more conceptual proof of (1.6a), which is equivalent to Theorem 1.2, by exploring a sieve method, see [30, 14, 5, 31].

Our second goal is to give a type B analogue of Carlitz and Scoville's formula, i.e., Theorem 1.1 with $q=1$. Denote by $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ the collection of type B permutations $\sigma$ of the set $[ \pm n]:=\{ \pm 1, \ldots, \pm n\}$ such that $\sigma(-i)=-\sigma(i)$ for all $i \in[n]$, obviously, $|\sigma|:=$ $|\sigma(1)| \ldots|\sigma(n)| \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$. As usual (see [3, 28]), we always assume that type B permutations are prepended by 0 . That is, we identify an element $\sigma=\sigma(1) \ldots \sigma(n)$ in $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ with the word $\sigma(0) \sigma(1) \ldots \sigma(n)$, where $\sigma(0)=0$. We say that $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ has a descent (resp. ascent) at position $i$, if $\sigma(i)>\sigma(i+1)$ (resp. $\sigma(i)<\sigma(i+1))$ for $i \in\{0\} \cup[n-1]$. By abuse of notation, in this section, we use $\operatorname{des} \sigma$ (resp. $\operatorname{des}_{1} \sigma$ and $\operatorname{des}_{0} \sigma$ ) to denote the number of descents of $\sigma$ (resp. at odd and even positions). The statistics asc $\sigma, \operatorname{asc}_{1} \sigma$ and $\operatorname{asc}_{0} \sigma$ are defined similarly for the ascents.

Define the enumerative polynomials

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{n}(x, y):=\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_{n}} x^{\operatorname{des}_{1} \sigma} y^{\operatorname{des}_{0} \sigma} . \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1.2. Let $\alpha=\sqrt{(1-x)(1-y)}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n \geq 1} B_{2 n}(x, y) \frac{t^{2 n}}{(2 n)!}=\frac{(x+y) \cosh (2 \alpha t)+(1-x)(1-y) \cosh (\alpha t)-(1+x y)}{(1+x y)-(x+y) \cosh (2 \alpha t)}  \tag{1.13a}\\
& \sum_{n \geq 1} B_{2 n-1}(x, y) \frac{t^{2 n-1}}{(2 n-1)!}=\frac{\alpha(1+y) \sinh (\alpha t)}{(1+x y)-(x+y) \cosh (\alpha t)} \tag{1.13b}
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 3. When $x=y$, the polynomial $B_{n}(x, x):=\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_{n}} x^{\text {des } \sigma}$ is the usual Eulerian polynomial of type B and Theorem 1.2 is equivalent to the known generating function, see [7, Corollary 3.9] or [28, Theorem 13.3],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \geq 0} B_{n}(x, x) \frac{t^{n}}{n!}=\frac{(x-1) e^{t(x-1)}}{x-e^{2 t(x-1)}} \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, consider the following variant of $B_{n}(x, y)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{B}_{n}(x, y):=\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_{n}} x^{\operatorname{des}_{1} \sigma} y^{\operatorname{asc}_{0} \sigma}=y^{\lfloor(n+1) / 2\rfloor} B_{n}(x, 1 / y) . \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Theorem 1.2 we derive plainly the generating function of the latter polynomials.

Theorem 1.3. Let $\alpha=\sqrt{(1-x)(1-y)}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n \geq 1} \widehat{B}_{2 n}(x, y) \frac{t^{2 n}}{(2 n)!}=\frac{(1+x y) \cos (2 \alpha t)-(1-x)(1-y) \cos (\alpha t)-(x+y)}{(x+y)-(1+x y) \cos (2 \alpha t)}  \tag{1.16a}\\
& \sum_{n \geq 1} \widehat{B}_{2 n-1}(x, y) \frac{t^{2 n-1}}{(2 n-1)!}=\frac{-\alpha(1+y) \sinh (\alpha t)}{(x+y)-(1+x y) \cos (2 \alpha t)} \tag{1.16b}
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 4. Similar to Chebikin's alternating descent set of type $A$ (see [5]), we can define the alternating descent set of any $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ by

$$
\widehat{D}_{B}(\pi)=\{i \in\{0\} \cup[n-1] \mid \pi(i)>\pi(i+1) \text { if } i \text { is odd or } \pi(i)<\pi(i+1) \text { if } i \text { is even }\} .
$$

Let $\widehat{\operatorname{des}}_{B}(\sigma)=\left|\widehat{D}_{B}(\sigma)\right|$. Clearly $\widehat{B}_{n}(x, x)=\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_{n}} x^{\widehat{\operatorname{des}}_{B}(\sigma)}$, which is the $n$-th alternating Eulerian polynomial of type B in [21], and Theorem 1.3 reduces to the generating function in [23, 9, 25],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \geq 0} \hat{B}_{n}(x, x) \frac{u^{n}}{n!}=\frac{x-1}{(x-1) \cos (u(1-x))+(x+1) \sin (u(1-x))} \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the general enumerative polynomials of permutations by the parity of the ascent and descent positions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}^{B}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, y_{0}, y_{1}\right)=\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_{n}} x_{0}^{\operatorname{asc}_{0} \sigma} x_{1}^{\operatorname{asc}_{1} \sigma} y_{0}^{\operatorname{des}_{0} \sigma} y_{1}^{\operatorname{des}_{1} \sigma} . \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\operatorname{des}_{0} \sigma+\operatorname{asc}_{0} \sigma=\lfloor(n+1) / 2\rfloor, \\
\operatorname{des}_{1} \sigma+\operatorname{asc}_{1} \sigma=\lfloor n / 2\rfloor . \tag{1.19}
\end{array}
$$

Hence the distribution of the quadruple statistics $\left(\operatorname{asc}_{0}, \operatorname{asc}_{1}, \operatorname{des}_{0}, \operatorname{des}_{1}\right)$ is equivalent to any of the four pairs in $\left\{\operatorname{des}_{1}, \operatorname{asc}_{1}\right\} \times\left\{\operatorname{des}_{0}, \operatorname{asc}_{0}\right\}$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}^{B}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, y_{0}, y_{1}\right)=x_{0}^{\lfloor(n+1) / 2\rfloor} x_{1}^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor} B_{n}\left(\frac{y_{1}}{x_{1}}, \frac{y_{0}}{x_{0}}\right) . \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

We derive plainly the following generating function from Theorem 1.2
Theorem 1.4. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \geq 1} P_{2 n}^{B}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, y_{0}, y_{1}\right) \frac{t^{2 n}}{(2 n)!}=\frac{\left(x_{0} y_{1}+x_{1} y_{0}\right) \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\alpha^{n}(2 t)^{2 n}}{(2 n)!}+\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\alpha^{n+1} t^{2 n}}{(2 n)!}-\left(x_{1} x_{0}+y_{0} y_{1}\right)}{\left(x_{0} x_{1}+y_{0} y_{1}\right)-\left(y_{1} x_{0}+x_{1} y_{0}\right) \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\alpha^{n}(2 t)^{2 n}}{(2 n)!}}, \tag{1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \geq 1} P_{2 n-1}^{B}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, y_{0}, y_{1}\right) \frac{t^{2 n-1}}{(2 n-1)!}=\frac{\left(y_{0}^{2}-x_{0}^{2}\right)\left(y_{1}-x_{1}\right) \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\alpha^{n} t^{2 n+1}}{(2 n+1)!}}{\left(x_{0} x_{1}+y_{0} y_{1}\right)-\left(x_{0} y_{1}+x_{1} y_{0}\right) \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\alpha^{n}(2 t)^{2 n}}{(2 n)!}}, \tag{1.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha=\left(y_{0}-x_{0}\right)\left(y_{1}-x_{1}\right)$.
In view of (1.15) and (1.20), Theorem 1.2, Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4 are equivalent. We shall give a proof of Theorem [1.2 in the same vein as the proof of (1.6a) with $q=1$.

An important feature of Eulerian polynomials is the gamma-nonnegativity [28]. More recently, Sun [33] proved that the bivariate Eulerian polynomials $(1+y) A_{2 n}(x, y, 1)$ and $A_{2 n+1}(x, y, 1)$ are $\gamma$-positive (see Theorem 2.3). Our third goal is to derive some symmetric expansion formulae for bivariate polynomials allied to the above four families of bi-Eulerian polynomials. This will be done by applying their generating functions and combinatorics of André permutations [12, 13, 17].

The rest of this paper is organised as follows. We will first study the symmetric and gamma expansions of the two sequences of bi-polynomials as well as their type analogues in Section 2 and postpone the proof of (1.6a) and Theorem 1.2 to Section 3 and Section 4, respectively. We conclude with some open problems in Section 5.

As suggested by a referee, for reader's convenience, we list the main permutation statistics of this paper in the following table.

| $\operatorname{des}_{0} \pi$ | the number of descents of $\pi$ at even positions |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{des}_{1} \pi$ | the number of descents of $\pi$ at odd positions |
| $\operatorname{asc}_{0} \pi$ | the number of ascents of $\pi$ at even positions |
| $\operatorname{asc}_{1} \pi$ | the number of ascents of $\pi$ at odd positions |
| $\operatorname{inv} \pi$ | the number of inversions of $\pi$ |
| $\operatorname{lpk}(\pi)$ | the number of left peaks of $\pi$, see (2.14) |

Table 1. Main statistics of $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$
2. Symmetric and positive expansions of bi-Eulerian polynomials

Define two families of bi-Eulerian polynomials $\left(\widetilde{A}_{n}(x, y)\right)_{n \geq 1}$ and $\left(\bar{A}_{n}(x, y)\right)_{n \geq 1}$ by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\widetilde{A}_{2 n}(x, y)=(1+y) A_{2 n}(x, y, 1), & \widetilde{A}_{2 n-1}(x, y)=A_{2 n-1}(x, y, 1) \\
\bar{A}_{2 n}(x, y)=(1+y) \widehat{A}_{2 n}(x, y, 1), & \bar{A}_{2 n-1}(x, y)=\widehat{A}_{2 n-1}(x, y, 1) \tag{2.1b}
\end{array}
$$

and their type B analogues $\left(\widetilde{B}_{n}(x, y)\right)_{n \geq 1}$ and $\left(\bar{B}_{n}(x, y)\right)_{n \geq 1}$ by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\widetilde{B}_{2 n}(x, y)=B_{2 n}(x, y), & \widetilde{B}_{2 n-1}(x, y)=(1+y)^{-1} B_{2 n-1}(x, y), \\
\bar{B}_{2 n}(x, y)=\widehat{B}_{2 n}(x, y), & \bar{B}_{2 n-1}(x, y)=(1+y)^{-1} \widehat{B}_{2 n-1}(x, y) . \tag{2.2b}
\end{array}
$$

By (1.6a) and (1.6b) (resp. Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3) both polynomials $\widetilde{A}_{n}(x, y)$ and $\bar{A}_{n}(x, y)$ (resp. $\widetilde{B}_{n}(x, y)$ and $\left.\bar{B}_{n}(x, y)\right)$ are symmetric in $x$ and $y$.

Recall that a polynomial with real coefficients $P(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i} x^{i}$ is gamma-positive (resp. semi-gamma-positive) if there are nonnegative numbers $\gamma_{i}$ such that $P(x)=$ $\sum_{i} \gamma_{i} x^{i}(1+x)^{n-2 i}$ (resp. $P(x)=(1+x)^{\nu} \sum_{i} \gamma_{i} x^{i}\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor-i}$ with $\nu=0$ or 1.), see [28] and [22] respectively. It is known that the gamma-positivity is stronger than the semi-gamma-positivity [22].

In this section, we shall first derive the semi-gamma-positive formulae for the biEulerian polynomials $\widetilde{A}_{n}(x, y), \bar{A}_{n}(x, y), \widetilde{B}_{n}(x, y)$ and $\bar{B}_{n}(x, y)$ from their generating functions and then apply Hetyei-Reiner's min-max tree model [17] for permutations to derive the corresponding $\gamma$-positive formulae for $\widetilde{A}_{n}(x, y)$ and $\bar{A}_{n}(x, y)$ as well as their type B analogues by refining Petersen's proof for the $\gamma$-positivity of type B Eulerian polynomials [28].
2.1. Semi-gamma-positivity of bi-Eulerian polynomials. The following generalizes the semi-gamma-positivity of Eulerian polynomials to bi-Eulerian polynomials.

Theorem 2.1. Let $a(n, j)$ (resp. $\bar{a}(n, j)$ ) be the number of permutations in $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ with $j$ odd descents and without even descents (resp. ascents) for $n \geq 1$ and $0 \leq 2 j \leq n$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widetilde{A}_{n}(x, y)=\sum_{j=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor} a(n, j)(x+y)^{j}(1+x y)^{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor-j} ;  \tag{2.3a}\\
& \bar{A}_{n}(x, y)=\sum_{j=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor} \bar{a}(n, j)(x+y)^{j}(1+x y)^{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor-j}, \tag{2.3b}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{a}(n, j)=a(n,\lfloor n / 2\rfloor-j) \quad \text { for } \quad 0 \leq j \leq\lfloor n / 2\rfloor . \tag{2.3c}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\alpha(x, y)=(1-x)(1-y)$. Then

$$
\alpha(x, y)=(1+x y) \cdot \alpha\left(\frac{x+y}{1+x y}, 0\right) .
$$

It follows from (1.6) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widetilde{A}_{n}(x, y)=(1+x y)^{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor} A_{n}\left(\frac{x+y}{1+x y}, 0,1\right),  \tag{2.4a}\\
& \bar{A}_{n}(x, y)=(1+x y)^{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor} \widehat{A}_{n}\left(\frac{x+y}{1+x y}, 0,1\right), \tag{2.4b}
\end{align*}
$$

which are obviously equivalent to (2.3a) and (2.3b), respectively.
Define the completion $\sigma^{c}$ of $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$ by $\sigma^{c}(i)=n+1-\sigma(i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. It is clear that the mapping $\varphi: \sigma \mapsto \sigma^{c}$ is an involution on $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ and satisfies $\operatorname{des}_{i} \sigma=\operatorname{asc}_{i} \sigma^{c}$ for $i \in\{0,1\}$. Thus

$$
\left(\operatorname{des}_{1} \sigma^{c}, \operatorname{asc}_{0} \sigma^{c}\right)=\left(\operatorname{asc}_{1} \sigma, \operatorname{des}_{0} \sigma\right)=\left(\lfloor n / 2\rfloor-\operatorname{des}_{1} \sigma, \operatorname{des}_{0} \sigma\right) .
$$

Eq. (2.3c) follows by restricting $\varphi$ on the set of permutations in $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ with $j$ odd descents and without even descent.

Remark 5. The combinatorial interpretation of $a_{n, j}$ actually follows from the existence of formula (2.3a), which was first conjectured by Sun [32] and then proved by Sun and Zhai 34].

Similarly, we have the following B-analogue of Theorem 2.1.
Theorem 2.2. Let $b(n, j)$ (resp. $\bar{b}(n, j))$ be the number of permutations in $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ with $j$ odd descents and without even descents (resp. even ascents). Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widetilde{B}_{n}(x, y)=\sum_{j=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor} b(n, j)(x+y)^{j}(1+x y)^{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor-j},  \tag{2.5a}\\
& \bar{B}_{n}(x, y)=\sum_{j=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor} \bar{b}(n, j)(x+y)^{j}(1+x y)^{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor-j}, \tag{2.5b}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{b}(n, j)=b(n,\lfloor n / 2\rfloor-j) \quad \text { for } \quad 0 \leq j \leq\lfloor n / 2\rfloor . \tag{2.5c}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\alpha(x, y)=(1-x)(1-y)$. Then

$$
\alpha(x, y)=(1+x y) \cdot \alpha((x+y) /(1+x y), 0) .
$$

We derive from Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 immediately

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widetilde{B}_{n}(x, y)=(1+x y)^{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor} B_{n}\left(\frac{x+y}{1+x y}, 0\right),  \tag{2.6a}\\
& \bar{B}_{n}(x, y)=(1+x y)^{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor} \widehat{B}_{n}\left(\frac{x+y}{1+x y}, 0\right), \tag{2.6b}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 1. The action of operator $\psi_{2}$ at tree $M(562314)$.
which are what (2.5a) and (2.5b) mean.
Consider the negation $\bar{\sigma}$ of $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ by $\bar{\sigma}(i)=-\sigma(i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. It is clear that the mapping $\phi: \sigma \mapsto \bar{\sigma}$ is an involution on $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ and satisfies $\operatorname{des}_{i} \sigma=\operatorname{asc}_{i} \bar{\sigma}$ for $i \in\{0,1\}$. Thus

$$
\left(\operatorname{des}_{1} \bar{\sigma}, \operatorname{asc}_{0} \bar{\sigma}\right)=\left(\operatorname{asc}_{1} \sigma, \operatorname{des}_{0} \sigma\right)=\left(\lfloor n / 2\rfloor-\operatorname{des}_{1} \sigma, \operatorname{des}_{0} \sigma\right) .
$$

Eq. (2.5c) follows by restricting $\phi$ on the set of permutations in $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ with $j$ odd descents and without even descent.

We note that Eq. (2.3a) does not directly reduce to the known $\gamma$-positivity formula of Eulerian polynomials $A_{n}(x, x)$ when $x=y$. To derive the latter expansion we shall appeal to the min-max tree representations of permutations due to Hetyei and Reiner [17]. Similarly, to derive gamma-positivity formula of type B Eulerian polynomials $B_{n}(x, x)$ from Theorem 2.2 we shall appeal to an action on permutations due to Petersen [28].
2.2. Gamma-positivity of bi-Eulerian polynomials of type A. We define the min$\max$ tree $M(w)$ associated to a sequence of distincte integers $w=w_{1} \ldots w_{n}$ as follows.
(1) First, $M(w)$ is a binary tree with vertices labelled $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}$. Let $i$ be the least integer for which either $w_{i}=\min \left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n}\right\}$ or $w_{i}=\max \left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n}\right\}$. Define $w_{i}$ to be the root of $M(w)$.
(2) Then recursively define $M\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{i-1}\right)$ and $M\left(w_{i+1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right)$ to be the left and right subtree of $w_{i}$, respectively.
Conversely, the left-first order reading of the tree $M(w)$ yields the sequence $w$, see [17, 10 ] and [31, pp. 57-61].

An interior vertex in $M(w)$ is called a min (resp. max) vertex if it is the minimum (resp. maximum) label among all its descendants. Let $M\left(w_{i}\right)\left(\operatorname{resp} . M_{l}\left(w_{i}\right), M_{r}\left(w_{i}\right)\right)$ denote the subtree (resp. the left subtree, the right subtree) of $M(w)$ with root $w_{i}$.

For $1 \leq i \leq n$, we define the operator $\psi_{i}$ permuting the labels of $M(w)$ as in the following.
(1) If $w_{i}$ is a min vertex, then replace $w_{i}$ by the largest element of $M_{r}\left(w_{i}\right)$, permute the remaining elements of $M_{r}\left(w_{i}\right)$ such that they keep their same relative orders and all other vertices in $M(w)$ are fixed.
(2) If $w_{i}$ is a max vertex, then replace $w_{i}$ by the smallest element of $M_{r}\left(w_{i}\right)$ such that they keep their same relative order, and all other vertices in $M(w)$ are fixed.

An illustration of operator $\psi_{2}$ is given in Figure 1 .
Given a permutation $\pi=\pi(1) \pi(2) \ldots \pi(n)$ of $Y=\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right\}_{<}$, which is a set of positive integers. The $\pi(i)$-factorization of $\pi$ is the sequence $\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, \pi(i), w_{4}, w_{5}\right)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, where
(1) the concatenation product $w_{1} w_{2} \pi(i) w_{4} w_{5}$ is equal to $\pi$;
(2) $w_{2}$ is the longest right factor of $\pi(1) \pi(2) \ldots \pi(i-1)$, all letters of which are greater than $\pi(i)$;
(3) $w_{4}$ is the longest left factor of $\pi(i+1) \pi(i+2) \ldots \pi(n)$, all letters of which are greater than $\pi(i)$.
Note that above any of $w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{4}$ or $w_{5}$ may be empty.
Definition 2.1 (see [13, 10]). A permutation $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$ is an André permutation (of kind I) if $\pi$ has no double descents and ends with ascent, i.e., $\pi(n-1)<\pi(n)$, and if $i \in\{2, \ldots, n\}$ is a valley of $\pi$ and $\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, \pi(i), w_{4}, w_{5}\right)$ is the $\pi(i)$-factorization of $\pi$, then the maximum letter of $w_{2} w_{4}$ is in $w_{4}$.

For example, the André permutations of length 4 are 1234, 1324, 2314, 2134 and 3124.
Fact 2.2. The operators $\psi_{i}$ are commuting involutions acting on $M(w)$ and generate an abelien group $G_{w}$ isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{l(w)}$, where $l(w)$ is the number of internal certices of $M(w)$. Those $\psi_{i}$ for which $w_{i}$ is an internal vertex are a minimal set $S_{w}$ of generators for $G_{w}$. For any subset $S \subseteq G_{w}$ we define the $H R$ action $\psi_{S}$ by $\psi_{S}(M(w))=\prod_{i \in S} \psi_{i}(M(w))$.

For $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$, let $\operatorname{Orb}(\pi)$ be the set of permutations $w$ such that $M(w)$ is in the orbit of $M(\pi)$ under the HR-action. Thus, for any $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$, there is a unique permutation $\pi^{A}$ in $\operatorname{Orb}(\pi)$ such that all its interior vertices in $M\left(\pi^{A}\right)$ are min vertices.

Fact 2.3. A permutation $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$ is an André permutation if and only if all interior vertices of min-max tree $M(\pi)$ are min vertices.

It follows that $\cup_{\pi \in \operatorname{And}_{n}} \operatorname{Orb}(\pi)=\mathfrak{S}_{n}$, where $\operatorname{And}_{n}$ is the set of André permutations in $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$. Let $\mathfrak{S}_{n}^{*}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\operatorname{Orb}^{*}(\pi)\right)$ be the subset of permutations in $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ (resp. $\left.\operatorname{Orb}(\pi)\right)$ which have no even descents. By restriction on the permutations which have only odd-descents
we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{S}_{n}^{*}=\cup_{\pi \in \operatorname{And}_{n}} \operatorname{Orb}^{*}(\pi) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any subset $S \subseteq[n]$ and André permutation $\pi$, since all interior vertices of $M(\pi)$ are min vertices, we have des $\psi_{S}(M(\pi)) \geq \operatorname{des} \pi$.

Let $\pi \in \mathrm{And}_{n}$. So all the interior vertices of $M(\pi)$ are min vertices, if $\pi(k)$ is a valley of $\pi$ with the $\pi(k)$-factorization $\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, \pi(k), w_{4}, w_{5}\right)$, then the position of the last letter of $w_{2}$ is a descent position, and the HR action $\psi_{k}$ on $M(\pi)$ will shift the descent position $k-1$ to $k$, since the vertex in $M(\pi)$ corresponding to $\pi(k)$ will be relabelled by the largest letter of its subtree, and all other vertices keep their same relative order. Thus, the HR action $\psi_{S}$ with $S$ being the set of indices of odd-valley-positions in $\pi$ will evacuate all the even descent positions, and the total number of descents will remain the same, let $\psi_{S}(\pi)=\pi^{\prime}$, clearly $\pi^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Orb}^{*}(\pi)$.

Fact 2.4. For $\pi \in \operatorname{And}_{n}$ we have

$$
\operatorname{Orb}^{*}(\pi)=\operatorname{Orb}^{*}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)=\prod_{i \in S}\left(1+\psi_{i}\right)\left\{\pi^{\prime}\right\}
$$

where $S$ is the set of odd ascent positions of $\pi^{\prime}$. Moreover, as $\operatorname{des}_{0} \psi_{i}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{des}{ }_{0}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)+1$, the folllowing identity holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Orb}^{*}(\pi)} p^{\operatorname{des} \sigma}=(1+p)^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor-\operatorname{des} \pi} p^{\operatorname{des} \pi} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that $a(n, j)$ is the number of permutations in $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ with $j$ odd descents and without even descents.

Lemma 2.5. Let $d(n, j)$ be the number of André permutations in $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ with $j$ descents for $0 \leq 2 j \leq n$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(n, j)=\sum_{i=0}^{j}\binom{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor-i}{j-i} d(n, i) . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Applying the above facts

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}^{*}} p^{\operatorname{des}(\sigma)} & =\sum_{\pi \in \operatorname{And}_{n}} \sum_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Orb}^{*}(\pi)} p^{\operatorname{des}(\sigma)} \\
& =\sum_{\pi \in \operatorname{And}_{n}}(1+p)^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor-\operatorname{des} \pi} p^{\operatorname{des} \pi} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We derive (2.9) by extracting the coefficient of $p^{j}$.

Lemma 2.6. If $\bar{d}(n, i)$ is the number of min-max trees on $[n]$ having $i$ max interior vertices with two children, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{d}(n, i)=\sum_{j=i}^{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor}\binom{j}{i} d(n, j) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$ is an André permutation, then the number of interior vertices with two children of $M(\pi)$ equals des $(\pi)$. Any permutation $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$ such that $M(\pi)$ has $i$ max interior vertices with two children can be obtained from the André permutation $\pi^{A}$ in $\operatorname{Orb}(\pi)$ by choosing $i$ interior vertices with two children among the interior vertices with two children of $M\left(\pi^{A}\right)$ and then applying HR operator on these $i$ vertices (to transform them into max vertices). Hence, in each orbite of an André min-max tree (i.e., the tree $M(w)$ associated to an André permutation $w$ ) with $j$ interior vertices having two children, there are $\binom{j}{i}$ min-max trees on $[n]$ having $i$ max interior vertices with two children. The result follows by summing over all the orbits.

Recall that a permutation $w$ of $[n]$ is an André permutation of kind II if, for $1 \leq k \leq n$,
(1) the subsequence of the smallest $k$ elements in $w$ has no double descent ;
(2) the subsequence of the smallest $k$ elements in $w$ ends with an ascent.

The permutation $w$ is called Simsun if it satisfies condition (1), [8, 10, 27]. For example, the five Simsun 3-permutations are: 231, 132, 312, 123, 213 and the five André 4 -permutations of the second kind are: 1234, 1423, 3124, 3412, 4123.

Actually, the number of $n$-André permutations and that of $(n-1)$-simsun permutations are both equal to the Euler number $E_{n}$, which can be defined by

$$
\sum_{n \geq 0} E_{n} \frac{x^{n}}{n!}=\sec x+\tan x
$$

Let $D_{n}(x)$ (resp. $\left.r s_{n}(x)\right)$ be the descent polynomial of André permutations (resp. Simsun permutations) of length $n$. By means of generating function argument, Chow and Shiu [8] proved that the descent number is equidistributed over $(n-1)$-simsun permutations and $n$-André permutations, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{n}(x)=r s_{n-1}(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d(n, i) x^{i} \quad(n \geq 2) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $D_{1}(x)=1$.
Combining Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.5 we obtain an alternative proof of the following result of H. Sun 33.

Theorem 2.3. Let $d(n, j)$ be the number of André permutations in $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ with $j$ descents for $0 \leq 2 j \leq n$ and $\bar{d}(n, i)$ be the number of min-max trees on $n$ vertices having $i$ max interior vertices with two children, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widetilde{A}_{n}(x, y)=\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor} d(n, j)(x+y)^{j}(1+x+y+x y)^{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor-j}  \tag{2.12a}\\
& \bar{A}_{n}(x, y)=\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}(-1)^{i} \bar{d}(n, i)(x+y)^{i}(1+x+y+x y)^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor-i} \tag{2.12b}
\end{align*}
$$

and $r s_{n-1}(1+x)=\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor} \bar{d}(n, i) x^{i}$, where $r s_{n-1}(x)$ is the descent polynomial of Simsun permutations.

Proof. Plugging (2.9) in (2.3a) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{A}_{n}(x, y) & =\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor} \sum_{i=0}^{j}\binom{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor-i}{j-i} d(n, i)(x+y)^{j}(1+x y)^{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor-j} \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor} d(n, i)(x+y)^{i} \sum_{j \geq 0}\binom{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor-i}{j}(x+y)^{j}(1+x y)^{\lfloor n 2\rfloor-i-j} \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor} d(n, i)(x+y)^{i}(1+x+y+x y)^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor-i},
\end{aligned}
$$

which is the right-hand side of (2.12a) upon replacing $i$ by $j$.
By (1.8) and (2.1) we have $\bar{A}_{n}(x, y)=y^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor} \widetilde{A}_{n}(x, 1 / y)$. Hence

$$
\bar{A}_{n}(x, y)=\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor} d(n, j)(1+x y)^{j}(1+x+y+x y)^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor-j}
$$

Now, rewriting $(1+x y)^{j}$ in the last sum as

$$
(1+x y)^{j}=\sum_{i=0}^{j}(-1)^{i}\binom{j}{i}(1+x+y+x y)^{j-i}(x+y)^{i},
$$

we obtain the right-hand side of (2.12b).
Remark 6. Comparing (2.13) with [27, Theorem 2] we notice that $d(n, j)$ is also the number of André permutations of kind II of $[n]$ with $j$ descents. This result is implicit in [12, 13, 10]. If $x=y$, Theorem 2.3 plainly reduces to the classical $\gamma$-formula of Eulerian
polynomials, see [27, Theorem 1],

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}(x, x)=\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor} d(n, j) 2^{j} x^{j}(1+x)^{n-1-2 j} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, Lin et al. [20, Theorem 1.1] proved the $x=y$ case of (2.12b).
2.3. Gamma-positivity of bi-Eulerian polynomials of type B. We refine Petersen's proof of gamma-nonnegativity of type B Eulerian polynomials in [28].

Given a permutation $u \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$ we denote by $\mathcal{B}(u)$ the set of all permutations $\omega \in \mathcal{B}(u)$ such that $\omega(i)=\sigma_{i} u(i)$ with $\sigma_{i} \in\{-,+\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then we have the following observations:

- if $u(i-1)<u(i)$, then $\omega(i-1)>\omega(i)$ if and only if $\sigma_{i}=-$,
- if $u(i-1)>u(i)$, then $\omega(i-1)>\omega(i)$ if and only if $\sigma_{i-1}=+$.

To put it another way, the sign $\sigma_{j}$ controls the descent in position $j-1$ if and only if $j-1$ is not a descent position of $u$, and it controls the descent in position $j$ if and only if $j$ is a descent position of $u$.

Consider the example of $u=31472865$. Then there is a descent in position 0 if and only if $\sigma_{1}=-$ while there is a descent in position 1 if and only if $\sigma_{1}=+$. Since $u(2)=1$ is smaller than the elements on either side of it, the sign $\sigma_{2}$ has no effect whatever on the descent set. With $u(3)=4$, we find that $\omega(2)>\omega(3)$ if and only if $\sigma_{3}=-$, but that $\sigma_{3}$ does not control whether $\omega(3)$ is greater than $\omega(4)$ ( $\sigma_{4}$ does that). By considering the sign of each letter in turn.

We summarize the above consideration more precisely in the following
Observation 2.7. Let $u \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$. If $\omega \in \mathcal{B}_{n}(u)$ with $\omega(j)=\sigma_{j} u(j)$, then

- If $u(j-1)<u(j)>u(j+1)$, then $\sigma_{j}$ controls both the descent in position $j-1$ and position $j$. That is, if $\sigma_{j}=+$, then in $\omega, j-1$ is not a descent position, but $j$ is a descent position. If $\sigma_{j}=-$, then in $\omega, j-1$ is a descent position but $j$ is not. This means, $\sigma_{j}$ does not change the number of descents, but it controls the parity of descent position.
- If $u(j-1)<u(j)<u(j+1)$, then $\sigma_{j}$ controls the descent on position $j-1$, but no effect on position $j$. That is, if $\sigma_{j}=+$, then $j-1$ is not a descent position, if $\sigma_{j}=-$, then $j-1$ is a descent position.
- If $u(j-1)>u(j)>u(j+1)$, then $\sigma_{j}$ controls the descent on position $j$, but no effect on position $j-1$. That is, if $\sigma_{j}=+$, then $j$ is a descent position, if $\sigma_{j}=-$, then $j$ is not a descent position.
- If $u(j-1)>u(j)<u(j+1)$, then $\sigma_{j}$ has no effect on the descent set.

The number of left peaks of permutation $u \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{lpk}(u)=|\{1 \leq i<n: u(i-1)<u(i)>u(i+1)\}|, \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u(0)=0, u(n+1)=n+1$.
Lemma 2.8. If $\omega \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ is a permutation with $j$ odd descents and without even descents, then $|\omega|$ is a permutation in $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ with $\operatorname{lpk}(|\omega|) \leq j$.
Proof. Since $\omega$ does not have descents on even positions, we have $\omega(1)>0$ and $\omega$ does not have double descents. Suppose $\omega(i)$ is the first valley with $\sigma_{i}=-$ and $\omega(k)$ is the peak closest to $\omega(i)$ on the right. Then $\omega(i) \omega(i+1) \ldots \omega(k)$ is an increasing subsequence, and there has no peak in $|\omega(i)||\omega(i+1)| \ldots|\omega(k)|$. Let $\omega_{0}=\omega(1) \omega(2) \ldots \omega(i-1)|\omega(i)| \mid \omega(i+$ $1)|\ldots| \omega(k) \mid \omega(k+1) \ldots \omega(n)$ then, the difference of peak sets of $\omega_{0}$ and $\omega$ happens on $\omega(i-1),|\omega(i)|$ and $|\omega(k)|, \omega(k+1)$. As it is not possible that both $\omega(i-1)$ and $|\omega(i)|$ are peaks in $\omega_{0}$ (but $\omega(i-1$ ) is a peak in $\omega$ ). Since $|\omega(k)| \geq \omega(k)>\omega(k+1)$, so $|\omega(k)|$ is the only possible peak candidate of $|\omega(k)|$ and $\omega(k+1)$ in $\omega_{0}(\omega(k)$ is a peak in $\omega)$. In summary, we have $\operatorname{lpk}\left(\omega_{0}\right) \leq \operatorname{lpk}(\omega)$. We repeat this process on $\omega_{0}$, finally, we obtain $\operatorname{lpk}(|\omega|) \leq \operatorname{lpk}(\omega)=j$.

Lemma 2.9. Let $g(n, i)=\left|\left\{u \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}: \operatorname{lpk}(u)=i\right\}\right|$. Then

$$
b(n, j)=\sum_{i=0}^{j}\binom{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor-i}{j-i} g(n, i) 2^{i} .
$$

Proof. Let $u$ be a permutation in $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ with $\operatorname{lpk}(u)=i \leq j$. We can use the following process to transform it to a permutation of $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ with $j$ odd descent and without even descents.
Process A
(1) Firstly, we sign the $i$ valleys of $u$ with either - or + , which gives $\omega_{1}$.
(2) Secondly, in $\omega_{1}$, we sign the peaks at even positions with - , then we obtain $\omega_{2}$ with all the peaks at odd positions (by Remark (2.7).
(3) Thirdly, choose a $j-i$ elements subset $D$ of $C:=\left\{1,3, \ldots, 2\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor-1\right\} \backslash \operatorname{LPK}\left(\omega_{2}\right)$, where $\operatorname{LPK}\left(\omega_{2}\right)$ is the position set of peaks of $\omega_{2}$. For $l \in D$, if $\omega_{2}(l)$ is a descent then we do nothing with $\omega_{2}(l)$, if $\omega_{2}(l)$ is an ascent then we sign $\omega_{2}(l+1)$ (it must be a double ascent in $u$ ) with - . For $l \notin D$ but $l \in C$, if $\omega_{2}(l)$ is a descent then we sign $\omega_{2}(l)$ (it must be a double descent in $u$ ) with - , if $\omega_{2}(l)$ is an ascent, then we do nothing with $\omega_{2}(l)$, which gives $\omega_{3}$.
(4) Lastly, in $\omega_{3}$ we sign all the double descents at even positions with - , which gives $\omega_{4}$.
By Observation [2.7, we see that $\omega_{4}$ is a permutation in $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ with $j$ odd descents and without even descents.

In this process, no letter in $u$ is repeatedly signed. And we can see that for a fixed $u \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$ with $i$ peaks, by Process A, it can produce $\binom{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor-i}{j-i} \cdot 2^{i}$ different permutations in $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ with $j$ odd descents and without even descents. By Lemma 2.8, for $\omega \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ with $j$ odd descents and without even descents, we have $|\operatorname{lpk}(|\omega|)| \leq j$ and by Remark 2.7, the descent positions in $\omega$ are totally controlled by the signs of peaks, double descents and double ascents of $|\omega|$, that is $\omega$ can be constructed by $|\omega|$ through Process A. This completes the proof.

Theorem 2.4. Let $g(n, j)=\left|\left\{u \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}: \operatorname{lpk}(u)=j\right\}\right|$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widetilde{B}_{n}(x, y)=\sum_{j=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor} g(n, j) 2^{j}(x+y)^{j}(1+x+y+x y)^{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor-j}  \tag{2.15}\\
& \bar{B}_{n}(x, y)=\sum_{j=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor}(-1)^{j} \bar{g}(n, j) 2^{j}(x+y)^{j}(1+x+y+x y)^{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor-j} \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{g}(n, j)=\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor-j}\binom{i+j}{j} g(n, i+j) 2^{i} . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.2 and Lemma [2.9, we obtain (2.15). To prove (2.16), by (1.15), (2.2a) and (2.2b), we first note

$$
\bar{B}_{n}(x, y)=y^{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor} \widetilde{B}_{n}(x, 1 / y) .
$$

It follows from (2.15) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{B}_{n}(x, y)=\sum_{j=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor} g(n, j) 2^{j}(1+x y)^{j}(1+x+y+x y)^{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor-j} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rest of the proof is the same as that of Eq. (2.12b), so it is omitted.
Remark 7. When $x=y$ identity (2.18) reduces to Proposition 10 in [23]. Identity (2.17) is equivalent to the polynomial identity:

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor} \bar{g}(n, j) x^{j} & =\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor} \sum_{i=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor-j}\binom{i+j}{j} g(n, i+j) 2^{i} x^{j} \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor} g(n, k)(2+x)^{k} . \tag{2.19}
\end{align*}
$$

If $x=y$ identity (2.15) reduces to Petersen's formula for type $B$ Eulerian polynomial $B_{n}(x, x)$, see [28, Theorem 13.5],

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{n}(x, x)=\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor} g(n, j)(4 x)^{j}(1+x)^{n-2 j}, \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and Eq. (2.16) reduces to Ma et al.'s formula for type B alternating descent polynomials, see [23, Theorem 12]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{B}_{n}(x, x)=\sum_{j=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor} \bar{g}(n, j)(-4 x)^{j}(1+x)^{n-2 j} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Counting permutations of type A by the parity of descent positions

If $\sigma=\sigma_{1} \cdots \sigma_{n}$ is a permutation in $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$, the descent set $\operatorname{Des}(\sigma)$ of $\sigma$ is $\operatorname{Des}(\sigma)=\{i$ : $\left.\sigma_{i}>\sigma_{i+1}\right\} \subseteq[n-1]$. We denote by $\operatorname{Des}_{0}(\sigma)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\operatorname{Des}_{1}(\sigma)\right)$ the set of even (resp. odd) descents of $\sigma$. For brevity we denote their cardinalities by $\operatorname{des}_{0}(\sigma)=\left|\operatorname{Des}_{0}(\sigma)\right|$ and $\operatorname{des}_{1}(\sigma)=\left|\operatorname{Des}_{1}(\sigma)\right|$.

Any subset $S=\left\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}\right\}_{<} \subseteq[n-1]$ can be encoded by the composition $\operatorname{co}(S):=$ $\left(s_{1}, s_{2}-s_{1}, \cdots, s_{k}-s_{k-1}, n-s_{k}\right)$ of $n$. Clearly this correspondence is a bijection. For any composition $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{l}\right)$ of $n$, let $S_{\lambda}$ be the subset $\left\{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{1}+\cdots \lambda_{l-1}\right\}$ of [ $n-1$ ] and define the $q$-multinomial coefficient

$$
\binom{n}{\lambda}_{q}:=\binom{n}{\operatorname{co}\left(S_{\lambda}\right)}_{q}=\frac{n!_{q}}{\lambda_{1}!_{q} \cdots \lambda_{l}!_{q}} .
$$

For any subset $S \subseteq[n-1]$, let $\Delta_{n}(S):=\left\{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n} \mid \operatorname{Des}(\sigma) \subseteq S\right\}$ and $R_{n}(S)$ be the set of rearrangements of word $1^{\lambda_{1}} \ldots l^{\lambda_{l}}$, where $\lambda_{i}=s_{i}-s_{i-1}$ for $i \in[l]$ with $l=k+1, s_{0}=0$ and $s_{l}=n$. There is a bijection $\psi: \sigma \mapsto w$ from $\Delta_{n}(S)$ to $R_{n}(S)$ defined by $w(j)=i$ if $\sigma(j) \in\left\{\sigma\left(s_{i-1}+1\right), \ldots, \sigma\left(s_{i}\right)\right\}_{<}$for $j \in[n]$ and $i \in[l]$. Clearly the number of inversions of $w$, i.e., $|\{i<j \mid w(i)>w(j), i, j \in[n]\}|$, is equal to inv $\sigma$. By a theorem of MacMahon (see [2, p. 41]) we obtain the following known result (see [31, p. 227]).

Lemma 3.1. Let $S=\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{k}\right\}_{<} \subseteq[n-1]$ and $\alpha_{n}(S, q)=\sum_{\sigma \in \Delta_{n}(S)} q^{\text {inv } \sigma}$. Then

$$
\alpha_{n}(S, q)=\binom{n}{\operatorname{co}(S)}_{q} .
$$

To prove (1.6a) we need three more lemmas. For convenience, for any subset $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ let $S_{\mathrm{e}}=S \cap 2 \mathbb{N}$ and $S_{\mathrm{o}}=S \cap(2 \mathbb{N}+1)$ be the subsets of even and odd integers of $S$, respectively. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathrm{O}[n]$ (resp. $\mathrm{E}[n]$ ) be the collection of odd (resp. even)
elements of $[n]$. Consider the polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}(x, y, q):=\sum_{S \subseteq[n-1]} \alpha_{n}(S, q) x^{\left|S_{o}\right|} y^{\left|S_{e}\right|} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.2. For $n \geq 1$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}(x, y, q)=(1-x)^{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor}(1-y)^{\left\lfloor\frac{n-1}{2}\right\rfloor} P_{n}\left(\frac{x}{1-x}, \frac{y}{1-y}, q\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.1 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{n}(x, y, q) & =\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}} x^{\operatorname{des}_{1}(\sigma)} y^{\operatorname{des}_{0}(\sigma)} q^{\operatorname{inv}(\sigma)} \sum_{S \subseteq[n-1\rfloor \backslash D(\sigma)} x^{\left|S_{o}\right|} y^{\left|S_{e}\right|} \\
& =\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}} x^{\operatorname{des}_{1}(\sigma)} y^{\operatorname{des}_{0}(\sigma)} q^{\operatorname{inv}(\sigma)}(1+x)^{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor-\operatorname{des}_{1}(\sigma)}(1+y)^{\left\lfloor\frac{n-1}{2}\right\rfloor-\operatorname{des}_{0}(\sigma)}
\end{aligned}
$$

as there are $\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor-\operatorname{des}_{1}(\sigma)$ odd (resp. $\left\lfloor\frac{n-1}{2}\right\rfloor-\operatorname{des}_{0}(\sigma)$ even) integers in $[n-1] \backslash D(\sigma)$. In other words, we can write $P_{n}(x, y, q)$ as

$$
P_{n}(x, y, q)=(1+x)^{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor}(1+y)^{\left\lfloor\frac{n-1}{2}\right\rfloor} A_{n}\left(\frac{x}{1+x}, \frac{y}{1+y}, q\right)
$$

which is equivalent to (3.2).
Remark 8. Let $P_{n}(x)=\sum_{S \subseteq[n-1]} \alpha_{n}(S, 1) x^{|S|}$. It is not diffucult to see that

$$
P_{n}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(k+1)!S(n, k+1) x^{k}
$$

where $S(n, k)$ denotes the Stirling number of the second kind, i.e., the number of ways to partition a set of $n$ objects into $k$ non-empty subsets (see [31]). So, when $x=y$, formula (3.2) reduces to the Frobenius formula, see [11],

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} k!S(n, k) x^{k-1}(1-x)^{n-k} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.3. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
B(t, x) & :=\sum_{n \geq 1} P_{2 n}(x, 0, q) \frac{t^{2 n}}{(2 n)!}=\frac{\left(\cosh _{q} t-1\right)\left(1-x\left(\cosh _{q} t-1\right)\right)+x \sinh _{q}^{2} t}{1-x\left(\cosh _{q} t-1\right)}  \tag{3.4}\\
C(t, x) & :=\sum_{n \geq 1} P_{2 n-1}(x, 0, q) \frac{t^{2 n-1}}{(2 n-1)!}=\frac{\sinh _{q} t}{1-x\left(\cosh _{q} t-1\right)} \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. There is a bijection between the set of compositions $\gamma=\left(\gamma_{1}, \cdots, \gamma_{l}\right)$ of $2 n$ such that $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}, \ldots, \gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}+\cdots+\gamma_{l-1}$ are odd numbers and the set of subsets $S_{\gamma}$ of $\mathrm{O}[2 n]$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n \geq 1} P_{2 n}(x, 0, q) \frac{t^{2 n}}{(2 n)!_{q}} & =\sum_{n \geq 1}\left(\sum_{S \subseteq \mathrm{O}[2 n]} \alpha_{2 n}(S, q) x^{|S|}\right) \frac{t^{2 n}}{(2 n)!_{q}} \\
& =\sum_{l \geq 1}\left(\sum_{\gamma} \frac{t^{\gamma_{1}}}{\gamma_{1}!_{q}} \cdots \frac{t^{\gamma_{l}}}{\gamma_{l}!_{q}}\right) x^{l-1} \\
& =\sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{t^{2 i}}{2 i!_{q}}+x \sum_{l \geq 2}\left(\sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{t^{2 i-1}}{(2 i-1)!_{q}}\right)^{2}\left(x \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{t^{2 i}}{2 i!_{q}}\right)^{l-2} \\
& =\cosh _{q} t-1+\frac{x \sinh _{q}^{2} t}{1-x\left(\cosh _{q} t-1\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives (3.4).
In the same vein, wa have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n \geq 1} P_{2 n-1}(x, 0, q) \frac{t^{2 n-1}}{(2 n-1)!_{q}} & =\sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{S \subseteq \mathrm{O}[2 n-1]} \alpha_{2 n-1}(S, q) x^{|S|} \frac{t^{2 n-1}}{(2 n-1)!_{q}} \\
& =\sum_{l \geq 1}\left(\sum_{\gamma} \frac{t^{\gamma_{1}}}{\gamma_{1}!_{q}} \cdots \frac{t^{\gamma_{l}}}{\gamma_{l}!_{q}} x^{l-1}\right) \\
& =\sum_{l \geq 1}\left(\sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{t^{2 i-1}}{(2 i-1)!_{q}}\right)\left(x \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{t^{2 i}}{(2 i)!_{q}}\right)^{l-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is clearly equal to (3.5).
Next we generalize (3.4) and (3.5) to the general $y$.
Lemma 3.4. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{n \geq 1} P_{2 n}(x, y, q) \frac{t^{2 n}}{(2 n)!_{q}} & =\frac{B(t, x)}{1-y B(t, x)}  \tag{3.6}\\
\sum_{n \geq 1} P_{2 n-1}(x, y, q) \frac{t^{2 n-1}}{(2 n-1)!_{q}} & =\frac{C(t, x)}{1-y B(t, x)} \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Consider

$$
P_{n}(x, y, q)=\sum_{(\sigma, S)} x^{\left|S_{o}\right|} y^{\left|S_{e}\right|} q^{\operatorname{inv} \sigma} \quad\left(\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n} \text { and } D(\sigma) \subseteq S \subseteq[n-1]\right)
$$

There is a bijection between the set of subsets $S$ of $[n-1]$ with fixed even integers $S_{\mathrm{e}}=\left\{m_{1}<\cdots<m_{l-1}\right\} \subset \mathrm{E}[n-1]$ and the set of sequences of compositions of $m_{i}-m_{i-1}$ with odd parts for $i \in[l]$ with $m_{0}=0$ and $m_{l}=n$. Let $\operatorname{co}\left(S_{\mathrm{e}}\right)=\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{l}\right)$ be the corresponding composition of $n$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n \geq 1} P_{2 n}(x, y, q) \frac{t^{2 n}}{(2 n)!_{q}}=\sum_{l \geq 1} \prod_{i=1}^{l-1} & {\left[\sum_{S_{i} \subseteq \mathrm{O}\left[2 n_{i}\right]} \alpha_{2 n_{i}}\left(S_{i}, q\right) x^{\left|S_{i}\right|} \frac{t^{2 n_{i}}}{\left(2 n_{i}\right)!_{q}} y\right] } \\
& \times\left[\sum_{S_{l} \subseteq \mathrm{O}\left[2 n_{l}\right]} \alpha_{2 n_{l}}\left(S_{l}, q\right) x^{\left|S_{l}\right|} \frac{t^{2 n_{l}}}{\left(2 n_{l}\right)!_{q}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

which is equal to $\sum_{l \geq 1} y^{l-1} \cdot B(t, x)^{l}=\frac{B(t, x)}{1-y B(t, x)}$.
Similary, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n \geq 1} P_{2 n-1}(x, y, q) \frac{t^{2 n-1}}{(2 n-1)!_{q}}=\sum_{l \geq 1} & \prod_{i=1}^{l-1}\left(\sum_{S_{i} \subseteq \mathrm{O}\left[2 n_{i}\right]} \alpha_{2 n_{i}}\left(S_{i}, q\right) x^{\left|S_{i}\right|} \frac{t^{2 n_{i}}}{\left(2 n_{i}\right)!} y\right) \\
& \times\left(\sum_{S_{l} \subseteq \mathrm{O}\left[2 n_{l}-1\right]} \alpha_{2 n_{l}-1}\left(S_{l}, q\right) x^{\left|S_{l}\right|} \frac{t^{2 n_{l}-1}}{\left(2 n_{l}-1\right)!_{q}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which can be written as $\sum_{l \geq 1} y^{l-1} \cdot B(t, x)^{l-1} \cdot C(t, x)=\frac{C(t, x)}{1-y B(t, x)}$.
We obtain (1.6a) by combining Lemma 3.2, Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4 ,

## 4. Counting permutations of type B By the parity of descent positions

Let $\mathcal{B}_{n}^{+}$(resp. $\mathcal{B}_{n}^{-}$) be the subset of permutations in $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ whose first entry is positive (resp. negative). Clearly the doubleton $\left\{\mathcal{B}_{n}^{-}, \mathcal{B}_{n}^{+}\right\}$is a partition of $\mathcal{B}_{n}$. Introduce the corresponding enumerative polynomials:

$$
B_{n}^{-}(x, y)=\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_{n}^{-}} x^{\operatorname{des}_{1} \sigma} y^{\operatorname{des}_{0} \sigma}, \quad B_{n}^{+}(x, y)=\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_{n}^{+}} x^{\operatorname{des}_{1} \sigma} y^{\operatorname{des}_{0} \sigma} .
$$

Then $B_{n}(x, y)=B_{n}^{-}(x, y)+B_{n}^{+}(x, y)$.
For $\tau \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$, let $\tau^{-}$be the permutation in $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ such that $\tau^{-}(i)=-\tau(i)$ for $i \in[n]$. It is clear that the mapping $\rho: \tau \longmapsto \tau^{-}$is an involution on $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{des}_{1} \tau+\operatorname{des}_{1} \tau^{-} & =\lfloor n / 2\rfloor \\
\operatorname{des}_{0} \tau+\operatorname{des}_{0} \tau^{-} & =\lfloor(n+1) / 2\rfloor \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Besides, the restriction of $\rho$ on $\mathcal{B}_{n}^{+}$sets up a bijection $\rho: \mathcal{B}_{n}^{+} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{n}^{-}$, therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{n}^{-}(x, y)=x^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor} y^{\lfloor(n+1) / 2\rfloor} B_{n}^{+}(1 / x, 1 / y) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, we need only to compute the exponential generating functions of $B_{n}^{+}(x, y)$.
For $\sigma \in B_{n}$, we denote by $D(\sigma)$ the set of descents of $\sigma$. If $S$ is a subset of $[n-1]$ let $\alpha_{n}^{+}(S)$ be the number of permutations $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_{n}^{+}$such that $D(\sigma) \subseteq S$. A set composition of set $\Omega$ is an $\ell$-tuple ( $\Omega_{1}, \ldots, \Omega_{\ell}$ ) of subsets of $\Omega$ such that $\left\{\Omega_{1}, \ldots, \Omega_{\ell}\right\}$ is a set partition of $\Omega$.

Lemma 4.1. Let $S=\left\{s_{1}<\cdots<s_{k}\right\} \subseteq[n-1]$, and $s_{0}=0$ and $s_{k+1}=n$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{n}^{+}(S)=\binom{n}{\operatorname{co}(S)} 2^{n-s_{1}} . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We can construct the permutations $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_{n}^{+}$with $D(\sigma) \subseteq S$ as in the following:

- partition $[n]$ to obtain a set-composition $\left(\Omega_{1}, \ldots, \Omega_{k+1}\right)$ of $[n]$ with $\left|\Omega_{i}\right|=s_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $\left|\Omega_{k+1}\right|=n-s_{k}$,
- sign the elements in $\Omega_{i}$ by $\epsilon \in\{-1,1\}$ for $i=2, \ldots k+1$.
- arrange the elements in each block $\Omega_{i}$ increasingly.

It is clear that the number of such permutations is

$$
\binom{n}{s_{1}-s_{0}, s_{2}-s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k+1}-s_{k}} 2^{n-s_{1}} .
$$

This is the desired formula.
Similar to permutations of type A (see (3.1)), consider the polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n}^{+}(x, y)=\sum_{S \subseteq[n]} \alpha_{n}^{+}(S) x^{\left|S_{o}\right|} y^{\left|S_{e}\right|} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4.2. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{2 n}^{+}(x, y) & =(1-x)^{n}(1-y)^{n-1} Q_{2 n}^{+}\left(\frac{x}{1-x}, \frac{y}{1-y}\right),  \tag{4.5}\\
B_{2 n-1}^{+}(x, y) & =(1-x)^{n-1}(1-y)^{n-1} Q_{2 n-1}^{+}\left(\frac{x}{1-x}, \frac{y}{1-y}\right) . \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. For even index we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{2 n}^{+}(x, y)=\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_{2 n}^{+}} \sum_{\substack{S \subseteq[2 n]}} \sum_{\substack{\operatorname{Des}_{0}(\sigma) \subseteq S_{e} \\ \operatorname{Des}_{1}\left(\sigma \subseteq S_{o}\right.}} x^{\left|S_{o}\right|} y^{\left|S_{e}\right|} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, for any fixed $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_{2 n}^{+}$, writing $T_{0}=S_{e} \backslash \operatorname{Des}_{0}(\sigma)$ and $T_{1}=S_{e} \backslash \operatorname{Des}_{1}(\sigma)$, then $\left|S_{e}\right|=\operatorname{des}_{0}(\sigma)+\left|T_{0}\right|$ and $\left|S_{o}\right|=\operatorname{des}_{1}(\sigma)+\left|T_{1}\right|$; hence the inner double sum at the righthand side of (4.7) is a sum over the pairs $\left(T_{0}, T_{1}\right)$ such that $T_{0} \subseteq \mathrm{E}[2 n]$ and $T_{1} \subseteq \mathrm{O}[2 n]$, and thus equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\operatorname{des}_{0}(\sigma)} x^{\operatorname{des}_{1}(\sigma)}(1+y)^{n-1-\operatorname{des}_{0}(\sigma)}(1+x)^{n-\operatorname{des}_{1}(\sigma)} . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{2 n}^{+}(x, y)=(1+x)^{n}(1+y)^{n-1} B_{2 n}^{+}\left(\frac{x}{1+x}, \frac{y}{1+y}\right) \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivelent to (4.5).
For odd index, a similar reasoning can be applied with regard to the sum

$$
Q_{2 n-1}^{+}(x, y)=\sum_{\substack{  \tag{4.10}\\
\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_{2 n-1}^{+}}} \sum_{\substack{S \subseteq[2 n-1] \\
\begin{array}{c}
\operatorname{Des}_{0}(\sigma) \subseteq S_{e} \\
\operatorname{Des}_{1}(\sigma) \subseteq S_{o}
\end{array}}} x^{\left|S_{o}\right|} y^{\left|S_{e}\right|}
$$

and leads to the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{2 n-1}^{+}(x, y)=(1+x)^{n-1}(1+y)^{n-1} B_{2 n-1}^{+}\left(\frac{x}{1+x}, \frac{y}{1+y}\right) \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivalent to (4.6).
Lemma 4.3. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
G:=\sum_{n \geq 1} Q_{2 n}^{+}(x, 0) \frac{t^{2 n}}{(2 n)!}=\cosh (t)-1+\frac{x \sinh (t) \sinh (2 t)}{1-x(\cosh (2 t)-1)} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
H:=\sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{S \subseteq \mathrm{O}[2 n]}\binom{2 n}{\operatorname{co}(S)} 2^{2 n} x^{|S|} \frac{t^{2 n}}{(2 n)!}=\cosh (2 t)-1+\frac{x \sinh ^{2}(2 t)}{1-x(\cosh (2 t)-1)} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By definition, if $S=\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{l-1}\right\}_{<} \subseteq \mathrm{O}[2 n]$, let $\gamma_{1}=s_{1}, \gamma_{i}=s_{i}-s_{i-1}$ for $i=2, \ldots, l$ with $s_{l}=2 n-1$, then $\gamma_{1}$ is odd and $\gamma_{i}$ are even for $i=2, \ldots, l$. Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
G & =\sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{S \subseteq \mathrm{O}[2 n]}\binom{2 n}{\operatorname{co}(S)}_{B^{+}} x^{|S|} \frac{t^{2 n}}{(2 n)!} \\
& =\sum_{n \geq 1}\left(\sum_{\gamma} \frac{1}{\gamma_{1}!} \cdots \frac{1}{\gamma_{l}!} x^{l-1}\right) 2^{2 n-\gamma_{1}} t^{2 n}  \tag{4.14}\\
& =\sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{t^{2 i}}{(2 i)!}+\sum_{l \geq 2}\left(\sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{(t)^{2 i-1}}{(2 i-1)!}\right)\left(x \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{(2 t)^{2 i-1}}{(2 i-1)!}\right)\left(x \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{(2 t)^{2 i}}{(2 i)!}\right)^{l-2} \\
& =\sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{t^{2 i}}{(2 i)!}+x\left(\sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{t^{2 i-1}}{(2 i-1)!}\right)\left(\sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{(2 t)^{2 i-1}}{(2 i-1)!}\right) \frac{1}{1-x \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{(2 t)^{2 i}}{(2 i)!}}
\end{align*}
$$

which is the right-hand side of (4.12). Next,

$$
\begin{align*}
H & =\sum_{n \geq 1}\left(\sum_{\gamma} \frac{1}{\gamma_{1}!} \cdots \frac{1}{\gamma_{l}!} x^{l-1}\right)(2 t)^{2 n}  \tag{4.15}\\
& =\sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{(2 t)^{2 i}}{(2 i)!}+\frac{1}{x} \sum_{l \geq 2}\left(x \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{(2 t)^{2 i-1}}{(2 i-1)!}\right)^{2}\left(x \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{(2 t)^{2 i}}{(2 i)!}\right)^{l-2} \\
& =\sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{(2 t)^{2 i}}{(2 i)!}+x\left(\sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{(2 t)^{2 i-1}}{(2 i-1)!}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{1-x \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{(2 t)^{2 i}}{(2 i)!}},
\end{align*}
$$

which is the right-hand of (4.13).

Lemma 4.4. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
F & :=\sum_{n \geq 1}\left(\sum_{S \subseteq \mathrm{O}[2 n-1]}\binom{2 n-1}{\operatorname{co}(S)} x^{|S|}\right) 2^{2 n-1} \frac{t^{2 n-1}}{(2 n-1)!}=\frac{\sinh (2 t)}{1-x(\cosh (2 t)-1)},  \tag{4.16}\\
L & :=\sum_{n \geq 1}\left(\sum_{S \subseteq \mathrm{O}[2 n-1]}\binom{2 n-1}{\operatorname{co}(S)}_{B} x^{|S|}\right) \frac{t^{2 n-1}}{(2 n-1)!}=\frac{\sinh (t)}{1-x(\cosh (2 t)-1)} . \tag{4.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. By definition, if $S=\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{l-1}\right\}_{<} \subseteq \mathrm{O}[2 n-1]$, let $\gamma_{1}=s_{1}, \gamma_{i}=s_{i}-s_{i-1}$ with $s_{l}=2 n-1$, then $\gamma_{1}$ is odd and $\gamma_{i}$ are even for $i=2, \ldots, l$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
F & =\sum_{n \geq 1}\left(\sum_{\gamma} \frac{1}{\gamma_{1}!} \cdots \frac{1}{\gamma_{l}!} x^{l-1}\right)(2 t)^{2 n-1} \\
& =\sum_{l \geq 1}\left(\sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{(2 t)^{2 i-1}}{(2 i-1)!}\right)\left(x \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{(2 t)^{2 i}}{(2 i)!}\right)^{l-1} \\
& =\left(\sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{(2 t)^{2 i-1}}{(2 i-1)!}\right) \frac{1}{1-x \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{(2 t)^{(2 i)!}}{(2 i)!}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which equals the right-hand side of (4.16), besides

$$
\begin{aligned}
L & =\sum_{n \geq 1}\left(\sum_{\gamma} \frac{1}{\gamma_{1}!} \cdots \frac{1}{\gamma_{l}!} x^{l-1}\right) 2^{2 n-1-\gamma_{1}} t^{2 n-1} \\
& =\sum_{l \geq 1}\left(\sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{t^{2 i-1}}{(2 i-1)!}\right)\left(x \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{(2 t)^{2 i}}{(2 i)!}\right)^{l-1} \\
& =\left(\sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{t^{2 i-1}}{(2 i-1)!}\right) \frac{1}{1-x \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{(2 t)^{2 i}}{(2 i)!}},
\end{aligned}
$$

which is equal to the right-hand side of (4.17).
Lemma 4.5. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{n \geq 1} Q_{2 n}^{+}(x, y) \frac{t^{2 n}}{(2 n)!} & =\frac{G}{1-y H}  \tag{4.18}\\
\sum_{n \geq 1} Q_{2 n-1}^{+}(x, y) \frac{t^{2 n-1}}{(2 n-1)!} & =L+\frac{y F G}{1-y H} \tag{4.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The left hand side of (4.18) is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n \geq 1}\left(\sum_{S \subseteq[2 n]} \alpha_{2 n}^{+}(S) y^{\left|S_{e}\right|} x^{\left|S_{o}\right|}\right) \frac{t^{2 n}}{2 n!} \\
& =\sum_{n \geq 1}\left[\sum_{S_{1} \subseteq \mathrm{O}\left[2 m_{1}\right]}\binom{2 m_{1}}{\operatorname{co}\left(S_{1}\right)}_{B} x^{\left|S_{1}\right|} \frac{t^{2 m_{1}}}{2 m_{1}!} y\right] \cdot \prod_{i=2}^{l}\left[\sum_{S_{i} \subseteq \mathrm{O}\left[2 m_{i}\right]}\binom{2 m_{i}}{\operatorname{co}\left(S_{i}\right)} 2^{2 m_{i}} x^{\left|S_{i}\right|} \frac{t^{2 m_{i}}}{2 m_{i}!} y\right] \\
& =\sum_{l \geq 1} y^{l-1} \cdot G \cdot H^{l-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

which equals $\frac{G}{1-y H}$. The left-hand side of (4.19) is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n \geq 1}\left[\sum_{S_{1} \subseteq \mathrm{O}\left[2 m_{1}\right]}\binom{2 m_{1}}{\operatorname{co}\left(S_{1}\right)}_{B} x^{\left|S_{1}\right|} \frac{t^{2 m_{1}}}{2 m_{1}!} y\right] \cdot \prod_{i=2}^{l}\left[\sum_{S_{i} \subseteq \mathrm{O}\left[2 m_{i}\right]}\binom{2 m_{i}}{\operatorname{co}\left(S_{2}\right)} 2^{2 m_{i}} x^{\left|S_{i}\right|} \frac{t^{2 m_{i}}}{2 m_{i}!} y\right] \\
& =L+y F \cdot G \sum_{l \geq 0}(y H)^{l},
\end{aligned}
$$

which equals $L+\frac{y F \cdot G}{1-y H}$.

Now, combining Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.5 we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{n \geq 1} B_{2 n}^{+}(x, y) \frac{t^{2 n}}{(2 n)!} & =\frac{(\cosh (a t)-1)(2 x \cosh (a t)+x+1)}{1+x y-(x+y) \cosh (2 a t)}  \tag{4.20}\\
\sum_{n \geq 1} B_{2 n-1}^{+}(x, y) \frac{t^{2 n-1}}{(2 n-1)!} & =\frac{\sinh (a t)(x-1)(2 \cosh (a t) y-y-1)}{a(x y+1-(x+y) \cosh (2 a t))} \tag{4.21}
\end{align*}
$$

with $a^{2}=(1-x)(1-y)$. It follows from (4.2) that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{n \geq 1} B_{2 n}^{-}(x, y) \frac{t^{2 n}}{(2 n)!}=\frac{y(\cosh (a t)-1)(2 \cosh (a t)+x+1)}{1+x y-(x+y) \cosh (2 a t)},  \tag{4.22}\\
\sum_{n \geq 1} B_{2 n-1}^{-}(x, y) \frac{t^{2 n-1}}{(2 n-1)!}=\frac{y \sinh (a t)(x-1)(-2 \cosh (a t)+y+1)}{a(x y+1-(x+y) \cosh (2 a t))} . \tag{4.23}
\end{gather*}
$$

Combining (4.20) with (4.22) and (4.21) with (4.23), we complete the proof of Theorem 1.2

## 5. Concluding remarks

In [4] Carlitz and Scoville also considered the more general modulus $m>2$ for descents rather than parity, i.e., $m=2$. They obtained a general generating function. However, apart from $m=2$ the generating function is quite explicit only for certain special cases when $m=4$. For the $q$-analogue, there are some nice generating functions given by Kurşungöz and Yee [19]. It would be very interesting to have results in this direction.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Carlitz and Scoville counted a conventional rise at the beginning as position 0 and a conventional descent at the end as position $n(\bmod 2)$.

