



The Ramsey Numbers of Paths Versus Kipas

A. N. M. Salman¹ H. J. Broersma²

*Department of Applied Mathematics
Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mathematics and Computer Science
University of Twente, P.O. Box 217, 7500 AE Enschede, The Netherlands*

Abstract

For two given graphs G and H , the Ramsey number $R(G, H)$ is the smallest positive integer p such that for every graph F on p vertices the following holds: either F contains G as a subgraph or the complement of F contains H as a subgraph. In this paper, we study the Ramsey numbers $R(P_n, \hat{K}_m)$, where P_n is a path on n vertices and \hat{K}_m is the graph obtained from the join of K_1 and P_m . We determine the exact values of $R(P_n, \hat{K}_m)$ for the following values of n and m : $1 \leq n \leq 5$ and $m \geq 3$; $n \geq 6$ and (m is odd, $3 \leq m \leq 2n - 1$) or (m is even, $4 \leq m \leq n + 1$); $n = 6$ or 7 and $m = 2n - 2$ or $m \geq 2n$; $n \geq 8$ and $m = 2n - 2$ or $m = 2n$ or ($q \cdot n - 2q + 1 \leq m \leq q \cdot n - q + 2$ with $3 \leq q \leq n - 5$) or $m \geq (n - 3)^2$; odd $n \geq 9$ and ($q \cdot n - 3q + 1 \leq m \leq q \cdot n - 2q$ with $3 \leq q \leq (n - 3)/2$) or ($q \cdot n - q - n + 4 \leq m \leq q \cdot n - 2q$ with $(n - 1)/2 \leq q \leq n - 4$).

Keywords: kipas, path, Ramsey number
AMS Subject Classifications: 05C55, 05D10

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, all graphs are finite and simple. Let G be such a graph. The graph \bar{G} is the *complement* of G , i.e., the graph obtained from the complete graph on $|V(G)|$ vertices by deleting the edges of G . A *kipas* \hat{K}_m is the graph on $m + 1$ vertices obtained from the join of K_1 and P_m . The vertex corresponding to K_1 is called the *hub* of the kipas. Given two graphs G and H , the *Ramsey number* $R(G, H)$ is defined as the smallest positive integer

¹ Email: a.n.m.salman@math.utwente.nl

² Email: h.j.broersma@math.utwente.nl

p such that every graph F on p vertices satisfies the following condition: F contains G as a subgraph or \overline{F} contains H as a subgraph.

In 1967 Gerencsér and Gyárfás [3] determined all Ramsey numbers for paths versus paths. After that, Ramsey numbers $R(P_n, H)$ for paths versus other graphs H have been investigated in several papers, for example in [5], [1], [6], [4], [2], [7] and [8]. We study Ramsey numbers for paths versus kipeses.

2 Main results

We determine the Ramsey numbers $R(P_n, \hat{K}_m)$ for the following values of n and m : $1 \leq n \leq 5$ and $m \geq 3$; $n \geq 6$ and (m is odd, $3 \leq m \leq 2n - 1$) or (m is even, $4 \leq m \leq n + 1$); $n = 6$ or 7 and $m = 2n - 2$ or $m \geq 2n$; $n \geq 8$ and $m = 2n - 2$ or $m = 2n$ or $(q \cdot n - 2q + 1 \leq m \leq q \cdot n - q + 2$ with $3 \leq q \leq n - 5$) or $m \geq (n - 3)^2$; odd $n \geq 9$ and $(q \cdot n - 3q + 1 \leq m \leq q \cdot n - 2q$ with $3 \leq q \leq (n - 3)/2$) or $(q \cdot n - q - n + 4 \leq m \leq q \cdot n - 2q$ with $(n - 1)/2 \leq q \leq n - 4$).

Theorem 2.1

$$R(P_n, \hat{K}_m) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } n = 1 \text{ and } m \geq 3 \\ m + 1 & \text{for either } (n = 2 \text{ and } m \geq 3) \\ & \text{or } (n = 3 \text{ and even } m \geq 4) \\ m + 2 & \text{for } (n = 3 \text{ and odd } m \geq 5) \\ 3n - 2 & \text{for either } (n = 3 \text{ and } m = 3) \\ & \text{or } (n \geq 4 \text{ and } m \text{ is odd, } 3 \leq m \leq 2n - 1) \\ 2n - 1 & \text{for } n \geq 4 \text{ and } m \text{ is even, } 4 \leq m \leq n + 1. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.1 can be obtained by indicating suitable graphs for providing sharp lower bounds, and using some result in [8] for getting the best upper bounds. We omit the details.

The next lemma plays a key role in our proofs of Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.5. The proof of this lemma has been given in [7].

Lemma 2.2 *Let $n \geq 4$ and G be a graph on at least n vertices containing no P_n . Let the paths P^1, P^2, \dots, P^k in G be chosen in the following way: $\bigcup_{j=1}^k V(P^j) = V(G)$, P^1 is a longest path in G , and, if $k > 1$, P^{i+1} is a longest path in $G - \bigcup_{j=1}^i V(P^j)$ for $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$. Let z be an end vertex of P^k . Then:*

- (i) $|V(P^1)| \geq |V(P^2)| \geq \dots \geq |V(P^k)|;$

- (ii) If $|V(P^k)| \geq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$, then $|N(z)| \leq |V(P^k)| - 1$;
- (iii) If $|V(P^k)| < \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$, then $|N(z)| \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1$.

Lemma 2.3 *If $n \geq 4$ and $m = 2n - 2$ or $m \geq 2n$, then*

$$R(P_n, \hat{K}_m) \leq \begin{cases} m + n - 1 & \text{for } m = 1 \pmod{n-1} \\ m + n - 2 & \text{for other values of } m. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let G be a graph that contains no P_n and has order

$$(1) \quad |V(G)| = \begin{cases} m + n - 1 & \text{for } m = 1 \pmod{n-1} \\ m + n - 2 & \text{for other values of } m. \end{cases}$$

Choose the paths P^1, \dots, P^k and the vertex z in G as in Lemma 2.2. Because of (1), not all P^i can have $n - 1$ vertices, so $|V(P^k)| \leq n - 2$. By Lemma 2.2, $|N(z)| \leq n - 3$. We will use the following result that has been proved in [1]: $R(P_t, C_s) = s + \lfloor t/2 \rfloor - 1$ for $s \geq \lfloor (3t + 1)/2 \rfloor$. We distinguish the following cases.

Case 1 $|N(z)| \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 2$ or n is odd and $|N(z)| = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1$. Since $|V(G) \setminus N[z]| \geq m + \lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1$, we find that $G - N[z]$ contains a C_m . So, there is a \hat{K}_m in \overline{G} with z as a hub.

Case 2 n is even and $|N(z)| = n/2 - 1$. Since $|V(G) \setminus N[z]| \geq (m + n - 2) - n/2 = m + n/2 - 2$, we find that $G - N[z]$ contains a C_{m-1} ; denote its vertices by $v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_{m-1}$ in the order of appearance on the cycle with a fixed orientation. There are $n/2 - 1$ vertices in $U = V(G) \setminus (V(C_{m-1}) \cup N[z])$, say $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{n/2-1}$. If some vertex v_i ($i = 1, \dots, m - 1$) is no neighbor of some vertex u_j ($j = 1, \dots, n/2 - 1$), w.l.o.g. assume $v_{m-1}u_1 \notin E(G)$. Then \overline{G} contains a \hat{K}_m with hub z and its other vertices $v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_{m-2}, v_{m-1}, u_1$. Now let us assume each of the v_i is adjacent to all u_j in G . For every choice of a subset of $n/2$ vertices from $V(C_{m-1})$, there is a path on $n - 1$ vertices in G alternating between the vertices of this subset and the vertices of U , starting and terminating in two arbitrary vertices from the subset. Since G contains no P_n , there are no edges $v_i v_j \in E(G)$ ($i, j \in \{1, \dots, m - 1\}$). This implies that $V(C_{m-1}) \cup \{z\}$ induces a K_m in \overline{G} . Since G contains no P_n , no v_i is adjacent to a vertex of $N(z)$. This implies that \overline{G} contains a $K_{m+1} - e$ for some edge zw with $w \in N(z)$, and hence \overline{G} contains a \hat{K}_m with one of the v_i as a hub.

Case 3 Suppose that there is no choice for P^k and z such that one of the former cases applies. Then $|N(w)| \geq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ for any end vertex w of a path on $|V(P^k)|$ vertices in $G - \bigcup_{j=1}^{k-1} V(P^j)$. This implies all neighbors of such w are in $V(P^k)$ and $|V(P^k)| \geq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1$. So for the two end vertices z_1 and z_2 of P^k

we have that $|N(z_i) \cap V(P^k)| \geq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor \geq |V(P^k)|/2$. By standard arguments in hamiltonian graph theory we obtain a cycle on $|V(P^k)|$ vertices in G . This implies that any vertex of $V(P^k)$ could serve as w . By the assumption of this last case, we conclude that there are no edges in G between $V(P^k)$ and the other vertices. This also implies that all vertices of P^k have degree in \overline{G} at least

$$\begin{cases} m + 1 & \text{if } |V(G)| = m + n - 1 \\ m & \text{if } |V(G)| = m + n - 2. \end{cases}$$

We now turn to P^{k-1} and consider one of its end vertices w . Since $|V(P^{k-1})| \geq |V(P^k)| \geq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1$, similar arguments as in the proof of Lemma 2.2 show that all neighbors of w are on P^{k-1} . If $|N(w)| < \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$, we get a \hat{K}_m in \overline{G} as in Case 1 and 2. So we may assume $|N(w_i) \cap V(P^{k-1})| \geq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor \geq |V(P^{k-1})|/2$ for both end vertices w_1 and w_2 of P^{k-1} . By standard arguments in hamiltonian graph theory we obtain a cycle on $|V(P^{k-1})|$ vertices in G . This implies that any vertex of $V(P^{k-1})$ could serve as w . By the assumption of this last case, we conclude that there are no edges in G between $V(P^{k-1})$ and the other vertices. This also implies that all vertices of P^{k-1} have degree in \overline{G} at least

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} m & \text{if } |V(G)| = m + n - 1 \\ m - 1 & \text{if } |V(G)| = m + n - 2. \end{cases}$$

Repeating the above arguments for P^{k-2}, \dots, P^1 we eventually conclude that all vertices of G have degree in \overline{G} at least as (2).

Now let $|V(P^k)| = \ell$ and $H = \overline{G} - V(P^k)$. If $|V(G)| = m + n - 1$, then in the graph H all vertices have degree at least $m - \ell \geq m/2 + (n - 1) - \ell \geq \frac{1}{2}(m + 2n - 2 - \ell - (n - 2)) = \frac{1}{2}(m + n - \ell) = \frac{1}{2}(|V(H)| + 1)$. If $|V(G)| = m + n - 2$, then in the graph H all vertices have degree at least $m - 1 - \ell \geq m/2 + (n - 1) - 1 - \ell \geq \frac{1}{2}(m + 2n - 4 - \ell - (n - 2)) = \frac{1}{2}(m + n - 2 - \ell) = \frac{1}{2}|V(H)|$. Hence, there exists a Hamilton cycle in H . Since $|V(H)| \geq m$ and z is a neighbor of all vertices in H , it is clear that \overline{G} contains a \hat{K}_m with z as a hub. \square

Corollary 2.4 *If $(4 \leq n \leq 6$ and $m = 2n - 2$ or $m \geq 2n)$ or $(n \geq 7$ and $m = 2n - 2$ or $m = 2n$ or $m \geq (n - 3)^2)$ or $(n \geq 8$ and $q \cdot n - 2q + 1 \leq m \leq q \cdot n - q + 2$ for $3 \leq q \leq n - 5)$, then*

$$R(P_n, \hat{K}_m) = \begin{cases} m + n - 1 & \text{for } m \equiv 1 \pmod{n - 1} \\ m + n - 2 & \text{for other values of } m. \end{cases}$$

Corollary 2.4 can be obtained by indicating suitable graphs for providing sharp lower bounds, and combining them with the upper bounds from Lemma

2.3. We omit the details.

Lemma 2.5 *If odd $n \geq 7$ and $q \cdot n - q + 3 \leq m \leq q \cdot n - 2q + n - 2$ with $2 \leq q \leq n - 5$, then $R(P_n, \hat{K}_m) \leq m + n - 3$.*

The proof of Lemma 2.5 is modeled along the lines of the proof of Lemma 2.3. We omit the details.

Corollary 2.6 *If ($n = 7$ and $m = 15$) or (odd $n \geq 9$ and ($q \cdot n - 3q + 1 \leq m \leq q \cdot n - 2q$ with $3 \leq q \leq (n - 3)/2$) or ($q \cdot n - q - n + 4 \leq m \leq q \cdot n - 2q$ with $(n - 1)/2 \leq q \leq n - 4$)), then $R(P_n, \hat{K}_m) = m + n - 3$.*

Proof. For $n = 7$ and $m = 15$, the graph $3K_6$ and for odd $n \geq 9$ and $m = q \cdot n - 2q - j$ with either ($3 \leq q \leq (n - 3)/2$ and $0 \leq j \leq q - 1$) or ($(n - 1)/2 \leq q \leq n - 5$ and $0 \leq j \leq n - q - 4$), the graph $(q - j - 1)K_{n-2} \cup (j + 2)K_{n-3}$ shows that $R(P_n, \hat{K}_m) > m + n - 4$. Using Lemma 2.5, we obtain that $R(P_n, \hat{K}_m) = m + n - 3$. \square

References

- [1] R.J. Faudree, S.L. Lawrence, T.D. Parsons and R.H. Schelp, Path-cycle Ramsey numbers, *Discrete Mathematics*, **10** (1974), 269–277.
- [2] R.J. Faudree, R.H. Schelp and M. Simonovits, On some Ramsey type problems connected with paths, cycles and trees, *Ars Combinatoria*, **29A** (1990), 97–106.
- [3] L. Gerencsér and A. Gyárfás, On Ramsey-type problems, *Annales Universitatis Scientiarum Budapestinensis, Eötvös Sect. Math.*, **10** (1967), 167–170.
- [4] R. Häggkvist, On the path-complete bipartite Ramsey numbers, *Discrete Mathematics*, **75** (1989), 243–245.
- [5] T.D. Parsons, The Ramsey numbers $r(P_m, K_n)$, *Discrete Mathematics*, **6** (1973), 159–162.
- [6] T.D. Parsons, Path-star Ramsey numbers, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, **17** (1974), 51–58.
- [7] A.N.M. Salman and H.J. Broersma, Path-fan Ramsey numbers, *Submitted to Discrete Applied Mathematics*, (2003).
- [8] A.N.M. Salman and H.J. Broersma, On Ramsey numbers for paths versus wheels, *Accepted for Discrete Mathematics*, (2004).