

# The solution of Hutník's open problem

Michał Boczek <sup>1</sup>, Marek Kaluszk

*Institute of Mathematics, Lodz University of Technology, 90-924 Lodz, Poland*

## Abstract

In this note, we give a solution to Problem 9.2, which was presented by Mesiar and Stupňanová [*Open problems from the 12th International Conference on Fuzzy Set Theory and Its Applications*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems (2014), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fss.2014.07.012>]. We show that the class of semicopulas solving Problem 9.2 contains only the Łukasiewicz t-norm.

*Keywords:* Integral; Capacity; Semicopula; Łukasiewicz t-norm.

## 1 The main result

Let  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  be a measurable space, where  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of non-empty set  $X$ , and let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the family of all measurable spaces. The class of all  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable functions  $f: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{F}_{(X, \mathcal{A})}$ . A *capacity* on  $\mathcal{A}$  is a non-decreasing set function  $\mu: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$  and  $\mu(X) = 1$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{M}_{(X, \mathcal{A})}$  the class of all capacities on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $S: [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a semicopula (also called a *t-seminorm*), i.e., a non-decreasing function in both coordinates with the neutral element equal to 1, and satisfying the inequality  $S(x, y) \leq x \wedge y$  for all  $x, y \in [0, 1]$ , where  $x \wedge y = \min(x, y)$  (see [1], [2] and [4]). By the above assumptions it follows that  $S(x, 0) = 0 = S(0, x)$  for all  $x$ . There are three important examples of semicopulas:  $M$ ,  $\Pi$  and  $S_L$ , where  $M(a, b) = a \wedge b$ ,  $\Pi(a, b) = ab$  and  $S_L(a, b) = (a + b - 1) \vee 0$ ;  $S_L$  is called the *Łukasiewicz t-norm* [5]. Hereafter,  $a \vee b = \max(a, b)$ .

A class of the smallest semicopula-based integrals is given by

$$\mathbf{I}_S(\mu, f) = \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} S\left(t, \mu(\{f \geq t\})\right),$$

where  $\{f \geq t\} = \{x \in X: f(x) \geq t\}$ ,  $(X, \mathcal{A}) \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $(\mu, f) \in \mathcal{M}_{(X, \mathcal{A})} \times \mathcal{F}_{(X, \mathcal{A})}$ . Replacing semicopula  $S$  with  $M$ , we get the Sugeno integral [8]. Moreover, if  $S = \Pi$ , then  $S$  is called the Shilkret integral [7].

Below we present Problem 9.2 from [6], which was posed by Hutník during the conference FSTA 2014 *The Twelfth International Conference on Fuzzy Set Theory and Applications* held from January 26 to January 31, 2014 in Liptovský Ján, Slovakia.

---

<sup>1</sup>Corresponding author. E-mail address: 800401@edu.p.lodz.pl;

**Problem 1.** (*O. Hutník*) Characterize a class of semicopulas  $S$ , for which the equality

$$\mathbf{I}_S(\mu, f + a) = \mathbf{I}_S(\mu, f) + a \quad (1)$$

holds for each  $(X, \mathcal{A}) \in \mathcal{S}$ , each  $(\mu, f) \in \mathcal{M}_{(X, \mathcal{A})} \times \mathcal{F}_{(X, \mathcal{A})}$  and  $a \in [0, 1]$  such that  $f + a \in [0, 1]$ .

Halčinová, Hutník and Molnárová [3] showed that the integral equality (1) holds if  $S$  is the Łukasiewicz t-norm. We show that the class of semicopulas solving Problem 1 contains only the Łukasiewicz t-norm.

Firstly, we can observe that  $\mu(\{f + a \geq t\}) = 1$  for  $t \in [0, a]$ , so the left-hand side of (1) has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{I}_S(\mu, f + a) &= \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} S\left(t, \mu(\{f + a \geq t\})\right) \\ &= \max \left[ \sup_{t \in [0, a]} S(t, 1), \sup_{t \in (a, 1]} S\left(t, \mu(\{f + a \geq t\})\right) \right] \\ &= a \vee \sup_{t \in (0, 1-a]} S\left(t + a, \mu(\{f \geq t\})\right), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

since  $S(a, 1) = a$  for all  $a$ . Let

$$\mu(\{f \geq t\}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t = 0, \\ b & \text{if } t \in (0, 1 - a], \\ 0 & \text{if } t \in (1 - a, 1], \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where  $b \in [0, 1]$ . By (2) and (3), equality (1) can be rewritten as follows

$$a \vee \sup_{t \in (0, 1-a]} S(t + a, b) = \sup_{t \in (0, 1-a]} S(t, b) + a.$$

As  $S$  is a non-decreasing function, we have

$$a \vee S(1, b) = S(1 - a, b) + a$$

for all  $a, b \in [0, 1]$ . Now, let  $c = 1 - a \in [0, 1]$ . Thus  $(1 - c) \vee b = S(c, b) + (1 - c)$ . If  $1 - c \geq b$ , then  $S(c, b) = 0$ . If  $1 - c < b$ , then  $S(c, b) = c + b - 1$ . Summing up,  $S(c, b) = S_L(c, b)$  for all  $c, b \in [0, 1]$ .

### Acknowledgments

This paper has been partially supported by the grant for young researchers from Lodz University of Technology, grant number 501\17-2-2-7154\2014.

## References

- [1] B. Bassan, F. Spizzichino, Relations among univariate aging, bivariate aging and dependence for exchangeable lifetimes. *J. Multivariate Anal.* 93 (2005), 313–339.
- [2] F. Durante, C. Sempi, Semicopulæ. *Kybernetika* 41 (2005), 315–328.
- [3] J. Borzowá-Molnárová, L. Halčinová, O. Hutník, The smallest semicopula-based universal integrals I: properties and characterizations, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* (2014) <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fss.2014.09.023>2014.
- [4] E.P. Klement, R. Mesiar, E. Pap, *Triangular norms*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2000.
- [5] J. Łukasiewicz, O logice trójwartościowej (in Polish). *Ruch filozoficzny* (1920) 170–171. English translation: On three-valued logic, in L. Borkowski (ed.), *Selected works by Jan Łukasiewicz*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, (1970), 87–88.
- [6] R. Mesiar, A. Stupňanová, Open problems from the 12th International Conference on Fuzzy Set Theory and Its Applications, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* (2014), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fss.2014.07.012>
- [7] N. Shilkret, Maxitive measure and integration, *Indagationes Mathematicae* 33 (1971) 109–116.
- [8] M. Sugeno, *Theory of Fuzzy Integrals and its Applications*, Ph.D. Dissertation, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 1974.