The isomorphism problem of trees from the viewpoint of Terwilliger algebras *

Shuang-Dong Li^{1,2}, Yi-Zheng Fan¹, Tatsuro Ito ¹[†], Masoud Karimi³, Jing Xu ¹

¹ School of Mathematical Sciences, Anhui University, Hefei 230601, PR China

² Jianghuai College, Anhui University, Hefei 230031, PR China

³ Department of Mathematics, Bojnourd Branch, Islamic Azad University, Bojnourd, Iran [‡]

Abstract

Let $\Gamma^{(x_0)}$ be a finite rooted tree, for which Γ is the underlying tree and x_0 the root. Let T be the Terwilliger algebra of Γ with respect to x_0 . We study the structure of the principal T-module. As a result, it is shown that T recognizes the isomorphism class of $\Gamma^{(x_0)}$.

Keywords: Tree, Terwilliger algebra, Principal module, Isomorphism problem **2010 Mathematics subject classification:** 05E10, 05E30, 20C08

1 Introduction

The Terwilliger algebras, which are oringinally called subconstituent algebras, are introduced in [2] for association schemes, and their representations are deeply studied for (P and Q)-polynomial association schemes in [3, 4]. In [5], the Terwilliger algebras

^{*}Supported by Natural Science Research Foundation of Anhui Provincial Department of Educationg(KJ2016A044), National Natural Science Foundation of China(11871071, 11771016, 11871073), Open Project of Anhui University (KF2019B03), Doctoral Start-up Fund of Anhui Jianzhu University(2018QD22).

[†]Tatasuro Ito: Corresponding author.

[‡]E-mail address: lisd@ahu.edu.cn (S.D.,Li); fanyz@ahu.edu.cn (Y.Z.,Fan); tito@staff.kanazawau.ac.jp (T.Ito); karimimth@yahoo.com (M.Karimi); xujing@ahu.edu.cn (J.Xu).

are defined for graphs, and their representations are deeply studied for distanceregular graphs. This paper treats the Terwilliger algebras of trees and determines the structure of their principal modules. As a result, it is shown that the isomorphism classes of rooted trees are recognized by their Terwilliger algebras.

Since there is no literature on Terwilliger algebras of graphs in general except for [5] which is mainly aimed at distance-regular graphs with the Q-polynomial property, we begin with sorting out basic concepts about Terwilliger algebras, before going into details of our motivations (Conjecture 2, Problem 3) and main results (Theorem 4, Theorem 5). Notations and terminologies throughout the paper will be fixed in the course of doing so in this section.

1.1 Terwilliger algebras in general as algebras

Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space over the complex number field \mathbb{C} , equipped with a non-degenerate Hermitian form. We are given a decomposition of V into the direct sum of mutually orthogonal subspaces V_i^* , $0 \le i \le D$, i.e., $V = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{D} V_i^*$, $V_i^* \perp V_j^*$ $(i \ne j)$. Let E_i^* be the orthogonal projection from V onto V_i^* : $I = E_0^* + E_1^* + \cdots + E_D^*$, $E_i^* E_j^* = \delta_{ij} E_i^*$, where I is the identity map and $\delta_{ii} = 1$, $\delta_{ij} = 0$ $(i \ne j)$. We are also given a normal transformation A of V, i.e., V has an orthonormal basis consisting of eigenvectors of A. Let T be the subalgebra of the endomorphism algebra End(V)generated by A, E_i^* , $0 \le i \le D$:

$$T = \langle A, E_i^* \mid 0 \le i \le D \rangle \subseteq \operatorname{End}(V).$$
(1)

The algebra T is called a *Terwilliger algebra*, or simply a T-algebra.

Here we remark that we use the notation of E_i^* , following [2, 5], in which E_i stands for the orthogonal projection onto the eigenspace V_i of A and E_i^* does not mean the adjoint of E_i . In this paper, V_i , E_i do not appear anywhere.

Note that T is a semi-simple algebra, since T is generated by normal transformations of V. V is called the *standard module*. Note also that T acts on V faithfully. So the standard module V is a sum of irreducible T-submodules and every irreducible T-module appears in V up to isomorphism.

Let W be a T-submodule of V. Then the restriction of T to W can be thought of a Terwilliger algebra for which W is the standard module:

$$T|_{W} = \langle A|_{W}, E_{i}^{*}|_{W} \mid 0 \le i \le D \rangle \subseteq \operatorname{End}(W),$$

$$(2)$$

where some E_i^* may vanish on W, i.e. $E_i^*|_W = 0$ for some *i*'s.

Let T' be another Terwilliger algebra generated by a normal transformation A', and orthogonal projections $E_i^{*'}$, $0 \leq i \leq D'$, for which V' is the standard module. The Terwilliger algebra T given in (1) is said to be isomorphic to T' if D = D' and the correspondence of A to A', E_i^* to $E_i^{*'}$, $0 \leq i \leq D$ gives an algebra isomorphism between T and T'. In this case, we denote $T \simeq T'$ and such an algebra isomorphism is called a T-algebra isomorphism. In the definition of a T-algebra isomorphism, the orderings of the orthogonal projections $E_i^*, E_i^{*'}, 0 \leq i \leq D$ matter, but usually as we shall see later for the Terwilliger algebra of a graph, there exists a natural ordering of them, which is implicitly assumed unless particularly stated.

The standard modules V, V' for the Terwilliger algebras T, T' are said to be isomorphic if $T \simeq T'$ and there exists an isometry $\varphi : V \to V'$ between the Hermitian spaces V, V' such that $\varphi(av) = a'\varphi(v)$ for all $a \in T, v \in V$, where a' = f(a) with fthe T-algebra isomorphism from T to T', i.e., the diagram

commutes for all $a \in T$. In this case, we denote $V \simeq V'$.

1.2 Terwilliger algebras for finite connected simple graphs

In this subsection, we define the Terwilliger algebra for a finite connected simple graph and pose some questions about it that have motivated our present study of the Terwilliger algebra of a tree.

Let Γ be a finite connected simple graph, i.e., the graph Γ is finite, undirected, connected and has no loops, no multiple edges. Let X be the vertex set of Γ and x_0 a fixed vertex from X. We call x_0 the base vertex. The vertex set X is partitioned according to the distance from the base vertex x_0 : $X = \bigcup_{i=0}^{D} X_i$,

$$X_i = \{ x \in X \mid \partial(x_0, x) = i \}.$$

$$\tag{4}$$

where $\partial(x_0, x)$ is the length of a shortest path joining x_0 and x, and $D = \max\{\partial(x_0, x) \mid x \in X\}$.

Set $V = \mathbb{C}X$, i.e., V is the vector space over \mathbb{C} formally spanned by X as a basis. Regarding X as an orthonormal basis, V is equipped with a non-degenerate

Hermitian form. The partition $X = \bigcup_{i=0}^{D} X_i$ gives rise to an orthogonal decomposition of $V: V = \bigcup_{i=0}^{D} V_i^*$, where $V_i^* = \mathbb{C}X_i$ is the subspace of V spanned by X_i .

Let A be the adjacency matrix of Γ , i.e., the (x, y)-entry of A is 1 if (x, y) is an edge of Γ , 0 otherwise for $x, y \in X$. Then A is a normal matrix, and it is regarded as a normal transformation of V. Let E_i^* be the orthogonal projection from V onto V_i^* . The subalgebra of End(V) generated by A and E_i^* , $0 \leq i \leq D$ is denoted by $T(x_0)$ and called the *Terwilliger algebra of* Γ with respect to the base vertex x_0 . Note that the orthogonal projections have a natural ordering $E_0^*, E_1^*, \dots, E_D^*$ according to the distance of X_i from the base vertex x_0 . In what follows, we set $T = T(x_0)$.

The following proposition is from [5].

Proposition 1 Set $W_0 = Tx_0$, the smallest T-submodule of V containing the base vertex x_0 . Then W_0 is irreducible as a T-module.

This *T*-submodule $W_0 = Tx_0$ is called the *principal T-module*, or the *primary T-module*. The lecture notes [5] are not officially published. We quote a proof of the proposition from [5]: Since *T* is a semi-simple algebra, W_0 is a sum of irreducible *T*-submodules. Apply E_0^* to each irreducible *T*-submodule that appears in W_0 . Then since $E_0^*W_0 = V_0^* = \mathbb{C}x_0$, there exists an irreducible *T*-submodule *W* of W_0 such that $E_0^*W \neq 0$. Then *W* contains x_0 and so $W \supseteq Tx_0$. This implies $W = W_0$.

The following conjecture is due to Jack Koolen and one of the motivations for our study of Terwilliger algebras of trees.

Conjecture 2 For almost all finite connected simple graphs Γ , the Terwilliger algebra $T = T(x_0)$ of Γ coincides with the endomorphism algebra End(V) of the standard module V, regardless of the base vertex $x_0 : T = \text{End}(V)$.

In this paper, we precicely determine when T = End(V) holds for a tree: T = End(V) if and only if the tree does not have a symmetry with respect to the base vertex (see Theorem 4).

Another motivation of our study is the theme of the spectral graph theory: we shift from the adjacency algebra $\langle A \rangle$ of a graph Γ to the Terwilliger algebra $T = \langle A, E_i^* \mid 0 \leq i \leq D \rangle$, and ask how much the standard *T*-module *V* determines the graph structure of Γ . Particularly we ask:

Problem 3 Let Γ , Γ' be finite connected simple graphs and T, T' their Terwilliger algebras with V, V' the standard modules, respectively. When does $V \simeq V'$ as T-modules imply $\Gamma \simeq \Gamma'$ as graphs?

If $V \simeq V'$ implies $\Gamma \simeq \Gamma'$, we say that the *T*-module *V* recognizes the graph Γ . When *V*, *V'* are the standard *T*-modules, we simply say *T* recognizes Γ . In this paper, we show that the Terwilliger algebra recognizes the tree (see Theorem 5). Note that the adjacency algebra does not recognize the tree [1].

1.3 Terwilliger algebras of trees

In this subsection, we summarize our main results about the Terwilliger algebra of a tree. In this paper, a tree means a finite tree.

Let Γ be a tree and X the vertex set of Γ . Fix a base vertex $x_0 \in X$ and form the Terwilliger algebra $T = T(x_0)$ with respect to x_0 , in the way we explained in Section 1.2.

Let $V = \mathbb{C}X$ be the standard module. By $\Gamma^{(x_0)}$ we denote the rooted tree Γ with x_0 the root. Let H be the automorphism group of $\Gamma^{(x_0)}$: $H = \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma^{(x_0)})$. So H is the stabilizer of x_0 in the automorphism group of Γ : $G = \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$, $H = G_{x_0}$. Note that the generators of T commute with the action of H on V. So we have

$$T \subseteq \operatorname{Hom}_{H}(V, V), \tag{5}$$

where $\operatorname{Hom}_{H}(V, V)$ is the centralizer algebra of H, i.e., the subalgebra of $\operatorname{End}(V)$ consisting of all the linear transformations of V that commute with the action of H on V.

Suppose T = End(V) holds in Conjecture 1. Then we have $\text{Hom}_H(V, V) = \text{End}(V)$ by (5) and so H = 1, since $H \neq 1$ implies $\text{Hom}_H(V, V) \subsetneq \text{End}(V)$. We prove the converse holds in the case of trees, i.e., T = End(V) if H = 1. Actually we show a stronger result as we see in the following theorem. For a subset Y of X, we denote by <u>Y</u> the sum of elements of Y: $\underline{Y} = \sum_{y \in Y} y \in V$. Let $W_0 = Tx_0$ be the principal T-module. Then we have:

Theorem 4 W_0 is linearly spanned by \underline{Y} , $Y \in Orb(H, X)$, where Orb(H, X) is the set of *H*-orbits on *X*:

$$W_0 = Span\{\underline{Y} \mid Y \in Orb(H, X)\}.$$

Suppose H = 1, then $W_0 = V$ by this theorem. So by Proposition 1, the standard module V is irreducible as a T-module. If $T \subsetneq \operatorname{End}(V)$, V can not be an irreducible T-module by Burnside's Theorem. Therefore H = 1 implies $T = \operatorname{End}(V)$.

As for Problem 3, we also show a stronger result, i.e., we show that the principal T-module W_0 recognizes the tree. Note that if the principal T-module W_0 recognizes the tree, so does the standard T-module V.

Suppose we are given a finite connected simple graph Γ' besides the tree Γ . Let T, T' be the Terwilliger algebras of Γ, Γ' and W_0, W_0' the principal T-modules of Γ , Γ' , respectively. Recall that $T|_{W_0}, T'|_{W_0'}$ become Terwilliger algebras with standard modules W_0, W_0' , respectively, and that there is a natural ordering for the generators of $T|_{W_0}, T'|_{W_0'}$, respectively.

Theorem 5 If $W_0 \simeq W_0'$ as T-modules, then Γ' is a tree. Moreover we have $\Gamma^{(x_0)} \simeq \Gamma'^{(x_0')}$ as rooted trees, where x_0, x_0' are the base vertices of Γ , Γ' , respectively.

Theorem 4 and Theorem 5 will be proved in Section 3 and Section 4.

2 The key lemma

We keep the notations in Section 1.3.

Let Γ be a finite tree and X the vertex set of Γ . Fix a base vertex $x_0 \in X$. Then we have the partition $X = \bigcup_{i=0}^{D} X_i$ given by (4). For $x \in X_i$, set

$$\Gamma^{(x)} = \{ y \in X \mid \partial(x_0, y) = \partial(x_0, x) + \partial(x, y) \},$$
(6)

where ∂ stands for the distance function of Γ as in (4). Allowing abuse of the notation, we regard $\Gamma^{(x)}$ as the rooted tree with x the root that is induced on the subset $\Gamma^{(x)}$ of X.

We introduce an equivalence relation ~ on X_i by defining $x \sim x'$ for $x, x' \in X_i$ if and only if $\Gamma^{(x)}$ and $\Gamma^{(x')}$ are isomorphic as rooted trees. Let $X_i(\alpha), \alpha \in \Lambda_i$ be the equivalence classes on X_i :

$$X_i = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_i} X_i(\alpha).$$
(7)

Accordingly we have a decomposition of the subspace $V_i^* = \mathbb{C}X_i$ into the direct sum of mutually orthogonal subspaces $V_i^*(\alpha) = \mathbb{C}X_i(\alpha), \ \alpha \in \Lambda_i$:

$$V_i^* = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda_i} V_i^*(\alpha).$$
(8)

Let $E_i^*(\alpha)$ be the orthogonal projection from $V = \mathbb{C}X$ onto $V_i^*(\alpha)$. Then we have

$$E_i^* = \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda_i} E_i^*(\alpha) \tag{9}$$

with $E_i^*(\alpha)E_i^*(\beta) = \delta_{\alpha\beta}E_i^*(\alpha)$, where $\delta_{\alpha\beta} = 1$ if $\alpha = \beta$, 0 otherwise. Note that we have $I = \sum_{i=0}^{D} \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda_i} E_i^*(\alpha)$ with $E_i^*(\alpha)E_j^*(\beta) = \delta_{ij}\delta_{\alpha\beta}E_i^*(\alpha)$, where I is the identity map.

The following lemma is the key to our proofs of Theorem 4 and Theorem 5. Recall that A is the adjacency matrix of the tree Γ and the Terwilliger algebra $T = T(x_0)$ of Γ is the subalgebra of End(V) generated by A, E_i^* , $0 \le i \le D$.

Lemma 6 For $0 \le i \le D-1$, the subalgebra of End(V) generated by $E_i^*(\alpha)$, $\alpha \in \Lambda_i$ coincides with that generated by $E_i^*AE_{i+1}^*(\beta)AE_i^*$, $\beta \in \Lambda_{i+1}$:

$$\langle E_i^*(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in \Lambda_i \rangle = \langle E_i^* A E_{i+1}^*(\beta) A E_i^* \mid \beta \in \Lambda_{i+1} \rangle.$$
(10)

In particular, the Terwilliger algebra T contains every $E_i^*(\alpha)$:

$$E_i^*(\alpha) \in T, \ 0 \le i \le D, \ \alpha \in \Lambda_i.$$
(11)

Proof. For $x \in X_i$ and $\beta \in \Lambda_{i+1}$, let $n_\beta(x)$ denote the number of vertices in $X_{i+1}(\beta)$ that are adjacent to x:

$$n_{\beta}(x) = \sharp \{ y \in X_{i+1}(\beta) \mid x \text{ and } y \text{ are adjacent in } \Gamma \}.$$
(12)

Observe that each x in X_i is an eigenvector of $E_i^* A E_{i+1}^*(\beta) A E_i^*$ and it belongs to the eigenvalue $n_\beta(x)$:

$$E_i^* A E_{i+1}^*(\beta) A E_i^* x = n_\beta(x) x.$$
(13)

Therefore $V_i^* = \mathbb{C}X_i$ is decomposed into the direct sum of maximal common eigenspaces of $E_i^* A E_{i+1}^*(\beta) A E_i^*$, $\beta \in \Lambda_{i+1}$, each of which has a basis consisting of some elements from X_i .

For $x, x' \in X_i$, it holds that $\Gamma^{(x)}$ and $\Gamma^{(x')}$ are isomorphic as rooted trees if and only if $n_{\beta}(x) = n_{\beta}(x')$ for all $\beta \in \Lambda_{i+1}$. Therefore $x, x' \in X_i$ belong to the same $X_i(\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in \Lambda_i$ if and only if they belong to the same maximal common eigenspaces of $E_i^* A E_{i+1}^*(\beta) A E_i^*, \beta \in \Lambda_{i+1}$, i.e., $V_i^*(\alpha) = \mathbb{C} X_i(\alpha), \alpha \in \Lambda_i$ are the maximal common eigenspaces of $E_i^* A E_{i+1}^*(\beta) A E_i^*, \beta \in \Lambda_{i+1}$. Since $E_i^*(\alpha)$ is the projection from V onto $V_i^*(\alpha)$, we have (10).

Observe $|\Lambda_D| = 1$ and so $E_D^*(\alpha) = E_D^*$, i.e., (11) holds for i = D. By induction on i, we may assume the right hand side of (10) is contained in T. Then the left hand side is contained in T, i.e., (11) holds for all i.

3 The structure of the principal *T*-module of a tree: Proof of Theorem 4

In this section, we prove Theorem 4, which gives the structure of the principal T-module of a tree.

Recall that H is the automorphism group of the rooted tree $\Gamma^{(x_0)}$. Let $\operatorname{Irr}(H, X)$ denote the set of irreducible characters of H that appear in the permutation representation of H acting on the vertex set X of $\Gamma^{(x_0)}$. So the standard module $V = \mathbb{C}X$ affords the permutation character of H. For $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(H, X)$, let V_{χ} denote the sum of irreducible H-submodules of V that afford χ . Then we have the homogeneous component decomposition of the H-module V, i.e., V is the direct sum of $V_{\chi}, \chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(H, X)$:

$$V = \bigoplus_{\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(H,X)} V_{\chi}.$$
 (14)

Let $\chi_0 = 1_H$, the trivial character of H. Then we have

$$V_{\chi_0} = \operatorname{Span}\{\underline{Y} \mid Y \in \operatorname{Orb}(H, X)\},\tag{15}$$

where $\operatorname{Orb}(H, X)$ is the set of *H*-orbits on *X* and $\underline{Y} = \sum_{y \in Y} y \in V$ for $Y \in \operatorname{Orb}(H, X)$.

Recall that $\operatorname{Hom}_H(V, V)$ is the centralizer algebra of the *H*-module *V*. Set $S = \operatorname{Hom}_H(V, V)$. For the centralizer algebra *S* of a finite group *H*, it is well-known in general that *S* is a semi-simple algebra and that the homogeneous component decomposition of the *S*-module *V* is also given by (14), i.e., any irreducible *S*-submodule of *V* is contained in some V_{χ} , and irreducible *S*-submodules of *V* are isomorphic if and only if they belong to the same V_{χ} . It is also well-known that V_{χ_0} is irreducible as an *S*-module.

The root x_0 of the tree Γ is fixed by H and so it is contained in V_{χ_0} by (15). Since V_{χ_0} is irreducible as an S-module, we have $V_{\chi_0} = Sx_0$. On the other hand, we have $T \subseteq S$ by (5). This implies that the principal T-module $W_0 = Tx_0$ is contained in $V_{\chi_0} = Sx_0$: $W_0 \subseteq V_{\chi_0}$. We want to show $W_0 \supseteq V_{\chi_0}$. By (15), it is enough to show $W_0 \ni \underline{Y}$ for all $Y \in \operatorname{Orb}(H, X)$. We may assume $Y \subseteq X_i(\alpha)$ for some i, α : observe that if x, x' belong to the same H-orbit, then $\partial(x_0, x) = \partial(x_0, x')$, and $\Gamma^{(x)}, \Gamma^{(x')}$ are isomorphic as rooted trees.

Since Γ is a tree, we have the adjacency mapping from the set X_i given in (4) to the set X_{i-1} :

$$\psi: X_i \to X_{i-1}, \ x \mapsto \psi(x),$$

where $\psi(x)$ is the unique element of X_{i-1} that is adjacent to $x \in X_i$. It is obvious that if $x, x' \in X_i$ belong to the same *H*-orbit, then so do $\psi(x), \psi(x') \in X_{i-1}$. Conversely suppose $\psi(x), \psi(x') \in X_{i-1}$ belong to the same *H*-orbit. Then $x, x' \in X_i$ belong to the same *H*-orbit if and only if there exists $\alpha \in \Lambda_i$ such that $x, x' \in X_i(\alpha)$, i.e., $\Gamma^{(x)}$ and $\Gamma^{(x')}$ are isomorphic as rooted trees.

Let Y be a H-orbit in $X_i(\alpha)$. Set $Z = \psi(Y)$, where $\psi(Y) = \{\psi(y) \mid y \in Y\}$. Then by the argument in the previous paragraph, Z is a H-orbit in X_{i-1} , and $E_i^*(\alpha)\psi^{-1}(Z)$ is a H-orbit in $X_i(\alpha)$, where $\psi^{-1}(Z) = \{x \in X_i \mid \psi(x) \in Z\}$ and $E_i^*(\alpha)\psi^{-1}(Z) = \{E_i^*(\alpha)x \mid x \in \psi^{-1}(Z)\} = X_i(\alpha) \bigcap \psi^{-1}(Z)$. We have $Y = E_i^*(\alpha)\psi^{-1}(Z)$, since Y is contained in $E_i^*(\alpha)\psi^{-1}(Z)$ and both Y and $E_i^*(\alpha)\psi^{-1}(Z)$ are a H-orbit. Note that for $z \in Z$, E_i^*Az is the sum of elements in the set $\psi^{-1}(z) = \{x \in X_i \mid \psi(x) = z\}$: $E_i^*Az = \underline{\psi^{-1}(z)} = \sum_{x \in \psi^{-1}(z)} x$. Thus we have

$$\underline{Y} = E_i^*(\alpha) A \underline{Z} \tag{16}$$

for a *H*-orbit *Y* in $X_i(\alpha)$, where $Z = \psi(Y)$. Note that $Z = \psi(Y)$ is a *H*-orbit in X_{i-1} . For i = 0, $\underline{Y} = x_0$ is contained in $W_0 = Tx_0$. By induction on i, \underline{Y} is contained in W_0 for all i by (16), since $E_i^*(\alpha) \in T$ by Lemma 6. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.

4 The principal *T*-module recognizes the tree: Proof of Theorem 5

In this section, we prove Theorem 5, which states that the principal T-module recognizes the tree.

We firstly prove that Γ' is a tree if the principal T'-module W_0' of Γ' is isomorphic to the principal T-module W_0 of the tree Γ . Since Γ is a tree, we have

$$E_0^* A^i E_i^* A^i E_0^* x_0 = \left\| E_i^* A^i E_0^* x_0 \right\|^2 x_0, \tag{17}$$

$$E_0^* A^i E_i^* A E_i^* A^i E_0^* x_0 = 0 (18)$$

for $0 \leq i \leq D$, where ||w|| is the norm of $w \in W_0$. Since $W_0 \simeq W_0'$, we have D = D'and (17), (18) hold for x_0' , $E_0^{*'}$, A', $E_i^{*'}$ in place of x_0 , E_0^* , A, E_i^* . This means that Γ' does not have any cycles, i.e., Γ' is a tree.

Next we prove that $\Gamma^{(x_0)}$ and $\Gamma^{\prime(x_0')}$ are isomorphic as rooted trees if $W_0 \simeq W_0'$ as *T*-modules. Note that Lemma 6 holds for the principal *T*-module W_0 (and for the

principal T'-module W_0'), i.e., (10) and (11) hold for $E_i^*(\alpha)|_{W_0}$, $E_{i+1}^*(\beta)|_{W_0}$, $E_i^*|_{W_0}$, $A|_{W_0}$ in place of $E_i^*(\alpha)$, $E_{i+1}^*(\beta)$, E_i^* , A. Note that $E_i^*(\alpha)|_{W_0} \neq 0$, $0 \leq i \leq D$, $\alpha \in \Lambda_i$, since $X_i(\alpha)$ is invariant under the action of H and so $\underline{Y} \in W_0$ for $Y \in \operatorname{Orb}(H, X_i(\alpha))$ by Theorem 4. Since $T|_{W_0} \simeq T'|_{W_0'}$ as T-algebras, it follows from Lemma 6 by induction on D - i that we can identify Λ_i and Λ_i' , $0 \leq i \leq D = D'$ and $E_i^*(\alpha)|_{W_0}$ corresponds to $E_i^{*'}(\alpha)|_{W_0'}$, $0 \leq i \leq D$, $\alpha \in \Lambda_i$ under the T-algebra isomorphism between $T|_{W_0}$ and $T'|_{W_0'}$.

For $x \in X_1(\alpha)$, $\alpha \in \Lambda_1$, we consider the Terwilliger algebra of the rooted tree $\Gamma^{(x)}$. Set $\hat{V} = \bigoplus_{y \in X_1} \mathbb{C}\Gamma^{(y)} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^D V_i^*$ and let \hat{T} denote the subalgebra of $\operatorname{End}(\hat{V})$ generated by $E_i^*, 1 \leq i \leq D$ and \hat{A} , where

$$\hat{A} = (\sum_{j=1}^{D} E_{j}^{*}) A(\sum_{i=1}^{D} E_{i}^{*}).$$
(19)

Then \hat{T} is a Terwilliger algebra with \hat{V} the standard module, in the sense of Section 1.1. The Terwilliger algebra of $\Gamma^{(x)}$ for $x \in X_1(\alpha)$ is $\hat{T}|_{\mathbb{C}\Gamma^{(x)}}$, the restriction of \hat{T} to the standard module $\mathbb{C}\Gamma^{(x)}$ of $\Gamma^{(x)}$, and $\hat{T}x$ is the principal module of $\Gamma^{(x)}$. For x, $x' \in X_1(\alpha)$, we have $\hat{T}x \simeq \hat{T}x'$ as \hat{T} -modules since $\Gamma^{(x)}$ and $\Gamma^{(x')}$ are isomorphic as rooted trees. Set $\underline{X_1(\alpha)} = \sum_{x' \in X_1(\alpha)} x'$. Then for any $x \in X_1(\alpha)$, we have

$$\hat{T}\underline{X}_1(\alpha) \simeq \hat{T}x \tag{20}$$

as \hat{T} -modules with the natural correspondence of $aX_1(\alpha)$ to ax for $a \in \hat{T}$. Note that $X_1(\alpha) = E_1^*(\alpha)Ax_0$ and so $\hat{T}X_1(\alpha)$ is contained in $W_0 = Tx_0$ by Lemma 6. Therefore by (20), the principal module $\hat{T}x$ of $\Gamma^{(x)}$ for $x \in X_1(\alpha)$ is determined up to isomorphism by the principal module $W_0 = Tx_0$ of $\Gamma^{(x_0)}$, as \hat{T} is a sualgebra of T.

Recall it follows from $T|_{W_0} \simeq T'|_{W_0'}$ that Λ_i and Λ_i' are identified and $E_i^*(\alpha)|_{W_0}$ corresponds to $E_i^{*'}(\alpha)|_{W_0'}$ ($\alpha \in \Lambda_i$) under the *T*-algebra isomorphism between $T|_{W_0}$ and $T'|_{W_0'}$. Hence by (20), the principal module of $\Gamma^{(x)}$ is isomorphic to that of $\Gamma'^{(x')}$ for $x \in X_1(\alpha)$, $x' \in X_1'(\alpha)$, $\alpha \in \Lambda_1$, i.e., $\hat{T}x \simeq \hat{T}'x'$, because $\hat{T}X_1(\alpha) \simeq \hat{T}'X_1'(\alpha)$. By induction on D, $\Gamma^{(x)}$ is isomorphic to $\Gamma'^{(x')}$ as rooted trees for $x \in X_1(\alpha)$, $x' \in X_1'(\alpha)$, $\alpha \in \Lambda_1$, i.e., $\Gamma^{(x)} \simeq \Gamma'^{(x')}$, since the D of $\Gamma^{(x)}$ is smaller than that of $\Gamma^{(x_0)}$.

The isomorphism class of the rooted tree $\Gamma^{(x_0)}$ is determined by $\{\Gamma^{(x)} \mid x \in X_1\}$ regarded as a multi-set of isomorphism classes of rooted trees. Let $\{\Gamma^{(\alpha)} \mid \alpha \in \Lambda_1\}$ be a complete set of representatives for the isomorphism classes of the rooted trees $\Gamma^{(x)}, x \in X_1$. Then $\{\Gamma^{(x)} \mid x \in X_1\} = \{|X_1(\alpha)|\Gamma^{(\alpha)} \mid \alpha \in \Lambda_1\}$ as multi-sets and the isomorphism class of the rooted tree $\Gamma^{(x_0)}$ is determined by $\{|X_1(\alpha)| \mid \alpha \in \Lambda_1\}$ and $\{\Gamma^{(\alpha)} \mid \alpha \in \Lambda_1\}$.

We have already shown that $W_0 \simeq W_0'$ implies $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_1'$ and $\Gamma^{(\alpha)} = {\Gamma'}^{(\alpha)}$, $\alpha \in \Lambda_1$. Since $\underline{X_1(\alpha)} = E_1^*(\alpha)Ax_0$ and $|X_1(\alpha)|$ coincides with the norm of $\underline{X_1(\alpha)}$, $W_0 \simeq W_0'$ implies $|X_1(\alpha)| = |X_1'(\alpha)|$, $\alpha \in \Lambda_1$. This completes the proof of Theorem 5.

References

- Allen J. Schwenk, Almost all trees are cospectral, new directions in the theory of graphs (Proc. Third Ann Arbor Conf., Univ. Michigan, Ann Arbor, Mich., 1971), pp. 275-307. Academic Press, New York, 1973.
- [2] P. Terwilliger, The subconstituent algebra of an association scheme I. J. Algebraic Combin., 1(1992): 363-388.
- [3] P. Terwilliger, The subconstituent algebra of an association scheme II. J. Algebraic Combin., 2(1993): 73-103.
- [4] P. Terwilliger, The subconstituent algebra of an association scheme III. J. Algebraic Combin., 2(1993): 177-210.
- [5] P. Terwilliger, Algebraic Graph Theory, unpublished lecture notes taken by H. Suzuki.