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#### Abstract

Novák conjectured in 1974 that for any cyclic Steiner triple systems of order $v$ with $v \equiv 1(\bmod 6)$, it is always possible to choose one block from each block orbit so that the chosen blocks are pairwise disjoint. We consider the generalization of this conjecture to cyclic $(v, k, \lambda)$-designs with $1 \leqslant \lambda \leqslant k-1$. Superimposing multiple copies of a cyclic symmetric design shows that the generalization cannot hold for all $v$, but we conjecture that it holds whenever $v$ is sufficiently large compared to $k$. We confirm that the generalization of the conjecture holds when $v$ is prime and $\lambda=1$ and also when $\lambda \leqslant(k-1) / 2$ and $v$ is sufficiently large compared to $k$. As a corollary, we show that for any $k \geqslant 3$, with the possible exception of finitely many composite orders $v$, every cyclic $(v, k, 1)$-design without short orbits is generated by a ( $v, k, 1$ )-disjoint difference family.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $V$ be a set of $v$ points, and $\mathcal{B}$ be a collection of $k$-subsets of $V$ called blocks. A pair $(V, \mathcal{B})$ is called a $(v, k, \lambda)$-design if every pair of distinct elements of $V$ is contained in precisely $\lambda$ blocks of $\mathcal{B}$. A $(v, 3,1)$-design is called a Steiner triple system of order $v$ and is written as an $\operatorname{STS}(v)$.

An automorphism of a $(v, k, \lambda)$-design $(V, \mathcal{B})$ is a permutation on $V$ leaving $\mathcal{B}$ invariant. A $(v, k, \lambda)$-design is said to be cyclic if it admits an automorphism consisting of a cycle of length $v$. Without loss of generality we identify $V$ with $\mathbb{Z}_{v}$, the additive group of integers modulo $v$. The blocks of a cyclic $(v, k, \lambda)$-design can be partitioned into orbits under $\mathbb{Z}_{v}$. We can choose any fixed block from each orbit and then call these base blocks. If the cardinality of an orbit is equal to $v$, the orbit is full. Otherwise, it is short. It follows from the orbit-stabilizer theorem that the cardinality of any orbit is a divisor of $v$ and is at least $v / k$. If $\operatorname{gcd}(v, k)=1$, then all orbits of a cyclic ( $v, k, \lambda$ )-design are full (see [15, Lemma 1]). It is known that a cyclic $\operatorname{STS}(v)$ exists if and only if $v \equiv 1,3(\bmod 6)$ and $v \neq 9($ see $[10$, Theorem 7.3]).

[^0]A useful tool for generating cyclic designs is the concept of a difference family. A $(v, k, \lambda)$ cyclic difference family is a family $\mathcal{F}$ of $k$-subsets (called base blocks) of $\mathbb{Z}_{v}$ such that the multiset $\Delta \mathcal{F}:=\{x-y: x, y \in F, x \neq y, F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ contains every element of $\mathbb{Z}_{v} \backslash\{0\}$ exactly $\lambda$ times. Such a family is denoted as a $(v, k, \lambda)$-CDF. It consists of $\lambda(v-1) /(k(k-1))$ base blocks. A $(v, k, \lambda)$-CDF $\mathcal{F}$ can generate a cyclic $(v, k, \lambda)$-design with block-multiset $\operatorname{dev} \mathcal{F}:=\{F+t: F \in$ $\left.\mathcal{F}, t \in \mathbb{Z}_{v}\right\}$ (see [18, Theorem 3.46]). Furthermore, when $\operatorname{gcd}(v, k)=1, \mathcal{F}$ is a $(v, k, \lambda)$-CDF if and only if $\operatorname{dev} \mathcal{F}$ is a cyclic $(v, k, \lambda)$-design (see [3, Proposition VII.1.5]).

A $(v, k, \lambda)$-CDF is said to be disjoint and written as a $(v, k, \lambda)$-DDF when its base blocks are mutually disjoint. Novák [16] conjectured in 1974 that for any $\operatorname{cyclic} \operatorname{STS}(v)$ with $v \equiv 1$ $(\bmod 6)$, it is always possible to find a set of $(v-1) / 6$ disjoint base blocks which come from different block orbits to form a $(v, 3,1)$-DDF (see also [1, Remark 16.22] or [10, Work point 22.5.2]).

Conjecture 1. (Novák, 1974) [16] Every cyclic STS(v) with $v \equiv 1(\bmod 6)$ is generated by a $(v, 3,1)-D D F$.

Conjecture 1 is widely believed to be true but not much progress has been made on it. So far it is only known that Conjecture 1 holds for all $v \equiv 1(\bmod 6)$ and $v \leqslant 61($ see $[10$, Theorem $22.3]$ ). On the other hand, Dinitz and Rodney [11] proved that a ( $v, 3,1$ )-DDF exists for any $v \equiv 1(\bmod 6)$ by taking a suitable $(v, 3,1)$-CDF and then replacing each of its base blocks $B_{i}$ by a suitable translate $B_{i}+t_{i}$. For more information on $(v, 3,1)$-DDFs with $v \equiv 3(\bmod 6)$, interested readers are referred to $[6,12]$.

Recently, using the Combinatorial Nullstellensatz, Karasev and Petrov [14] proved the following result.

Lemma 1. [14, Theorem 2] Let $\mathbb{F}$ be an arbitrary field, and let $m$ and $d$ be positive integers such that $(m d)!/(d!)^{m} \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{F}$. Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}$ and $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{m}$ be subsets of $\mathbb{F}$ such that

$$
\forall i<j \quad\left|X_{i}-X_{j}\right| \leqslant 2 d, \quad \forall i \quad\left|T_{i}\right| \geqslant(m-1) d+1
$$

where $X_{i}-X_{j}:=\left\{x-y: x \in X_{i}, y \in X_{j}\right\}$. Then there exists a system of representatives $t_{i} \in T_{i}$ such that the sets $X_{1}+t_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}+t_{m}$ are pairwise disjoint.

We now apply Lemma 1 to show that Conjecture 1 is true whenever $v$ is a prime.
Theorem 1. Let $k \geqslant 2$ and let $p$ be a prime. Every cyclic ( $p, k, 1$ )-design is generated by a $(p, k, 1)-D D F$.

Proof. We may assume $p>k$ because otherwise the result is trivial. Since $\operatorname{gcd}(p, k)=1$, a $\operatorname{cyclic}(p, k, 1)$-design has $m=(p-1) /(k(k-1))$ full orbits and no short orbits. Let $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{m}$ be base blocks of a cyclic $(p, k, 1)$-design and let $d=\left\lceil k^{2} / 2\right\rceil$. Then $\left|B_{i}-B_{j}\right| \leqslant 2 d$ for any $1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant m$. Let $T_{1}=\cdots=T_{m}=\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Then $\left|T_{i}\right|=p \geqslant(m-1) d+1$ for $k \geqslant 2$. Since $m d<p$ when $k \geqslant 2,(m d)!/(d!)^{m} \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$. Therefore, by Lemma 1 , there exists a system of representatives $t_{i} \in T_{i}$ such that $B_{1}+t_{1}, \ldots, B_{m}+t_{m}$ are pairwise disjoint. So $B_{1}+t_{1}, \ldots, B_{m}+t_{m}$ form a $(p, k, 1)$-DDF.

Theorem 1 motivates us to present the following conjecture on cyclic $(v, k, 1)$-designs, which also allows for designs with short orbits.

Conjecture 2. For any cyclic ( $v, k, 1$ )-design, it is always possible to choose one block from each block orbit so that the chosen blocks are pairwise disjoint.

The existence of $(v, k, 1)$-DDFs is in general quite a hard problem. Conjecture 2, if true, would reduce the existence of $(v, k, 1)$-DDFs to the existence of $(v, k, 1)$-CDFs. The following results on CDFs are known in the literature.

## Lemma 2.

(1) [9] For any prime $p \equiv 1(\bmod 12)$, there exists a $(p, 4,1)-C D F$.
(2) [9] For any prime $p \equiv 1(\bmod 20)$, there exists a $(p, 5,1)-C D F$.
(3) [8] For any prime $p \equiv 1(\bmod 30)$ and $p \neq 61$, there exists a $(p, 6,1)-C D F$.
(4) [7] Let $p \equiv 1(\bmod k(k-1))$ be a prime. Then a $(p, k, 1)-C D F$ exists if $p>\binom{k}{2}^{2 k}$.

As a corollary of Theorem 1 together with Lemma 2, we obtain the following existence results on DDFs.

Theorem 2. Let $p \equiv 1(\bmod k(k-1))$ be a prime.
(1) There exists a $(p, k, 1)$-DDF for each $k \in\{4,5,6\}$ and $(k, p) \neq(6,61)$.
(2) There exists a $(p, k, 1)$-DDF whenever $p>\binom{k}{2}^{2 k}$.

We remark that by using Weil's theorem on estimates of character sums, Wu, Yang and Huang [19] also established the existence of a $(p, 4,1)$-DDF for any prime $p \equiv 1(\bmod 12)$. We also observe that the main result of [13] shows that, for fixed $k$ and large $v$, one can find a family $\mathcal{F}$ of $(1-o(1)) \frac{v-1}{k(k-1)}$ pairwise disjoint base blocks of size $k$ such that $\Delta \mathcal{F}$ contains each difference at most once. This is accomplished by letting $H$ be the disjoint union of $(1-o(1)) \frac{v-1}{k(k-1)}$ copies of $K_{k}$ and applying [13, Theorem 1.2] to find a rainbow copy of $H$ in the complete graph on $\mathbb{Z}_{v}$ with edges coloured according to their differences.

In this paper, we shall provide a proof of Conjecture 2 when $v$ is sufficiently large compared to $k$. In fact, we consider a more general setting. We shall examine cyclic ( $v, k, \lambda$ )-designs with $k \geqslant 2 \lambda+1$. As the main result of this paper, we prove Theorem 3 below. In fact we prove a stronger statement which sometimes guarantees the existence of a family of mutually disjoint blocks containing many blocks from each orbit (see Theorem 4).

Theorem 3. Let $k$ and $\lambda$ be fixed positive integers such that $k \geqslant 2 \lambda+1$. There exists an integer $v_{0}$ such that, for any cyclic $(v, k, \lambda)$-design with $v \geqslant v_{0}$, it is always possible to choose one block from each block orbit so that the chosen blocks are pairwise disjoint.

Combining Theorems 1 and 3 yields the following corollary.
Corollary 1. Let $k \geqslant 3$ be a fixed integer. With the possible exception of finitely many composite orders $v$, every cyclic $(v, k, 1)$-design without short orbits is generated by a $(v, k, 1)-D D F$.

## 2 Preliminaries

For any positive integer $c$, let $[c]:=\{1, \ldots, c\}$. We will make use of the following simple lemma which shows that, for large $v$ and fixed $k$ and $\lambda$, a cyclic $(v, k, \lambda)$-design has few short orbits.

Lemma 3. Let $k \geqslant 2$ and $\lambda \geqslant 1$ be fixed integers. If $(V, \mathcal{B})$ is a cyclic $(v, k, \lambda)$-design with $h$ short orbits and $m$ full orbits, then
(i) $h \leqslant 2 \lambda \sqrt{k}$; and
(ii) $\frac{\lambda(v-1)}{k(k-1)}-2 \lambda \sqrt{k} \leqslant m \leqslant \frac{\lambda(v-1)}{k(k-1)} \leqslant m+h \leqslant \frac{\lambda(v-1)}{k(k-1)}+2 \lambda \sqrt{k}$.

Proof. Let the point set of $(V, \mathcal{B})$ be $\mathbb{Z}_{v}$ and let $\mathcal{B}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_{h}$ be the short orbits of $(V, \mathcal{B})$. Let $i \in[h]$. Recall that by the orbit-stabilizer theorem we have $\left|\mathcal{B}_{i}\right|=\ell_{i}$ where $\frac{v}{k} \leqslant \ell_{i}<v$ and $\ell_{i} \mid v$. Let $B_{i}$ be a base block from $\mathcal{B}_{i}$ such that $B_{i}$ contains the point 0 . Since $\left|\mathcal{B}_{i}\right|=\ell_{i}, B_{i}+\ell_{i}=B_{i}$. It follows that $B_{i}$ contains all multiples of $\ell_{i}$. Write $S_{i}:=\left\{0, \ell_{i}, 2 \ell_{i}, \ldots,\left(\frac{v}{\ell_{i}}-1\right) \ell_{i}\right\}$. Then $S_{i} \subseteq B_{i}$. Furthermore, for any $a \in B_{i}, a+S_{i} \subseteq B_{i}$, and so $B_{i}$ is a disjoint union of some cosets of $S_{i}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{v}$, which implies $\left|S_{i}\right|\left|\left|B_{i}\right|\right.$. That is, $\left.\frac{v}{\ell_{i}}\right| k$. Also, because exactly $\lambda$ blocks in $\mathcal{B}$ contain the pair $\left\{0, \ell_{i}\right\}$, we have that at most $\lambda$ of the orbits $\mathcal{B}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_{h}$ have cardinality $\ell_{i}$.

Thus, $h \leqslant \lambda \sigma_{0}(k)$ where $\sigma_{0}(k)$ denotes the number of divisors of $k$. We know that $\sigma_{0}(k) \leqslant$ $2 \sqrt{k}$ for any positive integer $k$ by using the fact that $d \mid k$ if and only if $\left.\frac{k}{d} \right\rvert\, k$, and so (i) follows. Then (ii) follows from (i) by routine calculation after observing that $m v+\sum_{i=1}^{h} \ell_{i}=|\mathcal{B}|=$ $\frac{\lambda v(v-1)}{k(k-1)}$.

An $r$-uniform hypergraph $G$ is a pair $(V, E)$ where $V$ is a vertex set and $E$ is a set of $r$ subsets of $V$ called edges. The degree $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(x)$ of a vertex $x \in V$ is the number of edges of $G$ containing $x$. For distinct vertices $x$ and $y$ of $G$, the codegree $\operatorname{codeg}_{G}(x, y)$ is the number of edges of $G$ containing both $x$ and $y$. We write $\delta_{G}:=\min _{x \in V} \operatorname{deg}_{G}(x), \Delta_{G}:=\max _{x \in V} \operatorname{deg}_{G}(x)$ and $\Delta_{G}^{c}:=\max _{x, y \in V, x \neq y} \operatorname{codeg}_{G}(x, y)$.

A proper edge-colouring of a hypergraph $G=(V, E)$ with $c$ colours is a function $f: E \longrightarrow[c]$ such that no two edges that share a vertex get the same colour. The following powerful result of Pippenger and Spencer [17] (based on the Rödl nibble) shows that every almost regular $r$-uniform hypergraph $G$ with small maximum codegree can be edge-coloured with close to $\Delta_{G}$ colours.

Lemma 4. [17] Let $r \geqslant 2$ be an integer. For each real number $\eta>0$, there exists a real number $\eta^{*}>0$ and an integer $n_{0}$ such that if $G$ is an $r$-uniform hypergraph on $n \geqslant n_{0}$ vertices satisfying $\delta_{G} \geqslant\left(1-\eta^{*}\right) \Delta_{G}$ and $\Delta_{G}^{c} \leqslant \eta^{*} \Delta_{G}$, then $G$ has a proper edge-colouring with $(1+\eta) \Delta_{G}$ colours.

## 3 Proof of Theorem 3

A partial parallel class of a $(v, k, \lambda)$-design is a set of pairwise disjoint blocks. Let $(V, \mathcal{B})$ be a cyclic $(v, k, \lambda)$-design with orbits $\mathcal{B}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_{t}$, let $\mathcal{P}$ be a partial parallel class of $(V, \mathcal{B})$ and let $s=\left\lfloor\frac{k-1}{\lambda}\right\rfloor$. For any nonnegative integer $a$ we define $T_{a}(\mathcal{P})=\left\{i \in[t]:\left|\mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{B}_{i}\right|=a\right\}$ to be the set of indices of orbits of $(V, \mathcal{B})$ that contain exactly $a$ blocks in $\mathcal{P}$, and we define $\tau_{a}(\mathcal{P})=\left|T_{a}(\mathcal{P})\right|$. Also, we say that a block $B \in \mathcal{B}$ is $\mathcal{P}$-good if, for each $i \in[t], B$ intersects at most one block in $\mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{B}_{i}$ and, for each $i \in T_{0}(\mathcal{P}) \cup \cdots \cup T_{s-1}(\mathcal{P}), B$ intersects no block in $\mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{B}_{i}$. Blocks in $\mathcal{B}$ that are not $\mathcal{P}$-good are $\mathcal{P}$-bad. Intuitively, a $\mathcal{P}$-good block $B$ has the property that if we add $B$ to $\mathcal{P}$ and remove all blocks of $\mathcal{P}$ incident with $B$, then each orbit that intersected $\mathcal{P}$ in at least $s-1$ blocks still intersects the resulting partial parallel class in at least $s-1$ blocks. Finally we define, if $s \geqslant 2$,

$$
d(\mathcal{P})=\sum_{a=0}^{s-2}(s-1-a) \tau_{a}(\mathcal{P})
$$

One can think of $d(\mathcal{P})$ as a measure of how far $\mathcal{P}$ is from intersecting each orbit in at least $s-1$ blocks. The definitions of $\mathcal{P}$-good and $d(\mathcal{P})$ are implicitly dependent on the value of $s=\left\lfloor\frac{k-1}{\lambda}\right\rfloor$.

Our strategy is to first, in Lemma 5 below, apply Lemma 4 to an auxiliary hypergraph in order to obtain a partial parallel class in the design that contains $s$ blocks from almost every orbit. For such a partial parallel class $\mathcal{P}$ we then, in Lemma 6, prove that if each orbit that
intersects $\mathcal{P}$ in fewer than $s-1$ blocks contains sufficiently many $\mathcal{P}$-good blocks, then $\mathcal{P}$ can be modified to produce a new class that contains $s$ blocks from almost every orbit and $s-1$ blocks from each remaining orbit. Finally, to prove Theorem 4, we show that Lemma 6 can successfully be applied to a partial parallel class obtained by making some modifications to a class given by Lemma 5 .

Lemma 5. Let $k$ and $\lambda$ be positive integers and let $s=\left\lfloor\frac{k-1}{\lambda}\right\rfloor$. For each real number $\epsilon^{*}>0$, there exists an integer $v_{0}^{*}$ such that, for each integer $v \geqslant v_{0}^{*}$, any cyclic $(v, k, \lambda)$-design with $m$ full orbits has a partial parallel class $\mathcal{P}$ that contains s blocks from each of $\left(1-\epsilon^{*}\right) m$ full orbits and no blocks from any other orbit.

Proof. Let $(V, \mathcal{B})$ be a cyclic $(v, k, \lambda)$-design with full orbits $\mathcal{B}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_{m}$. Observe that, by Lemma 3(ii), $\frac{\lambda(v-1)}{k(k-1)}-2 \lambda \sqrt{k} \leqslant m \leqslant \frac{\lambda(v-1)}{k(k-1)}$. Hence, supposing $v$ is sufficiently large, we have $\frac{\lambda(v-1)}{k^{2}}<m \leqslant \frac{\lambda(v-1)}{k(k-1)}$. Let $w=\left\lfloor\frac{v-1}{k}\right\rfloor$ and choose integers $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{m} \in\{s, s+1\}$ such that $s_{1}+\cdots+s_{m}=w$. Such integers exist because $s m \leqslant \frac{v-1}{k}$ using $s \leqslant \frac{k-1}{\lambda}$ and $m \leqslant \frac{\lambda(v-1)}{k(k-1)}$, and because $(s+1) m>\frac{v-1}{k}$ using $s+1 \geqslant \frac{k}{\lambda}$ and $m>\frac{\lambda(v-1)}{k^{2}}$. Let $W=\left\{u_{i, j}: i \in[m], j \in\left[s_{i}\right]\right\}$ be a set of $w$ vertices disjoint from $V$. We form a $(k+1)$-uniform hypergraph $G$ with vertex set $V \cup W$ and edge set

$$
\left\{B \cup\left\{u_{i, j}\right\}: B \in \mathcal{B}_{i}, i \in[m], j \in\left[s_{i}\right]\right\} .
$$

Observe that, for each $x \in V$, we have $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(x)=k s_{1}+\cdots+k s_{m}=k w$ because $x$ is in $k$ blocks in each full orbit, and hence we have $v-k \leqslant \operatorname{deg}_{G}(x) \leqslant v-1$. Also, $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(x)=v$ for each $x \in W$ because each full orbit contains $v$ blocks. Furthermore $\operatorname{codeg}_{G}(x, y) \leqslant \lambda(s+1) \leqslant k+\lambda-1$ for all distinct $x, y \in V$ because $(V, \mathcal{B})$ is a design of index $\lambda, \operatorname{codeg}_{G}(x, y)=0$ for all distinct $x, y \in W$, and $\operatorname{codeg}_{G}(x, y)=k$ for all $x \in V$ and $y \in W$ because $k$ blocks from any full orbit contain a given vertex in $V$. So $G$ has $v+w$ vertices, $v w$ edges, $\delta_{G} \geqslant v-k, \Delta_{G} \leqslant v$, and $\Delta_{G}^{c} \leqslant k+\lambda-1$. Thus Lemma 4 implies that for any real number $\epsilon^{*}>0$, supposing $v$ is sufficiently large, $G$ has a proper edge-colouring with $\left(1+\frac{\epsilon^{*}}{s+1}\right) v$ colours.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a largest colour class of this colouring. Then $\mathcal{C}$ is a set of disjoint edges of $G$ and, because $G$ has $v w$ edges, $|\mathcal{C}| \geqslant \frac{(s+1) w}{s+1+\epsilon^{*}}>w-\epsilon^{*} \frac{w}{s+1}>w-\epsilon^{*} m$ where the last inequality follows because $w<(s+1) m$. Let

$$
M=\left\{i \in[m]: \mid\left\{j \in\left[s_{i}\right]: u_{i, j} \text { is in an edge in } \mathcal{C}\right\} \mid \geqslant s\right\} .
$$

Observe that $|M|>\left(1-\epsilon^{*}\right) m$ because each edge of $G$ contains exactly one vertex in $W$ and hence there are less than $\epsilon^{*} m$ vertices in $W$ that are not in an edge of $\mathcal{C}$. Let $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ be the set of edges in $\mathcal{C}$ that contain a vertex in $\left\{u_{i, j}: i \in M, j \in\left[s_{i}\right]\right\}$ and let $\mathcal{P}=\left\{E \cap V: E \in \mathcal{C}^{\prime}\right\}$. Then, by the definitions of $G$ and $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}, \mathcal{P}$ is a partial parallel class in $(V, \mathcal{B})$ that contains at least $s$ blocks from $\mathcal{B}_{i}$ for each $i \in M$ and no other blocks. So, by deleting some blocks from $\mathcal{P}$ if necessary, we can obtain a partial parallel class with the desired properties.

Lemma 6. Let $k$ and $\lambda$ be positive integers such that $k \geqslant 2 \lambda+1$ and let $s=\left\lfloor\frac{k-1}{\lambda}\right\rfloor$. Let $(V, \mathcal{B})$ be a cyclic $(v, k, \lambda)$-design with orbits $\mathcal{B}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_{t}$, and let $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ be a partial parallel class that contains at most s blocks from each orbit. If, for each $i \in T_{0}\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right) \cup \cdots \cup T_{s-2}\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right), \mathcal{B}_{i}$ contains more than $k^{2}(k s-k+1)\left(d\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)-1\right) \mathcal{P}^{\prime}$-good blocks, then there is a partial parallel class $\mathcal{P}^{\prime \prime}$ of $(V, \mathcal{B})$ such that $\tau_{s-1}\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime \prime}\right) \leqslant(k+1) d\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)+\tau_{s-1}\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\tau_{s}\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime \prime}\right)=t-\tau_{s-1}\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime \prime}\right)$.

Proof. Note that $s \geqslant 2$ by our hypotheses. We prove the result by induction on the quantity $d\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)$. If $d\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)=0$ then $\tau_{0}\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)=\cdots=\tau_{s-2}\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)=0$ and we can take $\mathcal{P}^{\prime \prime}=\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ to complete the proof. So suppose that $d\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)=\ell$ for some positive integer $\ell$ and that the result holds for $d\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)<\ell$. Let $j \in T_{0}\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right) \cup \cdots \cup T_{s-2}\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)$ and let $B_{j}$ be a $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$-good block in $\mathcal{B}_{j}$ (such a block exists by the hypotheses of the lemma because $d\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right) \geqslant 1$ ). Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be the set of blocks in $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ which intersect $B_{j}$ and let $\mathcal{P}^{*}=\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime} \cup\left\{B_{j}\right\}\right) \backslash \mathcal{Q}$. Note that $\mathcal{P}^{*}$ is a partial parallel class of $(V, \mathcal{B})$ and that $|\mathcal{Q}| \leqslant\left|B_{j}\right|=k$. Also, because $B_{j}$ was $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$-good, $\tau_{1}(\mathcal{Q})=|\mathcal{Q}|$ and $T_{1}(\mathcal{Q}) \subseteq T_{s}\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)$.

Observe that $\left|\mathcal{P}^{*} \cap \mathcal{B}_{j}\right|=\left|\mathcal{P}^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{B}_{j}\right|+1,\left|\mathcal{P}^{*} \cap \mathcal{B}_{i}\right|=s-1$ for each $i \in T_{1}(\mathcal{Q})$, and $\mathcal{P}^{*} \cap \mathcal{B}_{i}=\mathcal{P}^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{B}_{i}$ for all $i \in[t] \backslash\left(T_{1}(\mathcal{Q}) \cup\{j\}\right)$. Thus $d\left(\mathcal{P}^{*}\right)=d\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)-1$ and $\tau_{s-1}\left(\mathcal{P}^{*}\right) \leqslant \tau_{s-1}\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)+k+1$. Any block in $\mathcal{B}$ that was $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$-good but is $\mathcal{P}^{*}$-bad must intersect one of the at most $k s-k+1$ blocks in $\left\{B_{j}\right\} \cup \bigcup_{i \in T_{1}(\mathcal{Q})}\left(\mathcal{P}^{*} \cap \mathcal{B}_{i}\right)$. For each $i \in T_{0}\left(\mathcal{P}^{*}\right) \cup \cdots \cup T_{s-2}\left(\mathcal{P}^{*}\right)$, at most $k^{2}$ blocks in $\mathcal{B}_{i}$ intersect each of these blocks and so, because more than $k^{2}(k s-k+1)\left(d\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)-1\right)$ blocks in $\mathcal{B}_{i}$ were $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$-good, more than
$k^{2}(k s-k+1)\left(d\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)-1\right)-k^{2}(k s-k+1)=k^{2}(k s-k+1)\left(d\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)-2\right)=k^{2}(k s-k+1)\left(d\left(\mathcal{P}^{*}\right)-1\right)$
blocks in $\mathcal{B}_{i}$ are $\mathcal{P}^{*}$-good. Thus we can apply our inductive hypothesis to $\mathcal{P}^{*}$ to establish the existence of a partial parallel class $\mathcal{P}^{\prime \prime}$ of $(V, \mathcal{B})$ such that $\tau_{s-1}\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime \prime}\right) \leqslant(k+1) d\left(\mathcal{P}^{*}\right)+\tau_{s-1}\left(\mathcal{P}^{*}\right)$ and $\tau_{s}\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime \prime}\right)=t-\tau_{s-1}\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime \prime}\right)$. The proof is now complete by observing that
$\tau_{s-1}\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime \prime}\right) \leqslant(k+1) d\left(\mathcal{P}^{*}\right)+\tau_{s-1}\left(\mathcal{P}^{*}\right) \leqslant(k+1)\left(d\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)-1\right)+\tau_{s-1}\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)+k+1=(k+1) d\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)+\tau_{s-1}\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)$.

Theorem 4. Let $k$ and $\lambda$ be fixed positive integers such that $k \geqslant 2 \lambda+1$ and let $s=\left\lfloor\frac{k-1}{\lambda}\right\rfloor$. For each real number $\epsilon>0$, there is an integer $v_{0}$ such that, for each integer $v \geqslant v_{0}$, any cyclic $(v, k, \lambda)$-design with $t$ orbits has a partial parallel class that contains $s-1$ blocks from each of at most $\epsilon t$ orbits and contains $s$ blocks from each other orbit.

Proof. Note that $s \geqslant 2$ by our hypotheses. We may assume that $\epsilon<\frac{1}{4 k^{2}}$. Let $\epsilon^{*}=\frac{\epsilon}{2(k+1) s}$. Let $(V, \mathcal{B})$ be a cyclic $(v, k, \lambda)$-design with orbits $\mathcal{B}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_{t}$ and suppose that $m$ of these orbits are full. Throughout this proof, we will tacitly assume $v$ is sufficiently large whenever necessary and will use asymptotic notation with respect to this regime. Note that $t=\frac{\lambda(v-1)}{k(k-1)}+O(1)$ by Lemma 3(ii) and hence $t=\Theta(v)$. By Lemma 5 there is a partial parallel class $\mathcal{P}$ of $(V, \mathcal{B})$ such that $T_{0}(\mathcal{P})$ contains at most $\epsilon^{*} m \leqslant \epsilon^{*} t$ indices of full orbits and every other index of a full orbit is in $T_{s}(\mathcal{P})$. Let

$$
R=\left\{i \in[t]: \mathcal{B}_{i} \text { contains at least } \frac{1}{2} s t \mathcal{P} \text {-bad blocks }\right\} .
$$

A block in $\mathcal{B}$ is $\mathcal{P}$-bad if and only if it intersects at least two blocks in $\mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{B}_{i}$ for some $i \in T_{s}(\mathcal{P})$. At most $k^{2} \lambda\binom{s}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{B}$ intersect at least two blocks in $\mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{B}_{i}$ for each $i \in T_{s}(\mathcal{P})$, and so it follows that at most $k^{2} \lambda\binom{s}{2} \tau_{s}(\mathcal{P}) \leqslant k^{2} \lambda\binom{s}{2} t$ blocks in $\mathcal{B}$ are $\mathcal{P}$-bad. Thus, by the definition of $R$, we have $|R| \leqslant k^{2} s \lambda$.

We can greedily choose a partial parallel class $\mathcal{R}$ in $(V, \mathcal{B})$ such that $\left|\mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{B}_{i}\right|=s$ for each $i \in R$ and $\mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{B}_{i}=\emptyset$ for each $i \in[t] \backslash R$. To see this, suppose that $x<s|R| \leqslant k^{2} s^{2} \lambda$ blocks of the class have already been chosen and note that, for each $i \in R$, at most $k^{2}$ of blocks in $\mathcal{B}_{i}$ intersect each already chosen block and

$$
\left|\mathcal{B}_{i}\right| \geqslant \frac{v}{k} \gg k^{4} s^{2} \lambda>k^{2} x .
$$

Thus we can indeed choose a suitable $\mathcal{R}$ greedily.
Now let

$$
Q=\left\{i \in[t] \backslash R: \text { some block in } \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{B}_{i} \text { intersects some block in } \mathcal{R}\right\} .
$$

Observe that $k s|R| \leqslant k^{3} s^{2} \lambda$ vertices in $V$ are in a block in $\mathcal{R}$ and hence $|Q| \leqslant k^{3} s^{2} \lambda$.
Let $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}=\mathcal{R} \cup \bigcup_{i \in[t] \backslash Q}\left(\mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{B}_{i}\right)$ and note that $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ is a partial parallel class in $(V, \mathcal{B})$. So $T_{s}\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)=\left(T_{s}(\mathcal{P}) \cup R\right) \backslash Q$ and $T_{0}\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)=[t] \backslash T_{s}\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)$. Thus $\tau_{1}\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)=\cdots=\tau_{s-1}\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)=0$ and $\tau_{0}\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right) \leqslant \tau_{0}(\mathcal{P})+|Q|$. Furthermore, $T_{0}(\mathcal{P})$ contains at most $\epsilon^{*} t$ indices of full orbits and, by Lemma 3(i), at most $2 \lambda \sqrt{k}$ indices of short orbits. From this it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)=(s-1) \tau_{0}\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right) \leqslant(s-1)\left(\tau_{0}(\mathcal{P})+|Q|\right)<\frac{\epsilon t}{2(k+1)}+O(1) \ll \frac{\epsilon t}{k+1} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Any block in $\mathcal{B}$ that was $\mathcal{P}$-good but is $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$-bad must intersect two of the $s$ blocks in $\mathcal{P}^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{B}_{i}$ for some $i \in R$. For each $i \in R$, at most $k^{2} \lambda\binom{s}{2}$ blocks in $\mathcal{B}$ intersect two of the blocks in $\mathcal{P}^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{B}_{i}$. So at most $k^{2} \lambda\binom{s}{2}|R| \leqslant k^{4} s \lambda^{2}\binom{s}{2}$ blocks in $\mathcal{B}$ were $\mathcal{P}$-good but are $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$-bad. Thus, for each $i \in T_{0}\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)$, because $i \notin R$ and hence less than $\frac{1}{2}$ st blocks in $\mathcal{B}_{i}$ were $\mathcal{P}$-bad, the number of $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$-bad blocks in $\mathcal{B}_{i}$ is less than $\frac{1}{2} s t+k^{4} s \lambda^{2}\binom{s}{2}$. Now $t \leqslant \frac{\lambda(v-1)}{k(k-1)}+2 \lambda \sqrt{k}$ by Lemma 3(ii) and hence $s t \leqslant \frac{v}{k}+O(1)$. So, since $\left|\mathcal{B}_{i}\right| \geqslant \frac{v}{k}$, more than $\frac{v}{k}-\frac{1}{2} s t-k^{4} s \lambda^{2}\binom{s}{2} \geqslant \frac{1}{2} s t-O(1)$ blocks in $\mathcal{B}_{i}$ are $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$-good. Thus $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 6 because

$$
k^{2}(k s-k+1)\left(d\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)-1\right)<\epsilon k^{2} s t<\frac{1}{4} s t \ll \frac{1}{2} s t-O(1)
$$

where the first inequality follows by (1) because $k s-k+1<s(k+1)$ and the second follows because $\epsilon<\frac{1}{4 k^{2}}$. Thus, by applying Lemma 6 to $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$, there is a partial parallel class $\mathcal{P}^{\prime \prime}$ of $(V, \mathcal{B})$ such that $\tau_{s}\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime \prime}\right)=t-\tau_{s-1}\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and

$$
\tau_{s-1}\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime \prime}\right) \leqslant(k+1) d\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)<\epsilon t
$$

where the last inequality follows by (1).
Note that in the special case where $\lambda$ divides $k-1$, the partial parallel class given by Theorem 4 uses all but at most $\epsilon k t+1$ points of the design.

Proof of Theorem 3 This follows directly from Theorem 4, noting that $s \geqslant 2$ because $k \geqslant 2 \lambda+1$.

## 4 Concluding remarks

A $(v, k, \lambda)$-DDF necessarily has $1 \leqslant \lambda \leqslant k-1$ apart from the trivial case of a $(k, k, k)$-DDF (see [5]). Theorem 3 requires $1 \leqslant \lambda \leqslant(k-1) / 2$. It is natural to ask whether it is possible to relax this condition. We make the following conjecture.

Conjecture 3. Let $k$ and $\lambda$ be fixed positive integers such that $k \geqslant \lambda+1$. There exists an integer $v_{0}$ such that, for any cyclic $(v, k, \lambda)$-design with $v \geqslant v_{0}$, it is always possible to choose one block from each block orbit so that the chosen blocks are pairwise disjoint.

Compared with Conjecture 2, Conjecture 3 is stated for sufficiently large $v$. This is from the observation that the union of $\lambda$ copies of a $(k(k-1)+1, k, 1)$-CDF forms a $(k(k-1)+$
$1, k, \lambda)$-CDF which yields a cyclic $(k(k-1)+1, k, \lambda)$-design without short orbits. Note that a $(k(k-1)+1, k, 1)$-CDF is often called a cyclic difference set (see [2]) and it generates a symmetric design, any two blocks of which must intersect in one point. Thus the resulting cyclic $(k(k-1)+1, k, \lambda)$-design cannot be generated by a DDF.

Actually Novák made a stronger conjecture on cyclic STS(v) than Conjecture 1 in 1974. A $(v, 3,1)$-DDF for $v \equiv 1(\bmod 6)$ is called symmetric if its base blocks can be chosen in such a way that for any nonzero $x$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{v}$, at most one of $x$ and its complement $v-x$ occurs in the base blocks and no base block contains zero.

Conjecture 4. (Novák, 1974) [16] Every cyclic STS(v) with $v \equiv 1(\bmod 6)$ is generated by a symmetric $(v, 3,1)-D D F$.

So far it is only known that Conjecture 4 holds for all $v \equiv 1(\bmod 6)$ and $v \leqslant 61$ (see $[10$, Theorem 22.3]).

Finally we remark that in a recent paper [4] a new concept of "doubly disjoint difference family" was introduced to establish a composition construction for resolvable difference families. Roughly speaking, if we take $k=3$ and $\lambda=1$ in Theorem 4, then the induced cyclic difference family "almost" forms a doubly disjoint difference family.
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