

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Neuroimage. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2009 August 1.

Published in final edited form as:

Neuroimage. 2008 August 1; 42(1): 343-356. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2008.04.025.

Functional neuroimaging correlates of finger tapping task variations: An ALE meta-analysis

Suzanne T. Witt¹, M. Elizabeth Meyerand¹, and Angela R. Laird²

1Department of Medical Physics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin

2Research Imaging Center, University of Texas Health Science Center, San Antonio, Texas

Abstract

Finger tapping tasks are one of the most common paradigms used to study the human motor system in functional neuroimaging studies. These tasks can vary both in the presence or absence of a pacing stimulus as well as in the complexity of the tapping task. A voxel-wise, coordinate-based metaanalysis was performed on 685 sets of activation foci in Talairach space gathered from 38 published studies employing finger tapping tasks. Clusters of concordance were identified within the primary sensorimotor cortices, supplementary motor area, premotor cortex, inferior parietal cortices, basal ganglia, and anterior cerebellum. Subsequent analyses performed on subsets of the primary set of foci demonstrated that the use of a pacing stimulus resulted in a larger, more diverse network of concordance clusters, in comparison to varying the complexity of the tapping task. The majority of the additional concordance clusters occurred in regions involved in the temporal aspects of the tapping task, rather than its execution. Tapping tasks employing a visual pacing stimulus recruited a set of nodes distinct from the results observed in those tasks employing either an auditory or no pacing stimulus, suggesting differing cognitive networks when integrating visual or auditory pacing stimuli into simple motor tasks. The relatively uniform network of concordance clusters observed across the more complex finger tapping tasks suggests that further complexity, beyond the use of multi-finger sequences or bimanual tasks, may be required to fully reveal those brain regions necessary to execute truly complex movements.

Keywords

meta-analysis; finger tapping; motor; activation likelihood estimation; ALE; paced finger tapping; auditory stimulus; visual stimulus; self-paced movement; movement complexity; sequential finger movements; bimanual

INTRODUCTION

Finger tapping tasks are commonly used to study the human motor system in functional neuroimaging studies. Tapping tasks have the advantage of being simple enough to use in the study of both normal control subjects as well as those with neuropathologies affecting the motor

Corresponding Authors: Suzanne T. Witt, Department of Medical Physics, University of Wisconsin, 1530 Medical Sciences Center, 1300 University Avenue, Madison, WI 53706, 608.265.3018 (phone), 608.265.9840 (fax), stwitt@wisc.edu, M. Elizabeth Meyerand, Department of Medical Physics, University of Wisconsin, 1530 Medical Sciences Center, 1300 University Avenue, Madison, WI 53706, 608.263.1685 (phone), 608.265.9840 (fax), memeyerand@wisc.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

system, while being flexible enough to accommodate numerous modifications. These tasks can vary across studies both by the use or lack of a pacing stimulus and in the relative complexity of the tapping task.

Pacing stimuli are used to ensure that all subjects uniformly perform a given finger tapping task at a predetermined rate. The stimuli are usually in the form of a regularly paced, repetitive auditory or visual cue, such as that produced by a metronome (e.g. Catalan 1998; Colebatch 1991; Sadato 1996a) or blinking light (e.g. Indovina 2001; Jäncke 2000b), respectively. Such finger tapping tasks performed in the presence of a pacing stimulus are referred to as externally guided or externally generated. In contrast, the task can be performed in the absence of any pacing stimulus (i.e. self-paced). Such self-paced tapping tasks are referred to as internally guided or internally generated. The results from studies investigating the effects of auditory and visual pacing stimuli have reported different networks of active brain regions, however, these results are not consistent across different studies.

Pacing stimuli are also often used in conjunction with more complex finger tapping tasks such as multi-finger sequential or bimanual tapping tasks. For the purposes of the ensuing analyses, multi-finger sequential tapping tasks were taken to be complex in terms of the increased number of fingers involved in the task; factors such as the rate of movement and the length of the sequence were not specifically considered. Bimanual tasks were taken to be any task involving the tapping of fingers on both hands, regardless of the symmetry. These types of complex finger tapping tasks are often employed to elicit neural activation that is more representative of what would be observed in typical, everyday manual movements that may not be practical to complete within the confines of a MRI or PET scanner. The use of complex finger tapping tasks also allows for the further study of secondary and tertiary neural motor regions that may not be active during a simple, unimanual index finger tapping tasks.

Results from studies employing finger tapping tasks can be divergent due to variations in the experimental paradigms used, making them difficult to interpret across studies. Additionally, studies can choose to focus on a few specific neural regions (e.g. Jäncke 2000a; Colebatch 1991; De Luca 2005), resulting in partial descriptions of the underlying neural network involved in a given tapping task. A quantitative meta-analysis technique, such as that proposed independently by Turkeltaub et. al. (2002) and Chein et. al. (2002) provides a method to assess the degree of concordance across multiple studies. The results of such an analysis can be useful in determining a more complete network of neural regions involved in a given task or paradigm as well as in forming new hypotheses and interpreting results from subjects with neurological impairments.

This present study was not the first to use quantitative meta-analysis techniques to assess concordance across studies examining the human motor system. Chouinard and Paus (2006) employed a similar technique to further elucidate the roles of the primary motor and premotor cortices in various motor tasks. Four motor-related tasks – movement response selection, movement response to a stimulus, execution of object-related hand movements, and observation of object-related hand movements – were chosen to map out the roles of the dorsal and ventral premotor cortices in these tasks. Chouinard and Paus were successful in utilizing meta-analysis to identify several distinct nonprimary motor areas within the motor cortex.

In the present meta-analysis, our aim was to isolate the corpus of published literature for simple hand movements (i.e., finger tapping), and identify the entire network of brain regions associated with this type of motor task. Our intent was to examine agreement across studies not only in the motor cortex, but also throughout all cortical, subcortical, and cerebellar regions. In addition, meta-analysis was used to differentiate the brain regions that are active during the most common variations of finger tapping tasks: auditorially paced, visually paced, self-paced,

single index finger, unimanual, dominant hand (RH) multi-finger sequence, and bimanual, as well as to compare these networks among the tapping task variations. We hypothesized that the choice of finger tapping task variation would have a strong influence on the observed network of active brain regions.

METHODS

Several literature searches were performed in Medline to find the published corpus of literature prior to July 2006 involving finger tapping tasks in unimpaired, right-handed subjects. References from all relevant papers were also examined. From these search results, only those papers which reported activations as coordinates in stereotactic space (x,y,z) were considered. Papers directly addressing motor learning or using over-trained subjects such as professional musicians were excluded. Results from 38 papers (22 fMRI and 16 PET; Table 1) were selected (685 foci), and three analyses were performed. The first pooled the results from all of the included studies. For the second, studies were divided into three groups based on the type or lack of pacing stimulus employed: auditory stimulus (22 papers; 403 foci), visual stimulus (7 papers; 109 foci), and no stimulus (13 papers; 173 foci). The final analysis divided the studies into three groups determined by the complexity of the tapping task used: right hand index finger (23 papers; 311 foci), RH multi-finger sequence (15 papers; 242 foci), and bimanual (5 papers; 90 foci). All MNI coordinates were transformed to Talairach space using the icbm2tal transform (Lancaster 2007), which has shown to provide improved fit over the mni2tal transform (Brett 2001,2002). When applying the Lancaster transform, software-specific versions were used for FSL (icbm_fsl2tal) and SPM (icbm_spm2tal) coordinates, to correct for varying normalization methods within each software package. Activation likelihood estimate (ALE) maps were created for each grouping by modeling each focus as a threedimensional Gaussian function with a FWHM of 12 mm (Turkeltaub 2002). Statistical significance was assessed using a permutation test with 5000 permutations, corrected for multiple comparisons using the false discovery rate (FDR) (Laird 2005). The resultant maps were thresholded at P < 0.05.

RESULTS

The ALE map for the main effects of all finger tapping task variations included in this study is shown in Figure 1. Common, robust concordance was seen in bilateral sensorimotor cortices (L: -38,-26,50; R: 36,-22,54), supplementary motor area (SMA) (-4,-8,52), left ventral premotor cortex (-54,-2,32), bilateral inferior parietal cortices (L: -50,-26,20; R: 40,-42,44), bilateral basal ganglia (L: -22, -8,4; R: 22,-10,6), and bilateral anterior cerebellum (L: -22, -52,22; R: 16,-50,-20). Smaller clusters of concordance were seen in the right dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (34,32,34), right inferior frontal gyrus (54,4,22), and left occipital lobe (-44, -66,4). The appearance of this latter trio of brain regions will later be shown to have more to do with the inclusion of visually-paced finger tapping tasks, than in these regions playing a role in the performance of finger tapping tasks in general.

Figure 2 (A–G) shows representative axial slices of the combined ALE map for the three groups of studies involving the use or absence of pacing stimuli - auditorially-paced, visually-paced, and self-paced. In addition to the executive areas noted above for the main effects, the ALE map for the pacing stimulus group showed clusters of concordance, which were unique to one or more of the task variations in this grouping. All three variations within this group showed concordance within the dorsal premotor cortices (R: 47,1,50; 38,-10,54), with laterality depending on task variation. The visually- and self-paced groups exhibited concordance within the right dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (42,34,32; 32,30,32) and right inferior parietal lobe (46, -44,44). The auditorially-and self-paced groups shared concordance in bilateral claustrum (L: -32,-4,6; R: 34, -8,14), again with laterality depending on task variation. The visually-paced

group had exclusive concordance in bilateral insula (L: -48,10,0; R: 48,10,2), right inferior frontal gyrus (50,8,32), bilateral occipital lobe (L: -42,-66,4; -10,-82,-2; R: 44,-66,-2; 4, -76,0), and left posterior cerebellum (-28,-62,-28). Finally, the auditorially-paced group had exclusive concordance in Brodmann's area 44 (56,3,20) and the self-paced group in the left ventral premotor cortex (-52,-2,10) and right posterior cerebellum (10,-66,-32).

In order to determine the relative effect of tapping rate in the meta-analytic comparisons of stimulus modality, we performed a comparison of study characteristics for auditory vs. visual stimuli and paced vs. self-paced tapping tasks. The tapping rate was recorded for each experiment that was included in the meta-analyses. An unpaired *t* test was performed on the tapping rates for auditory (mean rate = 1.716 Hz) and visual (mean rate = 1.241 Hz) studies in which no statistical difference was found between groups (P = 0.182). A subsequent test was performed to determine if a rate effect existed between paced (mean rate = 1.642 Hz) and self-paced (mean rate = 1.795 Hz) studies; however, this test also revealed no difference (P = 0.5301). Note that this result may potentially be biased by the fact that no observed tapping rate was reported in 6 of 24 self-paced experiments; however, given the high P value this is unlikely.

To determine the effect of tapping difficulty on the observed meta-analysis results, studies were reviewed and assigned scores of tapping difficulty according to a five-point rating system: 1 – unimanual single finger, 2 – bimanual single finger or unimanual simple sequence, 3 – bimanual simple sequence or unimanual complex sequence, 4 – bimanual mirrored tapping of a complex sequence, 5 – bimanual parallel tapping of a complex sequence. Unpaired t tests were carried out on comparisons of auditory (mean score = 1.919) vs. visual (mean score = 1.125) studies and paced (mean score = 1.794) vs. self-paced (mean score = 1.708) studies. Again, no difference was found between either group (P = 0.059 and P = 0.760, respectively). Arguably, the test of auditory vs. visual nearly achieved significance, and therefore some potential bias may have been introduced into the meta-analysis as a result of this. Future imaging studies will be useful in dissociating the degree of interaction between auditory vs. visual stimuli and tapping difficulty.

Figure 3 (A–G) shows representative axial slices of the combined ALE map for the three groups of studies involving tapping task complexity - RH index finger, RH multi-finger sequence, and bimanual. Unlike for the meta-analyses results for the pacing stimulus group, the combined ALE map for the complexity group suggested that there are fewer unique brain regions required to complete more complex finger tapping tasks that are not already active during the execution of finger tapping tasks of all variations. All three task variations within this grouping shared concordance within the dorsal (L: -16, -20, 48; R: 34, -8, 52; 46, 1, 51) and ventral (-56, -4, 34; -54,2,10; -50,0,34) premotor cortices. The RH multi-finger sequence and RH index finger tapping task variations showed concordance in the right inferior parietal cortex (46,-44,44; 38,-40,44). The RH multi-finger sequence tapping task group exhibited concordance within bilateral posterior parietal cortices (L: -26,-62,48; R: 16,-72,42), and the bimanual group within the left posterior cerebellum (-4, -66, -18). The RH index finger tapping task group showed a small cluster of concordance within the left DLPFC (-37,30,32), in addition to sharing several clusters of concordance with the externally paced tasks, including the right insula (48,12,4), right inferior frontal gyrus (52,6,28), bilateral claustra (L: -32,-4,4; R: 34,14,6), and right DLPFC (34,30,32).

DISCUSSION

An ALE meta-analysis was performed to quantify the motor system during finger tapping, a common task used in functional imaging studies. Results allowed for detailed description of the motor networks involved in single finger, unimanual, dominant hand multi-finger, and

bimanual movement sequences, varying in the presence or absence of a pacing (auditory or visual) stimulus.

MAIN EFFECTS

The results for the main effects analysis across all finger tapping tasks exhibited clusters of concordance in regions commonly associated with the performance of motor tasks, including the primary sensorimotor cortex (SM1), supplementary motor area (SMA), basal ganglia (BG), and cerebellum. The primary sensorimotor cortex has traditionally been considered the main executive locus for simple voluntary movements (Gerloff 1998), however, recent studies have implicated this region in the processing of complex sequential tapping tasks (Kawashima 1993,1994a,b; Kim 1993a,b; Rao 1993; Chen 1997) as well as the processing of bimanual movements (Kermadi 2000). The SMA has also been found to be an executive area for simple voluntary movements (Colebatch 1991; Matteli 1993; Sadato 1995). Additionally, activity in the SMA has been linked to higher motor processing functions such as the initiation of movement, motor programming (Roland 1980b), motor planning (Orgogozo 1979; Grafton 1992a; Rao 1993), readiness to move (Fox 1985), motor learning (Roland 1989; Seitz 1990; Grafton 1992a), complexity of movement (Shibasaki 1993), bimanual coordination (Goerres 1998; Immisch 2001; Jäncke 2000a; Meyer-Lindenberg 2002; Sadato 1997; Stephan 1999a,b; Toyokura 1999,2002; Ullén 2003), and responsiveness to internal cueing of movement (Halsband 1993) or to the selection of movement (Deiber 1991). Activation in the basal ganglia has been associated with both the performance of simple repetitive movements (Lehéricy 1998; Maillard 2000), as well as more complex sequential movements (Roland 1982; Shibasaki 1993; Jenkins 1994a; Jueptner 1997a; Gordon 1998; Boecker 1998; Catalan 1998; Haslinger 2002). Activity in both the SMA and BG has been preferentially linked to internally generated movements over externally generated movements in non-human primates (Mushiake 1990,1991; Tanji 1994; Tanji and Shima 1994,1996; Brinkman 1984; Chen 1995, Taniwaki 2003; Romo 1987; Middleton 2000), however this distinction has not been consistently reported in humans (Menon 1998; Cunningon 2002). Regions in the cerebellum have been shown to be active during the preparation, execution, and timing of both simple and complex movements (Habas 2004a), and while cerebellar activity has been traditionally associated with externally cued movements, it has been observed in motor tasks driven by both external and internal cues (Grafton 1992b).

In addition to the above regions, clusters of concordance are observed in regions that have been traditionally reported to be preferentially active during one or more specific finger tapping task variations, namely the premotor and parietal cortices. For both the externally cued and complex tapping tasks, activity has been reported within the premotor and parietal cortices. The premotor cortex has been shown to play an important role in the transformation of sensory information into appropriate motor behavior (Crammond 1996; Godschalk 1981; Johnson 1996; Mushiaki 1991; Wise 1997; Halsband 1993; Harrington 2000; Grafton 1993; Kawashima 1995; Passingham 1985; Samuel 1997; Roland 1984), especially in regards to sequential movements (Harrington 2000; Catalan 1998; Grafton 1992a; Shibasaki 1993). The parietal cortex has also been shown to be active during both auditorily- and visually-cued movements (Deiber 1991; Grafton 1992b; Jenkins 1994b; Huttunnen 1996; Forss 1998; Linn 2002), also particularly during both the execution and production of complex, sequential motor tasks (Gordon 1998; Sadato 1996a; Honda 1998; Jenkins 1994b; Rauch 1995; Sakai 1998; Boecker 1998). The observed concordance in these two regions suggests that they may play a more general, executive role in motor tasks, in addition to their more task variation specific roles discussed below.

PACING STIMULUS EFFECTS

In line with the ALE map for the main effects of all finger tapping task variations (Figure 1), conjunction analysis revealed that the three groups (auditorially-, visually-, and self-paced) shared common, robust concordance in the primary sensorimotor cortex, supplementary motor area, and anterior cerebellum (Figure 2). This shared concordance is in agreement with the body of literature on externally and internally generated motor tasks, suggesting that these areas are involved in the execution motor tasks under both conditions (Weeks 2001;Grafton 1992b). Within the basal ganglia, there was no robust concordance among all three groups. The auditorially- and self-paced groups demonstrated concordance within the putamen and thalamus. The use of visually-paced tapping tasks only revealed concordance within the left globus pallidus external (GPe). These results suggest that the basal ganglia may be active during both externally and internally generated motor tasks but only for those externally generated tasks driven by an auditory cue.

The concordance within the dorsal premotor cortex (PMd; BA 6) was lateralized based on task variation, with the visually-paced group showing bilateral concordance, the auditorially-paced group in the right PMd, and the self-paced group in the left PMd. With the exception of the visually-paced group's clusters in the right PMd, none showed distinct clusters of concordance in this region. Rather, the clusters comprising the primary sensorimotor cortices extended anterior enough to encompass the cortical region usually defined as the dorsal premotor cortex, and the location of this extension in the left hemisphere was in agreement with the region of the left PMd identified through a previous meta-analysis study (Chouinard 2006) as being involved in the execution of simple movements in response to an external stimulus. The premotor cortex, in general, has traditionally been associated with the execution of movements under sensory guidance (Goldberg 1985). Removal of the PMd in humans, in particular, has been shown to disrupt the ability to use arbitrary cues to withhold or perform a particular movement (Petrides 1982,1985; Halsband 1982,1985). More recently, Schubotz and von Cramon (2003) posited a general trend for lateral premotor cortex dominance over externally guided movements and medial premotor cortex dominance over internally guided movements, implying that the premotor cortex is involved in movement execution regardless of the presence of absence of an external cue, which is confirmed by concordance within this region for both the externally and internally paced tasks.

From Figure 2.B, one can observe a definite somatotopy to the concordance cluster within the right dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC; BA 9), with the visually-paced group exhibiting concordance in the lateral aspect and the self-paced group in the more medial aspect. The DLPFC has been consistently linked to self-paced movements. Jahanshahi et. al. (1995) found greater activation within the DLPFC during self-initiated movements. This study also noted, in line with previous results (Deiber 1991; Frith 1991; Playford 1992) that the DLPFC was the area that significantly distinguished internally generated movements from externally triggered movements, especially in regards to internal temporal processing (Rao 2001; Thaut 2003), so its observed concordance for visually-paced movements is not in line with previous results. However, this area is also associated with sustained attention (Pardo 1991). It may be that externally generated finger tapping tasks that use a visual pacing stimulus may not be as automatic as those the make use of an auditory pacing stimulus.

The inferior parietal lobe (LPi; BA 40) has been linked to the encoding of sequence-specific information (Honda 1998; Jenkins 1994b; Rauch 1995; Sakai 1998) as well as sensorimotor integration processes (Huttunnen 1996; Forss 1998; Linn 2002). Vaillancourt et. al. (2006) showed increased activation in right LPi, in conjunction with right PMd and right PMv, in the presence of frequent visual pacing stimulus during a visuomotor task. Its increased activity observed during internally generated movements has been hypothesized to be a consequence

As with the dorsal premotor cortices, the concordance in the bilateral claustra was also lateralized based on task variation, with the cluster in the left hemisphere corresponding to the auditorially-paced group and that in the right hemisphere to the self-paced group. Activity in the claustrum has been linked to sensorimotor integration (Edelstein 2004). Neuroanatomical studies have shown that the non-human primate claustrum shares reciprocal connections with structures in the frontal lobe, including the motor, premotor, and cingulate cortices; the visual cortices in the occipital lobe; the temporal cortex; the parieto-occipital and posterior parietal cortices; and somatosensory areas (Crick 2005). A prior PET study in humans revealed involvement of the claustrum, along with the insula, in cross-model matching tasks that require the simultaneous evaluation of information from more than one sensory domain (Hadjikhani 1998). The results from this study, in particular, lend support to the hypothesis that the claustrum serves to combine and bind different attributes of objects, both within and across modalities. Crick and Koch (2005) further suggest that the claustrum may contain specialized mechanisms that permit information within its own extent to synchronize different perceptual, cognitive, and motor modalities. That these two different pacing modalities activated the left and right claustra separately suggests a potential segregation of sensory processing within the claustrum.

The concordance seen in bilateral insula, right inferior frontal gyrus (IFG), Brodmann's areas 18 and 37, and left posterior cerebellum, specifically Crus I (Schmahmann 1999) can all be related to the processing of the visual pacing stimuli. Areas 18 and 37 are commonly associated with the processing of visual information, and activity in Crus I has been linked to visuomotor processing in the presence of frequent but not infrequent visual stimuli (Vaillancourt 2006). Anatomic studies have shown that the insular cortex (BA 13) has numerous afferent and efferent connections with a diverse array of brain structures including the motor and somatosensory cortices (Augustine 1996). With regards to its motor-related connections, researchers have suggested that the insula plays an important role as a motor association area involved in the movement of the upper limbs, including the hands (Chollet 1991; Weiller 1992) and in saccadic movements of the eyes (Petit 1993). This region has also been shown to be involved in a variety of timing tasks including interval sequence encoding (Schubotz 2000) and sensorimotor synchronization (Rubia 2000). Cerasa et. al. (2006) proposed that this region, along with the right IFG (BA 9), may guide the timing of sequential movements through both the internal subvocalization of the interval duration and multi-modal integration. This hypothesis is supported by observed insular and right IFG activity during both acoustically (Rao 1997; Riecker 2002) and visually (Cerasa 2005; Penhune 1998) stimulated timing tasks.

The self-paced group exhibited small clusters of concordance within the left inferior ventral premotor cortex (BA 6) and the right cerebellar pyramis (VIII-IX; Schmahmann 1999). The role of the ventral premotor cortex is discussed more fully below in relation to its observed concordance for the complex finger tapping tasks, but as it is most often associated with the execution of visually guided movements (Kurata 1993,1994a; Rizzolatti 1996b; Debaere 2003), its apparent concordance for the self-paced tapping task group represents a deviation from the accepted role of the PMv. This suggests a role of the PMv in movement beyond sensorimotor integration. There is little published about the right cerebellar pyramis, however, Rijntjes et. al. (1999) provided evidence that it may be the location of a third homunculus. If this is true, it is uncertain why activation was not seen in this area for all finger tapping task variations.

Brodmann's area 44 (frontal opercular cortex; inferior frontal gyrus) has been connected to finger movements (Binkofski 1999; Harrington 2000; Schlaug 1994), motor imagination

(Decety 1994; Grafton 1996; Stephan 1995), motor learning (Seitz 1992), and motor observation (Haslinger 2002; Chouinard 2006). Rao et. al. (1997) found this region to be active during auditory continuation tasks, suggesting it may play a role in the internal timing of movements. Studies have further found the right inferior fronal gyrus along with the right superior temporal gyrus form a network associated with the retrieval and rehearsal of auditory information, particularly in the absence of any external stimulus (Zatorre 1996), making this network key in the subvocal rehearsal systems (Paulesu 1993). Additional studies have proposed that this region, along with the lateral aspect of BA 6, forms the human ventral premotor cortex (Tomaiuolo 1999). Activity in the frontal opercular and ventral premotor cortices has been linked to the learning of implicit and explicit motor sequences (Hazeltine 1997; Rauch 1995; Seitz 1992) and novel visuomotor associations (Toni 2001; Toni 2002). In non-human primates, studies have shown that the ventral premotor cortex plays an important role during visually guided movements (Kurata 1994c), so its concordance for the auditorially-paced tasks - many of which are sequential tapping tasks - may have more to do with the performance of the sequential task as opposed to the modality of the pacing sequence.

The use or lack of a pacing stimulus has an effect on the network of brain regions observed to be active during finger tapping tasks. The use of a visual stimulus requires the recruitment of a number of brain regions distinct from either the use of an auditory stimulus or no stimulus. This network includes the bilateral insula, right inferior frontal gyrus (IFG), Brodmann's areas 18 and 37, and left posterior cerebellum. The self-paced tasks appear to be more demanding than the auditorily-paced tasks, necessitating more complex cognitive control, as evidenced by observed concordance in several frontal and prefrontal regions including more lateral aspects of the premotor and parietal cortices, as well as the dorsolateral pretrontal cortex. The auditorily-paced tasks did not appear to recruit many additional regions beyond those observed in the main effects (Figure 1). However, the observed concordance in Brodmann's Area 44 is of interest, as while it this region has previously been associated with the internal timing system, its observed concordance during the auditorily-paced tasks, suggests a role in both the internal timing system as well as the sensorimotor integration of external, auditory pacing stimuli. Taken together, these results suggest that there is a stronger distinction between the visuallypaced tasks and either the auditorily- or self-paced tasks than between the auditorily- and selfpaced tasks, as evidenced by greater overlap of the ALE results for the auditorily- and selfpaced tasks.

TASK COMPLEXITY EFFECTS

Comparing the studies based on the complexity of the tapping task employed - RH index finger, RH multi-finger sequence, and bimanual – conjunction analysis yielded common concordance in the primary sensorimotor cortex, SMA, basal ganglia, and anterior cerebellum. The concordance was contralateral to the dominant hand for the RH index finger tapping task group in all areas except the anterior cerebellum, in which case it was bilateral. The concordance within these executive regions was bilateral for the bimanual tapping group, which was expected. It was also bilateral for the sequence tapping group, even though the majority of the studies included in this group used unimanual sequence tasks.

Concordance within the dorsal premotor cortices was again lateralized based on task variation, with the RH index finger group exhibiting bilateral clusters of concordance, the sequence group right hemispherical, and the bimanual group left hemispherical. The PMd, in addition to its afore-described role in integrating sensory information into movements, has also been shown to be involved in modulating movement frequency and complexity (Nakai 2003; Debaere 2004; Ullén 2003). Kermadi (2000) demonstrated that this region contains bimanual specific neurons, with the left PMd playing a fundamental role in the control of bimanual movements in right-handed subjects (Hlustík 2002). The right PMd has been shown to play a role in the

execution of motor sequences, even those performed with the dominant hand in right-handed subjects (Sadato 1996a). The cluster of concordance centered on the right primary sensorimotor cortex for the sequence tapping task group extended anterior into the cortical area traditionally considered to be the PMd, supporting this conclusion. However, the only definite concordance within the right PMd was seen in the results for the RH index finger tapping group. Since the two clusters of concordance were in similar locations to those seen for the auditorily- and visually-paced groups (and likewise with the anterior extension of the left sensorimotor cluster), their appearance in the RH index finger results may have more to do with that group's inclusion of externally paced tapping tasks, as opposed to the region's role in simple, dominant hand index finger tapping. Particularly, the location of the anterior extension of the left sensorimotor cortex into the left PMd - as discussed above for in reference to the use of pacing stimuli - is in agreement with the locus for simple movements in response to an external stimulus (Chouinard 2006).

The clusters of concordance in the ventral aspect of the premotor cortex were located in the left hemisphere (BA 6) for the RH index finger and sequence groups; the bimanual group also exhibited a small cluster in the left hemisphere (BA 6), in addition to a larger cluster in the right hemisphere (BA 44), where the role of BA 44 in motor tasks and the PMv has been previously addressed in reference to its observed concordance for the auditorily-paced tapping task group. As stated above, the ventral premotor cortex has consistently been shown to be active during visually guided movements (Kurata 1993,1994a; Rizzolatti 1996; Debaere 2003), especially during reaching and grasping tasks (Kurata 1994b, 1994c, 1999; Rizzolatti 1987,1990). Fogassi et. al. (1992) posited that the PMv represents a body-centered frame of reference which is involved in manipulating movement direction towards a target location. Since all of the studies used in these meta-analyses involved finger-tapping tasks, it is more likely that the observed concordance within this region supports the results of Stephan et. al. (1995) who found activation within the left PMv, in particular, during the execution of upper limb movements. This suggests that PMv activity may constitute part of the normal physiologic processes during finger movement. In addition to its role in sensorimotor integration, the left PMv in particular has been implicated speech and language production, as its extent has been shown to encompass part of Broca's region (Binkofski 2004), and as one of the key structures in the human mirror neuron system, demonstrating activation during observation, execution, and imitation of action (Iacoboni 1999,2006).

As mentioned above, the inferior parietal lobe has been implicated in the performance of sequential finger movements (Jenkins 1994a), confirmed by the concordance observed in the right hemisphere for the RH multi-finger sequence group. A large cluster of concordance was also observed in the right LPi for the RH index finger tapping group, suggesting that, in addition to this region's role in sensorimotor integration and sequence performance, it may play a role in the execution of movements in general. There was also an apparent somatotopy within the right LPi, with the region controlling sequence production and performance located more anteriorly to that controlling sensorimotor integration and general movement production. Such a functional dichotomy within this region has not yet, to the best of the authors' knowledge, been reported.

The roles of the insular cortex, right inferior frontal gyrus, claustrum, and right dorsolateral prefrontal cortex have been previously discussed in terms of their roles in externally paced finger tapping tasks. The observed concordance within these regions for the RH index finger group is more likely due to the inclusion of these studies using external pacing cues in the RH index finger tapping task group, as opposed any specific role these regions have in simple, right hand index finger tapping. However in regards to the insular cortex, its role in movement timing would seem to suggest that this region should exhibit concordance for more than just the visually-paced studies and RH index finger studies, especially since this region is also

implicated in such timing tasks as interval sequence encoding (Schubotz 2000) and sensorimotor synchronization (Rubia 2000). The concordance cluster within the left DLPFC, observed for the RH index finger group, cannot be fully explained, as this region has been implicated in motor preparation for imitative tasks as well as in the selection and combination of individual motor elements into new motor tasks (Buccino 2004), and the RH index finger tapping tasks employed by the studies were neither imitative nor novel.

Only the ALE maps for the RH multi-finger sequence tapping task group showed concordance within bilateral posterior parietal cortex (BA7, precuneus). Neurons in the posterior parietal cortex (PPC) have been shown to be responsive to hand manipulation and movements in extrapersonal space (Mountcastle 1975), and the region itself is thought of as an integrative system involved in the processing of spatial aspects of movements (Boecker 1998; Sadato 1996a; Roland 1980a). Since finger tapping tasks do not necessitate extensive movements in extrapersonal space, the observed concordance within the PPC may suggest that this area is also involved in the temporal aspects of the task, the integration of sensory information into the movement sequence, as well as the production of movement sequences in general (Gordon 1998s). Studies have shown that this region, particularly the right PPC, is active during complex sequential motor tasks (Boecker 1998; Jenkins 1994a; Wenderoth 2005; Grafton 1992b). Further studies have demonstrated the role of the PPC in the integration of both auditory and visual cues into movement selection and execution (Deiber 1991; Grafton 1992b; Jenkins 1994a). In addition to serving as a higher-order motor structure, activity within the PPC has been linked to the executive processing of working memory, in particular, updating, order, and manipulation tasks (Wager 2003). Further studies have also demonstrated this region to be active during memory retrieval (Buckner 1996; Smith 1997; Krause 1999; Schmidt 2002; Shannon and Buckner 2004), suggesting that activity in the posterior parietal cortex may be related to the retrieval of a memorized sequence and its translation into a plan of execution (Sakai 1998) in addition to its above described role in the temporal aspects and sensory integration of movement sequences.

Concordance for the bimanual tapping task group is observed in the posterior cerebellum, vermal lobe VI/declive (Schmahmann 1999). Activity in the posterior cerebellum has been most often linked to the temporal aspects of motor and visuospatial working memory tasks (Geier 2007; Jantzen 2007; Simmonds 2007). Additionally, Habas et. al. (2004b) demonstrated, specifically, that vermal lobe VI may play a role in both simple and complex bimanual movements. These results suggest that bimanual movements may require additional temporal processing compared with unimanual tasks.

EFFECTS OF TAPPING RATE AND PROFICIENCY

Although we included coordinates from studies specifically employing tapping tasks of varying rates (Aramaki 2006; Blinkenberg 1996; Jäncke 1999; Jäncke 2000a; Kawashima 1999; Lehéricy 2006; Riecker 2006; Rounis 2006), and previous studies have shown that the so called "rate effect" can have an impact on the degree of both cortical (Deiber 1999; Jäncke 1998; Lutz 2005) and subcortical (Lutz 2005) activations, it would be difficult for us to draw any conclusions regarding the effect of varying the frequency of a tapping task would have on the observed clusters of concordance. For all studies reporting a movement frequency, the frequencies ranged from 0.25 to 4 Hz (average = 1.73 Hz; mode = 2 Hz). There, as yet, does not appear to be a consensus as to at what frequency or frequencies of movement the rate effect becomes important (Deiber 1999). Sadato et. al. (1996b) found peak SMA activation at 0.5 Hz, with decreasing activation at higher frequencies. Blinkenberg et. al. (1996), in contrast, found peak SMA activation at 1 Hz, with decreasing activation at higher frequencies less than 2 Hz; a second peak was reported at 4 Hz. Finally, in regards to self-paced, repetitive simple movements in young, healthy subjects, Diciotti et. al. (2007) demonstrated that the rate effect

did was not a relevant source of variability in fMRI signal for task frequencies ranging from 0.2 to 2 Hz. Since the average and mode frequencies of movement reported were both approximately 2 Hz, it is unlikely that the rate effect had any significant impact on the results of our meta-analyses.

Enhanced training on motor tasks has also been shown to have an effect on the level of activation observed in cortical motor structures, particularly the primary sensorimotor cortex (Jäncke 2000c; Koeneke 2006a, 2006b). Prior functional neuroimaging studies have demonstrated an initial decrease in primary sensorimotor cortical activation contralateral to the moving hand during motor skill acquisition, followed by an enlargement in activation in this same region during the course of motor training, which has been shown to be sustained for up to 4 weeks post-training (Jäncke 2000c). Motor skill acquisition has been suggested to occur in two discrete stages: the first being a fast learning, initial, within-session improvement phase and the second being a slow learning phase, consisting of delayed, incremental gains in performance during continued practice (Koeneke 2006a). Only one study included (Boecker 1998) reported using an extended training period of 2 weeks, in an effort to allow the complex tapping sequences employed to become more automatic. Of the remaining studies who reported training (21 studies), all used training sessions just prior to scanning. Although the majority of these sutides merely indicated that training had occurred, several studies did report the duration of these training sessions, which lasted from a few minutes to up to an hour. As there is no conclusive neurophysiological data demonstrating a clear effect of the first stage of motor skill learning (Koeneke 2006a), the lack of consistency in reporting details on pre-scan training makes it again difficult to assess what effect, if any this may have had on our results.

CONCLUSIONS

From the results of the meta-analyses performed, it appears that the choice or lack of a pacing stimulus has a greater effect on the network of brain regions consistently reported to be active than the choice of a more complex tapping task. For all of the task variations considered, though, the additional regions reported to be active, beyond those involved in the general motor execution, seem to be involved preferentially in the temporal aspects of the tapping task. The use of a visual pacing stimulus seems to require a network of brain regions that is distinct from either that observed during the use of an auditory or no pacing stimulus. This suggests that the network of brain regions necessary to integrate a visual pacing stimulus into a simple motor task is separate from that for an auditory pacing stimulus, however, further study examining the effects of pacing stimuli on the performance of simple motor tasks is warranted. The lack of diversity in brain networks consistently reported during the two complex finger tapping task variations examined here suggests that further complexity, such as movement in extra-personal space, may be required to fully elucidate the network of brain regions necessary to execute truly complex motor tasks.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

ARL was supported by the Human Brain Project of the NIMH (R01-MH074457-01A1). STW was supported by the Vilas (William F) Trust Estate: Vilas Life Cycle Professorship and NIH grant 1-R01-CA118365-01.

REFERENCES

- Aoki T, Tsuda H, Takasawa M, Osaki Y, Oku N, Hatazawa J, Kinoshita H. The effect of tapping finger and mode differences on cortical and subcortical activities: a PET study. Exp. Brain Res 2005;160:375– 383. [PubMed: 15368088]
- Aramaki Y, Honda M, Okada T, Sadato N. Neural correlates of the spontaneous phase transition during bimanual coordination. Cerebral Cortex 2006;16:1338–1348. [PubMed: 16306323]

- Augustine JR. Circuitry and functional aspects of the insular lobe in primates including humans. Brain Res. Brain Res. Rev 1996;22:229–244. [PubMed: 8957561]
- Binkofski F, Buccino G, Posse S, Seitz RJ, Rizzolattie G, Freund H. A fronto-parietal circuit for object manipulation in man: evidence from an fMRI-study. Eur. J. Neurosci 1999;11:3276–3286. [PubMed: 10510191]
- Binkofski F, Buccino G. Motor functions of the Broca's region. Brain and Language 2004;89:362–369. [PubMed: 15068919]
- Blinkenberg M, Bonde C, Holm S, Svarer C, Andersen J, Paulson OB, Law I. Rate dependence of regional cerebral activation during performance of a repetitive motor task: a PET study. J. Cereb. Blood Flow Metab 1996;16:794–803. [PubMed: 8784224]
- Boecker H, Dagher A, Ceballos-Baumann AO, Passingham RE, Samuel M, Friston KJ, Poline J, Dettmers C, Conrad B, Brooks DJ. Role of the human rostral supplementary motor area and the basal ganglia in motor sequence control: investigations with H2 15O PET. J. Neurophysiol 1998;79:1070–1080. [PubMed: 9463462]
- Brett M, Christoff K, Cusack R, Lancaster J. Using the Talairach atlas with the MNI template. NeuroImage 2001;13:S85.
- Brett M, Johnsrude IS, Owen AM. The problem of functional localization in the human brain. Nat. Rev. Neurosci 2002;3:243–249. [PubMed: 11994756]
- Brinkman C. Supplementary motor area of the monkey's cerebral cortex: short- and long-term deficits after unilateral ablation and the effects of subsequent callosal section. J. Neurosci 1984;4:918–929. [PubMed: 6716131]
- Buccino G, Vogt S, Ritzl A, Fink GR, Zilles K, Freund HJ, Rizzolatti G. Neural circuits underlying imitation learning of hand actions: an event-related fMRI study. Neuron 2004;42:323–334. [PubMed: 15091346]
- Buckner RL, Raichle ME, Miezin FM, Petersen SE. Functional anatomic studies of memory retrieval for auditory words and visual pictures. J. Neurosci 1996;16:6219–6235. [PubMed: 8815903]
- Calautti C, Serrati C, Baron JC. Effects of age on brain activation during auditory-cued thumb-to-index opposition: A positron emission tomography study. Stroke 2001;32:139–146. [PubMed: 11136929]
- Catalan MJ, Honda M, Weeks RA, Cohen LG, Hallett M. The functional neuroanatomy of simple and complex sequential finger movements: a PET study. Brain 1998;121:253–264. [PubMed: 9549504]
- Catalan MJ, Ishii K, Honda M, Samii A, Hallett M. A PET study of sequential finger movements of varying length in patients with Parkinson's disease. Brain 1999;122:483–495. [PubMed: 10094257]
- Cerasa A, Hagberg GE, Bianciardi M, Sabatini U. Visually cued motor synchronization: modulation of fMRI activation patterns by baseline condition. Neurosci. Lett 2005;373:32–37. [PubMed: 15555772]
- Cerasa A, Hagberg GE, Peppe A, Bianciardi M, Gioia MC, Costa A, Castriota-Scanderbeg A, Caltagirone C, Sabatini U. Functional changes in the activity of cerebellum and frontostriatal regions during externally and internally timed movement in Parkinson's disease. Brain Res. Bull 2006;71:259–269. [PubMed: 17113955]
- Chein JM, Fissell K, Jacobs S, Fiez JA. Functional heterogeneity within Broca's area during verbal working memory. Physiol. Behav 2002;77:635–639. [PubMed: 12527011]
- Chen YC, Thaler D, Nixon PD, Stern CE, Passingham RE. The functions of the medial premotor cortex. II. The timing and selection of learned movements. Exp. Brain Res 1995;102:461–473. [PubMed: 7737392]
- Chen R, Gerloff C, Hallett M, Cohen LG. Involvement of the ipsilateral motor cortex in finger movements of different complexities. Ann. Neurol 1997;41:247–254. [PubMed: 9029074]
- Chollet F, DiPiero V, Wise RJ, Brooks DJ, Dolan RJ, Frackowiak RS. The functional anatomy of motor recovery after stroke in humans: a study with positron emission tomography. Ann. Neurol 1991;29:63–71. [PubMed: 1996881]
- Chouinard PA, Paus T. The primary motor and premotor areas of the human cerebral cortex. The Neuroscientist 2006;12:143–152. [PubMed: 16514011]
- Colebatch JG, Deiber MP, Passingham RE, Friston KJ, Frackowiak RS. Region cerebral blood flow during voluntary arm and hand movements in human subjects. J. Neurophysiol 1991;65:1392–1401. [PubMed: 1875248]

- Crammond DJ, Kalaska JF. Differential relation of discharge in primary motor cortex and premotor cortex to movements versus actively maintained postures during a reaching task. Exp. Brain Res 1996;108:45–61. [PubMed: 8721154]
- Crick FC, Koch C. What is the function of the claustrum? Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond., B, Bio. Sci 2005;360:1271–1279. [PubMed: 16147522]
- Cunnington R, Windischberger C, Deecke L, Moser E. The preparation and execution of self-initiated and externally-triggered movement: a study of event-related fMRI. NeuroImage 2002;15:373–385. [PubMed: 11798272]
- De Luca M, Smith S, De Stefano N, Federico A, Matthews PM. Blood oxygenation level dependent contrast resting state networks are relevant to functional activity in the neocortical sensorimotor system. Exp. Brain Res 2005;167:587–594. [PubMed: 16284751]
- Debaere F, Wenderoth N, Sunaert S, Van Hecke P, Swinnen SP. Internal vs. external generation of movements: differential neural pathways involved in bimanual coordination performed in the presence or absence of augmented visual feedback. NeuroImage 2003;19:764–776. [PubMed: 12880805]
- Debaere F, Wenderoth N, Sunaert S, Van Hecke P, Swinnen SP. Cerebellar and premotor function in bimanual coordination: parametric neural response to spatiotemporal complexity and cycling frequency. NeuroImage 2004;21:1416–1427. [PubMed: 15050567]
- Decety J, Perani D, Jeannerod M, Bettinardi V, Tadary B, Woods R, Mazziotta JC, Fazio F. Mapping motor representations with positron emission tomography. Nature 1994;371:600–602. [PubMed: 7935791]
- Deiber MP, Passingham RE, Colebatch JG, Friston KJ, Nixon PD, Frackowiak RS. Cortical areas and the selection of movement: a study with positron emission tomography. Exp. Brain Res 1991;84:393– 402. [PubMed: 2065746]
- Deiber MP, Honda M, Ibañez V, Sadato N, Hallet M. Mesial motor areas in self-initiated versus externally triggered movements examined with fMRI: Effect of movement type and rate. J. Neurophysiol 1999;81:3065–3077. [PubMed: 10368421]
- Denslow S, Lomarev M, George MS, Bohning DE. Cortical and subcortical brain effects of transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS)-induced movement: an interleaved TMS/functional magnetic resonance imaging study. Biol. Pyschiatry 2005;57:752–760.
- Diciotti S, Gavazzi C, Della Nave R, Boni E, Ginestroni A, Paoli L, Cecchi P, De Stefano N, Mascalchi M. Self-paced frequency of a simple motor task and brain activation: An fMRI study in healthy subjects using an on-line monitor device. NeuroImage 2007;38:402–412. [PubMed: 17878070]
- Edelstein LR, Denaro FJ. The clastrum: a historical review of its anatomy, physiology, cytochemistry and functional significance. Cell. Mol. Biol. (Noisy-le-grand) 2004;50:675–702. [PubMed: 15643691]
- Fogassi L, Gallese V, di Pellegrino G, Fadiga L, Gentilucci J, Luppino G, Matelli M, Pedotti A, Rizzolatti G. Space coding by premotor cortex. Exp. Brain Res 1992;89:686–690. [PubMed: 1644132]
- Forss N, Jousmaki V. Sensorimotor integration in human primary and secondary somatosensory cortices. Brain Res 1998;781:259–267. [PubMed: 9507157]
- Fox PT, Raichle ME, Thach WT. Functional mapping of the human cerebellum with positron emission tomography. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1985;82:7462–7466. [PubMed: 3877309]
- Fox PT, Narayana S, Tandon N, Sandoval H, Fox SP, Kochunov P, Lancaster JL. Column-based model of electric field excitation of cerebral cortex. Human Brain Mapping 2004;22:1–14. [PubMed: 15083522]
- Frith CD, Friston K, Liddle PF, Frackowiak RS. Willed action and prefrontal cortex in man: a study with PET. Proc. Biol. Sci 1991;244:241–246. [PubMed: 1679944]
- Geier CF, Garver KE, Luna B. Circuitry underlying temporally extended spatial working memory. NeuroImage 2007;35:904–915. [PubMed: 17292627]
- Gelnar PA, Krauss BR, Sheehe PR, Szeverenyi NM, Apkarian AV. A comparative fMRI study of cortical representations for thermal painful, vibrotactial, and motor performance tasks. NeuroImage 1999;10:460–482. [PubMed: 10493903]

- Gerardin E, Sirigu A, Lehéricy S, Poline JB, Gaymard B, Marsault C, Agid Y, Le Bihan D. Partially overlapping neural networks for real and imagined hand movements. Cereb. Cortex 2000;10:1093– 1104. [PubMed: 11053230]
- Gerloff C, Corwell B, Chen R, Hallett M, Cohen LG. The role of the human motor cortex in the control of complex and simple finger movement sequences. Brain 1998;121:1695–1709. [PubMed: 9762958]
- Godschalk M, Lemon RN, Nijs HG, Kuypers HG. Behaviour of neurons in monkey periarcuate and precentral cortex before and during visually guided arm and hand movements. Exp. Brain Res 1981;44:113–116. [PubMed: 7274360]
- Goerres GW, Samuel M, Jenkins IH, Brooks DJ. Cerebral control of unimanual and bimanual movements: an H2(15)O PET study. Neuroreport 1998;9:3631–3638. [PubMed: 9858371]
- Goldberg G. Supplementary motor area structure and function: review and hypotheses. Behav. Brain Sci 1985;8:567–616.
- Gordon AM, Lee JH, Flament D, Ugurbil K, Ebner TJ. Functional magnetic resonance imaging of motor, sensory, and posterior parietal cortical areas during performance of sequential typing movements. Exp. Brain Res 1998;121:153–166. [PubMed: 9696384]
- Gosain AK, Birn RM, Hyde JS. Localization of the cortical response to smiling using new imaging paradigms with functional magnetic resonance imaging. Plast. Reconstr. Surg 2001;108:1136–1144. [PubMed: 11604609]
- Grafton ST, Mazziotta JC, Woods RP, Phelps ME. Human functional anatomy of visually guided finger movements. Brain 1992a;115:565–587. [PubMed: 1606482]
- Grafton ST, Mazziotta JC, Presky S, Friston KJ, Frackowiak RS, Phelps ME. Functional anatomy of human procedural learning determined with regional cerebral blood flow and PET. J. Neurosci 1992b; 12:2542–2548. [PubMed: 1613546]
- Grafton ST, Woods RP, Tyszka M. Functional imaging of procedural motor learning: Relating cerebral blood flow with individual subject performance. Human Brain Mapping 1993;1:221–234.
- Grafton ST, Fagg AH, Woods RP, Arbib MA. Functional anatomy of pointing and grasping in humans. Cereb. Cortex 1999;6:226–237. [PubMed: 8670653]
- Habas C, Axelrad H, Cabanis EA. The cerebellar second homunculus remains silent during passinve bimanual movements. Neuroreport 2004a;15:1571–1574. [PubMed: 15232285]
- Habas C, Axelrad H, Nguyen TH, Cabanis EA. Specific neocerebellar activation during out-of-phase bimanual movements. Neuroreport 2004b;15:595–599. [PubMed: 15094459]
- Hadjikhani N, Roland PE. Cross-modal transfer of information between the tactile and the visual representations in the human brain: A positron emission tomographic study. J. Neurosci 1998;18:1072–1084. [PubMed: 9437027]
- Halsband U, Passingham RE. The role of premotor and parietal cortex in the direction of action. Brain Res 1982;240:368–372. [PubMed: 7104700]
- Halsband U, Passingham RE. Premotor cortex and the conditions for movement in monkeys (Macaca fascicularis). Behav. Brain Res 1985;18:269–277. [PubMed: 4091963]
- Halsband U, Ito N, Tanji J, Freund HJ. The role of premotor cortex and the supplementary motor area in the temporal control of movement in man. Brain 1993;116:243–266. [PubMed: 8453461]
- Hanakawa T, Immisch I, Toma K, Dimyan MA, Van Gelderen P, Hallett M. Functional properties of brain areas associated with motor execution and imagery. J. Neurophysiol 2003;89:989–1002. [PubMed: 12574475]
- Harrington DL, Rao SM, Haaland KY, Bobholz JA, Mayer AR, Binderx JR, Cox RW. Specialized neural systems underlying representations of sequential movements. J. Cogn. Neurosci 2000;12:56–77. [PubMed: 10769306]
- Haslinger B, Erhard P, Weilke F, Ceballos-Baumnann AO, Bartenstein P, von Einsiedel HG, Schwaiger M, Conrad B, Boecker H. The role of lateral premotor-cerebellar-parietal circuits in motor sequence control: a parametric fMRI study. Brain Res. Cogn. Brain Res 2002;13:159–168. [PubMed: 11958958]
- Hazeltine E, Grafton ST, Ivry R. Attention and stimulus characteristics determine the locus of motorsequence encoding. A PET study. Brain 1997;120:123–140. [PubMed: 9055803]
- Hlustík P, Solodkin A, Gullapalli RP, Noll DC, Small SL. Functional lateralization of the human premotor cortex during sequential movements. Brain and cognition 2002;49:54–62. [PubMed: 12027392]

- Honda M, Deiber MP, Ibáñez V, Pascual-Leone A, Zhuang P, Hallett M. Dynamic cortical involvement in implicit and explicit motor sequence learning. A PET study. Brain 1998;121:2159–2173. [PubMed: 9827775]
- Huttenen J, Wikström H, Korvenoja A, Seppäläinen AM, Aronen H, Ilmoniemi RJ. Significance of the second somatosensory cortex in sensorimotor integration: enhancement of sensory responses during finger movements. Neuroreport 1996;7:1009–1012. [PubMed: 8804041]
- Iacoboni M, Woods RP, Brass M, Bekkering H, Mazziotta JC, Rizzolatti G. Cortical mechanisms of human imitation. Science 1999;286:2526–2528. [PubMed: 10617472]
- Iacoboni M, Dapretto M. The mirror neuron system and the consequence of its dysfunction. Nat. Rev. Neurosci 2006;7:942–951. [PubMed: 17115076]
- Immisch I, Walvogel D, van Gelderen P, Hallett M. The role of the medial wall and its anatomical variations for bimanual antiphase and in-phase movements. NeuroImage 2001;14:674–684. [PubMed: 11506540]
- Indovina I, Sanes JN. Combined visual attention and finger movement effects on human brain representations. Exp. Brain Res 2001;140:265–279. [PubMed: 11681302]
- Jahanshahi M, Jenkins IH, Brown RG, Marsden CD, Passingham RE, Brooks DJ. Self-initiated versus externally triggered movements. I. An investigation using measurement of regional cerebral blood flow with PET and movement-related potentials in normal and Parkinson's disease subjects. Brain 1995;118:913–933. [PubMed: 7655888]
- Jantzen KJ, Oullier O, Marshall M, Steinberg FL, Kelso JAS. A parametric fMRI investigation of context effects in sensorimotor timing and coordination. Neuropsychologia 2007;45:673–684. [PubMed: 17014871]
- Jäncke L, Specht K, Mirzazade S, Loose R, Himmelbach M, Lutz K, Shah NJ. A parametric analysis of the 'rate effect' in the sensorimotor cortex: a functional magnetic resonance imaging analysis in human subjects. Neurosci. Lett 1998;252:37–40. [PubMed: 9756353]
- Jäncke L, Specht K, Mirzazade S, Peters M. The effect of finger-movement speede of the dominant and the subdominant hand on cerebellar activation: A functional magnetic resonance imaging study. NeuroImage 1999;9:497–507. [PubMed: 10329289]
- Jäncke L, Peters M, Himmelbach M, Nösselt T, Shah J, Steimetz H. fMRI study of bimanual coordination. Neuropsychologia 2000a;38:164–174.
- Jäncke L, Loose R, Lutz K, Specht K, Shah NJ. Cortical activations during paced finger-tapping applying visual and auditory pacing stimuli. Brain Res. Cogn. Brain Res 2000b;10:51–66.
- Jäncke L, Shah NJ, Peters M. Cortical activations in primary and secondary motor areas for complex bimanual movements in professional pianists. Cogn. Brain Res 2000c;10:177–183.
- Jenkins IH, Brooks DJ, Nixon PD, Frackowiak RS, Passingham RE. Motor sequence learning: a study with positron emission tomography. J. Neurosci 1994a;14:3775–3790. [PubMed: 8207487]
- Jenkins IH, Jahanshahi M, Brown R, Frackowiak RSJ, Marsden CD, Passingham RE, Brooks DJ. Impaired activation of mesial frontal-cortex during self-paced movements in Parkinsons-disease. Neurology 1994b;44:A353.
- Johnson PB, Ferraina S, Bianchi L, Caminti R. Cortical networks for visual reaching: physiological and anatomical organization of frontal and parietal lobe arm regions. Cereb. Cortex 1996;6:102–119. [PubMed: 8670643]
- Joliot M, Crivello F, Badier JM, Diallo B, Tzourio N, Mazoyer B. Anatomical congruence of metabolic and electromagnetic activation signals during a self-paced motor task: a combined PET-MEG study. NeuroImage 1998;7:337–351. [PubMed: 9626674]
- Joliot M, Papathanassiou D, Mellet E, Quinton O, Mazoyer N, Courtheoux P, Mazoyer B. FMRI and PET of self-paced finger movement: comparison of intersubject stereotaxic averaged data. NeuroImage 1999;10:430–447. [PubMed: 10493901]
- Jueptner M, Frith CD, Brooks DJ, Frackowiak RS, Passingham RE. Anatomy of motor learning. II. Subcortical structures and learning by trial and error. J. Neurophysiol 1997;77:1325–1337. [PubMed: 9084600]
- Kawashima R, Yamada K, Kinomura S, Yamaguchi T, Matsui H, Yoshioka S, Fukuda H. Regional cerebral blood flow changes of cortical motor areas and prefrontal areas in humans related to ipsilateral and contralateral hand movement. Brain Res 1993;623:33–40. [PubMed: 8221091]

- Kawashima R, Fukuda H. Functional organization of the human primary motor area: an update on current concepts. Rev. Neurosci 1994a;5:347–354. [PubMed: 7697202]
- Kawashima R, Roland PE, O'Sullivan BT. Activity in the human primary motor cortex related to ipsilateral hand movements. Brain Res 1994b;663:251–256. [PubMed: 7874508]
- Kawashima R, Roland PE, O'Sullivan BT. Functional anatomy of reaching and visuomotor learning: a positron emission tomography study. Cereb. Cortex 1995;5:111–122. [PubMed: 7620288]
- Kawashima R, Inoue K, Sugiura M, Okada K, Ogawa A, Fukuda H. A positron emission tomography study of self-paced finger movements at difference frequencies. Neuroscience 1999;92:107–112. [PubMed: 10392834]
- Kawashima R, Okuda J, Umetsu A, Sugiura M, Inoue K, Suzuki K, Tabuchi M, Tsukiura T, Narayan SL, Nagasaka T, Yanagawa I, Fujii T, Takahashi S, Fukuda H, Yamadori A. Human cerebellum plays an important role in memory-timed finger movement: an fMRI study. J. Neurophysiol 2000;83:1079– 1087. [PubMed: 10669519]
- Kermadi I, Liu Y, Rouiller EM. Do bimanual motor actions involve the dorsal premotor (PMd), cingulated (CMA) and posterior parietal (PPC) cortices? Comparison with primary and supplementary motor cortical areas. Somatosensory & motor research 2000;17:255–271. [PubMed: 10994596]
- Kim SG, Ashe J, Georgopoulos AP, Merkle H, Ellermann JM, Menon RS, Ogawa S, Ugurbil K. Functional imaging of human motor cortex at high magnetic field. J. Neurophysiol 1993a;69:297– 302.
- Kim SG, Ashe J, Hendrich K, Ellermann JM, Merkle H, Ugurbil K, Georgopoulos AP. Functional magnetic resonance imaging of motor cortex: hemispheric asymmetry and handedness. Science 1993b;261:615–617. [PubMed: 8342027]
- Koeneke S, Lutz K, Herwig U, Ziemann U, Jäncke L. Extensivve training of lementary finger tapping movements changes the pattern of motor cortex excitability. Exp. Brain Res 2000a;174:199–209. [PubMed: 16604315]
- Koeneke S, Lutz K, Esslen M, Jäncke L. How finger tapping practice enhances efficiency of motor control. NeuroReport 2006b;17:1565–1569. [PubMed: 17001269]
- Krause BJ, Schmidt D, Mottaghy FM, Taylor J, Halsband U, Herzog H, Tellmann L, Müller-Gärtner HW. Episodic retrieval activates the precuneus irrespective of the imagery content of word pair associates. A PET study. Brain 1999;122:255–263. [PubMed: 10071054]
- Kuhtz-Buschbeck JP, Mahnkopf C, Holzknecht C, Siebner H, Ulmer S, Jansen O. Effector-independent representations of simple and complex imagined finger movements: a combined fMRI and TMS study. Eur. J. Neurosci 2003;18:3375–3387. [PubMed: 14686911]
- Kurata K. Premotor cortex of monkeys: set- and movement-related activity reflecting amplitude and direction of wrist movements. J. Neurophysiol 1993;69:187–200. [PubMed: 8433130]
- Kurata K. Information processing for motor control in primate premotor cortex. Behav. Brain Res 1994a; 61:135–142. [PubMed: 8037861]
- Kurata K. Site of origin of projections from the thalamus to dorsal versus ventral aspects of the premotor cortex of monkeys. Neurosci. Res 1994b;21:71–76. [PubMed: 7535905]
- Kurata K, Hoffman DS. Differential effects of muscimol microinjection into dorsal and ventral aspects of the premotor cortex of monkeys. J. Neurophysiol 1994c;71:1151–1164. [PubMed: 8201409]
- Kurata K, Hoshi E. Reacquisition deficits in prism adaptation after muscimol microinjection into the ventral premotor cortex of monkeys. J. Neurophysiol 1999;81:1927–1938. [PubMed: 10200227]
- Laird AR, Fox PM, Price CJ, Glahn DC, Uecker AM, Lancaster JL, Turkeltaub PE, Kochunov P, Fox PT. ALE meta-analysis: controlling the false discovery rate and performing statistical contrasts. Human Brain Mapping 2005;25:155–164. [PubMed: 15846811]
- Lancaster JL, Tordesillas-Gutiérrez D, Martinez M, Salinas F, Evans A, Zilles K, Mazziotta JC, Fox PT. Bias between MNI and Talairach coordinates analyzed using the ICBM-152 brain template. Human Brain Mapping 2007;28:1194–1205. [PubMed: 17266101]
- Larsson J, Gulyás B, Roland PE. Cortical representation of self-paced finger movement. Neuroreport 1996;7:463–468. [PubMed: 8730806]
- Lehéricy S, van de Moortele PF, Lobel E, Paradis AL, Vidailhet M, Frouin V, Neveu P, Agid Y, Marsault C, Le Bihan D. Somatotopical organization of striatal activation during finger and toe movement:

a 3-T functional magnetic resonance imaging study. Ann. Neurol 1998;44:398–404. [PubMed: 9749610]

- Lehéricy S, Bardinet E, Tremblay L, van de Moortele PF, Pochon JB, Dormont D, Kim DS, Yelnik J, Ugurbil K. Motor control in basal ganglia circuits using fMRI and brain atlas approaches. Cereb. Cortex 2006;16:149–161. [PubMed: 15858164]
- Lerner A, Shill H, Hanakawa T, Bushara K, Goldfine A, Hallett M. Regional cerebral blood flow correlates of the severity of writer's cramp symptoms. NeuroImage 2004;21:904–913. [PubMed: 15006657]
- Lin YY, Forss N. Functional characterization of human second somatosensory cortex by magnetoencephalography. Behav. Brain Res 2002;135:141–145. [PubMed: 12356444]
- Lutz K, Specht K, Shah NJ, Jäncke L. Tapping movements according to regular and irregular visual timing signals investigated with fMRI. Neuroreport 2000;11:1301–1306. [PubMed: 10817611]
- Lutz K, Koeneke S, Wüstenberg T, Jäncke L. Asymmetry of cortical activation during maximum and convenient tapping speed. Neurosci. Lett 2005;373:61–66. [PubMed: 15555778]
- Maillard L, Ishii K, Bushara K, Waldvogel D, Schulman AE, Hallett M. Mapping the basal ganglia: fMRI evidence for somatotopic representation of face, hand, and foot. Neurology 2000;55:377–383. [PubMed: 10932271]
- Matelli M, Rizzolatti G, Bettinardi V, Gilardi MC, Perani D, Rizzo G, Fazio F. Activation of precentral and mesial motor areas during the execution of elementary proximal and distal arm movements: a PET study. Neuroreport 1993;4:1295–1298. [PubMed: 8260608]
- Mattay VS, Callicott JH, Bertolino A, Santha AK, Van Horn JD, Tallent KA, Frank JA, Weinberger DR. Hemispheric control of motor function: a whole brain echo planar fMRI study. Psychiatry Res 1998;83:7–22. [PubMed: 9754701]
- Menon V, Glover GH, Pfefferbaum A. Differential activation of dorsal basal ganglia during externally and self paced sequences of arm movements. Neuroreport 1998;9:1567–1573. [PubMed: 9631468]
- Meyer-Lindenberg A, Ziemann U, Hajak G, Cohen L, Berman KF. Transitions between dynamical states of differing stability in the human brain. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2002;99:10948–10953. [PubMed: 12151599]
- Middleton FA, Strick PL. Basal ganglia and cerebellar loops: motor and cognitive circuits. Brain Res. Brain Res. Rev 2000;31:236–250. [PubMed: 10719151]
- Mountcastle VB, Lynch JC, Georgopoulos A, Sakata H, Acuna C. Posterior parietal association cortex of the monkey: command functions for operations within extrapersonal space. J. Neurophysiol 1975;38:871–908.
- Mushiake H, Inase M, Tanji J. Selective coding of motor sequence in the supplementary motor area of the monkey cerebral cortex. Exp. Brain Res 1990;82:208–210. [PubMed: 2257906]
- Mushiake H, Inase M, Tanji J. Neuronal activity in the primate premotor, supplementary, and precentral motor cortex during visually guided and internally determined sequential movements. J. Neurophysiol 1991;66:705–718. [PubMed: 1753282]
- Müller JL, Röder CH, Schuierer G, Klein H. Motor-induced brain activation in cortical, subcortical and cerebellar regions in schizophrenic inpatients A whole brain fMRI fingertapping study. Prog. Neuropsychopharmocol. Biol. Psychiatry 2002;26:421–426.
- Nakai T, Kato C, Glover GH, Toma K, Moriya T, Matsuo K. A functional magnetic resonance imaging study of internal modulation of an external visual cue for motor execution. Brain Res 2003;968:238– 247. [PubMed: 12663093]
- Orgogozo JM, Larsen B. Activation of the supplementary motor area during voluntary movement in man suggests it works as a supramotor area. Science 1979;206:847–850. [PubMed: 493986]
- Pardo JV, Fox PT, Raichle ME. Localization of a human system for sustained attention by positron emission tomography. Nature 1991;349:61–64. [PubMed: 1985266]
- Passingham RE. Premotor cortex: sensory cues and movement. Behav. Brain Res 1985;18:175–185. [PubMed: 4096831]
- Paulesu E, Frith CD, Frackowiak RS. The neural correlates of the verbal component of working memory. Nature 1993;362:342–345. [PubMed: 8455719]
- Penhune VB, Zattore RJ, Evans AC. Cerebellar contributions to motor timing: a PET study of auditory and visual rhythm reproduction. J. Cogn. Neurosci 1998;10:752–765. [PubMed: 9831742]

- Petit L, Orssaud C, Tzourio N, Salamon G, Mazoyer B, Berthoz A. PET study of voluntary saccadic eye movements in humans: basal ganglia-thalamocortical system and cingulated cortex involvement. J. Neurophysiol 1993;69:1009–1017.
- Petrides M. Motor conditional associative-learning after selective prefrontal lesions in the monkey. Behav. Brain Res 1982;5:407–413. [PubMed: 7126320]
- Petrides M. Deficits in non-spatial conditional associated learning after periarcuate lesions in the monkey. Behav. Brain Res 1985;1985:95–101. [PubMed: 4041217]
- Playford ED, Jenkins IH, Passingham RE, Nutt J, Frackowiak RS, Brooks DJ. Impaired mesial frontal and putamen activation in Parkinson's disease: a positron emission tomography study. Ann. Neurol 1992;32:151–161. [PubMed: 1510355]
- Ramsey NF, Kirkby BS, van Gelderen P, Berman KF, Duyn JH, Frank JA, Mattay VS, Van Horn JD, Esposito G, Moonen CT, Weinberger DR. Functional mapping of human sensorimotor cortex with 3D BOLD fMRI correlates highly with H2(15)O PET rCBF. J. Cereb. Blood Flow Metab 1996;16:755–764. [PubMed: 8784221]
- Rao SM, Binder JR, Bandettini PA, Hammeke TA, Yetkin FZ, Jesmanowicz A, Lisk LM, Morris GL, Mueller WM, Estkowski LD. Functional magnetic resonance imaging of complex human movements. Neurology 1993;43:2311–2318. [PubMed: 8232948]
- Rao SM, Harrington DL, Haaland KY, Bobholz JA, Cox RW, Binder JR. Distributed neural systems underlying the timing of movements. J. Neurosci 1997;17:5528–5535. [PubMed: 9204934]
- Rao SM, Mayer AR, Harrington DL. The evolution of brain activation during temporal processing. Naure Neurosci 2001;4:317–323.
- Rauch SL, Savage CR, Brown HD, Curran T, Alpert NM, Kendrick A, Fischman AJ, Kosslyn SM. A PET investigation of implicit and explicit sequence learning. Human Brain Mapping 1995;3:271– 286.
- Riecker A, Wildgruber D, Dogil G, Grodd W, Ackermann H. Hemispheric lateralization effects of rhythm implementation during syllable repetitions: an fMRI study. NeuroImage 2002;16:169–176. [PubMed: 11969327]
- Riecker A, Gröschel K, Ackermann H, Steinbrink C, Witte O, Kastrup A. Functional significance of agerelated differences in motor activation patterns. NeuroImage 2006;32:1345–1354. [PubMed: 16798017]
- Rijntjes M, Dettmers C, Büchel C, Kiebel S, Frackowiak RS, Weiller C. A blueprint for movement: functional and anatomical representations in the human motor system. J. Neurosci 1999;19:8043– 8048. [PubMed: 10479704]
- Rizzolatti G, Gentilucci M, Fogassi L, Luppino G, Matelli M, Ponzoni-Maggi S. Neurons related to goaldirected motor acts in inferior act 6 of the macaque monkey. Exp. Brain Res 1987;67:220–224. [PubMed: 3622679]
- Rizzolatti G, Gentilucci M, Camarda RM, Gallese V, Luppino G, Metlli M, Fogassi L. Neurons related to reaching-grasping arm movements in the rostral part of 6 (area 6a beta). Exp. Brain Res 1990;82:337–350. [PubMed: 2286236]
- Rizzolatti G, Fadiga L, Gallese V, Fogassi L. Premotor cortex and the recognition of motor actions. Brain Res. Cogn. Brain Res 1996;3:1131–1141.
- Roland PE, Skinhøj E, Lassen NA, Larsen B. Different cortical areas in man in organization of voluntary movements in extrapersonal space. J. Neurophysiol 1980a;43:137–150. [PubMed: 7351548]
- Roland PE, Larsen B, Lassen NA, Skinhøj E. Supplementary motor area and other cortical areas in organization of voluntary movements in man. J. Neurophysiol 1980b;43:118–136. [PubMed: 7351547]
- Roland PE, Meyer E, Shibasaki T, Yamamoto YL, Thompson CJ. Region cerebral blood flow changes in cortex and basal ganglia during voluntary movements in normal human volunteers. J. Neurophysiol 1982;48:467–480. [PubMed: 6981690]
- Roland PE. Organization of motor control by the normal human brain. Human Neurobiology 1984;2:205–216. [PubMed: 6715206]
- Roland PE, Eriksson L, Widén L, Stone-Elander S. Changes in regional cerebral oxidative metabolism induced by tactile learning and recognition in man. Eur. J. Neurosci 1989;1:3–18. [PubMed: 12106169]

- Romo R, Schultz W. Neuronal activity preceding self-initiated or externally timed arm movements in area 6 of monkey cortex. Exp. Brain Res 1987;67:656–662. [PubMed: 3653323]
- Rounis E, Lee L, Siebner HR, Rowe JB, Friston KJ, Rothwell JC, Frackowiak RSJ. NeuroImage 2005;26:164–176. [PubMed: 15862216]
- Rubia K, Overmeyer S, Taylor E, Brammer M, Williams SC, Simmons A, Andrew C, Bullmore ET. Functional frontalisation with age: mapping neurodevelopmental trajectories with fMRI. Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev 2000;24:13–19. [PubMed: 10654655]
- Sadato N, Zerffior TA, Campbell G, Konishi J, Shibasaki H, Hallett M. Regional cerebral blood flow changes in motor cortical areas after transient anesthesia of the forearm. Ann. Neurol 1995;37:74– 81. [PubMed: 7818261]
- Sadato N, Campbell G, Ibáñez V, Deiber M, Hallett M. Complexity affects regional cerebral blood flow change during sequential finger movements. J. Neurosci 1996a;16:2691–2700. [PubMed: 8786445]
- Sadato N, Ibáñez V, Deiber MP, Campbell G, Leonardo M, Hallett M. Frequency-dependent changes in regional cerebral blood flow during finger movements. J. Cereb. Blood Flow Metab 1996b;16:23– 33. [PubMed: 8530552]
- Sadato N, Yonekura Y, Waki A, Yamada H, Ishii Y. Role of the supplementary motor area and the right premotor cortex in the coordination of bimanual finger movements. J. Neurosci 1997;17:9667–9674. [PubMed: 9391021]
- Sakai K, Hikosaka O, Miyauchi S, Takino R, Sasaki Y, Pütz B. Transition of brain activation from frontal to parietal areas in visuomotor sequence learning. J. Neurosci 1998;18:1827–1840. [PubMed: 9465007]
- Samuel M, Ceballos-Baumann AO, Blin J, Uema T, Boecker H, Passingham RE, Brooks DJ. Evidence for lateral premotor and parietal overactivity in Parkinson's disease during sequential and bimanual movements. A PET study. Brain 1997;120:963–976. [PubMed: 9217681]
- Schalug G, Knorr U, Seitz R. Inter-subject variability of cerebral activations in acquiring a motor skill: a study with positron emission tomography. Exp. Brain Res 1994;98:523–534. [PubMed: 8056072]
- Schmahmann JD, Doyon J, McDonald D, Holmes C, Lavoie K, Hurwitz AS, Kabani N, Toga A, Evans A, Petrides M. Three-dimensional MRI atlas of the human cerebellum in proportional stereotaxic space. NeuroImage 1999;10:233–260. [PubMed: 10458940]
- Schmidt D, Krause BJ, Mottaghy FM, Halsband U, Herzog H, Tellmann L, Müller-Gärtner HW. Brain systems engaged in encoding and retrieval of word-pair associates independent of their imagery content or presentation modalities. Neuropsychologia 2002;40:457–470. [PubMed: 11684178]
- Schubotz RI, Friederici AD, von Cramon DY. Time perception and motor timing: a common cortical and subcortical basis revealed by fMRI. NeuroImage 2000;11:1–12. [PubMed: 10686112]
- Schubotz RI, von Cramon DY. Frunctional-anatomical concepts of human premotor cortex: evidence from fMRI and PET studies. NeuroImage 2003;20:S5120–S5131.
- Seitz RJ, Roland E, Bohm C, Greitz T, Stone-Elander S. Motor learning in man: a positron emission tomographic study. Neuroreport 1990;1:57–60. [PubMed: 2129858]
- Seitz RJ. Learning of sequential finger movements in man: a combined kinematic and positron emission tomography (PET) study. Eur. J. Neurosci 1992;4:154–165. [PubMed: 12106378]
- Seitz RJ, Stephan KM, Binkofski F. Control of action as mediated by the human frontal lobe. Exp. Brain Res 2000;133:71–80. [PubMed: 10933212]
- Shannon BJ, Buckner RL. Functional-anatomic correlates of memory retrieval that suggest nontraditional processing roles for multiple distinct regions within posterior parietal cortex. J. Neurosci 2004;24:10084–10092. [PubMed: 15537877]
- Shibasaki H, Sadato N, Lyshkow H, Yonekura Y, Honda M, Nagamine T, Suwazono S, Magata Y, Ikeda A, Miyazaki M. Both primary motor cortex and supplementary area play an important role in complex finger movement. Brain 1993;116:1387–1398. [PubMed: 8293277]
- Simmonds DJ, Fotedar SG, Suskauer SJ, Pekar JJ, Denckla MB, Mostofsky SH. Functional brain correlates of response time variability in children. Neuropsychologia 2007;45:2147–2157. [PubMed: 17350054]
- Smith EE, Jonides J. Working memory: a view from neuroimaging. Cogn Psychology 1997;33:5-42.

- Stephan KM, Fink GR, Passingham RE, Silbersweig D, Ceballos-Baumann AO, Frith CD, Frackowiak RS. Functional anatomy of the mental representation of upper extremity movements in healthy subjects. J. Neurophysiol 1995;73:373–386. [PubMed: 7714579]
- Stephan KM, Binkofski F, Halsband U, Dohle C, Wunderlich G, Schnitzler A, Tass P, Posse S, Herzog H, Surm V, Zilles K, Seitz RJ, Freund HJ. The role of ventral medial wall motor areas in bimanual coordination. A combined lesion and activation study. Brain 1999a;122:351–368. [PubMed: 10071062]
- Stephan KM, Binkofski F, Posse S, Seitz RJ, Freund HJ. Cerebral midline structures in bimanual coordination. Exp. Brain Res 1999b;128:243–249. [PubMed: 10473767]
- Taniwaki T, Okayama A, Yoshiura T, Nakmura Y, Goto Y, Kira J, Tobimatsu S. Reappraisal of the motor role of basal ganglia: a functional magnetic resonance image study. J. Neurosci 2003;23:3432– 3438. [PubMed: 12716951]
- Tanji J. The supplementary motor area in the cerebral cortex. Neurosci. Res 1994;19:251–268. [PubMed: 8058203]
- Tanji J, Shima K. Role for supplementary motor area cells in planning several movements ahead. Nature 1994;371:413–416. [PubMed: 8090219]
- Tanji, J.; Shima, K. Contrast of neuronal activity between the supplementary motor area and other cortical motor areas. In: Lüders, HO., editor. Advances in Neurology. Supplementary Sensorimotor Area. Philadelphia: Lippincott-Raven; 1996. p. 95-103.
- Thaut MH. Neural basis of rhythmic timing networks in the human brain. Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci 2003;999:364–373. [PubMed: 14681157]
- Tomaiuolo F, MacDonald D, Caramanos Z, Posner G, Chiavaras M, Evans AC, Petrids M. Morphology, morphometry and probability mapping of the pars opercularis of the inferior frontal gyrus: an in vivo MRI analysis. Eur. J. Neurosci 1999;11:3033–3046. [PubMed: 10510168]
- Toni I, Ramnani H, Josephs O, Ashburner J, Passingham RE. Learning arbitrary visuomotor association: temporal dynamic of brain activity. NeuroImage 2001;14:1048–1057. [PubMed: 11697936]
- Toni I, Rowe J, Stephan KE, Passingham RE. Changes of cortico-striatal effect connectivity during visuomotor learning. Cereb. Cortex 2002;12:1040–1047. [PubMed: 12217967]
- Toyokura M, Muro I, Komiya T, Obara M. Relation of bimanual coordination to activation in the sensorimotor cortex and supplementary motor area: analysis using functional magnetic resonance imaging. Brain Res. Bull 1999;48:211–217. [PubMed: 10230712]
- Toyokura M, Muro I, Komiya T, Obara M. Activation of pre-supplementary motor area (SMA) and SMA proper during unimanual and bimanual complex sequences: an analysis using functional magnetic resonance imaging. J. Neuroimaging 2002;12:172–178. [PubMed: 11977914]
- Turkeltaub PE, Eden GF, Jones KM, Zeffiro TA. Meta-analysis of the functional neuroanatomy of singleword reading: method and validation. NeuroImage 2002;16:765–780. [PubMed: 12169260]
- Ullén F, Forssberg H, Ehrsson HH. Neural networks for the coordination of the hands in time. J. Neurophysiol 2003;89:1126–1135.
- Vaillancourt DE, Mayka MA, Corcos DM. Intermittent visuomotor processing in the human cerebellum, parietal cortex, and premotor cortex. J. Neurophysiol 2006;95:922–931. [PubMed: 16267114]
- Wager TD, Smith EE. Neuroimaging studies of working memory: a meta-analysis. Cogn. Affect. Behav. Neurosci 2003;3:255–274. [PubMed: 15040547]
- Weeks RA, Honda M, Catalan MJ, Hallett M. Comparison of auditory, somatosensory, and visually instructed and internally generated finger movements: a PET study. NeuroImage 2001;14:219–230. [PubMed: 11525332]
- Weiller C, Chollet F, Friston KJ, Wise RJ, Frackowiak RS. Functional reorganization of the brain in recovery from striatocapsular infarcation in man. Ann. Neurol 1992;31:463–472. [PubMed: 1596081]
- Wenderoth N, Debaere F, Sunaert S, Swinnen SP. The role of anterior cingulated cortex and precuneus in the coordination of motor behaviour. Eur. J. Neurosic 2005;22:235–246.
- Wise SP, Boussaoud D, Johnson PB, Caminiti R. Premotor and parietal cortex: corticocortical connectivity and combinatorial computations. Ann. Rev. Neurosci 1997;20:25–42. [PubMed: 9056706]

Yoo SS, Wei X, Dickey CC, Guttmann CR, Panych LP. Long-term reproducibility analysis of fMRI using hand motor task. Int. J. Neurosci 2005;115:55–77. [PubMed: 15768852]

Zatorre RJ, Halpern AR, Perry DW, Meyer E, Evans AC. Hearing in the mind's ear: a PET investigation of musical imagery and perception. J. Cogn. Neurosci 1996;8:29–46.

Witt et al.



Figure 1.

ALE Map of the Main Effects (All Finger Tapping Task Variations). Axial slices representing full brain coverage are shown (P < 0.05; FDR corrected). Robust concordance is seen in bilateral sensorimotor cortices, supplementary motor area, left ventral premotor, bilateral inferior parietal cortices, bilateral basal ganglia, and bilateral anterior cerebellum.

Witt et al.



Figure 2.

ALE Results Segregated By Pacing Stimuli. Representative axial slices are shown (P < 0.05; FDR corrected) for finger tapping activations when paced by auditory, visual, or no stimuli. Overlap (conjunction) among all three task variations is seen in the same executive regions noted for the main effects in Figure 1, except the basal ganglia. The results also show many clusters of concordance that are unique to one or more of the task variations.

Witt et al.



Figure 3.

ALE Results Segregated By Task Complexity. Representative axial slices are shown (P < 0.05; FDR corrected). Overlap (conjunction) among all three task variations (RH index, RH multi-finger sequence, and bimanual tapping) is seen in the same executive regions noted for the main effects in Figure 1. Compared with the results from the effects of a pacing stimulus, there are fewer concordance clusters unique one or more of the task variations, not observed in the main effects.

J
$\mathbf{\Sigma}$
-
$\mathbf{\Sigma}$
2
<u> </u>
Ŧ
2
0
-
2
\leq
<i>с</i> р
5
7
5

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-I script

Witt et al.

.

Published Studies Employing Finger Tapping Tasks Used in the Meta-Analyses. A total of 38 studies with 74 contrasts and 685 foci were included in the finger tapping meta-analyses (listed in alphabetical order by first author). The type or lack of pacing stimulus and Table 1

4					
Publication	Contrast	Foci	Stimulus	Complexity	Bimanual
Aoki, 2005	Index finger vs Rest	1	auditory	RH index	
	Ring finger vs Rest		auditory		
Aramaki 2006	Double finger tapping vs Rest Parallal ve Rest	12	auditory		himanual
	Mirror vs Rest	2	auditory		bimanual
Blinkenberg, 1996	Finger tapping vs Rest	10	auditory	RH index	
Boecker, 1998	Motor sequences vs Rest	20	auditory	sequence	
Calautti, 2001	KH tapping vs Kest, young subjects	10	auditory	KH Index	
	LII tapping vs rest, young surjects RH fanning vs Rest older subjects	01	auditory	RH index	
	LH tapping vs Rest, older subjects	10	auditory		
Catalan, 1998	Sequence-12 vs Rest	6	auditory	sequence	
Catalan, 1999	Sequence-16 vs Rest, controls	12	auditory	sequence	
Colebatch, 1991	Index vs Rest	n e	auditory	KH Index	
D-1 2005	Opposition vs Rest	∞ -	auditory	sequence	
Denelow 2005	KH IIIGET tapping, controls Volitional movement vs. shem TMS	4 7	VISUAL	RH index RH index	
Eastow, 2003	Finger movement vs sham TMS	- 10	auditory	RH index	
Gelnar. 1999	Finger opposition vs Rest	- ∞	none	sequence	
Gerardin, 2000	Motor Execution vs Rest	24	auditory		
Gosain, 2001	Finger-tapping vs Rest	2	none	RH index	
Hanakawa, 2003	Finger movement vs Rest	25	visual	sequence	
Indovina, 2001	Move vs Rest	15	visual	RH index	
Jäncke, 1999	RH, 1 Hz vs Rest	610	auditory	sequence	
	RH, 3 Hz vs Rest	0 0	auditory	sequence	
	LH, I HZ VS KESI I H 2 Hz III Boot	۹ ر	auditory	seduence	
	Doth Under 1 Ur ve Doot	۶ r	auditory	sequence	lounomid
	BOUL FAILUS, I FLZ VS NESU Roth Hande 3 Hz ve Reet	40	auditory	seduence	bimanual
Täncke 2000a	Pout Harris 7 Line Right fast I eff slow	4 (r	auutitut y	seducine	himanual
Juneary 2000u	Right slow. Left fast	n (n	none		bimanual
	Right fast	1	none	RH index	
	Right slow	2	none	RH index	
	Left fast	1	none		
	Left slow	5	none		
Jancke, 2000b	Auditory synchronization vs Kest	12	auditory	KH index	
	Auditory continuation vs Kest	= 5	none	KH Index	
	V ISUAL SYLCHUULIZATION VS NEST Vienal continuation ve Paet	<u></u> 5	VISUAL	DH index	
Inline 1998	VISUAL COLUMNATION VS. Nest Finger faming vs. Rest	1 6	none	RH index	
Joliot. 1999	Finger tapping vs Rest - PET	3 =	none	RH index	
	Finger tapping vs Rest - fMRI-average	16	none	RH index	
	Finger tapping vs Rest - fMRI-correlation	20	none	RH index	
Kawashima, 1999	Finger movements vs Rest	ю	none	RH index	
Kawashima, 2000	Memory timed finger movement vs Rest	10	none	RH index	
	Visually cued finger movement vs Rest	14	visual	KH Index	
Kuhtz-Buschbeck, 2003	Motor execution, Complex KH vs Baseline Motor execution Complex I U ve Baseline	× 5	auditory	sequence	
Larsson. 1996	ividiol execution, complex LAT vs basenine Self naced movements vs Rest	12	auditory none	sequence RH index	
	Visually triggered movements vs Rest	14	visual	RH index	
Lehericy, 2006	Simple vs Rest	8	auditory	RH index	

_
_
~
_
_
<u> </u>
-
0
~
-
-
-
_
-
_
-
\sim
_
_
~
~
0)
~
_
_
-
5
10
0
~
C
<u> </u>
0
<u> </u>

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Z
Ŧ
Y A
Auth
ōŗ
Mar
IUSC
ript
ript

Scale vs Rest 11 auditory sequence Complex vs Rest 27 auditory sequence Lurx, 2004 Tappug vs Rest, Norals 9 auditory sequence Mattay, 198 Non-dominant hand, simple motor 17 visual RH index Mattay, 198 Non-dominant hand, simple motor 12 visual RH index Mattay, 198 Non-dominant hand, simple motor 12 visual RH index Miller, 2002 Random vs Rest, nethy stor, andom motor 12 none sequence Miller, 2002 Random vs Rest, healthy stor, andom motor 15 none sequence Miller, 2005 Ransey, 1996 Main effects during index finger moynent 1 none sequence Ransey, 1996 Main effects during index finger moynent 1 none sequence Ransey, 1996 Main effects during index finger moynent 1 none sequence Recker, 2006 Main effects during index finger moynent 1 none sequence Returns, 2005 Main effects during index finger moynent 1 none sequence Returns, 2005 Main effects during index finger moynent 1 none sequence Rouis, 2005 Main	Publication	Contrast	Foci	Stimulus	Complexity	Bimanual
Lerner, 2004 Complex s kest 27 audiory sequence Lux, 2000 Tapping v Rest, Normals 9 audiory RH index Rauz, 2000 Non-dominant hand, simple motor 8 none sequence Mattay, 1998 Non-dominant hand, simple motor 15 visual RH index Nuller, 2002 Non-dominant hand, simple motor 15 none sequence Muller, 2002 Finger tapping vs Rest, Mean 1 none sequence Muller, 2002 Finger tapping vs Rest, Mean 1 none sequence Muller, 2002 Main effects during index finger movement, youg subjects 4 none sequence Rescker, 2006 Main effects during index finger movement, yourg subjects 6 audiory RH index Rauso, 1996 Main effects during index finger movement, yourg subjects 6 audiory RH index Rouns, 2005 Main effects of movement 17 audiory sequence Sadato, 1996 Main effects of movement 17 audiory sequence Sadato, 1996 Main effects of movement 17 audiory sequence Sadato, 1996 Main effects of movement 17 audiory sequence Sadato, 19		Scale vs Rest	11	auditory	sequence	
Lerner, 2004Lerner, 2004Tapping vs Rest, Normals9auditoryRH index visualLurz, 2000Raudom vs Rest1visualRH indexMattay, 1998Non-dominant hand, simple motor12nonesequenceMuller, 2002Regular vs Rest17visualRH indexMuller, 2002Non-dominant hand, simple motor12nonesequenceDominant hand, simple motor12nonesequenceMuller, 2002Finger tapping vs Rest, Mean1nonesequenceMuller, 2002Ramsey, 1996Nain effects during index finger movement, oung subjects4nonesequenceMiller, 2005Main effects during index finger movement, oung subjects8auditoryRH indexRauso, 1996Main effects during index finger movement, oung subjects8auditoryRH indexRauto, 1997Sadato, 1997197auditorysequenceRauto, 1997RH index17auditorysequenceRauto, 1996Main effects during index finger movement17auditorysequenceRauto, 1997RH index17auditorysequenceRauto, 1996Main effects during index finger movement17auditorysequenceRauto, 1997RH index17auditorysequenceSadato, 1997RH index17auditorysequenceSadato, 1997RH index17auditorysequenceSadato, 1997RH index17aud		Complex vs Rest	27	auditory	sequence	
Lutr. 2000Random vs Rest17visualRH indexMatay, 1998Matay, 1998Non-dominant hand, simple motor12visualRH indexMatay, 1998Non-dominant hand, simple motor12nonesequenceDominant hand, simple motor12nonesequenceMilller, 2002Finger tapping vs Rest, healthy subjects14nonesequenceRamsey, 1996Main effects during index finger movement, young subjects1nonesequenceRecker, 2006Main effects during index finger movement, youg subjects6auditoryRH indexRounis, 2005Main effects during index finger movement, youg subjects6auditoryRH indexSadato, 1996Main effects during index finger movement, yourg subjects6auditorysequenceRounis, 2005Main effects during index finger movement, yourg subjects6auditorysequenceSadato, 1996Main effects during index finger movement17auditorysequenceSadato, 1996Main effects during in	Lerner, 2004	Tapping vs Rest, Normals	6	auditory	RH index	
Regular vs Rest 7 visual RH index Matray, 1998 Matray, 1998 Non-dominant hand, simple motor 8 none sequence Dominant hand, simple motor 12 none sequence Dominant hand, simple motor 12 none sequence Muller, 2002 Finger tapping vs Rest, Mean 1 none sequence Ramsey, 1966 Finger tapping vs Rest, Mean 1 none sequence Ramsey, 1966 Main effects during index finger movement, young subjects 4 none sequence Ricker, 2006 Main effects during index finger movement, young subjects 6 auditory RH index Rounis, 2005 Main effects during index finger movement, yourg subjects 6 auditory RH index Sadato, 1997 Finger tapping vs Rest, Mean 17 auditory sequence Sadato, 1997 Finger tapping vs Rest, Mean 17 auditory sequence Sadato, 1997 Finger tapping vs Rest, Mean 17 auditory sequence Sadato, 1997 Finder ambjects 6 auditory sequence Sadato, 1997 Finder ambjects 6 auditory sequence Sadato, 1997 Branuel vs Rest 17	Lutz, 2000	Random vs Rest	17	visual	RH index	
Matray, 1998 Non-dominant hand, simple motor 8 none sequence Dominant hand, simple motor 12 none sequence Dominant hand, random motor 15 none sequence Ramsey, 1996 Finger tapping vs Rest, Mean 1 none sequence Ramsey, 1996 Main effects during index finger movement, young subjects 6 auditory RH index Rounis, 2005 Main effects during index finger movement, young subjects 6 auditory RH index Rounis, 2005 Main effects during index finger movement, older subjects 6 auditory RH index Sadato, 1996 Main effects during index finger movement, older subjects 6 auditory RH index Sadato, 1997 Nain effects during index finger movement 17 auditory RH index Sadato, 1996 Main effects of movement 17 auditory RH index Sadato, 1997 RH unimanual vs Rest 13 auditory sequence Sadato, 1997 Rest 17 auditory sequence Sadato, 1997 Rest 17 auditory sequence Sadato, 1997 Rest 13 auditory sequence Sadato, 1997 Rest 13		Regular vs Rest	7	visual	RH index	
Muller, 2002Dominant hand, simple motor12nonesequenceDominant hand, andom motor15nonesequenceRamsey, 1996Finger tapping vs Rest, Mean1nonesequenceRamsey, 1996Main effects during index finger movement, young subjects6auditoryRH indexRounis, 2005Main effects during index finger movement, young subjects8auditoryRH indexRounis, 2005Main effects during index finger movement, young subjects6auditoryRH indexRounis, 2005Main effects during index finger movement, 17auditoryRH indexRounis, 2005Main effects during index finger movement, 17auditoryRH indexRounis, 2005Main effects of movement, 17auditoryRH indexRounis, 2005Main effects of movement, 17auditoryRH indexSadato, 1996Tringer west13auditorysequenceSadato, 1996Figer movement, 17auditorysequenceSadato, 1996Teff turimanual vs Rest13auditorysequenceSadato, 1996Figer movement13auditorysequenceSadato, 1996Figer movement13auditorysequenceSadato, 1996Figer movement13auditorysequenceSadato, 1996Figer movement13auditorysequenceSadato, 1996Figer movement13auditorysequenceSadato, 1996Figer movement13auditorysequence <td>Mattay, 1998</td> <td>Non-dominant hand, simple motor</td> <td>8</td> <td>none</td> <td>sequence</td> <td></td>	Mattay, 1998	Non-dominant hand, simple motor	8	none	sequence	
Miller, 2002Dominant hand, random motor15nonesequenceRamsey, 1996Finger tapping vs Rest, Mean1nonesequenceRamsey, 1996Finger tapping vs Rest, Mean1nonesequenceRecker, 2006Main effects during index finger movement, yourg subjects6auditoryRH indexRounis, 2005Main effects during index finger movement, yourg subjects8auditoryRH indexRounis, 2005Main effects during index finger movement, older subjects8auditoryRH indexRounis, 2005Main effects during index finger movement, older subjects8auditorysequenceRounis, 2005Main effects during index finger movement, older subjects8auditoryRH indexSadato, 1997Various length sequences6auditorysequenceSadato, 1997Various length sequences1auditorysequenceSadato, 1997Rest13auditorysequenceSadato, 1997Rest15auditorysequenceSadato, 1997Right unimanual vs Rest15auditorysequenceSatato, 1997Seitz, 2000Nina finger movement12auditorysequenceRight unimanual vs Rest15auditorysequencesequenceSeitz, 2000Irregularly paced right finger movement4auditorysequenceMison, 2004Irregularly paced right finger movement4auditorysequenceMison, 2004Irregularly paced right		Dominant hand, simple motor	12	none	sequence	
Müller, 2002Müller, 2002Finger tapping vs Rest, Mean1noneRansey, 1996FET Finger tapping vs Rest, Mean1nonesequenceRiecker, 2006Main effects during index finger movement, yourg subjects6auditoryRH indexRounis, 2005Main effects during index finger movement, yourg subjects8auditoryRH indexRounis, 2005Main effects during index finger movement, yourg subjects8auditorysequenceRounis, 2005Main effects during index finger movement, older subjects8auditorysequenceRounis, 2005Main effects during index finger movement, older subjects8auditorysequenceSadato, 1996Main effects during index finger movement17auditorysequenceSadato, 1997Various length sequences6auditorysequenceSadato, 1997Various length sequences13auditorysequenceSadato, 1997Right unimanual vs Rest15auditorysequenceSatato, 1997Seiz, 2000I.eft unimanual vs Rest12auditorysequenceSeiz, 2000Irregularly paced right finger movement4auditorysequenceWilson, 2004Irregularly paced right fingers2nonesequenceRoving fingers2nonesequencesequenceRison, 2004Irregularly paced right finger2nonesequenceRoving fingers2nonesequencesequenceRoving finger		Dominant hand, random motor	15	none	sequence	
Ramsey, 1996FarmerFMRI Finger tapping vs Rest, Mean1nonesequenceRiecker, 2006Main effects during index finger movement, young subjects6auditoryRH indexRounis, 2005Main effects during index finger movement, young subjects8auditoryRH indexRounis, 2005Main effects during index finger movement, young subjects8auditoryRH indexRounis, 2005Main effects during index finger movement, your sequences6auditoryRH indexSadato, 1996Nain effects during index finger movement, your sequences6auditorysequenceSadato, 1997Nain effects during index finger movement17auditorysequenceSadato, 1997Sadato, 1997RH indexauditorysequenceSadato, 1997Rallel vs Rest13auditorysequenceSadato, 1997Rest13auditorysequenceSadato, 1997Rest12auditorysequenceSadato, 1997Rest13auditorysequenceSadato, 1997Rest13auditorysequenceSatato, 1997Rest13auditorysequenceSatato, 1997Rest13auditorysequenceSatato, 1997Rest13auditorysequenceSatato, 1997Rest13auditorysequenceSatato, 1997Rest13auditorysequenceSatato, 1997Rest13auditorysequence<	Müller, 2002	Finger tapping vs Rest, healthy subjects	4	none		
PET Finger tapping vs Rest, Mean1nonesequenceRiecker, 2006Main effects during index finger movement, young subjects6auditoryRH indexRounis, 2005Main effects during index finger movement, older subjects8auditoryRH indexRounis, 2005Main effects during index finger movement17auditoryRH indexRounis, 2005Main effects during index finger movement17auditoryRH indexSadato, 1996Nain effects of movement17auditoryRH indexSadato, 1997Nain effects of movement17auditorysequenceSadato, 1997Nain effects of movement17auditorysequenceSadato, 1997Naine effects of movement15auditorysequenceSadato, 1996Right unimanual vs Rest15auditorysequenceRight unimanual vs Rest15auditorysequenceBirmanual mirror vs Rest13auditorysequenceSeitz, 2000Irregularly paced right finger movement4auditoryRH indexWilson, 2004Irregularly paced right finger movement4auditorysequenceWilson, 2004Irregularly paced right finger movement2nonesequenceWoving fingers2nonesequencesequenceRilson, 2004Irregularly paced right finger movement4auditorysequenceRilson, 2004Irregularly paced right finger movement2nonesequence <td>Ramsey, 1996</td> <td>fMRI Finger tapping vs Rest, Mean</td> <td>1</td> <td>none</td> <td>sequence</td> <td></td>	Ramsey, 1996	fMRI Finger tapping vs Rest, Mean	1	none	sequence	
Riccker, 2006Main effects during index finger movement, young subjects6auditoryRH indexRounis, 2005Main effects during index finger movement, older subjects8auditoryRH indexRounis, 2005Main effects during index finger movement, older subjects8auditoryRH indexRounis, 2005Main effects during index finger movement17auditoryRH indexRounis, 2005Main effects during index finger movement17auditoryRH indexSadato, 1996For subjects6auditorysequenceSadato, 1997Franklet were13auditorysequenceSadato, 1997Right unimanual vs Rest15auditorysequenceRight unimanual vs Rest15auditorysequenceSeitz, 2000Itregularly paced right finger movement4auditoryRH indexWilson, 2004Itregularly paced right finger movement4auditoryRH indexWilson, 2004Itregularly paced right finger movement2nonesequence		PET Finger tapping vs Rest, Mean	-	none	sequence	
Rounis, 2005Main effects during index finger movement, older subjects8auditoryRH indexRounis, 2005Main effects during index finger movement17auditoryRH indexSadato, 1996Sadato, 1997Various length sequences6auditorysequenceSadato, 1997Various length sequences13auditorysequenceVarious length sequences13auditorysequenceVarious length unimanual vs Rest15auditorysequenceLeft unimanual vs Rest12auditorysequenceSeitz, 2000Irregularly paced right finger movement4auditoryRH indexWilson, 2004Variander fingers2nonesequence	Riecker, 2006	Main effects during index finger movement, young subjects	9	auditory	RH index	
Rounis, 2005Main effects of movement17auditoryRH indexSadato, 1996Various length sequences6auditorysequenceSadato, 1997Various length sequences6auditorysequenceSadato, 1997Parallel vs Rest13auditorysequenceRight unimanual vs Rest3auditorysequenceRight unimanual vs Rest6auditorysequenceSeitz, 2000Irregularly paced right finger movement4auditoryRH indexWilson, 2004Woving fingers2nonesequence		Main effects during index finger movement, older subjects	8	auditory	RH index	
Sadato, 1996 Various length sequences 6 auditory sequence Sadato, 1997 Mirror vs Rest 13 auditory sequence Parallel vs Rest 15 auditory sequence Right unimanual vs Rest 6 auditory sequence Right unimanual vs Rest 15 auditory sequence Binanual vs Rest 12 auditory RH index Seitz, 2000 Irregularly paced right finger movement 4 auditory RH index Wilson, 2004 Woving fingers 2 none sequence	Rounis, 2005	Main effects of movement	17	auditory	RH index	
Sadato, 1997Mirror vs Rest13auditorysequenceParallel vs Rest15auditorysequenceParallel vs Rest15auditorysequenceRight unimanual vs Rest6auditoryRH indexLeft unimanual vs Rest12auditorysequenceBinanual parallel vs Rest13auditoryRH indexSeitz, 2000Irregularly paced right finger movement4auditoryRH indexWilson, 2004Moving fingers2nonesequence	Sadato, 1996	Various length sequences	9	auditory	sequence	
Parallel vs Rest 15 auditory sequence Right unimanual vs Rest 3 auditory RH index Left unimanual vs Rest 6 auditory RH index Binanual nirror vs Rest 12 auditory RH index Seitz, 2000 Irregularly paced right finger movement 4 auditory RH index Wilson, 2004 Moving finger 2 none sequence	Sadato, 1997	Mirror vs Rest	13	auditory	sequence	bimanual
Right unimanual vs Rest 3 auditory RH index Left unimanual vs Rest 6 auditory RH index Binanual mirror vs Rest 12 auditory Binanual parallel vs Rest Seitz, 2000 Irregularly paced right finger movement 4 auditory RH index Wilson, 2004 Moving finger 2 none sequence		Parallel vs Rest	15	auditory	sequence	bimanual
Left unimanual vs Rest 6 auditory Bimanual mirror vs Rest 12 auditory Bimanual parallel vs Rest 13 auditory Seitz, 2000 Irregularly paced right finger movement 4 auditory Wilson, 2004 Moving fingers 2 none sequence		Right unimanual vs Rest	ю	auditory	RH index	
Bimanual mirror vs Rest 12 auditory Bimanual parallel vs Rest 13 auditory Seitz, 2000 Irregularly paced right finger movement 4 auditory Wilson, 2004 Moving fingers 2 none sequence		Left unimanual vs Rest	9	auditory		
Bimanual parallel vs Rest 13 auditory Seitz, 2000 Irregularly paced right finger movement 4 auditory RH index Wilson, 2004 Moving fingers 2 none sequence		Bimanual mirror vs Rest	12	auditory		bimanual
Seitz, 2000 Irregularly paced right finger movement 4 auditory RH index Wilson, 2004 Sequence sequence		Bimanual parallel vs Rest	13	auditory		bimanual
Wilson, 2004 2014 Sequence sequence	Seitz, 2000	Irregularly paced right finger movement	4	auditory	RH index	
	Wilson, 2004	Moving fingers	2	none	sequence	bimanual
Yoo, 2005 To auditory Sequence	$Y_{00}, 2005$	Group-level finger tapping activation	17	auditory	sequence	