The Unimodular Intersection Problem

Volker Kaibel * Shmuel Onn † Pauline Sarrabezolles ‡

Abstract

We show that finding minimally intersecting n paths from s to t in a directed graph or n perfect matchings in a bipartite graph can be done in polynomial time. This holds more generally for unimodular set systems.

Keywords: integer programming, combinatorial optimization, unimodular, graph, path, matching

1 Introduction

Let G be a directed graph on d edges with two distinct vertices s and t and let n be a positive integer. Given n paths from s to t, an edge is critical if it is used by all paths. We wish to find n paths from s to t with minimum number of critical edges. One motivation for this problem, adapted from [1, 3], is as follows. We need to make a sensitive shipment from s to t. In the planning stage, n paths are chosen and prepared. Then, just prior to the actual shipment, one of these paths is randomly chosen and used. An adversary, trying to harm the shipment and aware of the prepared paths but not of the actual path that is finally chosen, will try to harm a critical edge, used by all paths. Therefore, we protect each critical edge with high cost, and so our goal is to choose paths with minimum number of critical edges.

Here we study and solve a more difficult problem, described as follows. Following [1], for $1 \le k \le n$, call an edge k-vulnerable if it used by at least k of the n paths. In [3] it was shown that finding n paths with minimum number of 2-vulnerable edges is NP-hard, and in [1] it was shown that for fixed n and k, finding n paths with minimum number of k-vulnerable edges can be done in polynomial time.

Here, we assume that n is variable, and want to find n paths with lexicographically minimal intersection, that is, which first of all minimize the number of n-vulnerable edges, then of (n-1)-vulnerable edges, and so on. More precisely, given n paths, define their vulnerability vector to be $f = (f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ with f_k the number of k-vulnerable edges. Then n paths with vector f are better then n paths with vector f

^{*}Otto-von-Guericke Universität, Magdeburg, Germany. Email: kaibel@ovgu.de

[†]Technion - Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, Israel. Email: onn@ie.technion.ac.il

[‡]Ecole des Ponts, Paris, and Technion, Haifa. Email: pauline.sarrabezolles@gmail.com

if the last nonzero entry of g - f is positive, denoted $f \prec g$. In particular, n paths with lexicographically minimal vector have a minimum number of critical edges.

Let A be the vertex-edge incidence matrix of G and let b be the vector in the vertex space with $b_s = -1$, $b_t = 1$, and $b_v = 0$ for any other vertex v. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ let $|x| := \sum_{i=1}^d |x_i|$. For $x^1, \ldots, x^n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ let $x^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x^n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $x^1 \vee \cdots \vee x^n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be the entry-wise minimum and maximum of x^1, \ldots, x^n , and define $f(x^1, \ldots, x^n)$ by

$$f_k(x^1, \dots, x^n) := | \vee \{x^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge x^{i_k} : 1 \le i_1 < \dots < i_k \le n\} |, \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

So for $x^1, \ldots, x^n \in \{0, 1\}^d$ we have that $f_k(x^1, \ldots, x^n)$ is the number of k-vulnerable components $1 \le i \le d$, in which at least k of the vectors x^1, \ldots, x^n have a one-entry. Then our problem is the following nonlinear integer program defined by A, b, n:

lexmin
$$\{f(x^1, \dots, x^n) : x^k \in \{0, 1\}^d, Ax^k = b, k = 1, \dots, n\}$$
. (1)

In this article we solve this problem, even in the more general context where A is an arbitrary totally unimodular matrix. We establish the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 The lexicographic problem (1) over any totally unimodular matrix A, any integer right-hand side b, and any positive integer n, is polynomial time solvable.

Another consequence of this theorem is the following. Let G be a bipartite graph on d edges and let n be a positive integer. Given n perfect matchings in G, an edge is k-vulnerable if it is used by at least k matchings. The problem of finding n perfect matchings with lexicographically minimal intersection is again a special case of our theorem with A the vertex-edge incidence matrix of G and b the vector in the vertex space with $b_v = 1$ for every vertex v. So it can also be solved in polynomial time.

In the next section we prove our theorem, proving on the way a core lemma which is of interest in its right. In the last section we discuss possibilities of some further generalizations, solve the simpler problem of minimizing $f_n(x^1, \ldots, x^n) = |x^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x^n|$ only more efficiently, and describe some NP-complete variants.

2 Proof

We begin with an algorithmic version of a decomposition result of [4].

Lemma 2.1 There is a polynomial time algorithm that given any positive integer n, any totally unimodular matrix A, any integer vector b, and any vector $x \in \{0, 1, ..., n\}^d$ satisfying Ax = nb, finds vectors $x^1, ..., x^n \in \{0, 1\}^d$ satisfying

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} x^{k} = x \,, \quad Ax^{k} = b \,, \ k = 1, \dots, n \,.$$

Proof. We use induction on n. For n=1 take $x^1=x$. Next consider n>1 and the system $0 \leq \lfloor \frac{1}{n}x_j \rfloor \leq y_j \leq \lceil \frac{1}{n}x_j \rceil \leq 1$, $j=1,\ldots,d$, Ay=b. Since $\frac{1}{n}x$ is a real solution and A is totally unimodular, there is also an integer solution $x^n \in \{0,1\}^d$, which can be found in polynomial time by linear programming. Set $z:=x-x^n$. Then Az=(n-1)b, and for all j, if $x_j=0$ then $x_j^n=0$ and if $x_j=n$ then $x_j^n=1$, so $z\in\{0,1,\ldots,n-1\}^d$. Therefore, by induction, we can find in polynomial time vectors $x^1,\ldots,x^{n-1}\in\{0,1\}^d$ satisfying $\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}x^k=z$ and $Ax^k=b$ for all k. Then $\sum_{k=1}^n x^k=z+x^n=x$ and the induction follows, completing the proof. \square

Next, we make the following definition, which is central to what follows. The compression of $x = (x^1, \ldots, x^n) \in \{0, 1\}^{dn}$ is $\bar{x} = (\bar{x}^1, \ldots, \bar{x}^n) \in \{0, 1\}^{dn}$ defined by

$$\bar{x}^k = \bigvee \{x^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge x^{i_k} : 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n\}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Thus, the vulnerability vector of $x=(x^1,\ldots,x^n)\in\{0,1\}^{dn}$ is $f(x)=(|\bar{x}^1|,\ldots,|\bar{x}^n|)$. Call $c=(c^1,\ldots,c^n)\in\mathbb{R}^{dn}$ nondecreasing if $c^1\leq\cdots\leq c^n$. For $c,x\in\mathbb{R}^{dn}$ put $cx:=\sum_{k=1}^n c^k x^k$. We have the following lemma which is of interest in its own right.

Lemma 2.2 There is a polynomial time algorithm that given any positive integer n, any totally unimodular matrix A, any integer vector b, and any nondecreasing $c = (c^1, \ldots, c^n) \in \mathbb{Z}^{dn}$, solves the following nonlinear integer programming problem,

$$\min\{c\bar{x} : Ax^k = b, \ k = 1, \dots, n, \ x = (x^1, \dots, x^n) \in \{0, 1\}^{dn}\} \ . \tag{2}$$

Note that the objective $c\bar{x}$ is nonlinear since c acts on the compression of x.

Proof. First, we need a couple of properties of the compression. Note that it satisfies

$$\bar{x}_i^k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k \leq \sum_{l=1}^n x_i^l \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n, \quad i = 1, \dots, d.$$

Therefore, for all $x, y, z \in \{0, 1\}^{dn}$, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \bar{x}^k = \sum_{k=1}^{n} x^k , \qquad (3)$$

and, if
$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} y^{k} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} z^{k}$$
, then $\bar{y} = \bar{z}$. (4)

Now, consider the linear integer program

$$\min\{cx : A \sum_{k=1}^{n} x^k = nb, \ x = (x^1, \dots, x^n) \in \{0, 1\}^{dn}\} \ . \tag{5}$$

As [A, ..., A] is totally unimodular since A is, and b is integer, we can solve program (5) in polynomial time using linear programming. If it is infeasible then so is program

(2) and we are done. So assume we obtain an optimal solution $y \in \{0,1\}^{dn}$ to (5). By Lemma 2.1 applied to $\sum_{k=1}^{n} y^k$ we can find in polynomial time $z \in \{0,1\}^{dn}$ with

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} z^{k} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} y^{k}, \quad Az^{k} = b, \ k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Clearly z is feasible in (2). We claim it is also optimal in (2). To prove this, we consider any x which is feasible in (2), and prove that the following inequality holds,

$$c\bar{z} = c\bar{y} \le cy \le c\bar{x}$$
.

Indeed, the first equality follows since $\sum_{k=1}^n z^k = \sum_{k=1}^n y^k$ and therefore $\bar{z} = \bar{y}$ by (4). The middle inequality follows since c is nondecreasing. The last inequality follows since $\sum_{k=1}^n \bar{x}^k = \sum_{k=1}^n x^k$ by (3) and therefore \bar{x} is feasible in (5). \square

We now proceed with the proof of Theorem 1.1. Define a nondecreasing $c \in \mathbb{Z}^{dn}$,

$$c_i^k := (d+1)^{k-1}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n, \quad i = 1, \dots, d.$$

Consider any $x, y \in \{0, 1\}^{dn}$, with vulnerability vectors $f = (|\bar{x}^1|, \dots, |\bar{x}^n|)$ and $g = (|\bar{y}^1|, \dots, |\bar{y}^n|)$. Suppose f is lexicographically smaller than g, that is, $f \prec g$. Let r be the largest index such that $|\bar{x}^r| \neq |\bar{y}^r|$. Then $|\bar{y}^r| \geq |\bar{x}^r| + 1$. We then have

$$c\bar{y} - c\bar{x} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (d+1)^{k-1} \left(|\bar{y}^{k}| - |\bar{x}^{k}| \right)$$

$$\geq \sum_{k< r} (d+1)^{k-1} \left(|\bar{y}^{k}| - |\bar{x}^{k}| \right) + (d+1)^{r-1}$$

$$\geq (d+1)^{r-1} - \sum_{k< r} d(d+1)^{k-1} > 0.$$

Thus, an optimal solution x^1, \ldots, x^n for (2) is also optimal for our original problem (1), and can be found by Lemma 2.2 in polynomial time. Theorem 1.1 follows. \square

3 Remarks

Gijswijt [2] established a decomposition result like the one we recalled in Lemma 2.1 for the more general case of A being only nearly totally unimodular, i.e., $A = A' + ca^T$ with the matrix arising from adding row a^T to A' being totally unimodular and the vector c being integer. Using this result, one can, for instance, see that by the algorithm described in Section 2 the lexicographically minimal intersection problem cannot only be solved in polynomial time for structures like s-t paths or matchings in bipartite graphs, but also, for example, for stable sets in circular arc graphs.

Next we discuss the simpler problem of minimizing $f_n(x^1, ..., x^n) = |x^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x^n|$ only, which in the paths problem is the number of critical edges, that is, the problem

$$\min\{|x^1 \wedge \dots \wedge x^n| : x^k \in \{0, 1\}^d, Ax^k = b, k = 1, \dots, n\}.$$
 (6)

It can be solved in polynomial time as a corollary of Theorem 1.1, but we give here a simpler direct proof which encompasses a more efficient algorithm, where we need to solve a program in 2d variables instead of nd variables. So we have the following.

Corollary 3.1 The intersection problem (6) over any totally unimodular matrix A, any integer right-hand side b, and any positive integer n, is polynomial time solvable.

Proof. Consider the program

$$\min\{|z| : y \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}^d, z \in \{0, 1\}^d, A(y+z) = nb\}.$$
 (7)

We solve it in polynomial time using linear programming. If it is infeasible then so is program (6) and we are done. So assume we obtain an optimal solution y, z.

Applying Lemma 2.1 to x := y + z, we can find in polynomial time x^1, \ldots, x^n feasible in (6) with $\sum_{k=1}^n x^k = x = y + z$. For $1 \le j \le d$, if $x_j^1 = \cdots = x_j^n = 1$ then $y_j + z_j = \sum_{k=1}^n x_j^k = n$ and $y_j \le n - 1$ so $z_j = 1$. Therefore $x^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x^n \le z$. We claim the x^k are optimal for (6). Suppose indirectly $\tilde{x}^1, \ldots, \tilde{x}^n$ form a better solution. Then $\tilde{z} := \tilde{x}^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \tilde{x}^n$ and $\tilde{y} := \sum_{k=1}^n \tilde{x}^k - \tilde{z}$ are feasible in (7). But then

$$|\tilde{z}| = |\tilde{x}^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{x}^n| < |x^1 \wedge \dots \wedge x^n| \le |z|,$$

which is a contradiction. So x^1, \ldots, x^n are optimal and the corollary follows. \square

Finally, we point out two variants of problem (6) where even deciding if the optimal value in the problem is 0 is NP-complete, even for fixed n=2. First, if for each k we have a system $Ax^k=b^k$ with the same matrix A but its own right-hand side b^k , then, this is hard even if A is the vertex-edge incidence matrix of a directed graph G. Indeed, for k=1,2, let s_k and t_k be distinct vertices in G and let b^k be the vector forcing $x^k \in \{0,1\}^d$ satisfying $Ax^k=b^k$ to be a path from s_k to t_k . Then the optimal objective function value of problem (6) is 0 if and only if for k=1,2 there are paths from s_k to t_k which are edge-disjoint, which is a classical NP-complete problem to decide. Second, if A is not totally unimodular, then this is hard even if A has a single row. Indeed, let a_1, \ldots, a_d be given positive integers with even sum, and consider the NP-complete problem of deciding if there is a partition, that is, $I \subseteq \{1, \ldots, d\}$ with $\sum_{i \in I} a_i = \sum_{i \notin I} a_i$. Let $A := [a_1, \ldots, a_d]$, let $b := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d a_i$, and let n := 2. Then, if I provides a partition, then x^1, x^2 defined by $x_i^1 := 1 - x_i^2 := 1$ if $i \in I$ and $x_i^1 := 1 - x_i^2 := 0$ if $i \notin I$, are feasible in (1) with value 0, and if x^1, x^2 are feasible in (1) with value 0, then $I := \text{supp}(x^1) := \{i : x_i^1 \neq 0\}$ provides a partition.

Acknowledgments

The research of the first author was partially supported by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (KA 1616/4-1). The research of the second author was partially supported by a VPR Grant at the Technion and by the Fund for the Promotion of Research at the Technion. The research of the third author was partially supported by a Bourse d'Aide à la Mobilité Internationale from Université Paris Est.

References

- [1] Assadi, S., Emamjomeh-Zadeh, E., Norouzi-Fard, A., Yazdanbod, S., Zarrabi-Zadeh, H.: The Minimum Vulnerability Problem. Algorithmica 70:718–731 (2014)
- [2] Gijswijt, D.: Integer decomposition for polyhedra defined by nearly totally unimodular matrices. SIAM J. Discrete Math. 19(3):798-806 (2005)
- [3] Omran, Masoud, Sack, Jörg-Rüdiger, Zarrabi-Zadeh, Hamid: Finding paths with minimum shared edges. Journal of Combinatorial Optimization 26:709–722 (2013)
- [4] Baum, S., Trotter, L.E., Jr.: Integer rounding and polyhedral decomposition for totally unimodular systems. Lecture Notes in Economical and Mathematical Systems 157:15–23 (1978)