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#### Abstract

We construct a FA-presentation $\psi: L \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ of the structure ( $\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{S}$ ) for which a numerical characteristic $r(n)$ defined as the maximum number $\psi(w)$ for all strings $w \in L$ of length less than or equal to $n$ grows faster than any tower of exponents of a fixed height. This result leads us to a more general notion of a compressibility rate defined for FApresentations of any FA-presentable structure. We show the existence of FA-presentations for the configuration space of a Turing machine and Cayley graphs of some groups for which it grows faster than any tower of exponents of a fixed height. For FA-presentations of the Presburger arithmetic ( $\mathbb{N} ;+$ ) we show that it is bounded from above by a linear function.
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## 1 Introduction

A FA-presentable structure is a relational structure $\mathcal{A}=\left(D ; R_{1}, \ldots, R_{k}\right)$ admitting presentations by finite automata. In brief, for a FA-presentable structure $\mathcal{A}$ there should exist a surjective map $\psi: L \rightarrow D$ between some regular language $L$ and the domain $D$ of the structure $\mathcal{A}$ such that each relation $R_{i}, i=1, \ldots, k$ is recognized by a multi-tape synchronous finite automaton and the equality relation $\left\{(u, v) \in L^{2}\right.$ : $\psi(u)=\psi(v)\}$ is recognized by a two-tape synchronous automaton. The language $L$ can be thought of as a language of normal forms (not necessarily unique) for elements of $D$. The map $\psi: L \rightarrow D$ is called a FA-presentation of the structure $\mathcal{A}$.

FA-presentable structures are often referred to as automatic structures in the literature. The term automatic structure is also used in the theory of automatic groups [10], but with the different meaning. In order to avoid misinterpretation, in this paper we use the term FA-presentable structure. The field of FA-presentable structures can be traced back to the pioneering works by Hodgson [12, 13]. The systematic study of FA-presentable structures was initiated independently by Khoussainov and Nerode [19] and Blumensath and Grädel [4, 5]. For survey articles in FA-presentable structures the reader is referred to [11, 18, 23, 24].

Each FA-presentable structure admits infinitely many FA-presentations which can differ from each other significantly or may exhibit unexpected behaviour compared to

[^0]natural FA-presentations. For example, in [1] the authors construct a FA-presentation of ( $\mathbb{Z}[1 / p] ;+$ ) for which the subgroup of integers $\mathbb{Z} \leqslant \mathbb{Z}[1 / p]$ is not regular and in [22] the authors construct FA-presentations of $\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2} ;+\right)$ for which none of the cyclic subgroups is regular.

In this paper we look at FA-presentations from a numerical perspective. We define a numerical characteristic of a FA-presentation $\psi: L \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ of the structure $(\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{S})$ as follows. Let $r(n)$ be the maximum $\psi(w)$ for all strings $w \in L$ of length less than or equal to $n$. For example, for a unary presentation of $(\mathbb{N} ; S)$ the function $r(n)$ has a linear growth while for a binary presentation it grows like an exponential function. For infinitely many positive integers $n$, those for which $r(n-1)<r(n)$, the value $\frac{r(n)}{n}$ can be thought of as a compression ratio - for these integers $n$ the number $r(n)$ is represented by a string of length exactly $n$. We first notice that for each FA-presentation of the Presburger arithmetic $(\mathbb{N} ;+)$ the growth of $r(n)$ is at most exponential, see Lemma 2. Then we show that in general it is not true for FA-presentations of $(\mathbb{N} ; S$ ) which comprise all FA-presentations of $(\mathbb{N} ;+$ ). Namely, we construct a FA-presentation of $(\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{S})$ for which $r(n)$ grows at least as fast as the function $T(n)$ defined recursively by the identity $T(n+1)=2^{T(n)}$ for $n \geqslant 0$ and the initial condition $T(0)=1$, see Theorem 12. In particular, $r(n)$ grows faster than any tower of exponents of a fixed height (see Corollary 13) ${ }^{1}$.

These results lead to a natural notion of a compressibility rate $s(n)$ of one FApresentation $\psi: L \rightarrow D$ relative to another $\psi_{0}: L_{0} \rightarrow D$ for any given FA-presentable structure $\mathcal{A}$ with the domain $D$. The function $s(n)$ is defined as the maximal length of a shortest normal form with respect to $\psi_{0}$ for elements of the domain $D$ having normal forms of length less than or equal to $n$ with respect to $\psi$, see Definition 14. Then Theorem 12 means that there exists a FA-presentation of $(\mathbb{N} ; S)$ for which the compressibility rate $s(n)$ relative to a unary presentation of $(\mathbb{N} ; S)$ grows at least as fast as the function $T(n)$. We give more examples of FA-presentable structures, including the configuration spaces of one-tape Turing machines and Cayley graphs, for which there are FA-presentations $\psi_{0}$ and $\psi$ such that the compressibility rate of $\psi$ relative to $\psi_{0}$ grows at least as fast as the function $T(n)$. However, for the Presburger arithmetic $(\mathbb{N} ;+$ ) we show that the compressibility rate is always bounded from above by a linear function, see Theorem 15 .

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we briefly recall necessary definitions from the field of FA-presentable structures. In Section 3 we discuss a numerical characteristic $r(n)$ for FA-presentations of $(\mathbb{N} ; S)$ and construct a FApresentation for which $r(n)$ grows at least as fast as the function $T(n)$. In Section 4 we introduce a more general notion of compressibility rate $s(n)$ for FA-presentations of any FA-presentable structure and show that $s(n)$ is bounded from above by a linear function for FA-presentations of the Presburger arithmetic $(\mathbb{N} ;+$ ). In Sections 5 and 6 we show examples of FA-presentations for the configuration space of a Turing machine and Cayley graphs of some Cayley automatic groups for which the compression rate grows at least as fast as the function $T(n)$. Section 7 concludes the paper.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section we recall necessary definitions and notations from the field of FApresentable structures. We assume that the reader is familiar with the basics of finite automata theory.

[^1]Let $\Sigma$ be an alphabet. For a given string $w \in \Sigma^{*}$ we denote by $|w|$ the length of $w$. We write $\Sigma_{\diamond}$ for the alphabet $\Sigma_{\diamond}=\Sigma \cup\{\diamond\}$, where the padding symbol $\diamond$ is not in $\Sigma$. For a $k$-tuple of strings $\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}\right) \in \Sigma^{* k}$ the convolution $w_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes w_{k} \in \Sigma_{\diamond}^{k *}$ is a string of length $|w|=\max \left\{\left|w_{i}\right|: i=1, \ldots, k\right\}$ defined as follows. For the $j$ th symbol $\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{k}\right)$ of $w$, the symbol $\sigma_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$ is the $j$ th symbol of $w_{i}$ if $j \leqslant\left|w_{i}\right|$ and $\sigma_{i}=\diamond$, otherwise.

For a given relation $R \subseteq \Sigma^{* k}$ we denote by $\otimes R$ the language $\otimes R=\left\{w_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes w_{k}\right.$ : $\left.\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}\right) \in R\right\} \subset \Sigma_{\diamond}^{k *}$. The relation $R$ is called $F A$-recognizable if the language $\otimes R$ is regular. A FA-recognizable relation is also often referred to as an automatic relation. Alternatively, $R$ can be thought of as a relation recognized by a synchronous $k$-tape finite automaton - a one-way Turing machine with $k$ input tapes.

For a $k$-ary function $f: D^{k} \rightarrow D$ we define the Graph $f$ to be the relation:

$$
\operatorname{Graph} f=\left\{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}, f\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)\right):\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right) \in D^{k}\right\} \subseteq D^{k+1} .
$$

Similarly, we say that a $k$-ary function $f: D^{k} \rightarrow D$, where $D \subseteq \Sigma^{*}$, is FA-recognizable if the relation Graph $f$ is FA-recognizable. A FA-recognizable function is also often referred to as an automatic function.

A structure $\mathcal{A}=\left(D ; R_{1}, \ldots, R_{\ell}, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}\right)$ consists of a countable domain $D$, relations $R_{1}, \ldots, R_{\ell}$ and functions $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}$ on $D$. Let $\psi: L \rightarrow D$ be a surjective mapping from a language $L \subseteq \Sigma^{*}$ to the domain $D$. For a given relation $R \subseteq D^{n}$ we denote its preimage with respect to $\psi$ by $\widetilde{R}$ :

$$
\widetilde{R}=\left\{\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right) \in L^{n}:\left(\psi\left(w_{1}\right), \ldots, \psi\left(w_{n}\right)\right) \in R\right\} .
$$

We say that $\psi: L \rightarrow D$ is a FA-presentation of the structure $\mathcal{A}$ if $L$ is a regular language and the relations $\widetilde{R}_{1}, \ldots, \widetilde{R}_{\ell}$ and Graph $f_{1}, \ldots, \widetilde{\text { Graph }} f_{m}$ are FA-recognizable and the equality relation $\left\{(u, v) \in L^{2}: \psi(u)=\psi(v)\right\}$ is FA-recognizable. We say that the structure $\mathcal{A}$ is FA-presentable if it admits a FA-presentation. FA-presentable structures, for example, include $(\mathbb{N} ;+)$, ( $\mathbb{Z}^{n} ;+$ ), the configuration spaces of Turing machines and Cayley graphs of Cayley automatic groups ${ }^{2}$.

## 3 Compressing Natural Numbers

In this section we introduce a numerical characteristic $r(n)$ for FA-presentations of the structure $(\mathbb{N} ; S)$. We first show that $r(n)$ is bounded from above by an exponential function for each FA-presentation of the Presburger arithmetic ( $\mathbb{N} ;+$ ). Then we construct a FA-presentation of the structure ( $\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{S}$ ) for which $r(n)$ grows faster than any tower of exponents of a fixed height.

We denote by $\mathbb{N}$ the set of natural numbers which includes zero and by S a successor function defined on $\mathbb{N}$ by the identity $\mathrm{S}(x)=x+1$. Let $L \subseteq \Sigma^{*}$ be a language and $\psi: L \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a FA-presentation of the structure $(\mathbb{N} ; S)$. For a given integer $n \geqslant 0$ we define $L^{\leqslant n}$ to be the set of strings of the language $L$ of length less than or equal to $n$ : $L^{\leqslant n}=\{w \in L:|w| \leqslant n\}$.

Definition 1. For a given FA-presentation $\psi: L \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ of the structure $(\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{S})$ we denote by $r$ the function $r: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ defined by the identities $r(n)=\max \{\psi(w): w \in$ $\left.L^{\leqslant n}\right\}$ if $L^{\leqslant n} \neq \varnothing$ and $r(n)=0$ if $L^{\leqslant n}=\varnothing$.

[^2]The function $r(n)$ is a numerical characteristic of a FA-presentation $\psi: L \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ showing how large the number $\psi(u) \in \mathbb{N}$ can be for a string $u \in L$ of length at most $n$. For given nondecreasing functions $r: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $s: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ we say that $s \geqslant r$ (a function $s$ is greater than or equal to a function $r$ ) if there exists an integer $N$ for which $s(n) \geqslant r(n)$ for all $n \geqslant N$. The following proposition shows that if $\psi: L \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is a FA-presentation of the structure ( $\mathbb{N} ;+$ ), then $r$ is less than or equal to some exponential function.

Lemma 2. Let $\psi: L \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a FA-presentation of the structure $(\mathbb{N} ;+)$. There exists a constant $\sigma>0$ such that the exponential function $\sigma^{n}$ is greater than or equal to $r(n)$.

Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume that $\psi: L \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is bijective. Indeed, let $L^{\prime}=\{u \in L: \forall v[\psi(u)=\psi(v) \Longrightarrow u \leqslant l l e x v]\}$ and $\psi^{\prime}: L^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be the restriction of $\psi$ onto $L^{\prime} \subseteq L$, where $\leqslant l l e x$ is a length-lexicographic ordering. The mapping $\psi^{\prime}: L^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is a bijective FA-presentation of the structure $(\mathbb{N} ;+$ ). Furthermore, the function $r^{\prime}(n)=\max \left\{\psi^{\prime}\left(w^{\prime}\right): w^{\prime} \in L^{\prime \leqslant n}\right\}$ is equal to $r(n)=\max \left\{\psi(w): w \in L^{\leqslant n}\right\}$.

Now we notice that there exists a constant $c>0$ such that for every triple $u, v, w \in$ $L$ for which $\psi(u)+\psi(v)=\psi(w)$ the inequality $\max \{|u|,|v|\} \leqslant|w|+c$ holds. This can be shown as follows. Since the relation $R=\left\{(u, v, w) \in L^{3}: \psi(u)+\psi(v)=\psi(w)\right\} \subseteq \Sigma_{\odot}^{3 *}$ is 3-tape FA-recognizable, there exists a finite automaton $\mathcal{M}$ recognizing the language $\otimes R=\{u \otimes v \otimes w \mid \psi(u)+\psi(v)=\psi(w)\}$. Let $c$ be the number of states in $\mathcal{M}$. If $\max \{|u|,|v|\}>|w|+c$, then by the same argument as in the pumping lemma there exist $x, y, z \in \Sigma_{\odot}^{3 *}$ for which $u \otimes v \otimes w=x y z,|x| \geqslant|w|$ and $|y| \leqslant c$ such that every string $x y^{n} z, n \geqslant 0$ is in the language $\otimes R$. This implies that there are infinitely many $u^{\prime}, v^{\prime} \in L$ for which $\psi\left(u^{\prime}\right)+\psi\left(v^{\prime}\right)=\psi(w)$. As $\psi: L \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is bijective, we immediately get a contradiction. Therefore, $\max \{|u|,|v|\} \leqslant|w|+c$.

Let $m=\psi(w)$ and $k=|w|+c$. There exist exactly $m+1$ pairs $u, v \in L$ for which $\psi(u)+\psi(v)=m$ obtained from the $m+1$ identities: $0+m=m, 1+(m-1)=$ $m, \ldots, m+0=m$. On the other hand, the number of such pairs is bounded from above by $1+\mu+\cdots+\mu^{k} \leqslant \frac{\mu^{k+1}-1}{\mu-1} \leqslant \mu^{k+1}$, where $\mu=\# \Sigma$ is the number of symbols in the alphabet $\Sigma$. It is assumed that $\mu>1$ as there exists no FA-presentation of the structure $(\mathbb{N} ;+$ ) over a unary alphabet (this can be proved using the pumping lemma). Therefore, $m \leqslant m+1 \leqslant \mu^{k+1}$ which implies that $\psi(w) \leqslant \mu^{c+1} \mu^{|w|}$. Therefore, for every $w \in L^{\leqslant n}$ we have: $\psi(w) \leqslant \mu^{c+1} \mu^{n}$. This implies that for any $\sigma>\mu$, the function $\sigma^{n}$ is greater than or equal to $r(n)$.

Remark 3. We note that the proof of Lemma 2 cannot be generalized for the structure $(\mathbb{Z} ;+)$ as for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ there exist infinitely many $m_{1}, m_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for which $m_{1}+m_{2}=$ $m$. Recall that the problem whether there exists a FA-presentation of $(\mathbb{Z} ;+)$, for which the set of all nonnegative integers $\{z \in \mathbb{Z}: z \geqslant 0\}$ is not regular, is open, see [15, 16]. For an example of a FA-presentation of $(\mathbb{Z} ; S)$ for which the set of all nonnegative integers $\{z \in \mathbb{Z}: z \geqslant 0\}$ is not regular see [20]. So the question whether the function $\widetilde{r}(n)$, defined as $\widetilde{r}(n)=\max \left\{|\psi(w)|: w \in L^{\leqslant n}\right\}$ if $L^{\leqslant n} \neq \varnothing$ and $\widetilde{r}(n)=0$ if $L^{\leqslant n}=\varnothing$, is bounded from above by an exponential function for each FA-presentation $\psi: L \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ of $(\mathbb{Z} ;+)$ cannot be trivially reduced to Lemma 2.

Below we show that Lemma 2 fails to hold for some FA-presentations of the structure $(\mathbb{N} ; S)$ by constructing a concrete example for which the function $r(n)$ grows faster than any tower of exponents of an arbitrary height, see Corollary 13.

Let $V$ be a set of all tuples $v=(a, b, c, d)$ for which $a, b, c$ and $d$ are integers such that the following three conditions are satisfied:
I) $a \geqslant 0, b \geqslant 0, c \in\left\{2^{k}: k \geqslant 0\right\}$ and $d \in\{0,1\}$;
II) if $a>0$ and $b=0$, then $c>1$;
III) if $a=0$, then $c=1$.

We define the function $f: V \rightarrow V$ according to the following six rules:

1) if $d=0, a>0$ and $b>0$, then $f:(a, b, c, 0) \mapsto(a, b-1,2 c, 0)$;
2) if $d=0, a>0$ and $b=0$, then $f:(a, 0, c, 0) \mapsto(a-1, c, 1,0)$;
3) if $d=0, a=0$, then $f:(0, b, 1,0) \mapsto(0, b+1,1,1)$;
4) if $d=1, c>1$, then $f:(a, b, c, 1) \mapsto\left(a, b+1, \frac{c}{2}, 1\right)$;
5) if $d=1, c=1$ and $b \in\left\{2^{k}: k>0\right\}$, then $f:(a, b, 1,1) \mapsto(a+1,0, b, 1)$;
6) if $d=1, c=1$ and $b \notin\left\{2^{k}: k>0\right\}$, then $f:(a, b, 1,1) \mapsto(a, b, 1,0)$.

For example, let us consecutively apply the function $f$ twenty three times to the tuple $(0,0,1,1)$. We obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (0,0,1,1) \xrightarrow{6}(0,0,1,0) \xrightarrow{3}(0,1,1,1) \xrightarrow{6}(0,1,1,0) \xrightarrow{3}(0,2,1,1) \xrightarrow{5}(1,0,2,1) \xrightarrow{4} \\
& (1,1,1,1) \xrightarrow{6}(1,1,1,0) \xrightarrow{4}(1,0,2,0) \xrightarrow{2}(0,2,1,0) \xrightarrow{3}(0,3,1,1) \xrightarrow{6}(0,3,1,0) \xrightarrow{3} \\
& (0,4,1,1) \xrightarrow{5}(1,0,4,1) \xrightarrow{4}(1,1,2,1) \xrightarrow{4}(1,2,1,1) \xrightarrow{5}(2,0,2,1) \xrightarrow{4}(2,1,1,1) \xrightarrow{6} \\
& (2,1,1,0) \xrightarrow{1}(2,0,2,0) \xrightarrow{2}(1,2,1,0) \xrightarrow{1}(1,1,2,0) \xrightarrow{1}(1,0,4,0) \xrightarrow{2}(0,4,1,0),
\end{aligned}
$$

where each of the numbers above the arrows indicates one of the six rules defining the function $f$. Note that the tuple $(0,0,1,1)$ does not have a preimage with respect to $f$.

Proposition 4. The function $f: V \rightarrow V$ is correctly defined.
Proof. In order to verify that $f: V \rightarrow V$ is correctly defined one needs to check that for each of the six rules: if $v \in V$, then $f(v) \in V$. That is, if the conditions I, II and III hold for the tuple $v$, then they hold for the tuple $f(v)$ as well. Clearly, the condition I holds for all $f(v), v \in V$.

Let us check it for the condition II. For the rule 1 we have $f(v)=(a, b-1,2 c, 0)$, so $2 c>1$; therefore, the conclusion of the condition II holds for $f(v)$. For the rule 2 we have $f(v)=(a-1, c, 1,0)$ for $c>0$, so the assumption of the condition II is not valid for $f(v)$. For the rule 3 we have $f(v)=(0, b+1,1,1)$, so the assumption of the condition II is not valid for $f(v)$ as $b+1>0$. For the rule 4 we have $f(v)=\left(a, b+1, \frac{c}{2}, 1\right)$, so the assumption of the condition II is not valid for $f(v)$ as $b+1>0$. For the rule 5 we have $f(v)=(a+1,0, b, 1)$ for $b \in\left\{2^{k}: k>0\right\}$, so $b>1$; therefore, the conclusion of the condition II holds for $f(v)$. For the rule 6 we have $f(v)=(a, b, 1,0)$ for $b \notin\left\{2^{k}: k>0\right\}$. If $a>0$ and $b=0$, then $v=(a, b, 1,1)$ cannot be in $V$ as the condition II is not satisfied for $v$.

Now let us check it for the condition III. For the rule 1 we have $f(v)=(a, b-1,2 c, 0)$ for $a>0$, so the assumption of the condition III is not valid for $f(v)$. For the rule 2 we have $f(v)=(a-1, c, 1,0)$, so the conclusion of the condition III holds for $f(v)$. For the rule 3 we have $f(v)=(0, b+1,1,1)$, so the conclusion of the condition III holds for $f(v)$. For the rule 4 we have $f(v)=\left(a, b+1, \frac{c}{2}, 1\right)$. If $\frac{c}{2}>1$, then $c>1$. Therefore, if $a=0$, then $v=(a, b, c, 1)$ cannot be in $V$ as the condition III is not satisfied for $v$. For the rule 5 we have $f(v)=(a+1,0, b, 1)$, so the assumption of the condition III is not valid for $f(v)$ as $a+1>0$. For the rule 6 we have $f(v)=(a, b, 1,0)$, so the conclusion of the condition III is valid for $f(v)$.

Proposition 5. The function $f: V \rightarrow V$ is one-to-one.
Proof. In order to verify that $f: V \rightarrow V$ is a one-to-one correspondence one needs to check that for each pair of rules $i$ and $j$, where $i, j=1, \ldots, 6$, for all $u \in V$ and $v \in V$ for which the $i$ th and $j$ th rules are applied to $u$ and $v$, respectively, if $f(u)=f(v)$, then $u=v$. Clearly, this holds if $i=j$. Also, if $i$ and $j$ belong to the different sets of rules $\{1,2,6\}$ and $\{3,4,5\}$, then $f(u) \neq f(v)$ because the fourth components of $f(u)$ and $f(v)$ are different.

Let $i, j \in\{1,2,6\}$. If $i=1$ and $j=2$ or $j=6$, for $u=(a, b, c, 0)$ the equation $f(u)=f(v)$ implies that $2 c=1$ which is impossible. If $i=2$ and $j=6$, for $u=$ $\left(a_{1}, 0, c_{1}, 0\right)$ and $v=\left(a_{2}, b_{2}, 1,1\right)$ the equation $f(u)=f(v)$ implies that $c_{1}=b_{2}$. By the condition II we have that $c_{1}>1$, so $c_{1} \in\left\{2^{k}: k>0\right\}$. However, $b_{2} \notin\left\{2^{k}: k>0\right\}$, so the equation $c_{1}=b_{2}$ is impossible.

Let $i, j \in\{3,4,5\}$. If $i=5$ and $j=3$ or $j=4$, the equation $f(u)=f(v)$ is impossible because the second component of $f(u)$ is equal to 0 while the second component of $f(v)$ is equal to $b+1>0$ in both cases. If $i=3$ and $j=4$, for $v=(a, b, c, 1)$ the equation $f(u)=f(v)$ implies that $a=0$. By the condition III we have that $c=1$. However, in the assumption of the rule 4 we have that $c>1$.

For a given integer $h \geqslant 0$ we define $T(h)$ recursively by the formula $T(h+1)=2^{T(h)}$ and the initial condition $T(0)=1$. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a set of towers of exponents $\mathcal{T}=\{T(h)$ : $h \geqslant 0\}$; that is, $\mathcal{T}=\left\{1,2,4,16, \ldots, 2^{2 \cdots^{2}}, \ldots\right\}$.

Lemma 6. For each tuple of the form $v=\left(0,2^{m}, 1,1\right)$ for which $2^{m} \notin \mathcal{T}$ there is an integer $n \geqslant 0$ for which $f^{n}(v)=\left(0,2^{m+1}, 1,1\right)$.
Proof. Since $m>1$ (otherwise $2^{m} \in \mathcal{T}$ ), we have that $2^{m} \in\left\{2^{k}: k>0\right\}$. Applying the rule 5 to $v$ we obtain that $f(v)=\left(1,0,2^{m}, 1\right)$. Applying repeatedly the rule 4 to $\left(1,0,2^{m}, 1\right)$ we obtain the tuple $(1, m, 1,1)$. If $m \in\left\{2^{k}: k>0\right\}$, we continue applying the rules 5 and 4 to obtain $\left(2, \log _{2} m, 1,1\right)$. Continuing this process one gets a tuple $(\ell+1, r, 1,1)$, where $\ell \geqslant 0$ and $r=\log _{2}\left(\ldots\left(\log _{2} m\right) \ldots\right) \notin\left\{2^{k}: k>0\right\}$ is obtained recursively from $m$ by applying the operator $\log _{2}$ exactly $\ell$ times. Moreover, it follows from $2^{m} \notin \mathcal{T}$ that $r>1$. We have $(\ell+1, r, 1,1) \xrightarrow{6}(\ell+1, r, 1,0)$. Applying repeatedly the rules 1 and 2 to the tuple $(\ell+1, r, 1,0)$ we obtain the tuple $\left(0,2^{m}, 1,0\right)$. Then we have that $\left(0,2^{m}, 1,0\right) \xrightarrow{3}\left(0,2^{m}+1,1,1\right)$. Applying repeatedly the rules 6 and 3 to $\left(0,2^{m}+1,1,1\right)$ one finally gets the tuple $\left(0,2^{m+1}, 1,1\right)$.

Lemma 7. For each tuple of the form $v=\left(0,2^{m}, 1,1\right)$ for which $2^{m} \in \mathcal{T}$ there exists an integer $n \geqslant 0$ for which $f^{n}(v)=(a, 1,1,0)$, where $a$ is defined by the equation $T(a)=2^{m}$.

Proof. For the case $2^{m}=1$ we have: $(0,1,1,1) \xrightarrow{6}(0,1,1,0)$. Now let $2^{m}>1$. Since $2^{m} \in \mathcal{T}, m=T(\ell)$ for some $\ell \geqslant 0$. Applying repeatedly the rules 5 and 4 to $v$ one gets a tuple $(\ell+1,1,1,1)$. Finally we have $(\ell+1,1,1,1) \xrightarrow{6}(\ell+1,1,1,0)$. The identity $m=T(\ell)$ implies that $2^{m}=T(\ell+1)$.

Lemma 8. For every integer $m \geqslant 0$ there exists an integer $n>0$ for which $f^{n}(m, 1,1,0)=$ ( $m+1,1,1,0$ ).

Proof. If $m=0$, we have: $(0,1,1,0) \xrightarrow{3}(0,2,1,1) \xrightarrow{5}(1,0,2,1) \xrightarrow{4}(1,1,1,1) \xrightarrow{6}$ $(1,1,1,0)$. Let $m>0$. Applying repeatedly the rules 1 and 2 one gets a tuple $(0, T(m), 1,0)$. Applying to this tuple the rule 3 one gets a tuple $(0, T(m)+1,1,1)$. The rules 6 and 3 should be then applied repeatedly to obtain a tuple $\left(0,2^{T(m-1)+1}, 1,1\right)$. By Lemma 6 applying repeatedly the function $f$ to the tuple $\left(0,2^{T(m-1)+1}, 1,1\right)$ one gets a tuple $\left(0,2^{T(m)}, 1,1\right)$. Since $2^{T(m)}=T(m+1) \in \mathcal{T}$, by Lemma 7 applying repeatedly the function $f$ to the tuple $\left(0,2^{T(m)}, 1,1\right)$ one finally gets a tuple $(m+1,1,1,0)$.

Lemma 9. For every $v=(a, b, c, d) \in V$ there exist integers $m, n \geqslant 0$ for which $f^{n}(v)=\left(0,2^{m}, 1,1\right)$.

Proof. Let us consider first the case when $d=0$. If $a>0$, then applying repeatedly the rules 1 and 2 to $v$ one gets a tuple of the form ( $0, b^{\prime}, 1,0$ ). Therefore, it is enough to analyze the case when $a=0$. If $b=0$ in a tuple $(0, b, 1,0)$, we have $(0,0,1,0) \xrightarrow{3}$ $(0,1,1,1)$. Therefore, we can assume that $b>0$. Applying to $v$ the rule 3 one gets a tuple $(0, b+1,1,1)$. If $b+1=2^{k}$ for some $k>0$, then we are done. Otherwise, the rules 6 and 3 should be repeatedly applied until one gets a tuple $\left(0,2^{k}, 1,1\right)$ for some $k>0$.

Now let us assume that $d=1$. If $c>1$, then applying repeatedly the rule 4 one gets a tuple ( $a, b^{\prime}, 1,1$ ). Therefore, it is enough to analyse the case $c=1$. If $b \notin\left\{2^{k}: k>0\right\}$, then applying to $v$ the rule 6 one gets a tuple ( $a, b, 1,0$ ). The lemma is already proved for the case $d=0$. If $b \in\left\{2^{k}: k>0\right\}$, the rules 5 and 4 should be repeatedly applied until one gets a tuple $\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}, 1,1\right)$ for $b^{\prime} \notin\left\{2^{k}: k>0\right\}$ - the case that we already analyzed.

Theorem 10. The structure $(V ; f)$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{N} ; S)$.
Proof. It follows from Proposition 5 that $V$ can be decomposed into disjoint components $V_{i} \subseteq V, i \in I$ for which $\bigcup_{i \in I} V_{i}=V, f\left(V_{i}\right) \subseteq V_{i}$ and each structure $\left(V_{i},\left.f\right|_{V_{i}}\right)$ is isomorphic to either $(\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{S}),(\mathbb{Z} ; \mathrm{S})$ or $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n} ; \mathrm{S}\right)$, where for a cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ the successor function is given by $\mathrm{S}(x)=x+1 \bmod n$ for $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}$. Suppose that there exist at least two disjoint components $V_{i}$ and $V_{j}$. It follows directly from Lemmas 6-9 that for every $u \in V_{i}$ and $v \in V_{j}$ there exist integers $r, s$ and $m$ such that $f^{r}(u)=f^{s}(v)=(m, 1,1,0)$. Since $f^{r}(u) \in V_{i}$ and $f^{s}(v) \in V_{j}$ we obtain that $V_{i} \cap V_{j} \neq \varnothing$, so we get a contradiction. Therefore, there is only one component. So $(V ; f)$ is either isomorphic to ( $\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{S}$ ) or $(\mathbb{Z} ; \mathrm{S})$ as $V$ is infinite. Because $(0,0,1,1)$ does not have a preimage with respect to $f$, $(V ; f)$ must be isomorphic to $(\mathbb{N} ; S)$.

For a given nonnegative integer $n$ let $n=\sum_{i=0}^{k} \beta_{i} 2^{i}$ be its binary decomposition, where $\beta_{i} \in\{0,1\}$ for $i=0, \ldots, k-1$ and $\beta_{k}=1$. We denote by $\bar{n}$ the string $\beta_{0} \beta_{1} \ldots \beta_{k}$, i.e., the standard binary representation of $n$ written in the reverse order. Similarly, for a given 4-tuple of nonnegative integers $v=(a, b, c, d)$ we denote by $\bar{v}$ the convolution of strings $\bar{a} \otimes \bar{b} \otimes \bar{c} \otimes \bar{d}$. Let $L$ be the language of strings $\bar{v}$ representing all 4 -tuples $v \in V: L=\{\bar{v}: v \in V\}$. We denote by $\varphi: L \rightarrow V$ a bijection which for every $v \in V$ sends the string $\bar{v} \in L$ to $v$.

Proposition 11. The map $\varphi: L \rightarrow V$ is a FA-presentation of the structure $(V ; f)$.
Proof. To prove the proposition one needs to show that $L$ is a regular language and the function $f_{L}=\varphi^{-1} \circ f \circ \varphi$ is automatic. For the reverse binary representation of nonnegative integers that we use, the set $\left\{2^{k}: k \geqslant 0\right\}$ corresponds to the language $0^{*} 1$ which is regular. So it is easy to see that for the presentation given by $\varphi: L \rightarrow V$ each of the conditions I, II and III defining the set $V$ can be verified by a finite automaton. As the class of regular languages is closed under intersection, the language $L$ is regular. Similarly, for each of the six rules defining $f$ the assumption can be verified by a finite automaton. Moreover, for the presentation of an integer $n \geqslant 0$ by $\bar{n}$ the functions: $n \mapsto n+1, n \mapsto n-1, n \mapsto 2 n$ and $n \mapsto \frac{n}{2}$ are FA-recognizable. This implies that the function $f_{L}: L \rightarrow L$ is FA-recognizable.

By Theorem 10 there is an isomorphism of the structures $(V ; f)$ and $(\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{S})$ mapping the tuple $(0,0,1,1) \in V$ to $0 \in \mathbb{N}$. We denote this isomorphism by $\tau: V \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$. Let $\psi$ be the composition $\psi=\tau \circ \varphi$. By Proposition 11 the bijection $\psi: L \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is a FA-presentation of the structure $(\mathbb{N} ; \mathbf{S})$. Let $r: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be the function corresponding to $\psi: L \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ as it is given in Definition 1: $r(n)=\max \left\{\psi(w): w \in L^{\leqslant n}\right\}$ if $L^{\leqslant n} \neq \varnothing$ and $r(n)=0$ if $L^{\leqslant n}=\varnothing$.
Theorem 12. The function $r(n)$ is greater than or equal to $T(n)$.

Proof. For a given $n>2$, let $u_{n} \in\{0,1\}^{*}$ be the string $u_{n}=\overline{2^{n-1}}$, that is, $u_{n}=$ $0^{n-1} 1$. Let $m=2^{n-1}$. The string $w_{n}=u_{n} \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 0$ represents a tuple ( $m, 1,1,0$ ): $\varphi\left(w_{n}\right)=(m, 1,1,0)$. By Lemma 8, there exists $\ell>0$ for which $f^{\ell}(m, 1,1,0)=(m+$ $1,1,1,0)$. In particular, we have: $(m, 1,1,0) \xrightarrow{f} \ldots \xrightarrow{f}(1, T(m-1), 1,0) \xrightarrow{f} \ldots \ldots \xrightarrow{f}$ $(1,0, T(m), 0) \xrightarrow{f} \ldots \xrightarrow{f}(m+1,1,1,0)$, where in the subsequence $(1, T(m-1), 1,0) \xrightarrow{f}$ $\ldots \ldots \xrightarrow{f}(1,0, T(m), 0)$ the function $f$ is applied exactly $T(m-1)$ times. Therefore, $\ell \geqslant T(m-1)$. Now let $v_{n}=\overline{2^{n-1}+1}=10^{n-2} 1$ and $w_{n}^{\prime}=v_{n} \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 0$. The string $w_{n}^{\prime}$ represents the tuple $(m+1,1,1,0): \varphi\left(w_{n}^{\prime}\right)=(m+1,1,1,0)$. Clearly, $\left|w_{n}\right|=\left|w_{n}^{\prime}\right|=n$. Therefore, $r(n) \geqslant \psi\left(w_{n}^{\prime}\right) \geqslant \ell \geqslant T(m-1)$. So, $r(n) \geqslant T\left(2^{n-1}-1\right)$ for all $n>2$. Since $2^{n-1}-1 \geqslant n$ for $n>2$, we have that: $r(n) \geqslant T(n)$ for all $n>2$ which implies that $r$ is greater than or equal to $T$.

For a given integer $h \geqslant 0$ let $t_{h}(n)$ be the function defined recursively by the formula $t_{h+1}(n)=2^{t_{h}(n)}$ and the initial condition $t_{0}(n)=n$; that is, $t_{1}(n)=2^{n}, t_{2}(n)=$ $2^{2^{n}}, t_{3}(n)=2^{2^{2^{n}}}$ and etc.

Corollary 13. For each $h \geqslant 0, r \geqslant t_{h}$. That is, the function $r$ grows faster than any tower of exponents of a fixed height.

Proof. This immediately follows from Theorem 12 and a simple observation that the function $T$ is greater than or equal to $t_{h}$ for every $h \geqslant 0$.

## 4 Compressibility Rate

In this section we extend the notion of a numerical characteristic $r(n)$ defined for FA-presentations of $(\mathbb{N} ; S)$ to a more general notion of a compressibility rate $s(n)$ of one FA-presentation relative to another for any given FA-presentable structure. We show that for each pair of FA-presentations of the Presburger arithmetic $(\mathbb{N} ;+$ ) the compressibility rate is bounded from above by a linear function.

Let $\mathcal{A}=\left(D ; R_{1}, \ldots, R_{\ell}, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}\right)$ be a FA-presentable structure and $\psi_{0}: L_{0} \rightarrow$ $D$ be a FA-presentation of $\mathcal{A}$. Let $\psi: L \rightarrow D$ also be a FA-presentation of $\mathcal{A}$. We define $\xi: L \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ to be a function which maps a given string $w \in L$ to

$$
\xi(w)=\min \left\{|v|: \psi_{0}(v)=\psi(w), v \in L_{0}\right\}
$$

The value $\xi(w)$ for $w \in L$ is the minimal length of a representative of the element $\psi(w) \in D$ with respect to the FA-presentation $\psi_{0}: L_{0} \rightarrow D$.

Definition 14. For a given FA-presentation $\psi: L \rightarrow D$ of the structure $\mathcal{A}$ let $s$ : $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a function defined as follows. For a given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, if $L^{\leqslant n}=\varnothing$, then $s(n)=0$ and, if $L^{\leqslant n} \neq \varnothing$, then $s(n)=\max \left\{\xi(w): w \in L^{\leqslant n}\right\}$.

For infinitely many $n$ the quotient $\frac{s(n)}{n}$ is a compression ratio achieved for some strings in $L_{0}$. We will call the function $s(n)$ compressibility rate of the FA-presentation $\psi: L \rightarrow D$ relative to the FA-presentation $\psi_{0}: L_{0} \rightarrow D$.

Let $\Sigma_{0}=\{0\}$ be a unary alphabet and $u_{0}: \Sigma_{0}^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a unary FA-presentation of the structure $(\mathbb{N} ; S)$ which sends a string over the alphabet $\Sigma_{0}$ to its length. Theorem 12 implies that there exists a FA-presentation of the structure ( $\mathbb{N} ; S$ ) for which the compressibility rate relative to the FA-presentation $u_{0}$ is greater than or equal to $T(n)$. In particular, it grows faster than any tower of exponents of a fixed height, see Corollary 13. In Sections 5 and 6 we provide more examples of FA-presentable structures and their FA-presentations for which compressibility rate grows faster than any tower of exponents.

However, not every FA-presentation admits compression. We will say that a FArepresentation $\psi_{0}: L_{0} \rightarrow D$ is incompressible if for every FA-presentation $\psi: L \rightarrow D$
of the structure $\mathcal{A}$ the compressibility rate $s(n)$ is bounded from above by a linear function $c n$ for some constant $c>0$ which depends on the FA-presentation $\psi: L \rightarrow D$.

Theorem 15. Every FA-presentation of the structure ( $\mathbb{N} ;+$ ) is incompressible.
Proof. Let $\psi_{0}: L_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $\psi: L \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be FA-presentations of the structure $(\mathbb{N} ;+$ ). Similarly to the proof of Lemma 2, without loss of generality, we can assume that both FA-presentations $\psi_{0}$ and $\psi$ are bijective. Then the function $s(n)$ can be defined in a more simple way: $s(n)=\max \left\{|v|: \psi_{0}(v)=\psi(w), w \in L^{\leqslant n}\right\}$.

Now we notice that there exist constants $c_{0}, d_{0}>0$ such that the inequality $\psi_{0}(v) \leqslant$ $2^{n}$ implies that $|v| \leqslant c_{0} n+d_{0}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. To see this, let $v_{k} \in L_{0}, k=0,1,2, \ldots$ be the representative of $2^{k}$ with respect to $\psi_{0}: \psi_{0}\left(v_{k}\right)=2^{k}$. Since the relation $R_{0}=\left\{(u, v, w) \in L_{0}^{3}: \psi_{0}(u)+\psi_{0}(v)=\psi_{0}(w)\right\} \subseteq \Sigma_{\diamond}^{3 *}$ is 3-tape FA-recognizable, the relation $R_{0}^{\prime}=\left\{(u, w) \in L_{0}^{2} \mid 2 \psi_{0}(u)=\psi_{0}(w)\right\}$ is 2-tape FA-recognizable. Therefore, there exists a finite automaton $\mathcal{M}$ recognizing the language $\otimes R_{0}^{\prime}=\left\{u \otimes w \mid 2 \psi_{0}(u)=\right.$ $\left.\psi_{0}(w)\right\}$. Let $c_{0}$ be the number of states in $\mathcal{M}$. If $\left|v_{k+1}\right|-\left|v_{k}\right|>c_{0}$, then by the same argument as in the pumping lemma there exist $x, y, z \in \Sigma_{\diamond}^{2 *}$ for which $v_{k} \otimes v_{k+1}=x y z$, $|x| \geqslant\left|v_{k}\right|$ and $|y| \leqslant c_{0}$ such that every string $x y^{n} z, n \geqslant 0$ is in the language $\otimes R_{0}^{\prime}$. This implies that there are infinitely many $v^{\prime} \in L_{0}$ for which $2 \psi_{0}\left(v_{k}\right)=\psi_{0}\left(v^{\prime}\right)$. Since $\psi_{0}: L_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is bijective, we get a contradiction. Therefore, $\left|v_{k+1}\right|-\left|v_{k}\right| \leqslant c_{0}$. Let $d_{0}^{\prime}=\left|v_{0}\right|$. Then we have $\left|v_{k}\right| \leqslant c_{0} k+d_{0}^{\prime}$ for all $k$. The relation $\leqslant$ is first-order definable in $(\mathbb{N} ;+)$, so it is FA-recognizable. Again, by using the pumping lemma argument one can show that if $\psi_{0}(v) \leqslant \psi_{0}(u)$, then $|v| \leqslant|u|+d_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ for some constant $d_{0}^{\prime \prime}$. Therefore, if $\psi_{0}(v) \leqslant 2^{n}$, then $|v| \leqslant c_{0} n+d_{0}^{\prime}+d_{0}^{\prime \prime}=c_{0} n+d_{0}$, where $d_{0}=d_{0}^{\prime}+d_{0}^{\prime \prime}$.

By Lemma 2, there exists a constant $\sigma>0$ for which the function $r(n)=$ $\max \left\{\psi(w): w \in L^{\leqslant n}\right\}$ is less than or equal to $\sigma^{n}: r(n) \leqslant \sigma^{n}$. Therefore, $r(n) \leqslant \sigma^{n} \leqslant$ $2^{\left\lceil\log _{2} \sigma\right\rceil n}$. This implies that $s(n) \leqslant c_{0}\left\lceil\log _{2} \sigma\right\rceil n+d_{0}$. Let $c=c_{0}\left\lceil\log _{2} \sigma\right\rceil+1$. Then finally we have $s(n) \leqslant c n$.

## 5 Compressing Configurations of a One-Tape Turing Machine

In this section we consider a FA-presentable structure defined by the set of all possible configurations of a one-tape Turing machine. A standard encoding of these configurations gives a FA-presentation of this structure. We will show that there exists another FA-presentation of the same structure (encoding of configurations of a Turing machine) for which the compressibility rate $s(n)$ relative to the standard encoding is greater than or equal to $T(n)$.

Let $\Gamma$ be a finite set of symbols of cardinality at least two which contains a blank symbol $\sqcup$ and $Q$ be a finite set of states containing a distinguished symbol $q_{0} \in$ $Q$; it is assumed that $\Gamma \cap Q=\varnothing$. A deterministic one-tape Turing machine $M$ over the alphabet $\Gamma$ with a set of states $Q$ and the initial state $q_{0}$ is defined by the set of commands $P_{M}$. A configuration (instantaneous description) of $M$ is a string $X_{1} \ldots X_{i-1} q X_{i} X_{i+1} \ldots X_{n}$, where $X_{1} \ldots X_{n} \in \Gamma^{*}$ is the content written on the tape and $q \in Q$ with the head pointing at $X_{i}$. This way to present configurations is standard regardless whether the tape is infinite or semi-infinite, see, e.g., [14]; in the latter case $X_{1}$ is the content of the leftmost cell. We denote by $\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma, Q} \subseteq(\Gamma \cup Q)^{*}$ the language of configurations for all possible Turing machines over the alphabet $\Gamma$ and a set of states $Q$. Clearly, the language $\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma, Q}$ is regular. Furthermore, the relation
$R_{M}=\left\{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma, Q} \times \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma, Q}\right.$ : there exists a command in $P_{M}$ transforming $\alpha$ to $\left.\beta\right\}$
is FA-recognizable for every Turing machine $M$ over the alphabet $\Gamma$ with the set of states $Q$ [19]. So the structure ( $\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma, Q} ; R_{M}$ ) is FA-presentable and the identity map $\psi_{0}: \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma, Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma, Q}$ is a FA-presentation.

We construct a new FA-presentation $\psi: L \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma, Q}$ of the structure $\left(\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma, Q} ; R_{M}\right)$ as follows. Let $\gamma$ be a nonblank symbol from $\Gamma$. Any configuration $\xi \in \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma, Q}$ can be written as a concatenation $\xi=\gamma^{k} \mu$ of strings $\gamma^{k}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mu$, where the first symbol of $\mu$ is not $\gamma$. Now let $u_{k}$ be the string representing $k$ with respect to the FApresentation of $(\mathbb{N} ; S)$ constructed in Section 3; it is assumed that $\Gamma$ does not contain any symbol from the alphabet of this FA-presentation. We encode the configuration $\xi$ by a string $w=u_{k} \mu$ which is the concatenation of strings $u_{k}$ and $\mu$. Let $L$ be the collection of all such strings $w$ encoding all possible configurations from $\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma, Q}$. Clearly, a mapping $\psi: L \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma, Q}$ which sends a string $w$ to the configuration $\xi$ is a bijection. Moreover, for this mapping $\psi$ and each Turing machine $M$ over the alphabet $\Gamma$ with the set of states $Q$ the relation $\widetilde{R_{M}}$ is FA-recognizable.

Theorem 16. The compressibility rate $s(n)$ of $\psi$ relative to $\psi_{0}$ is greater than or equal to $T(n)$.

Proof. First we notice that since $\psi_{0}$ is the identity map and $\psi$ is bijective, the compressibility rate $s(n)$ of $\psi$ relative to $\psi_{0}$ takes a form: $s(n)=\max \left\{|\psi(w)|: w \in L^{\leqslant n}\right\}$ if $L^{\leqslant n} \neq \varnothing$ and $s(n)=0$ if $L^{\leqslant n}=\varnothing$. Let $q \in Q$. For a given $k \geqslant 0$ we denote by $\xi_{k}$ the configuration $\xi_{k}=\gamma^{k} q \sqcup$. Let $w_{k}=u_{k} q \sqcup \in L$ be the string representing $\xi_{k}$ with respect to $\psi$, i.e., $\psi\left(w_{k}\right)=\xi_{k}$. We have: $\left|\xi_{k}\right|=k+2$ and $\left|w_{k}\right|=\left|u_{k}\right|+2$. Therefore, $s(n+2) \geqslant r(n)+2$ for all $n>0$. Now we note that in Theorem 12 we actually proved a stronger inequality: $r(n) \geqslant T\left(2^{n-1}-1\right)$ for all $n>2$. Therefore, $r(n)+2 \geqslant T\left(2^{n-1}-1\right)+2 \geqslant T(n+2)$ for all $n>3$; the latter inequality follows from a simple observation that $2^{n-1}-1>n+2$ for all $n>3$. Thus, $s(n) \geqslant r(n-2)+2 \geqslant T(n)$ for all $n>5$.

Remark 17. Each bijective FA-presentation $\psi: L \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma, Q}$ of the structure $\left(\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma, Q} ; R_{M}\right)$ defines an encoding of configurations of a Turing machine $M$ by strings from the language L. Moreover, if for strings $u \in L$ and $v \in L$ encoding configurations $\alpha=\psi(u)$ and $\beta=\psi(v)$, respectively, there exists a command in $P_{M}$ transforming $\alpha$ to $\beta$, the string $v$ can be computed on some deterministic one-tape position-faithful Turing machine (see [6] for the formal definition of a one-tape position-faithful Turing machine) from the input string $u$ in linear time. This is because being an automatic function is equivalent to being one computed on a deterministic one-tape position-faithful Turing machine in linear time [6].

## 6 Compressing Elements in Cayley Automatic Groups

In this section we consider FA-presentations of Cayley graphs for Cayley automatic groups. Such FA-presentations are referred to as Cayley automatic representations. The groups $G$ considered in this section are free abelian groups, free groups, BaumslagSolitar groups and semidirect products. We start with fixing some known FA-presentations $\psi_{0}: L_{0} \rightarrow G$ of these groups. Then we construct new FA-presentations $\psi: L \rightarrow G$ for which the compressibility rate $s(n)$ relative to $\psi_{0}$ is greater than or equal to $T(n)$. That is, we show the result analogous to Theorem 16. All FA-presentations that we consider in this section are bijective, so the compressibility rate takes a form: $s(n)=\max \left\{|v|: \psi_{0}(v)=\psi(w), w \in L^{\leqslant n}\right\}$ if $L^{\leqslant n} \neq \varnothing$ and $s(n)=0$ if $L^{\leqslant n}=\varnothing$.

Throughout this section for a given integer $k \geqslant 0$ we will denote by $u_{k}$ the string representing the integer $k$ with respect to the FA-presentation of ( $\mathbb{N} ; S$ ) constructed in Section 3.

### 6.1 Free Abelian Groups

We first consider a natural Cayley automatic representation of the infinite cyclic group $Z=\langle a\rangle$ defined as follows. Let $\Sigma_{0}=\left\{a, a^{-1}\right\}$ and $L_{0}=\left\{a^{k}: k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} \subseteq \Sigma_{0}^{*}$. We define $\psi_{0}: L_{0} \rightarrow Z$ to be a map sending a string $a^{k} \in L_{0}$ to the group element $a^{k} \in Z$. Now we define $L$ to be a language consisting of the strings $a^{k}$ for $k<0$ and $u_{k}$ for $k \geqslant 0$. Let $\psi: L \rightarrow Z$ be a map which sends a string $a^{k} \in L$ for $k<0$ and a string $u_{k} \in L$ for $k \geqslant 0$ to the group element $a^{k} \in Z$. It can be seen that the mapping $\psi: L \rightarrow Z$ is a Cayley automatic representation. Let $s(n)$ be the compressibility rate of $\psi: L \rightarrow Z$ relative to $\psi_{0}: L_{0} \rightarrow Z$. The inequality $s(n) \geqslant T(n)$ immediately follows from Theorem 12 as $\psi_{0}\left(a^{k}\right)=\psi\left(u_{k}\right)$ and $\left|a^{k}\right|=k$ for all $k \geqslant 0$.

Now let us consider a general case - a free abelian group $Z^{m}=\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right|\left[a_{i}, a_{j}\right]=$ $e, i \neq j\rangle$. Let $\Sigma_{0}=\left\{a_{1}, a_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, a_{m}, a_{m}^{-1}\right\}, L_{0}=\left\{a_{1}^{k_{1}} \ldots a_{m}^{k_{m}}: k_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}, i=1, \ldots, m\right\}$ and $\psi_{0}: L_{0} \rightarrow Z^{m}$ be a Cayley automatic representation of the group $Z^{m}$ sending a string $a_{1}^{k_{1}} \ldots a_{m}^{k_{m}} \in L_{0}$ to the group element $a_{1}^{k_{1}} \ldots a_{m}^{k_{m}} \in Z^{m}$. We define $L$ to be a language consisting of the strings $a_{1}^{k_{1}} a_{2}^{k_{2}} \ldots a_{m}^{k_{m}}$ for $k_{1}<0$ and $u_{k_{1}} a_{2}^{k_{2}} \ldots a_{m}^{k_{m}}$ for $k_{1} \geqslant 0$. Let $\psi: L \rightarrow Z^{m}$ be a map which sends a string $a_{1}^{k_{1}} a_{2}^{k_{2}} \ldots a_{m}^{k_{m}} \in L$ for $k_{1}<0$ and a string $u_{k_{1}} a_{2}^{k_{2}} \ldots a_{m}^{k_{m}} \in L$ for $k_{1} \geqslant 0$ to the group element $a_{1}^{k_{1}} a_{2}^{k_{2}} \ldots a_{m}^{k_{m}} \in Z^{m}$. The mapping $\psi: L \rightarrow Z^{m}$ is a Cayley automatic representation. Similarly, $s(n) \geqslant T(n)$ for the compressibility rate of $\psi$ relative to $\psi_{0}$.

### 6.2 Free Groups

In this part we consider a free group over $m$ generators $\mathbb{F}_{m}=\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\rangle$. Recall that $\mathbb{F}_{m}$ as a set consists of all reduced words over the alphabet $\left\{a_{1}, a_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, a_{m}, a_{m}^{-1}\right\}$. Let $\Sigma_{0}=\left\{a_{1}, a_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, a_{m}, a_{m}^{-1}\right\}$ and $L_{0} \subseteq \Sigma_{0}^{*}$ be the language of reduced words over $\Sigma_{0}$. We define $\psi_{0}: L_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{m}$ to be a Cayley automatic representation identifying a reduced word from $L_{0}$ with the corresponding element in $\mathbb{F}_{m}$. Each reduced word $w \in L_{0}$ can be written as a concatenation $w=a_{1}^{k} w^{\prime}$, where $k \in \mathbb{Z}, w^{\prime} \in L_{0}$ and the first symbol of $w^{\prime}$ is not $a_{1}$ or $a_{1}^{-1}$. Now we define $L$ to be the language consisting of all concatenations $a_{1}^{k} w^{\prime}$ for $k<0$ and $u_{k} w^{\prime}$ for $k \geqslant 0$. Let $\psi: L \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{m}$ be a map which sends a string $a_{1}^{k} w^{\prime} \in L$ for $k<0$ and $u_{k} w^{\prime} \in L$ for $k \geqslant 0$ to the group element $w=a_{1}^{k} w^{\prime} \in \mathbb{F}_{m}$. Clearly, $\psi: L \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{m}$ is a Cayley automatic representation. As $\psi_{0}\left(a_{1}^{k}\right)=\psi\left(u_{k}\right)$ and $\left|a_{1}^{k}\right|=k$ for all $k \geqslant 0$, the inequality $s(n) \geqslant T(n)$ for the compressibility rate $s(n)$ of $\psi$ relative to $\psi_{0}$ is a straightforward corollary of Theorem 12.

### 6.3 Baumslag-Solitar Groups

In this part we consider the family of Baumslag-Solitar groups $B S(p, q)=\langle a, t$ : $\left.t a^{p} t^{-1}=a^{q}\right\rangle$ for $1 \leqslant p<q$. Recall that each group element $g \in B S(p, q)$ can be uniquely written as a reduced word $w_{\ell} t^{\varepsilon_{\ell}} \ldots w_{1} t^{\varepsilon_{1}} a^{m}$, where $\varepsilon_{i} \in\{+1,-1\}$, $w_{i} \in$ $\left\{\varepsilon, a, \ldots, a^{p-1}\right\}$ if $\varepsilon_{i}=-1, w_{i} \in\left\{\varepsilon, a, \ldots, a^{q-1}\right\}$ if $\varepsilon_{i}=+1$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. The reader can look up a general result about normal forms in HNN extensions of groups in, e.g, [21]. We represent an element $g=w_{\ell} t^{\varepsilon_{\ell}} \ldots w_{1} t^{\varepsilon_{1}} a^{m}$ as a concatenation of a string $\widetilde{w}=w_{\ell} t^{\varepsilon_{\ell}} \ldots w_{1} t^{\varepsilon_{1}}$ and a string $z$, which is a $q$-ary representation of an integer $m$. Let $L_{0}$ be the language of all such concatenations $u=\widetilde{w} z$ and $\psi_{0}: L_{0} \rightarrow B S(p, q)$ be a bijection which sends a string $u=\widetilde{w} z \in L_{0}$ to a group element $g=\widetilde{w} a^{m} \in B S(p, q)$. This bijection $\psi_{0}: L_{0} \rightarrow B S(p, q)$ is a Cayley automatic representation of the group $B S(p, q)$ [2]. We denote by $\tau$ the maximal prefix of the string $u$ which is of the form $\tau=t^{k}$ for $k \geqslant 0$. That is, $u=\tau \omega=t^{k} \omega$ and the first symbol of the suffix $\omega$ is not $t$. Now we define $L$ to be the language consisting of all concatenations $u_{k} \omega$. Let $\psi: L \rightarrow B S(p, q)$ be a map which sends a string $u_{k} \omega \in L$ to a group element $g=\widetilde{w} a^{m} \in B S(p, q)$. It can verified that $\psi: L \rightarrow B S(p, q)$ is also a Cayley automatic representation. As $\psi_{0}\left(t^{k}\right)=\psi\left(u_{k}\right)$ and $\left|t^{k}\right|=k$ for all $k \geqslant 0$, the inequality
$s(n) \geqslant T(n)$ for the compressibility rate $s(n)$ of $\psi$ relative to $\psi_{0}$ follows from Theorem 12.

### 6.4 Semidirect Products $Z^{2} \rtimes_{A} Z$

In this part we consider a family of semidirect products $Z^{2} \rtimes_{A} Z$ for $A \in \operatorname{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. Let us consider any FA-presentation $\psi^{\prime}: L^{\prime} \rightarrow Z^{2}$ of the structure ( $Z^{2} ; f_{A}$ ), where $f_{A}: Z^{2} \rightarrow Z^{2}$ is an automorphism mapping $\binom{z_{1}}{z_{2}} \in Z^{2}$ to $A\binom{z_{1}}{z_{2}} \in Z^{2}$. Let $a$ be a generator of the subgroup $Z \leqslant Z^{2} \rtimes_{A} Z$. We denote by $L_{0}$ the language of all concatenations $a^{k} v$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $v \in L^{\prime}$; it is assumed that the alphabet of $L^{\prime}$ does not contain the symbols $a$ and $a^{-1}$. Let $\psi_{0}: L_{0} \rightarrow Z^{2} \rtimes_{A} Z$ be a bijection which sends a string $a^{k} v$ to the group element $\left(a^{k},\binom{z_{1}}{z_{2}}\right) \in Z^{2} \rtimes_{A} Z$, where $\binom{z_{1}}{z_{2}}=\psi^{\prime}(v)$. This bijection $\psi_{0}: L_{0} \rightarrow Z^{2} \rtimes_{A} Z$ is a Cayley automatic representation of the group $Z^{2} \rtimes_{A} Z$; see [8] where such Cayley automatic representations are used. We define $L$ to be a language consisting of all concatenations $a^{k} v$ for $k<0$ and $u_{k} v$ for $k \geqslant 0$. Let $\psi: L \rightarrow Z^{2} \rtimes_{A} Z$ be a map which sends a string $a^{k} v \in L$ for $k<0$ and $u_{k} v \in L$ for $k \geqslant 0$ to the group element $\left(a^{k},\binom{z_{1}}{z_{2}}\right) \in Z^{2} \rtimes_{A} Z$, where $\binom{z_{1}}{z_{2}}=\psi^{\prime}(v)$. Let us additionally assume that the empty string $\varepsilon \in L^{\prime}$; if $\varepsilon \notin L^{\prime}$ one can always change any element of $L^{\prime}$ to the empty string $\varepsilon$ - this will give a new FA-presentation of the structure $\left(Z^{2} ; f_{A}\right)$. As $\psi_{0}\left(a^{k}\right)=\psi\left(u_{k}\right)$ and $\left|a^{k}\right|=k$ for all $k \geqslant 0$, the inequality $s(n) \geqslant T(n)$ for the compressibility rate $s(n)$ of $\psi$ relative to $\psi_{0}$ follows from Theorem 12.

## 7 Conclusion and Open Questions

The key result of this paper is a construction of a FA-presentation of the structure $(\mathbb{N} ; S)$ such that for every $n \geqslant 0$ there is a string of length at most $n$ from the domain of this FA-presentation which encodes an integer that is greater than or equal to $T(n)$, where $T(n)$ is defined recursively by the identities $T(n+1)=2^{T(n)}$ and $T(0)=1$. In particular, $T(n)$ grows faster than any tower of exponents of a fixed height. This result naturally leads to the notion of a compressibility rate defined for a pair of FA-presentations for any FA-presentable structure. We show examples when this compressibility rate grows at least as fast as $T(n)$. We show that for FA-presentations of the Presburger arithmetic $(\mathbb{N} ;+)$ it is bounded by a linear function. We leave the following questions for future consideration.

- Is it true that the compressibility rate for FA-presentations of the structure $(\mathbb{Z} ;+)$ is always bounded from above by a linear function?
- Is it true that for every FA-presentation $\psi_{0}$ of $(\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{S})$ there exists a FA-presentation $\psi$ for which the compressibility rate of $\psi$ relative to $\psi_{0}$ is bounded from below by the function $T(n)$ ?
- The notion of a compressibility rate is valid for semiautomatic structures [15, 16]. Is it true that for semiautomatic presentations of the Presburger arithmetic $(\mathbb{N} ;+)$ the compressibility rate is bounded from above by a linear function?
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ The original motivation for considering such FA-presentations of ( $\mathbb{N} ; S$ ) came from the study of a so-called Cayley distance function [3, 7] defined for FA-presentations of Cayley graphs of Cayley automatic groups [17]. In particular, Corollary 13 implies the existence of a FA-presentation of a Cayley graph for which the Cayley distance function grows faster than any tower of exponents [9, Remark 6.3].

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ Recall that a finitely generated group $G$ is called Cayley automatic if its Cayley graph $\Gamma(G, S)$ for some finite set of generators $S \subset G$ is a FA-presentable structure. A FApresentation $\psi: L \rightarrow G$ of the Cayley graph $\Gamma(G, S)$ is called a Cayley automatic representation of the group $G$. Cayley automatic groups [17] naturally extend the class of automatic groups [10] studied in geometric group theory.

