

Generalized Transversality Conditions in Fractional Calculus of Variations

Ricardo Almeida¹
ricardo.almeida@ua.pt

Agnieszka B. Malinowska²
a.malinowska@pb.edu.pl

¹Department of Mathematics, University of Aveiro, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal

²Faculty of Computer Science, Białystok University of Technology,
15-351 Białystok, Poland

Abstract

Problems of calculus of variations with variable endpoints cannot be solved without transversality conditions. Here, we establish such type of conditions for fractional variational problems with the Caputo derivative. We consider: the Bolza-type fractional variational problem, the fractional variational problem with a Lagrangian that may also depend on the unspecified end-point $\varphi(b)$, where $x = \varphi(t)$ is a given curve, and the infinite horizon fractional variational problem.

Keywords: calculus of variations; fractional calculus; fractional Euler–Lagrange equation; transversality conditions; Caputo fractional derivative.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 49K05; 26A33.

1 Introduction

The calculus of variations is concerned with the problem of extremizing functionals. It has many applications in physics, geometry, engineering, dynamics, control theory, and economics. The formulation of a problem of the calculus of variations requires two steps: the specification of a performance criterion; and then, the statement of physical constraints that should be satisfied. The basic problem is stated as follows: among all differentiable functions $x : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $x(a) = x_a$ and $x(b) = x_b$, with x_a, x_b fixed reals, find the ones that minimize (or maximize) the functional

$$J(x) = \int_a^b L(t, x(t), x'(t)) dt.$$

One way to deal with this problem is to solve the second order differential equation

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x} - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial x'} = 0,$$

called the Euler–Lagrange equation. The two given boundary conditions provide sufficient information to determine the two arbitrary constants. But if there are no boundary constraints, then we need to impose another conditions, called the natural boundary conditions (see e.g. [19]),

$$\left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial x'} \right]_{t=a} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial x'} \right]_{t=b} = 0. \quad (1)$$

Clearly, such terminal conditions are important in models, the optimal control or decision rules are not unique without these conditions.

Fractional calculus deals with derivatives and integrals of a non-integer (real or complex) order. Fractional operators are non-local, therefore they are suitable for constructing models possessing memory effect. They found numerous applications in various fields of science and engineering, as diffusion process, electrical science, electrochemistry, material creep, viscoelasticity, mechanics, control science, electromagnetic theory, ect. Fractional calculus is now recognized as vital mathematical tool to model the behavior and to understand complex systems (see, e.g., [20, 25, 32, 38, 39, 44, 46, 52]). Traditional Lagrangian and Hamiltonian mechanics cannot be used with nonconservative forces such as friction. Riewe [51] showed that fractional formalism can be used when treating dissipative problems. By inserting fractional derivatives into the variational integrals he obtained the respective fractional Euler–Lagrange equation, combining both conservative and nonconservative cases. Nowadays the fractional calculus of variations is a subject under strong research. Investigations cover problems depending on Riemann–Liouville fractional derivatives (see, e.g., [1, 9, 13, 17, 29]), the Caputo fractional derivative (see, e.g., [2, 6, 12, 11, 30, 41, 42]), the symmetric fractional derivative (see, e.g., [37]), the Jumarie fractional derivative (see, e.g., [7, 33, 34]), and others [3, 5, 15, 16, 24, 27, 28].

The aim of this paper is to obtain transversality conditions for fractional variational problems with the Caputo derivative. Namely, three types of problems are considered: the first in Bolza form, the second with a Lagrangian depending on the unspecified end-point $\varphi(b)$, where $x = \varphi(t)$ is a given curve, and the third with infinite horizon. We note here, that from the best of our knowledge fractional variational problems with infinite horizon have not been considered yet, and this is an open research area.

The paper is organized in the following way. Section 2 presents some preliminaries needed in the sequel. Our main results are stated and proved in the remaining sections. In Section 3 we consider the Bolza-type fractional variational problem and develop the transversality conditions in a compact form. As corollaries, we formulate conditions appropriate to various type of variable terminal points. Section 4 provides the necessary optimality conditions for fractional variational problems with a Lagrangian that may also depend on the unspecified end-point $\varphi(b)$, where $x = \varphi(t)$ is a given curve. Finally, in Section 5 we present the transversality condition for the infinite horizon fractional variational problem.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we present a short introduction to the fractional calculus, following [26, 36, 47]. In the sequel, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and Γ represents the Gamma function:

$$\Gamma(z) = \int_0^\infty t^{z-1} e^{-t} dt.$$

Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. Then,

1. the left and right Riemann–Liouville fractional integrals of order α are defined by

$${}_a I_x^\alpha f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^x (x-t)^{\alpha-1} f(t) dt,$$

and

$${}_x I_b^\alpha f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_x^b (t-x)^{\alpha-1} f(t) dt,$$

respectively;

2. the left and right Riemann–Liouville fractional derivatives of order α are defined by

$${}_a D_x^\alpha f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \frac{d}{dx} \int_a^x (x-t)^{-\alpha} f(t) dt,$$

and

$${}_x D_b^\alpha f(x) = \frac{-1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \frac{d}{dx} \int_x^b (t-x)^{-\alpha} f(t) dt,$$

respectively.

Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable function. Then,

1. the left and right Caputo fractional derivatives of order α are defined by

$${}_a^C D_x^\alpha f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_a^x (x-t)^{-\alpha} f'(t) dt,$$

and

$${}_x^C D_b^\alpha f(x) = \frac{-1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_x^b (t-x)^{-\alpha} f'(t) dt,$$

respectively.

Observe that if α goes to 1, then the operators ${}_a^C D_x^\alpha$ and ${}_a D_x^\alpha$ could be replaced with $\frac{d}{dx}$ and the operators ${}_x^C D_b^\alpha$ and ${}_x D_b^\alpha$ could be replaced with $-\frac{d}{dx}$ (see [47]). Moreover, we set ${}_a I_x^0 f = {}_x I_b^0 f := f$. Obviously, the above defined operators are linear. If $f \in C^1[a, b]$, then the left and right Caputo fractional derivatives of f are continuous on $[a, b]$ (cf. [36], Theorem 2.2). In the discussion to follow, we will also need the following fractional integrations by parts (see e.g. [3]):

$$\int_a^b g(x) \cdot {}_a^C D_x^\alpha f(x) dx = \int_a^b f(x) \cdot {}_x D_b^\alpha g(x) dx + [{}_x I_b^{1-\alpha} g(x) \cdot f(x)]_{x=a}^{x=b} \quad (2)$$

and

$$\int_a^b g(x) \cdot {}_x^C D_b^\alpha f(x) dx = \int_a^b f(x) \cdot {}_a D_x^\alpha g(x) dx - [{}_a I_x^{1-\alpha} g(x) \cdot f(x)]_{x=a}^{x=b}.$$

Along the work, and following [14], we denote by $\partial_i L$, $i = 1, \dots, m$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}$), the partial derivative of function $L : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with respect to its i th argument. For simplicity of notation we introduce operators $[x]$ and $\{x, \varphi\}$ defined by

$$[x](t) = (t, x(t), {}_a^C D_t^\alpha x(t)),$$

$$\{x, \varphi\}(t, T) = (t, x(t), {}_a^C D_t^\alpha x(t), \varphi(T)).$$

3 Transversality conditions I

Let us introduce the linear space $(x, t) \in C^1([a, b]) \times \mathbb{R}$ endowed with the norm $\|(x, t)\|_{1,\infty} := \max_{a \leq t \leq b} |x(t)| + \max_{a \leq t \leq b} |{}_a^C D_t^\alpha x(t)| + |t|$.

We consider the following type of functionals:

$$J(x, T) = \int_a^T L[x](t) dt + \phi(T, x(T)), \quad (3)$$

on the set

$$D = \{(x, t) \in C^1([a, b]) \times [a, b] \mid x(a) = x_a\},$$

where the *Lagrange function* $L : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and the *terminal cost function* $\phi : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are at least of class C^1 . Observe that we have a free end-point T and no constraint on $x(T)$. Therefore, they become a part of the optimal choice process. We address the problem of finding a pair (x, T) which minimizes (or maximizes) the functional J on D , i.e., there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $J(x, T) \leq J(\bar{x}, t)$ (or $J(x, T) \geq J(\bar{x}, t)$) for all $(\bar{x}, t) \in D$ with $\|(\bar{x} - x, t - T)\|_{1,\infty} < \delta$.

Theorem 1. Consider the functional given by (3). Suppose that (x, T) gives a minimum (or maximum) for functional (3) on D . Then x is a solution of the fractional Euler–Lagrange equation

$$\partial_2 L[x](t) + {}_t D_T^\alpha (\partial_3 L[x](t)) = 0 \quad (4)$$

on the interval $[a, T]$ and satisfies the transversality conditions

$$\begin{cases} L[x](T) + \partial_1 \phi(T, x(T)) - x'(T) [{}_t I_T^{1-\alpha} \partial_3 L[x](t)]_{t=T} = 0 \\ [{}_t I_T^{1-\alpha} \partial_3 L[x](t)]_{t=T} + \partial_2 \phi(T, x(T)) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

Proof. Let us consider a variation $(x(t) + \epsilon h(t), T + \epsilon \Delta T)$, where $h \in C^1([a, b])$, $\Delta T \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|\epsilon| \ll 1$. The constraint $x(a) = x_a$ implies that all admissible variations must fulfill the condition $h(a) = 0$. Define $j(\cdot)$ on a neighborhood of zero by

$$\begin{aligned} j(\epsilon) &= J(x + \epsilon h, T + \epsilon \Delta T) \\ &= \int_a^{T+\epsilon \Delta T} L[x + \epsilon h](t) dt + \phi(T + \epsilon \Delta T, (x + \epsilon h)(T + \epsilon \Delta T)). \end{aligned}$$

If (x, T) minimizes (or maximizes) functional (3) on D , then $j'(0) = 0$. Therefore, one has

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_a^T [\partial_2 L[x](t) h(t) + \partial_3 L[x](t) {}_a^C D_t^\alpha h(t)] dt + L[x](T) \Delta T \\ &\quad + \partial_1 \phi(T, x(T)) \Delta T + \partial_2 \phi(T, x(T)) [h(T) + x'(T) \Delta T]. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts (cf. equation (2)), and since $h(a) = 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_a^T [\partial_2 L[x](t) + {}_t D_T^\alpha (\partial_3 L[x](t))] h(t) dt + [{}_t I_T^{1-\alpha} (\partial_3 L[x](t)) h(t)]_{t=T} + L[x](T) \Delta T \\ &\quad + \partial_1 \phi(T, x(T)) \Delta T + \partial_2 \phi(T, x(T)) [h(T) + x'(T) \Delta T] \\ &= \int_a^T [\partial_2 L[x](t) + {}_t D_T^\alpha (\partial_3 L[x](t))] h(t) dt \\ &\quad + \Delta T [L[x](T) + \partial_1 \phi(T, x(T)) - x'(T) [{}_t I_T^{1-\alpha} \partial_3 L[x](t)]_{t=T}] \\ &\quad + [{}_t I_T^{1-\alpha} \partial_3 L[x](t)]_{t=T} + \partial_2 \phi(T, x(T)) [h(T) + x'(T) \Delta T]. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

As h and ΔT are arbitrary we can choose $h(T) = 0$ and $\Delta T = 0$. Then, by the fundamental lemma of the calculus of variations we deduce equation (4). But if x is a solution of (4), then the condition (6) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \Delta T [L[x](T) + \partial_1 \phi(T, x(T)) - x'(T) [{}_t I_T^{1-\alpha} \partial_3 L[x](t)]_{t=T}] \\ &\quad + [{}_t I_T^{1-\alpha} \partial_3 L[x](t)]_{t=T} + \partial_2 \phi(T, x(T)) [h(T) + x'(T) \Delta T]. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Restricting ourselves to those h for which $h(T) = -x'(T) \Delta T$ we get the first equation of (5). Analogously, considering those variations for which $\Delta T = 0$ we get the second equation of (5). \square

Example 1. Let

$$J(x, T) = \int_0^T [t^2 - 1 + ({}_a^C D_t^\alpha x(t))^2] dt, \quad T \in [0, 10].$$

It is easy to verify that a constant function $x(t) = K$ and the end-point $T = 1$ satisfies the necessary conditions of optimality of Theorem 1, with the value of K being determined by the initial-point $x(0)$.

In the case when α goes to 1, by Theorem 1 we obtain the following result.

Corollary 1. ([22], Theorem 2.24) If (x, T) gives a minimum (or maximum) for

$$J(x, T) = \int_a^T L(t, x(t), x'(t)) dt + \phi(T, x(T))$$

on the set

$$\{x \in C^1([a, b]) \mid x(a) = x_a\} \times [a, b],$$

then x is a solution of the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$\partial_2 L(t, x(t), x'(t)) - \frac{d}{dt} \partial_3 L(t, x(t), x'(t)) = 0$$

on the interval $[a, T]$ and satisfies the transversality conditions

$$L(T, x(T), x'(T)) + \partial_1 \phi(T, x(T)) - x'(T) \partial_3 L(T, x(T), x'(T)) = 0,$$

$$\partial_3 L(T, x(T), x'(T)) + \partial_2 \phi(T, x(T)) = 0.$$

Now we shall rewrite the necessary conditions (5) in terms of the increment on time ΔT and on the consequent increment on x , Δx_T . Let us fix $\epsilon = 1$ and consider variation functions h satisfying the additional condition $h'(T) = 0$. Define the total increment by

$$\Delta x_T = (x + h)(T + \Delta T) - x(T).$$

Doing Taylor's expansion up to first order, for a small ΔT , we have

$$(x + h)(T + \Delta T) - (x + h)(T) = x'(T) \Delta T + O(\Delta T)^2,$$

and so we can write $h(T)$ in terms of ΔT and Δx_T :

$$h(T) = \Delta x_T - x'(T) \Delta T + O(\Delta T)^2. \quad (8)$$

If (x, T) gives an extremum for J , then

$$\partial_2 L[x](t) + {}_t D_T^\alpha (\partial_3 L[x](t)) = 0 \quad \text{holds for all } t \in [a, T].$$

Therefore, substituting (8) into equation (6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta T [L[x](T) + \partial_1 \phi(T, x(T)) - x'(T) [{}_t I_T^{1-\alpha} \partial_3 L[x](t)]_{t=T}] \\ & + \Delta x_T [{}_t I_T^{1-\alpha} \partial_3 L[x](t)]_{t=T} + \partial_2 \phi(T, x(T)) + O(\Delta T)^2 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

We remark that the above equation is evaluated in one single point $x = T$. Equation (9) replaces the missing terminal condition in the problem.

Let us consider five particular cases of constraints that can be specified in the optimization problem.

A. Vertical terminal line

In this case the upper bound T is fixed and consequently the variation $\Delta T = 0$. Therefore, equation (9) becomes

$$\Delta x_T [{}_t I_T^{1-\alpha} \partial_3 L[x](t)]_{t=T} + \partial_2 \phi(T, x(T)) = 0,$$

and by the arbitrariness of Δx_T , we deduce

$$[{}_t I_T^{1-\alpha} \partial_3 L[x](t)]_{t=T} + \partial_2 \phi(T, x(T)) = 0.$$

When $\phi \equiv 0$, we get the natural boundary condition as obtained in [1], equation (25):

$$[{}_t I_T^{1-\alpha} \partial_3 L[x](t)]_{t=T} = 0.$$

For $\phi \equiv 0$ and $\alpha \rightarrow 1$, we get the second equation of (1).

B. Horizontal terminal line

Now we have $\Delta x_T = 0$ but ΔT is arbitrary. Hence, equation (9) implies

$$L[x](T) + \partial_1 \phi(T, x(T)) - x'(T) [{}_t I_T^{1-\alpha} \partial_3 L[x](t)]_{t=T} = 0.$$

When $\phi \equiv 0$ and $\alpha \rightarrow 1$, we get equation (3.11) of [23]. It is worth pointing out that the integer case has an economic interpretation (see explanation in [23], pags. 63–64).

C. Terminal curve

In this case the terminal point is described by a given curve ψ , in the sense that $x(T) = \psi(T)$, where $\psi : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a prescribed differentiable curve. For a small ΔT , from Taylor's formula, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta x_T &= \psi(T + \Delta T) - \psi(T) \\ &= \psi'(T) \Delta T + O(\Delta T)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Substituting (10) into (9) yields

$$(\psi'(T) - x'(T)) \{ [{}_t I_T^{1-\alpha} \partial_3 L[x](t)]_{t=T} + \partial_2 \phi(T, x(T)) \} + L[x](T) + \partial_1 \phi(T, x(T)) = 0.$$

For $\phi \equiv 0$, we obtain equation (29) of [2]. For $\phi \equiv 0$ and $\alpha \rightarrow 1$, we have equation (3.12) of [23].

D. Truncated vertical terminal line

Now we consider the case where $\Delta T = 0$ and $x(T) \geq x_{min}$. Here x_{min} is a minimum permissible level of x . By the Kuhn-Tucker conditions, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [{}_t I_T^{1-\alpha} \partial_3 L[x](t)]_{t=T} + \partial_2 \phi(T, x(T)) &\leq 0, \quad x(T) \geq x_{min}, \\ (x(T) - x_{min}) \{ [{}_t I_T^{1-\alpha} \partial_3 L[x](t)]_{t=T} + \partial_2 \phi(T, x(T)) \} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for maximization problem; and

$$\begin{aligned} [{}_t I_T^{1-\alpha} \partial_3 L[x](t)]_{t=T} + \partial_2 \phi(T, x(T)) &\geq 0, \quad x(T) \geq x_{min}, \\ (x(T) - x_{min}) \{ [{}_t I_T^{1-\alpha} \partial_3 L[x](t)]_{t=T} + \partial_2 \phi(T, x(T)) \} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for minimization problem. If $\phi \equiv 0$ and $\alpha \rightarrow 1$, we get equations (3.17) and (3.17') of [23].

E. Truncated horizontal terminal line

In this situation, the constraints are $\Delta x_T = 0$ and $T \leq T_{max}$. Therefore, as in the previous case, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} L[x](T) + \partial_1 \phi(T, x(T)) - x'(T) [{}_t I_T^{1-\alpha} \partial_3 L[x](t)]_{t=T} &\geq 0, \quad T \leq T_{max}, \\ (T - T_{max}) [L[x](T) + \partial_1 \phi(T, x(T)) - x'(T) [{}_t I_T^{1-\alpha} \partial_3 L[x](t)]_{t=T}] &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for maximization problem; and

$$\begin{aligned} L[x](T) + \partial_1 \phi(T, x(T)) - x'(T) [{}_t I_T^{1-\alpha} \partial_3 L[x](t)]_{t=T} &\leq 0, \quad T \leq T_{max}, \\ (T - T_{max}) [L[x](T) + \partial_1 \phi(T, x(T)) - x'(T) [{}_t I_T^{1-\alpha} \partial_3 L[x](t)]_{t=T}] &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for minimization problem. Observe that for $\phi \equiv 0$ and $\alpha \rightarrow 1$, we get equation (3.18) and (3.18') of [23].

4 Transversality conditions II

In this section we consider the following variational problem:

$$\begin{aligned} J(x, T) &= \int_a^T L\{x, \varphi\}(t, T) dt \longrightarrow \text{extr} \\ (x, T) &\in D \\ x(T) &= \varphi(T) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where $L : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\varphi : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are at least of class C^1 . Here $x = \varphi(t)$ is a specified curve.

Theorem 2. Suppose that (x, T) is a solution to problem (11). Then x is a solution of the fractional Euler–Lagrange equation

$$\partial_2 L\{x, \varphi\}(t, T) + {}_t D_T^\alpha (\partial_3 L\{x, \varphi\}(t, T)) = 0 \quad (12)$$

on the interval $[a, T]$, and satisfies the transversality condition

$$(\varphi'(T) - x'(T)) \left[{}_t I_T^{1-\alpha} \partial_3 L\{x, \varphi\}(t, T) \right]_{t=T} + \varphi'(T) \int_a^T \partial_4 L\{x, \varphi\}(t, T) dt + L\{x, \varphi\}(T, T) = 0. \quad (13)$$

Proof. Suppose that (x, T) is a solution to problem (11) and consider the value of J at an admissible variation $(x(t) + \epsilon h(t), T + \epsilon \Delta T)$, where $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ is a small parameter, $\Delta T \in \mathbb{R}$, and $h \in C^1([a, b])$ with $h(a) = 0$. Let

$$j(\epsilon) = J(x + \epsilon h, T + \epsilon \Delta T) = \int_a^{T+\epsilon \Delta T} L\{x + \epsilon h, \varphi\}(t, T + \epsilon \Delta T) dt.$$

Then, a necessary condition for (x, T) to be a solution to problem (11) is given by

$$j'(0) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \int_a^T [\partial_2 L\{x, \varphi\}(t, T) h(t) + \partial_3 L\{x, \varphi\}(t, T) {}_a^C D_t^\alpha h(t) + \partial_4 L\{x, \varphi\}(t, T) \varphi'(T) \Delta T] dt + L\{x, \varphi\}(T, T) \Delta T = 0.$$

Integrating by parts (cf. equation (2)), and since $h(a) = 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_a^T [\partial_2 L\{x, \varphi\}(t, T) + {}_t D_T^\alpha (\partial_3 L\{x, \varphi\}(t, T))] h(t) dt + [{}_t I_T^{1-\alpha} (\partial_3 L\{x, \varphi\}(t, T)) h(t)]_{t=T} \\ &\quad + L\{x, \varphi\}(T, T) \Delta T + \int_a^T [\partial_4 L\{x, \varphi\}(t, T) \varphi'(T) \Delta T] dt. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

As h and ΔT are arbitrary, first we consider h and ΔT such that $h(T) = 0$ and $\Delta T = 0$. Then, by the fundamental lemma of the calculus of variations we deduce equation (12). Therefore, in order for (x, T) to be a solution to problem (11), x must be a solution of the fractional Euler–Lagrange equation. But if x is a solution of (12), the first integral in expression (14) vanishes, and then the condition (14) takes the form

$$[{}_t I_T^{1-\alpha} (\partial_3 L\{x, \varphi\}(t, T)) h(t)]_{t=T} + L\{x, \varphi\}(T, T) \Delta T + \int_a^T [\partial_4 L\{x, \varphi\}(t, T) \varphi'(T) \Delta T] dt = 0. \quad (15)$$

Since the right hand point of x lies on the curve $z = \varphi(t)$, we have

$$x(T + \epsilon \Delta T) + \epsilon h(T + \epsilon \Delta T) = \varphi(T + \epsilon \Delta T).$$

Hence, differentiating with respect ϵ and setting $\epsilon = 0$ we get

$$h(T) = (\varphi'(T) - x'(T)) \Delta T. \quad (16)$$

Substituting (16) into (15) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta T (\varphi'(T) - x'(T)) [{}_t I_T^{1-\alpha} \partial_3 L\{x, \varphi\}(t, T)]_{t=T} \\ + \varphi'(T) \Delta T \int_a^T \partial_4 L\{x, \varphi\}(t, T) dt + L\{x, \varphi\}(T, T) \Delta T = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since ΔT can take any value, we obtain condition (13). \square

In the case when α goes to 1, by Theorem 2 we obtain the following result.

Corollary 2. *If (x, T) gives an extremum for*

$$J(x, T) = \int_a^T L(t, x(t), x'(t), \varphi(T)) dt$$

on the set

$$\{x \in C^1([a, b]) \mid x(a) = x_a\} \times [a, b],$$

then x is a solution of the Euler–Lagrange equation

$$\partial_2 L(t, x(t), x'(t), \varphi(T)) - \frac{d}{dt} \partial_3 L(t, x(t), x'(t), \varphi(T)) = 0, \quad \text{for all } t \in [a, T],$$

and satisfies the following transversality condition

$$\begin{aligned} &(\varphi'(T) - x'(T)) \partial_3 L(T, x(T), x'(T), \varphi(T)) + \varphi'(T) \int_a^T \partial_4 L(t, x(t), x'(t), \varphi(T)) dt \\ &+ L(T, x(T), x'(T), \varphi(T)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Example 2. *Consider the following problem*

$$\begin{aligned} J(x, T) &= \int_0^T [({}_0^C D_t^\alpha x(t))^2 + \varphi^2(T)] dt \longrightarrow \min \\ x(T) &= \varphi(T) = T, \\ x(0) &= 1. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

For this problem, the fractional Euler–Lagrange equation and the transversality condition (see Theorem 2) are given, respectively, by

$${}_t D_T^\alpha ({}_0^C D_t^\alpha x(t)) = 0, \tag{18}$$

$$2(1 - x'(T)) [{}_t I_T^{1-\alpha} {}_0^C D_t^\alpha x(t)]|_{t=T} + 2T^2 + ({}_0^C D_t^\alpha x(T))^2 + T^2 = 0. \tag{19}$$

Note that it is a difficult task to solve the above fractional equations. For $0 < \alpha < 1$ a numerical or direct method should be used [10]. When α goes to 1, problem (17) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} J(x, T) &= \int_0^T [x'(t)^2 + \varphi^2(T)] dt \longrightarrow \min \\ x(T) &= \varphi(T) = T, \\ x(0) &= 1. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

and equations (18)–(19) are replaced by

$$x''(t) = 0, \tag{21}$$

$$2(1 - x'(T))x'(T) + 2T^2 + (x'(T))^2 + T^2 = 0. \tag{22}$$

Solving equations (21) and (22) we obtain that

$$\tilde{x}(t) = \frac{\sqrt{-6 + 6\sqrt{13}} - 6}{\sqrt{-6 + 6\sqrt{13}}} t + 1, \quad T = \frac{1}{6} \sqrt{-6 + 6\sqrt{13}}$$

is a candidate for minimizer to problem (20).

5 Infinite horizon fractional variational problems

Starting with the Ramsey pioneering work [50], infinite horizon variational problems have been widely used in economics (see, e.g., [18, 23, 35, 40, 45] and the references therein). One may assume that, due to some constraints of economical nature, the infinite horizon variational problem does not depend on the usual derivative but on the left Caputo fractional derivative. In this condition one has to consider the following problem:

$$J(x) = \int_a^{+\infty} L[x](t)dt \longrightarrow \max_{x \in D_\infty}, \quad (23)$$

where $L : [a, +\infty] \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is at least of class C^1 and

$$D_\infty = \{x \in C^1([a, +\infty]) \mid x(a) = x_a\}.$$

The integral in problem (23) may not converge. In the case where the integral diverges, there may exist more than one path that yields an infinite value for the objective functional and it would be difficult to determine which among these paths is optimal. To handle this and similar situations in a rigorous way, several alternative definitions of optimality for problems with unbounded time domain have been proposed in the literature (see, e.g., [18, 31, 35]). Here, we follow Brock's notion of optimality [18], i.e., a function $x \in D_\infty$ is said to be weakly maximal to problem (23) if

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{T' \geq T} \int_a^{T'} [L[\bar{x}](t) - L[x](t)] dt \leq 0,$$

for all $\bar{x} \in D_\infty$.

Using similar approach as in [40, 45], we obtain the transversality condition for infinite horizon fractional variational problems. First, a lemma that we will use in the proof of Theorem 3.

Lemma 1. *If g is continuous on $[a, +\infty)$ and*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{T' \geq T} \int_a^{T'} g(t)h(t) dt = 0$$

for all continuous functions $h : [a, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $h(a) = 0$, then $g(t) = 0$, for all $t \geq a$.

Proof. Can be done in a similar way as the proof of the standard fundamental lemma of the calculus of variations (see, e.g., [19]). \square

Theorem 3. *Suppose that x is a weakly maximal to problem (23). Let $h \in C^1([a, +\infty])$ and $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$. Define*

$$\begin{aligned} A(\epsilon, T') &= \int_a^{T'} \frac{L[x + \epsilon h](t) - L[x](t)}{\epsilon} dt; \\ V(\epsilon, T) &= \inf_{T' \geq T} \int_a^{T'} [L[x + \epsilon h](t) - L[x](t)] dt; \\ V(\epsilon) &= \lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} V(\epsilon, T). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that

1. $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{V(\epsilon, T)}{\epsilon}$ exists for all T ;
2. $\lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{V(\epsilon, T)}{\epsilon}$ exists uniformly for ϵ ;

3. For every $T' > a$, $T > a$, and $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, there exists a sequence $(A(\epsilon, T'_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} A(\epsilon, T'_n) = \inf_{T' \geq T} A(\epsilon, T')$$

uniformly for ϵ .

Then x is a solution of the fractional Euler-Lagrange equation

$$\partial_2 L[x](t) + {}_t D_T^\alpha (\partial_3 L[x](t)) = 0$$

for all $t \in [a, +\infty)$, and for all $T > t$. Moreover it satisfies the transversality condition

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{T' \geq T} {}_t I_{T'}^{1-\alpha} \partial_3 L[x](t) \big|_{t=T'} x(T') = 0.$$

Proof. Observe that $V(\epsilon) \leq 0$ for every $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$, and $V(0) = 0$. Thus $V'(0) = 0$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{V(\epsilon)}{\epsilon} \\ &= \lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{T' \geq T} \int_a^{T'} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{L[x + \epsilon h](t) - L[x](t)}{\epsilon} dt \\ &= \lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{T' \geq T} \int_a^{T'} [\partial_2 L[x](t) h(t) + \partial_3 L[x](t) {}_a^C D_t^\alpha h(t)] dt. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, integrating by parts and since $h(a) = 0$, we get

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{T' \geq T} \left\{ \int_a^{T'} [\partial_2 L[x](t) + {}_t D_{T'}^\alpha (\partial_3 L[x](t))] h(t) dt + [{}_t I_{T'}^{1-\alpha} \partial_3 L[x](t) h(t)]_{t=T'} \right\} = 0. \quad (24)$$

By the arbitrariness of h , we may assume that $h(T') = 0$ and so

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{T' \geq T} \int_a^{T'} [\partial_2 L[x](t) + {}_t D_{T'}^\alpha (\partial_3 L[x](t))] h(t) dt = 0. \quad (25)$$

Applying Lemma 1 we obtain

$$\partial_2 L[x](t) + {}_t D_T^\alpha (\partial_3 L[x](t)) = 0$$

for all $t \in [a, +\infty)$, and for all $T > t$. Substituting equation (25) into equation (24) we get

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{T' \geq T} [{}_t I_{T'}^{1-\alpha} \partial_3 L[x](t)]_{t=T'} h(T') = 0. \quad (26)$$

To eliminate h from equation (26), consider the particular case $h(t) = \chi(t)x(t)$, where $\chi : [a, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function of class C^1 such that $\chi(a) = 0$ and $\chi(t) = \text{const} \neq 0$, for all $t > t_0$, for some $t_0 > a$. We deduce that

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{T' \geq T} [{}_t I_{T'}^{1-\alpha} \partial_3 L[x](t)]_{t=T'} x(T') = 0.$$

□

6 Conclusion

Transversality conditions are optimality conditions that are used along with Euler-Lagrange equations in order to find the optimal paths (plans, programs, trajectories, etc) of dynamical models. The importance of such conditions is well known in economics models and other phenomena whose effects can be spread along time, e.g., radioactive, pollution. In this paper we have given

in the compact form transversality conditions for fractional variational problems with the Caputo derivative. The fractional variational theory is only 15 years old so there are many unanswered questions. For instance, the question of existence of solutions to fractional variational problems is a complete open area of research (more about this important issue the reader can find in [21, 43, 53, 54]). Other interesting open question is about the convergence of the objective functional $J(x) = \int_a^{+\infty} L[x](t)dt$. This issue should be treated carefully, especially as the functional depends on fractional type of derivatives. Generally, in order to solve fractional Euler–Lagrange differential equations and apply transversality conditions one needs to use numerical methods. Some progress is being made already on the numerical methods for fractional variational problems [4, 8, 48, 49] but there is still a long way to go. We believe that all pointed issues will be considered in a forthcoming papers.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by *FEDER* funds through *COMPETE* — Operational Programme Factors of Competitiveness (“Programa Operacional Factores de Competitividade”) and by Portuguese funds through the *Center for Research and Development in Mathematics and Applications* (University of Aveiro) and the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology (“FCT — Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia”), within project PEst-C/MAT/UI4106/2011 with *COMPETE* number FCOMP-01-0124-FEDER-022690. Agnieszka B. Malinowska is supported by Białystok University of Technology grant S/WI/02/2011.

References

- [1] O.P. Agrawal, Fractional variational calculus and the transversality conditions, *J. Phys. A* 39 (2006) 10375–10384.
- [2] O.P. Agrawal, Generalized Euler–Lagrange equations and transversality conditions for FVPs in terms of the Caputo derivative, *J. Vib. Control* 13 (2007) 1217–1237.
- [3] O.P. Agrawal, Fractional variational calculus in terms of Riesz fractional derivatives, *J. Phys. A* 40 (2007) 6287–6303.
- [4] O. P. Agrawal, M. M. Hasan, X. W. Tangpong, A numerical scheme for a class of parametric problem of fractional variational calculus, *J. Comput. Nonlinear Dyn.* **7** (2012), no. 2, 021005, 6 pp.
- [5] R. Almeida, Fractional variational problems with the RieszCaputo derivative, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **25** (2012), 142–148.
- [6] R. Almeida, R. A. C. Ferreira and D. F. M. Torres, Isoperimetric problems of the calculus of variations with fractional derivatives, *Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B Engl. Ed.* **32** (2012), no. 2, 619–630.
- [7] R. Almeida, A.B. Malinowska, D.F.M. Torres, A fractional calculus of variations for multiple integrals with application to vibrating string, *J. Math. Phys.* 51 (2010) 033503, 12 pp.
- [8] R. Almeida, S. Pooseh and D. F. M. Torres, Fractional variational problems depending on indefinite integrals, *Nonlinear Anal.* **75** (2012), no. 3, 1009–1025.
- [9] R. Almeida, D.F.M. Torres, Calculus of variations with fractional derivatives and fractional integrals, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 22 (2009) 1816–1820.
- [10] R. Almeida, D.F.M. Torres, Leitmann’s direct method for fractional optimization problems, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 217 (2010) 956–962.

- [11] D. Baleanu, Fractional constrained systems and Caputo derivatives, J. Comput. Nonlinear Dyn., 3 (2008), 021102.
- [12] D. Baleanu, O.P. Agrawal, Fractional Hamilton formalism within Caputo's derivative, Czechoslovak J. Phys. 56 (2006) 1087–1092.
- [13] D. Baleanu, T. Maaraba, F. Jarad, Fractional variational principles with delay, J. Phys. A 41 (2008) 315403, 8 pp.
- [14] Z. Bartosiewicz, D.F.M. Torres, Noether's theorem on time scales, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 342 (2008), 1220–1226.
- [15] N.R.O. Bastos, R.A.C. Ferreira, D.F.M. Torres, Discrete-time fractional variational problems, Signal Processing 91 (2011), 513–524.
- [16] N.R.O. Bastos, R.A.C. Ferreira, D.F.M. Torres, Necessary optimality conditions for fractional difference problems of the calculus of variations, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 29 (2011) 417–437.
- [17] R.K. Biswas, S. Sen, Fractional Optimal Control Problems with Specified Final Time, Journal of Computational and Nonlinear Dynamics 6 (2011) 021009-1.
- [18] W.A. Brock, On existence of weakly maximal programmes in a multi-sector economy, Review of Economic Studies 37 (1970) 275–280.
- [19] B. van Brunt, The calculus of variations, Universitext. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2004.
- [20] R. Caponetto, G. Dongola, L. Fortuna, I. Petras, Fractional Order Systems: Modeling and Control Applications, World Scientific, Singapore, 2010.
- [21] L. Cesari, *Optimization—theory and applications*, Applications of Mathematics (New York), 17, Springer, New York, 1983.
- [22] B.C. Chachuat, Nonlinear and dynamic optimization: From Theory to Practice. École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, IC-32: Winter Semester 2006/2007.
- [23] A.C. Chiang, Elements of Dynamic Optimization, McGraw-Hill, Inc., Singapore, 1992.
- [24] J. Cresson, Fractional embedding of differential operators and Lagrangian systems, J. Math. Phys. 48 (2007) 033504, 34 pp.
- [25] S. Das, Functional fractional calculus for system identification and controls, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, 2008.
- [26] K. Diethelm, The analysis of fractional differential equations, Springer, Berlin, 2010.
- [27] R.A. El-Nabulsi, D.F.M. Torres, Necessary optimality conditions for fractional action-like integrals of variational calculus with Riemann-Liouville derivatives of order (α, β) , Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 30 (2007) 1931–1939.
- [28] R.A. El-Nabulsi, D.F.M. Torres, Fractional actionlike variational problems, J. Math. Phys. 49 (2008) 053521, 7 pp.
- [29] G.S.F. Frederico, D.F.M. Torres, Fractional conservation laws in optimal control theory, Nonlinear Dynam. 53 (2008) 215–222.
- [30] G.S.F. Frederico, D.F.M. Torres, Fractional optimal control in the sense of Caputo and the fractional Noether's theorem, Int. Math. Forum 3 (2008) 479–493.
- [31] D. Gale, On optimal development in a multisector economy, Rev. Econom. Stud. **34** (1967), 1–19.

- [32] R. Hilfer, Applications of Fractional Calculus in Physics, World Scientific Pub Co, Singapore, 2000.
- [33] G. Jumarie, From Lagrangian mechanics fractal in space to space fractal Schrödinger's equation via fractional Taylor's series, *Chaos Solitons Fractals* 41 (2009) 1590–1604.
- [34] G. Jumarie, An approach via fractional analysis to non-linearity induced by coarse-graining in space, *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.* 11 (2010) 535–546.
- [35] T. Kamihigashi, Necessity of transversality conditions for infinite horizon problems, *Econometrica* 69 (2001) 995–1012.
- [36] A.A. Kilbas, H.M. Srivastava, J.J. Trujillo, Theory and applications of fractional differential equations, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 204. Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, 2006.
- [37] M. Klimek, Fractional sequential mechanics-models with symmetric fractional derivatives, *Czech. J. Phys.*, 52 (2002), 1247–1253.
- [38] R. Magin, Fractional Calculus in Bioengineering, Begell House Inc., Redding, CT, 2006.
- [39] F. Mainardi, Fractional Calculus and Waves in Linear Viscoelasticity: An Introduction to Mathematical Models, Imperial College Press, London, 2010.
- [40] A.B. Malinowska, N. Martins, D.F.M. Torres, Transversality Conditions for Infinite Horizon Variational Problems on Time Scales, *Optim. Lett.* 5 (2011) 41–53.
- [41] A.B. Malinowska, D.F.M. Torres, Generalized natural boundary conditions for fractional variational problems in terms of the Caputo derivative, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 59 (2010) 3110–3116.
- [42] T. Odziejewicz, A. B. Malinowska and D. F. M. Torres, Fractional variational calculus with classical and combined Caputo derivatives, *Nonlinear Anal.* 75 (2012), no. 3, 1507–1515,
- [43] T. Odziejewicz, A. B. Malinowska and D. F. M. Torres, Fractional calculus of variations in terms of a generalized fractional integral with applications to physics, *Abstract and Applied Analysis* (2012), Article ID 871912, 24 p.
- [44] K.B. Oldham, J. Spanier, Fractional Calculus: Theory and Applications, Differentiation and Integration to Arbitrary Order, Academic Press, Inc., New York-London, 1974.
- [45] R. Okomura, D. Cai, T.G. Nitta, Transversality conditions for infinite horizon optimality: higher order differential problems, *Nonlinear Anal.* 71 (2009) e1980–e1984.
- [46] M.D. Ortigueira, Fractional Calculus for Scientists and Engineers, Lecture Notes in Electrical Engineering, Vol. 84, Springer, 2011.
- [47] I. Podlubny, Fractional differential equations, Mathematics in Science and Engineering, 198. Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA, 1999.
- [48] S. Pooseh, R. Almeida and D. F. M. Torres, Expansion formulas in terms of integer-order derivatives for the Hadamard fractional integral and derivative, *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.* **33** (2012), no. 3, 301–319.
- [49] S. Pooseh, R. Almeida and D. F. M. Torres, Approximation of fractional integrals by means of derivatives, *Comput. Math. Appl.* (2012), DOI: 10.1016/j.camwa.2012.01.068
- [50] F. Ramsey, A mathematical theory of savings, *Economic Journal* 38 (1928) 543–559.
- [51] F. Riewe, Nonconservative Lagrangian and Hamiltonian mechanics, *Phys. Rev. E* (3) 53 (1996) 1890–1899.

- [52] J. Sabatier, O.P. Agrawal, J.A. Tenreiro Machado, *Advances in Fractional Calculus: Theoretical Developments and Applications in Physics and Engineering*, Springer, Dordrecht, 2007.
- [53] D. F. M. Torres, Carathéodory equivalence Noether theorems, and Tonelli full-regularity in the calculus of variations and optimal control, *J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.)* **120** (2004), no. 1, 1032–1050.
- [54] L. C. Young, *Lectures on the calculus of variations and optimal control theory*, Foreword by Wendell H. Fleming Saunders, Philadelphia, 1969.