

### NIH Public Access

**Author Manuscript** 

Comput Biol Chem. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2014 April 01.

#### Published in final edited form as:

Comput Biol Chem. 2013 April; 43: 17-22. doi:10.1016/j.compbiolchem.2012.12.001.

# On the geometric modeling approach to empirical null distribution estimation for empirical Bayes modeling of multiple hypothesis testing

#### Baolin Wu<sup>\*</sup>

Division of Biostatistics, School of Public Health, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA

#### Abstract

We study the geometric modeling approach to estimating the null distribution for the empirical Bayes modeling of multiple hypothesis testing. The commonly used method is a nonparametric approach based on the Poisson regression, which however could be unduly affected by the dependence among test statistics and perform very poorly under strong dependence. In this paper, we explore a finite mixture model based geometric modeling approach to empirical null distribution estimation and multiple hypothesis testing. Through simulations and applications to two public microarray data, we will illustrate its competitive performance.

#### Keywords

Empirical Bayes modeling; Empirical null distribution; False discovery rate; Finite mixture model; Multiple hypotheses testing

#### **1** Introduction

The empirical Bayes modeling method has proven very useful for the large-scale multiple hypothesis testing problems, for example, the differential gene expression detection (Efron, 2003). In the empirical Bayes modeling approach, the selection of null distribution is critical for the appropriate control of false positives (Efron, 2004, 2007a,b). Efron (2010) discussed and illustrated in great detail the importance of adopting the empirical null distribution for the large-scale significance analysis of current biomedical data. Very novel analytic and geometric modeling approaches have been proposed for estimating the empirical null distribution in the significance analysis of two-class microarrays (Efron, 2008). In the analytical approach, a truncated normal distribution was used to model the null statistics. In the geometric approach, a normal distribution was used to approximate the center of the marginal density, which is estimated non-parametrically using a splines based Poisson regression model.

In this paper we focus on the geometric modeling approach and show that the existing method could be unduly affected by the dependence among test statistics and perform very

<sup>© 2012</sup> Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup>baolin@umn.edu. Phone: 6126240647. Fax: 6126260660.

**Publisher's Disclaimer:** This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

poorly under strong dependence. The commonly used Poisson regression based density estimation could not model the tail of the marginal distribution very well, and lead to irregular ranking of important genes based on the posterior differential expression probability. We propose a finite mixture model based geometric approach to simultaneously estimating the empirical null and marginal distributions. The finite mixture model is based on the normal distribution and could estimate the distribution tail very well yielding consistent ranking of important genes. We will illustrate its favorable performance through simulation and application studies.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we discuss a finite normal mixture model based geometric modeling approach to empirical null distribution estimation and multiple hypothesis testing. We conduct a simulation study in Section 3 and analyze a prostate and leukemia cancer microarray data in Section 4 to illustrate the proposed method. We end with a discussion in Section 5.

#### 2 Geometric modeling of empirical null distribution estimation

Assume the appropriate normal transformation has been applied and we have some normally distributed test statistic  $z_i$  for the hypothesis  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . Assume  $z_i$  has unit variance and we are interested in detecting those  $z_i$  with nonzero means. The theoretical null distribution is the standard normal distribution N(0, 1). The dependence among test statistics could make the theoretical null distribution a poor fit and calls for the empirical null distribution for the appropriate control of false positives.

Following Efron (2004), we use a normal distribution  $N(\mu_0, \sigma_0^2)$  with mean  $\mu_0$  and variance

 $\sigma_0^2$  to empirically model the null statistics. A Poisson regression based nonparametric approach has been proposed to estimate the empirical null distribution and true null proportion  $\theta_0$ , and implemented in the R package 'locfdr' (Efron *et al.*, 2011). Specifically the log densities at some pre-specified points are estimated with a splines based Poisson regression model. A quadratic regression model is then fitted to the log densities in a small region around zero to estimate the empirical null distribution parameters. Due to the nonparametric nature of the splines based Poisson regression, the tail of the marginal distribution is often poorly estimated, which will affect the calculation of posterior probability of differential expressions and often lead to irregular ranking of important geness when combined with the parametric empirical null distribution.

We propose to approximate the marginal distribution of  $\{z_i\}$  with a *G*-component finite normal mixture model

$$f(z) = \sum_{g=1}^{G} \pi_g \varphi(z; \nu_g, \sigma^2), \quad \nu_1 = 0, \quad \sum_{g=1}^{G} \pi_g = 1, \quad (1)$$

where  $\varphi(z; \mu, \sigma^2)$  is the probability density function of the normal distribution  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ . Firstly we develop an EM algorithm (Dempster *et al.*, 1977) for the maximum likelihood estimation of model (1), and select *G* based on the BIC (Schwarz, 1978). We then explore several approaches to geometric modeling of the empirical null distribution.

#### 2.1 EM algorithm for model estimation

Define the class indicators,  $w_i \in \{1, \dots, G\}$ , following the multinomial distribution,  $\Pr(w_i = g) = \pi_g$ . Conditional on  $w_i = g$ ,  $z_i$  follows the normal distribution  $N(v_g, \sigma^2)$ . The complete data log likelihood is

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{g=1}^{G} I(w_i = g) \log \left[ \pi_g \varphi(z_i; v_g, \sigma^2) \right], \quad \text{where} \quad \varphi(z_i; v_g, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(z_i - v_g)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right\}.$$

At the *b*-th iteration, we firstly compute the conditional probabilities based on the current parameter estimates

$$\tau_{ig}^{(b)} = \frac{\pi_g^{(b)}\varphi(z_i; v_g^{(b)}, \sigma^{2(b)})}{\sum_{k=1}^G \pi_k^{(b)}\varphi(z_i; v_k^{(b)}, \sigma^{2(b)})}, \quad g=1, \cdots, G.$$

In the maximization step, for  $g = 1, \dots, G$ , we compute (fixing  $v_1^{(b)} = 0$ )

$$\pi_g^{(b+1)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m \tau_{ig}^{(b)}}{m}, \quad \nu_g^{(b+1)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m \tau_{ig}^{(b)} z_i}{\sum_{i=1}^m \tau_{ig}^{(b)}}, \quad \sigma^{2(b+1)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{g=1}^G \tau_{ig}^{(b)} \left[ z_i - \nu_g^{(b+1)} \right]^2}{m}$$

We typically run the EM iteration multiple times with random initials to select the parameter estimate with the maximum likelihood. Given the estimated marginal distribution f(z), we discuss several geometric modeling approaches to estimating the empirical null distribution in the following section.

#### 2.2 Geometric modeling of empirical null distribution

The intuitive idea of geometric modeling is to match the marginal distribution and the empirical null distribution based on some criterion, e.g., assuming similar distribution shape or minimizing their distance etc.

We approximate f(z) around zero with the empirical null distribution  $\theta_0 \varphi(z;\mu_0, \sigma_0^2)$  by matching their moments. Specifically we expand log f(z) at zero into a quadratic function

$$\log f(z) \approx \log f(0) + \alpha_1 z + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_2 z^2,$$

where

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{f'(0)}{f(0)}, \quad \alpha_2 = \frac{f''(0)}{f(0)} - \frac{f'(0)^2}{f(0)^2}.$$

We then estimate

$$\sigma_0^2 = -\frac{1}{\alpha_2}, \quad \mu_0 = -\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2}, \quad \theta_0 = \min\left\{1, \frac{f(0)}{\varphi(0;\mu_0,\sigma_0^2)}\right\}.$$

Alternatively we can use a linear regression model based on those observations in  $A_0$  (a small interval around zero: we selected  $A_0$  to contain the 10% smallest absolute test statistics in the simulation and application studies) to estimate  $\alpha_i$ 

$$\log f(z_i) \sim \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 z_i + \alpha_2 z_i^2, \quad z_i \in A_0.$$

We can also minimize the Kullback-Leibler (KL) distance (Kullback and Leibler, 1951) between f(z) and the normal distribution  $N(\mu_0, \sigma_0^2)$  constrained in the region  $A_0$ 

$$\max_{\mu_0, \sigma_0^2} \int_{A_0} f(z) \log \frac{\varphi(z; \mu_0, \sigma_0^2)}{p_0} dz, \quad p_0 = \Pr\left[A_0 | N(\mu_0, \sigma_0^2)\right].$$

Next we conduct simulation studies to compare the Poisson regression based geometric approach (denoted as 'locfdr') to the mixture model based central matching (denoted as 'mcm'), linear regression (denoted as 'mlr'), and KL distance minimization approach (denoted as 'mkl').

#### **3 Simulation Study**

We simulate 20 blocks, each with 500 test statistics following the multivariate normal distribution with a compound covariance matrix, where the marginal variance is 1 and covariance is  $\rho$ . Different blocks are simulated independently. For each block,  $100(1-\theta_0)$ % have the mean values simulated from a scaled Beta distribution,  $2 \times \text{Beta}(2, 2) + 1$ , and the rest have zero mean values. We then randomly change the sign of  $z_i$  with probability of 0.5 to create both positive and negative correlations.

Here we report the results over 500 simulations for  $\theta_0 = 0.9$  and  $\rho = (0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75)$  to investigate the performance under relatively weak to strong dependence. In each simulation, we compute the 'oracle' estimates with the mean and standard error computed based on the sample mean and variance of the set of  $100\theta_0$ % true null statistics. For the locfdr and mixture model based estimates, we compute the mean squared errors of their difference from the oracle estimates. We also compare the false discovery rate (FDR, Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995) for the top ranked 50/100 statistics, denoted as  $FDR_{50}$  and  $FDR_{100}$ . Table 1 summarizes the results. Figure 1 compares the FDR for both methods. We can see that the locfdr approach is unduly affected by the strong dependence among statistics, especially the null proportion and variance estimates. The locfdr approach tends to detect more false positives under relatively strong dependence. While the mixture model based approaches are less affected by the test statistics dependence, and have relatively stable performance under all dependence structures. Overall the mixture model based approaches perform very favorably compared to the locfdr approach. Figure 2 compares the estimated local FDR, which is essentially the posterior probability of non-differential expression. The proposed approach has relatively more consistent estimation of the local FDR compared to the locfdr. When there are strong dependence among the test statistics, the locfdr has some irregular local FDR estimates in the tails, which is partially due to the nonparametric marginal density estimation unable to fit well the extreme tail probabilities.

In the next section, we analyze the pairwise gene correlations in a prostate cancer microarray data (showing very strong interactions), and detect differential expressions in a leukemia

microarray data (with relatively weak interactions). We compare the proposed mixture model based method to the locfdr.

#### 4 Application to prostate and leukemia cancer microarray data

The prostate cancer microarray data (Singh *et al.*, 2002) consists of 50 normal and 52 tumor prostate tissue samples with genes measured using the Affymetrix hgu95av2 genechip. We analyze the data using those genes with annotated molecular functions in the Gene Ontology (Ashburner *et al.*, 2000), which leads to 5570 genes and K = 15509665 pairwise correlations.

Denote  $\{\rho_k\}_{k=1}^{K}$  as the sample correlations. Figure 3 shows its histogram for the prostate cancer microarray data. In general we can see many significantly non-zero correlations clustering around 1 and -1. When assuming normal distribution for the gene expressions,

the statistic  $t_k = \sqrt{99}\rho_k / \sqrt{1-\rho_k^2}$  follows the t-distribution with 99 degrees of freedom. We analyze the normally transformed statistic,  $z_k = \Phi^{-1}\{T_{99}(t_k)\}$ , where  $\Phi(\cdot)/T_{99}(\cdot)$  are the standard normal and t-distribution function with 99 degrees of freedom. The theoretical null distribution of  $z_k$  is the standard normal distribution. The pairwise sample correlations are strongly correlated with each other. The fitted mixture model selected 10 components based on the BIC. For both approaches,  $A_0$  is chosen to contain the smallest 10% absolute correlations. Table 2 compares the estimated empirical null distributions and  $\widehat{FDR}_{1.6e6}$ , the estimated FDR when declaring the  $1.6 \times 10^6$  top ranked correlations as significant (approximately 10% of all correlations). Figure 4 shows the histogram of the transformed Zstatistics for the prostate cancer microarray data. The superimposed lines are estimated locfdr and mixture model based null distributions. Overall they provide similar fit around the center of the histogram. The histogram is a nonparametric estimate of the marginal distribution, and the fitted empirical null distribution should be smaller than the marginal distribution. The mixture model based approaches fit the tail of the histogram better than the locfdr, which tends to over estimate the tail of the null density.

The leukemia microarray data compared the gene expressions of 20 *MII-AF9* knockin and 23 wild type mice in four cell types (Chen *et al.*, 2008). We analyzed 36,734 genes with unigene annotations among the 45,101 genes measured using the Affymetrix murine 430 2.0 genechip. We compare the *MII-AF9* and wild type expression differences with the following additive effects model

$$x_{ij} = \alpha_j + \beta_j y_i + \sum_{k=1}^3 \gamma_{kj} z_{ki} + \varepsilon_{ij}, \quad \varepsilon_{ij} \sim N(0, \sigma_j^2) \quad i = 1, \cdots, n,$$

where  $\{x_{1j}, \dots, x_{nj}\}$  are the expression values of gene *j*,  $y_i$  is the indicator for the *Mll-AF9*/ wild type, and  $(z_{1i}, z_{2i}, z_{3i})$  are three indicators for the cell types. We use the empirical

Bayes approach to further improve the estimation of  $\sigma_j^2$  (Smyth, 2004). The normal transformed t-statistic for  $\beta_j$  is analyzed for detecting differential expressions. The three approaches based on the normal mixture model yield similar results, and we list the mcm results (denoted as 'nmix') in the following.

Figure 5 shows the estimated FDR for detecting differential expressions. In general, we can see that the proposed nmix can detect more significant genes than the locfdr. Controlling FDR at 0.1, the nmix identified 223 significant genes, while 165 genes are detected by the

locfdr. Figure 6 compares the local FDR estimation for both methods. The local FDR is the posterior probability of non-differential expression and should be strictly between 0 and 1. The nmix approach has a more consistent estimate of the local FDR, while the locfdr approach has some irregular estimate in a positive interval.

For the top ranked significant genes identified by each method, we analyze their enrichment of the KEGG pathways (Ogata *et al.*, 1999). Controlling FDR at 0.1 for the enrichment significance, there were 13 significantly enriched KEGG pathways for the top 223 genes selected by the nmix, and 3 significantly enriched KEGG pathways for the top 165 significant genes selected by the locfdr. Table 3 and 4 summarize the detailed enrichment results. The number of genes column listed two numbers: the first is the total number of pathway genes (measured in the leukemia cancer data) and the second is the number of pathway genes ranked in the top 223/165 by each method. All the identified significantly enriched KEGG pathways have been studied and linked to leukemia (see, e.g., Cerny *et al.*, 1971; Her and Zor, 1991; Walther *et al.*, 1998; Perry *et al.*, 1998; Mohle *et al.*, 1998; Thomas and Anglaret, 1999; Puig-Kroger *et al.*, 2000; Valentin *et al.*, 2001; Zhao *et al.*, 2004; Kandilci and Grosveld, 2005; Rizo, 2006; Gallay *et al.*, 2007; Ramsay and Gribben, 2009; Dang *et al.*, 2010; Heddleston *et al.*, 2012).

#### 5 Discussion

As clearly demonstrated at Efron (2010), the empirical null distribution is critical for the appropriate control of false positives in the significance analysis of current large-scale biomedical data. The proposed three geometric modeling methods based on the mixture model are easy to implement and have shown very competitive performance in the simulation and application studies. Overall the central moment matching approach has the best performance. It can be very easily computed and does not need any tuning parameter selection. When we do not have very strong dependence among the test statistics, the linear regression and KL distance approaches have comparable performance as the central moment matching.

#### Acknowledgments

This research was supported in part by NIH grant GM083345 and CA134848. I would like to thank the anonymous referee for the constructive comments that have improved the presentation of the paper.

#### References

- Ashburner M, Ball CA, Blake JA, Botstein D, Butler H, Cherry JM, Davis AP, Dolin-ski K, Dwight SS, Eppig JT, Harris MA, Hill DP, Issel-Tarver L, Kasarskis A, Lewis S, Matese JC, Richardson JE, Ringwald M, Rubin GM, Sherlock G. Gene ontology: tool for the unification of biology. Nature Genetics. 2000; 25 (1):25–29. [PubMed: 10802651]
- Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the False Discovery Rate: a Practical and Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B (Methodological). 1995; 57:289–300.
- Cerny J, McAlack RF, Geglowski WS, Friedman H. Divergence between immunosuppression and immunocompetence during virus-induced leukemogenesis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 1971; 68 (8):1862–1865. [PubMed: 4942915]
- Chen W, Kumar AR, Hudson WA, Li Q, Wu B, Staggs RA, Lund EA, Sam TN, Kersey JH. Malignant transformation initiated by mll-af9: Gene dosage and critical target cells. Cancer Cell. 2008; 13 (5): 432–440. [PubMed: 18455126]
- Dang L, Jin S, Su SM. IDH mutations in glioma and acute myeloid leukemia. Trends in molecular medicine. 2010; 16 (9):387–397. [PubMed: 20692206]

- Dempster AP, Laird NM, Rubin DB. Maximum likelihood from incomplete data via the EM algorithm. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B (Methodological). 1977; 39 (1):1–38.
- Efron B. Robbins, empirical Bayes and microarrays. Annals of Statistics. 2003; 31 (2):366–378.
- Efron B. Large-scale simultaneous hypothesis testing: the choice of a null hypothesis. Journal of the American Statistical Association. 2004; 99:96–104.
- Efron B. Correlation and Large-Scale Simultaneous Significance Testing. Journal of the American Statistical Association. 2007a; 102:93–103.
- Efron B. Size, power, and false discovery rates. Annals of Statistics. 2007b; 35 (4):1351–1377.
- Efron B. Microarrays, Empirical Bayes and the Two-Groups Model. Statistical Science. 2008; 23:1–22.
- Efron, B. Large-Scale Inference: Empirical Bayes Methods for Estimation, Testing, and Prediction. 1. Cambridge University Press; New York, NY: 2010.
- Efron, B.; Turnbull, BB.; Narasimhan, B. locfdr: Computes local false discovery rates. R package version 1.1-7. 2011. http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=locfdr
- Gallay N, Anani L, Lopez A, Colombat P, Binet C, Domenech J, Weksler BB, Malavasi F, Herault O. The role of Platelet/Endothelial cell adhesion molecule 1 (CD31) and CD38 antigens in marrow microenvironmental retention of acute myelogenous leukemia cells. Cancer Research. 2007; 67 (18):8624–8632. [PubMed: 17875702]
- Heddleston JM, Wu Q, Rivera M, Minhas S, Lathia JD, Sloan AE, Iliopoulos O, Hjelmeland AB, Rich JN. Hypoxia-induced mixed-lineage leukemia 1 regulates glioma stem cell tumorigenic potential. Cell Death & Differentiation. 2012; 19 (3):428–439. [PubMed: 21836617]
- Her E, Zor U. Glucocorticoid inhibition of antigen-induced inositol phosphate formation: possible involvement of phosphatases. Journal of basic and clinical physiology and pharmacology. 1991; 2 (3):217–222. [PubMed: 1665708]
- Kandilci A, Grosveld GC. SET-induced calcium signaling and MAPK/ERK pathway activation mediate dendritic cell-like differentiation of u937 cells. Leukemia. 2005; 19 (8):1439–1445. [PubMed: 15931263]
- Kullback S, Leibler RA. On Information and Sufficiency. The Annals of Mathematical Statistics. 1951; 22:79–86.
- Mohle R, Bautz F, Rafii S, Moore MAS, Brugger W, Kanz L. The chemokine receptor CXCR-4 is expressed on CD34+Hematopoietic progenitors and leukemic cells and mediates transendothelial migration induced by stromal cell-derived factor-1. Blood. 1998; 91 (12):4523–4530. [PubMed: 9616148]
- Ogata H, Goto S, Sato K, Fujibuchi W, Bono H, Kanehisa M. KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes. Nucleic Acids Research. 1999; 27 (1):29–34. [PubMed: 9847135]
- Perry JR, Brown MT, Gockerman JP. Acute leukemia following treatment of malignant glioma. Journal of Neuro-Oncology. 1998; 40 (1):39–46. [PubMed: 9874184]
- Puig-Kroger A, Lpez-Rodrguez C, Relloso M, Snchez-Elsner T, Nueda A, Munoz E, Bernabeu C, Corb AL. Polyomavirus enhancer-binding protein 2/Core binding Factor/Acute myeloid leukemia factors contribute to the cell type-specific activity of the CD11a integrin gene promoter. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2000; 275 (37):28507–28512. [PubMed: 10882733]
- Ramsay AG, Gribben JG. Immune dysfunction in chronic lymphocytic leukemia t cells and lenalidomide as an immunomodulatory drug. Haematologica. 2009; 94 (9):1198–1202. [PubMed: 19734414]
- Rizo A. Signaling pathways in self-renewing hematopoietic and leukemic stem cells: do all stem cells need a niche? Human Molecular Genetics. 2006; 15 :R210–R219. Review Issue 2. [PubMed: 16987886]
- Schwarz G. Estimating the dimension of a model. Annals of Statistics. 1978; 6 (2):461-464.
- Singh D, Febbo PG, Ross K, Jackson DG, Manola J, Ladd C, Tamayo P, Renshaw AA, D'Amico AV, Richie JP, Lander ES, Loda M, Kantoff PW, Golub TR, Sellers WR. Gene expression correlates of clinical prostate cancer behavior. Cancer Cell. 2002; 1:203–209. [PubMed: 12086878]
- Smyth GK. Linear models and empirical bayes methods for assessing differential expression in microarray experiments. Statistical Applications in Genetics and Molecular Biology. 2004; 3:Article 1.

- Thomas X, Anglaret B. Cell adhesion molecules: expression and function in acute myeloid leukemia. Bulletin du cancer. 1999; 86 (3):265–277. [PubMed: 10210760]
- Valentin H, Hamaia S, Konig S, Gazzolo L. Vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 induced by human tcell leukaemia virus type 1 tax protein in t-cells stimulates proliferation of human t-lymphocytes. Journal of General Virology. 2001; 82 (4):831–835. [PubMed: 11257188]
- Walther T, Balschun D, Voigt JP, Fink H, Zuschratter W, Birchmeier C, Ganten D, Bader M. Sustained long term potentiation and anxiety in mice lacking the Mas protooncogene. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 1998; 273 (19):11867–11873. [PubMed: 9565612]
- Zhao S, Konopleva M, Cabreira-Hansen M, Xie Z, Hu W, Milella M, Estrov Z, Mills GB, Andreeff M. Inhibition of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase dephosphorylates BAD and promotes apoptosis in myeloid leukemias. Leukemia. 2004; 18 (2):267–275. [PubMed: 14628071]

#### Highlights

- **1.** A flexible modeling approach to estimate empirical null distribution for appropriate control of false positives
- **2.** Detailed simulation studies demonstrating the very competitive performance of the proposed method
- **3.** Applications to two microarray data illustrating the favorable performance of the proposed method





Figure 1. Estimated FDR over 500 simulations



**Figure 2.** Estimated local FDR over 500 simulations



**Figure 3.** Pairwise gene correlations for the prostate cancer data



**Figure 4.** Pairwise gene correlations for the prostate cancer data



**Figure 5.** Estimated FDR of differential expression detection for the leukemia data



**Figure 6.** Estimated local FDR of differential expression detection for the leukemia data

## Table 1

Estimated mean squared errors of  $(\theta_0, \mu_0, \sigma_0)$  and FDRs for the top ranked 50 and 100 statistics over 500 simulations.

		<b>B</b>	h.0	Ø	FDR <sub>50</sub>	$\mathrm{FDR}_{100}$
	locfdr	3.6e-3	7.5e-4	3.6e-3	0.026	0.053
c	mcm	2.2e-3	7.4e-5	1.3e-3	0.025	0.052
Þ	mlr	2.5e-3	7.0e-5	1.6e-3	0.025	0.052
	mkl	2.5e-3	7.0e-5	1.6e-3	0.025	0.052
	locfdr	4.7e-3	8.2e-4	5.5e-3	0.026	0.056
30.0	mcm	2.4e-3	7.2e-5	1.6e-3	0.025	0.055
C7.0	mlr	2.7e-3	6.8e-5	1.9e-3	0.025	0.055
	mkl	2.7e-3	6.8e-5	1.9e-3	0.025	0.055
	locfdr	1.2e-2	9.8e-4	2.5e-2	0.072	0.105
0 5 0	mcm	2.9e-3	7.2e-5	3.8e-3	0.022	0.047
00.0	mlr	3.2e-3	6.9e-5	4.0e-3	0.021	0.046
	mkl	3.2e-3	6.9e-5	4.0e-3	0.024	0.049
	locfdr	1.1e-1	8.4e-3	7.3e-1	0.232	0.268
27.0	mcm	5.5e-3	1.1e-4	1.7e-2	0.032	0.055
<i>C1.</i> 0	mlr	4.7e-3	8.8e-5	1.5e-2	0.023	0.047
	mkl	4.7e-3	8.8e-5	1.5e-2	0.041	0.061

-

#### Table 2

Empirical null distribution and FDR estimates for prostate cancer data.

	$\hat{ heta}_0$	$\hat{\mu_0}$	$\hat{\sigma_0}$	$\widehat{FDR}_{1.6e6}$
locfdr	0.696	-0.233	4.887	0.354
mcm	0.524	-0.141	3.658	0.054
mlr	0.550	-0.159	3.834	0.079
mkl	0.557	-0.161	3.887	0.087

#### Table 3

Significantly enriched KEGG pathways for the top 223 genes identified by the nmix.

KEGG pathway	# genes	p-value	locfdr p-value
leukocyte transendothelial migration	244/8	2.0e-5	5.0e-3
biosynthesis of unsaturated fatty acids	37/3	7.5e-5	6.2e-4
glycine serine and threonine metabolism	51/3	2.6e-4	2.2e-2
regulation of actin cytoskeleton	477/9	7.3e-4	2.1e-2
inositol phosphate metabolism	128/4	1.1e-3	2.0e-2
tight junction	268/6	1.3e-3	1.4e-3
phosphatidylinositol signaling system	178/4	4.7e-3	4.7e-2
glioma	178/4	4.7e-3	8.7e-3
hematopoietic cell lineage	111/3	4.8e-3	1.6e-3
cell adhesion molecules cams	255/5	4.8e-3	1.1e-1
vibrio cholerae infection	112/3	4.9e-3	1.4e-2
long term potentiation	180/4	5.0e-3	4.8e-2
calcium signaling pathway	367/6	7.4e-3	6.5e-3

#### Table 4

Significantly enriched KEGG pathways for the top 165 genes identified by the locfdr.

KEGG pathway	# genes	p-value	nmix p-value
biosynthesis of unsaturated fatty acids	37/2	6.2e-4	7.5e-5
tight junction	268/5	1.4e-3	1.3e-3
hematopoietic cell lineage	111/3	1.6e-3	4.8e-3