# THE CYCLIC INDEX OF ADJACENCY TENSOR OF GENERALIZED POWER HYPERGRAPHS 

YI-ZHENG FAN AND MIN LI


#### Abstract

Let $G$ be a $t$-uniform hypergraph, and let $c(G)$ denote the cyclic index of the adjacency tensor of $G$. Let $m, s, t$ be positive integers such that $t \geq 2, s \geq 2$ and $m=s t$. The generalized power $G^{m, s}$ of $G$ is obtained from $G$ by blowing up each vertex into an $s$-set and preserving the adjacency relation. It was conjectured that $c\left(G^{m, s}\right)=s \cdot c(G)$. In this paper we show that the conjecture is false by giving a counterexample, and give some sufficient conditions for the conjecture holding. Finally we give an equivalent characterization of the equality in the conjecture by using a matrix equation over $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$.


## 1. Introduction

A hypergraph $G=(V(G), E(G))$ consists of a set of vertices, say $V(G)=$ $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \cdots v_{n}\right\}$, and a set of edges, say $E(G)=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \cdots e_{k}\right\}$, where $e_{j} \subseteq V(G)$ for $j \in[k]:=\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$. If $\left|e_{j}\right|=m$ for each $j \in[k]$, then $G$ is called an $m$-uniform hypergraph. A walk $W$ in $G$ is a sequence of alternating vertices and edges: $v_{i_{0}}, e_{i_{1}}, v_{i_{1}}, e_{i_{2}}, \ldots, e_{i_{l}}, v_{i_{i}}$, where $\left\{v_{i_{j}}, v_{i_{j+1}}\right\} \subseteq e_{i_{j+1}}$ for $j=0,1, \ldots, l-1$. The hypergraph $G$ is connected if every two vertices of $G$ are connected by a walk. The adjacency tensor $\mathcal{A}(G)$ of the hypergraph $G$ is defined as $\mathcal{A}(G)=\left(a_{i_{1} i_{2} \ldots i_{k}}\right)$ [4], an $m$-th order $n$-dimensional tensor, where

$$
a_{i_{1} i_{2} \ldots i_{m}}= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{(m-1)!}, & \text { if }\left\{v_{i_{1}}, v_{i_{2}}, \ldots, v_{i_{m}}\right\} \in E(G) ; \\ 0, & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

In general, A tensor (also called hypermatrix) $\mathcal{A}=\left(a_{i_{1} i_{2} \ldots i_{m}}\right)$ of order $m$ and dimension $n$ over a field $\mathbb{F}$ refers to a multiarray of entries $a_{i_{1} i_{2} \ldots i_{m}} \in \mathbb{F}$ for all $i_{j} \in[n]$ and $j \in[m]$, which can be viewed to be the coordinates of the classical tensor (as a multilinear function) under an orthonormal basis. If $m=2$, then $\mathcal{A}$ is a square matrix of dimension $n$.

In 2005, independently, Lim 13 and Qi 17 introduced eigenvalues for tensors $\mathcal{A}$. Denote by $\rho(\mathcal{A})$ the spectral radius of $\mathcal{A}$, and by $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{A})$ the spectrum of $\mathcal{A}$. If $\mathcal{A}$ is further nonnegative, then by Perron-Frobenius theorem of nonnegative tensors, $\rho(\mathcal{A})$ is an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{A}$. Moreover, if $\mathcal{A}$ is weakly irreducible and has $k$ eigenvalues of $\mathcal{A}$ with modulus $\rho(\mathcal{A})$, then those $k$ eigenvalues are equally distributed on the spectral circle. As for nonnegative matrices, the number $k$ is called the cyclic index of $\mathcal{A}$ [2]. The cyclic index reflects the spectral symmetry of

[^0]nonnegative weakly irreducible tensors, which was generalized and investigated in the paper [5].
Definition 1.1 ([5]). Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an $m$-th order $n$-dimensional tensor, and let $\ell$ be a positive integer. The tensor $\mathcal{A}$ is called spectral $\ell$-symmetric if
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{A})=e^{\mathbf{i} \frac{2 \pi}{\ell}} \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{A}) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

The maximum number $\ell$ such that (1.1) holds is called the cyclic index of $\mathcal{A}$ and denoted by $c(\mathcal{A})$, and $\mathcal{A}$ is called spectral $c(\mathcal{A})$-cyclic.

When we say a hypergraph is spectral $\ell$-symmetric or spectral $\ell$-cyclic, this is always referring to its adjacency tensor. In particular, for a uniform hypergraph $G$, denote $c(G):=c(\mathcal{A}(G))$, called the cyclic index of $G$.

For a general tensor $\mathcal{A}$, if it is spectral $\ell$-symmetric, then $\ell \mid c(\mathcal{A})$ by [5, Lemma 2.7]. It was also proved that if a connected $m$-uniform hypergraph is spectral $\ell$-symmetric, then $\ell \mid m$, and hence $c(G) \mid m$; see [5] Lemma 3.2, Corollary 4.3], [6, Lemma 2.5] or [21, Theorem 2.15]. In the paper [5] the authors use the construction of generalized power hypergraphs to show that for every positive integer $m$ and any positive integer $\ell$ such that $\ell \mid m$, there always exists an $m$-uniform hypergraph $G$ such that $G$ is spectral $\ell$-symmetric. They posed the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.2 (5). let $G$ be a $t$-uniform hypergraph, and let $G^{m, s}$ be the generalized power of $G$, where $m=s t$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
c\left(G^{m, s}\right)=s \cdot c(G) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The generalized power of a hypergraph is defined as follows.
Definition $1.3(\boxed{10})$. Let $G=(V, E)$ be a $t$-uniform hypergraph. For any integers $m, s$ such that $m>t$ and $1 \leq s \leq \frac{m}{t}$, the generalized power of $G$, denoted by $G^{m, s}$, is defined as the $m$-uniform hypergraph with the vertex set $\left(\cup_{v \in V} \mathbf{v}\right) \cup\left(\cup_{e \in E} \mathbf{e}\right)$, and the edge set $\left\{\mathbf{u}_{1} \cup \cdots \cup \mathbf{u}_{t} \cup \mathbf{e}: e=\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{t}\right\} \in E(G)\right\}$, where $\mathbf{v}$ denotes an $s$-set corresponding to $v$ and $\mathbf{e}$ denotes an $(m-t s)$-set corresponding to $e$, and all those sets are pairwise disjoint.

In this paper, we only consider the power hypergraphs $G^{m, s}$ with $m=s t$, i.e. $G^{m, s}$ is obtained from $G$ by blowing up each vertex into an $s$-set and preserving the adjacency relation. The generalized power hypergraphs include some special cases, such as the powers of simple graphs introduced by Hu, Qi and Shao [9, the generalized powers of simple graphs introduced by Khan and Fan [11. Peng [16] introduced $s$-paths and $s$-cycles with uniformity $m$ on discussing the Ramsey number, which are exactly the generalized pows of paths and cycles (as simple graphs) respectively if $1 \leq s \leq \frac{m}{2}$. The spectral results on generalized power hypergraphs can be found in [9, 24, 11, 23, 12, 10].

For the conjecture [1.2, it was shown that it is true if $c(G)=1$ [5]. In this paper we show that the conjecture is false by giving a counterexample, and give some sufficient conditions for the conjecture holding. We finally give an equivalent characterization of Eq. (1.2) by using a matrix equation over $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$.

## 2. Preliminaries

2.1. Notions. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a real tensor of order $m$ and dimension $n$. The tensor $\mathcal{A}$ is called symmetric if its entries are invariant under any permutation of their indices. So, the adjacency tensor of a uniform hypergraph is symmetric.

Given a vector $x \in \mathbb{C}^{n}, \mathcal{A} x^{m} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathcal{A} x^{m-1} \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$, which are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A} x^{m} & =\sum_{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m} \in[n]} a_{i_{1} i_{2} \ldots i_{m}} x_{i_{1}} x_{i_{2}} \cdots x_{i_{m}}, \\
\left(\mathcal{A} x^{m-1}\right)_{i} & =\sum_{i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m} \in[n]} a_{i i_{2} \ldots i_{m}} x_{i_{2}} \cdots x_{i_{m}}, i \in[n] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\mathcal{I}=\left(i_{i_{1} i_{2} \ldots i_{m}}\right)$ be the identity tensor of order $m$ and dimension $n$, that is, $i_{i_{1} i_{2} \ldots i_{m}}=1$ if and only if $i_{1}=i_{2}=\cdots=i_{m} \in[n]$ and $i_{i_{1} i_{2} \ldots i_{m}}=0$ otherwise.

Definition 2.1 ( 13,17$]$ ). Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an $m$-th order $n$-dimensional real tensor. For some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, if the polynomial system $(\lambda \mathcal{I}-\mathcal{A}) x^{m-1}=0$, or equivalently $\mathcal{A} x^{m-1}=$ $\lambda x^{[m-1]}$, has a solution $x \in \mathbb{C}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$, then $\lambda$ is called an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{A}$ and $x$ is an eigenvector of $\mathcal{A}$ associated with $\lambda$, where $x^{[m-1]}:=\left(x_{1}^{m-1}, x_{2}^{m-1}, \ldots, x_{n}^{m-1}\right)$.

The determinant of $\mathcal{A}$, denoted by $\operatorname{det} \mathcal{A}$, is defined as the resultant of the polynomials $\mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^{m-1}$ [ 8 , and the characteristic polynomial $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}(\lambda)$ of $\mathcal{A}$ is defined as $\operatorname{det}(\lambda \mathcal{I}-\mathcal{A})$ 17, 3. It is known that $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{A}$ if and only if it is a root of $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}(\lambda)$. The spectrum of $\mathcal{A}$ is the multi-set of the roots of $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}(\lambda)$.

The spectral symmetry of a connected hypergraph is closed related to a certain coloring of the hypergraph.

Definition 2.2 ([5]). Let $m \geq 2$ and $\ell \geq 2$ be integers such that $\ell \mid m$. An $m$ uniform hypergraph $G$ on $n$ vertices is called ( $m, \ell$ )-colorable if there exists a map $\phi:[n] \rightarrow[m]$ such that if $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}\right\} \in E(G)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(i_{1}\right)+\cdots+\phi\left(i_{m}\right) \equiv \frac{m}{\ell} \quad \bmod m \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such $\phi$ is called an ( $m, \ell$ )-coloring of $G$.
If $m$ is even, an $m$-uniform hypergraph with an $(m, 2)$-coloring was called oddcolorable by Nikiforov [14].

Theorem 2.3. 5] Let $G$ be a connected m-uniform hypergraph. Then $G$ is spectral $\ell$-symmetric if and only if $G$ is $(m, \ell)$-colorable.

The edge-vertex incidence matrix $B_{G}=\left(b_{e v}\right)$ of an $m$-uniform hypergraph $G$ is defined by

$$
b_{e v}= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } v \in e \in E(G) \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

We may view $B_{G}$ as one over $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$, where $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$ is the ring of integers modulo $m$. Now Eq. (2.1) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{G} \phi=\frac{m}{\ell} \mathbf{1} \text { over } \mathbb{Z}_{m} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi=(\phi(1), \ldots, \phi(n))$ is considered as a column vector, and $\mathbf{1}$ is an all-ones vector of dimension $n$. So, Theorem 2.3 can be rewritten as follows.
Corollary 2.4. Let $G$ be a connected $m$-uniform hypergraph. Then $G$ is spectral $\ell$-symmetric if and only if the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{G} x=\frac{m}{\ell} \mathbf{1} \text { over } \mathbb{Z}_{m} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a solution.

In Corollary 2.4 and other places of the paper, the number of coordinates of $\mathbf{1}$ is implicated from context, which is equal to the number of vertices of the hypergraph under discussion.

## 3. Cyclic index of generalized power hypergraphs

Let $G$ be a $t$-uniform hypergraph, and let $G^{m, s}$ be a generalized power hypergraph of $G$, where $1 \leq s \leq \frac{m}{t}$. If $m>s t$, then each edge of $G$ contains a vertex of degree 1, and hence $G$ is a 1-hm bipartite hypergraph [19. By [19, Theorem 3.2] or [5. Theorem 4.5], $c\left(G^{m, s}\right)=m$.

So, in the following, we always assume that $G$ is a connected $t$-uniform hypergraph, $m=s t$, namely, $G^{m, s}$ is considered to be obtained from $G$ by blowing each vertex $v$ into an $s$-set $\mathbf{v}$ and preserving the adjacency relation. We also assume that the vertex $v$ is contained in $\mathbf{v}$ for each $v \in V(G)$.
Lemma 3.1. If $G$ is spectral $\ell$-symmetric, then $G^{m, s}$ is also spectral $\ell$-symmetric. In particular, $G^{m, s}$ is spectral $c(G)$-symmetric and hence $c(G) \mid c\left(G^{m, s}\right)$.

Proof. Suppose that $G$ is spectral $\ell$-symmetric. By Corollary 2.4, the equation $B_{G} x=\frac{t}{\ell} \mathbf{1}$ has a solution $\phi$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{t}$. Now define a map $\Phi$ on $G^{m, s}$ such that $\left.\Phi\right|_{\mathbf{v}}=\phi(v)$ for each vertex $v \in V(G)$. Then

$$
B_{G^{m, s}} \Phi=s \cdot B_{G} \phi=\frac{s t}{\ell} \mathbf{1}=\frac{m}{\ell} \mathbf{1} \text { over } \mathbb{Z}_{m}
$$

which implies that $G^{m, s}$ is spectral $\ell$-symmetric also by Corollary 2.4.
Lemma 3.2. $G^{m, s}$ is spectral s-symmetric.
Proof. For each vertex $v \in V(G), v$ is blowing into an $s$-set $\mathbf{v}$ of vertices of $G^{m, s}$, and is assumed to be contained in $\mathbf{v}$. Define a map $\Phi$ on $G^{m, s}$ such that $\Phi(v)=1$ and $\left.\Phi\right|_{\mathbf{v} \backslash\{v\}}=0$ for each vertex $v \in V(G)$. Then

$$
B_{G^{m, s}} \Phi=t \mathbf{1}=\frac{m}{s} \mathbf{1} \text { over } \mathbb{Z}_{m}
$$

which implies that $G^{m, s}$ is spectral $s$-symmetric by Corollary 2.4.
Lemma 3.3. If $G^{m, s}$ is spectral $s \cdot \ell^{\prime}$-symmetric, then $G$ is spectral $\ell^{\prime}$-symmetric.
Proof. By Corollary 2.4, there exists a map $\Phi$ defined on $G^{m, s}$ such that

$$
B_{G^{m, s}} \Phi=\frac{m}{s \cdot \ell^{\prime}} \mathbf{1}=\frac{t}{\ell^{\prime}} \mathbf{1} \text { over } \mathbb{Z}_{m}
$$

Now define a map $\phi$ on $G$ such that $\phi(v)=\sum_{u \in \mathbf{v}} \Phi(u)$ for each $v \in V(G)$. So we have

$$
B_{G} \phi=B_{G^{m, s}} \Phi=\frac{t}{\ell^{\prime}} \mathbf{1} \text { over } \mathbb{Z}_{m}
$$

As $m$ is a multiple of $t$,

$$
B_{G} \phi=\frac{t}{\ell^{\prime}} \mathbf{1} \text { over } \mathbb{Z}_{t}
$$

which implies that $G$ is spectral $\ell^{\prime}$-symmetric by Corollary 2.4.
By Lemma 3.2, we may assume $c\left(G^{m, s}\right)=s \cdot \ell^{\prime}$, where $\ell^{\prime}$ is a positive integer. By Lemma 3.3, we know that $G$ is spectral $\ell^{\prime}$-symmetric and hence $\ell^{\prime} \mid c(G)$ by [5, Lemma 2.7]. So we get the following result immediately.
Corollary 3.4. $c\left(G^{m, s}\right) \mid s \cdot c(G)$.

Corollary 3.5. $G^{m, s}$ is spectral $\frac{s \cdot c(G)}{(s, c(G)-\text {-symmetric. In particular, if }(s, c(G))=1}$ or $(s, t)=1$, then $c\left(G^{m, s}\right)=s \cdot c(G)$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 we know that $c(G) \mid c\left(G^{m, s}\right)$ and $s \mid c\left(G^{m, s}\right)$, implying that $\left.\frac{s \cdot c(G)}{(s, c(G))} \right\rvert\, c\left(G^{m, s}\right)$. So, $G^{m, s}$ is spectral $\frac{s \cdot c(G)}{(s, c(G))}$-symmetric. As $c(G) \mid t$, if $(s, t)=1$, then $(s, c(G))=1$. If $(s, c(G))=1$, then $s \cdot c(G) \mid c\left(G^{m, s}\right)$. Then result follows by Corollary 3.4.

By Corollary 3.5, Conjecture 1.2 holds in some special cases, including the case of $c(G)=1$. However, Conjecture 1.2 does not hold in general. Now we give a counterexample to show the negative answer to the conjecture.

Definition 3.6 ([14]). Let $n \geq 16 k$ and let partition $[n]$ into three sets $A, B, C$ such that $|A| \geq 6 k,|B| \geq 6 k$ and $|C| \geq 4 k$. Define the four families of $4 k$-subsets of $[n]$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{1}:=\{e: e \subset[n],|e \cap A|=2 k,|e \cap C|=2 k\} . \\
& E_{2}:=\{e: e \subset[n],|e \cap B|=2 k,|e \cap C|=2 k\} \\
& E_{3}:=\{e: e \subset[n],|e \cap A|=k,|e \cap B|=3 k\} . \\
& E_{4}:=\{e: e \subset[n],|e \cap A|=3 k,|e \cap B|=k\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now define a $4 k$-uniform hypergraph $G$ by setting $V(G)=[n]$ and $E(G)=E_{1} \cup$ $E_{2} \cup E_{3} \cup E_{4}$. We call $G$ a Nikiforov's hypergraph as it is introduced by Nikiforov.

Nikiforov 14 showed that Nikiforov's hypergraphs $G$ are odd-colorable, or (4k,2)colorable in terms our definition, by defining a function $\phi$ on $G$ such that $\left.\phi\right|_{A}=1$, $\left.\phi\right|_{B}=4 k-1$ and $\left.\phi\right|_{C}=0$. By Theorem 2.3, $G$ is spectral 2-symmetric.

By the following result, if $G$ is a Nikiforov's hypergraph and $s$ is even, then

$$
c\left(G^{m, s}\right) \neq s \cdot c(G)
$$

So we give a negative answer to Conjecture 1.2 ,
Theorem 3.7. Let $G$ be a $4 k$-uniform Nikiforov's hypergraph. Then the following results hold.
(1) $c(G)=2$.
(2) If $s$ is even, then $c\left(G^{m, s}\right)=s$.

Proof. (1) We first show that $c(G)=2$. Suppose that $G$ is spectral $\ell$-symmetric. Then there exists a $\phi:[n] \rightarrow[4 k]$ such that $B_{G} \phi=\frac{4 k}{\ell}$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{4 k}$. It is easily seen that $\phi$ is constant on each of $A, B, C$ by the equation. So, let $\left.\phi\right|_{A}:=a,\left.\phi\right|_{B}:=b$ and $\left.\phi\right|_{C}:=c$. Then, by considering the edges in $E_{1}$, we have

$$
2 k a+2 k c=\frac{4 k}{\ell} \quad \bmod 4 k
$$

which implies that $\ell$ equals 1 or 2 , and hence $c(G)=2$ as $G$ is spectral 2-symmetric.
(2) By Corollary 3.4, $c\left(G^{m, s}\right) \mid 2 s$, where $m=4 k s$. By Lemma 3.2, $G^{m, s}$ is spectral $s$-symmetric, and hence $s \mid c\left(G^{m, s}\right)$. We will show that if $s$ is even, then $G^{m, s}$ is not spectral $2 s$-symmetric so that $c\left(G^{m, s}\right)=s$.

Assume to the contrary that $G^{m, s}$ is spectral $2 s$-symmetric. Then there exists a $\Phi: V\left(G^{m, s}\right) \rightarrow[4 k s]$ such that

$$
B_{G^{m, s}} \Phi=\frac{4 k s}{2 s}=2 k \text { over } \mathbb{Z}_{4 k s}
$$

For each $v \in V(G)$, define $\phi(v):=\sum_{u \in \mathbf{v}} \Phi(u)$. So we have

$$
B_{G^{m, s}} \Phi=B_{G} \phi=2 k \text { over } \mathbb{Z}_{4 k s} .
$$

It is also easily seen that $\left.\phi\right|_{A}:=\alpha,\left.\phi\right|_{B}:=\beta$ and $\left.\phi\right|_{C}:=\iota$. By considering the edges in $E_{3}$ and $E_{4}$ respectively, we have

$$
\alpha+3 \beta=2 \bmod 4 s, 3 \alpha+\beta=2 \bmod 4 s .
$$

So

$$
\alpha-\beta=0 \quad \bmod 2 s, \alpha+\beta=1 \bmod s,
$$

which yields a contradiction as $s$ is an even number.
Finally we give an equivalent characterization of Eq. (1.2) in Conjecture 1.2 ,
Theorem 3.8. $c\left(G^{m, s}\right)=s \cdot c(G)$ if and only if the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{G} x=\frac{t}{c(G)} \mathbf{1} \text { over } \mathbb{Z}_{m} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a solution.
Proof. Suppose that $c\left(G^{m, s}\right)=s \cdot c(G)$. Then $G^{m, s}$ is spectral $s \cdot c(G)$-symmetric, and by Corollary 2.4 there exists a map $\Phi: V\left(G^{m, s}\right) \rightarrow[m]$ such that

$$
B_{G^{m, s}} \Phi=\frac{m}{s \cdot c(G)} \mathbf{1}=\frac{t}{c(G)} \mathbf{1} \text { over } \mathbb{Z}_{m}
$$

For each $v \in V(G)$, define $\phi(v):=\sum_{u \in \mathbf{v}} \Phi(u)$. So we have $B_{G^{m, s}} \Phi=B_{G} \phi$, and get the necessity.

On the other hand, if $B_{G} x=\frac{t}{c(G)} \mathbf{1}$ has a solution $\phi$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$. Define a map $\Psi: V\left(G^{m, s}\right) \rightarrow[m]$ such that

$$
\sum_{u \in \mathbf{v}} \Phi(u)=\phi(v), \text { for each } v \in V(G) .
$$

There are $|V(G)|$ independent linear equations; such $\Phi$ is easily got (e.g. for each $v \in V(G)$, take $\Phi(v)=\phi(v)$ and $\Phi(u)=0$ for each $u \in \mathbf{v} \backslash\{v\})$. So we have

$$
B_{G^{m, s}} \Phi=B_{G} \phi=\frac{t}{c(G)} \mathbf{1}=\frac{m}{s \cdot c(G)} \mathbf{1} \text { over } \mathbb{Z}_{m} .
$$

So $G^{m, s}$ is spectral $s \cdot c(G)$-symmetric. The sufficiency follows by Corollary 3.4.
As $G$ is spectral $c(G)$-symmetric, by Corollary 2.4 the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{G} x=\frac{t}{c(G)} \mathbf{1} \text { over } \mathbb{Z}_{t} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a solution. Obviously, if the equation (3.1) has a solution, then the equation (3.2) has a solution as $m$ is a multiple of $t$. However, the converse does not hold in general; see the previous counterexample.

## 4. Remark

For a nonnegative weakly irreducible tensor $\mathcal{A}$, its cyclic index $c(\mathcal{A})$ is exactly the number of eigenvalues with modulus $\rho(\mathcal{A})$. The is implied by Perron-Frobenius theorem for nonnegative tensors, where an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{A}$ is called $H^{+}$-eigenvalue (respectively $H^{++}$-eigenvalue) if it is associated with a nonnegative (respectively positive) eigenvector. For the notion of irreducible or weakly irreducible tensors, one can refer to [1] and [7. It is known that the adjacency tensor of a uniform hypergraph $G$ is weakly irreducible if and only if $G$ is connected [15, 22].

Theorem 4.1 (The Perron-Frobenius Theorem for nonnegative tensors).
(1) (Yang and Yang [22]) If $\mathcal{A}$ is a nonnegative tensor, then $\rho(\mathcal{A})$ is an $H^{+}$eigenvalue of $\mathcal{A}$.
(2) (Friedland, Gaubert and Han [7) If furthermore $\mathcal{A}$ is weakly irreducible, then $\rho(\mathcal{A})$ is the unique $H^{++}$-eigenvalue of $\mathcal{A}$, with a unique positive eigenvector, up to a positive scalar.
(3) (Chang, Pearson and Zhang [1) If moreover $\mathcal{A}$ is irreducible, then $\rho(\mathcal{A})$ is the unique $H^{+}$-eigenvalue of $\mathcal{A}$, with a unique nonnegative eigenvector, up to a positive scalar.
According to the definition of tensor product in [18], for a tensor $\mathcal{A}$ of order $m$ and dimension $n$, and two diagonal matrices $P, Q$ both of dimension $n$, the product $P \mathcal{A} Q$ has the same order and dimension as $\mathcal{A}$, whose entries are defined by

$$
(P \mathcal{A} Q)_{i_{1} i_{2} \ldots i_{m}}=p_{i_{1} i_{1}} a_{i_{1} i_{2} \ldots i_{m}} q_{i_{2} i_{2}} \ldots q_{i_{m} i_{m}}
$$

If $P=Q^{-1}$, then $\mathcal{A}$ and $P^{m-1} \mathcal{A} Q$ are called diagonal similar. It is proved that two diagonal similar tensors have the same spectrum [18].

Theorem $4.2([22])$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be two $m$-th order $n$-dimensional real tensors with $|\mathcal{B}| \leq \mathcal{A}$, namely, $\left|b_{i_{1} i_{2} \ldots i_{m}}\right| \leq a_{i_{1} i_{2} \ldots i_{m}}$ for each $i_{j} \in[n]$ and $j \in[m]$. Then
(1) $\rho(\mathcal{B}) \leq \rho(\mathcal{A})$.
(2) Furthermore, if $\mathcal{A}$ is weakly irreducible and $\rho(\mathcal{B})=\rho(\mathcal{A})$, where $\lambda=\rho(\mathcal{A}) e^{\mathbf{i} \theta}$ is an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{B}$ corresponding to an eigenvector $y$, then $y$ contains no zero entries, and $\mathcal{B}=e^{-\mathbf{i} \theta} D^{-(m-1)} \mathcal{A} D$, where $D=\operatorname{diag}\left\{\frac{y_{1}}{\left|y_{1}\right|}, \ldots, \frac{y_{n}}{\left|y_{n}\right|}\right\}$.
Theorem 4.3 ([22). Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an $m$-th order $n$-dimensional weakly irreducible nonnegative tensor. Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ has $k$ distinct eigenvalues with modulus $\rho(\mathcal{A})$ in total. Then these eigenvalues are $\rho(\mathcal{A}) e^{i \frac{i \pi j}{k}}, j=0,1, \ldots, k-1$. Furthermore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}=e^{-\mathbf{i} \frac{2 \pi}{k}} D^{-(m-1)} \mathcal{A} D \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the spectrum of $\mathcal{A}$ remains invariant under a rotation of angle $\frac{2 \pi}{k}$ (but not $a$ smaller positive angle) of the complex plane.

Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ be as in Theorem4.3 If $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{A})$ is invariant under a rotation of angle $\theta$ of the complex plane, i.e. $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{A})=e^{\mathrm{i} \theta} \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{A})$, then $\rho(\mathcal{A}) e^{\mathbf{i} \theta}$ is an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{A}$ by Theorem 4.1. By Theorem 4.3, $\theta=\frac{2 \pi j}{k}$ for some $j \in[k]$, and hence by Theorem 4.2 (and taking $\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{A}), \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{A})=e^{\mathbf{i} \frac{2 \pi j}{k}} \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{A})$. So, for some positive integer $\ell, \ell \mid k$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{A})=e^{\mathbf{i} \frac{2 \pi}{\ell}} \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{A}) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The number $k$ in Theorem 4.3 is exactly the cyclic index of $\mathcal{A}$. In addition, if $\mathcal{A}$ is spectral $\ell$-symmetric, Then $\ell \mid c(\mathcal{A})$ by Theorem4.3.

Now return to a connected $t$-uniform hypergraph $G$ and its power $G^{m, s}$, where $m=s t$. By Lemma 3.1, $G^{m, s}$ is spectral $c(G)$-symmetric; and by Lemma 3.2, $G^{m, s}$ is also spectral $s$-symmetric. So $G^{m, s}$ has eigenvalues

$$
\rho\left(G^{m, s}\right) e^{\mathrm{i} \frac{2 \pi i}{c(G)}} e^{\mathbf{i} \frac{2 \pi j}{s}}, i \in[c(G)], j \in[s] .
$$

In particular, $\rho\left(G^{m, s}\right) e^{\mathbf{i} \frac{2 \pi}{d}}$ is an eigenvalue of $G^{m, s}$, where $d=\frac{s \cdot c(G)}{(s, c(G))}$. So by Theorem 4.2, $G^{m, s}$ is spectral $d$-symmetric, which is consistent with Corollary 3.5.
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