



The number of tree stars is $O^*(1.357^k)$

Bernhard Fuchs²

*University of Cologne, Center for Applied Computer Science Cologne, Group AFS,
Weyertal 80, 50931 Köln, Germany*

Walter Kern³

*University of Twente, Department of Applied Mathematics, Faculty of EEMCS,
P.O.Box 217, 7500 AE Enschede, The Netherlands*

Xinhui Wang^{1,4}

*University of Twente, Department of Applied Mathematics, Faculty of EEMCS,
P.O.Box 217, 7500 AE Enschede, The Netherlands*

Abstract

Every rectilinear Steiner tree problem admits an optimal tree T^* which is composed of *tree stars*. Moreover, the currently fastest algorithms for the rectilinear Steiner tree problem proceed by composing an optimum tree T^* from tree star components in the cheapest way. The efficiency of such algorithms depends heavily on the number of tree stars (candidate components). Föbmeier and Kaufmann [4] showed that any problem instance with k terminals has a number of tree stars in between 1.32^k and 1.38^k (modulo polynomial factors) in the worst case. We determine the exact bound of $O^*(\alpha^k)$ where $\alpha \approx 1.357$ and mention some consequences of this result.

Keywords: Rectilinear Steiner tree, Terminal points, Tree star, Components

¹ Supported by Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO) grant 613.000.322 (Exact Algorithms).

² Email: bfuchs@zpr.uni-koeln.de

³ Email: kern@math.utwente.nl

⁴ Email: xinhuiw@math.utwente.nl

Given a weighted graph (V, E) on $n = |V|$ nodes, non-negative edge weight $c : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, $Y \subseteq V$ of k *terminal nodes* (or *terminals*, for short), the *Steiner tree problem* asks for exhibiting a shortest (*i.e.*, min cost) subtree $T^* = T^*(Y)$ of (V, E) spanning all terminals.

The most well-known algorithm for solving Steiner tree problem is the so-called Dreyfus-Wagner algorithm [1], a certain dynamic programming approach that computes an optimum tree T^* in time $O^*(3^k)$. Here and in what follows, we use the O^* -notation to indicate that polynomial factors are suppressed. The currently fastest algorithm, due to [5] resolves the problem in $O^*((2 + \epsilon)^k)$ for any $\epsilon > 0$. We admit, however, that the result is purely theoretical and the algorithm is not expected to be of any use in practice.

The most interesting problems in practice are so-called rectilinear problems, where the terminal set is a finite set $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ and the underlying graph is the Hanan grid generated by Y .

In general, every leaf of an optimal Steiner tree T^* is necessarily a terminal. But T^* may also contain some terminals in its interior. These *interior terminals* define a partition of T^* into *components*. In the rectilinear case, a well known result of Hwang [2] states the existence of an optimum Steiner tree $T^* = T^*(Y)$ with each component of special form (*Hwang topology*).

We call a Steiner tree with Hwang topology a *Hwang tree*. Any set $X \subseteq Y$ which is the terminal set of a Hwang tree is called a *Hwang set*. For a Hwang set $X \subseteq Y$, we let $H(X)$ denote the shortest Hwang tree connecting X . So Hwang trees are candidates for T^* -components. Ganley and Cohoon [3] present a straightforward dynamic program for computing an optimal Steiner tree T^* :

- Compute $H(X_0)$ for all Hwang sets $X_0 \subseteq Y$.
- Compute recursively for all $X \subseteq Y$

$$T^*(X) = \min_{X=X_1 \bowtie X_0} T^*(X_1) \cup H(X_0),$$

where

$$X = X_1 \bowtie X_0 \Leftrightarrow X = X_1 \cup X_0, \text{ and } |X_1 \cap X_0| = 1.$$

In [3], it is shown that there are at most 1.62^k Hwang sets $X_0 \subseteq Y$. More generally, every $X \subseteq Y$ of size $i \leq k$ has at most 1.62^i Hwang subset $X_0 \subseteq X$. So the above dynamic program has a running time of order

$$O^*\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \binom{k}{i} 1.62^i\right) = O^*(2.62^k).$$

Fößmeier and Kaufmann [4] further restrict the set of candidates for T^* -components by showing that each T^* -components can be assumed to be a so-called *tree star*. They showed that, in the worst case, the number of tree stars is in between 1.32^k and 1.38^k , yielding an improvement of the running time in the above dynamic program to $O^*(1.38^k)$. We present a somewhat simpler approach, yielding a tight bound of $O^*(\alpha^k)$ for $\alpha \approx 1.357$.

References

- [1] S. E. Dreyfus and R. A. Wagner, (1972) The Steiner problem in graphs. *Networks*, 1, 195–207.
- [2] F. K. Hwang, (1976) On Steiner Minimal Trees with Rectilinear Distance. *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, 30(1), 104–114.
- [3] J. L. Ganley and J. P. Cohoon, (1994) Optimal rectilinear Steiner minimal trees in $O(n^2 2.62^n)$ time, in: *Proceedings of the Sixth Canadian Conference on Computational Geometry*, 308–313.
- [4] U. Fößmeier and M. Kaufmann, (2000) On exact solutions for the rectilinear Steiner tree problem Part 1: Theoretical results. *Algorithmica*, 26, 68–99.
- [5] B. Fuchs, W. Kern, D. Mölle, S. Richter, P. Rossmanith and X. Wang, (2005) Dynamic Programming for Minimum Steiner Trees, preprint.