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#### Abstract

Let $G$ be an $n$-vertex graph that contains linearly many cherries (i.e., paths on 3 vertices), and let $c$ be a coloring of the edges of the complete graph $K_{n}$ such that at each vertex every color appears only constantly many times. In 1979, Shearer conjectured that such a coloring $c$ must contain a properly colored copy of $G$. We establish this conjecture in a strong form, showing that it holds even for graphs $G$ with $O\left(n^{4 / 3}\right)$ cherries and moreover this bound on the number of cherries is best possible up to a constant factor. We also prove that one can find a rainbow copy of such $G$ in every edge-coloring of $K_{n}$ in which all colors appear bounded number of times.

Our proofs combine a framework of Lu and Székely for using the lopsided Lovász local lemma in the space of random bijections together with some additional ideas.


## 1 Introduction

The canonical version of Ramsey's theorem [9] for graphs implies that for every graph $G$, there exists an integer $n$ such that any coloring of the edges of the complete graph $K_{n}$ contains at least one of the following copies of $G$ :

- a monochromatic copy, i.e., a copy where all the edges have the same color,
- a rainbow copy, which is a copy where no two edges have the same color, or
- a lexicographic copy, in which case the vertices of the copy can be ordered in such a way that the color of any edge is purely determined by the smaller endpoint.

Note that by restricting the number of colors that the coloring of $E\left(K_{n}\right)$ can use to $k$, the theorem guarantees a monochromatic copy of $K_{\ell}$ for any fixed $\ell>k$, which implies the classical Ramsey's theorem.

In this paper we consider the following two different types of restrictions, which are kind of dual to bounding the number of colors: we do not allow any color to, either locally or globally, appear too many times. More precisely, we say that a coloring $c$ of $E\left(K_{n}\right)$ is locally $k$-bounded if for every vertex $v \in V\left(K_{n}\right)$, no color appears more than $k$-times on the edges incident to $v$. Analogously, we say that $c$ is globally $k$-bounded if no color appears more than $k$-times on all the

[^0]edges of $K_{n}$. We define that a coloring $c$ of $E\left(K_{n}\right)$ is $G$-proper, if there exists a copy of $G$ in $K_{n}$ for which $c$ induces a proper edge-coloring, i.e., a coloring where no two incident edges have the same color. Similarly, we say that $c$ is $G$-rainbow if there exists a copy of $G$ in $K_{n}$ such that no two edges of this copy have the same color in $c$. Given a graph $G$, we would like to obtain sufficient conditions on an edge-coloring of $K_{n}$ which yield either a properly colored or a rainbow copy of this graph. This problem was studied extensively by various researchers in the last forty years.

### 1.1 Locally bounded colorings and properly colored subgraphs

A conjecture of Bollobás and Erdős 5 from 1976 states that every locally ( $n / 2$ )bounded coloring of $E\left(K_{n}\right)$ is $C_{n}$-proper, i.e., it contains a properly colored Hamilton cycle. In 5 , they proved a weaker result - any locally $\alpha n$-bounded coloring is $C_{n}$-proper, where the constant $\alpha$ equals to $1 / 69$. Around the same time, Chen and Daykin 77 showed that already $\alpha=1 / 17$ is enough. Then in 1979, Shearer 23] improved the value of $\alpha$ to $1 / 7$. After another improvement due to Alon and Gutin [3], Lo [18] proved the conjecture of Bollobás and Erdős asymptotically. He showed that locally $\alpha n$-bounded colorings are $C_{n}$-proper for any $\alpha<1 / 2$ and sufficiently large $n$.

Thirty five years ago, Shearer 23 proposed the following generalization of the conjecture above to an arbitrary graph $G$ that does not contain too many cherries, i.e., paths on three vertices.

Conjecture 1. For every two integers $s$ and $k$, there exists an integer $n_{0}$ such that the following is true. If $n \geq n_{0}$ and $G$ is an n-vertex graph with at most sn cherries, then any locally $k$-bounded coloring of $E\left(K_{n}\right)$ is $G$-proper.

We establish this conjecture in a strong form, showing that it holds even for graphs $G$ with $O\left(n^{4 / 3}\right)$ cherries.

Theorem 2. If $G$ is an n-vertex graph with at most $r$ cherries, then any locally $\left(\frac{n}{560 r^{3 / 4}}\right)$-bounded coloring $c$ of $E\left(K_{n}\right)$ is $G$-proper.

This result is tight up to a constant factor. In Section 4 , we will construct locally 3 -bounded colorings $c_{n}$ of $E\left(K_{n}\right)$ together with $n$-vertex trees $T_{n}$ with $\Theta\left(n^{4 / 3}\right)$ cherries so that $c_{n}$ is not $T_{n}$-proper.

Another generalization of the conjecture of Bollobás and Erdős to a general graph $G$ takes into account the maximum degree. Alon, Jiang, Miller and Pritikin [4] showed that if $G$ is an $n$-vertex graph with maximum degree $\Delta$ and $k=O\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\Delta^{27 / 2}}\right)$, then any locally $k$-bounded coloring $c$ of $E\left(K_{n}\right)$ is $G$-proper. Their result was greatly improved by Böttcher, Kohayakawa and Procacci [6] who showed that $k$ can be of order $n / \Delta^{2}$.

Theorem 3. If $G$ is an n-vertex graph with maximum degree $\Delta$, then any locally $\left(n / 22.4 \Delta^{2}\right)$-bounded coloring $c$ of $E\left(K_{n}\right)$ is $G$-proper.

Can one further improve this bound? Our next contribution shows that up to a constant factor, this result is tight for all values $n$ and $\Delta$. Moreover, one can find graphs $G$ with maximum degree $\Delta$ and locally (3.9n/ $\Delta^{2}$ )-bounded but not $G$-proper colorings, of $K_{n}$, where the number of vertices of $G$ does not depend on $n$ at all.

Proposition 4. For every prime power $q$ and integer $n$, there exist an $\ell$-vertex graph $G$ with maximum degree $\Delta$, where $\ell=q^{2}+q+1$ and $\Delta=q+1$, and a locally $\left(3.9 n / \Delta^{2}\right)$-bounded coloring $c$ of $E\left(K_{n}\right)$ so that $c$ is not $G$-proper.

### 1.2 Globally bounded colorings and rainbow subgraphs

There is a rich literature studying rainbow copies of a fixed graph in globally bounded colorings of $E\left(K_{n}\right)$, see for example $1,2,12-17$. In this work, we will focus on finding rainbow spanning subgraphs.

Various authors have considered an analogue of the Bollobás-Erdős conjecture, where the aim is to find a rainbow Hamilton cycle in a globally bounded coloring of $E\left(K_{n}\right)$. Specifically, in 1986 Hahn and Thomassen 14 conjectured that there is a constant $\alpha>0$ such that any globally $\alpha n$-bounded coloring of $K_{n}$ is $C_{n}$-rainbow. Their conjecture was proven by Albert, Frieze, and Reed [1] with $\alpha=1 / 64$ (see also [22] for a correction of the originally claimed constant).

In 2008, Frieze and Krivelevich 12 showed that there is some absolute constant $\alpha>0$ so that any globally $\alpha n$-bounded coloring actually contains copies of $C_{k}$ for all $k \in\{3, \ldots, n\}$. In the same paper, they conjectured that there is also a constant $\alpha>0$ such that every globally $\alpha n$-bounded coloring contains any spanning tree with bounded maximum degree. Using the same technique as for proving Theorem 3. Böttcher, Kohayakawa and Procacci [6] proved the conjecture of Frieze and Krivelevich not only for trees, but actually for all spanning subgraphs with bounded maximum degree.

Theorem 5 ( $[6]$ ). If $G$ is an n-vertex graph with maximum degree $\Delta$, then any globally $\left(n / 51 \overline{\Delta^{2}}\right)$-bounded coloring $c$ of $E\left(K_{n}\right)$ is $G$-rainbow. Furthermore, if $n \geq 100$, then any globally $\left(n / 42 \Delta^{2}\right)$-bounded coloring $c$ of $E\left(K_{n}\right)$ is $G$-rainbow.

With a slight modification of the construction from Proposition 4, we can show that the dependency $k=O\left(n / \Delta^{2}\right)$ in Theorem 5 is again best possible.

Proposition 6. For every two integers $\Delta$ and $n$ such that $\Delta$ is even and $\left(\frac{\Delta}{2}+1\right)^{2}$ divides $n$, there exist an n-vertex graph $G$ with maximum degree $\Delta$ and a globally $\left(16 n / \Delta^{2}\right)$-bounded coloring $c$ of $E\left(K_{n}\right)$ so that $c$ is not $G$-rainbow.

Finally, one can naturally ask what can be said about rainbow copies of graphs with few cherries in globally bounded edge-colorings of $K_{n}$. We were able to answer this question as well, proving the following analog of Conjecture 1 in this setting.

Theorem 7. If $G$ is an n-vertex graph with at mostr cherries, then any globally $\left(\frac{n}{1512 r^{3 / 4}}\right)$-bounded coloring $c$ of $E\left(K_{n}\right)$ is $G$-rainbow.

Since the locally 3 -bounded coloring $c$ of $E\left(K_{n}\right)$ which shows the tightness of Theorem 2 is also globally 9 -bounded, we conclude that again the number of cherries cannot exceed $\Theta\left(n^{4 / 3}\right)$.

## 2 Local lemma in the space of random bijections

The Lovász local lemma is a tool used for showing the existence of an object that does not possess any property from a given list of unwanted properties.

This is achieved by taking a random object and showing that with a positive probability, the object has none of the unwanted properties. In order to be able to apply the local lemma, we need to have some control over the mutual correlations of these properties.

Let $\mathcal{B}=\left\{B_{1}, \ldots, B_{N}\right\}$ be a set of events, where each event describes having one of the unwanted properties. The events are usually called the bad events. We say that a graph $D$ with the vertex set $[N]$ is a dependency graph for $\mathcal{B}$ if for every $i \in[N]$, the event $B_{i}$ is mutually independent of all the events $B_{j}$ such that $i j \notin E(D)$. In other words, for every $i \in[N]$ and every set $J \subseteq\{j: i j \notin E(D)\}$, it holds that $\mathbb{P}\left[B_{i} \mid \bigwedge_{j \in J} \overline{B_{j}}\right]=\mathbb{P}\left[B_{i}\right]$. Analogously, we say that an $N$-vertex graph $D$ is a negative dependency graph for $\mathcal{B}$ if for every $i \in[N]$ and every set $J \subseteq\{j: i j \notin E(D)\}$, it holds that $\mathbb{P}\left[B_{i} \mid \bigwedge_{j \in J} \overline{B_{j}}\right] \leq \mathbb{P}\left[B_{i}\right]$.

The original version of the local lemma, which is due to Erdős and Lovász [8], used a dependency graph for the set of bad events in order to control the correlations. It was first observed by Erdős and Spencer [10 that actually the same proof also applies when we capture the correlations using a negative dependency graph. They called this variant lopsided Lovász local lemma. The following is a slightly more general version of the lemma than the one stated in 10, whose proof can be found, e.g., in [20, Lemma 1.4].

Lemma 8 (Lopsided Lovász local lemma). Let $\mathcal{B}=\left\{B_{1}, \ldots, B_{N}\right\}$ be a set of bad events with a negative dependency graph $D=([N], \mathcal{E})$. If there exist reals $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{N} \in(0,1)$ so that

$$
\mathbb{P}\left[B_{i}\right] \leq b_{i} \cdot \prod_{i j \in \mathcal{E}}\left(1-b_{j}\right) \quad \text { for every } i \in[N]
$$

then $\mathbb{P}\left[\bigwedge_{i \in[N]} \overline{B_{i}}\right]>0$.
In our applications, we will be only using the following simpler version of the local lemma, which is in fact an easy corollary of Lemma 8. Note that this version is often called the asymmetric local lemma (see, e.g., 21, Chapter 19]):
Lemma 9. Let $\mathcal{B}=\left\{B_{1}, \ldots, B_{N}\right\}$ be a set of bad events with a negative dependency graph $D=([N], \mathcal{E})$. If

$$
\mathbb{P}\left[B_{i}\right] \leq \frac{1}{4} \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{i j \in \mathcal{E}} \mathbb{P}\left[B_{j}\right] \leq \frac{1}{4} \quad \text { for every } i \in[N],
$$

then $\mathbb{P}\left[\bigwedge_{i \in[N]} \overline{B_{i}}\right]>0$.
The lopsided variant of the asymmetric local lemma is mentioned in 21 , Chapter 19.4] only implicitly. However, its proof is identical to the proof where $D$ is only a dependency graph, which is proven in [21, Chapter 19.3].

The most important thing in many applications of the (lopsided) local lemma is to find an appropriate (negative) dependency graph for a given set of bad events. Lu and Székely 19 came up with a particularly useful construction of a negative dependency graph in the case that the underlying probability space is generated by taking a random bijection between two sets.

Let $X$ and $Y$ be two sets of size $n$ and $\mathcal{S}_{n}$ the set of all bijections from $X$ to $Y$. Consider the probability space $\Omega$ generated by picking a uniformly
random element of $\mathcal{S}_{n}$. We say that an event $B$ is canonical if there exist two sets $X^{\prime} \subseteq X, Y^{\prime} \subseteq Y$ and a bijection $\tau: X^{\prime} \rightarrow Y^{\prime}$ such that $B=\left\{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_{n}\right.$ : $\pi(a)=\tau(a)$ for all $\left.a \in X^{\prime}\right\}$. For two sets $X^{\prime} \subseteq X$ and $Y^{\prime} \subseteq Y$ of the same size and a bijection $\tau: X^{\prime} \rightarrow Y^{\prime}$, we denote the corresponding canonical event by $\Omega\left(X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}, \tau\right)$.

We say that two events $\Omega\left(X_{1}^{\prime}, Y_{1}^{\prime}, \tau_{1}\right)$ and $\Omega\left(X_{2}^{\prime}, Y_{2}^{\prime}, \tau_{2}\right) \mathcal{S}$-intersect if the sets $X_{1}^{\prime}$ and $X_{2}^{\prime}$ intersect, or the sets $Y_{1}^{\prime}$ and $Y_{2}^{\prime}$ intersect. A result of Lu and Székely 19 states that for a set of bad canonical events, the graph with vertices being the bad events and edges being between any two events that $\mathcal{S}$-intersect is a negative dependency graph.

Theorem 10 ( $[19]$ ). Let $\Omega$ be the probability space generated by picking a random bijection between two sets $X$ and $Y$ of size $n$ uniformly at random. Next, let $\mathcal{B}=\left\{B_{1}, \ldots, B_{N}\right\}$ be a set of canonical events in $\Omega$ and let $D$ be a graph with the vertex set $[N]$ and $i j \in E(D)$ if and only if the events $B_{i}$ and $B_{j}$ $\mathcal{S}$-intersect. It holds that $D$ is a negative dependency graph.

Let us note that Lu and Székely [19] proved the statement above with a slightly better choice of the negative dependency graph. Namely, they showed that a graph $D^{\prime}$ with the set of vertices $[N]$, where a vertex representing $\Omega\left(X_{1}^{\prime}, Y_{1}^{\prime}, \tau_{1}\right)$ is adjacent to a vertex representing $\Omega\left(X_{2}^{\prime}, Y_{2}^{\prime}, \tau_{2}\right)$ if and only if

$$
\left(\exists x \in X_{1}^{\prime} \cap X_{2}^{\prime}: \tau_{1}(x) \neq \tau_{2}(x)\right) \text { or }\left(\exists y \in Y_{1}^{\prime} \cap Y_{2}^{\prime}: \tau_{1}^{-1}(y) \neq \tau_{2}^{-1}(y)\right) \text {, }
$$

is a negative dependency graph. In other words, $\Omega\left(X_{1}^{\prime}, Y_{1}^{\prime}, \tau_{1}\right)$ and $\Omega\left(X_{2}^{\prime}, Y_{2}^{\prime}, \tau_{2}\right)$ are adjacent in $D^{\prime}$ if and only if the two probability events in $\Omega$ are disjoint. It immediately follows that $D^{\prime}$ is a subgraph of $D$, and since $D^{\prime}$ is a negative dependency graph, the graph $D$ must be a negative dependency graph as well.

## 3 Proofs of Theorems 2 and 7

Before we start with a rigorous proof, let us give a brief outline. As we have seen in the introduction, the local lemma is the right tool if the maximum degree $\Delta(G)=O(\sqrt{n})$. Unfortunately, our upper bound on the number of cherries cannot provide such a strong control on $\Delta(G)$. However, a straightforward counting argument yields that only a very small number of vertices in $G$ can have a degree of order $\Omega(\sqrt{n})$. Furthermore, we show in Lemma 11 that since $c$ is locally (globally) bounded, there is a complete subgraph $H$ of $K_{n}$ of the appropriate size that is properly colored (rainbow) in $c$, and also no two of its vertices have too large monochromatic co-degree in $V\left(K_{n}\right) \backslash V(H)$. Therefore, we can map the large-degree vertices of $G$ to the vertices of $H$, and map the other vertices of $G$ using the local lemma. In order to get strong bounds, we will also need precise upper bounds on the number of edges of $G$ of certain types, and on the number of paths of length 2 starting at a given vertex. Those bounds are established in Lemmas 12 and 13 , respectively, using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

Through the whole section, we will omit floors and ceilings whenever it is not critical. We start our exposition with the following three auxiliary lemmas.

Lemma 11. For all positive integers $n, k$ and $r$ such that $k \leq\left(\frac{n}{560 r^{3 / 4}}\right)$, the following is true. Every locally (globally) $k$-bounded coloring $c$ of $K_{n}$ contains
a properly colored (rainbow) complete subgraph $H$ of size $2 r^{1 / 4}$ such that for every two vertices $v_{1}, v_{3} \in V(H)$, the set $\left\{v_{2} \in V\left(K_{n}\right): c\left(v_{1} v_{2}\right)=c\left(v_{2} v_{3}\right)\right\}$ has size at most $5 k r^{1 / 4}$.

Proof. First note that (both locally and globally) $k$-bounded colorings contain at most $\frac{1}{2} n(n-1) k$ monochromatic paths on three vertices. To see that, we claim that for a fixed choice of the middle vertex $v_{2}$ of such a path, there are at most $\frac{1}{2}(n-1) k$ choices for the two endpoints of the path. Indeed, after choosing one of the endpoints, which can be done in $(n-1)$ ways, there are at most $k$ possible other endpoints so that the path monochromatic. Furthermore, we counted every monochromatic path with $v_{2}$ as the middle point exactly twice. Summing over all choices of $v_{2}$ yields the bound $\frac{1}{2} n(n-1) k$.

Now let $A$ be the following auxiliary graph: the vertex set is $V\left(K_{n}\right)=[n]$, and the vertices $v_{1} \in V(A)$ and $v_{3} \in V(A)$ are adjacent if and only if there exist at least $5 k r^{1 / 4}$ vertices $v_{2} \in$ so that $c\left(v_{1} v_{2}\right)=c\left(v_{2} v_{3}\right)$. It follows that the number of edges of $A$ is at most $\frac{n(n-1)}{10 r^{1 / 4}}$. We denote the number of edges of $A$ by $e(A)$.

We construct the desired subgraph $H$ using the first moment method. Let $p:=5 r^{1 / 4} \cdot n^{-1}$, and let $P^{\prime}$ be a random subset of $[n]$ where we put each element with probability $p$ independently on the others. The expected size of $P^{\prime}$ is $5 r^{1 / 4}$, and the expected number of edges of the subgraph of $A$ induced by $P^{\prime}$ is at most $e(A) \cdot p^{2} \leq 2.5 r^{1 / 4}$. We set $U_{1} \subseteq P^{\prime}$ to be the set containing the smaller of the two vertices for each edge of the subgraph. It can be that $U_{1}$ contains both endpoints for some edge because its larger endpoint is the smaller endpoint of some other edge. Note that $\mathbb{E}\left[\left|U_{1}\right|\right] \leq 2.5 r^{1 / 4}$, and that for any two vertices $v_{1}$ and $v_{3}$ from $P^{\prime} \backslash U_{1}$, the set $\left\{v_{2} \in V\left(K_{n}\right): c\left(v_{1} v_{2}\right)=c\left(v_{2} v_{3}\right)\right\}$ has size at most $5 k r^{1 / 4}$.

Next, let $U_{2} \subseteq P^{\prime}$ be the set containing the smallest vertex from every $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right\} \subseteq P^{\prime}$ with $c\left(v_{1} v_{2}\right)=c\left(v_{2} v_{3}\right)$. It follows that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\left|U_{2}\right|\right] \leq \frac{n^{2} k \cdot p^{3}}{2} \leq \frac{125 r^{3 / 4} \cdot k}{2 n} \leq \frac{125}{1120} \leq \frac{1}{8}
$$

and the coloring induced by $c$ on the subgraph $P^{\prime} \backslash U_{2}$ is proper.
Finally, if $c$ is globally $k$-bounded, observe that there are at most $n^{2} k / 4$ sets $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}\right\} \subseteq[n]$ such that $c\left(v_{1} v_{2}\right)=c\left(v_{3} v_{4}\right)$. Let $U_{3}$ be the set containing the smallest vertex from every $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}\right\} \subseteq P^{\prime}$ with $c\left(v_{1} v_{2}\right)=c\left(v_{3} v_{4}\right)$. In the case of $c$ being locally $k$-bounded, we set $U_{3}:=\emptyset$. It holds that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\left|U_{3}\right|\right] \leq \frac{n^{2} k \cdot p^{4}}{4} \leq \frac{625 r k}{4 n^{2}} \leq \frac{625}{2240} \leq \frac{3}{8}
$$

It follows that in the case $c$ is globally $k$-bounded, the subgraph induced by $P^{\prime} \backslash\left(U_{2} \cup U_{3}\right)$ is rainbow in $c$.

By linearity of expectation, the set $P:=P^{\prime} \backslash\left(U_{1} \cup U_{2} \cup U_{3}\right)$ has expected size at least $5 r^{1 / 4}-2.5 r^{1 / 4}-0.5 \geq 2 r^{1 / 4}$. On the other hand, the subgraph induced by $P$ has all the desired properties.

Lemma 12. Every n-vertex graph $G$ with at most $r$ cherries contains at most $\max \{n, \sqrt{r n}\}$ edges. Furthermore, for any subset $T \subseteq V(G)$, the number of edges with at least one endpoint in $T$ is at most $\max \{4|T|, 2 \sqrt{r|T|}\}$.

Proof. Let $e(G)$ be the number of edges of $G$. We claim that $4 e(G)^{2} \leq(2 r+$ $2 e(G)) n$. Indeed, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$
\left(\sum_{u \in V(G)} \operatorname{deg}(u)\right)^{2} \leq n \cdot \sum_{u \in V(G)} \operatorname{deg}^{2}(u)
$$

However, $\sum_{u} \operatorname{deg}(u)=2 e(G)$ and $\sum_{u} \operatorname{deg}(u)(\operatorname{deg}(u)-1)=2 r$. Therefore, if $e(G) \geq n$, then $4 e(G)^{2} \leq n(2 r+2 e(G)) \leq 2 r n+2 e(G)^{2}$.

Analogously for the set $T$, let $e(T, G)$ be the number of edges of $G$ with at least one endpoint in $T$. Note that

$$
\frac{1}{2} \cdot \sum_{u \in T} \operatorname{deg}(u) \leq e(T, G) \leq \sum_{u \in T} \operatorname{deg}(u)
$$

Again by Cauchy-Schwarz,
$e(T, G)^{2} \leq|T| \cdot\left(\sum_{u \in T} \operatorname{deg}(u)(\operatorname{deg}(u)-1)+\sum_{u \in T} \operatorname{deg}(u)\right) \leq 2 r|T|+2|T| e(T, G)$.
Hence if $e(T, G) \geq 4|T|$, then $e(T, G)^{2} \leq 4 r|T|$.
Lemma 13. Let $G$ be an n-vertex graph with at most $r$ cherries and $u \in V(G)$ one of its vertices. Then $G$ contains at most $\sqrt{2 r \operatorname{deg}(u)}$ cherries with $u$ being one of the two leaves.

Proof. Let $N \subseteq V(G)$ be the set of the neighbors of $u$. The number of cherries, where $u$ is one of the leaves, is equal to $\sum_{u^{\prime} \in N}\left(\operatorname{deg}\left(u^{\prime}\right)-1\right)$. As in the proof of the previous lemma,

$$
\left(\sum_{u^{\prime} \in N}\left(\operatorname{deg}\left(u^{\prime}\right)-1\right)\right)^{2} \leq|N| \cdot \sum_{u^{\prime} \in N} \operatorname{deg}\left(u^{\prime}\right)\left(\operatorname{deg}\left(u^{\prime}\right)-1\right) \leq 2 r \operatorname{deg}(u)
$$

We are now ready to prove Theorem 2 .
Proof of Theorem 2. Let $\Delta_{G}$ be the maximum degree of $G, C:=560$ and $k:=$ $\frac{n}{C r^{3 / 4}}$. If $n<2 C$ or $r>(n / 2 C)^{4 / 3}$, then $k \leq 1$ and hence the statement of the theorem is trivial. For the rest of the proof, we assume $n \geq 2 C$ and $r \leq(n / 2 C)^{4 / 3}$. We may also assume that $r \geq 16$. Indeed, if $r \leq 15$ then the maximum degree of $G$ is at most 6 . If $\Delta_{G}=6$, then $G$ must be a disjoint union of one star with 6 leaves and a graph on $(n-7)$ vertices with maximum degree one. Such a graph can be easily embdedded in a greedy fashion. On the other hand, if $\Delta_{G} \leq 5$ then the statement directly follows from Theorem 3 .

Now observe that $\Delta_{G}\left(\Delta_{G}-1\right) \leq 2 r$ as otherwise the vertex of $G$ with the maximum degree is contained in more than $r$ cherries. Since $r \geq 16$, we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{G} \leq \sqrt{2 r}+1 \leq 2 \sqrt{r} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without loss of generality, $V(G)=V\left(K_{n}\right)=[n]$, and the vertices of $V(G)$ are in the descending order according to their degrees (breaking ties arbitrarily). In
other words, if $u, v \in V(G)$ and $u<v$, then $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(u) \geq \operatorname{deg}_{G}(v)$. Let $P \subseteq V\left(K_{n}\right)$ be the properly colored complete subgraph of $K_{n}$ of size $\ell:=2 r^{1 / 4}$ given by Lemma 11 for $c, r$ and $k$. Set $Q:=V\left(K_{n}\right) \backslash P$. It follows that for every $v_{1}, v_{3} \in P$ there are at most $5 k r^{1 / 4}$ choices of $v_{2} \in Q$ so that $c\left(v_{1} v_{2}\right)=c\left(v_{2} v_{3}\right)$. On the other hand, let $L$ be the set of the first $\ell$ vertices of $G$, i.e., the set of $\ell$ vertices with the largest degrees. Let $S:=V(G) \backslash L$ and let $\Delta_{S}:=\max _{u \in S} \operatorname{deg}_{G}(u)$. Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{S}\left(\Delta_{S}-1\right) \leq 2 r / \ell=r^{3 / 4}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

as otherwise $G$ contains more than $r$ cherries.
Now we describe how we find a properly edge-colored copy of $G$ in $c$. First, fix an arbitrary bijective map $f_{1}: L \rightarrow P$. Let us emphasize that any such $f_{1}$ will be possible to extend into a properly colored copy of $G$. The remaining vertices of $G$, i.e., the vertices from $S$, are mapped by a uniformly chosen random bijection $f_{2}: S \rightarrow Q$. Finally, let $f:=f_{1} \cup f_{2}$ be the bijection between $V(G)$ and $V\left(K_{n}\right)$ and let $f(G)$ denote the (random) copy of $G$ in $K_{n}$ given by $f$. We use Theorem 10 and Lemma 9 to show that, with a positive probability, the copy $f(G)$ is properly colored by $c$ restricted to the edges of $f(G)$.

Before we proceed further, let us introduce some additional notation. We denote a cherry in $G$ with the middle vertex $u_{2}$ and the endpoints $u_{1}, u_{3}$ such that $u_{1}<u_{3}$ by $u_{1}-u_{2}-u_{3}$. Through the whole paper, we will write $u_{1}-u_{2}-u_{3}$ only in the case when $u_{1}<u_{3}$. On the other hand, for $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3} \in V\left(K_{n}\right)$, we say that the triple $\left[v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}\right]$ is $c$-monochromatic if $c\left(v_{1} v_{2}\right)=c\left(v_{2} v_{3}\right)$. Let us emphasize that in this definition we assume neither $v_{1}<v_{3}$, nor $v_{1}>v_{3}$.

Let $\mathcal{R}(G)$ be the set of all cherries in $G$, and let $\mathcal{C}(c)$ be the set of all $c$ monochromatic triples $\left[v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}\right]$. Note that $\left[v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}\right] \in \mathcal{C}(c) \Longleftrightarrow\left[v_{3} v_{2} v_{1}\right] \in \mathcal{C}(c)$. Also note that $|\mathcal{C}(c)| \leq n(n-1) k$, since there are $n(n-1)$ choices of the vertices $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$, and then at most $k$ choices of $v_{3}$ so that $c\left(v_{1} v_{2}\right)=c\left(v_{2} v_{3}\right)$. Our aim is to show that the bijection $f$ is such that for every cherry $u_{1}-u_{2}-u_{3} \in \mathcal{R}(G)$ it holds that $\left[f\left(u_{1}\right) f\left(u_{2}\right) f\left(u_{3}\right)\right] \notin \mathcal{C}(c)$. Since the image of $f_{1}$ is $P$, which induces a properly colored clique in $c$, it follows that $\left[f\left(u_{1}\right) f\left(u_{2}\right) f\left(u_{3}\right)\right] \notin \mathcal{C}(c)$ for every cherry $u_{1}-u_{2}-u_{3}$ with $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right\} \subseteq L$.

For a cherry $u_{1}-u_{2}-u_{3} \in \mathcal{R}(G)$ with $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right\} \cap S \neq \emptyset$ and a triple $\left[v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}\right] \in$ $\mathcal{C}(c)$, let $B_{u_{1}-u_{2}-u_{3}}^{\left[v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}\right]}$ denote the event $\bigwedge_{i \in\{1,2,3\}}\left[f\left(u_{i}\right)=v_{i}\right]$, and let $\mathcal{B}$ be the set of all events $B_{u_{1}-u_{2}-u_{3}}^{\left[v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}\right]}$ that satisfy

- $u_{1}-u_{2}-u_{3} \in \mathcal{R}(G)$ and $\left[v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}\right] \in \mathcal{C}(c)$,
- $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right\} \cap S \neq \emptyset$,
- $\forall i \in\{1,2,3\}: u_{i} \in S \Longleftrightarrow v_{i} \in Q$, and
- $\forall i \in\{1,2,3\}: u_{i} \in L \Longrightarrow f_{1}\left(u_{i}\right)=v_{i}$.

Note that since for every $B \in \mathcal{B}$ at least one of the vertices $u_{i}$, where $i \in$ $\{1,2,3\}$, is mapped to $v_{i}$ by the randomly chosen bijection $f_{2}$, it holds that $\mathbb{P}[B] \leq 1 /(n-\ell) \leq 1 / 4$.

It follows that two events $B_{u_{1}-u_{2}-u_{3}}^{\left[v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}\right]}$ and $B_{u_{4}-u_{5}-u_{6}}^{\left[v_{4} v_{5} v_{6}\right]} \mathcal{S}$-intersect if and only if the sets $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right\}$ and $\left\{u_{4}, u_{5}, u_{6}\right\}$ intersect or the sets $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right\}$ and
$\left\{v_{4}, v_{5}, v_{6}\right\}$ intersect. Lemma 9 states that in order to conclude that the probability $\mathbb{P}\left[\bigwedge_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \bar{B}\right]>0$, it is enough to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{B^{\prime} \in \mathcal{B}: \\ \mathcal{S} \text { and } B^{\prime} \\ \mathcal{S} \text { intersect }}} \mathbb{P}\left[B^{\prime}\right] \leq \frac{1}{4} \quad \text { for every } B \in \mathcal{B} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

To do so, we split the events $B_{u_{1}-u_{2}-u_{3}}^{\left[v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}\right]} \in \mathcal{B}$ into five classes $\mathcal{B}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_{5}$ based on how their sets $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right\}$ intersect the set $S$ :

- If $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right\} \subseteq S$, then $B_{u_{1}-u_{2}-u_{3}}^{\left[v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}\right]} \in \mathcal{B}_{1}$.
- If $\left\{u_{2}, u_{3}\right\} \subseteq S$ and $u_{1} \in L$, then $B_{u_{1}-u_{2}-u_{3}}^{\left[v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}\right]} \in \mathcal{B}_{2}$.
- If $\left\{u_{1}, u_{3}\right\} \subseteq S$ and $u_{2} \in L$, then $B_{u_{1}-u_{2}-u_{3}}^{\left[v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}\right]} \in \mathcal{B}_{3}$.
- If $u_{3} \in S$ and $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\} \subseteq L$, then $B_{u_{1}-u_{2}-u_{3}}^{\left[v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}\right]} \in \mathcal{B}_{4}$.
- If $u_{2} \in S$ and $\left\{u_{1}, u_{3}\right\} \subseteq L$, then $B_{u_{1}-u_{2}-u_{3}}^{\left[v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}\right]} \in \mathcal{B}_{5} ;$
see Figure 1 for an example for each of the classes. Note that since $u_{1}<u_{3}$ it follows that if $u_{3} \in L$ then also $u_{1} \in L$. Thus indeed the classes $\mathcal{B}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_{5}$ split the set $\mathcal{B}$. It holds that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbb{P}[B]=\frac{1}{(n-\ell)(n-\ell-1)(n-\ell-2)} & \text { for any } B \in \mathcal{B}_{1}, \\
\mathbb{P}[B]=\frac{1}{(n-\ell)(n-\ell-1)} & \text { for any } B \in \mathcal{B}_{2} \cup \mathcal{B}_{3}, \text { and } \\
\mathbb{P}[B]=\frac{1}{(n-\ell)} & \text { for any } B \in \mathcal{B}_{4} \cup \mathcal{B}_{5} .
\end{array}
$$



Figure 1: The intersection types defining the classes $\mathcal{B}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_{5}$.
For every vertex $u \in S$ and two integers $i \in[5]$ and $j \in[3]$, let $t_{i}^{u_{j}}(u)$ be the number of events $B_{u_{1}-u_{2}-u_{3}}^{\left[v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}\right]} \in \mathcal{B}_{i}$ such that $u=u_{j}$. Note that for every $u \in S$, the values of $t_{2}^{u_{1}}(u), t_{3}^{u_{2}}(u), t_{4}^{u_{1}}(u), t_{4}^{u_{2}}(u), t_{5}^{u_{1}}(u)$ and $t_{5}^{u_{3}}(u)$ are equal to 0 . Analogously, for every vertex $v \in Q$ and integers $i \in[5]$ and $j \in[3]$, let $t_{i}^{v_{j}}(v)$ be the number of events $B_{u_{1}-u_{2}-u_{3}}^{\left[v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}\right]} \in \mathcal{B}_{i}$ such that $v=v_{j}$. In this case, $t_{2}^{v_{1}}(v)$,
$t_{3}^{v_{2}}(v), t_{4}^{v_{1}}(v), t_{4}^{v_{2}}(v), t_{5}^{v_{1}}(v)$ and $t_{5}^{v_{3}}(v)$ are all zero for every $v \in Q$. Finally, for every $i \in[5]$, we define

$$
t_{i}^{u}:=\max _{w \in S}\left(t_{i}^{u_{1}}(w)+t_{i}^{u_{2}}(w)+t_{i}^{u_{3}}(w)\right)
$$

and

$$
t_{i}^{v}:=\max _{w \in Q}\left(t_{i}^{v_{1}}(w)+t_{i}^{v_{2}}(w)+t_{i}^{v_{3}}(w)\right)
$$

For every $B=B_{u_{1}-u_{2}-u_{3}}^{\left[v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}\right]} \in \mathcal{B}$, the set $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right\}$ consists of at most 3 vertices of $S$. Analogously, $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right\}$ consists of at most 3 vertices of $Q$. Therefore,

$$
\sum_{\substack{B^{\prime} \in \mathcal{B}: \\ \mathcal{B} \text { and } B^{\prime} \\ \mathcal{S} \text { intersect }}} \mathbb{P}\left[B^{\prime}\right] \leq \sum_{i=1}^{5} \mathbb{P}\left[B_{i}^{\prime}\right] \cdot 3\left(t_{i}^{u}+t_{i}^{v}\right),
$$

where $B_{i}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{B}_{i}$ for $i \in\{1, \ldots, 5\}$.
In the following series of claims, we present a careful but most of the time easily followable calculations, which will lead to bounds on the values of $t_{i}^{u}$ and $t_{i}^{v}$ for $i \in\{1, \ldots, 5\}$. The bounds from the claims are summarized in seven corollaries, which we will then put together and conclude that the sum above is at most $1 / 4$.

Claim 1. For every $u \in S, t_{1}^{u_{1}}(u)+t_{1}^{u_{3}}(u) \leq \Delta_{S}\left(\Delta_{S}-1\right)(n-\ell)(n-\ell-1) k$.
Proof. Our aim is to upper bound the number of ways how to choose $u_{2}, u^{\prime}, v_{1}, v_{2}$ and $v_{3}$ so that $B_{u_{1}-u_{2}-u_{3}}^{\left[v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}\right]} \in \mathcal{B}_{1}$, where $u_{1}:=\min \left(u, u^{\prime}\right)$ and $u_{3}:=\max \left(u, u^{\prime}\right)$. Note that this quantity is exactly equal to $t_{1}^{u_{1}}(u)+t_{1}^{u_{3}}(u)$.

Firstly, there are at most $\Delta_{S}$ ways how to choose $u_{2} \in S$. Once the vertex $u_{2}$ is fixed, there are at most $\Delta_{S}-1$ ways how to choose the remaining vertex $u^{\prime} \in S$. Next, there are exactly $(n-\ell)(n-\ell-1)$ ways how to choose the vertices $v_{1} \in Q$ and $v_{2} \in Q$. Finally, since the color of the edge $v_{2} v_{3}$ should be the same as the color of $v_{1} v_{2}$, the vertex $v_{3}$ can be chosen in at most $k$ ways.

Claim 2. For every $u \in S, t_{1}^{u_{2}}(u) \leq \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{S}\left(\Delta_{S}-1\right)(n-\ell)(n-\ell-1) k$.
Proof. There are at most $\binom{\Delta_{S}}{2}$ options for choosing the pair $u_{1}$ and $u_{3}$ so that $u_{1} u_{2} \in E(G), u_{2} u_{3} \in E(G)$ and $u_{1}<u_{3}$. Next, there are at most $(n-\ell)(n-$ $\ell-1) k$ ways how to choose the vertices $v_{1}, v_{2}$ and $v_{3}$.

Since $\Delta_{S}\left(\Delta_{S}-1\right) \leq r^{3 / 4}$ and $k \leq \frac{n}{C r^{3 / 4}}$, we conclude the following.
Corollary 14. For every $B_{1} \in \mathcal{B}_{1}$,

$$
t_{1}^{u} \leq \frac{3 n}{2 C(n-\ell-2)} \cdot \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}\left[B_{1}\right]} \leq \frac{3}{2 C-4} \cdot \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}\left[B_{1}\right]}
$$

Note the last inequality follows from the estimates $\ell \leq 2(n / 2 C)^{1 / 3} \leq n / C$ and $2 \leq n / C$.

Claim 3. For every vertex $v \in Q$, $t_{1}^{v_{1}}(v)+t_{1}^{v_{2}}(v)+t_{1}^{v_{3}}(v) \leq 3(n-\ell-1) k r$.

Proof. We show that each $t_{1}^{v_{1}}(v), t_{1}^{v_{2}}(v)$ and $t_{1}^{v_{3}}(v)$ is at most $(n-\ell-1) k r$. If $v=v_{2}$, then there are $(n-\ell-1)$ ways how to choose $v_{1}$ and at most $k$ ways how to choose $v_{3}$. On the other hand, if $v \in\left\{v_{1}, v_{3}\right\}$, then there are $(n-\ell-1)$ ways how to choose $v_{2}$ and then at most $k$ ways how to choose the remaining vertex in $Q$. Finally, in all the cases there are at most $r$ choices for a cherry $u_{1}-u_{2}-u_{3}$.

Since $\ell \leq n / C$ and $3 r^{1 / 4} \leq(n-\ell-2)$, we have an analogue of Corollary 14 for bounding the value of $t_{1}^{v}$.

Corollary 15. For every $B_{1} \in \mathcal{B}_{1}$,

$$
t_{1}^{v} \leq 3(n-\ell-1) k r \leq \frac{3 n(n-\ell-1) r^{1 / 4}}{C} \leq \frac{1}{C-1} \cdot \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}\left[B_{1}\right]}
$$

Claim 4. For every $u \in S, t_{2}^{u_{2}}(u)+t_{2}^{u_{3}}(u) \leq 2 \ell \Delta_{S}(n-\ell) k$.
Proof. This time, we show that both the value of $t_{2}^{u_{2}}(u)$ and the value of $t_{2}^{u_{3}}(u)$ are at most $\ell \Delta_{S}(n-\ell) k$.

If $u=u_{2}$, then there are at most $\ell$ choices for the vertex $u_{1} \in L$ and at most $\left(\Delta_{S}-1\right)$ choices for the vertex $u_{3} \in S$. If $u=u_{3}$, then the vertex $u_{1} \in L$ can be chosen in at most $\ell$ ways and the vertex $u_{2}$ in at most $\Delta_{S}$ ways. Next, there are $(n-\ell)$ choices for the vertex $v_{2}$. Since the vertex $v_{1} \in P$ is determined by the choice of the map $f_{1}$, there are at most $k$ choices for the vertex $v_{3} \in Q$.

The inequality $\sqrt{2}$ implies that $\Delta_{S} \leq r^{3 / 8}+1$, which is at most $2 r^{3 / 8}$. Since $\ell=2 r^{1 / 4}$, we yield our next corollary.

Corollary 16. For every $B_{2} \in \mathcal{B}_{2}$,

$$
t_{2}^{u} \leq 8 r^{5 / 8}(n-\ell) k \leq \frac{8 n(n-\ell)}{C r^{1 / 8}} \leq \frac{8 n}{C(n-\ell-1)} \cdot \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}\left[B_{2}\right]} \leq \frac{8}{C-2} \cdot \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}\left[B_{2}\right]}
$$

Claim 5. For every $v \in Q$, $t_{2}^{v_{2}}(v) \leq \ell k \sqrt{2 \Delta_{G} r}$.
Proof. There at most $\ell$ choices for the vertex $v_{1} \in P$ and then at most $k$ choices for the vertex $v_{3} \in Q$. Since the vertex $u_{1} \in L$ is determined by $f_{1}$, Lemma 13 implies that the set of two vertices $\left\{u_{2}, u_{3}\right\} \subseteq S$ can be chosen in at most $\sqrt{2 \Delta_{G} r}$ ways.

Claim 6. For every $v \in Q, t_{2}^{v_{3}}(v) \leq(n-\ell-1) k \sqrt{2 \Delta_{G} r}$.
Proof. The vertex $v_{2} \in Q$ can be chosen in $(n-\ell-1)$ ways and the vertex $v_{1} \in P$ in at most $k$ ways. Then as in the previous claim, there are at most $\sqrt{2 \Delta_{G} r}$ choices for $\left\{u_{2}, u_{3}\right\} \subseteq S$.

The choice of the parameters yields that $\ell \leq(n-\ell-1)$ and $\sqrt{2 \Delta_{G} r} \leq 2 r^{3 / 4}$.
Corollary 17. for every $B_{2} \in \mathcal{B}_{2}$,

$$
t_{2}^{v} \leq 4(n-\ell-1) k r^{3 / 4} \leq \frac{4 n}{C(n-\ell)} \cdot \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}\left[B_{2}\right]} \leq \frac{4}{C-1} \cdot \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}\left[B_{2}\right]}
$$

Claim 7. For every $u \in S$, $t_{3}^{u_{1}}(u)+t_{3}^{u_{3}}(u) \leq \ell\left(\Delta_{G}-1\right)(n-\ell) k$.

Proof. First choose the vertex $u_{2} \in L$; there are at most $\ell$ choices for that. The remaining vertex in $G$, i.e., the vertex from $\left\{u_{1}, u_{3}\right\} \backslash\{u\}$, can be chosen in at most $\left(\Delta_{G}-1\right)$ ways. Next, the vertex $v_{2} \in P$ is given by $f_{1}\left(u_{2}\right)$. There are $(n-\ell)$ choices for $v_{1} \in Q$, and finally, at most $k$ choices for $v_{3} \in Q$.

Claim 8. For every $v \in Q, t_{3}^{v_{1}}(v)+t_{3}^{v_{3}}(v) \leq 4 \sqrt{r \ell} \cdot\left(\Delta_{G}-1\right) k$.
Proof. We show that both $t_{3}^{v_{1}}(v)$ and $t_{3}^{v_{3}}(v)$ are at most $2 \sqrt{r \ell} \cdot\left(\Delta_{G}-1\right) k$. Suppose $v=v_{1}$ (the case $v=v_{3}$ is symmetric). First observe since $r \geq 16$, it holds that $8 r^{1 / 4}=4 \ell \leq 2 \sqrt{r \ell}=\sqrt{8} \cdot r^{5 / 8}$. Therefore, Lemma 12 applies with $T:=L$ and yields that a pair of vertices $u_{1} \in S$ and $u_{2} \in L$ which is connected by an edge can be chosen in at most $2 \sqrt{r \ell}$ ways. After the vertices $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ are chosen, there are at most $\left(\Delta_{G}-1\right)$ choices for the vertex $u_{3} \in S$. Since $v_{2}=f_{1}\left(u_{2}\right)$, the vertex $v_{3} \in Q$ can be chosen in at most $k$ ways.

Since $\left(\Delta_{G}-1\right)^{2} \leq 2 r$, we conclude the following corollary.
Corollary 18. For every $B_{3} \in \mathcal{B}_{3}$,

$$
t_{3}^{u} \leq 2 \sqrt{2} \cdot r^{3 / 4}(n-\ell) k \leq \frac{3 n(n-\ell)}{C} \leq \frac{3}{C-2} \cdot \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}\left[B_{3}\right]}
$$

and

$$
t_{3}^{v} \leq 8 r^{9 / 8} \cdot k=\frac{8 n r^{3 / 8}}{C} \leq \frac{1}{C-1} \cdot \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}\left[B_{3}\right]}
$$

Note the last inequality holds since $8 r^{3 / 8} \leq(n-\ell-1)$.
Claim 9. For every $u \in S, t_{4}^{u_{3}}(u) \leq \ell(\ell-1) k$.
Proof. There are at most $\ell$ choices for the vertex $u_{2} \in L$ and at most $(\ell-1)$ choices for the vertex $u_{1} \in L$. Since the vertices $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\} \subseteq P$ are determined by $f_{1}$, there are at most $k$ choices for the vertex $v_{3} \in Q$.

Claim 10. For every $v \in Q, t_{4}^{v_{3}}(v) \leq 2 \sqrt{r \ell} \cdot k$.
Proof. By Lemma 12 applied with $T:=L$, there are at most $2 \sqrt{r \ell}$ choices for the edge $u_{2} u_{3}$ so that $u_{2} \in L$ and $u_{3} \in S$. By definition, $v_{2}=f_{1}\left(u_{2}\right)$, hence the vertex $v_{1} \in P$ can be chosen in at most $k$ ways. Since $f_{1}$ is a bijection, the choice of $v_{1}$ uniquely determines the vertex $u_{1}$.

This time, we conclude the following.
Corollary 19. For every $B_{4} \in \mathcal{B}_{4}$,

$$
t_{4}^{u} \leq 4 \sqrt{r} \cdot k \leq \frac{4 n}{C r^{1 / 4}(n-\ell)} \cdot \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}\left[B_{4}\right]} \leq \frac{4}{C-1} \cdot \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}\left[B_{4}\right]}
$$

and

$$
t_{4}^{v} \leq 2 \sqrt{2} \cdot r^{5 / 8} \cdot k \leq \frac{3 n}{C r^{1 / 8}} \leq \frac{3 n}{C(n-\ell)} \cdot \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}\left[B_{4}\right]} \leq \frac{3}{C-1} \cdot \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}\left[B_{4}\right]}
$$

Claim 11. For every $u \in S, t_{5}^{u_{2}}(u) \leq 2.5 \ell(\ell-1) \cdot k r^{1 / 4}$.

Proof. There are at most $\binom{\ell}{2}$ ways how to choose the set $\left\{u_{1}, u_{3}\right\} \subseteq L$. This also determines the vertices $v_{1}=f_{1}\left(u_{1}\right)$ and $v_{3}=f_{1}\left(u_{3}\right)$. By the choice of the set $P$, there are at most $5 k r^{1 / 4}$ possibilities for the vertex $v_{2} \in Q$.

Claim 12. For every $v \in Q, t_{5}^{v_{2}}(v) \leq 2 \sqrt{r \ell} \cdot k$.
Proof. First, Lemma 12 yields that there are at most $2 \sqrt{r \ell}$ choices for the edge $u_{1} u_{2}$ with $u_{1} \in L$ and $u_{2} \in S$. This also determines the vertex $v_{1}=f_{1}\left(u_{1}\right)$. Finally, the vertex $v_{3} \in P$ can be then chosen in at most $k$ ways, which uniquely determines the vertex $u_{3} \in L$.

Our final corollary is the following.
Corollary 20. For every $B_{5} \in \mathcal{B}_{5}$,

$$
t_{5}^{u} \leq 10 r^{3 / 4} k \leq \frac{10 n}{C(n-\ell)} \cdot \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}\left[B_{5}\right]} \leq \frac{10}{C-1} \cdot \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}\left[B_{5}\right]}
$$

and

$$
t_{5}^{v} \leq 2 \sqrt{2} \cdot r^{5 / 8} \cdot k \leq \frac{3 n}{C r^{1 / 8}} \leq \frac{3}{C-1} \cdot \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}\left[B_{5}\right]}
$$

Corollaries 1420 imply that

$$
\sum_{\substack{B^{\prime} \in \mathcal{B}: \\ \text { B-and } B^{\prime} \\ \mathcal{S} \text {-intersect }}} \mathbb{P}\left[B^{\prime}\right] \leq 3 \cdot \frac{25}{2 C-4}+3 \cdot \frac{26}{C-1} \quad \text { for every } B \in \mathcal{B} .
$$

If $C=560$, then the sum above is equal to $\frac{3307}{15996}<1 / 4$. Therefore, all the conditions in (3) are satisfied and the proof is now finished.

We continue our exposition with a proof of Theorem 7, which seeks rainbow copies of graphs $G$ with few cherries in globally bounded colorings $c$ of $K_{n}$. This time, our task is to find such a copy of $G$ in $c$ that does contain neither a monochromatic cherry, nor a monochromatic pair of disjoint edges. Since a globally $k$-bounded coloring is also locally $k$-bounded, it is enough to modify the proof of Theorem 2 by adding to the set of bad events those that take care of all the monochromatic pairs of disjoint edges. As it turned out, this changes the upper bound on $k$ only by a constant factor.

Proof of Theorem 7. Most of the proof goes along the same lines as the proof of Theorem 22 Let $C:=1512$ and $k:=\frac{n}{C r^{3 / 4}}$. Again, if $n<2 C$ or $r>(n / 2 C)^{4 / 3}$, the statement of the theorem is trivial. We may assume $r \geq 16$ since for $r \leq 15$ the statement follows from Theorem 5 (note that $n \geq 100, C=42 \cdot 6^{2}$, and if $r \leq 15$, then the maximum degree of $G$ is at most 6). Furthermore, let $V(G)=V\left(K_{n}\right)=[n]$, and assume the vertices of $V(G)$ are in descending order according to their degrees. Lemma 12 and the fact that $r \leq n^{4 / 3}$ imply that $e(G) \leq n r^{1 / 8}$.

As in the proof of Theorem 2, let $\Delta_{G}$ be the maximum degree of $G$. It follows that $\Delta_{G} \leq 2 \sqrt{r}$. Let $P \subseteq V\left(K_{n}\right)$ be the rainbow complete subgraph of $K_{n}$ of size $\ell:=2 r^{1 / 4}$ given by Lemma 11 for $c, r$ and $k$. We define $Q:=V\left(K_{n}\right) \backslash P$. On the other hand, let $L$ be the set of the first $\ell$ vertices of $G, S:=V(G) \backslash L$ and $\Delta_{S}:=\max _{u \in S} \operatorname{deg}_{G}(u)$. It holds that $\Delta_{S} \leq 2 r^{3 / 8}$.

The way how we find a rainbow copy of $G$ in a globally $k$-bounded coloring is analogous to the way we have found a properly colored copy of $G$ in a locally $k$ bounded coloring. First, let $f_{1}: L \rightarrow P$ be an arbitrary bijection and $f_{2}: S \rightarrow Q$ be a bijection chosen uniformly at random. Next, let $f:=f_{1} \cup f_{2}$ and let $f(G)$ denote the copy of $G$ in $K_{n}$ given by $f$. Our aim is to show that Theorem 10 and Lemma 9 yield that with a non-zero probability $f(G)$ is rainbow.

Recall from the proof of Theorem 2 that $u_{1}-u_{2}-u_{3}$ denotes a cherry in $G$ with middle vertex $u_{2}$ and endpoints $u_{1}, u_{3}$ such that $u_{1}<u_{3}$, and $\mathcal{R}(G)$ is the set of all such cherries in $G$. Also recall that for $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3} \in V\left(K_{n}\right)$, the triple $\left[v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}\right]$ is $c$-monochromatic if $c\left(v_{1} v_{2}\right)=c\left(v_{2} v_{3}\right)$ and $\mathcal{C}(c)$ is the set of all $c$-monochromatic triples.

In order to show that $f(G)$ is not only properly colored but rainbow, apart from controlling the cherries we also need to guarantee there are no two disjoint edges of the same color. This motivates the following definitions. We write $\left(u_{1} u_{2}\right)\left(u_{3} u_{4}\right)$ to denote two disjoint edges $u_{1} u_{2} \in E(G)$ and $u_{3} u_{4} \in E(G)$ such that $u_{1}<u_{2}, u_{3}<u_{4}$ and $u_{1}<u_{3}$. Let $\mathcal{R}^{\prime}(G)$ be the set of all such pairs of disjoint edges $\left(u_{1} u_{2}\right)\left(u_{3} u_{4}\right)$ in $G$. Analogously, for every $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4} \in V\left(K_{n}\right)$, the quadruple $\left[v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4}\right]$ is $c$-monochromatic if $c\left(v_{1} v_{2}\right)=c\left(v_{3} v_{4}\right)$, and we denote the set of all $c$-monochromatic quadruples by $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}(G)$.

This time, our aim is to show that with positive probability the bijection $f$ is such that for every cherry $u_{1}-u_{2}-u_{3} \in \mathcal{R}(G)$ it holds that $\left[f\left(u_{1}\right) f\left(u_{2}\right) f\left(u_{3}\right)\right] \notin$ $\mathcal{C}(c)$, and for every $\left(u_{1} u_{2}\right)\left(u_{3} u_{4}\right) \in \mathcal{R}^{\prime}(G)$ it holds that $\left[f\left(u_{1}\right) f\left(u_{2}\right) f\left(u_{3}\right) f\left(u_{4}\right)\right] \notin$ $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}(c)$. The choice of $f_{1}$ implies that we need to check only the cherries $u_{1}-u_{2}-u_{3}$ and the disjoint pairs of edges $\left(u_{1} u_{2}\right)\left(u_{3} u_{4}\right)$ that satisfy $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right\} \cap S \neq \emptyset$ and $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}\right\} \cap S \neq \emptyset$, respectively.

As in the proof of Theorem 2 for $u_{1}-u_{2}-u_{3} \in \mathcal{R}(G)$ and $\left[v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}\right] \in \mathcal{C}(c)$, we denote the event $\bigwedge_{i \in[3]}\left[f\left(u_{i}\right)=v_{i}\right]$ by $B_{u_{1}-u_{2}-u_{3}}^{\left[v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}\right]}$. We define $\mathcal{B}$ to be the set of all events $B_{u_{1}-u_{2}-u_{3}}^{\left[v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}\right]}$ such that

- $u_{1}-u_{2}-u_{3} \in \mathcal{R}(G)$ and $\left[v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}\right] \in \mathcal{C}(c)$,
- $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right\} \cap S \neq \emptyset$,
- $\forall i \in\{1,2,3\}: u_{i} \in S \Longleftrightarrow v_{i} \in Q$, and
- $\forall i \in\{1,2,3\}: u_{i} \in L \Longrightarrow f_{1}\left(u_{i}\right)=v_{i}$.

Similarly, for $\left(u_{1} u_{2}\right)\left(u_{3} u_{4}\right) \in \mathcal{R}^{\prime}(G)$ and $\left[v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4}\right] \in \mathcal{C}^{\prime}(c)$, let $B_{\left(u_{1} u_{2}\right)\left(u_{3} u_{4}\right)}^{\left[v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4}\right]}$ be the event $\bigwedge_{i \in[4]}\left[f\left(u_{i}\right)=v_{i}\right]$. Finally, let $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}$ be the set of all events $B_{\left(u_{1} u_{2}\right)\left(u_{3} u_{4}\right)}^{\left[v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4}\right]}$ such that

- $\left(u_{1} u_{2}\right)\left(u_{3} u_{4}\right) \in \mathcal{R}^{\prime}(G)$ and $\left[v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4}\right] \in \mathcal{C}^{\prime}(c)$,
- $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}\right\} \cap S \neq \emptyset$,
- $\forall i \in\{1,2,3,4\}: u_{i} \in S \Longleftrightarrow v_{i} \in Q$, and
- $\forall i \in\{1,2,3,4\}: u_{i} \in L \Longrightarrow f_{1}\left(u_{i}\right)=v_{i}$.

Since the globally $k$-bounded coloring $c$ is indeed also locally $k$-bounded, Claims 1.12 from the proof of Theorem 2 apply again. In order to upper
bound the number of events $B^{\prime} \in \mathcal{B}$ that intersect a given event $B_{u_{1}-u_{2}-u_{3}}^{\left[v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}\right]} \in \mathcal{B}$ or $B_{\left(u_{4} u_{5}\right)\left(u_{6} u_{7}\right)}^{\left[v_{4} v_{5} v_{6} v_{7}\right]} \in \mathcal{B}^{\prime}$, it is enough to apply these claims for vertices $u \in$ $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right\} \cap S$ and $v \in\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right\} \cap Q$, or $u \in\left\{u_{4}, u_{5}, u_{6}, u_{7}\right\} \cap S$ and $v \in$ $\left\{v_{4}, v_{5}, v_{6}, v_{7}\right\} \cap Q$, respectively. In all the possible cases, there are at most 4 choices for such a vertex. Therefore, Corollaries 1420 yield that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{B^{\prime} \in \mathcal{B}: \\ \mathcal{S} \text { and } B^{\prime} \\ \mathcal{S} \text {-intersect }}} \mathbb{P}\left[B^{\prime}\right] \leq 4 \cdot \frac{25}{2 C-4}+4 \cdot \frac{26}{C-1} \quad \text { for every } B \in \mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{B}^{\prime} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It remains to analyze how many events from $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}$ a fixed event $B \in \mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{B}^{\prime}$ can $\mathcal{S}$-intersect. We start with splitting the events $B_{u_{1}-u_{2}, u_{3}-u_{4}}^{\left[v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4}\right]} \in \mathcal{B}^{\prime}$ into five classes $\mathcal{B}_{6}, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_{10}$ based on how their sets $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}\right\}$ intersect the set $S$ :

- If $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}\right\} \subseteq S$, then $B_{u_{1}-u_{2}, u_{3}-u_{4}}^{\left[v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} u_{4}\right]} \in \mathcal{B}_{6}$.
- If $\left\{u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}\right\} \subseteq S$ and $u_{1} \in L$, then $B_{u_{1}-u_{2}, u_{3}-u_{4}}^{\left[v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4}\right]} \in \mathcal{B}_{7}$.
- If $\left\{u_{3}, u_{4}\right\} \subseteq S$ and $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\} \subseteq L$, then $B_{u_{1}-u_{2}, u_{3}-u_{4}}^{\left[v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4}\right]} \in \mathcal{B}_{8}$.
- If $\left\{u_{2}, u_{4}\right\} \subseteq S$ and $\left\{u_{1}, u_{3}\right\} \subseteq L$, then $B_{u_{1}-u_{2}, u_{3}-u_{4}}^{\left[v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4}\right]} \in \mathcal{B}_{9}$.
- If $\left|\left\{u_{2}, u_{4}\right\} \cap S\right|=1$ and $\left\{u_{1}, u_{3}\right\} \subseteq L$, then $B_{u_{1}-u_{2}, u_{3}-u_{4}}^{\left[v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4}\right]} \in \mathcal{B}_{10}$;
see also Figure 2, The fact that the classes $\mathcal{B}_{6}, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_{10}$ split the whole set $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}$ follows because if $u_{i} \in L$ for some $i \in[4]$, then $u_{1} \in L$, and also if $u_{4} \in L$, then $u_{3} \in L$. It holds that
$\mathbb{P}[B]=\frac{1}{(n-\ell)(n-\ell-1)(n-\ell-2)(n-\ell-3)} \quad$ for any $B \in \mathcal{B}_{6}$,
$\mathbb{P}[B]=\frac{1}{(n-\ell)(n-\ell-1)(n-\ell-2)} \quad$ for any $B \in \mathcal{B}_{7}$,
$\mathbb{P}[B]=\frac{1}{(n-\ell)(n-\ell-1)} \quad$ for any $B \in \mathcal{B}_{8} \cup \mathcal{B}_{9}$, and
$\mathbb{P}[B]=\frac{1}{(n-\ell)} \quad$ for any $B \in \mathcal{B}_{10}$.
For every vertex $u \in S$ and two integers $i \in\{6, \ldots, 10\}$ and $j \in[4]$, let $t_{i}^{u_{j}}(u)$ be the number of events $B_{\left(u_{1} u_{2}\right)\left(u_{3} u_{4}\right)}^{\left[v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4}\right]} \in \mathcal{B}_{i}$ such that $u=u_{j}$. It immediately follows that all $t_{7}^{u_{1}}(u), t_{8}^{u_{1}}(u), t_{8}^{u_{2}}(u), t_{9}^{u_{1}}(u), t_{9}^{u_{3}}(u), t_{10}^{u_{1}}(u)$ and $t_{10}^{u_{3}}(u)$ are zero for every vertex $u \in S$. Similarly, for every vertex $v \in Q$ and integers $i \in$ $\{6, \ldots, 10\}$ and $j \in[4]$, let $t_{i}^{v_{j}}(v)$ be the number of events $B_{\left(u_{1} u_{2}\right)\left(u_{3} u_{4}\right)}^{\left[v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4}\right]} \in \mathcal{B}_{i}$ such that $v=v_{j}$. Analogously to the previous case, the values of $t_{7}^{v_{1}}(v), t_{8}^{v_{1}}(v)$, $t_{8}^{v_{2}}(v), t_{9}^{v_{1}}(v), t_{9}^{v_{3}}(v), t_{10}^{v_{1}}(u)$ and $t_{10}^{v_{3}}(v)$ are equal to 0 for all $v \in Q$. Therefore, for every $B \in \mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{B}^{\prime}$ it holds that

$$
\sum_{\substack{B^{\prime} \in \mathcal{B}^{\prime}: \\ \text { B. } B \\ \mathcal{S} \text {-intersect }}} \mathbb{P}\left[B^{\prime}\right] \leq \sum_{i=6}^{10} \mathbb{P}\left[B_{i}^{\prime}\right] \cdot 4\left(t_{i}^{u}+t_{i}^{v}\right),
$$



Figure 2: The event classes $\mathcal{B}_{6}, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_{10}$.
where $B_{i}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{B}_{i}$ for $i \in\{6, \ldots, 10\}$.
In order to finish the proof, we perform similar calculations as we did in the proof of Theorem 2 in order to give upper bounds on $t_{i}^{u_{j}}$ and $t_{i}^{v_{j}}$, where $i \in\{6, \ldots, 10\}$ and $j \in[4]$.

Claim 13. For every $u \in S, \sum_{j \in[4]} t_{6}^{u_{j}}(u) \leq 2 e(G) \cdot \Delta_{S}(n-\ell)(n-\ell-1) \cdot k$.
Proof. A neighbor $u^{\prime} \in S$ of $u$ can be chosen in at most $\Delta_{S}$ ways, and then there are at most $e(G)$ choices for the edge $u^{\prime \prime} u^{\prime \prime \prime}$ disjoint from $u u^{\prime}$. Note that the relative order between $u, u^{\prime}, u^{\prime \prime}$ and $u^{\prime \prime \prime}$ uniquely determines how these vertices correspond to $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}$ and $u_{4}$. Next, the vertices $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ can be chosen in $(n-\ell)(n-\ell-1)$ ways. Finally, there are at most $k$ edges $v^{\prime} v^{\prime \prime}$ with color $c\left(v_{1} v_{2}\right)$ and then we only need to decide whether $v_{3}=v^{\prime}$ and $v_{4}=v^{\prime \prime}$, or the other way around.

Claim 14. For every $v \in Q, \sum_{j \in[4]} t_{6}^{v_{j}}(v) \leq 4 e(G)^{2}(n-\ell-1) k$.
Proof. This time we show that $t_{6}^{v_{j}}(v)$ is at most $e(G)^{2}(n-\ell-1) k$ for every $j \in[4]$. Without loss of generality, $v=v_{1}$. There are $(n-\ell-1)$ choices for $v_{2}$ and then, as in the previous claim, at most $2 k$ choices for $v_{3}$ and $v_{4}$. On the other hand, the total number of choices for the vertices $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}$ and $u_{4}$ is at $\operatorname{most}\binom{e(G)}{2}$.

The estimates $e(G) \leq n r^{1 / 8}$ and $\Delta_{S} \leq 2 r^{3 / 8}$ yields the following.
Corollary 21. For every $B_{6} \in \mathcal{B}_{6}$,

$$
t_{6}^{u} \leq 4 n r^{1 / 2} \cdot(n-\ell)(n-\ell-1) k \leq \frac{4 n^{2}(n-\ell)(n-\ell-1)}{C r^{1 / 4}} \leq \frac{4}{C-5} \cdot \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}\left[B_{6}\right]}
$$

and

$$
t_{6}^{v} \leq 4 n^{2} r^{1 / 4} \cdot(n-\ell-1) k \leq \frac{4 n^{3}(n-\ell-1)}{C r^{1 / 2}} \leq \frac{4}{C-6} \cdot \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}\left[B_{6}\right]}
$$

Claim 15. For every $u \in S, t_{7}^{u_{2}}(u) \leq 2 e(G) \cdot \ell(n-\ell) k$.

Proof. The vertex $u_{1} \in L$ can be chosen in at most $\ell$ ways, the vertices $u_{3}$ and $u_{4}$ in at most $e(G)$ ways, and the vertex $v_{2} \in Q$ in $(n-\ell)$ ways. Since the vertex $v_{1}=f\left(u_{1}\right)$, there are at most $2 k$ choices for the vertices $v_{3}$ and $v_{4}$.

Claim 16. For every $u \in S, t_{7}^{u_{3}}(u)+t_{7}^{u_{4}}(u) \leq 4 \Delta_{S} \sqrt{r \ell} \cdot(n-\ell) k$.
Proof. There are at most $\Delta_{S}$ choices for the vertex $u^{\prime} \in\left\{u_{3}, u_{4}\right\} \backslash\{u\}$ and, by Lemma 12, at most $2 \sqrt{r \ell}$ choices for $u_{1} \in L$ and $u_{2} \in S$. The total number of choices for $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}$ and $v_{4}$ is at most $2 k(n-\ell)$.

Since $8 \sqrt{2} \cdot r \leq n r^{3 / 8}$, we conclude the following.
Corollary 22. For every $B_{7} \in \mathcal{B}_{7}$,

$$
t_{7}^{u} \leq(n-\ell) k \cdot\left(4 n r^{3 / 8}+8 \sqrt{2} \cdot r\right) \leq \frac{5 n^{2}(n-\ell)}{C r^{3 / 8}} \leq \frac{5}{C-4} \cdot \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}\left[B_{7}\right]}
$$

Claim 17. For every $v \in Q, t_{7}^{v_{2}}(v) \leq 4 \sqrt{r \ell} \cdot e(G) k$.
Proof. There are at most $2 \sqrt{r \ell}$ choices for the vertices $u_{1} \in L$ and $u_{2} \in S$. This determines the vertex $v_{1} \in P$ and hence the vertices $v_{3}$ and $v_{4}$ can be chosen in at most $2 k$ ways. Finally, the remaining vertices $u_{3}$ and $u_{4}$ are determined by choosing an edge of $G$ that has both endpoints in $S$.

Claim 18. For every $v \in Q, t_{7}^{v_{3}}(v)+t_{7}^{v_{4}}(v) \leq 2 e(G) \Delta_{G}(n-\ell-1) k$.
Proof. By symmetry, it is enough to show that $t_{7}^{v_{3}}(v) \leq e(G) \Delta_{G}(n-\ell-1) k$. There are $(n-\ell-1)$ choices for the vertex $v_{4} \in Q$, then at most $k$ choices for $v_{1} \in P$ and $v_{2} \in Q$, and since $u_{1}=f_{1}^{-1}\left(v_{1}\right)$, at most $\Delta_{G}$ choices for $u_{2}$. As in the previous claims, the vertices $u_{3}$ and $u_{4}$ can be chosen in at most $e(G)$ ways.

Recall that $\Delta_{G} \leq 2 \sqrt{r}$. The counterpart of Corollary 22 is the following.
Corollary 23. For every $B_{7} \in \mathcal{B}_{7}$,

$$
t_{7}^{v} \leq 4 \sqrt{2} \cdot n r^{3 / 4} k+4 n r^{5 / 8}(n-\ell-1) k \leq \frac{5 n^{2}(n-\ell-1)}{C} \leq \frac{5}{C-3} \cdot \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}\left[B_{7}\right]}
$$

Claim 19. For every $u \in S, t_{8}^{u_{3}}(u)+t_{8}^{u_{4}}(u) \leq \Delta_{S} \ell^{2} \cdot k$.
Proof. We first choose the vertices $u_{1} \in L$ and $u_{2} \in L$ such that $u_{1}<u_{2}$. This can be done in at most $\binom{\ell}{2}$ ways, and it determines the vertices $v_{1} \in P$ and $v_{2} \in P$. After that, there are at most $2 k$ choices for the vertices $v_{3} \in Q$ and $v_{4} \in Q$. The only remaining vertex we need to choose is a neighbor of $u$, and there are at most $\Delta_{S}$ ways to do that.
Claim 20. For every $v \in Q, t_{8}^{v_{3}}(v)+t_{8}^{v_{4}}(v) \leq e(G) \ell^{2} \cdot k$.
Proof. Analogously to the proofs of Claims 14 and 18 , it is enough to show that $t_{8}^{v_{3}}(v) \leq e(G)\binom{\ell}{2} k$. We can choose the vertices $u_{1} \in L$ and $u_{2} \in L$ such that $u_{1}<u_{2}$ in at most $\binom{\ell}{2}$ ways, then there at most $k$ choices for the vertex $v_{4} \in Q$, and finally at most $e(G)$ choices for the vertices $u_{3}$ and $u_{4}$.

Claims 19 and 20 yields our next corollary.

Corollary 24. For every $B_{8} \in \mathcal{B}_{8}$,

$$
t_{8}^{u} \leq 8 r^{7 / 8} \cdot k \leq \frac{8 n r^{1 / 8}}{C} \leq \frac{1}{C-1} \cdot \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}\left[B_{8}\right]}
$$

and

$$
t_{8}^{v} \leq 4 n r^{5 / 8} \cdot k \leq \frac{4 n^{2}}{C} \leq \frac{4}{C-3} \cdot \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}\left[B_{8}\right]}
$$

Claim 21. For every $u \in S$, $t_{9}^{u_{2}}(u)+t_{9}^{u_{4}}(u) \leq 2 \sqrt{r \ell} \cdot(n-\ell) k$.
Proof. We start by choosing an adjacent pair of vertices $u^{\prime \prime} \in S$ and $u^{\prime \prime \prime} \in L$. Lemma 12 implies this can be done in at most $2 \sqrt{r \ell}$ ways. Then, in $(n-\ell)$ ways, we choose the vertex $v^{\prime \prime} \in Q$ which will be the image of $u^{\prime \prime}$. The vertices $v \in Q$ and $v^{\prime} \in P$ can be then chosen in at most $k$ ways, which also uniquely determines the vertex $u^{\prime} \in L$. The relative order of $u^{\prime}$ and $u^{\prime \prime \prime}$ determines the correspondence between $u, u^{\prime}, u^{\prime \prime}, u^{\prime \prime \prime}$ and $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}$, which gives also the correspondence between $v, v^{\prime}, v^{\prime \prime}, v^{\prime \prime \prime}$ and $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}$.
Claim 22. For every $v \in Q$, $t_{9}^{v_{2}}(v)+t_{9}^{v_{4}}(v) \leq 2\left(\Delta_{G}\right)^{2} \ell k$.
Proof. As usual, it is enough to show that $t_{9}^{v_{2}}(v) \leq\left(\Delta_{G}\right)^{2} \ell k$. There are at most $\ell$ choices for the vertex $v_{1} \in P$ and after that at most $k$ choices for $v_{3} \in P$ and $v_{4} \in Q$. Since the vertices $u_{2} \in S$ and $u_{4} \in S$ are neighbors of $u_{1}=f_{1}^{-1}\left(v_{1}\right)$ and $u_{3}=f_{1}^{-1}\left(v_{3}\right)$, respectively, each of them can be chosen in at most $\Delta_{G}$ ways.

We use the estimate $16 \sqrt{r} \leq n-\ell-1$ to obtain the following corollary.
Corollary 25. For every $B_{9} \in \mathcal{B}_{9}$,

$$
t_{9}^{u} \leq 2 \sqrt{2} \cdot r^{5 / 8}(n-\ell) k \leq \frac{3 n(n-\ell)}{C} \leq \frac{3}{C-2} \cdot \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}\left[B_{9}\right]}
$$

and

$$
t_{9}^{v} \leq 16 \cdot r^{5 / 4} k \leq \frac{16 \sqrt{r} \cdot n}{C} \leq \frac{1}{C-1} \cdot \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}\left[B_{9}\right]}
$$

Claim 23. For every $u \in S, t_{10}^{u_{2}}(u)+t_{10}^{u_{4}}(u) \leq \ell^{2} k$.
Proof. By symmetry, it is enough to show that $t_{10}^{u_{2}}(u) \leq \frac{1}{2} \ell^{2} k$. Indeed, we choose the vertices $u_{3} \in L$ and $u_{4} \in L$ in $\binom{\ell}{2}$ ways, and after that there are at most $k$ choices for the vertices $v_{1} \in P$ and $v_{2} \in Q$.

Claim 24. For every $v \in Q, t_{10}^{v_{2}}(v)+t_{10}^{v_{4}}(v) \leq 2 \ell \Delta_{G} k$.
Proof. Analogously to the previous claim, we only show that $t_{10}^{v_{2}}(v)$ is at most $\ell \Delta_{G} k$. A symmetric reasoning then yields the same upper bound also holds for $t_{10}^{v_{4}}(v)$.

There are at most $\ell$ choices for the vertex $v_{1} \in P$, then at most $k$ choices for the vertices $v_{3}$ and $v_{4}$ (note that the ordering of $u_{3}<u_{4}$ defines an ordering of $v_{3}$ and $v_{4}$ ). Finally, at most $\Delta_{G}$ choices for the neighbor of $u_{1} \in L$, i.e., the vertex $u_{2} \in S$.

Here comes the last corollary.

Corollary 26. For every $B_{10} \in \mathcal{B}_{10}$,

$$
t_{10}^{u} \leq 4 \sqrt{r} \cdot k \leq \frac{4 n}{C} \leq \frac{4}{C-1} \cdot \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}\left[B_{10}\right]}
$$

and

$$
t_{10}^{v} \leq 8 r^{3 / 4} k \leq \frac{8 n}{C} \leq \frac{8}{C-1} \cdot \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}\left[B_{10}\right]}
$$

Corollaries 2126 imply that for every $B \in \mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{B}^{\prime}$, it holds that

$$
\sum_{\substack{B^{\prime} \in \mathcal{B}^{\prime}: \\ B^{\prime}: \\ \mathcal{S}^{\text {intersect }}}} \mathbb{P}\left[B^{\prime}\right] \leq \frac{4 \cdot 14}{C-1}+\frac{4 \cdot 3}{C-2}+\frac{4 \cdot 9}{C-3}+\frac{4 \cdot 5}{C-4}+\frac{4 \cdot 4}{C-5}+\frac{4 \cdot 4}{C-6}
$$

The last upper bound together with (4) and our choice of the constant $C$ imply that $f(G)$ is rainbow with a non-zero probability.

## 4 Lower bounds

In this section, we present three constructions of bounded colorings $c$ and graphs $G$ with either small number of cherries or small maximum degree, which provides the matching lower bounds for Theorems 2, 3, 5and 7. We start with constructing an edge-coloring of $K_{n}$ that does not contain properly colored spanning trees of radius two.

Lemma 27. For every integer n, there exists a locally 3-bounded edge-coloring $c$ of $K_{3 n}$ such that $c$ contains no properly edge-colored spanning tree of radius two. Moreover, the coloring c is globally 9-bounded.
Proof. Split arbitrarily the vertex-set $V\left(K_{n}\right)$ into $n$ disjoint parts $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}$, each of size 3. The coloring $c$ uses a palette of colors $[n] \cup\binom{[n]}{2}$ and two vertices $x \in P_{i}$ and $y \in P_{j}$, where $i \in[n]$ and $j \in[n]$, are colored with the color $\{i, j\}$. Note that if $i=j$, the edge $x y$ has color $\{i\}$. It follows that the coloring $c$ is locally 3 -bounded and globally 9 -bounded.

Fix a tree $T$ of radius two and let $u$ be a central vertex of $T$, i.e., a vertex that has distance at most 2 from every $u^{\prime} \in V(T)$. Suppose for contradiction that $c$ is $T$-proper. Fix a properly colored copy of $T$ and let $v \in V\left(K_{n}\right)$ be the vertex corresponding to $u$. Without loss of generality, $v \in P_{1}$. Let $v_{2} \in P_{1}$ and $v_{3} \in P_{1}$ be the other two vertices from the part $P_{1}$, and $u_{2} \in V(T)$ and $u_{3} \in V(T)$ their corresponding vertices in $T$. Since $T$ is properly colored, at least one of $u_{2}$ and $u_{3}$ is at distance two from $u$. Without loss of generality, $u$ and $u_{2}$ have distance two in $T$, and let $u_{4}$ be their (unique) common neighbor. But then $c\left(v v_{4}\right)=c\left(v_{2} v_{4}\right)$, where $v_{4} \in V\left(K_{n}\right)$ is the corresponding vertex to $u_{4}$, a contradiction.

For an integer $m$, let $T_{m}$ be a tree of radius two with exactly one vertex of degree $m^{2 / 3}$ that has all the neighbors of degree $m^{1 / 3}+1$ and they have all their other neighbors of degree one. Note that $T_{m}$ has $n:=m+m^{2 / 3}+1$ vertices and contains $\binom{m^{2 / 3}}{2}+m^{2 / 3} \cdot m^{1 / 3}+m^{2 / 3} \cdot\binom{m^{1 / 3}}{2}=m^{4 / 3}+\left(m-m^{2 / 3}\right) / 2=\Theta\left(n^{4 / 3}\right)$ cherries. Applying the previous lemma to $T_{m}$, we conclude that the upper bounds on $k$ in Theorems 2 and 7 are, up to a constant factor, best possible even when we restrict the graphs $G$ only to be trees.

Corollary 28. For every integer $n$, there exist an n-vertex tree $T$ with $\Theta\left(n^{4 / 3}\right)$ cherries and a locally 3-bounded coloring $c$ of $K_{n}$ such that $c$ is not $T$-proper. Moreover, the coloring $c$ is globally 9-bounded.

Next, consider a tree $T_{m}^{\prime}$ of radius two with one vertex of degree $\sqrt{m}$, all its neighbors of degree $\sqrt{m}$ and all their other neighbors of degree one. It follows that $T_{m}^{\prime}$ has $m+1$ vertices and maximum degree $\sqrt{m}$. Lemma 27 implies that both Theorems 3 and 5 are tight in the regime $\Delta(G)=\Theta(\sqrt{n})$.

Now we present a similar type of coloring to the one from Lemma 27 which will not contain any properly colored graph of diameter two. We will then use it to show that Theorem 3 is in fact tight, again up to a constant factor, for all values of $n$ and $\Delta$. Even more, in this case we do not need $G$ to be spanning. In fact $G$ can be of a fixed order completely independent on $n$ (more precisely, our graphs $G$ will be only of order $\Theta\left(\Delta^{2}\right)$ ). Let us start with the following auxiliary lemma.

Lemma 29. For a fixed integer $\ell \geq 3$, there exists a locally (3n/ $\ell$ )-bounded edge-coloring of $K_{n}$ such that $c$ contains no properly colored $\ell$-vertex graph of diameter two.

Proof. Split the vertex-set $V\left(K_{n}\right)$ into $n$ parts $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{\ell / 3}$, each of size $3 n / \ell$. Analogously to the proof of Lemma 27, the coloring $c$ uses a palette of colors $[\ell / 3] \cup\binom{[\ell / 3]}{2}$ and two vertices $x \in P_{i}$ and $y \in P_{j}$ are colored with the color $\{i, j\}$. It holds that $c$ is locally $(3 n / \ell)$-bounded.

Now let $G$ be an $\ell$-vertex graph of diameter two, and suppose $c$ contains a properly colored copy of $G$. By the pigeonhole principle, at least one of the parts $P_{i} \subseteq V\left(K_{n}\right)$ contains at least three vertices of $G$. Let $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3} \in V(G)$ be those vertices. If there is a pair of vertices from $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right\}$ that does not span an edge in $G$, then there is no part $P_{j}$ for its common neighbor so that we avoid having a monochromatic path on three vertices in $c$. But that means $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right\}$ must be a triangle in $G$. Since $c\left(v_{1} v_{2}\right)=c\left(v_{2} v_{3}\right)=c\left(v_{3} v_{1}\right)$, we conclude that $c$ does not contain a properly edge-colored copy of $G$.

We are now ready to prove Proposition 4
Proof of Proposition 4. Let $P G(2, q)$ be a projective plane of order $q$, and let $G_{q}$ be the orthogonal polarity graph of $P G(2, q)$, which was introduced by Erdős and Rényi in 11. Specifically, the vertex set of $G_{q}$ is the set of all points of $P G(2, q)$, where two distinct vertices $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ and $\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right)$ are adjacent if and only if $x_{1} y_{1}+x_{2} y_{2}+x_{3} y_{3}=0$. It follows that $G_{q}$ has $\ell:=q^{2}+q+1$ vertices, maximum degree $\Delta:=q+1$, and diameter two. Note that $\sqrt{\ell} \leq \Delta \leq \sqrt{1.3 \ell}$. It follows that the edge-coloring of $K_{n}$ from Lemma 29 is not $G_{q}$-proper.

We finish this section with a construction of a coloring suitable for showing that also Theorem 5 is tight, up to a constant factor, for any choice of $\Delta$. We start with an analogue of Lemma 29.

Lemma 30. Fix integers $\ell>0$ and $n \geq 4 \ell$, and let $G$ be a graph of diameter two with the additional property that for every $v \in V(G)$, the neighborhood of $v$ does not contain an independent set of size 3. Then there exists a globally (4 )-bounded coloring of $K_{n}$ such that any rainbow copy of $G$ in $c$ contains at most 3 vertices from the set $\{1, \ldots, 4 \ell\} \subseteq V\left(K_{n}\right)$.

Proof. Let $X:=\{1, \ldots, 4 \ell\}$. It will be enough to describe just the colors of the edges with at least one endpoint in $X$ (the coloring of the subgraph induced by $V\left(K_{n}\right) \backslash X$ can be, for instance, rainbow using only colors that are disjoint from those we use in the rest of this paragraph). The set of colors we use for edges that touch $X$ will be $[n]$. If both $v_{1} \in X$ and $v_{2} \in X$, then we color $v_{1} v_{2}$ with $\min \left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$. In other words, $c$ is a lexicographic coloring on the set $X$. On the other hand, if $v_{1} \in X$ and $v_{2} \in V\left(K_{n}\right) \backslash X$, the color of $v_{1} v_{2}$ will be $v_{2}$.

Suppose there is a rainbow copy of $G$ that contains $z \geq 4$ vertices from the set $X$. Let $v_{1}<v_{2}<\cdots<v_{z}$ be these vertices, and let $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{z}$ be their corresponding vertices in $G$. For convenience, we also write $u_{i}<u_{j}$ if $1 \leq i<j \leq z$. It follows from the definition of $c$ that at most one of the pairs $u_{1} u_{2}$ and $u_{1} u_{3}$ can form an edge of $G$.

First consider the case $u_{1} u_{2} \in E(G)$. Let $u$ be a common neighbor of $u_{1}$ and $u_{3}$, and $v$ the vertex in $K_{n}$ corresponding to $u$. It follows that $v$ must be in $X$ (as otherwise $c\left(v v_{1}\right)=c\left(v v_{3}\right)=v$ ). Even more, $v$ actually must be $v_{2}$ (otherwise $c\left(v_{1} v_{2}\right)=c\left(v_{1} v\right)$ ). Translated back to $G$, we conclude that $u=u_{2}$. The same reasoning applied to $u_{1}$ and $u_{4}$ yields that $u_{2}$ is also their common neighbor. But this is impossible, since $c\left(v_{2} v_{3}\right)=c\left(v_{2} v_{4}\right)$.

Now suppose $u_{1} u_{3} \in E(G)$ (and hence $u_{1} u_{2} \notin E(G)$ ). Then the only common neighbor of $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ can be $u_{3}$ and, analogously, the only neighbor of $u_{1}$ and $u_{4}$ can be $u_{3}$. But that means that all the vertices $u_{1}, u_{2}$ and $u_{4}$ are neighbors of $u_{3}$, hence at least one of the three pairs from $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{4}\right\}$ is an edge of $G$. Let $u u^{\prime}$ such that $u<u^{\prime}$ be one such edge, and let $v \in V\left(K_{n}\right)$ and $v^{\prime} \in V\left(K_{n}\right)$ be the vertices corresponding to $u$ and $u^{\prime}$, respectively. Since $v<v_{3}$, it follows that $c\left(v v^{\prime}\right)=c\left(v v_{3}\right)=v$, a contradiction.

Finally, consider the case when $u_{1} u_{2} \notin E(G)$ and $u_{1} u_{3} \notin E(G)$. Let $u \in$ $V(G)$ be a common neighbor of $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ and $v$ its corresponding vertex in $K_{n}$. Note that $v>v_{3}$. By the same reasoning as in the previous two paragraphs, $v \in X$, and $u$ is also a common neighbor of $u_{1}$ and $u_{3}$. But then the vertices $u_{1}, u_{2}$ and $u_{3}$ are all neighbors of $u$ and hence they must span at least one edge in $G$. It follows that this edge must be $u_{2} u_{3}$. But since $c\left(u_{2} u_{3}\right)=c\left(u_{2} u\right)=u_{2}$, the proof of the lemma is finished.

For an integer $m$, let $H_{m}$ be an $m^{2}$-vertex graph with the vertex set $[m] \times[m]$, where two vertices $(i, j)$ and $\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right)$ are adjacent if and only if $i=i^{\prime}$ or $j=j^{\prime}$. $H_{m}$ has maximum degree $2 m-2$, diameter two, and for each $v \in V\left(H_{m}\right)$, the neighborhood of $v$ induces a subgraph with independence number at most 2 . We conclude the section by applying Lemma 30 to $n$-vertex graphs that are disjoint unions of $n / m^{2}$ copies of $H_{m}$.

Proof of Proposition 6. Let $m:=\Delta / 2+1$ and $G$ be an $n$-vertex graph consisting of $\ell:=n / m^{2}$ disjoint copies of $H_{m}$. Note that the maximum degree of $G$ is $\Delta$. Next, let $c$ be the globally $\left(16 n / \Delta^{2}\right)$-bounded coloring from Lemma 30 applied with $n$ and $\ell$.

Suppose $c$ is $G$-rainbow. By the pigeonhole principle, at least one of the $\ell$ copies of $H_{m}$ must contain at least 4 vertices from the set $X:=\{1, \ldots, 4 \ell\}$. However, Lemma 30 implies that each rainbow copy of $H_{m}$ can intersect $X$ in at most 3 vertices, a contradiction.

## 5 Concluding remarks

In this paper we showed that any locally $k$-bounded edge-coloring of $K_{n}$ with constant $k$ is $G$-proper for all $n$-vertex graphs $G$ with at most $O\left(n^{4 / 3}\right)$ cherries. In particular, this confirms an old conjecture of Shearer. Moreover, the bound $\Theta\left(n^{4 / 3}\right)$ is best possible, even if we restrict our attention only to trees. More generally, we proved that if $G$ is an $n$-vertex graph with $r$ cherries, any locally $k$-bounded edge-coloring of $K_{n}$ is $G$-proper for $k=O\left(\frac{n}{r^{3 / 4}}\right)$. However, we do not know whether the dependency $k=O\left(\frac{n}{r^{3 / 4}}\right)$ for graphs $G$ with $r \ll n^{4 / 3}$ cherries is optimal. Similarly, is the same dependency best possible for finding a rainbow copy of $G$ in globally $k$-bounded edge-colorings of $K_{n}$ ?

We have also observed that the dependency $k=O\left(n / \Delta^{2}\right)$ in Theorems 3 and 5 cannot be further improved, even in the case when $G$ is a spanning tree, e.g, consider the $\sqrt{n}$-ary tree of radius two. However, a simple greedy embedding together with the fact that trees are 1-degenerate shows that if $G$ is a tree on $(1-\varepsilon) n$ vertices with maximum degree $\Delta$ and $k=\varepsilon n / \Delta$, then any locally $k$-bounded coloring of $E\left(K_{n}\right)$ is $G$-proper. This leads to a natural question whether the bound $k=O\left(n / \Delta^{2}\right)$ can be improved for spanning trees with maximum degree $\Delta \ll \sqrt{n}$.

Finally, for any graph $G$ with maximum degree $\Delta$, the proofs of Theorems 3 and 5 hold (with slightly worse constants in the upper bounds on $k$ ) even if we replace the graph $K_{n}$ by a graph $K$ with minimum degree at least $n-O\left(\frac{n}{\Delta(G)}\right)$. This follows simply by adding to the set of bad events in the application of local lemma those events, that take care of mapping an edge of $G$ to a non-edge of $K$. The corresponding proofs are then modified analogously to the modification of the proof of Theorem 2 in order to establish Theorem 7. Therefore, if $c$ is a locally (globally) bounded coloring of the edges of $K_{n}$ as stated in Theorem 3 (Theorem 5), we can find, by iteratively applying the previous claim, $\Theta\left(\frac{n}{\Delta^{2}}\right)$ properly colored (rainbow) edge-disjoint copies of $G$ in $c$ instead of just one. Similarly, the proofs of Theorems 2 and 7 can be used to find properly colored and rainbow copies of a graph with $r$ cherries in bounded colorings of graphs with large minimum degree.
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