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#### Abstract

Universal hash functions, discovered by Carter and Wegman in 1979, are of great importance in computer science with many applications. MMH* is a well-known $\triangle$ universal hash function family, based on the evaluation of a dot product modulo a prime. In this paper, we introduce a generalization of $\mathrm{MMH}^{*}$, that we call GMMH*, using the same construction as MMH* but with an arbitrary integer modulus $n>1$, and show that GMMH* is $\frac{1}{p}$-almost- $\triangle$-universal, where $p$ is the smallest prime divisor of $n$. This bound is tight.


## $1 \mathrm{MMH}^{*}$

Universal hashing, introduced by Carter and Wegman [3], is of great importance in computer science with many applications. Cryptography, information security, complexity theory, randomized algorithms, and data structures are just a few areas that universal hash functions and their variants have been used as a fundamental tool. In [5], definitions of various kinds of universal hash functions gathered from the literature are presented; we mention some of them here.

Definition 1.1. Let $H$ be a family of functions from a domain $D$ to a range $R$. Let $\varepsilon$ be a constant such that $\frac{1}{|R|} \leq \varepsilon<1$. The probabilities below are taken over the random choice of hash function $h$ from the set $H$.
(i) The family $H$ is a universal family of hash functions if for any two distinct $x, y \in D$, we have $\operatorname{Pr}_{h \leftarrow H}[h(x)=h(y)] \leq \frac{1}{|R|}$. Also, $H$ is an $\varepsilon$-almost-universal ( $\varepsilon$-AU) family of hash functions if for any two distinct $x, y \in D$, we have $\operatorname{Pr}_{h \leftarrow H}[h(x)=h(y)] \leq \varepsilon$.
(ii) Suppose $R$ is an Abelian group. The family $H$ is a $\triangle$-universal family of hash functions if for any two distinct $x, y \in D$, and all $b \in R$, we have $\operatorname{Pr}_{h \leftarrow H}[h(x)-h(y)=b]=\frac{1}{|R|}$, where ' - ' denotes the group subtraction operation. Also, $H$ is an $\varepsilon$-almost- $\triangle$-universal $(\varepsilon-\mathrm{A} \triangle \mathrm{U})$ family of hash functions if for any two distinct $x, y \in D$, and all $b \in R$, we have $\operatorname{Pr}_{h \leftarrow H}[h(x)-h(y)=b] \leq \varepsilon$.

[^0]It is worth mentioning that $\varepsilon$ - $\mathrm{A} \triangle \mathrm{U}$ families have also important applications in computer science, in particular, in cryptography. For example, these families can be used in message authentication. Informally, it is possible to design a message authentication scheme using $\varepsilon$ $A \triangle U$ families such that two parties can exchange signed messages over an unreliable channel and the probability that an adversary can forge a valid signed message to be sent across the channel is at most $\varepsilon$ ([5]).

The following family, named MMH* by Halevi and Krawczyk [5] in 1997, is a well-known $\triangle$-universal hash function family.

Definition 1.2. Let $p$ be a prime and $k$ be a positive integer. The family $\mathrm{MMH}^{*}$ is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{MMH}^{*}:=\left\{g_{\mathbf{x}}: \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p} \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{k}\right\} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{m}):=\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{x} \quad(\bmod p)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} m_{i} x_{i} \quad(\bmod p) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\mathbf{x}=\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{k}$, and any $\mathbf{m}=\left\langle m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{k}$.
It appears that Gilbert, MacWilliams, and Sloane 44 first discovered MMH* (but in the finite geometry setting). However, many resources attribute MMH* to Carter and Wegman [3]. Halevi and Krawczyk [5] proved that MMH* is a $\triangle$-universal family of hash functions. We also remark that, recently, Leiserson et al. [7] rediscovered MMH* (called it "DOTMIX compression function family") and using the same method as Halevi and Krawczyk, proved that DOTMIX is $\triangle$-universal. They then apply this result to the problem of deterministic parallel random-number generation for dynamic multithreading platforms in parallel computing.

Theorem 1.3. The family $\mathrm{MMH}^{*}$ is a $\triangle$-universal family of hash functions.

## 2 GMMH*

Given that, in the definition of $\mathrm{MMH}^{*}$, the modulus is a prime, it is natural to ask what happens if the modulus is an arbitrary integer $n>1$. Is the resulting family still $\triangle$-universal? If not, what can we say about $\varepsilon$-almost-universality or $\varepsilon$-almost- $\triangle$-universality of this new family? This is an interesting and natural problem, and while it has a simple solution (see, Theorem 2.3 below), to the best of our knowledge there are no results regarding this problem in the literature.

First, we define a generalization of MMH*, namely, GMMH*, with the same construction as MMH* except that we use an arbitrary integer $n>1$ instead of prime $p$.

Definition 2.1. Let $n$ and $k$ be positive integers $(n>1)$. The family GMMH* is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{GMMH}^{*}:=\left\{h_{\mathbf{x}}: \mathbb{Z}_{n}^{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{n} \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}^{k}\right\} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{m}):=\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{x} \quad(\bmod n)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} m_{i} x_{i} \quad(\bmod n) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\mathbf{x}=\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}^{k}$, and any $\mathbf{m}=\left\langle m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}^{k}$.
MMH* has found important applications, however, in applications that, for some reasons, we have to work in the ring $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$, the family GMMH* may be used.

The following result, proved by D. N. Lehmer [6], is the main ingredient in the proof of Theorem 2.3.

Proposition 2.2. Let $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}, b, n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \geq 1$. The linear congruence $a_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+a_{k} x_{k} \equiv b$ $(\bmod n)$ has a solution $\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}^{k}$ if and only if $\ell \mid b$, where $\ell=\operatorname{gcd}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}, n\right)$. Furthermore, if this condition is satisfied, then there are $\ell n^{k-1}$ solutions.

Now, we are ready to state and prove the following result about $\varepsilon$-almost- $\triangle$-universality of GMMH*.
Theorem 2.3. Let $n$ and $k$ be positive integers $(n>1)$. The family $\mathrm{GMMH}^{*}$ is $\frac{1}{p}-\mathrm{A} \triangle \mathrm{U}$, where $p$ is the smallest prime divisor of $n$. This bound is tight.
Proof. Suppose that $n$ has the prime factorization $n=p_{1}^{r_{1}} \ldots p_{s}^{r_{s}}$, where $p_{1}<\cdots<p_{s}$ are primes and $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{s}$ are positive integers. Let $\mathbf{m}=\left\langle m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}^{k}$ and $\mathbf{m}^{\prime}=$ $\left\langle m_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, m_{k}^{\prime}\right\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}^{k}$ be any two distinct messages. Put $\mathbf{a}=\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\rangle=\mathbf{m}-\mathbf{m}^{\prime}$. For every $b \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}$ we have

$$
h_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{m})-h_{\mathbf{x}}\left(\mathbf{m}^{\prime}\right)=b \Longleftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{k} m_{i} x_{i}-\sum_{i=1}^{k} m_{i}^{\prime} x_{i} \equiv b \quad(\bmod n) \Longleftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i} x_{i} \equiv b \quad(\bmod n)
$$

Note that since $\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}^{k}$, we have $n^{k}$ ordered $k$-tuples $\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\rangle$. Also, since $\mathbf{m} \neq \mathbf{m}^{\prime}$, there exists some $i_{0}$ such that $a_{i_{0}} \neq 0$. Now, we need to find the maximum number of solutions of the above linear congruence over all choices of $\mathbf{a}=\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}^{k} \backslash\{\mathbf{0}\}$ and $b \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}$. By Proposition [2.2, if $\ell=\operatorname{gcd}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}, n\right) \nmid b$ then the linear congruence $a_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+a_{k} x_{k} \equiv b(\bmod n)$ has no solution, and if $\ell=\operatorname{gcd}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}, n\right) \mid b$ then the linear congruence has $\ell n^{k-1}$ solutions. Thus, we need to find the maximum of $\ell=\operatorname{gcd}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}, n\right)$ over all choices of $\mathbf{a}=\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}^{k} \backslash\{\mathbf{0}\}$. Clearly,

$$
\max _{\mathrm{a}=\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}^{k} \backslash\{\mathbf{0}\}} \operatorname{gcd}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}, n\right)
$$

is achieved when $a_{i_{0}}=p_{1}^{r_{1}-1} p_{2}^{r_{2}} \ldots p_{s}^{r_{s}}=\frac{n}{p_{1}}$, and $a_{i}=0\left(i \neq i_{0}\right)$. So, we get

$$
\max _{\mathrm{a}=\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}^{k} \backslash\{0\}} \operatorname{gcd}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}, n\right)=p_{1}^{r_{1}-1} p_{2}^{r_{2}} \ldots p_{s}^{r_{s}}=\frac{n}{p_{1}}
$$

Therefore, for any two distinct messages $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{m}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}^{k}$, and all $b \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Pr}_{h_{\mathbf{x}} \leftarrow \mathrm{GMMH}^{*}}\left[h_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{m})-h_{\mathbf{x}}\left(\mathbf{m}^{\prime}\right)=b\right] \leq \max _{\mathbf{a}=\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}^{k} \backslash\{\mathbf{0}\}} \frac{n^{k-1} \operatorname{gcd}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}, n\right)}{n^{k}}=\frac{1}{p_{1}}
$$

This means that GMMH* is $\frac{1}{p_{1}}-\mathrm{A} \triangle \mathrm{U}$. Clearly, this bound is tight; take, for example, $a_{1}=\frac{n}{p_{1}}$ and $a_{2}=\cdots=a_{k}=0$.

Corollary 2.4. If in Theorem 2.3 we let $n$ be a prime then we obtain Theorem 1.3 . Proof. When $n$ is prime, $\operatorname{gcd}_{\mathbf{a}=\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}^{k} \backslash\{0\}}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}, n\right)=1$, so we get $\triangle$-universality.

We remark that if in the family GMMH* we let the keys $\mathbf{x}=\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}^{k}$ satisfy the general conditions $\operatorname{gcd}\left(x_{i}, n\right)=t_{i}(1 \leq i \leq k)$, where $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}$ are given positive divisors of $n$, then the resulting family, which was called GRDH in [2], is no longer 'always' $\varepsilon$ - $\mathrm{A} \triangle \mathrm{U}$. In fact, it was shown in [2] that the family GRDH is $\varepsilon$-A $\triangle \mathrm{U}$ for some $\varepsilon<1$ if and only if $n$ is odd and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(x_{i}, n\right)=t_{i}=1$ (that is, $\left.x_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}^{*}\right)$ for all $i$. Furthermore, if these conditions are satisfied then GRDH is $\frac{1}{p-1}-\mathrm{A} \triangle \mathrm{U}$, where $p$ is the smallest prime divisor of $n$ (this bound is also tight). This result is then applied in giving a generalization of a recent authentication code with secrecy. A key ingredient in the proofs in [2] is an explicit formula for the number of solutions of restricted linear congruences (a restricted version of Proposition 2.2), recently obtained by Bibak et al. [1], using properties of Ramanujan sums and of the finite Fourier transform of arithmetic functions.
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