# MMH<sup>\*</sup> with arbitrary modulus is always almost-universal

Khodakhast Bibak \*

Bruce M. Kapron \* Venkate

Venkatesh Srinivasan \*†

October 13, 2020

#### Abstract

Universal hash functions, discovered by Carter and Wegman in 1979, are of great importance in computer science with many applications. MMH<sup>\*</sup> is a well-known  $\triangle$ universal hash function family, based on the evaluation of a dot product modulo a prime. In this paper, we introduce a generalization of MMH<sup>\*</sup>, that we call GMMH<sup>\*</sup>, using the same construction as MMH<sup>\*</sup> but with an arbitrary integer modulus n > 1, and show that GMMH<sup>\*</sup> is  $\frac{1}{p}$ -almost- $\triangle$ -universal, where p is the smallest prime divisor of n. This bound is tight.

### $1 MMH^*$

Universal hashing, introduced by Carter and Wegman [3], is of great importance in computer science with many applications. Cryptography, information security, complexity theory, randomized algorithms, and data structures are just a few areas that universal hash functions and their variants have been used as a fundamental tool. In [5], definitions of various kinds of universal hash functions gathered from the literature are presented; we mention some of them here.

**Definition 1.1.** Let H be a family of functions from a domain D to a range R. Let  $\varepsilon$  be a constant such that  $\frac{1}{|R|} \leq \varepsilon < 1$ . The probabilities below are taken over the random choice of hash function h from the set H.

(i) The family H is a universal family of hash functions if for any two distinct  $x, y \in D$ , we have  $\Pr_{h \leftarrow H}[h(x) = h(y)] \leq \frac{1}{|R|}$ . Also, H is an  $\varepsilon$ -almost-universal ( $\varepsilon$ -AU) family of hash functions if for any two distinct  $x, y \in D$ , we have  $\Pr_{h \leftarrow H}[h(x) = h(y)] \leq \varepsilon$ .

(ii) Suppose R is an Abelian group. The family H is a  $\triangle$ -universal family of hash functions if for any two distinct  $x, y \in D$ , and all  $b \in R$ , we have  $\Pr_{h \leftarrow H}[h(x) - h(y) = b] = \frac{1}{|R|}$ , where '- ' denotes the group subtraction operation. Also, H is an  $\varepsilon$ -almost- $\triangle$ -universal ( $\varepsilon$ -A $\triangle$ U) family of hash functions if for any two distinct  $x, y \in D$ , and all  $b \in R$ , we have  $\Pr_{h \leftarrow H}[h(x) - h(y) = b] \leq \varepsilon$ .

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Computer Science, University of Victoria, Victoria, BC, Canada V8W 3P6. Email: {kbibak,bmkapron,srinivas}@uvic.ca

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Centre for Quantum Technologies, National University of Singapore, Singapore 117543.

It is worth mentioning that  $\varepsilon$ -A $\Delta$ U families have also important applications in computer science, in particular, in cryptography. For example, these families can be used in message authentication. Informally, it is possible to design a message authentication scheme using  $\varepsilon$ -A $\Delta$ U families such that two parties can exchange signed messages over an unreliable channel and the probability that an adversary can forge a valid signed message to be sent across the channel is at most  $\varepsilon$  ([5]).

The following family, named MMH<sup>\*</sup> by Halevi and Krawczyk [5] in 1997, is a well-known  $\triangle$ -universal hash function family.

**Definition 1.2.** Let p be a prime and k be a positive integer. The family MMH<sup>\*</sup> is defined as follows:

$$\mathrm{MMH}^* := \{ g_{\mathbf{x}} : \mathbb{Z}_p^k \to \mathbb{Z}_p \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^k \},$$
(1.1)

where

$$g_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{m}) := \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{x} \pmod{p} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} m_i x_i \pmod{p}, \tag{1.2}$$

for any  $\mathbf{x} = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_k \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_p^k$ , and any  $\mathbf{m} = \langle m_1, \ldots, m_k \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_p^k$ .

It appears that Gilbert, MacWilliams, and Sloane [4] first discovered MMH<sup>\*</sup> (but in the finite geometry setting). However, many resources attribute MMH<sup>\*</sup> to Carter and Wegman [3]. Halevi and Krawczyk [5] proved that MMH<sup>\*</sup> is a  $\triangle$ -universal family of hash functions. We also remark that, recently, Leiserson et al. [7] rediscovered MMH<sup>\*</sup> (called it "DOTMIX compression function family") and using the same method as Halevi and Krawczyk, proved that DOTMIX is  $\triangle$ -universal. They then apply this result to the problem of deterministic parallel random-number generation for dynamic multithreading platforms in parallel computing.

**Theorem 1.3.** The family MMH<sup>\*</sup> is a  $\triangle$ -universal family of hash functions.

# $2 \quad \text{GMMH}^*$

Given that, in the definition of MMH<sup>\*</sup>, the modulus is a prime, it is natural to ask what happens if the modulus is an arbitrary integer n > 1. Is the resulting family still  $\triangle$ -universal? If not, what can we say about  $\varepsilon$ -almost-universality or  $\varepsilon$ -almost- $\triangle$ -universality of this new family? This is an interesting and natural problem, and while it has a simple solution (see, Theorem 2.3 below), to the best of our knowledge there are no results regarding this problem in the literature.

First, we define a generalization of MMH<sup>\*</sup>, namely, GMMH<sup>\*</sup>, with the same construction as MMH<sup>\*</sup> except that we use an arbitrary integer n > 1 instead of prime p.

**Definition 2.1.** Let *n* and *k* be positive integers (n > 1). The family GMMH<sup>\*</sup> is defined as follows:

$$GMMH^* := \{ h_{\mathbf{x}} : \mathbb{Z}_n^k \to \mathbb{Z}_n \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_n^k \},$$
(2.1)

where

$$h_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{m}) := \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{x} \pmod{n} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} m_i x_i \pmod{n}, \tag{2.2}$$

for any  $\mathbf{x} = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_k \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_n^k$ , and any  $\mathbf{m} = \langle m_1, \ldots, m_k \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_n^k$ .

MMH<sup>\*</sup> has found important applications, however, in applications that, for some reasons, we have to work in the ring  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ , the family GMMH<sup>\*</sup> may be used.

The following result, proved by D. N. Lehmer [6], is the main ingredient in the proof of Theorem 2.3.

**Proposition 2.2.** Let  $a_1, \ldots, a_k, b, n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \ge 1$ . The linear congruence  $a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_kx_k \equiv b \pmod{n}$  has a solution  $\langle x_1, \ldots, x_k \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_n^k$  if and only if  $\ell \mid b$ , where  $\ell = \gcd(a_1, \ldots, a_k, n)$ . Furthermore, if this condition is satisfied, then there are  $\ell n^{k-1}$  solutions.

Now, we are ready to state and prove the following result about  $\varepsilon$ -almost- $\triangle$ -universality of GMMH<sup>\*</sup>.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let n and k be positive integers (n > 1). The family GMMH<sup>\*</sup> is  $\frac{1}{p}$ -A $\triangle$ U, where p is the smallest prime divisor of n. This bound is tight.

*Proof.* Suppose that n has the prime factorization  $n = p_1^{r_1} \dots p_s^{r_s}$ , where  $p_1 < \dots < p_s$  are primes and  $r_1, \dots, r_s$  are positive integers. Let  $\mathbf{m} = \langle m_1, \dots, m_k \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_n^k$  and  $\mathbf{m}' = \langle m'_1, \dots, m'_k \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_n^k$  be any two distinct messages. Put  $\mathbf{a} = \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle = \mathbf{m} - \mathbf{m}'$ . For every  $b \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  we have

$$h_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{m}) - h_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{m}') = b \Longleftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{k} m_i x_i - \sum_{i=1}^{k} m'_i x_i \equiv b \pmod{n} \Longleftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i x_i \equiv b \pmod{n}.$$

Note that since  $\langle x_1, \ldots, x_k \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_n^k$ , we have  $n^k$  ordered k-tuples  $\langle x_1, \ldots, x_k \rangle$ . Also, since  $\mathbf{m} \neq \mathbf{m}'$ , there exists some  $i_0$  such that  $a_{i_0} \neq 0$ . Now, we need to find the maximum number of solutions of the above linear congruence over all choices of  $\mathbf{a} = \langle a_1, \ldots, a_k \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_n^k \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$  and  $b \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ . By Proposition 2.2, if  $\ell = \gcd(a_1, \ldots, a_k, n) \nmid b$  then the linear congruence  $a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_kx_k \equiv b \pmod{n}$  has no solution, and if  $\ell = \gcd(a_1, \ldots, a_k, n) \mid b$  then the linear congruence has  $\ell n^{k-1}$  solutions. Thus, we need to find the maximum of  $\ell = \gcd(a_1, \ldots, a_k, n)$  over all choices of  $\mathbf{a} = \langle a_1, \ldots, a_k \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_n^k \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ . Clearly,

$$\max_{\mathbf{a}=\langle a_1,\ldots,a_k\rangle\in\mathbb{Z}_n^k\setminus\{\mathbf{0}\}}\gcd(a_1,\ldots,a_k,n)$$

is achieved when  $a_{i_0} = p_1^{r_1-1} p_2^{r_2} \dots p_s^{r_s} = \frac{n}{p_1}$ , and  $a_i = 0$   $(i \neq i_0)$ . So, we get

$$\max_{\mathbf{a}=\langle a_1,\ldots,a_k\rangle\in\mathbb{Z}_n^k\setminus\{\mathbf{0}\}}\gcd(a_1,\ldots,a_k,n)=p_1^{r_1-1}p_2^{r_2}\ldots p_s^{r_s}=\frac{n}{p_1}$$

Therefore, for any two distinct messages  $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{m}' \in \mathbb{Z}_n^k$ , and all  $b \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ , we have

$$\Pr_{h_{\mathbf{x}} \leftarrow \text{GMMH}^*}[h_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{m}) - h_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{m}') = b] \le \max_{\mathbf{a} = \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_n^k \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}} \frac{n^{k-1} \operatorname{gcd}(a_1, \dots, a_k, n)}{n^k} = \frac{1}{p_1}.$$

This means that GMMH<sup>\*</sup> is  $\frac{1}{p_1}$ -A $\triangle$ U. Clearly, this bound is tight; take, for example,  $a_1 = \frac{n}{p_1}$  and  $a_2 = \cdots = a_k = 0$ .

#### Corollary 2.4. If in Theorem 2.3 we let n be a prime then we obtain Theorem 1.3.

*Proof.* When n is prime,  $gcd_{\mathbf{a}=\langle a_1,\ldots,a_k\rangle\in\mathbb{Z}_n^k\setminus\{\mathbf{0}\}}(a_1,\ldots,a_k,n)=1$ , so we get  $\triangle$ -universality.  $\square$ 

We remark that if in the family GMMH<sup>\*</sup> we let the keys  $\mathbf{x} = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_k \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_n^k$  satisfy the general conditions  $gcd(x_i, n) = t_i$   $(1 \le i \le k)$ , where  $t_1, \ldots, t_k$  are given positive divisors of n, then the resulting family, which was called GRDH in [2], is no longer 'always'  $\varepsilon$ -A $\Delta$ U. In fact, it was shown in [2] that the family GRDH is  $\varepsilon$ -A $\Delta$ U for some  $\varepsilon < 1$  if and only if nis odd and  $gcd(x_i, n) = t_i = 1$  (that is,  $x_i \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$ ) for all i. Furthermore, if these conditions are satisfied then GRDH is  $\frac{1}{p-1}$ -A $\Delta$ U, where p is the smallest prime divisor of n (this bound is also tight). This result is then applied in giving a generalization of a recent authentication code with secrecy. A key ingredient in the proofs in [2] is an explicit formula for the number of solutions of restricted linear congruences (a restricted version of Proposition 2.2), recently obtained by Bibak et al. [1], using properties of Ramanujan sums and of the finite Fourier transform of arithmetic functions.

# Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the editor and anonymous referees for helpful comments. During the preparation of this work the first author was supported by a Fellowship from the University of Victoria (UVic Fellowship).

### References

- K. Bibak, B. M. Kapron, V. Srinivasan, R. Tauraso, and L. Tóth, Restricted linear congruences, arXiv: 1503.01806.
- [2] K. Bibak, B. M. Kapron, V. Srinivasan, and L. Tóth, On an almost-universal hash function family with applications to authentication and secrecy codes, arXiv: 1507.02331.
- [3] J. L. Carter and M. N. Wegman, Universal classes of hash functions, J. Comput. System Sci 18 (1979), 143–154.
- [4] E. N. Gilbert, F. J. MacWilliams, and N. J. A. Sloane, Codes which detect deception, Bell Syst. Tech. J. 53 (1974), 405–424.
- [5] S. Halevi and H. Krawczyk, MMH: Software message authentication in the Gbit/second rates, Fast Software Encryption — FSE 1997, LNCS 1267, 1997, 172–189.
- [6] D. N. Lehmer, Certain theorems in the theory of quadratic residues, Amer. Math. Monthly 20 (1913), 151–157.
- [7] C. E. Leiserson, T. B. Schardl, and J. Sukha, Deterministic parallel random-number generation for dynamic-multithreading platforms, *Proceedings of the 17th ACM SIG-PLAN Symposium on Principles and Practice of Parallel Programming — PPoPP 2012*, 193–204.