# Multivariate distributions with fixed marginals and correlations

Mark Huber<sup>\*</sup> and Nevena Marić<sup>†</sup>

December 30, 2016

#### Abstract

Consider the problem of drawing random variates  $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ from a distribution where the marginal of each  $X_i$  is specified, as well as the correlation between every pair  $X_i$  and  $X_j$ . For given marginals, the Fréchet-Hoeffding bounds put a lower and upper bound on the correlation between  $X_i$  and  $X_j$ . Any achievable correlation between  $X_i$  and  $X_j$  is a convex combinations of these bounds. The value  $\lambda(X_i, X_j) \in$ [0,1] of this convex combination is called here the convexity parameter of  $(X_i, X_j)$ , with  $\lambda(X_i, X_j) = 1$  corresponding to the upper bound and maximal correlation. For given marginal distributions functions  $F_1, \ldots, F_n$  of  $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$  we show that  $\lambda(X_i, X_j) = \lambda_{ij}$  if and only if there exist symmetric Bernoulli random variables  $(B_1, \ldots, B_n)$  (that is  $\{0, 1\}$  random variables with mean 1/2) such that  $\lambda(B_i, B_j) = \lambda_{ij}$ . In addition, we characterize completely the set of convexity parameters for symmetric Bernoulli marginals in two, three and four dimensions.

## 1 Introduction

Consider the problem of simulating a random vector  $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$  with second moments where for all *i* the cumulative distribution function (cdf) of  $X_i$  is  $F_i$ , and for all *i* and *j* the correlation between  $X_i$  and  $X_j$  should be  $\rho_{ij} \in [-1, 1]$ . The correlation here is the usual notion

$$\operatorname{corr}(X,Y) = \frac{E[(X - E(X))(Y - E(Y))]}{\operatorname{sd}(X)\operatorname{sd}(Y)} = \frac{E[XY] - E[X]E[Y]}{\operatorname{sd}(X)\operatorname{sd}(Y)}$$

for standard deviations sd(X) and sd(Y) that are finite.

<sup>\*</sup>Claremont McKenna College (email: mhuber@cmc.edu)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>University of Missouri-St. Louis (email: maric@math.umsl.edu)

Let  $\Omega$  denote the set of matrices with entries in [-1, 1], all the diagonal entries equal 1, and are nonnegative definite. Then it is well known that any correlation matrix  $(\rho_{ij})$  must lie in  $\Omega$ .

This problem, in different guises, appears in numerous fields: physics [16], engineering [11], ecology [4], and finance [12], to name just a few. Due to its applicability in the generation of synthetic optimization problems, it has also received special attention by the simulation community [9], [8].

A variety of approaches exist for this well studied problem. When the marginals are normal and the distribution is continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure, this is just the problem of generating a multivariate normal with specified correlation matrix. It is well known how to accomplish this (see, for instance [6], p. 223) for any matrix in  $\Omega$ .

For marginals that are not normal, the question is very much harder. A common method is to employ families of copulas (see for instance [15]), but there are very few techniques that apply to general marginals. Instead, different families of copulas typically focus on different marginal distributions.

Devroye and Letac [3] showed that if the marginals are beta distributed with equal parameters at least 1/2, then when the dimension is three it is possible to simulate such a vector where the correlation is any matrix in  $\Omega$ . This set of beta distributions includes the important case of uniform [0, 1] marginals, but they have not been able to extend their technique to higher dimensions.

Chaganty and Joe [1] characterized the achievable correlation matrices when the marginals are Bernoulli. When the dimension is 3 their characterization is easily checkable, in higher dimensions they give a number of inequalities that grows exponentially in the dimension.

For the case of general marginals, in statistics there is a tradition of using transformations of mutivariate normal vectors dating back to Mardia [14] and Li and Hammond [13]. This approach relies heavily on developing usable numerical methods. In this paper we approach the same problem using exclusively probabilistic techniques.

We show that for many correlation matrices the problem of simulating from a multivariate distribution with fixed marginals and specified correlation can be reduced to showing the existence of a multivariate distribution whose marginals are Bernoulli with mean 1/2, and for each pair of marginals, there is a specified probability that the pair takes on the same value. For n = 2, 3, 4 we are able to give necessary and sufficient conditions on those agreement probabilities in order for such a distribution to exist. The convexity graph. Any two random variables X and Y have correlation in [-1, 1], but if the marginal distributions of X and Y are fixed, it is generally not possible to build a bivariate distribution for any correlation in [-1, 1]. For instance, for X and Y both exponentially distributed, the correlation must lie in  $[1 - \pi^2/6, 1]$ . The range of achievable correlations is always a closed interval.

For two dimensions it is well known how to find the minimum and maximum correlation. These come from the inverse transform method, which works as follows. First, given a cdf F, define the pseudoinverse of the cdf as

$$F^{-1} = \inf\{x : F(x) \ge u\}.$$
 (1)

When U is uniform over the interval [0,1] (write  $U \sim \text{Unif}([0,1])$ ),  $F^{-1}(U)$  is a random variable with cdf F (see for instance p. 28 of [2]). Since U and 1-U have the same distribution, both can be used in the inverse transform method. The random variables U and 1-U are *antithetic* random variables. Of course corr(U,U) = 1 and corr(U,1-U) = -1, so these represent an easy way to get minimum and maximum correlation when the marginals are uniform random variables.

The following theorem comes from work of Fréchet [7] and Hoeffding [10].

**Theorem 1** (Fréchet-Hoeffding bound). For  $X_1$  with cdf  $F_1$  and  $X_2$  with cdf  $F_2$ , and  $U \sim Unif([0,1])$ :

$$corr(F_1^{-1}(U), F_2^{-1}(1-U)) \le corr(X_1, X_2) \le corr(F_1^{-1}(U), F_2^{-1}(U)).$$

In other words, the maximum correlation between  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  is achieved when the same uniform is used in the inverse transform method to generate both. The minimum correlation between  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  is achieved when antithetic random variates are used in the inverse transform method.

**Definition 1.** Consider random variables X and Y with finite second moments, and cdf  $F_X$  and  $F_Y$  respectively. For U uniform on [0,1], let  $\rho^- = \operatorname{corr}(F_X^{-1}(U)F_Y^{-1}(1-U))$  and  $\rho^+ = \operatorname{corr}(F_X^{-1}(U)F_Y^{-1}(U))$ . Then (by the Fréchet-Hoeffding bound) there is a unique  $\lambda \in [0,1]$  such that

$$\operatorname{corr}(X, Y) = \lambda \rho^+ + (1 - \lambda) \rho^-.$$

Call  $\lambda = \lambda(X, Y)$  the convexity parameter of X and Y.

**Definition 2.** Consider  $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$  with finite second moments, where each  $X_i$  has cdf  $F_i$ , and the correlation between  $X_i$  and  $X_j$  is  $\rho_{ij}$ . Then the complete graph on  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$  where edge  $\{i, j\}$  has weight  $\lambda_{ij} = \lambda(X_i, X_j)$ is the *convexity graph* of the distribution. Let  $\mathcal{B}_n$  be the set of probabilities on  $\{0, 1\}^n$  such that if  $(B_1, \ldots, B_n) \sim \mu$ where  $\mu \in \mathcal{B}_n$ , then  $P(B_i = 1) = 1/2$  for all *i*.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $(B_1, \ldots, B_n) \sim \mu \in \mathcal{B}_n$ . Then  $\lambda(B_i, B_j) = P(B_i = B_j)$ for all i < j. For all distribution functions  $F_1, \ldots, F_n$  with second moments, there exists a distribution for  $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$  such that for all i we have  $P(X_i \leq x) = F_i(x)$  and for all i < j we have  $\lambda(X_i, X_j) = \lambda(B_i, B_j)$ .

*Proof.* For  $(B_i, B_j)$  with symmetric Bernoulli marginals, the value of either  $P(B_i = B_j)$  or corr $(B_i, B_j)$  (which is one-to-one with  $\lambda(B_i, B_j)$ ) completely determines the bivariate distribution. It is then straightforward to verify that  $P(B_i = B_j) = \lambda(B_i, B_j)$ .

Next, consider U uniform on [0,1] independent of  $(B_1, \ldots, B_n)$ . Then  $X_i = F_i^{-1}(UB_i + (1-U)(1-B_i))$  has the correct marginals and again it is straightforward to show  $\lambda(X_i, X_j) = \lambda(B_i, B_j)$ .

Theorem 2 immediately gives us a way to simulate from a distribution  $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$  with given convexity parameters in linear time, provided it is possible to simulate from a multivariate symmetric Bernoulli with the same convexity parameters. The next result characterizes when such a multivariate Bernoulli exists in two, three, and four dimensions, and gives necessary conditions for higher dimensions.

**Theorem 3.** Suppose  $(B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_n)$  are random variables with  $\mathbb{P}(B_i = 1) = \mathbb{P}(B_i = 0) = 1/2$  for all *i*. When n = 2, it is possible to simulate  $(B_1, B_2)$  for any  $\lambda_{12} \in [0, 1]$ . When n = 3, it is possible to simulate  $(B_1, B_2, B_3)$  if and only if

$$1 + 2\min\{\lambda_{23}, \lambda_{12}, \lambda_{13}\} \ge \lambda_{23} + \lambda_{12} + \lambda_{13} \ge 1.$$

When n = 4, it is possible to simulate  $(B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4)$  if and only if

$$\ell \leq u+1 \ and \ 1 \leq u$$

where

$$\ell = \max(\lambda_{14} + \lambda_{14} + \lambda_{13} + \lambda_{23}, \lambda_{14} + \lambda_{34} + \lambda_{12} + \lambda_{23}, \lambda_{24} + \lambda_{34} + \lambda_{12} + \lambda_{13})$$
$$u = \min_{\{i,j,k\}} \lambda_{ij} + \lambda_{jk} + \lambda_{ik}.$$

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In the next section, Theorem 3 is shown. In Section 2.2, the set of multivariate asymmetric Bernoulli distributions is linked to that of symmetric Bernoulli distributions.

## 2 Proof of Theorem 3

#### **2.1** The n = 2 and n = 3 cases

**Lemma 1.** For any  $\lambda_{12} \in [0,1]$ , there exists a unique joint distribution on  $\{0,1\}^2$  such that  $(B_1, B_2)$  with this distribution has  $B_1, B_2 \sim \text{Bern}(1/2)$  and  $P(B_1 = B_2) = \lambda_{12}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $p_{ij} = P(B_1 = i, B_2 = j)$ . Then the equations that are necessary and sufficient to meet the distribution and convexity conditions are:

$$p_{10}+p_{11}=0.5$$
,  $p_{01}+p_{11}=0.5$ ,  $p_{11}+p_{00}=\lambda_{12}$ , and  $p_{00}+p_{01}+p_{10}+p_{11}=1$ .

This system of linear equations has full rank, so there exists a unique solution. Given there is a unique solution, it is easy to verify that solution is:

$$p_{00} = (1/2)\lambda_{12}, \ p_{01} = (1/2)[1 - \lambda_{12}], \ p_{10} = (1/2)[1 - \lambda_{12}], \ p_{11} = (1/2)\lambda_{12}.$$

This provides an alternate algorithm to that found in [5] for simulating from bivariate distributions with correlation between  $\rho_{1,2}^-$  and  $\rho_{1,2}^+$ .

**Lemma 2.** A random vector  $(B_1, B_2, B_3)$  with  $B_i \sim \text{Bern}(1/2)$  exists (and is possible to simulate from in a constant number of steps) if and only if the concurrence graph satisfies

$$1 \le \lambda_{23} + \lambda_{12} + \lambda_{13} \le 1 + 2\min\{\lambda_{12}, \lambda_{13}, \lambda_{23}\}$$

*Proof.* Let  $p_{ijk} = P(B_1 = i, B_2 = j, B_3 = k)$ . The first condition is  $\sum_{i,j,k} p_{i,j,k} = 1$ . There are three conditions from the marginals:

$$\sum_{j,k\in\{0,1\}} p_{1jk} = 0.5, \ \sum_{i,k\in\{0,1\}} p_{i1k} = 0.5, \ \sum_{ij\in\{0,1\}} p_{ij1} = 0.5,$$

and three conditions from the correlations

$$\sum_{k \in \{0,1\}} p_{00k} + p_{11k} = \lambda_{12}, \quad \sum_{j \in \{0,1\}} p_{0j0} + p_{1j1} = \lambda_{13}, \quad \sum_{i \in \{0,1\}} p_{i00} + p_{i11} = \lambda_{23}.$$

To get 8 equations, suppose that  $p_{111} = \alpha$ .

This 8 by 8 system of equations has full rank, so there is a unique solution. It is easy to verify that the solution is

 $p_{000} = (1/2)(\lambda_{12} + \lambda_{13} + \lambda_{23} - 1) - \alpha \qquad p_{100} = (1/2)(1 - (\lambda_{12} + \lambda_{13})) + \alpha$  $p_{001} = (1/2)(1 - (\lambda_{13} + \lambda_{23})) + \alpha \qquad p_{101} = (1/2)\lambda_{13} - \alpha$  $p_{010} = (1/2)(1 - (\lambda_{12} + \lambda_{23})) + \alpha \qquad p_{110} = (1/2)\lambda_{12} - \alpha$  $p_{011} = (1/2)\lambda_{23} - \alpha \qquad p_{111} = \alpha$ 

In order for this solution to yield probabilities, all must lie in [0, 1]. Since  $p_{111} = \alpha, \alpha \ge 0$ . The  $p_{011}, p_{101}$ , and  $p_{110}$  equations then give

$$0 \le \alpha \le (1/2) \min\{\lambda_{12}, \lambda_{23}, \lambda_{13}\}.$$
 (2)

The  $p_{000}$  equation requires that

$$\alpha \le (1/2)(\lambda_{13} + \lambda_{12} + \lambda_{23} - 1). \tag{3}$$

With these two conditions, equations  $p_{001}$ ,  $p_{010}$ , and  $p_{100}$  give the constraint

$$(1/2)(\lambda_{13} + \lambda_{12} + \lambda_{23} - \min\{\lambda_{13}, \lambda_{12}, \lambda_{23}\} - 1) \le \alpha.$$
(4)

Combining (4) and (2) gives

$$(1/2)(\lambda_{13}+\lambda_{12}+\lambda_{23}-\min\{\lambda_{13},\lambda_{12},\lambda_{23}\}-1) \le (1/2)\min\{\lambda_{13},\lambda_{12},\lambda_{23}\}.$$
 (5)

As long an  $\alpha \geq 0$  exists satisfying (3) and (5) holds, there exists a solution.

From this result we see that not all positive definite correlation matrices are attainable with Bern(1/2) marginals. For instance, if  $\lambda_{12} = \lambda_{13} = \lambda_{23} =$ 0.3, then  $\rho_{12} = \rho_{13} = \rho_{23} = -0.4$ . With diagonal entries 1, the  $\rho$  values form a positive definite graph, but it is impossible to build a multivariate distribution with Bern(1/2) marginals with these correlations.

#### **2.2** The n = 4 case: asymmetric Bernoulli distributions

To show the n = 4 case, it will be useful to understand the problem of drawing a multivariate Bernoulli  $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$  where  $X_i \sim \text{Bern}(p_i)$  where i is not necessarily 1/2.

**Lemma 3.** An *n* dimensional multivariate Bernoulli distribution where the marginal of component i is  $Bern(p_i)$  and concurrence graph  $\Lambda$  exists if and only if an n + 1 dimensional multivariate Bernoulli distribution exists with Bern(1/2) marginals and concurrence graph

$$\begin{pmatrix} & p_1 \\ \vdots \\ p_n \\ \hline p_1 \cdots p_n & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

*Proof.* Suppose such an n+1 dimensional distribution exists with Bern(1/2) marginals and specified concurrence graph. Let  $(B_1, \ldots, B_{n+1})$  be a draw from this distribution. Then set  $X_i = \mathbf{1}(B_i = B_{n+1})$ . The concurrence graph gives  $P(X_i = 1) = p_i$ , and for  $i \neq j$ ,  $P(X_i = X_j) = P(B_i = B_j) = \lambda_{ij}$ .

Conversely, suppose such an *n* dimensional distribution with  $\text{Bern}(p_i)$  marginals exists. Let  $B_{n+1} \sim \text{Bern}(1/2)$  independent of the  $X_i$ , and set  $B_i = B_{n+1}X_i + (1-B_{n+1})(1-X_i)$ . Then  $P(B_i = 1) = (1/2)p_i + (1/2)(1-p_i) = 1/2$ , and  $P(B_i = B_{n+1}) = p_i$ , the correct concurrence parameter. Finally, for  $i \neq j$ ,

$$P(B_i = B_j) = P(X_i = X_j) = \lambda_{ij}.$$

Lemma 3 can be used to finish the n = 4 case.

**Lemma 4.** A random vector  $(B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4)$  with  $B_i \sim \text{Bern}(1/2)$  exists (and is possible to simulate in a constant number of steps) if and only if for

$$\ell = \max\{\lambda_{14} + \lambda_{24} + \lambda_{13} + \lambda_{23}, \lambda_{14} + \lambda_{34} + \lambda_{12} + \lambda_{23}, \lambda_{24} + \lambda_{34} + \lambda_{12} + \lambda_{13}\}$$
$$u = \min_{\{i,j,k\}} (\lambda_{ij} + \lambda_{jk} + \lambda_{ik}),$$

it is true that

$$\ell \leq u+1 \text{ and } 1 \leq u.$$

*Proof.* By using Lemma 3, the problem is reduced to finding a distribution for  $(X_1, X_2, X_3)$  where  $X_i \sim \text{Bern}(\lambda_{i4})$  and the upper 3 by 3 minor of  $\Lambda$  is the new concurrence matrix. Just as in Lemma 2, this gives eight equations of full rank with a single parameter  $\alpha$ . Letting  $q_{ijk} = \mathbb{P}(X_1 = i, X_2 = j, X_3 = i)$ 

k), the unique solution is

$$q_{000} = (1/2)(\lambda_{12} + \lambda_{13} + \lambda_{23}) - (1/2) - \alpha$$

$$q_{001} = -(1/2)(\lambda_{14} + \lambda_{24} + \lambda_{13} + \lambda_{23}) + 1 + \alpha$$

$$q_{010} = -(1/2)(\lambda_{14} + \lambda_{34} + \lambda_{12} + \lambda_{23}) + 1 + \alpha$$

$$q_{011} = (1/2)(\lambda_{24} + \lambda_{34} + \lambda_{23}) - (1/2) - \alpha$$

$$q_{100} = -(1/2)(\lambda_{24} + \lambda_{34} + \lambda_{12} + \lambda_{13}) + 1 + \alpha$$

$$q_{101} = (1/2)(\lambda_{14} + \lambda_{34} + \lambda_{13}) - (1/2) - \alpha$$

$$q_{110} = (1/2)(\lambda_{34} + \lambda_{24} + \lambda_{12}) - (1/2) - \alpha$$

$$q_{111} = \alpha.$$

All of these right hand sides lie in [0, 1] if and only if  $u \ge 1$ ,  $\ell \le u + 1$ , and  $\alpha$  is chosen to lie in  $[(1/2)\ell - 1, (1/2)(u - 1)] \cap [0, 1]$ .

As with the 3 dimensional case, this proof can be used to simulate a 4 dimensional multivariate symmetric Bernoulli: generate  $(X_1, X_2, X_3)$  using any  $\alpha \in [(1/2)\ell - 1, (1/2)(u-1)] \cap [0, 1]$  and the q distribution, then generate  $B_4 \sim \text{Bern}(1/2)$ , and then set  $B_i$  to be  $B_4X_i + (1 - B_4)(1 - X_i)$  for  $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ .

## 3 Conclusions

The Fréchet-Hoeffding bounds give a lower and upper bound on the pairwise correlation between two random variables with given marginals. Hence for higher dimensions the correlation matrix provides edge weights for a convexity graph whose parameters indicated where on the line from the lower to the upper bound the correlation lies. When it is possible to build a multivariate distribution with these convexities for marginals that are symmetric Bernoulli, then it is possible to build a multivariate distribution with these convexities for arbitrary marginals. For two, three and four dimensions, the set of convexity matrices that yield a symmetric Bernoulli distribution is characterized completely. For five or higher dimensions, every subset of three and four have these characterizations as necessary conditions.

**Acknowledgement** Support from the National Science Foundation (grant DMS - 1007823) is gratefully acknowledged.

### References

- N. R. Chaganty and H. Joe. Range of correlation matrices for dependent Bernoulli random variables. *Biometrika*, 93:197–206, 2006.
- [2] L. Devroye. Non-uniform random variate generation. Springer, 1986.
- [3] L. Devroye and G. Letac. Copulas in three dimensions with prescribed correlations. 2010. arXiv:1004.3146v1.
- [4] C. T. S. Dias, A. Samaranayaka, and B. Manly. On the use of correlated Beta random variables with animal population modelling. *Ecological Modelling*, 5:293–300, 2008.
- [5] V. M Dukic and N. Marić. Minimum correlation in construction of multivariate distributions. *Physical Review E*, 87(3):032114, 2013.
- [6] G. S. Fishman. Monte Carlo: concepts, algorithms, and applications. Springer-Verlag, 1996.
- [7] M. Fréchet. Sur les tableaux de corrélation dont les marges sont données. Annales de l'Université de Lyon, 4, 1951.
- [8] S. G. Henderson, B. A. Chiera, and R. M. Cooke. Generating dependent quasi-random numbers. *Proceedings of the Winter Simulation Conference 2000*, Vol. 1. IEEE, 2000.
- R. R. Hill and C. H. Reilly. Composition for multivariate random variables. Proceedings of the Winter Simulation Conference 1994, 332–339, IEEE, 1994.
- [10] W. Hoeffding. Masstabinvariante korrelatiostheorie. Schriften des Mathematischen Instituts und des Instituts f
  ür Angewandte Mathematik der Universitat Berlin, 5:179–233, 1940.
- [11] D. G. Lampard. A stochastic process whose successive intervals between events form a first order Markov chain: I. *Journal of Applied Probability*, pages 648–668, 1968.
- [12] A. J. Lawrance and P. A. W. Lewis. A new autoregressive time series model in exponential variables (NEAR (1)). Advances in Applied Probability, 13(4):826–845, 1981.

- [13] S. T. Li and J. L. Hammond. Generation of pseudorandom numbers with specified univariate distributions and correlation coefficients. Systems, Man and Cybernetics, IEEE Transactions (1975): 557-561.
- [14] K. V. Mardia. A translation family of bivariate distributions and Fréchet's bounds. Sankhyã: The Indian Journal of Statistics, Series A (1970): 119-122.
- [15] R. B. Nelson. An Introduction to Copulas. Springer, 1999.
- [16] O. E. Smith and S. I. Adelfang. Gust model based on the bivariate gamma probability distribution. *Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets*, 18(6):545–549, 1981.