Moments of an exponential functional of random walks and permutations with given descent sets

Tamás Szabados^{*†}and Balázs Székely[‡] Budapest University of Technology and Economics

Running head: Moments and permutations

Abstract

The exponential functional of simple, symmetric random walks with negative drift is an infinite polynomial $Y = 1 + \xi_1 + \xi_1 \xi_2 + \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 + \cdots$ of independent and identically distributed non-negative random variables. It has moments that are rational functions of the variables $\mu_k = \mathbf{E}(\xi^k) < 1$ with universal coefficients. It turns out that such a coefficient is equal to the number of permutations with descent set defined by the multiindex of the coefficient. A recursion enumerates all numbers of permutations with given descent sets in the form of a Pascal-type triangle.

1 Introduction

The present work was induced by the following problem from probability theory, cf. [4]. Let $(X_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables with $\mathbf{P}(X_j = \pm 1) = \frac{1}{2}$. Further, let $S_0 = 0$, $S_k = \sum_{j=1}^k X_j$ $(k \ge 1)$ be a simple, symmetric random walk. Introduce the following exponential functional Y of the random walk with negative drift:

$$Y = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \exp(S_k - k\nu) = 1 + \xi_1 + \xi_1 \xi_2 + \cdots, \qquad \xi_j = \exp(X_j - \nu), \qquad (1)$$

where $\nu > 0$. Y is an approximation of a widely investigated exponential functional of Brownian motion, important for example in studying Asian options of financial mathematics. To generalize it somewhat, let $(\xi_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be independent and identically distributed random variables, $\xi_j \ge 0$. Consider first the finite

^{*}Corresponding author, address: Department of Mathematics, Budapest University of Technology and Economics, Műegyetem rkp 3, H ép V em, Budapest, 1521, Hungary, e-mail: szabados@math.bme.hu

 $^{^\}dagger \mathrm{Research}$ supported by the French–Hungarian intergovernmental grant "Balaton" F-39/2000.

[‡]Research supported by the HSN laboratory of BUTE.

²⁰⁰⁰ MSC. Primary 05A05, 05A19. Secondary 60C05.

Key words and phrases. Random walk, exponential functional, permutations with given descent sets, Pascal's triangle, infinite polynomials of random variables.

polynomials

$$Y_n = 1 + \xi_1 + \xi_1 \xi_2 + \dots + \xi_1 \dots \xi_n$$

= 1 + \xi_1 (1 + \xi_2 + \xi_2 \xi_3 + \dots + \xi_2 \dots \xi_n) \dots (n \ge 1).

This equation implies the following equality in distribution (denoted by $\stackrel{d}{=}$): $Y_n \stackrel{d}{=} 1 + \xi Y_{n-1}$, where $\xi \stackrel{d}{=} \xi_1$ and ξ is independent of Y_{n-1} . Since $Y_n \nearrow Y = 1 + \xi_1 + \xi_1 \xi_2 + \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 + \cdots$ as $n \to \infty$, in the limit we get a stochastic difference equation for the infinite polynomial Y:

$$Y \stackrel{\mathsf{d}}{=} 1 + \xi Y$$

where ξ is independent of Y.

Then using the binomial theorem, the following recursion can be obtained for the *p*th moment $e_p = \mathbf{E}(Y^p)$ of Y for any positive integer p:

$$e_p = \frac{1}{1 - \mu_p} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} {p \choose k} \mu_k e_k,$$
(2)

supposing $\mu_p < 1$, where $\mu_k = \mathbf{E}(\xi^k)$, $e_k = \mathbf{E}(Y^k)$, $k \ge 0$. We mention that $\mathbf{E}(Y^p) < \infty$ if and only if $\mu_p < 1$, and then $\mu_k < 1$ for any $1 \le k < p$ as well, cf. [4, Theorem 2]. Observe that (2) defines a recursive sequence $e_p = e_p(\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_p)$ for $p \ge 1$ with $e_0 = 1$, irrespective of any probability theory background. In this recursion the μ_k 's may be considered as variables that may take any value except 1 for $k \ge 1$. Thus from now on we always suppose that $\mu_k \neq 1$ for $k \ge 1$ and $\mu_0 = 1$.

It follows from (2) by induction that e_p is a rational function of the variables μ_1, \ldots, μ_p for any integer $p \ge 1$:

$$e_p = \frac{1}{(1-\mu_1)\cdots(1-\mu_p)} \sum_{(j_1,\dots,j_{p-1})\in\{0,1\}^{p-1}} a_{j_1\dots j_{p-1}}^{(p)} \mu_1^{j_1}\cdots\mu_{p-1}^{j_{p-1}}, \quad (3)$$

where the coefficients of the numerator are *universal* constants, being independent of the parameters in the recursion.

These coefficients $a_{j_1...j_{p-1}}^{(p)}$ make a symmetrical, Pascal's triangle-like table if each row is listed in the increasing order of the binary numbers $j_{p-1}2^{p-2} + \cdots + j_12^0$, defined by the multiindices (j_1, \ldots, j_{p-1}) , see the rows $p = 1, \ldots, 5$ in Table 1.

Two natural questions may arise at this point, independently of any probability theory background mentioned above. First, suppose that one defines a recursive sequence $(e_p)_{p=1}^{\infty}$ by (2) with coefficients $a_{j_1...j_{p-1}}^{(p)}$ given by (3). Can one attach any *direct* mathematical meaning to these coefficients $a_{j_1...j_{p-1}}^{(p)}$ then? The answer is yes, and rather surprisingly (as was conjectured in [4]), the coefficient $a_{j_1...j_{p-1}}^{(p)}$ is equal to the number of permutations $\pi \in S_p$ having descent $\pi(i) > \pi(i+1)$ exactly where $j_i = 1, 1 \le i \le p-1$, cf. Theorem 1 below.

Second, can one give a direct way to evaluate the coefficients $a_{j_1...j_{p-1}}^{(p)}$? The affirmative answer to this question is partly included in the previous answer, since several formulae are known for the number of permutations with given descent sets. However, an apparently new recursion was conjectured in [4], which is analogous to the recursion of binomial coefficients in the ordinary Pascal's triangle. The proof of this is the content of Lemma 2 below.

Table 1: The Pascal's triangle-like table of the coefficients

2 The results

In the next lemma we establish a direct recursion for the coefficients $a_{j_1...j_{p-1}}^{(p)}$.

Lemma 1. Fix a multiindex $(j_1, \ldots, j_{p-1}) \in \{0, 1\}^{p-1}$. Let S be the set of indices k where $j_k = 1$:

$$S = \{s_1, \dots, s_m\} = \{k : j_k = 1, 1 \le k \le p - 1\}, \quad m = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} j_k.$$
(4)

Then the coefficient $a_{j_1...j_{p-1}}^{(p)}$ defined by (3) can be obtained by the recursion

$$a_{j_{1}\dots j_{p-1}}^{(p)} = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} {p \choose k} j_{k} (-1)^{j_{k+1}+\dots+j_{p-1}} a_{j_{1}\dots j_{k-1}}^{(k)}$$
$$= \sum_{l=0}^{m} {p \choose s_{l}} (-1)^{m-l} a_{j_{1}\dots j_{s_{l}-1}}^{(s_{l})},$$
(5)

where, by definition, $a^{(0)} = 1$, $j_0 = 1$, $s_0 = 0$ and -1 powered to an empty sum is 1.

Proof. The second equality in (5) is a direct consequence of the definitions above. To show the first equality, substitute (3) into (2):

$$e_p = \frac{1}{1-\mu_p} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} {\binom{p}{k}} \frac{\mu_k}{(1-\mu_1)\cdots(1-\mu_k)} \sum_{(j_1,\dots,j_{k-1})\in\{0,1\}^{k-1}} a_{j_1\dots j_{k-1}}^{(k)} \mu_1^{j_1}\cdots\mu_{k-1}^{j_{k-1}}.$$

Here, multiplying by the common denominator and then collecting the coefficients of $\mu_1^{j_1} \cdots \mu_{p-1}^{j_{p-1}}$ for each (j_1, \ldots, j_{p-1}) we obtain

$$e_{p}(1-\mu_{1})\cdots(1-\mu_{p})$$

$$=\sum_{k=0}^{p-1}\sum_{(j_{1},\dots,j_{k-1})\in\{0,1\}^{k-1}}\binom{p}{k}a_{j_{1}\dots j_{k-1}}^{(k)}\mu_{1}^{j_{1}}\cdots\mu_{k-1}^{j_{k-1}}\mu_{k}(1-\mu_{k+1})\cdots(1-\mu_{p-1})$$

$$=\sum_{(j_{1},\dots,j_{p-1})\in\{0,1\}^{p-1}}\mu_{1}^{j_{1}}\cdots\mu_{p-1}^{j_{p-1}}\sum_{k=0}^{p-1}\binom{p}{k}a_{j_{1}\dots j_{k-1}}^{(k)}j_{k}(-1)^{j_{k+1}}\cdots(-1)^{j_{p-1}}.$$

This and (3) imply the first equality in (5).

Now we turn to the proof of the equality of the coefficient $a_{j_1...j_{p-1}}^{(p)}$ given by (3) and the number of permutations $b^{(p)}(S)$ with descent set S given by (4). The descent set of a permutation $\pi \in S_p$ is defined as $D(\pi) = \{i : \pi(i) > \pi(i+1), 1 \le i \le p-1\}$. It is known, cf. [3, p. 69], that the number of permutations $\pi \in S_p$ with a given descent set $S = (s_1, \ldots, s_m), 1 \le s_1 < \cdots < s_m \le p-1$, can be obtained by the following inclusion-exclusion formula:

$$b^{(p)}(S) = b^{(p)}(s_1, \dots, s_m)$$

=
$$\sum_{1 \le i_1 < \dots < i_j \le m} (-1)^{m-j} {p \choose s_{i_1}, s_{i_2} - s_{i_1}, \dots, s_{i_j} - s_{i_{j-1}}, p - s_{i_j}} .(6)$$

Theorem 1. The coefficient $a_{j_1...j_{p-1}}^{(p)}$ given by (2) and (3) is equal to the number of permutations $b^{(p)}(S)$ with descent set S given by (4).

Proof. It is enough to show that the numbers $b^{(p)}(S)$ satisfy the same recursion (5) as the numbers $a_{j_1...j_{p-1}}^{(p)}$ do, that is,

$$b^{(p)}(s_1,\ldots,s_m) = \sum_{l=0}^m \binom{p}{s_l} (-1)^{m-l} b^{(s_l)}(s_1,\ldots,s_{l-1}),$$
(7)

where, by definition, $s_0 = 0$ and $b^{(s_0)} = b^{(0)} = 1$.

To show this, let us substitute the inclusion-exclusion formula (6) into the right hand side of (7):

$$\begin{split} \sum_{l=0}^{m} \binom{p}{s_{l}} (-1)^{m-l} b^{(s_{l})}(s_{1}, \dots, s_{l-1}) \\ &= (-1)^{m} + \sum_{l=1}^{m} \binom{p}{s_{l}} (-1)^{m-l} \sum_{1 \leq i_{1} < \dots < i_{j} \leq l-1} (-1)^{l-1-j} \binom{s_{l}}{s_{i_{1}}, s_{i_{2}} - s_{i_{1}}, \dots, s_{l} - s_{i_{j}}} \\ &= (-1)^{m} + \sum_{l=1}^{m} \sum_{1 \leq i_{1} < \dots < i_{j} < l} (-1)^{m-j-1} \binom{p}{s_{i_{1}}, s_{i_{2}} - s_{i_{1}}, \dots, s_{l} - s_{i_{j}}, p - s_{l}} \\ &= (-1)^{m} + \sum_{1 \leq i_{1} < \dots < i_{j} < l \leq m} (-1)^{m-(j+1)} \binom{p}{s_{i_{1}}, s_{i_{2}} - s_{i_{1}}, \dots, s_{l} - s_{i_{j}}, p - s_{l}} \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i_{1} < \dots < i_{r} \leq m} (-1)^{m-r} \binom{p}{s_{i_{1}}, s_{i_{2}} - s_{i_{1}}, \dots, s_{l} - s_{i_{r}}, p - s_{l}} = b^{(p)}(s_{1}, \dots, s_{m}) \end{split}$$

This proves (7), and so completes the proof.

Lemma 1 described a recursion that uses all previous rows of Table 1 to compose the elements of a new row. In the next lemma we show a recursion that uses only the previous row and which is an analog of the recursion formula in the ordinary Pascal's triangle: $\binom{p}{k} = \binom{p-1}{k-1} + \binom{p-1}{k}$. Interestingly, an application of this identity is a key step in the following algebraic proof as well. We also give a simple combinatorial proof which basically translates the well-known method by which permutations in S_p can be obtained from permutations in S_{p-1} by adjoining the number p.

Lemma 2. The following recursion holds for any $p \ge 2$ and multiindex $(j_1, \ldots, j_{p-1}) \in \{0,1\}^{p-1}$:

$$a_{j_1\dots j_{p-1}}^{(p)} = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \delta_i a_{j_1^{(i)}\dots j_{p-2}^{(i)}}^{(p-1)} = \sum_{(i_1,\dots,i_{p-2})\in L(j_1,\dots,j_{p-1})} a_{i_1\dots i_{p-2}}^{(p-1)}, \tag{8}$$

where $a^{(1)} = 1$, $\delta_i = |j_i - j_{i-1}|$ for $i \ge 2$, $\delta_1 = 1$, $j_k^{(i)} = j_k$ for $1 \le k \le i-1$, $j_k^{(i)} = j_{k+1}$ for $i \le k \le p-2$, and $L(j_1, \ldots, j_{p-1})$ is the set of all distinct binary sequences obtained from (j_1, \ldots, j_{p-1}) by deleting exactly one digit. For example, $a_{0110}^{(5)} = 11 = a_{110}^{(4)} + a_{010}^{(4)} + a_{011}^{(4)}$.

Proof. First we prove the second equality in (8). For this it is enough to show that if the same binary sequence is obtained from (j_1, \ldots, j_{p-1}) when eliminating either the kth or the lth digit (k < l), then all digits between the kth and lth (including these two) are uniformly either 0's or 1's (a run of 0's or 1's). Therefore, the two recursions given in (8) are the same.

Consider a multiindex $(j_1, \ldots, j_{k-1}, j_k, \ldots, j_l, j_{l+1}, \ldots, j_{p-1}) \in \{0, 1\}^{p-1}$. Suppose that we get the same binary sequence by deleting j_k and j_l , respectively: $(j_1, \ldots, j_{k-1}, j_{k+1}, \ldots, j_l, j_{l+1}, \ldots, j_{p-1}) = (j_1, \ldots, j_{k-1}, j_k, \ldots, j_{l-1}, j_{l+1}, \ldots, j_{p-1})$. Then $j_k = j_{k+1} = \cdots = j_{l-1} = j_l$, so the second equality in (5) really holds.

Now it remains to show the first equality in (8), that is, the recursion itself. A combinatorial proof of the recursion. Given a binary sequence (j_1, \ldots, j_{p-1}) , let us remove a single 1 from a run of 1's or a single 0 from a run of 0's. Count the number of permutations in S_{p-1} determined by the resulting multiindex

the number of permutations in S_{p-1} determined by the resulting multiindex (i_1, \ldots, i_{p-2}) . This number is $a_{i_1 \ldots i_{p-2}}^{(p-1)}$ by Theorem 1. We want to show that there is a uniquely determined adjoining of the number p to any such permutation from S_{p-1} to obtain a permutation from S_p corresponding to the original multindex (j_1, \ldots, j_{p-1}) .

If a 0 was deleted from a run of 0's, the number p should be inserted right after the number at the position of the first 1 following the affected run of 0's. (If the given run happens to be the last, p is inserted as the last number.) When a 1 was deleted from a run of 1's, the number p should be inserted right after the number at the position of the last 0 preceding the affected run of 1's. (If the given run happens to be the first, p is inserted as the first number.) Since these insertions are the only ones that reconstruct the original descent set, the recursion is proved.

An algebraic proof of the recursion. We are going to proceed by induction over p. Thus suppose that the recursion holds for all multiindices of lengths smaller than p-1. First we use the recursion of Lemma 1 for the terms in the right side of (8), then we change the order of summation to obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \delta_i a_{j_1^{(i)} \dots j_{p-2}^{(i)}}^{(p-1)} = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \delta_i \sum_{k=0}^{p-2} {p-1 \choose k} j_k^{(i)} (-1)^{j_{k+1}^{(i)} + \dots + j_{p-2}^{(i)}} a_{j_1^{(i)} \dots j_{k-1}^{(i)}}^{(k)}$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{p-2} {p-1 \choose k} \left\{ j_{k+1} (-1)^{j_{k+2} + \dots + j_{p-1}} \sum_{i=1}^k \delta_i a_{j_1^{(i)} \dots j_{k-1}^{(i)}}^{(k)} + j_k (-1)^{j_{k+1} + \dots + j_{p-1}} a_{j_1 \dots j_{k-1}}^{(k)} \sum_{i=k+1}^{p-1} \delta_i (-1)^{j_i} \right\}.$$

Here in the last expression, one may use the induction hypothesis for the first sum. In the second sum observe that $\delta_i(-1)^{j_i}$ is 1 if $j_{i-1} = 1$ and $j_i = 0$, it is -1 if $j_{i-1} = 0$ and $j_i = 1$, and it equals 0 otherwise. Hence we get the identity $j_k \sum_{i=k+1}^{p-1} \delta_i(-1)^{j_i} = j_k(1-j_{p-1})$. Thus one obtains that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \delta_i a_{j_1^{(i)} \dots j_{p-2}^{(i)}}^{(p-1)} = \sum_{k=0}^{p-2} \left\{ \binom{p-1}{k} j_{k+1} (-1)^{j_{k+2} + \dots + j_{p-1}} a_{j_1 \dots j_k}^{(k+1)} + \binom{p-1}{k} (1-j_{p-1}) j_k (-1)^{j_{k+1} + \dots + j_{p-1}} a_{j_1 \dots j_{k-1}}^{(k)} \right\}$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{p-2} \left\{ \binom{p-1}{k-1} + \binom{p-1}{k} (1-j_{p-1}) \right\} j_k (-1)^{j_{k+1} + \dots + j_{p-1}} a_{j_1 \dots j_{k-1}}^{(k)} + (1-j_{p-1})(-1)^{j_1 + \dots + j_{p-1}} + \binom{p-1}{p-2} j_{p-1} a_{j_1 \dots j_{p-2}}^{(p-1)}.$$

To rewrite the terms above we use recursion (5) in the following case:

$$a_{j_1\dots j_{p-2}}^{(p-1)} = \sum_{k=0}^{p-2} \binom{p-1}{k} j_k (-1)^{j_{k+1}+\dots+j_{p-2}} a_{j_1\dots j_{k-1}}^{(k)},$$

plus the identity $-j_{p-1}(-1)^{j_{p-1}} = j_{p-1}$, and the recursion for binomial coefficients:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \delta_i a_{j_1^{(i)} \dots j_{p-2}^{(i)}}^{(p-1)} = \sum_{k=1}^{p-2} \left\{ \binom{p-1}{k-1} + \binom{p-1}{k} \right\} j_k (-1)^{j_{k+1} + \dots + j_{p-1}} a_{j_1 \dots j_{k-1}}^{(k)}$$
$$-j_{p-1} (-1)^{j_{p-1}} \left(a_{j_1 \dots j_{p-2}}^{(p-1)} - (-1)^{j_1 + \dots + j_{p-2}} \right)$$
$$+ (1-j_{p-1}) (-1)^{j_1 + \dots + j_{p-1}} + \binom{p-1}{p-2} j_{p-1} a_{j_1 \dots j_{p-2}}^{(p-1)}$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \binom{p}{k} j_k (-1)^{j_{k+1} + \dots + j_{p-1}} a_{j_1 \dots j_{k-1}}^{(k)} = a_{j_1 \dots j_{p-1}}^{(p)}.$$

This completes the proof.

The results above imply that Table 1 has properties analogous to the ones of Pascal's triangle: each entry $a_{j_1...j_{p-1}}^{(p)}$ is a positive integer, the first and the last entries, $a_{0...0}^{(p)}$ and $a_{1...1}^{(p)}$ are 1, the table has symmetries $a_{j_1...j_{p-1}}^{(p)} = a_{j_{p-1}...j_1}^{(p)}$ and $a_{j_1...j_{p-1}}^{(p)} = a_{1-j_1...1-j_{p-1}}^{(p)}$, and the sum of the 2^{p-1} entries in the *p*th row is p!.

3 Some remarks

We mention that beside the indirect recursion (2), (3), direct recursions (5) and (8), and sieve formula (6), there are other methods as well in the literature that can be used for evaluating the coefficients $a_{j_1...j_{p-1}}^{(p)}$. Here we mention two

of them. Zabrocki [5] uses the following rather fast and practical 'splitting' recursion:

$$a_{j_1\dots j_{p-1}}^{(p)} = \sum_{\{k:j_{k-1}=0, j_k=1, 1\le k\le p\}} \binom{p-1}{k-1} a_{j_1\dots j_{k-2}}^{(k-1)} a_{j_{k+1}\dots j_{p-1}}^{(p-k)},$$

where, by definition, $j_0 = 0$ and $j_p = 1$. Its verification is simple: this recursion divides the set of permutations in S_p with descent set defined by the 1's in (j_1, \ldots, j_{p-1}) into disjoint subsets, where the largest number p is at the different local maxima k where $j_{k-1} = 0$ and $j_k = 1$. Then there are $\binom{p-1}{k-1}$ ways to pick the numbers to precede the largest, with $a_{j_1\ldots j_{k-2}}^{(k-1)}$ permutations, and $a_{j_{k+1}\ldots j_{p-1}}^{(p-k)}$ permutations for the numbers succeeding the largest, fitting the given descent set.

Another method (of mostly theoretical interest) can be based on MacMahon's determinant, cf. [3, p. 69]:

$$a_{j_1\dots j_{p-1}}^{(p)} = b^{(p)}(s_1,\dots,s_m) = p! \det\left[1/(s_{j+1}-s_i)!\right], \qquad (i,j) \in [0,m] \times [0,m].$$

where the descent set $S = (s_1, \ldots, s_m)$ is defined by (4), $s_0 = 0$, and $s_{m+1} = p$. This gives the recursion

$$b^{(p)}(s_1,\ldots,s_m) = \frac{1}{s_1!}b^{(p)}(s_2-s_1,\ldots,s_m-s_1) - \frac{1}{s_2!}b^{(p)}(s_3-s_2,\ldots,s_m-s_2).$$

Obviously, the coefficients $a_{j_1...j_{p-1}}^{(p)}$ are closely related to other important classifications of permutations as well. The Eulerian number A(p,k) which counts the permutations in S_p having exactly k-1 descents (that is, exactly k runs), where $p \ge 1, 1 \le k \le p$, can be written as

$$A(p,k) = \sum_{\{(j_1,\dots,j_{p-1})\in\{0,1\}^{p-1}:\sum_{i=1}^{p-1}j_i=k-1\}} a_{j_1\dots j_{p-1}}^{(p)}$$

Also, let I(p,k) be the number of permutations in S_p with k inversions $(p \ge 1, 0 \le k \le {p \choose 2})$. By MacMahon's theorem, cf. [1, Section 5.1.1], I(p,k) is equal to the number of permutations in S_p with major index k, thus

$$I(p,k) = \sum_{\{(j_1,\dots,j_{p-1})\in\{0,1\}^{p-1}:\sum_{i=1}^{p-1}ij_i=k\}} a_{j_1\dots,j_{p-1}}^{(p)}$$

Finally, let us express the generating function of the coefficients $a_{j_1...j_{p-1}}^{(p)}$ by the help of a suitable recursive sequence $(e_p)_{p=1}^{\infty}$ given by (2). First, one can assign a positive integer n to any $p \geq 1$ and multiindex (j_1, \ldots, j_{p-1}) by the equation $n = 2^{p-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} j_k 2^{k-1}$, in a one-to-one way. Then let us introduce the notation $\alpha_n = a_{j_1...j_{p-1}}^{(p)}$, $n \geq 1$. This way the coefficients are arranged in a single sequence.

Second, take the variables (moments) $\mu_k = x^{2^{k-1}}$ for $k \ge 1, x \ne 1$, and let $\mu_0 = 1$. Then define the sequence $(e_p(x))_{p=1}^{\infty}$ by (2):

$$e_p(x) = \frac{1}{1 - x^{2^{p-1}}} \left\{ 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \binom{p}{k} x^{2^{k-1}} e_k(x) \right\}.$$

Also, using (3) and the definition of n one obtains that

$$x^{2^{p-1}}e_p(x) = \frac{1}{(1-x)(1-x^2)(1-x^4)\cdots(1-x^{2^{p-1}})} \sum_{n=2^{p-1}}^{2^p-1} \alpha_n x^n.$$

Hence the generating function of the sequence $(\alpha_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ can be expressed as

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n x^n = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} x^{2^{p-1}} (1-x)(1-x^2)(1-x^4) \cdots (1-x^{2^{p-1}}) e_p(x).$$

References

- Knuth, D.E. (1973) The Art of Computer Programming. Vol. 3. Sorting and searching. Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass.
- [2] MacMahon, P.A. (1915) Combinatory Analysis. Vol. 1. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, England.
- [3] Stanley, R. (1986) Enumerative Combinatorics. Vol. 1. Wadsworth and Brooks/Cole Mathematics Series, Monterey, Calif.
- [4] Szabados, T. and Székely, B. (2003) An exponential functional of random walks. J. Appl. Prob. to appear.
- [5] Zabrocki, M. (2001) Integer sequence A060351. On-line encyclopedia of integer sequences. http://www.research.att.com/~njas/sequences/Seis.html