



Erratum

Note on effects of joint replenishment and channel coordination for managing multiple deteriorating products in a supply chain

BC Giri and T Maiti

Journal of the Operational Research Society (2012) **63**, 1177. doi:10.1057/jors.2012.78**Correction to:** *Journal of the Operational Research Society* (2012) **63**, 861–863. doi:10.1057/jors.2011.87

In the print version of the above viewpoint article, Table 1 was missing. Please find the Table below:

Table 1 Optimal results for low and high levels of deterioration rate and unit cost of the retailer

Policy	Optimal decisions	Low deterioration rate	High deterioration rate	Low unit cost	High unit cost
<i>I</i>	T_1^*	1.4434	0.9252	1.2844	1.0172
	T_2^*	2.9326	1.9659	2.6837	2.1182
	T_3^*	2.1826	1.4191	1.9606	1.5500
	T_4^*	1.6415	1.0615	1.4698	1.1620
	TC_r	8307	11 841	7779	12 343
	TC_m	2254	4160	2754	3482
	TC	10 561	16 001	10 533	15 825
<i>II</i>	T^*	1.0979	0.7095	0.9803	0.7782
	TC_r	7757 (−6.62%)	11 000 (−7.10%)	7172 (−7.80%)	11 569 (−6.27%)
	TC_m	2182 (−3.19%)	4473 (+ 7.52%)	2830 (+ 2.76%)	3565 (+ 2.38%)
	TC	9939 (−5.89%)	15 473 (−3.30%)	10 002 (−5.04%)	15 134 (−4.37%)
<i>III</i>	T_1^{**}	2.6715	1.8174	2.4255	1.9530
	T_2^{**}	5.2736	3.6344	4.8542	3.8825
	T_3^{**}	3.9791	2.7052	3.6230	2.9072
	T_4^{**}	3.1562	2.1773	2.8909	2.3242
	TC_r	8637 (+ 3.97%)	12 454 (+ 5.18%)	8175 (+ 5.09%)	12 864 (+ 4.22%)
	TC_m	1212 (−46.23%)	2117 (−49.11%)	1454 (−47.02%)	1810 (−48.02%)
	TC	9849 (−6.74%)	14 571 (−8.94%)	9629 (−8.58%)	14 674 (−7.27%)
<i>IV</i>	T^{**}	2.3108	1.6325	2.1316	1.7317
	TC_r	8015 (−3.52%)	11 516 (−2.74%)	7485 (−3.78%)	12 001 (−2.77%)
	TC_m	818 (−63.71%)	1487 (−64.25%)	1010 (−63.32%)	1243 (−64.30%)
	TC	8833 (−16.36%)	13 003 (−18.74%)	8495 (−19.35%)	13 244 (−16.31%)

Figure in ‘()’ indicates % change with respect to the corresponding result under Policy *I*.