

## An Alternative proof of Steinhaus Theorem

*Arpan Sadhukhan, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bangalore, India*

Steinhaus's Theorem states that if  $A$  is a Lebesgue measurable set on the real line such that the Lebesgue measure of  $A$  is not zero then the difference set  $A - A = \{a - b \mid a, b \in A\}$  contains an open neighbourhood of origin [1]. Since there is a compact set of positive measure inside any set of positive measure. It is enough to prove the result for compact sets.

**Theorem** For any compact set  $C \subset \mathbb{R}$  of positive measure, the difference set  $D = C - C = \{a - b \mid a, b \in C\}$  contains an interval containing 0.

*Proof:* Suppose the set  $D$  does not contain an interval around origin, then  $\exists$  a sequence  $x_n \rightarrow 0$  such that  $x_n \notin D, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We proceed by induction to create arbitrarily large number of mutually disjoint sets  $A_1, A_2, A_3 \dots$  such that  $A_i$  is of the form  $x_{n_i} + C$  for all  $i \geq 2, i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $A_1 = x_1 + C$ , clearly  $A_1$  satisfies the above property. Now suppose  $A_1, A_2 \dots A_k$  is created in such a manner. We will now create  $A_{k+1}$ .

Suppose for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}, \exists$  an  $i \leq k$  such that the set  $x_n + C$  intersects  $A_i$ , then there exists a  $j \leq k$  such that  $x_n + C$  intersects  $A_j$  for infinitely values of  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , so  $\exists$  a subsequence  $\{y_m\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $x_{n_j} - y_m \in D$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . As  $y_m \rightarrow 0$  and  $D$  is compact,  $x_{n_j}$  belongs to  $D$  (a contradiction). So there exists an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that the set  $x_N + C$  does not intersect  $A_i$  for any  $i \leq k$ . Define  $A_{k+1} = x_N + C$ . So we have our desired set.

Now for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the set  $x_n + C$  lies in some bounded set  $[-R, R]$  for some  $R \in \mathbb{N}$  and for any  $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$  measure of  $A_p > 0, A_q > 0$  and measure of  $A_p$  equals measure of  $A_q$ . So we have an arbitrary large number collection of mutually disjoint sets of the same positive measure in  $[-R, R]$  (a contradiction). Hence the result follows.

## 1 References

[1]. T.Tao, *An Introduction to Measure Theory*, 1st edition, American Mathematical Society.

(Accepted American Mathematical Monthly(16/3/19))