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#### Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the quantity $m_{q}:=\min _{\chi \neq \chi_{0}}\left|L^{\prime} / L(1, \chi)\right|$, as $q \rightarrow \infty$ over the primes, where $L(s, \chi)$ is the Dirichlet $L$-function attached to a non trivial Dirichlet character modulo $q$. Our main result shows that $m_{q} \ll \log \log q / \sqrt{\log q}$. We also compute $m_{q}$ for every odd prime $q$ up to $10^{7}$. As a consequence we numerically verified that for every odd prime $q, 3 \leq q \leq 10^{7}$, we have $c_{1} / q<m_{q}<5 / \sqrt{q}$, with $c_{1}=21 / 200$. In particular, this shows that $L^{\prime}(1, \chi) \neq 0$ for every non trivial Dirichlet character $\chi \bmod q$ where $3 \leq q \leq 10^{7}$ is prime, answering a question of Gun, Murty and Rath in this range. We also provide some statistics and scatter plots regarding the $m_{q}$-values, see Section6. The programs used and the computational results described here are available at the following web address: http://www.math.unipd.it/~languasc/smallvalues.html.


## 1. Introduction

Let $q$ be an odd prime, $\chi$ be a non-trivial Dirichlet character $\bmod q$, and $L(s, \chi)$ be the Dirichlet $L$-function attached to $\chi$. We also denote by $\chi_{0}$ the trivial Dirichlet character $\bmod q$. It is well known that the size of the logarithmic derivative of $L(s, \chi)$ at 1 is connected with the distribution of its non-trivial zeros; moreover, its average over non trivial characters was recently studied by Ihara in his papers [7, 8] about the Euler-Kronecker constant for number fields. In particular, denoting by $\zeta_{q}$ a primitive $q$-th root of unity and $\zeta_{\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{q}\right)}(s)$ the Dedekind zeta-function of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{q}\right)$, the expansion of $\zeta_{\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{q}\right)}(s)$ near $s=1$ is

$$
\zeta_{\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{q}\right)}(s)=\frac{c_{-1}}{s-1}+c_{0}+O(s-1)
$$

and the Euler-Kronecker constant of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{q}\right)$ is defined as

$$
\lim _{s \rightarrow 1}\left(\frac{\zeta_{\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{q}\right)}(s)}{c_{1}}-\frac{1}{s-1}\right)=\frac{c_{0}}{c_{-1}} .
$$

Recalling that $\zeta_{\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{q}\right)}(s)=\zeta(s) \prod_{\chi \neq \chi_{0}} L(s, \chi)$, where $\zeta(s)$ is the Riemann zeta-function, by logarithmic differentiation we immediately get that the Euler-Kronecker constant for the prime cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{q}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{W}_{q}:=\gamma+\sum_{\chi \neq \chi_{0}} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi) . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quantity $\mathfrak{W}_{q}$ is sometimes denoted by $\gamma_{q}$ but this conflicts with notations used in the literature. Computational results on $\mathfrak{G}_{q}$ are developed in the papers of Ford-Luca-Moree [4] and Languasco [12].

These results motivate the study of extreme values of $\left|L^{\prime} / L(1, \chi)\right|$ both theoretically and computationally. Concerning the large values of $\left|L^{\prime} / L(1, \chi)\right|$, Ihara, Murty and Shimura [9]

[^0]proved that that under the assumption of the Generalised Riemann Hypothesis we have
$$
M_{q}:=\max _{\chi \neq \chi_{0} \bmod q}\left|\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi)\right| \leq(2+o(1)) \log \log q \text {. }
$$

On the other hand, by adapting the techniques of Lamzouri [10], one can show that if $q$ is a large prime then

$$
M_{q} \geq(1+o(1)) \log \log q .
$$

Moreover, computational results on $M_{q}$ can be found in Languasco [12] and in Languasco-Righi [13].
In this paper, we investigate the small values of $\left|L^{\prime} / L(1, \chi)\right|$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{q}:=\min _{\chi \neq \chi_{0} \bmod q}\left|\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi)\right| \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we prove the following result
Theorem 1.1. Let $q$ be a large prime. Then, we have

$$
m_{q} \ll \frac{\log \log q}{\sqrt{\log q}}
$$

In fact, there are at least $q(\log \log q)^{2} / \log q$ non-principal characters $\chi \bmod q$ such that

$$
\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi) \ll \frac{\log \log q}{\sqrt{\log q}}
$$

Moreover, the implicit constants are absolute and effective.
Theorem 1.1 gives the first known non-trivial upper bound for $m_{q}$. Furthermore, using the algorithm developed in Languasco-Righi [13] together with the results of Languasco [12], we were able to compute the values of $m_{q}$ for $q \leq 10^{7}$ and obtain the following computational result.
Theorem 1.2. For every odd prime $q, 3 \leq q \leq 10^{7}$, we have $c_{1} / q<m_{q}<5 / \sqrt{q}$, with $c_{1}=21 / 200$.

In particular, the lower bound in Theorem 1.2 implies the following
Corollary 1.3. For every odd prime qup to $10^{7}$ and for every non-trivial Dirichlet character $\chi \bmod q$, we have $L^{\prime}(1, \chi) \neq 0$.

Corollary 1.3 is connected with a conjecture of Gun, Murty and Rath (see Conjecture 1.2 of [6]) concerning the linear independence over the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}$ of the values $\log \Gamma(a / q)$, $1 \leq a \leq q,(a, q)=1$. In particular, letting

$$
Z_{q}:=\left\{\alpha: \alpha=\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi) \text { for some primitive character } \chi \bmod q\right\}
$$

Theorem 1.2 implies that $0 \notin Z_{q}$ for every odd prime $q$ up to $10^{7}$, thus responding affirmatively to a question on page 6 of [6] in this range of $q$.

Theorem 1.2 also suggests that the upper bound of Theorem 1.1 is far from being optimal. In fact, the data on $m_{q}$ for $q \leq 10^{7}$ (see Figures 1,2 and 3 at the end of the paper) show a remarkable fit between the maximal and minimal values of $m_{q}$, and the curves $b_{1} / \sqrt{q}$ and $c_{1} / q$ respectively, for some constant $b_{1}>0$. Based on this we make the following conjecture

Conjecture 1.4. For all $\varepsilon>0$ and for all odd primes $q$ we have

$$
q^{-1-\varepsilon}<_{\varepsilon} m_{q}<_{\varepsilon} q^{-1 / 2+\varepsilon} .
$$

In particular, for all odd primes $q, 0 \notin Z_{q}$.
In order to prove Theorem 1.1, our idea consists of studying the distribution of $L^{\prime} / L(1, \chi)$ as $\chi$ varies among non-principal characters modulo $q$. Indeed, we shall compare this distribution to that of an adequate probabilistic random model, which we construct as follows. Let $\{\mathbb{X}(p)\}_{p}$ be a sequence of independent random variables, indexed by the primes, and uniformly distributed on the unit circle. We extend the $\mathbb{X}(p)$ multiplicatively, by putting $\mathbb{X}(n)=\prod_{i=1}^{k} \mathbb{X}\left(p_{i}\right)^{a_{i}}$ if the prime factorization of $n$ is $n=\prod_{i=1}^{k} p_{i}^{a_{i}}$. We now consider the random sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ld}(1, \mathbb{X}):=-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n) \mathbb{X}(n)}{n}=\sum_{p} \frac{(\log p) \mathbb{X}(p)}{p-\mathbb{X}(p)}, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Lambda(n)$ denotes the von Mangoldt function. Since $\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{X}(n))=0$ for all $n>1$, and $\sum_{n \geq 2} \Lambda(n)^{2} / n^{2}<\infty$, it follows from Kolmogorov's three series theorem that $\operatorname{Ld}(1, \mathbb{X})$ is almost surely convergent. Ihara, Murty and Shimura [9] proved that as $q \rightarrow \infty$ through primes, the distribution of $L^{\prime} / L(1, \chi)$ as $\chi$ varies over non-principal characters modulo $q$, converges to that of $\operatorname{Ld}(1, \mathbb{X})$. More precisely, for any rectangle $\mathscr{R} \subset \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{q-1}\left|\left\{\chi \neq \chi_{0} \bmod q: \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi) \in \mathscr{R}\right\}\right|=\mathbb{P}(\operatorname{Ld}(1, \mathbb{X}) \in \mathscr{R}) . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to gain an understanding of how small $L^{\prime} / L(1, \chi)$ can be, we shall improve the results of Ihara, Murty and Shimura, by bounding the "discrepancy" of the distribution of $L^{\prime} / L(1, \chi)$, which we define as

$$
\mathbb{D}(q):=\sup _{\mathscr{R}}\left|\frac{1}{q-1}\right|\left\{\chi \neq \chi_{0} \bmod q: \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi) \in \mathscr{R}\right\}|-\mathbb{P}(\operatorname{Ld}(1, \mathbb{X}) \in \mathscr{R})|,
$$

where the supremum is taken over all rectangles (possibly unbounded) of the complex plan with sides parallel to the coordinate axes. Using the approach of Lamzouri, Lester and Radziwiłł [11], we prove the following result, from which we shall deduce Theorem 1.1.
Theorem 1.5. Let $q$ be a large prime. Then we have

$$
\mathbb{D}(q) \ll \frac{(\log \log q)^{2}}{\log q} .
$$

To establish (1.4), Ihara, Murty and Shimura investigated the moments of $L^{\prime} / L(1, \chi)$. For any positive integer $k$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{k}(n)=\sum_{\substack{n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{k} \geq 1 \\ n_{1} n_{2} \cdots n_{k}=n}} \Lambda\left(n_{1}\right) \Lambda\left(n_{2}\right) \cdots \Lambda\left(n_{k}\right) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for all complex numbers $s$ with $\operatorname{Re}(s)>1$ we have

$$
\left(\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(s, \chi)\right)^{k}=(-1)^{k} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda_{k}(n)}{n^{s}} \chi(n) .
$$

Ihara, Murty and Shimura proved (see Theorem 5 of [9]) that for all fixed integers $k, \ell \geq 1$ and for all $\varepsilon>0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_{0} \bmod q}\left(\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi)\right)^{k} \overline{\left(\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi)\right.}\right)^{\ell}=(-1)^{k+\ell} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda_{k}(n) \Lambda_{\ell}(n)}{n^{2}}+O_{k, \ell, \varepsilon}\left(q^{\varepsilon-1}\right) . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the main term of this asymptotic formula equals the corresponding moments of the probabilistic random model. Indeed, since $\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{X}(n) \overline{\mathbb{X}(m)})=1$ if $m=n$ and equals 0 otherwise, then for all $k, \ell \geq 1$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left(\operatorname{Ld}(1, \mathbb{X})^{k} \overline{\operatorname{Ld}(1, \mathbb{X})}^{\ell}\right)=(-1)^{k+\ell} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda_{k}(n) \Lambda_{\ell}(n)}{n^{2}} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, the factor $q^{\varepsilon}$ in the error term of (1.6) is due to the possible "exceptional" character modulo $q_{]}^{\dagger}$ In order to prove Theorem 1.5, we need to show that the asymptotic formula (1.6) holds uniformly for $k, \ell \ll(\log q) / \log \log q$. To this end, we need to remove the possible contribution of the exceptional character $\chi_{1}$, as it will heavily affect the moments. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{F}_{q}:=\left\{\chi \neq \chi_{0} \bmod q: \chi \text { is not exceptional }\right\} . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $q-2 \leq|\mathscr{F}| \leq q-1$. We establish the following result, which improves (1.6).
Theorem 1.6. Let $q$ be a large prime. For all positive integers $k, \ell \leq \log q /(50 \log \log q)$ we have

$$
\frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{\chi \in \mathscr{F}_{q}}\left(\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi)\right)^{k}\left({\left.\overline{\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi)}\right)^{\ell}=\mathbb{E}\left(\operatorname{Ld}(1, \mathbb{X})^{k} \overline{\operatorname{Ld}(1, \mathbb{X})}^{\ell}\right)+O\left(q^{-1 / 30}\right) . . . . . . .}\right.
$$

The plan of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we shall investigate the distribution of the random model $\operatorname{Ld}(1, \mathbb{X})$, and deduce Theorem 1.1 from Theorem 1.5. In Section 3 we establish Theorem 1.6, which gives asymptotic formulas for large moments of $L^{\prime} / L(1, \chi)$. These are then used in Section 4 to show that the characteristic function of $L^{\prime} / L(1, \chi)$ is very close to that of the probabilistic random model $\operatorname{Ld}(1, \mathbb{X})$. Theorem 1.5 will be deduced from this result using Beurling-Selberg polynomials. In Section 5, we shall present the numerical approach we use to prove Theorem 1.2. Finally, in Section6, located after the References, we shall insert some tables and figures.
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## 2. The distribution of $\operatorname{Ld}(1, \mathbb{X})$, and the deduction of Theorem 1.1

The characteristic function of the joint distribution of $\operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{Ld}(1, \mathbb{X}))$ and $\operatorname{Im}(\operatorname{Ld}(1, \mathbb{X}))$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\mathrm{rand}}(u, v):=\mathbb{E}(\exp (i u \operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{Ld}(1, \mathbb{X}))+i v \operatorname{Im}(\operatorname{Ld}(1, \mathbb{X})))) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$. By (1.3) it follows that

$$
\Phi_{\mathrm{rand}}(u, v)=\prod_{p} \Phi_{\mathrm{rand}}(u, v ; p),
$$

where

$$
\Phi_{\mathrm{rand}}(u, v ; p):=\mathbb{E}\left(\exp \left(i u \operatorname{Re} \frac{(\log p) \mathbb{X}(p)}{p-\mathbb{X}(p)}+i v \operatorname{Im} \frac{(\log p) \mathbb{X}(p)}{p-\mathbb{X}(p)}\right)\right) .
$$

We first show that $\Phi_{\text {rand }}(u, v)$ is rapidly decreasing as $|u|,|v| \rightarrow \infty$.

[^1]Proposition 2.1. There exists a constant $c_{0}>0$ such that for all $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $|u|,|v| \geq 2$ we have

$$
\Phi_{\text {rand }}(u, v) \ll \exp \left(-c_{0}(|u|+|v|)\right) .
$$

Proof. First, note that for all primes $p$ and all $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $\left|\Phi_{\mathrm{rand}}(u, v ; p)\right| \leq 1$. Hence, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Phi_{\mathrm{rand}}(u, v)\right| \leq \prod_{p \geq X}\left|\Phi_{\mathrm{rand}}(u, v ; p)\right|, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any parameter $X \geq 2$. Furthermore, observe that

$$
\frac{(\log p) \mathbb{X}(p)}{p-\mathbb{X}(p)}=\frac{\log p}{p} \mathbb{X}(p)+O\left(\frac{\log p}{p^{2}}\right) .
$$

This implies

$$
\Phi_{\mathrm{rand}}(u, v ; p)=\mathbb{E}\left(\exp \left(i u \operatorname{Re} \frac{(\log p) \mathbb{X}(p)}{p}+i v \operatorname{Im} \frac{(\log p) \mathbb{X}(p)}{p}\right)\right)+O\left(\frac{(|u|+|v|) \log p}{p^{2}}\right) .
$$

Therefore, if $p>\max (|u| \log |u|,|v| \log |v|)$ then

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{\mathrm{rand}}(u, v ; p)= & \mathbb{E}\left(1+i u \operatorname{Re} \frac{(\log p) \mathbb{X}(p)}{p}+i v \operatorname{Im} \frac{(\log p) \mathbb{X}(p)}{p}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{2}\left(u \operatorname{Re} \frac{(\log p) \mathbb{X}(p)}{p}+v \operatorname{Im} \frac{(\log p) \mathbb{X}(p)}{p}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& +O\left(\frac{(|u|+|v|)^{3} \log ^{3} p}{p^{3}}+\frac{(|u|+|v|) \log p}{p^{2}}\right)  \tag{2.3}\\
= & 1-\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right) \frac{\log ^{2} p}{4 p^{2}}+O\left(\frac{(|u|+|v|)^{3} \log ^{3} p}{p^{3}}+\frac{(|u|+|v|) \log p}{p^{2}}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

since $\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{X}(p))=0, \mathbb{E}(\operatorname{Re} \mathbb{X}(p) \operatorname{Im} \mathbb{X}(p))=0$, and $\mathbb{E}\left(\left(\operatorname{Im} \mathbb{X}(p)^{2}\right)=\mathbb{E}\left(\left(\operatorname{Re} \mathbb{X}(p)^{2}\right)=1 / 2\right.\right.$. We now choose $X=A \max (|u| \log |u|,|v| \log |v|)$ for a suitably large constant $A>0$. Then inserting this estimate in (2.2), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\Phi_{\mathrm{rand}}(u, v)\right| \leq & \exp \left(-\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right) \sum_{p>X} \frac{\log ^{2} p}{4 p^{2}}\right. \\
& \left.+O\left((|u|+|v|)^{3} \sum_{p>X} \frac{\log ^{3} p}{p^{3}}+(|u|+|v|) \sum_{p>X} \frac{\log p}{p^{2}}\right)\right) \\
& \ll \exp \left(-c_{0}(|u|+|v|)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c_{0}>0$ is a constant that depends on $A$. This completes the proof.
Since $\Phi_{\text {rand }}(u, v)$ is exponentially decreasing by Proposition 2.1, it follows from the Fourier inversion formula that the distribution of $\operatorname{Ld}(1, \mathbb{X})$ is absolutely continuous and has a smooth density function defined by

$$
g(x, y):=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i(u x+v y)} \Phi_{\mathrm{rand}}(u, v) d u d v .
$$

To deduce Theorem 1.1 from Theorem 1.5, we need to show that $g(0,0)>0$. This follows from the following result of Borchsenius and Jessen [1].

Theorem 2.2 (Borchsenius and Jessen [1]). Let $\Downarrow(n)$ be a sequence of independent random variables uniformly distributed on the unit circle. Let $f(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ell_{k} z^{k}$ be an analytic function in a disc $|z|<\rho$, such that $\ell_{1} \neq 0$. Let $\left\{r_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ and $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ be sequences of real numbers such that $0<r_{n}<\rho$ and

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{n}\right| r_{n}^{2}<\infty, \text { and } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}^{2} r_{n}^{2}<\infty .
$$

Then the sum of random variables

$$
\mathbb{Y}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} f\left(r_{n} \mathbb{Y}(n)\right),
$$

is almost surely convergent and has a absolutely continuous distribution with a smooth density $h(x, y)$. Moreover, if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{n}\right| r_{n}$ diverges then $h(x, y)>0$ for all $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$.

Remark 2.3. Borchsenius and Jessen [1] only proved this result for the sum of random variables $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f\left(r_{n} \mathbb{Y}(n)\right)$ (see Theorems 5 and 7 of [ $\mathbb{1}$ ), but their proof extends easily to the more general case $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} f\left(r_{n} \mathbb{Y}(n)\right)$.
Corollary 2.4. We have $g(x, y)>0$ for all $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$.
Proof. By (1.3) we have

$$
\operatorname{Ld}(1, \mathbb{X})=\sum_{p}(\log p) f\left(\frac{\mathbb{X}(p)}{p}\right),
$$

where

$$
f(z)=\frac{z}{1-z}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^{n},
$$

is analytic in $|z|<1$. We can then verify that all the conditions of Theorem 2.2 are verified, since $\sum_{p}(\log p) / p^{2}$ and $\sum_{p}(\log p)^{2} / p^{2}$ converge, and $\sum_{p}(\log p) / p$ diverges. This completes the proof.

Deducing Theorem 1.1 from Theorem 1.5. We recall that $q$ is a prime number. Let $\varepsilon=\varepsilon(q)>0$ be a small parameter to be chosen, such that $\varepsilon(q) \rightarrow 0$ as $q \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\Psi_{q}(\varepsilon)$ denotes the number of non-principal characters $\chi \neq \chi_{0} \bmod q$ such that

$$
\left|\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi)\right| \leq \varepsilon
$$

By Theorem 1.5 we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\Psi_{q}(\varepsilon)}{q-1} & \geq \frac{1}{q-1}\left|\left\{\chi \neq \chi_{0} \bmod q: \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi) \in\left(-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)^{2}\right\}\right|  \tag{2.4}\\
& =\mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{Ld}(1, \mathbb{X}) \in\left(-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)^{2}\right)+O\left(\frac{(\log \log q)^{2}}{\log q}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand if $\varepsilon$ is suitably small then we have

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{Ld}(1, \mathbb{X}) \in\left(-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)^{2}\right)=\int_{-\varepsilon / 2}^{\varepsilon / 2} \int_{-\varepsilon / 2}^{\varepsilon / 2} g(x, y) d x d y \gg \varepsilon^{2}
$$

since $g$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and $g(0,0)>0$ by Corollary 2.4. Hence, choosing $\varepsilon=$ $C \log \log q / \sqrt{\log q}$ for some suitably large constant $C$ we deduce that

$$
\Psi_{q}(\varepsilon) \gg \frac{q(\log \log q)^{2}}{\log q},
$$

which implies the result.
We end this section by proving the following the proposition, which gives uniform bounds for the moments of $|\operatorname{Ld}(1, \mathbb{X})|$. This will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.5 .

Proposition 2.5. There exists a constant $c>0$ such that for all positive integers $k \geq 8$ we have

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(|\operatorname{Ld}(1, \mathbb{X})|^{2 k}\right) \leq(c \log k)^{2 k}
$$

Proof. Let $y>2$ be a real number to be chosen. By Minkowski's inequality and a weak form of the Prime Number Theorem we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}\left(|\operatorname{Ld}(1, \mathbb{X})|^{2 k}\right)^{1 /(2 k)} & \leq \mathbb{E}\left(\left|\sum_{n \leq y} \frac{\Lambda(n) \mathbb{X}(n)}{n}\right|^{2 k}\right)^{1 /(2 k)}+\mathbb{E}\left(\left|\sum_{n>y} \frac{\Lambda(n) \mathbb{X}(n)}{n}\right|^{2 k}\right)^{1 /(2 k)} \\
& \leq \sum_{n \leq y} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n}+\mathbb{E}\left(\left|\sum_{n>y} \frac{\Lambda(n) \mathbb{X}(n)}{n}\right|^{2 k}\right)^{1 /(2 k)}  \tag{2.5}\\
& <\log y+\mathbb{E}\left(\left|\sum_{n>y} \frac{\Lambda(n) \mathbb{X}(n)}{n}\right|^{2 k}\right)^{1 /(2 k)}
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\Lambda_{\ell, y}(n):=\sum_{\substack{n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{\ell}>y \\ n_{1} n_{2} \cdots n_{\ell}=n}} \Lambda\left(n_{1}\right) \Lambda\left(n_{2}\right) \cdots \Lambda\left(n_{\ell}\right)
$$

Then, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left(\left|\sum_{n>y} \frac{\Lambda(n) \mathbb{X}(n)}{n}\right|^{2 k}\right) & =\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{n>y^{k}} \frac{\Lambda_{k, y}(n) \mathbb{X}(n)}{n} \sum_{n>y^{k}} \frac{\Lambda_{k, y}(m) \overline{\mathbb{X}(m)}}{m}\right) \\
& =\sum_{n>y^{k}} \frac{\Lambda_{k, y}(n)^{2}}{n^{2}} \leq \sum_{n>y^{k}} \frac{(\log n)^{2 k}}{n^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{\ell, y}(n) \leq \Lambda_{\ell}(n) \leq\left(\sum_{m \mid n} \Lambda(m)\right)^{\ell}=(\log n)^{\ell} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, since $(\log n)^{2 k} / \sqrt{n}$ is decreasing for $n>e^{4 k}$, we deduce that if $y \geq e^{4}$ then

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\left|\sum_{n>y} \frac{\Lambda(n) X(n)}{n}\right|^{2 k}\right) \leq \frac{(k \log y)^{2 k}}{y^{k / 2}} \sum_{n>y^{k}} \frac{1}{n^{3 / 2}} \ll \frac{(k \log y)^{2 k}}{y^{k}} .
$$

Choosing $y=k^{2}$ and inserting this estimate in (2.5) completes the proof.
3. Asymptotic formulas for the moments of $L^{\prime} / L(1, \chi)$ : Proof of Theorem 1.6

We first start with the following classical lemma, which provides a bound for $L^{\prime} / L(s, \chi)$ when $s$ is far from a zero of $L(z, \chi)$.
Lemma 3.1. Let $\chi$ be a non-principal character modulo $q$. Let $t$ be a real number and suppose that $L(z, \chi)$ has no zeros for $\operatorname{Re}(z)>\sigma_{0}$ and $|\operatorname{Im}(z)| \leq|t|+1$. Then for any $\sigma>\sigma_{0}$ we have

$$
\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(\sigma+i t, \chi) \ll \frac{\log (q(|t|+2))}{\sigma-\sigma_{0}} .
$$

Proof. Let $\rho$ runs over the non-trivial zeros of $L(s, \chi)$. Then it follows from equation (4) of Chapter 16 of Davenport [2] and a simple density theorem that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(\sigma+i t, \chi) & =\sum_{\rho:|t-\operatorname{Im}(\rho)|<1} \frac{1}{\sigma+i t-\rho}+O(\log (q(|t|+2))) \\
& \ll \frac{1}{\sigma-\sigma_{0}}\left(\sum_{\rho:|t-\operatorname{Im}(\rho)|<1} 1\right)+\log (q(|t|+2)) \\
& \ll \frac{\log (q(|t|+2))}{\sigma-\sigma_{0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

as desired.
Using this lemma we can approximate large powers of $L^{\prime} / L(1, \chi)$ by short Dirichlet polynomials, if $L(s, \chi)$ has no zeros in a certain region to the left of the line $\operatorname{Re}(s)=1$.
Proposition 3.2. Let $0<\delta<1 / 2$ be fixed, and $q$ be large. Let $y \geq(\log q)^{10 / \delta}$ be a real number and $k \leq 2 \log q / \log y$ be a positive integer. Then, for any non-principal character $\chi \bmod q$, if $L(s, \chi)$ is non-zero for $\operatorname{Re}(s)>1-\delta$ and $|\operatorname{Im}(s)| \leq y^{k \delta}$, then we have

$$
\left(\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi)\right)^{k}=(-1)^{k} \sum_{n \leq y^{k}} \frac{\Lambda_{k}(n)}{n} \chi(n)+O_{\delta}\left(y^{-k \delta / 4}\right)
$$

where $\Lambda_{k}(n)$ is defined in (1.5).
Proof. Without loss of generality, suppose that $y^{k} \in \mathbb{Z}+1 / 2$. Let $c=1 /(k \log y)$, and $T$ be a large real number to be chosen. Then by Perron's formula, we have

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{c-i T}^{c+i T}\left(\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1+s, \chi)\right)^{k} \frac{y^{k s}}{s} d s=(-1)^{k} \sum_{n \leq y^{k}} \frac{\Lambda_{k}(n)}{n} \chi(n)+O\left(\frac{y^{k c}}{T} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda_{k}(n)}{n^{1+c}\left|\log \left(y^{k} / n\right)\right|}\right) .
$$

To bound the error term of this last estimate, we split the sum into three parts: $n \leq y^{k} / 2$, $y^{k} / 2<n<2 y^{k}$ and $n \geq 2 y^{k}$. The terms in the first and third parts satisfy $\left|\log \left(y^{k} / n\right)\right| \geq \log 2$, and hence their contribution is

$$
\ll \frac{1}{T} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda_{k}(n)}{n^{1+c}}=\frac{1}{T}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^{1+c}}\right)^{k} \ll \frac{(2 k \log y)^{k}}{T}
$$

by the prime number theorem. To handle the contribution of the terms $y^{k} / 2<n<2 y^{k}$, we put $r=n-y^{k}$, and use that $\left|\log \left(y^{k} / n\right)\right| \gg|r| / y^{k}$. In this case, we have $\Lambda_{k}(n) \leq(\log n)^{k} \leq$ $(2 k \log y)^{k}$, and hence the contribution of these terms is

$$
\ll \frac{(2 k \log y)^{k}}{T y^{k}} \sum_{|r| \leq y^{k}} \frac{y^{k}}{|r|} \ll \frac{(2 k \log y)^{k+1}}{T}
$$

We now choose $T=y^{k \delta / 2}$ and move the contour to the line $\operatorname{Re}(s)=-\delta / 2$. By our assumption, we only encounter a simple pole at $s=0$ which leaves a residue $\left(L^{\prime} / L(1, \chi)\right)^{k}$. Therefore, we deduce that

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{c-i T}^{c+i T}\left(\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1+s, \chi)\right)^{k} \frac{y^{k s}}{s} d s=\left(\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(s, \chi)\right)^{k}+E_{1}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{1} & =\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\left(\int_{c-i T}^{-\delta / 2-i T}+\int_{-\delta / 2-i T}^{-\delta / 2+i T}+\int_{-\delta / 2+i T}^{c+i T}\right)\left(-\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1+s, \chi)\right)^{k} \frac{y^{k s}}{s} d s \\
& <_{\delta} \frac{(\log (q T))^{k}}{T}+y^{-k \delta / 2}\left(\frac{\log (q T)}{\delta}\right)^{k+1} \\
& <_{\delta} y^{-k \delta / 4},
\end{aligned}
$$

by Lemma 3.1. Finally, since $(2 k \log y)^{k+1} / T \ll y^{-k \delta / 4}$, the result follows.
Now, using a standard zero density estimate due to Montgomery (see equation (3.1) below), we deduce from Proposition 3.2 that large powers of $L^{\prime} / L(1, \chi)$ can be approximated by short Dirichlet polynomials for almost all non-principal characters $\chi \bmod q$.
Corollary 3.3. Let $q$ be a large prime. Let $k$ be a positive integer such that $k \leq \log q /(50 \log \log q)$. For all except $O\left(q^{3 / 4}\right)$ non-principal characters $\chi \bmod q$ we have

$$
\left(\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi)\right)^{k}=(-1)^{k} \sum_{n \leq q} \frac{\Lambda_{k}(n)}{n} \chi(n)+O\left(q^{-1 / 20}\right)
$$

Proof. Let $N(\sigma, T, \chi)$ denote the number of zeros of $L(s, \chi)$ in the rectangle $\sigma<\operatorname{Re}(s) \leq 1$ and $|\operatorname{Im}(s)| \leq T$. The standard zero density result of Montgomery [14] states that for $q, T \geq 2$ and $1 / 2 \leq \sigma \leq 4 / 5$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\chi \bmod q} N(\sigma, T, \chi) \ll(q T)^{3(1-\sigma) /(2-\sigma)}(\log (q T))^{9} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choosing $\delta=1 / 5$, we deduce that for all except $O\left(q^{3 / 4}\right)$ non-principal characters $\chi \bmod q$, $L(s, \chi)$ does not vanish in the region $\operatorname{Re}(s)>1-\delta$ and $|\operatorname{Im}(s)| \leq q^{\delta}$. We now take $y=q^{1 / k}$ in Proposition 3.2, to obtain that for all except $O\left(q^{3 / 4}\right)$ non-principal characters $\chi \bmod q$ we have

$$
\left(\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi)\right)^{k}=(-1)^{k} \sum_{n \leq q} \frac{\Lambda_{k}(n)}{n} \chi(n)+O\left(q^{-1 / 20}\right),
$$

as desired.
Another consequence of Proposition 3.2 is that $L^{\prime} / L(1, \chi) \ll \log \log q$ for all except for a small exceptional set of non-principal characters $\chi \bmod q$.
Corollary 3.4. Let $q$ be a large prime. Then for all but $O\left(q^{3 / 4}\right)$ non-principal characters $\chi \bmod q$ we have

$$
\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi) \ll \log \log q
$$

Proof. Taking $\delta=1 / 5, k=1$ and $y=(\log q)^{50}$ in Proposition 3.2 and using (3.1) as in the proof of Corollary 3.3 we deduce that for all except $O\left(q^{3 / 4}\right)$ non-principal characters $\chi \bmod q$, we have

$$
\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi)=-\sum_{n \leq y} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n} \chi(n)+O\left(y^{-1 / 20}\right) \ll \log \log q
$$

We now have all the ingredients to establish asymptotic formulas for large moments of $L^{\prime} / L(1, \chi)$, over the characters $\chi \in \mathscr{F}_{q}$, where $\mathscr{F}_{q}$ is defined in (1.8).

Proof of Theorem [1.6. First, note that for any positive integer $r \geq 1$ by the Prime Number Theorem we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \leq q} \frac{\Lambda_{r}(n)}{n} \leq\left(\sum_{n \leq q} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n}\right)^{r} \ll(2 \log q)^{r} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathscr{E}_{q}$ be the exceptional set in Corollary 3.3. Then it follows from this result that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{\chi \in \mathscr{F}_{q} \backslash \mathscr{\&}_{q}}\left(\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi)\right)^{k}\left(\overline{\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi)}\right)^{\ell}  \tag{3.3}\\
& =\frac{(-1)^{k+\ell}}{q-1} \sum_{\chi \in \mathscr{F}_{q} \backslash \mathscr{E}_{q}} \sum_{n \leq q} \frac{\Lambda_{k}(n)}{n} \chi(n) \sum_{m \leq q} \frac{\Lambda_{\ell}(m)}{m} \overline{\chi(m)}+E_{2},
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
E_{2} \ll q^{-1 / 20}(2 \log q)^{\max (k, \ell)} \ll q^{-1 / 30}
$$

by (3.2). Now, by (3.2) and the orthogonality of Dirichlet characters the main term on the right hand side of (3.3) equals

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (-1)^{k+\ell} \sum_{n \leq q} \frac{\Lambda_{k}(n)}{n} \sum_{m \leq q} \frac{\Lambda_{\ell}(m)}{m} \frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{\chi \in \mathscr{F}_{q} \backslash \mathscr{C}_{q}} \chi(n) \overline{\chi(m)} \\
& =(-1)^{k+\ell} \sum_{n, m \leq q} \frac{\Lambda_{k}(n) \Lambda_{\ell}(m)}{n m} \frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{\chi \bmod q} \chi(n) \overline{\chi(m)}+O\left(\frac{(2 \log q)^{k+\ell}}{q^{1 / 4}}\right) \\
& =(-1)^{k+\ell} \sum_{n \leq q} \frac{\Lambda_{k}(n) \Lambda_{\ell}(n)}{n^{2}}+O\left(q^{-1 / 8}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\left|\mathscr{E}_{q}\right| \ll q^{3 / 4}$. Finally using (2.6), together with the fact that the function $(\log t)^{k} / \sqrt{t}$ is decreasing for $t \geq e^{2 k}$, we obtain

$$
\sum_{n>q} \frac{\Lambda_{k}(n) \Lambda_{\ell}(n)}{n^{2}} \leq \sum_{n>q} \frac{(\log n)^{k+\ell}}{n^{2}} \ll \frac{(\log q)^{k+\ell}}{\sqrt{q}} \sum_{n>q} \frac{1}{m^{3 / 2}} \ll \frac{(\log q)^{k+\ell}}{q} \ll q^{-1 / 2}
$$

Inserting these estimates in (3.3) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{\chi \in \mathscr{F}_{q} \backslash \&_{q}}\left(\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi)\right)^{k} \overline{\left(\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi)\right.}\right)^{\ell}=(-1)^{k+\ell} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda_{k}(n) \Lambda_{\ell}(n)}{n^{2}}+O\left(q^{-1 / 30}\right) . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, it follows from Lemma 3.1 along with the classical zero-free region for $L(s, \chi)$ that for $\chi \in \mathscr{F}_{q}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi) \ll(\log q)^{2} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, combining this bound with (3.4) yields

$$
\frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{\chi \in \mathscr{F}_{q}}\left(\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi)\right)^{k} \overline{\left(\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi)\right)^{\ell}}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{\chi \in \mathscr{F}_{\backslash} \backslash \mathscr{E}_{q}}\left(\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi)\right)^{k}\left(\overline{\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi)}\right)^{\ell}+O\left(q^{-1 / 4}(\log q)^{2 k+2 \ell}\right) \\
& =(-1)^{k+\ell} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda_{k}(n) \Lambda_{\ell}(n)}{n^{2}}+O\left(q^{-1 / 30}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4. Bounding the discrepancy of the distribution of $L^{\prime} / L(1, \chi)$ : Proof of Theorem 1.5

Theorem 1.5 is proved along the same lines of Theorem 1.1 of [11], which bounds the discrepancy of the distribution of the logarithm of the Riemann zeta function to the right of the critical line. The main ingredient of the proof is the following result, which shows that the characteristic function of the joint distribution of $\operatorname{Re}\left(L^{\prime} / L(1, \chi)\right)$ and $\operatorname{Im}\left(L^{\prime} / L(1, \chi)\right)$ is very close to that of the random variables $\operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{Ld}(1, \mathbb{X}))$ and $\operatorname{Im}(\operatorname{Ld}(1, \mathbb{X}))$. For $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ we define

$$
\Phi_{q}(u, v):=\frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_{0} \bmod q} \exp \left(i u \operatorname{Re} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi)+i v \operatorname{Im} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi)\right) .
$$

Then we prove
Theorem 4.1. Let $q$ be a large prime. There exists an absolute constant $b_{0}>0$ such that for $|u|,|v| \leq b_{0}(\log q) /(\log \log q)^{2}$ we have

$$
\Phi_{q}(u, v)=\Phi_{\mathrm{rand}}(u, v)+O\left(\exp \left(-\frac{\log q}{100 \log \log q}\right)\right)
$$

where $\Phi_{\mathrm{rand}}(u, v)$ is defined (2.1).
Proof. Let $N=\lfloor\log q /(100 \log \log q)\rfloor$ and put $r=\max (|u|,|v|)$. Recalling (1.8) and using the Taylor expansion of $e^{u}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{q}(u, v)= & \frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{\chi \in \mathscr{F}_{q}} \exp \left(i u \operatorname{Re} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi)+i v \operatorname{Im} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi)\right)+O\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) \\
= & \sum_{n \leq 2 N} \frac{i^{n}}{n!} \frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{\chi \in \mathscr{F}_{q}}\left(u \operatorname{Re} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi)+v \operatorname{Im} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi)\right)^{n}  \tag{4.1}\\
& \quad+O\left(\frac{(2 r)^{2 N}}{(2 N)!} \frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{\chi \in \mathscr{F}_{q}}\left|\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi)\right|^{2 N}+\frac{1}{q}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Now, by Theorem 1.6 and Proposition 2.5 we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{\chi \in \mathscr{F}_{q}}\left|\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi)\right|^{2 N}=\mathbb{E}\left(|\operatorname{Ld}(1, \mathbb{X})|^{2 N}\right)+O\left(q^{-1 / 30}\right) \ll(c \log N)^{2 N} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by Stirling's formula the error term of (4.1) is

$$
\ll\left(\frac{3 c r \log N}{N}\right)^{2 N}+\frac{1}{q} \ll e^{-N},
$$

by our assumption on $u$ and $v$, if $b_{0}$ is small enough.

Now, let $z_{1}=(u-i v) / 2$ and $z_{2}=(u+i v) / 2$. Then, it follows from Theorem 1.6 that the inner sum in the main term of (4.1) equals

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{\chi \in \mathscr{F}_{q}}\left(u \operatorname{Re} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi)+v \operatorname{Im} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi)\right)^{n} \\
& =\frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{\chi \in \mathscr{F}_{q}}\left(z_{1} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi)+z_{2} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi)\right)^{n} \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{n}{j} z_{1}^{j} z_{2}^{n-j} \frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{\chi \in \mathscr{F}_{q}}\left(\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi)\right)^{j}\left(\overline{\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi)}\right)^{n-j}  \tag{4.3}\\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{n}{j} z_{1}^{j} z_{2}^{n-j} \mathbb{E}\left(\operatorname{Ld}(1, \mathbb{X})^{j} \overline{\operatorname{Ld}(1, \mathbb{X})^{n-j}}\right)+O\left((2 r)^{n} q^{-1 / 30}\right) \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left((u \operatorname{ReLd}(1, \mathbb{X})+v \operatorname{ImLd}(1, \mathbb{X}))^{n}\right)+O\left((2 r)^{n} q^{-1 / 30}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Now, repeating the same argument leading to (4.1) but for the random model $\operatorname{Ld}(1, \mathbb{X})$, and using the bound (4.2) we deduce that

$$
\Phi_{\mathrm{rand}}(u, v)=\sum_{n \leq 2 N} \frac{i^{n}}{n!} \mathbb{E}\left((u \operatorname{ReLd}(1, \mathbb{X})+v \operatorname{ImLd}(1, \mathbb{X}))^{n}\right)+O\left(e^{-N}\right)
$$

Finally, combining this estimate with (4.1) and (4.3) completes the proof.
To deduce Theorem 1.5 from Theorem 4.1 we use Beurling-Selberg functions. For $z \in \mathbb{C}$ let

$$
H(z)=\left(\frac{\sin \pi z}{\pi}\right)^{2}\left(\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(n)}{(z-n)^{2}}+\frac{2}{z}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad K(z)=\left(\frac{\sin \pi z}{\pi z}\right)^{2} .
$$

Beurling proved that the function $B^{+}(x)=H(x)+K(x)$ majorizes $\operatorname{sgn}(x)$ and its Fourier transform has restricted support in $(-1,1)$. Similarly, the function $B^{-}(x)=H(x)-K(x)$ minorizes $\operatorname{sgn}(x)$ and its Fourier transform has the same property (see Lemma 5 of Vaaler [16]).
Let $\Delta>0$ and $a, b$ be real numbers with $a<b$. Take $\mathcal{F}=[a, b]$ and define

$$
F_{\Im, \Delta}(z)=\frac{1}{2}\left(B^{-}(\Delta(z-a))+B^{-}(\Delta(b-z))\right) .
$$

Then we have the following lemma, which is proved in [11] (see Lemma 4.7 therein and the discussion above it).

Lemma 4.2. The function $F_{\mathcal{F}, \Delta}$ satisfies the following properties

1. For all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $\left|F_{\Im, \Delta}(x)\right| \leq 1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{F}}(x)-F_{\mathcal{F}, \Delta}(x) \leq K(\Delta(x-a))+K(\Delta(b-x)) . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. The Fourier transform of $F_{\mathcal{Y}, \Delta}$ is

$$
\widehat{F}_{\mathcal{F}, \Delta}(\xi)= \begin{cases}\widehat{\mathbf{1}}_{\mathcal{F}}(\xi)+O(1 / \Delta) & \text { if }|\xi|<\Delta,  \tag{4.5}\\ 0 & \text { if }|\xi| \geq \Delta .\end{cases}
$$

Proof of Theorem 1.5 First, Corollary 3.4 shows that it suffices to consider rectangles $\mathscr{R}$ contained in $\left[-(\log \log q)^{2},(\log \log q)^{2}\right]^{2}$. Let $\mathscr{R}=[a, b] \times[c, d]$, with $|b-a|,|c-d| \leq$ $2(\log \log q)^{2}$. We also write $\mathcal{F}=[a, b]$ and $\mathscr{F}=[c, d]$.

Let $\Delta=b_{0}(\log q) /(\log \log q)^{2}$ where $b_{0}$ is the corresponding constant in Theorem 4.1. By Fourier inversion, (4.5), and Theorem 4.1 we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_{0}} F_{\mathcal{Y}, \Delta}\left(\operatorname{Re} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi)\right) F_{\mathscr{Y}, \Delta}\left(\operatorname{Im} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{F}_{\mathscr{F}, \Delta}(u) \widehat{F}_{\mathcal{F}, \Delta}(v) \Phi_{q}(u, v) d u d v \\
& =\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{2}} \int_{-\Delta}^{\Delta} \int_{-\Delta}^{\Delta} \widehat{F}_{\mathcal{F}, \Delta}(u) \widehat{F}_{\mathcal{F}, \Delta}(v) \Phi_{\mathrm{rand}}(u, v) d u d v+O\left(\frac{\left(\Delta(\log \log q)^{2}\right)^{2}}{(\log q)^{10}}\right)  \tag{4.6}\\
& =\mathbb{E}\left(F_{\mathcal{Y}, \Delta}(\operatorname{ReLd}(1, \mathbb{X})) F_{\mathcal{Y}, \Delta}(\operatorname{ImLd}(1, \mathbb{X}))\right)+O\left(\frac{1}{(\log q)^{2}}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Next note that $\widehat{K}(\xi)=\max (0,1-|\xi|)$. Applying Fourier inversion, Theorem 4.1, and Proposition 2.1 we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_{0}} K\left(\Delta \cdot\left(\operatorname{Re} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi)-\alpha\right)\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi \Delta} \int_{-\Delta}^{\Delta}\left(1-\frac{|\xi|}{\Delta}\right) e^{-i \alpha \xi} \Phi_{q}(\xi, 0) d \xi \ll \frac{1}{\Delta},
$$

where $\alpha$ is an arbitrary real number. By this and (4.4) we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_{0}} F_{\Im, \Delta}\left(\operatorname{Re} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi)\right)=\frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_{0}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathscr{J}}\left(\operatorname{Re} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi)\right)+O\left(\frac{1}{\Delta}\right) . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4.2 implies that $\left|F_{\mathscr{F}, \Delta}(x)\right| \leq 1$ and $\mathbf{1}_{\mathscr{F}}(x)-F_{\mathcal{F}, \Delta}(x) \geq 0$. Hence, by this and 4.7) we derive

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_{0}} F_{\mathscr{Y}, \Delta}\left(\operatorname{Re} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi)\right) F_{\nsubseteq, \Delta}\left(\operatorname{Im} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi)\right)  \tag{4.8}\\
& =\frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_{0}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathscr{F}}\left(\operatorname{Re} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi)\right) F_{\mathscr{Y}, \Delta}\left(\operatorname{Im} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi)\right)+O\left(\frac{1}{\Delta}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

A similar argument leading to (4.7) yields

$$
\frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_{0}} F_{\mathcal{F}, \Delta}\left(\operatorname{Im} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi)\right)=\frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_{0}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{F}}\left(\operatorname{Im} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi)\right)+O\left(\frac{1}{\Delta}\right) .
$$

Hence, combining this estimate with (4.8) and using Lemma 4.2 we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_{0}} F_{\mathcal{F}, \Delta}\left(\operatorname{Re} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi)\right) F_{\mathcal{F}, \Delta}\left(\operatorname{Im} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi)\right)  \tag{4.9}\\
& =\frac{1}{q-1}\left|\left\{\chi \neq \chi_{0} \bmod q: \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi) \in \mathscr{R}\right\}\right|+O\left(\frac{1}{\Delta}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

A similar argument applied to the random model shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left(F_{\mathcal{F}, \Delta}(\operatorname{ReLd}(1, \mathbb{X})) F_{\mathcal{Y}, \Delta}(\operatorname{ImLd}(1, \mathbb{X}))\right)=\mathbb{P}(\operatorname{Ld}(1, \mathbb{X}) \in \mathscr{R})+O\left(\frac{1}{\Delta}\right) \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting the estimates (4.9) and (4.10) in (4.6) completes the proof.

## 5. Computational part; proof of Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3

Recalling the main definitions in Section 3 of [12], we denote the first $\chi$-Bernoulli number as $B_{1, \chi}:=q^{-1} \sum_{a=1}^{q-1} a \chi(a)$, and $R(x)=-\left.\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial s^{2}} \zeta(s, x)\right|_{s=0}=\log \left(\Gamma_{1}(x)\right), x>0$, where $\zeta(s, x)$ is the Hurwitz zeta-function, and $s \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{1\}$. By eq. (3.5)-(3.6) of Deninger [3] we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R(x):=-\zeta^{\prime \prime}(0)-S(x), \\
& S(x):=2 \gamma_{1} x+(\log x)^{2}+\sum_{m=1}^{+\infty}\left((\log (x+m))^{2}-(\log m)^{2}-2 x \frac{\log m}{m}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\gamma_{1}=\lim _{N \rightarrow+\infty}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\log j}{j}-\frac{(\log N)^{2}}{2}\right), \quad \zeta^{\prime \prime}(0)=\frac{1}{2}\left(-(\log 2 \pi)^{2}-\frac{\pi^{2}}{12}+\gamma_{1}+\gamma^{2}\right)
$$

and $\gamma$ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant. Arguing as in Sections 3.1-3.2 of [12] we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{q}^{\text {odd }}:=\min _{\chi \text { odd }}\left|\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi)\right|=\min _{\chi \text { odd }}\left|\gamma+\log (2 \pi)+\frac{1}{B_{1, \chi}} \sum_{a=1}^{q-1} \bar{\chi}(a) \log \left(\Gamma\left(\frac{a}{q}\right)\right)\right| \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{q}^{\text {even }}:=\min _{\substack{\chi \neq \chi_{0} \\ \chi \text { veven }}}\left|\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1, \chi)\right|=\min _{\substack{\chi \neq \chi 0 \\ \text { xeven }}}\left|\gamma+\log (2 \pi)-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\sum_{a=1}^{q-1} \bar{\chi}(a) S(a / q)}{\sum_{a=1}^{q-1} \bar{\chi}(a) \log (\Gamma(a / q))}\right|, \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Gamma$ is Euler's function. In this way we can compute $m_{q}=\min \left(m_{q}^{\text {odd }}, m_{q}^{\text {even }}\right), 3 \leq q \leq 10^{7}$, $q$ prime, using the values of $\log \Gamma$ and $S$ obtained in [12] for $q \leq 10^{6}$ and with a new set of computation for $10^{6}<q \leq 10^{7}$. We recall that computing the needed values of $S(a / q)$ is the most time consuming step of the whole procedure; for a detailed description on how to obtain such values, we refer to [12] and to a new, much faster, algorithm developed by Languasco and Righi in [13]. In fact it is the latter method that made it possible to obtain the new set of results for $10^{6}<q \leq 10^{7}$.
5.1. Computations using PARI/GP (slower but with more digits available). First of all we notice that PARI/GP, v. 2.11.4, has the ability to generate the Dirichlet $L$-functions (and many other $L$-functions) and hence the computation of $m_{q}$ can be performed directly using (1.2) with a linear cost in the number of calls of the lfun function of PARI/GP. This, at least on our Dell OptiPlex-3050 desktop machine (Intel i5-7500 processor, $3.40 \mathrm{GHz}, 16 \mathrm{~GB}$ of RAM and running Ubuntu 18.04.2), is slower than using (5.1)-(5.2). Using such equations, we wrote a suitable gp script to obtain the values of $m_{q}$ for every odd prime $q$ up to 1000 with a precision of 30 digits, see Table 1
5.2. Computations using the $\mathbf{C}$ programming language and the fftw software library. For larger values of $q$ we exploited the Fast Fourier Transform approach described in Sections 4.1-4.2-4.3 of [12]; in this case we used the fftw software library. This method is much faster than the one described in the previous paragraph, but produces less digits in the numerical values obtained for $m_{q}$. Using the data on $S(a / q)$ for every odd prime $q \leq 10^{6}$ and $a=1, \ldots, q-1$ obtained in [12] and, for $10^{6}<q \leq 10^{7}$, computed with the algorithm described in [13], we obtained the values of $m_{q}$ for every odd prime $q \leq 10^{7}$ using the long double precision ( 80 bits) of the C programming language. For $q$ up to $10^{6}$ this just required about one day of time on the Dell Optiplex machine previously mentioned since the data on $S(a / q)$ were already
available from [12]. For the remaining $q$-range the new current computation was performed on the University of Padova Strategic Research Infrastructure Grant 2017: "CAPRI: Calclo ad Alte Prestazioni per la Ricerca e l'Innovazione", http://capri.dei.unipd.it; this step was performed using at most 60 computing nodes and it required about 48 hours of time (the global execution time, obtained by summing the declared computing time on each node, was of 101 days and 6 hours). Moreover, we recomputed, using a quadruple precision ( 128 bits) version of our program, the 192 cases in which we have $m_{q}<10^{-5}$. The total time required for performing such verifications was about twelve hours (on the same Optiplex machine already mentioned). We also remark that $m_{q}^{\text {odd }}>m_{q}^{\text {even }}$ for 333408 cases over a total number of primes equal to $664578(50.17 \%)$ and that $m_{q}^{\text {even }}>m_{q}^{\text {odd }}$ in the remaining 331170 cases ( $49.83 \%$ ).
The minimal value is $(6311157483 \ldots) \cdot 10^{-7}$ and it is attained at $q=6119053$; the maximal value is $0.3682816159701500 \ldots$ and it is attained at $q=3$.
5.3. Proofs of Theorem $\mathbf{1 . 2}$ and Corollary 1.3. An analysis on the data computed in the previous subsections reveals that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{21}{200 q}<m_{q}<\frac{5}{\sqrt{q}} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every odd prime $3 \leq q \leq 10^{7}$. This proves Theorem 1.2 . Such $m_{q}$-values are collected in a comma-separated values (csv) file available, together with the programs that performed the analysis leading to equation (5.3), at the following web address: http://www.math.unipd it/~languasc/smallvalues/results. In Section 6 we include some scatter plots for the normalised values of $m_{q}^{\prime}:=\frac{200}{21} q m_{q}$ to visualise the truth of the lower bound in (5.3). The plots were obtained using GNUPLOT, v.5.2, patchlevel 8.

As a consequence of (5.3) we have that $L^{\prime}(1, \chi) \neq 0$, for every non trivial primitive Dirichlet character $\bmod q, 3 \leq q \leq 10^{7}, q$ prime. Hence Corollary 1.3 is proved.
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## 6. Tables and Figures

| $q$ | $m_{q}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3 | $0.368281615970147842633237904076 \ldots$ |
| 5 | $0.180899098585657908884214228728 \ldots$ |
| 7 | $0.015635689993720378956622751350 \ldots$ |
| 11 | $0.084218304297040925093687383995 \ldots$ |
| 13 | $0.300105391273262471564455827946 \ldots$ |
| 17 | $0.215168738351581113325995469061 \ldots$ |
| 19 | $0.084913681787711588506979393826 \ldots$ |
| 23 | $0.222264564054341426307285821914 \ldots$ |
| 29 | $0.186466418002260383262831736558 \ldots$ |
| 31 | $0.156365159195612900544888732701 \ldots$ |
| 37 | $0.084582297210773917089404219321 \ldots$ |
| 41 | $0.038491048531500073439045451195 \ldots$ |
| 43 | $0.137995302770293343459953078899 \ldots$ |
| 47 | $0.035746012624318111324062775199 \ldots$ |
| 53 | $0.079345452636605470144626619119 \ldots$ |
| 59 | $0.070814808482221803352134970016 \ldots$ |
| 61 | $0.004424742139200355181771341999 \ldots$ |
| 67 | $0.101724238410284799512624760672 \ldots$ |
| 71 | $0.083677019184249846969185188938 \ldots$ |
| 73 | $0.037814195629563525097422478059 \ldots$ |
| 79 | $0.066716629702353438341139676134 \ldots$ |
| 83 | $0.106137806076174129263066902611 \ldots$ |
| 89 | $0.091454122541715140553245678638 \ldots$ |
| 97 | $0.100225166851282154186793751382 \ldots$ |
| 101 | $0.088532955088052167463294100498 \ldots$ |
| 103 | $0.067089590517766187761945182653 \ldots$ |
| 107 | $0.072842375116831038918164998747 \ldots$ |
| 109 | $0.050769692347897029380594369802 \ldots$ |
| 113 | $0.137803959714882644119589746949 \ldots$ |
| 127 | $0.040736836472454861641890942261 \ldots$ |
| 131 | $0.03483990090572852583361106595 \ldots$ |
| 137 | $0.173847605183310967783545356651 \ldots$ |
| 139 | $0.064875376939531262338130695938 \ldots$ |
| 149 | $0.015584848820092211525156310710 \ldots$ |
| 151 | $0.076491986002214823571286680298 \ldots$ |
| 157 | $0.089056313036529923958481456478 \ldots$ |
| 163 | $0.007515153792183843621864583202 \ldots$ |
| 167 | $0.031447516352414412954702343316 \ldots$ |
| 173 | $0.045901456916810041131888422282 \ldots$ |
| 179 | $0.079209917602758559902760698720 \ldots$ |
| 181 | $0.083976658136399152061230909218 \ldots$ |
| 191 | $0.078930822278753140729685017610 \ldots$ |
| 193 | $0.070704014179180612385369954742 \ldots$ |
| 197 | $0.044199502798542042544024860233 \ldots$ |
| 199 | $0.126082784303363107778654204938 \ldots$ |
| 211 | $0.088113526491133982480553514525 \ldots$ |
| 223 | $0.057898365920650110981300560279 \ldots$ |
| 227 | $0.053459670863865895829857481681 \ldots$ |
| 229 | $0.059371895090913785790744781928 \ldots$ |
| 233 | $0.041561490891570865670409968939 \ldots$ |
| 239 | $0.065820141780365230014091775123 \ldots$ |
| 241 | $0.110515313722982307702505567111 \ldots$ |
| 251 | $0.098869364562232118021197879586 \ldots$ |
| 257 | $0.026289614981049793532191665303 \ldots$ |
| 263 | $0.047887172323274972467095533810 \ldots$ |
| 269 | $0.043642785796346713737278821355 \ldots$ |
|  | $\ldots$ |


| $q$ | $m_{q}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 271 | $0.072815958227510407092347024304 \ldots$ |
| 277 | $0.079967970098330852132644457819 \ldots$ |
| 281 | $0.060062168588754157048255824462 \ldots$ |
| 283 | $0.003150668094148233344534460700 \ldots$ |
| 293 | $0.135103364633442165799200521286 \ldots$ |
| 307 | $0.080361924803122609976003922642 \ldots$ |
| 311 | $0.020809138839835850375607303360 \ldots$ |
| 313 | $0.038152433030706606745433863772 \ldots$ |
| 317 | $0.120310482217012060618479876460 \ldots$ |
| 331 | $0.090170220408966373277578719363 \ldots$ |
| 337 | $0.075619767636542281468700635945 \ldots$ |
| 347 | $0.061562527980707029174085407677 \ldots$ |
| 349 | $0.024659800399032065009402532442 \ldots$ |
| 353 | $0.097060672416567490052208231772 \ldots$ |
| 359 | $0.159565297851633355627166678429 \ldots$ |
| 367 | $0.120752854906502520642266950975 \ldots$ |
| 373 | $0.036684373998069703748701756310 \ldots$ |
| 379 | $0.062512783798157835888293219183 \ldots$ |
| 383 | $0.072466929059169901487523581460 \ldots$ |
| 389 | $0.035987070773221029949860780588 \ldots$ |
| 397 | $0.027227952015046458842733852917 \ldots$ |
| 401 | $0.104399057003481324855914310851 \ldots$ |
| 409 | $0.046194322516358621884845050091 \ldots$ |
| 419 | $0.035877133426605148541131058899 \ldots$ |
| 421 | $0.092642081105082285944635638338 \ldots$ |
| 431 | $0.060610872703868562233092295828 \ldots$ |
| 433 | $0.045778529517913654069080269487 \ldots$ |
| 439 | $0.108439335102642353657462341324 \ldots$ |
| 443 | $0.034907168270041629941342334682 \ldots$ |
| 449 | $0.068236065877290568789408277549 \ldots$ |
| 457 | $0.111566405402641079895678728654 \ldots$ |
| 461 | $0.059145596894312275391295323265 \ldots$ |
| 463 | $0.053641121975254286882026544921 \ldots$ |
| 467 | $0.082747174213806691900849070014 \ldots$ |
| 479 | $0.053192268343111159252876782608 \ldots$ |
| 487 | $0.072015242309793507430557740674 \ldots$ |
| 491 | $0.088859444946655364010425676492 \ldots$ |
| 499 | $0.036051482588918952743416502474 \ldots$ |
| 503 | $0.101930324454999846629303155693 \ldots$ |
| 509 | $0.046641017175720556427264466580 \ldots$ |
| 521 | $0.064968723343215369312393768633 \ldots$ |
| 523 | $0.049490019983931771973278347945 \ldots$ |
| 541 | $0.078201802572578301759951022229 \ldots$ |
| 547 | $0.011446072603108451883833352085 \ldots$ |
| 557 | $0.032649429863770489497073765816 \ldots$ |
| 563 | $0.040012790875967792748467993796 \ldots$ |
| 569 | $0.054104318075237066903173731505 \ldots$ |
| 571 | $0.098800274926131853642566583417 \ldots$ |
| 577 | $0.057616230049140599007139782512 \ldots$ |
| 587 | $0.028453221139884652469833267724 \ldots$ |
| 593 | $0.040633070972329746592792414650 \ldots$ |
| 599 | $0.040806120000547802001712541751 \ldots$ |
| 601 | $0.046479560640748491238754689477 \ldots$ |
| 607 | $0.053431321823469925598884667480 \ldots$ |
| 613 | $0.026979398051501163961309875661 \ldots$ |
| 617 | $0.086455608244385173808632307637 \ldots$ |
|  |  |


| $q$ | $m_{q}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 619 | $0.036809001877106866180335915559 \ldots$ |
| 631 | $0.021740655876972247835117056143 \ldots$ |
| 641 | $0.052039782792164018630583875044 \ldots$ |
| 643 | $0.094730957910075796006995797872 \ldots$ |
| 647 | $0.022707532347681205317339648994 \ldots$ |
| 653 | $0.010979306879031359219927573518 \ldots$ |
| 659 | $0.046308015595165386046394467522 \ldots$ |
| 661 | $0.103916589731771042952256481790 \ldots$ |
| 673 | $0.049755263776059657483605717979 \ldots$ |
| 677 | $0.057693180910059875967225881005 \ldots$ |
| 683 | $0.045197591824286077977576512346 \ldots$ |
| 691 | $0.027389773133139679131666108333 \ldots$ |
| 701 | $0.025792063381175160731735442054 \ldots$ |
| 709 | $0.034849613800713838295949488339 \ldots$ |
| 719 | $0.032014828699399819280375472745 \ldots$ |
| 727 | $0.046548618667915043102907340089 \ldots$ |
| 733 | $0.042139831461577750336209045957 \ldots$ |
| 739 | $0.015708957869736012440269724376 \ldots$ |
| 743 | $0.116540873617071834980829355749 \ldots$ |
| 751 | $0.152061136399012561767846170124 \ldots$ |
| 757 | $0.056975681664997732706139153050 \ldots$ |
| 761 | $0.075261045249458606915771017644 \ldots$ |
| 769 | $0.013153110800029449125939080369 \ldots$ |
| 773 | $0.030651359792302555174852939805 \ldots$ |
| 787 | $0.019038244204322518422127334614 \ldots$ |
| 797 | $0.021567891774257723672469454215 \ldots$ |
| 809 | $0.063775218225541117846581797608 \ldots$ |
| 811 | $0.092226442843305532398212849968 \ldots$ |
| 821 | $0.063566957442518142038599317693 \ldots$ |
| 823 | $0.047289370792256898594184490183 \ldots$ |
| 827 | $0.012749597279993981378378767012 \ldots$ |
| 829 | $0.025998031567165045170854485526 \ldots$ |
| 839 | $0.084436292109806136993754070909 \ldots$ |
| 853 | $0.058287674110997917235105303450 \ldots$ |
| 857 | $0.072425046291110298533023943432 \ldots$ |
| 859 | $0.035678865131857745826151075766 \ldots$ |
| 863 | $0.046770144442337100055402418780 \ldots$ |
| 877 | $0.035293865694185936926398081489 \ldots$ |
| 881 | $0.054634183425280742422051742979 \ldots$ |
| 883 | $0.037633242851010750275554025237 \ldots$ |
| 887 | $0.022554269438627487049925937822 \ldots$ |
| 907 | $0.052643295498117140364919564980 \ldots$ |
| 911 | $0.035463492708556820560659148802 \ldots$ |
| 919 | $0.014673360300950560145530422161 \ldots$ |
| 929 | $0.030444805205138918823987682845 \ldots$ |
| 937 | $0.036077911931189997653707966581 \ldots$ |
| 941 | $0.011109552462842771175399709306 \ldots$ |
| 947 | $0.064185134239730262251762819034 \ldots$ |
| 953 | $0.046995905606263748103687560739 \ldots$ |
| 967 | $0.015161604253911836558220368388 \ldots$ |
| 971 | $0.012974123428335617422790144852 \ldots$ |
| 977 | $0.016784091339428433806510647310 \ldots$ |
| 983 | $0.041396365426989204577803463342 \ldots$ |
| 991 | $0.030826538808886821305709019454 \ldots$ |
| 997 | $0.032897666762473802681213392412 \ldots$ |
|  | $\ldots$ |

Table 1. Values of $m_{q}$ for every odd prime up to 1000 with 30 -digit precision; computed with PARI/GP, v. 2.11.4 . Total computation time: $3 \mathrm{~min} ., 13 \mathrm{sec}$., 583 millisec. on the Dell Optiplex machine mentioned before.




Figure 1. The values of $m_{q}, q$ prime, $3 \leq q \leq 10^{7} . m_{3}=0.368281 \ldots$ is the maximal value. The red lines represent the function $c / \sqrt{q}$ for several values of $c$.


Figure 2. The values of $m_{q}^{\prime}:=\frac{200}{21} q m_{q}, q$ prime, $3 \leq q \leq 10^{7}$. The red line represents the constant function 1 .


Figure 3. The values of $m_{q}^{\prime}:=\frac{200}{21} q m_{q}, q$ prime, $3 \leq q \leq 10^{7}$. The red line represents the constant function 1 . The minimal value for $m_{q}^{\prime}$ is $1.042379 \ldots$ and it is attained at $q=7$. The maximal value for $m_{q}^{\prime}$ is $130782.760597 \ldots$ and it is attained at $q=9561863$.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ By an exceptional character modulo a prime $q$, we mean the unique real character $\chi_{1}$ (if it exists) such that $L\left(s, \chi_{1}\right)$ has a zero $\rho$ with $\operatorname{Re}(\rho)>1-c / \log (q)$, where $c>0$ is a fixed small constant independent of $q$.

