

## LONG PATHS IN RANDOM APOLLONIAN NETWORKS

Colin Cooper<sup>1</sup> and Alan Frieze<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Informatics, King's College, University of London, London, UK*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Mathematical Sciences, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA*

**Abstract** We consider the length  $L(n)$  of the longest path in a randomly generated Apollonian Network (ApN)  $\mathcal{A}_n$ . We show that with high probability  $L(n) \leq ne^{-\log^c n}$  for any constant  $c < 2/3$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

This article concerns the length of the longest path in a random Apollonian Network (ApN)  $\mathcal{A}_n$ . We start with a triangle  $T_0 = xyz$  in the plane. We then place a point  $v_1$  in the centre of this triangle, creating three triangular faces. We choose one of these faces at random and place a point  $v_2$  in its middle. There are now five triangular faces. We choose one at random and place a point  $v_3$  in its center. In general, after we have added  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{i-1}$ , there will be  $2i + 1$  triangular faces. We choose one at random and place  $v_i$  inside it. The random graph  $\mathcal{A}_n$  is the graph induced by this embedding. It has  $n + 3$  vertices and  $3n + 6$  edges.

This graph has been the object of study recently; in the context of scale-free graphs [5]. Properties of its degree sequence, properties of the spectra of its adjacency matrix, and its diameter were determined. The diameter result was improved, and the diameter was determined asymptotically [3, 4]. The study [4] proves the following result concerning the length of the longest path in  $\mathcal{A}_n$ :

**Theorem 1.1.** *There exists an absolute constant  $\alpha$  such that if  $L(n)$  denotes the length of the longest path in  $\mathcal{A}_n$  then*

$$\Pr\left(L(n) \geq \frac{n}{\log^\alpha n}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\log^\alpha n}.$$

Address correspondence to Alan Frieze, Department of Mathematical Sciences, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, USA. E-mail: alan@random.math.mu.edu

The value of  $\alpha$  from [4] is rather small, and we will assume for the purposes of this proof that

$$\alpha < \frac{1}{3}. \quad (1.1)$$

The aim of this work is to give the following improvement on Theorem 1.1:

**Theorem 1.2.**

$$\Pr(L(n) \geq ne^{-\log^c n}) \leq O(e^{-\log^{c/2} n})$$

for any constant  $c < 2/3$ .

This is most likely far from the truth. It is reasonable to conjecture that, in fact,  $L(n) \leq n^{1-\varepsilon}$  w.h.p. for some positive  $\varepsilon > 0$ . For lower bounds, [4] shows that  $L(n) \geq n^{\log_3 2} + 2$  always and  $\mathbf{E}(L(n)) = \Omega(n^{0.8})$ . An  $\Omega(n^{\log_3 2})$  lower bound for arbitrary 3-connected planar graphs has been proven [1].

## 2. OUTLINE PROOF STRATEGY

We take an arbitrary path  $P$  in  $\mathcal{A}_n$  and bound its length as follows. We add vertices to the interior of  $xyz$  in rounds. In round  $i$  we add  $\sigma_i$  vertices. We start with  $\sigma_0 = n^{1/2}$  and choose  $\sigma_i \gg \sigma_{i-1}$ , where  $A \gg B$  i.f.f  $B = o(A)$ . We will argue inductively that  $P$  visits only  $\tau_{i-1} = o(\sigma_{i-1})$  faces of  $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma_{i-1}}$  and then use Lemma 4.1 to argue that roughly a fraction  $\tau_{i-1}/\sigma_{i-1}$  of the  $\sigma_i$  new vertices go into faces visited by  $P$ . We then use a variant (Lemma 5.1) of Theorem 1.1 to argue that w.h.p.  $\frac{\tau_i}{\sigma_i} \leq \frac{\tau_{i-1}}{2\sigma_{i-1}}$ . Theorem 1.2 will follow easily from this.

## 3. PATHS AND TRIANGLES

Fix  $1 \leq \sigma \leq n$  and let  $\mathcal{A}_\sigma$  denote the ApN we have after inserting  $\sigma$  vertices  $A$  interior to  $T_0$ . It has  $2\sigma + 1$  faces, which we denote by  $\mathcal{T} = \{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_{2\sigma+1}\}$ . Now add  $N$  more vertices  $B$  to create a larger network  $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma'}$ , where  $\sigma' = \sigma + N$ . Now consider a path  $P = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m$  through  $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma'}$ . Let  $I = \{i : x_i \in A\} = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_\tau\}$ . Note that  $Q = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_\tau)$  is a path of length  $\tau - 1$  in  $\mathcal{A}_\sigma$ . This is because  $i_k i_{k+1}$ ,  $1 \leq k < \tau$  must be an edge of some face in  $\mathcal{T}$ . We also see that for any  $1 \leq k < \tau$ , the vertices  $x_j$ ,  $i_k < j < i_{k+1}$  will all be interior to the same face  $T_l$  for some  $l \in [2\sigma + 1]$ .

We summarize this in the following lemma, using the notation of the preceding paragraph.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Suppose that  $1 \leq \sigma < \sigma' \leq n$  and that  $Q$  is a path of  $\mathcal{A}_\sigma$  that is obtained from a path  $P$  in  $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma'}$  by omitting the vertices in  $B$ .*

Suppose that  $Q$  has  $\tau$  vertices and that  $P$  visits the interior of  $\tau'$  faces from  $\mathcal{T}$ . Then

$$\tau - 1 \leq \tau' \leq \tau + 1.$$

**Proof.** The path  $P$  breaks into vertices of  $\mathcal{A}_\sigma$  plus  $\tau + 1$  intervals where, in an interval, it visits the interior of a single face in  $\mathcal{T}$ . This justifies the upper bound. The lower bound comes from the fact that except for the face in which it starts, if  $P$  reenters a face  $xyz$ , then it cannot leave it, because it will have already visited all three vertices  $x, y, z$ . Thus, at most two of the aforementioned intervals can represent a repeated face.  $\square$

#### 4. A STRUCTURAL LEMMA

Let

$$\lambda_1 = \log^2 n.$$

A sequence of events  $\mathcal{E}_n$  holds *quite surely* (q.s.) if  $\Pr(\neg \mathcal{E}_n) = O(n^{-K})$  for any constant  $K > 0$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *The following holds for all  $i$ . Let  $\sigma = \sigma_i$  and suppose that  $\lambda_1 \leq \tau \ll \sigma$ . Suppose that  $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_\tau$  is a set of triangular faces of  $\mathcal{A}_\sigma$ . Suppose that  $N \gg \sigma$  and that when adding  $N$  vertices to  $\mathcal{A}_\sigma$  we find that  $M_j$  vertices are placed in  $T_j$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, \tau$ . Then, for all  $J \subseteq [2\sigma + 1]$ ,  $|J| = \tau$ , we have*

$$\sum_{j \in J} M_j \leq \frac{100\tau N}{\sigma} \log\left(\frac{\sigma}{\tau}\right).$$

*This holds q.s. for all choices of  $\tau, \sigma$ , and  $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_\tau$ .*

**Proof.** We consider the following process that starts with  $s$  newly *born* particles. Once a particle is born, it waits an exponentially mean one distributed amount of time. After this time, it simultaneously *dies* and gives birth to  $k$  new particles, and so on. A birth corresponds to a vertex of our network and a particle corresponds to a face.

Let  $Z_t$  denote the number of deaths up to time  $t$ . The number of particles in the system is  $\beta_N = s + N(k - 1)$ . Then we have

$$\Pr(Z_{t+dt} = N) = \beta_{N-1} \Pr(Z_t = N - 1)dt + (1 - \beta_N dt) \Pr(Z_t = N).$$

So, if  $p_N(t) = \Pr(Z_t = N)$ , we have  $f_N(0) = 1_{N=s}$  and

$$p'_N(t) = \beta_{N-1} p_{N-1}(t) - \beta_N p_N(t).$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned} p_N(t) &= \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{(k-1)(i-1) + s}{(k-1)i} \times e^{-st} (1 - e^{-(k-1)t})^N \\ &= A_{k,N,s} e^{-st} (1 - e^{-(k-1)t})^N. \end{aligned}$$

We have  $A_{3,0,s} = 1$ . When  $s$  is even,  $s, N \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $k = 3$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_{3,N,s} &= \prod_{i=1}^N \left( \frac{s/2 + i - 1}{i} \right) = \binom{N + s/2 - 1}{s/2 - 1} \\ &\approx \left( 1 + \frac{s-2}{2N} \right)^N \left( 1 + \frac{2N}{s-2} \right)^{s/2-1} \sqrt{\frac{2N+s}{2\pi Ns}}. \end{aligned}$$

We also need to have an upper bound for a small, even  $s$ ,  $N^2 = o(s)$ , say. In this case, we use

$$A_{3,N,s} \leq s^N.$$

When  $s \geq 3$  is odd,  $s, N \rightarrow \infty$  (no need to deal with small  $N$  here), and  $k = 3$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_{3,N,s} &= \prod_{i=1}^N \left( \frac{2i-2+s}{2i} \right) = \frac{(s-1+2N)!((s-1)/2)!}{2^{2N}(s-1)!N!((s-1)/2+N)!} \\ &\approx \left( 1 + \frac{s-1}{2N} \right)^N \left( 1 + \frac{2N}{s-1} \right)^{(s-1)/2} \frac{1}{(2\pi N)^{1/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

We now consider  $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\tau \ll \sigma$ ,  $N \geq m \geq 2\tau N/\sigma \gg \tau$  and arbitrary  $t$  (under the assumption that  $\tau$  is odd and  $\sigma$  is odd). (We sometimes use  $A \leq_b B$  in place of  $A = O(B)$ ).

$$\begin{aligned} &\Pr(M_1 + \dots + M_\tau = m \mid M_1 + \dots + M_\sigma = N) \\ &= \frac{\Pr(M_1 + \dots + M_\tau = m) \Pr(M_{\tau+1} + \dots + M_\sigma = N - m)}{\Pr(M_1 + \dots + M_\sigma = N)} \\ &= \frac{A_{3,m,\tau} A_{3,N-m,\sigma-\tau}}{A_{3,N,\sigma}} \\ &\approx \frac{\left(1 + \frac{\tau-1}{2m}\right)^m \left(1 + \frac{2m}{\tau-1}\right)^{(\tau-1)/2} \left(1 + \frac{\sigma-\tau-2}{2(N-m)}\right)^{N-m} \left(1 + \frac{2(N-m)}{\sigma-\tau-2}\right)^{(\sigma-\tau-2)/2} (N(2(N-m)+\sigma))^{1/2}}{\left(1 + \frac{\sigma-1}{2N}\right)^N \left(1 + \frac{2N}{\sigma-1}\right)^{(\sigma-1)/2} (2\pi m\sigma(N-m))^{1/2}} \\ &\leq_b \frac{e^{(\tau-1)/2} \left(\left(\frac{2m}{\tau}\right)^{(\tau-1)/2} e^{o(\tau)}\right) e^{(\sigma-\tau)/2} \left(1 + \frac{2(N-m)}{\sigma-\tau-2}\right)^{(\sigma-\tau-2)/2} (N(2(N-m)+\sigma))^{1/2}}{e^{\sigma/2-\sigma^2/8N} \left(\left(\frac{2N}{\sigma}\right)^{(\sigma-1)/2} e^{\sigma^2/(4+o(1))N}\right) (m\sigma(N-m))^{1/2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq_b \frac{e^{o(\tau)} \left(\frac{2m}{\tau}\right)^{(\tau-1)/2} \left(1 + \frac{2(N-m)}{\sigma-\tau-2}\right)^{(\sigma-\tau-2)/2} (N(2(N-m) + \sigma))^{1/2}}{\left(\frac{2N}{\sigma}\right)^{(\sigma-1)/2} (m\sigma(N-m))^{1/2}} \quad (4.1)$$

The above bound can be rewritten as

$$\leq_b \frac{e^{o(\tau)} \left(\frac{2}{\tau}\right)^{(\tau-1)/2} N^{1/2} \sigma^{(\sigma-1)/2}}{(2N)^{(\sigma-1)/2} \sigma^{1/2}} \times \frac{m^{(\tau-1)/2} \left(1 + \frac{2(N-m)}{\sigma-\tau-2}\right)^{(\sigma-\tau-2)/2} (N-m+\sigma)^{1/2}}{(m(N-m))^{1/2}}.$$

Suppose first that  $m \leq N - 4\sigma$ . Then, the bound becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq_b \frac{e^{o(\tau)} \left(\frac{2}{\tau}\right)^{(\tau-1)/2} N^{1/2} \sigma^{(\sigma-1)/2}}{(2N)^{(\sigma-1)/2} \sigma^{1/2}} \times m^{(\tau-2)/2} \left(1 + \frac{2(N-m)}{\sigma-\tau-2}\right)^{(\sigma-\tau-2)/2} \\ &\leq_b \frac{e^{o(\tau)} 2^{(\tau-1)/2} N^{1/2} \sigma^{(\sigma-1)/2}}{(2N)^{(\sigma-1)/2} \tau^{1/2}} \times m^{(\tau-2)/2} \left(\frac{2(N-m)}{\sigma-\tau}\right)^{(\sigma-\tau)/2} e^{\sigma^2/(N-m)} \\ &\leq \frac{e^{o(\tau)} N^{1/2}}{m^{1/2}} \left(\frac{\sigma(N-m)}{N(\sigma-\tau)}\right)^{(\sigma-\tau)/2} \left(\frac{\sigma m}{\tau N}\right)^{(\tau-1)/2} e^{\sigma^2/(N-m)} \\ &\leq_b \frac{e^{o(\tau)} N^{1/2}}{m^{1/2}} \left(\frac{e^2 m \sigma}{\tau N} \cdot \exp\left\{-\frac{m(\sigma-\tau)}{(\tau-1)N} + \frac{2\sigma^2}{(\tau-1)(N-m)}\right\}\right)^{(\tau-1)/2} \\ &= \frac{e^{o(\tau)} N^{1/2}}{m^{1/2}} \left(\frac{e^2 m \sigma}{\tau N} \cdot \exp\left\{-\frac{m\sigma}{(\tau-1)N} \left(1 - \frac{\tau}{\sigma} - \frac{2\sigma}{m} - \frac{2\sigma}{N-m}\right)\right\}\right)^{(\tau-1)/2} \\ &\leq \frac{e^{o(\tau)} N^{1/2}}{m^{1/2}} \left(\frac{e^2 m \sigma}{\tau N} \cdot \exp\left\{-\frac{m\sigma}{3\tau N}\right\}\right)^{(\tau-1)/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

We inflate this by  $n^2 \binom{2\sigma+1}{\tau}$  to account for our choices for  $\sigma, \tau, T_1, \dots, T_\tau$  to get

$$\leq_b n^2 \frac{e^{o(\tau)} N^{1/2}}{m^{1/2}} \left(\frac{4e^4 m \sigma^3}{\tau^3 N} \cdot \exp\left\{-\frac{m\sigma}{3\tau N}\right\}\right)^{(\tau-1)/2}.$$

So, if  $m_0 = \frac{100\tau N \log(\sigma/\tau)}{\sigma}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{m=m_0}^{N-4\sigma} \Pr(\exists \sigma, \tau, T_1, \dots, T_\tau : M_1 + \dots + M_\tau = m \mid M_1 + \dots + M_\sigma = N) \\ &\leq_b n^2 e^{o(\tau)} N^{5/2} \sum_{m=m_0}^{N-4\sigma} \left(\frac{4e^4 m \sigma^3}{\tau^3 N} \cdot \exp\left\{-\frac{m\sigma}{3\tau N}\right\}\right)^{(\tau-1)/2} \\ &\leq n^2 e^{o(\tau)} N^{7/2} \left(\frac{4e^4 m_0 \sigma^3}{\tau^3 N} \cdot \exp\left\{-\frac{m_0 \sigma}{3\tau N}\right\}\right)^{(\tau-1)/2}, \end{aligned}$$

since  $xe^{-Ax}$  is decreasing for  $Ax \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
&= n^2 e^{o(\tau)} N^{7/2} \left( \frac{4e^4 m_0 \sigma}{\tau N} \exp \left\{ -\frac{m_0 \sigma}{6\tau N} \right\} \times \frac{\sigma^2}{\tau^2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{m_0 \sigma}{6\tau N} \right\} \right)^{(\tau-1)/2} \\
&\leq n^2 N^{7/2} \left( 400 e^{4+o(1)} \log \left( \frac{\sigma}{\tau} \right) \times e^{-50/3} \times \frac{\sigma^2}{\tau^2} \left( \frac{\tau}{\sigma} \right)^{50/3} \right)^{(\tau-1)/2} \\
&= O(n^{-K}),
\end{aligned}$$

for any constant  $K > 0$ .

Suppose now that  $N - 4\sigma \leq m \leq N - \sigma^{1/3}$ . Then we can bound (4.2) by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq_b \frac{e^{o(\tau)} \left( \frac{\tau}{\sigma} \right)^{(\tau-1)/2} \sigma^{(\sigma-1)/2}}{(2N)^{(\sigma-1)/2}} \times m^{(\tau-1)/2} e^{4\sigma} \\
&\leq \left( \frac{e^8 \sigma}{2N} \right)^{(\sigma-\tau)/2} \left( \frac{e^8 \sigma}{\tau} \right)^{(\tau-1)/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

We inflate this by  $n^2 \binom{2\sigma+1}{\tau} < n^2 4^\sigma$  to get

$$\leq_b n^2 \left( \frac{8e^8 \sigma}{N} \right)^{(\sigma-\tau)/2} \left( \frac{16e^8 \sigma}{\tau} \right)^{(\tau-1)/2}.$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{m=N-4\sigma}^{N-\sigma^{1/3}} \mathbf{Pr}(\exists \sigma, \tau, T_1, \dots, T_\sigma : M_1 + \dots + M_\tau = m \mid M_1 + \dots + M_\sigma = N) \\
&\leq_b n^2 N^2 \sigma \left( \frac{8e^8 \sigma}{N} \right)^{(\sigma-\tau)/2} \left( \frac{16e^8 \sigma}{\tau} \right)^{(\tau-1)/2} = O(n^{-K}),
\end{aligned}$$

for any constant  $K > 0$ , since  $\sigma \log N \gg \tau \log \sigma$ .

When  $m \geq N - \sigma^{1/3}$  we replace (4.1) by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq_b \frac{\left(1 + \frac{\tau-1}{2m}\right)^m \left(1 + \frac{2m}{\tau-1}\right)^{(\tau-1)/2} \sigma^{N-m} N^{1/2}}{\left(1 + \frac{\sigma-1}{2N}\right)^N \left(1 + \frac{2N}{\sigma-1}\right)^{(\sigma-1)/2} (m\sigma)^{1/2}} \\
&\leq_b \frac{e^{\tau/2+o(\tau)} \left(\frac{2m}{\tau}\right)^{(\tau-1)/2} \sigma^{N-m} N^{1/2}}{e^\sigma \left(\frac{2N}{\sigma}\right)^{(\sigma-1)/2} m^{1/2}} \\
&\leq_b \left( \frac{e^{1+o(1)} \sigma}{\tau} \right)^{(\tau-1)/2} \left( \frac{\sigma}{2N} \right)^{(\sigma-\tau)/2} \sigma^{\sigma^{1/3}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Inflating this by  $n^2 4^\sigma$  gives a bound of

$$\leq_b n^2 \left( \frac{16e^{1+o(1)} \sigma}{\tau} \right)^{(\tau-1)/2} \left( \frac{8\sigma^{1+o(1)}}{N} \right)^{(\sigma-\tau)/2} = O(n^{-\text{any constant}}).$$

□

## 5. MODIFICATIONS OF THEOREM 1.1

Let  $\lambda = \log^3 n$  and partition  $[\lambda]$  into  $q = \log n$  sets of size  $\lambda_1 = \log^2 n$ . Now add  $n - \lambda$  vertices to  $\mathcal{T}_\lambda$  and let  $M_i$  denote the number of vertices that land in the  $i$ th part  $\Pi_i$  of the partition. Lemma 4.1 implies that q.s.

$$M_i \leq M_{\max} = \frac{200n}{\log n} \log \log n, \quad 1 \leq i \leq \tau. \quad (5.1)$$

Let

$$\omega_1(x) = \log^{\alpha/2} x \quad (5.2)$$

for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $L_i$  denote the length of the longest path in  $\Pi_i$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{T}_n$  contains a path of length at least  $n/\omega_1$ ,  $\omega_1 = \omega_1(n)$  and let  $k$  be the number of  $i$  such that

$$L_i \geq \frac{200n \log \log n}{\omega_1^2 \log n} \geq \frac{M_{\max}}{\log^\alpha(M_{\max})}.$$

Then, as  $k \leq q = \log n$  we have

$$k \frac{200n \log \log n}{\log n} + (\log n - k) \frac{200n \log \log n}{\omega_1^2 \log n} \geq \frac{n}{\omega_1},$$

which implies that

$$k \geq \frac{\log n}{201\omega_1 \log \log n}.$$

Theorem 1.1 with the bound on  $M_i$  given in (5.1) implies that the probability of this is at most

$$\frac{1}{n} + \left( \frac{\log n}{201\omega_1 \log \log n} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\log^\alpha(n/\log n)} \right)^{\frac{\log n}{201\omega_1 \log \log n}} \leq \frac{1}{n} + \left( \frac{1}{\log^{\alpha/3} n} \right)^{\frac{\log n}{201\omega_1 \log \log n}} \leq \frac{1}{\phi(n, \omega_1)}, \quad (5.3)$$

where

$$\phi(x, y) = \exp \left\{ \frac{\log x}{y \log \log x} \right\}.$$

The term  $1/n$  accounts for the failure of the property in Lemma 4.1.

In summary, we have proved the following:

### Lemma 5.1.

$$\Pr \left( L(n) \geq \frac{n}{\omega_1(n)} \right) \leq \frac{1}{\phi(n, \omega_1)}. \quad (5.4)$$

We are using  $\phi(x, y)$  in place of  $\phi(x)$  because we will need to use  $\omega_1(x)$  for values of  $x$  other than  $n$ .

Next consider  $\mathcal{A}_\sigma$  and  $\lambda_1 \leq \tau \ll \sigma$  and let  $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_\tau$  be a set of  $\tau$  triangular faces of  $\mathcal{A}_\sigma$ . Suppose that we add  $N \gg \sigma$  more vertices and let  $N_j$  be the number of vertices that are placed in  $T_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq \tau$ .

Next let

$$\Lambda(x) = e^{x^2}, \quad (5.5)$$

where  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Now let

$$J = \{j : N_j \geq \Lambda_0\}, \text{ where } \Lambda_0 = \Lambda(\omega_1(n)). \quad (5.6)$$

Let  $L_j$  denote the length of the longest path through the ApN defined by  $T_j$  and the  $N_j$  vertices it contains,  $1 \leq j \leq \tau$ . For the remainder of the section let

$$\omega_0 = \omega_1(\Lambda_0), \quad \phi_0 = \phi(\Lambda_0, \omega_0) = \exp \left\{ \frac{\omega_0}{2 \log \omega_0} \right\}, \quad \omega_2 = \frac{\phi_0}{\omega_0}. \quad (5.7)$$

Then let

$$J_1 = \left\{ j \in J : L_j \geq \frac{N_j}{\omega_1(N_j)} \right\}. \quad (5.8)$$

We note that

$$\begin{aligned} \log \omega_2 &= \log \phi_0 - \log \omega_0 = \frac{\log \Lambda_0}{\omega_0 \log \log \Lambda} - \log \omega_0 \\ &= \frac{\omega_0^2}{(2 + o(1))\omega_0 \log \log \omega_0} - \log \omega_0. \end{aligned}$$

For  $j \in J$ ,  $N_j \geq \Lambda_0$  (see (5.6)). It follows from Lemma 5.1 that the size of  $J_1$  is stochastically dominated by  $\text{Bin}(\tau, 1/\phi_0)$ . Using the bound

$$\Pr(\text{Bin}(n, p) \geq \alpha np) \leq \left( \frac{e}{\alpha} \right)^{\alpha np},$$

we find that

$$\Pr \left( |J_1| \geq \frac{\omega_2 \tau}{\phi_0} \right) \leq \left( \frac{e}{\omega_2} \right)^{\omega_2 \tau / \phi_0}. \quad (5.9)$$

Using this we prove

**Lemma 5.2.** *Suppose that*

$$\log \left( \frac{\sigma}{\tau} \right) \leq \frac{\omega_0}{\log \omega_0}.$$



Then q.s., for all  $\lambda_1 \leq \tau \ll \sigma \ll N$  and all collections  $\mathcal{T}$  of  $\tau$  faces of  $\mathcal{A}_\sigma$  we find that with  $J_1$  as defined in (5.8),

$$|J_1| \leq \frac{\omega_2 \tau}{\phi_0}.$$

**Proof.** It follows from (5.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \Pr \left( \exists \tau, \sigma, N, \mathcal{T} : |J_1| \geq \frac{\omega_2}{\tau \phi_0} \right) \\ & \leq n^3 \binom{(2\sigma + 1)}{\tau} \left( \frac{e}{\omega_2} \right)^{\omega_2 \tau / \phi_0} \\ & \leq n^3 \left( \frac{e(2\sigma + 1)}{\tau} \cdot \left( \frac{e}{\omega_2} \right)^{\omega_2 / \phi_0} \right)^\tau \\ & \leq \exp \left\{ \tau \left( \frac{3 \log n}{\tau} + 2 + \log \left( \frac{\sigma}{\tau} \right) + \frac{\omega_2}{\phi_0} - \frac{\omega_2 \log \omega_2}{\phi_0} \right) \right\} \\ & \leq \exp \left\{ \tau \left( \frac{3 \log n}{\tau} + 2 + \frac{\omega_0}{\log \omega_0} + - \frac{\omega_0}{(2 + o(1)) \log \log \omega_0} \right) \right\} \\ & = O(n^{-\text{any constant}}). \end{aligned}$$

□

## 6. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Fix a path  $P$  of  $\mathcal{A}_n$ . Suppose that, after adding  $\sigma \geq n^{1/2}$  vertices, we find that  $P$  visits

$$n^{1/2} \geq \tau \geq \lambda_1 \omega_0 \quad (6.1)$$

of the triangles  $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_\tau$  of  $\mathcal{A}_\sigma$ . Now consider adding  $N$  more vertices, where the value of  $N$  is given in (6.4) below. Let  $\sigma' = \sigma + N$  and let  $\tau'$  be the number of triangles of  $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma'}$  that are visited by  $P$ .

We assume that

$$\frac{\alpha}{2} \log \log n \leq \log \left( \frac{\sigma}{\tau} \right) \leq \frac{\omega_0}{\log \omega_0}. \quad (6.2)$$

Let  $M_i$  be the number of vertices placed in  $T_i$  and let  $N_i$  be the number of these that are visited by  $P$ . It follows from Lemma 4.1 that w.h.p.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} M_i \leq \frac{100\tau N}{\sigma} \log \left( \frac{\sigma}{\tau} \right).$$

Now w.h.p.,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} N_i \leq \tau \Lambda_0 + \frac{100\omega_2 \tau N}{\phi_0 \sigma} \log \left( \frac{\sigma \phi_0}{\omega_2 \tau} \right) + \frac{100\tau N}{\sigma \omega_0} \log \left( \frac{\sigma}{\tau} \right). \quad (6.3)$$

To see (6.3), observe that  $\tau \Lambda_0$  bounds the contribution from  $[\tau] \setminus J$  (see (5.6)). The second term bounds the contribution from  $J_1$ . Now  $|J_1| < \omega_2 \tau / \phi_0 \ll \tau$  as shown in Lemma 5.2. We cannot apply Lemma 4.1 to bound the contribution of  $J_1$  unless we know that  $|J_1| \geq \lambda_1$ . We choose an arbitrary set of indices  $J_2 \subseteq [\tau] \setminus J_1$  of size  $\omega_2 \tau / \phi_0 - |J_1|$  and then the middle term bounds the contribution of  $J_1 \cup J_2$ . Note that  $\omega_2 \tau / \phi_0 = \tau / \omega_0 \geq \lambda_1$  from (6.1). The third term bounds the contribution from  $J \setminus J_1$ . Here, we use  $\omega_1(N_j) \geq \omega_1(\Lambda_0) = \omega_0$ ; see (5.8).

We now choose

$$N = 3\sigma \Lambda_0. \quad (6.4)$$

We observe that

$$\frac{\omega_2}{\phi_0} \log \left( \frac{\sigma \phi_0}{\omega_2 \tau} \right) \leq \frac{1}{\omega_0} \left( \frac{\omega_0}{\log \omega_0} + 2 \log \omega_0 \right) = o(1).$$

$$\frac{1}{\omega_0} \log \left( \frac{\sigma}{\tau} \right) \leq \frac{1}{\log \omega_0} = o(1).$$

Now, along with Lemma 3.1, this implies that

$$\tau' \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} (N_i + 1) \leq \tau + \tau \Lambda_0 + o \left( \frac{\tau N}{\sigma} \right).$$

Since  $\sigma' = \sigma + N$ , this implies that

$$\frac{\tau'}{\sigma'} \leq \left( \frac{1}{3} + o(1) \right) \frac{\tau}{\sigma} < \frac{\tau}{2\sigma}.$$

It follows by repeated application of this argument that we can replace Theorem 1.1 by the following lemma:

**Lemma 6.1.**

$$\Pr \left( L(n) \geq \log n + \frac{100 \log n}{e^{\omega_0 / \log \omega_0} n} \right) = O \left( \frac{1}{\phi(n, \omega_1(n))} \right).$$

**Proof.** We add the vertices in rounds of size  $\sigma_0 = n^{1/2}, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m$ . Here,  $\sigma_i = 3\sigma_{i-1}\Lambda_0$  and  $m-1 \geq (1-o(1)) \frac{\log n}{\log \Lambda_0} = (1-o(1)) \frac{\log n}{\omega_1(n)^2} = \log^{1-2\alpha} n$ . We let  $P_0, P_1, P_2, \dots, P_m = P$  be a sequence of paths, where  $P_i$  is a path in  $\mathcal{A}_i = \mathcal{A}_{\sigma_0 + \dots + \sigma_i}$ . Furthermore,  $P_i$  is obtained

from  $P_{i+1}$  in the same way that  $Q$  is obtained from  $P$  in Lemma 3.1. We let  $\tau_i$  denote the number of faces of  $\mathcal{A}_i$  whose interior is visited by  $P_i$ . It follows from Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 4.1 that the length of  $P$  is bounded by

$$m + \frac{\tau_{m-1}}{\sigma_{m-1}} \sigma_m \log \left( \frac{\sigma_{m-1}}{\tau_{m-1}} \right),$$

since the second term is a bound on the number of points in the interior of triangles of  $\mathcal{A}_{m-1}$  visited by  $P$ .

We have w.h.p. that

$$\frac{\sigma_i}{\tau_i} \geq \begin{cases} \frac{2\sigma_{i-1}}{\tau_{i-1}} & \frac{\sigma_{i-1}}{\tau_{i-1}} \leq e^{\omega_0 / \log \omega_0} \\ \frac{\sigma_{i-1}}{100\tau_{i-1} \log(\sigma_{i-1}/\tau_{i-1})} & \frac{\sigma_{i-1}}{\tau_{i-1}} > e^{\omega_0 / \log \omega_0} \end{cases}.$$

The second inequality here is from Lemma 4.1.

The result follows from  $2^{\log^{1-2\alpha} n} \geq e^{\omega_0 / \log \omega_0}$ . □

To get Theorem 1.2, we repeat the argument in Sections 5 and 6, but we start with  $\omega_1(x) = \log^{1/3} x$ . The claim in Theorem 1.2 is then slightly weaker than the claim in Lemma 6.1.

We note that subsequent to completion of this paper, Theorem 1.2 has been improved [2] with a high probability upper bound of  $O(n^{1-\varepsilon})$  on  $L(n)$ .

## FUNDING

Supported in part by EPSRC grant EP/J006300/1. Supported in part by NSF grant CCF0502793.

## REFERENCES

- [1] G. Chen and X. Yu. “Long Cycles in 3-Connected Graphs.” *Journal of Combinatorial Theory B* 86 (2002), 80–99.
- [2] A. Collevecchio, A. Mehrabian, and N. Wormald. “Longest Paths in Random Apollonian Networks and Largest  $r$ -ary Subtrees of Random  $d$ -ary Recursive Trees.” Cornell University Library. Available online (arxiv.org/abs/1404.2425), 2014.
- [3] C. Cooper and A. M. Frieze. “The Height of Random  $k$ -Trees and Related Branching Processes.” Cornell University Library. Available online (arxiv.org/abs/1309.4342), 2013.
- [4] E. Ebrahimzadeh, L. Farczadi, P. Gao, A. Mehrabian, C. Sato, N. Wormald, and J. Zung. “On the Longest Paths and the Diameter in Random Apollonian Networks.” Available online (arxiv.org/pdf/1303.5213v1.pdf), 2013.
- [5] A. M. Frieze and C. Tsourakakis. “On Certain Properties of Random Apollonian Networks.” *WAW 2012* (2012), 93–112.