# What can we learn from universal Turing machines?

Maurice Margenstern

October 19, 2021

#### Abstract

In the present paper, we construct what we call a **pedagogical** universal Turing machine. We try to understand which comparisons with biological phenomena can be deduced from its encoding and from its working.

## 1 Introduction

In one of my papers, sorry, I do not remember in which one, I wrote that if we encode one of the smallest universal Turing machines in a DNA way, we get something whose size is much smaller than the smallest virus. As far as a universal Turing machine has an unpredictable behaviour, the parallel we indicated suggested that the behaviour of a virus is more unpredictable. At that time, I did not argue more than those few words which, clearly, did not raise any noise. As far as at the present moment people are more concerned with what we know about viruses, it can be interesting to go back to that comparison and to discuss whether it is relevant or not.

In Section 2, we remember the results about small universal Turing machines and classical results about Turing machines. Then, we remind the reader results about the smallest universal Turing machines. In Section 3, we introduce the informal notion of a **pedagogical** universal Turing machine and we build such a machine. In Section 4 we discuss whether the comparison which is indicated in the first paragraph of the present section is relevant or not. In Section 5, we put a temporary end to the dispute.

# 2 Universal Turing machines and tiny ones

In the present paper, the reader is supposed to know the definition of a Turing machine. To make things as clear as possible, we indicate that here, we only consider deterministic Turing machines with one head and a single infinite tape in both directions. The instructions of a Turing machine will be represented in a table: the columns are labelled with the alphabet of the machine, the lines are labelled with its set of states. We remind the reader that a configuration of a Turing machine is the smallest finite segment of its tape containing the scanned square outside which all squares are empty together with the position and the state of the head. All machines we consider in the paper have a finite initial configuration from which it clearly follows that at any time during its computation, the configuration of a Turing machine is also finite.

As an example, we give the instructions of a Turing machine which performs an addition over two numbers written in unary representation: n is represented by n vertical strokes. The initial configuration looks like that one :

In that configuration,  $\_$  represents the symbol which means that the square of the tape containing it is empty. It means that there is no information in the square. A simple machine consists in replacing the \* in the middle by a | and then erase the rightmost \* and replacing the rightmost | by a \*.

The machine of Table 1 is what I call a **courteous** Turing machine. It is defined by two conditions : the machine head never goes to the left-hand side of its initial position and in the final configuration, the result is concatenated to the initial data. For a courteous addition, the final configuration corresponding to (1) is:

	_	*	I	a	Х	
1		X R 2				
2		<i>R</i> 3	R			
3		X L 4	R			
4		L	a <i>R</i> 5		R 7	
5	L 6	R	R		R	
6		L	L	L 4	L	
7		<i>R</i> 8	R			
8	* L 9		R		* R	
9		L	L		* !	

 Table 1
 Table of the Turing machine for the courteous addition.

Let us explain the notation used for Table 1 which follows Minsky's conventions as formulated in [2]. The format of an instruction is AMs where A is the letter written by the machine in the scanned square in place of the letter it has seen, M is the move of the head: either to left, symbolised by L, to right, symbolised by R or no move at all symbolised by Z. When a symbol is missing, it means that it is the same as the label of the column if it is a letter, or the same as the number of the line if it is a state or Z if it is a move. Those conventions are illustrated by the table. The symbol '!' means the halting state:

it stops the computation. Note that the instruction represented by an empty entry may also be considered as halting the computation: the scanned symbol is unchanged, the state of the head remains the same and the head scans the same square and all this forever. Accordingly, when such an instruction is performed no change happens after that over the configuration which endlessly remains the same. We shall consider that situation as identical to a halting instruction as far is it can easily be detected.

The working of the machine is rather simple: it marks the leftmost and the rightmost \* by x, states 1, 2 and 3, and then, it marks the current read | by a which triggers the copying of | on the leftmost empty square after the rightmost x: states 4, 5 and 6. The end of the computation is detected under state 4 when marking a | fails as far as the rightmost x is met. States 7 and 8 allow the machine to write extremal \*'s while replacing all x by \*. State 9 allows the machine to stop at its initial position.

We can see that many instructions have a single symbol: that of the move. I call such an instruction a **glide**: it is particularly clear under states 5 and 6: the machine head runs over the configuration while it meets some expected symbol in order to change the direction of its motion. Note that there are 13 glides in the table, 21 entries of the table are empty, meaning halting instructions as already mentioned.

#### 2.1 Universal Turing machine

It is now time to consider universal Turing machines. In what sense such a machine is universal? The meaning is the following: a Turing machine is called **universal** it it is able to simulate any other Turing machine. Let us make it more precise. Let M be a Turing machine and C be an initial configuration for M. The **computation** of M starting from C is a sequence  $\{C_k\}_{k\geq 0}$  of configurations for M such that  $C_0 = C$  and  $C_{k+1}$  is obtained from  $C_k$  by the application of the single instruction of M which can be applied to  $C_k$ . The computation is a finite sequence if and only if the last configuration in the sequence contains the halting state. A machine U is said universal if, for any Turing machine M and for any initial configuration C for M, there is a configuration K of U such that the computation  $\{K_n\}_{n\geq 0}$  of U starting from K contains a subsequence  $\{K_{n_k}\}_{k\geq 0}$  such that  $K_{n_k} = \tau(C_k)$  where  $\tau$  is a transformation of configurations for M which does not depend neither from C nor from M.

Accordingly, a universal Turing machine U must be able to simulate *any* Turing machine M, even if the number of states of M is bigger than that of U, even if the size of the alphabet of M is bigger than the size of the alphabet of U. Turing proved that it is possible and the key point for that is the construction of  $\tau$  and of K. A solution to that problem is to define the transformation  $\tau$  as a **translation** of the instructions of M as well as the squares of its tape. Although the tape of a Turing machine is infinite, its configuration at any time is finite which clearly follows from the above definition of a configuration. The number of instructions of M also is finite so that those finitely many finite elements can be, in principle, translated on the tape of U.

The idea for that is to split K into two parts: one contains the  $\tau(I)$  for Irunning over the elements of the table of M, the other contains the  $\tau(x)$  for xrunning over the configuration of M at the considered time of its computation. The place of the head and its state must be marked in some way. If that point is satisfied, the working of U is simple. It locates the  $\tau(I)$  for the I which applies to  $C_k$  for the considered time k. It copies  $\tau(y)$  where y is the letter in I onto  $\tau(\xi)$ where  $\xi$  is the square of  $C_k$  scanned by the head of M. When it is performed, U moves the position of the head of M from where it is in  $C_k$  onto its place in  $C_{k+1}$  under construction. When the head is in its new place, U changes the state of the head of M to the state indicated by  $\tau(I)$ . In Section 3 we describe an explicit universal Turing machine performing the just described behaviour. We will bring in a tuning of a few points which will be explained in that section.

How big is such a universal Turing machine? Roughly speaking, less than twenty letters and less than a hundred of states are enough to make the table of a universal Turing machine. Now, if we compose a universal Turing machine Uwith a machine which does nothing, we obtain a new universal Turing machine whose table strictly contains that of U. Accordingly, there are infinitely many universal Turing machines. Note that it is easy to make a bigger universal Turing machine compared with another given one. Is it possible to make a smaller universal Turing machine?

The answer is yes, if we start from a rather big universal Turing machine.

Small universal Turing machines happened to be a source of important works. It is not the place in this paper to report the history of that race. We refer to [1] for such a sketchy account and to [3] were a more recent state of the art is described. The key reduction for the table of a universal Turing machine is to use the *delayed* computation of a Turing machine. Instead of directly simulating a Turing machine, we allow the machine supposed to be universal to simulate another way of computation which, in its turn, is able to simulate any Turing machine. Such a system is used in Rogozhin's paper [5] which gave a decisive impulse to the race to universal Turing machines as small as possible.

### 2.2 Tiny universal Turing machines

The results of [5] were a long time the best results. Initially appearing in a Soviet journal, [6] was an improved presentation of the results in *Theoretical Computer Science*, far much accessible. More than twenty years later, Neary and Woods obtained smaller machines, see [4]. As far as the present paper does not aim at giving an account of that race, we will present a small universal Turing machine contained in [4], the machine with four symbols and six states. That machine improves the machine with four symbols and seven states of [6]. That machine, as well as all machines of [5] and [4], is universal in the following meaning: it simulates another system of computation which is able to simulate any Turing machine. The program of the machine is reproduced by Table 2 under a translation of the alphabet to which we turn back in Section 4.

	А	C	G	U	
1	U L	G L	C L	A R 2	
2	G <i>R</i> 5	G $R$	<i>R</i> 1	A R	
3	U L	L 5	C L	L 5	
4	R 5	G $R$	C R 2	A R	
5	С <i>L</i> З	G <i>R</i> 6	C <i>L</i> 6	R	
6		G R 5	C L 4	G <i>R</i> 1	

**Table 2** Table of the machine with four letters and six statesby T. Neary and D. Woods.

The table displays those conventions. As examples, we have the instruction when reading A in state 1: U L, and also the instruction when the head reads U in state 6: R. We call that latter move a glide as far as the head passes over the symbol without changing it and remaining in the same state. From the table, we can see that the machine has 23 instructions. The missing instruction for the entry for the letter A and state 6 is in fact the instruction AS1. Accordingly, that instruction makes the head stay over the same square without changing its content. We already mentioned that we consider that it is a halting instruction. The writing of the table requires at least 54 letters: those used in the writing of the instructions of Table 2. Without Minsky's conventions, we need 72 letters. In that counting, each letter of the alphabet of the machine, each symbol of move and each number for a state counts for one unit. From our discussion about a universal Turing machine, we can see that the number of letters depends on the encoding we use. As an example, if we used a binary encoding, the four letters of the alphabet require two bits with the convention that A, C, G, U are encoded by 00, 01, 10, 11 respectively. We can encode L, R and S by 01, 10, 00respectively. We can encode the number of a state by its binary representation. If we wish to encode the table itself, we need a delimiter for the instructions and a delimiter for the states. We deal with that question in Section 3 to which we now turn.

# 3 Pedagogical universal Turing machines

As indicated in the name **pedagogical universal Turing machine**, the machines we consider under that terminology defines something which should be easily understood. That very condition makes it impossible to provide a formal definition for that notion: what is indeed easily understandable? What another person understands can be not understood by me and, sometimes, conversely. So that such a notion is clearly subjective. However, I think that if somebody actually knows and understands what a universal Turing machine is, that person can understand the working of the pedagogical machine we give in the present section.

#### 3.1 Working of the pedagogical universal machine

As mentioned in Section 2, we consider a deterministic Turing machine with a single tape and a single head. In Sub section 2.1 we stressed the feature that a universal Turing machine U must be able to simulate any Turing machine M, whatever the size of the alphabet A of M and whatever the number N of states of M. The solution to those constraints is to encode the letters of A as well as the numbers in  $\{1..N\}$ . Usually, the encoding is conceived in order to facilitate the location of instructions and of the new state. The most convenient solution is to represent the letters by their rank in a fixed ordering of A and to do the same for the states. Then, the location is easy: it is enough to mark the instructions by a delimiter, to gather in the same area the instructions depending on the same current state of M and to delimit also such an area. Then the location is obtained by a one-to-one correspondence between the number of symbols in the unary representation of the number of a letter or of a state and the number of delimiters to cross in order to access the needed area. Basically, the working is the same as what the courteous addition performed in Section 2.

When the needed instruction is obtained, the replacement of the content of the scanned square by the letter given by the instruction is performed by a copying process. The unary representation makes that operation somewhat complex: if the length of the new letter is equal to that of the scanned one, there is a simple copying process to perform. Otherwise, the situation is more complex if the length of the new letter is different from that of the scanned one. If it is shorter, the square has to be shrunk which triggers to move the rest of the tape to left. If it is larger, the square has to be widened which entails to move the rest of the tape to right.

The move of the whole tape of the simulated machine is also needed if the head goes to the left-hand side of the leftmost square of the simulated configuration. Fortunately, we may assume that our universal Turing machine has to only simulate Turing machines which work on a half-tape only, *i.e.* the tape is infinite to the right only. We say that such a machine which observes one condition of courtesy is **polite**. Indeed such machines can simulate any Turing machine M on a bi-infinite tape: it is enough to imagine that the half tape is divided into two parts : one devoted to the right-hand side half of the tape of M and the other part is devoted to its left-hand side part. That entails a larger alphabet and a bigger number of states only. It is easily feasible. Another constraint, in order to make the code lighter is to forbid the stationary state: the head has always to move, either to left or to right, otherwise the instruction is considered as a halting one. That constraint does not alter the generality of the result as far as a machine with stationary instructions can be simulated by a machine which rules out such instructions. The price to pay is to allow the machine to use more states.

The price to pay with unary representation of the numbers is a longer rep-

resentation of each element in the code of the universal machine. In order to get a shorter code for our pedagogical universal machine, we decided to represent the encoded numbers in binary. The counterpart is a complexification of the location process. In a first step, U, our universal machine, transforms the binary representation into a unary one. In a second step, the temporary unary representation is used to locate the expected element.

In order to evaluate the maximal size needed for such a transformation, U counts the delimiters for the state areas and also those for the instructions in such an area, keeping the largest one written in unary. In Sub-section 3.2, we more precisely describe the process together with its implementation in the code of U. Roughly speaking, we implement the function  $n \mapsto 2^n$  together with the reverse function.

The tape of U is divided into two parts: to the left-hand side  $\mathcal{P}$ , the set of instructions collected state by state, to the right-hand side,  $\mathcal{T}$ , an encoding of the squares of the current configuration of M.

The working of U can be divided into cycles where each such cycle simulates the execution by M of one step of its computation on its tape. A cycle is divided into five steps. At the beginning of the cycle, U knows the current state of Mand it knows which square of the tape of M is currently scanned. The area and the scanned square are both marked by the same symbol w which replaces the corresponding delimiter. The first step for U consists in transforming the binary representation of the scanned letter in the scanned square into its unary representation stored in an appropriate area  $\mathcal{B}$  to the left-hand side of  $\mathcal{P}$ . The second step consists in locating the execution of M to be performed by U: Ucounts the number of appropriate delimiters in  $\mathcal{P}$  thanks to the unary representation stored in  $\mathcal{B}$ . In the third step, U copies the letter indicated by the instruction  $\mathcal{I}$  onto the letter of the scanned square, in  $\mathcal{T}$ . As we use the binary representation of numbers and we know the size of A, we decide that the size of binary representations is standardised into a fixed size possibly using an additional padding symbol: if A contains n letters, the maximal size is |n|, the size of its binary representation, so that if k < n, it is represented by  $k_2 \mathbf{h}^{|n|-|k|+1}$ , where **h** is the padding symbol, and  $k_2$  is the binary representation of k and |k| is the size of  $k_2$ . The third step consists in moving the head of M which is dictated by the appropriate symbol in  $\mathcal{I}$ . The step consists in moving w on the previous or on the next delimiter. The fourth step consists in transforming the binary representation of the new state in  $\mathcal{I}$  into its unary representation. The fifth step locates the delimiter of the area devoted to the new state thanks to that unary representation: accordingly, we are in the situation of the starting point of the next cycle.

We implement those indications in the next Sub section, completing the just given description by details implied by the implementation.

#### 3.2 Constructing a pedagogical universal machine

As mentioned in Sub section 2.1, the tape of U contains an encoding of the table of M together with an encoding of the tape of M. That latter representation is possible as far as M starts from a finite configuration and as far as at each step of its computation, only finitely many squares of its tape are non-blank as already mentioned.

Figure 1 illustrates the basic structure observed by the configuration of the tape of the pedagogical universal Turing machine U. The part of the tape to the left hand side of the leftmost s is devoted to auxiliary computations we explain a bit later. In between both s the tape contains an encoding of the table of M. The part of the tape to the right hand-side of the rightmost s contains an encoding of the configuration of the tape of M restricted to a segment outside which all squares of the tape of M are empty such that the segment also contains the head of M.

\_\_F\_\_\_S\_\_\_\_S \_\_\_

**Figure 1** Basic structure of the configuration of the tape of the pedagogical universal Turing machine.

The code of the program of M is a concatenation of the codes of its instructions, provided that for each state, an instruction is present for each letter. The code of an instruction obeys the following format:

$$\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{a}_1 \dots a_k \mathbf{h}^t \mathbf{M} \mathbf{a}_0 \dots \mathbf{a}_m \tag{3}$$
  
where  $\mathbf{a}_i \in \{0, 1\}$ , and  $\mathbf{a}_k = \mathbf{a}_m = 1$ . Indeed,  $c_\ell = \sum_{k=1}^k \mathbf{a}_i$  and  $c_s = \sum_{k=1}^m \mathbf{a}_i$  are

numbers:  $c_{\ell} \in \{1..L\}$  and  $c_s \in \{1..N\}$  where L is the number of letters in the alphabet of M and N is the number of its states. Accordingly, letters and states are designated by their rank in an ordered representation of the alphabet and in the list of states. Moreover, in order to facilitate the working of U, in (3), we assume that  $k+\ell$  is a constant value with  $\ell \geq 1$ . The codes in (3) are binary representations of the codes written with the low powers to the left.

The area which lies to the left hand-side of the leftmost s is devoted to the computation of the values of  $n \mapsto 2^n$  for  $2^n \leq p$ , where p is the maximum between L and N.

Let us clarify that point. The initial configuration of the tape of U is the following one :

$$\_\_S\_\_S\_\_ (4)$$

The program and the tape of M are delimited to left by s. Each square of M is delimited to left by u. The instructions of M are delimited to left by r and the area containing the instructions attached to a given state are delimited to left by  $\mathbf{x}$ . The tape of M is delimited to right by  $\_$ , the blank of U. The blank of M is, by convention, the first letter of A. The area devoted to the computation of  $n \mapsto 2^n$  is delimited to right by  $\mathbf{F}$ . In between  $\mathbf{s}$  and  $\mathbf{F}$ , we have in unary a number  $P = \max(L, N)$ . To the left-hand side of  $\mathbf{F}$ , we have the representation in unary of the powers of 2 which are not greater than P. We can see that area of powers of 2 as a pattern to construct a number in unary knowing its binary representation.

As an example, the following configurations show us how to compute 111111

from 011, as illustrated in (5):

d000d0ddF00000000S	(a)	
d000e0ehF00000000S	(b)	
d000L0ehF00000000S	(c)	(5)
d000d0LhFhhhh00000S	(d)	
d000d0ddFhhhhhh000S	(e)	

In (a) we have the initial setting when it is installed by the first operation performed by U before the simulation itself. In (b) we have the copy of 011 on the pattern: note that the writing of the digits is on the reverse order with respect to the source. In (c) L marks the power of 2 to be copied over the 0's between F and s. In (d) the copy is performed: four h's replaced four 0's. Moreover, the next power of 2 to be copied is marked with L. In (e), we can see that the copy of the last power of 2 to be copied is performed. The pattern is completely restored and we have six h's which is the writing in unary of 011. Table 3 is extracted from the table of the pedagogical universal Turing machine. It contains the instructions which perform the transformations sketchily indicated in (5).

**Table 3** Part of the table of the pedagogical universal Turing machine which computes n in unary from its binary representation in the pattern at the left-hand side of F.

	0	1	L	R	Y	U	F	h	d	е	
40	R					R	R41	R	h R	R	
41	hL42	L						R		L42	
42	L	L	R43	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	
43	YR40			R	R	R	L44	UR40		RR40	
44			dR45	eL	OL	dL					
45	R						R	0R46	R	LR40	

The reader is invited to look at the configurations of (5) in order to better see the transformations given in what follows. Under state 40, a d is transformed into h and the head crosses F which makes it to change the sate to 41. Under that state, meeting the leftmost o in between F and S, the head transforms o into h and change its state to 42. State 42 is a glide to left until L is met which triggers the copy of the corresponding power of 2. The meeting of L makes the head change its motion to right and to change its state to 43. That state is a glide over the symbols which are marked as copied. The glide occurs when a not yet copied symbol is met: 0, h or e which are changed to Y, U or R respectively. When a symbol is met, the head goes again to right under state 40. The head glides over U, h and e, it changes d to h and changes its state to 41 going on to right, running over h's until o is met. That writing triggers a new cycle of copying that power of 2. That copying is completed when F is met under state 43 which, looking after a symbol to copy, meets F. Then the head turns to state 44 which unmarks the copied symbol and looks after L which is changed back to d and makes the head to turn to state 45 and to go to right. Under that state, the head looks after the next e which is changed to L. While going to the right, the head restores the o's to the left-hand side of the new L which were changed to h. When e is met, the head is now under state 40 and a new cycle of copying the current power of 2 is triggered. Under state 45 too, if looking after e the head meets F, it goes to right and, meeting h, it knows that the transformation from binary to unary is performed. The head turns to state 46 which starts the process of detecting the needed instruction. The process is already started by state 45 which transforms the met h to o.

That process is used twice in a cycle of computation of U devoted to the execution of one step of M. In fact, the same states are used for the conversion of the binary representation copied on the pattern to the left-hand side of  $\mathbf{F}$ . We shall explain that point a bit later.

We give the full table of U in Appendix 1 to the paper, see Table 4. Before going on the explanation of the precise working of U, it is time to indicate how the tape of M is encoded. The implementation of a square of M is given by (6):

 $\operatorname{Ua}_1 \ldots a_k \mathbf{h}^\ell$  (6)

where  $\mathbf{a}_i$  is in  $\{0, 1\}$ ,  $\ell \geq 1$  and h + k is the same constant value as in (3) for the instructions. That makes easier the copying of the letter of the instruction onto the scanned square: it overwrites the content of the square without any comparison.

The first transformation from binary to unary is performed for the letter n written in the scanned square. We know that n is the binary representation of the rank of the considered letter in the alphabet of M. From (6), we know that  $n = \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i 2^{i-1}$ , so that the binary representation of n on the square has the low powers of 2 to left. We note that (5) shows us that the binary representation over the pattern has the high powers to left. The display is chosen in order to take benefit of the direction of the motion of the head in order to facilitate the operations.

The alphabet of U consists of the following symbols:

Note that \_ is the blank, *i.e.* the symbol indicating that the square which contains it is empty. Symbols L and R occur in the instructions as indication of the direction of the move to be performed by the head when it executes the corresponding instruction while Z indicates a halting instruction with no mention of a direction. The symbols x, y and u are delimiters. We already met y and u, delimiters of an instruction and of a square of the tape respectively. The symbol x delimits the states: in between two consecutive x, we have, on the tape of U, all the instructions corresponding to the left-hand side x. It is the same for the last state whose instructions are displayed between the rightmost x and the rightmost s. The symbol h is basically a padding symbol in the binary representations used in instructions and squares of the tape. It is also used for

marking other symbols during some operations. We already met the symbol F. For what are symbols d and e, they are auxiliary symbols used during copying processes. Two w's occur on the tape: one usually replaces the Y which delimits the instruction currently executed. That W replaces the leftmost x in the initial configuration. The other W replaces the U which delimits the scanned square.

The first operation performed by U is to compute the number of states and of letters of M: it is enough to compute from s the number of v's from the leftmost x to the next one and then, again starting from s, to compute the number of x's until the next s is met. That computation is performed by states 1 up to 11, see Sub-table 4.a: states from 1 up to 6 compute the indicated y's and states from 7 up to 11 compute the x's. State 11 puts the F which closes the zone of 1's giving P in unary. In that zone, the machine computes in unary the powers of 2 which are not greater than P. That is performed by states 12 up to 22, see Sub-table 4.b. The first four states writes ddhd to the right-hand side of F. Then state 17 looks after the leftmost 1 which is transformed into h. The head turns to state 18 and moves to left to mark the symbol corresponding to the transformed 1: d is turned to e and h in between F and the rightmost d or e is changed to R. Under state 18 the head goes back to left under state 16 in order to look after a fresh symbol h or d to copy, marking it as R or e respectively. The cycle of the succession of states 17, 18 and 16 is repeated until F is met under state 16. It means that the number of h's written by state 17 is equal to the number of symbols e and R in between F and the leftmost h. The head goes back to right under state 19 in order to change the rightmost h written by state 17 to d, which is performed by state 20 and state 16 is called for a new cycle of copying. When s is reached under state 17, U knows that the last marked power of 2 not greater than P is the highest one. Accordingly, that part of the computation is over. State 21 is called in a motion to left in order to rewrite o's as 1's and to return e's and R's to d's and h's respectively. The task is continued by state 22. When F is reached under that state, a new action of the pedagogical universal Turing machine starts beginning under state 23.

In Sub-table 4.c, states 23 up to 29 construct the pattern which lies to the left-hand side of F and which is later used to compute the unary representation of a number given in binary representation. The head goes form one side of F to the other, looking after the next symbol to be copied when it is to the righthand side of F and copying it when it is to the left-hand side. States 23 up to 27 perform that copying. That part of Sub-table 4.c is displayed on the left-hand side half of the sub-table. State 23 performs the overwriting of the symbols to be copied, namely 0, h and d. State 24 writes d on the rightmost \_-square, while state 26 performs the same operation for 0. As far as those states go from the marked symbol to the copying place, when it is under those states, the head goes to left, gliding over 0, 1, d and F. State 25 operates the opposite motion, leading back the head under state 23 when it meets F. The end of the transformation happens when looking after a symbol to be marked the head under state 23 reads s. It turns to state 27 which transforms the initial 1's in between F and s into o's and it turn to state 28 when it meets F. Two states, 28 and 29 are needed to go to the w which locates the square scanned by M.

States 28 and 29 are displayed on the right-hand side half of Sub-table 4.c.

In Sub-table 4.d, states 30 to 40 copy n, the binary representation of the letter scanned by the head of M, onto the scale-pattern lying on the left-hand side of F. State 30 operates on the square scanned by M which is located by the appropriate w. It glides over o's and 1's which have been changed into d's and e's respectively until it meet a free symbol o or 1 which it changes as already mentioned. Then, state 31 is called to start the copying of a 0 while state 35 is called to perform the same operation for a 1. Two states are needed to cross the configuration of U from the square scanned by M up to F. In the area delimited by F and the rightmost \_ to the right-hand side of it, state 32 overwrite a d as h as far as the corresponding power of two is marked by 0 in the binary representation of n. Then, by states 33 and 34, the head goes back to the scanned square and then state 30 is called for marking a new symbol. Similarly, state 35 looks after F and then state 36 overwrite the fresh d as e as far as the corresponding power of two is marked by 1 in the binary representation of n. That marking is performed by state 36 and the return to the scanned square is again initiated by state 33. That copying process is completed when under state 30 the head meets h. As mentioned in (6), there is at least one h in the code of a square of the tape of M. Then state 37 is called and the head goes to left until it meets under state 38 the rightmost \_. Then the head goes to left under state 39 until it finds the leftmost e. Then state 40 is called to change that  $\mathbf{e}$  into  $\mathbf{L}$  in order to start the conversion of the binary representation to a unary one.

In Sub-table 4.e, its left-hand side half reproduces Table 3 in another display. That sub-table displays the states from 40 up to 50. The columns, the lines are labelled by the states, the letters respectively. In the sub-table, there is no line for \_ as far as it raises only a halting instruction under the considered states. We explained the process of converting the binary representation into the unary one. States 46 up to 50 are devoted to the location of the needed instruction. By assumption, the w in between the two s's of the configuration of U replaces the  $\mathbf{x}$  delimiting the instructions associated to the current state of M. The counting is performed by erasing a unary symbol and then mark a Y in between W and the leftmost  $\mathbf{x}$  or  $\mathbf{s}$  to its right-hand side. When meeting  $\mathbf{w}$  under state 46, the head turns to state 47 looking after the closest Y while gliding to right. That Y is transformed into F which triggers state 48 and a glide to left back to s where state 49 is called. Under state 49, the head goes back to the closest h, gliding other o's. When that h is met, it is marked by o and, turning to state 50, the head goes back to right to the closest F which marks a Y. When that F is met, the head restores the y and it goes to state 47 in order to find the next y which has to be marked. The location of the appropriate instruction is obtained when looking after a not yet erased h, the head finds F under state 49. It then turns to the next stage of the simulation under state 51.

In Sub-table 4.f, states 51 up to 60, U copies the letter encoded in the instruction to be executed by M onto the square of M tape squared by M. First, state 51 goes to the right-hand side F which is replaced by W: it is the instruction to be executed. Then, the copying processes as usual: the first  $\circ$  or

1 met by state 52 is replaced by d or e respectively. The meeting of a 0 triggers state 53 in order to overwrite the first not yet overwritten symbol by d under state 54: the crossing of w by state 53 means that the square scanned by M is reached. When the marking is performed, the return to the w of the instruction is obtained by state 55. When it is done, state 52 is again called so that a new cycle of copying one symbol is performed. When 1 is overwritten under state 52, that triggers state 57 which overwrites with e the leftmost not yet transformed symbol of the square scanned by M. States 57 and 58 are parallel to states 53 and 54. The return state is 59 which calls state 60 when the w delimiting the instruction is met: state 52 is again called so that a new cycle of copying one symbol is performed. The process is stopped when h is read under state 52: it means that the binary representation of the new letter is completely copied onto the square scanned by M. Note that during the glide to left or to right in between both w's glides over d and e. When looking after a new symbol to be copied, state 52 also glides over the d's and e's present in the letter of the instruction.

In Sub-table 4.g, a first part of the states erase the markings: d's and e's are back turned to 0's and 1's respectively. That operation is performed by states 61 in the instruction, by state 62 which makes the head of M go back to the scanned square and by state 63 which clears the marking in the scanned square. When it is completed as far as state 63 reads h, the head of U goes back to the instruction, states 64 and 65, in order to look which move of the head of M has to be performed. It is given by the letter R or L of the instruction transformed into F or U respectively. States 67 up to 70 move W to the right place. As far as M is supposed to be a polite Turing machine, the move to left raises no problem: the required U-delimiter will be found. A move to right is more complex: when going to right in the scanned square, the head of U under state 70 meets U, the encoding of a square follows to the right-hand side of that U. But such an U is missing if W was put on the rightmost square of the tape of M. In that case, the head of U meets ... It is transformed into U and an empty square must be copied, which is performed by states in Sub-table 4.h.

In Sub-table 4.h, states 71 up to 79 perform the construction of an empty square at the right-hand side end of the configuration of M and they also control the copying process of the new state of the the head of M onto the scale-pattern at the left-hand side of F and its conversion in a unary representation. The construction of an empty square is performed by states 71 up to 75. The symbols of the previously scanned square Q are marked in a copying process. As far as the size of a square of M is not known but as far as, by construction, all squares of M have the same size, it is enough in that copying process to replicate any symbol of Q as a h. In that process, h's, o's and 1's of Q are one by one replaced by Y, e and R respectively, which is performed by state 74. States 71, 72 and 73 initialize that process. State 72 copies h on \_ each time a symbol of Q has been marked. The construction is done when under state 74 the head reads u. Then under state 75, U replaces by 1 the leftmost h of the just constructed square and still under state 75 it erases the marks in Q, returning Y's, e's and R's to h, o and 1 respectively.

State 77 crosses s and then state 78 makes the head of U go back to left to the other s which is changed to T: the head goes to w, still marking the state of the executed instruction and turns it back to x. Then, under state 79, the head of U looks after u or F which is restored into L and R respectively and the new state is 30. It means that the binary representation of a number which is to the right-hand side of that move symbol is copied onto the scale-pattern to the left-hand side of F, see Sub-table 4.d. State 39 of that table calls states 40 of Sub-table 4.e which converts that binary representation into unary. When the conversion is completed, state 46 reads T, which triggers state 80, the first state of Sub-table 4.i.

In Sub-table 4.i, the last sub-table of Table 4, states 80 up to 86 perform the location of the new state of M. The principle is the same as that performed in Sub-table 4.e. The leftmost x is replaced by F by state 80, corresponding to the first marking of a h in the unary representation of the number of the new state. Then state 84 makes the head of U go to left until it reaches the rightmost  $\_$ to the left-hand side of the configuration of U. Then, under state 85, the head marks a new h. That marking changes the state to 81 under which the head goes to right until reaching T. There the head changes to state 82: when meeting F, it changes it back to x. Then under state 83, it still goes to right, looking after the next  $\mathbf{x}$ , which is marked and which triggers state 84 so that a new cycle of search starts. When under state 85 the head meets no more h, there are only o's between F and T, so that the head meets T. It changes T to s and it goes to right under state 86 which changes w to the Y it previously marked and then replaces F by W: the new state is located. The new scanned square is also located so that meeting s under state 86, the head goes to left under state 87 until it meets again the w in the program of M. Then state 29 is called so that a new cycle for simulating the next step of M is starting.

Accordingly, the pedagogical universal Turing machine we devised has 87 states and 16 letters which means 1392 instructions. The encoding we described for M can also be used for U. It requires 10351 letters of that encoding. The execution of U on the program of Table 1 as M and on the encoding of \*\_\_\_\_\_\* as its data requires 106 steps of computation for M and 1,143,717 steps for U, as indicated by the execution of the computer program  $\mathcal{P}_1$  I devised to check the correctness of the pedagogical universal Turing machine. The initial configuration of the tape of M in that of U is:

SW01hhU11hhU11hhU01hhU01hhU11hhU01hh\_,

and its final configuration is:

SW01hhU11hhU11hhU01hhU11hhU11hhU01hhU11hhU11hhU11hhU11hhU11hhU01hh\_,

as computed by  $\mathcal{P}$  which is what was expected.

## 4 Comparison: relevant or not?

Let us now turn to what is raised in the introduction. What can be the basis off a comparison between a universal Turing machine and a virus? Of course, I do not have in mind computer viruses which are something different based on another behaviour of programs which aim at replicate themselves inside as most machines as possible and to invest each infected machine, preventing it to work. A computer virus has an intention, a natural virus has no will. What we call will when speaking of a natural virus, we speak of the result of natural selection on its evolution. As an example, if we say that a natural virus tries to adapt to its hosts, that 'trying' is indeed the result of selection: the variant of the virus which is the least malevolent to their hosts has the best chance to survive.

The basis of our comparison is, I think, more profound. It is grounded on the complexity of the things we consider and on their behaviour, mainly the working of a universal Turing machine and the behaviour of a virus at a molecular level.

The unpredictability of the behaviour of a universal Turing machine is a theorem: it is a corollary of both the existence of universal Turing machines and the algorithmic unsolvability of the halting problem for Turing machines from which a lot of corollaries are derived as, for instance, the same impossibility to say whether a Turing machine launched on a given configuration reaches another fixed in advance configuration. Before going on the dispute we postpone to Subsection 4.2, I give in Sub-section 4.1 the description of how we can encode the code of U in RNA-terms and how it is possible to deal with that RNA-code.

#### 4.1 How to construct a universal RNA Turing machine

Let us look at the size of a code of a Turing machine, using the encoding defined for the pedagogical universal Turing machine U in (3) and (6), in Section 3.2. As given in Table 2, the code of that tiny universal Turing machine, say N, requires 206 letters in the just mentioned encoding. The code of U itself in the same encoding requires 10,351 letters. That encoding is based on the following alphabet: 0, 1, L, R, X, Y, U, W, S, h, d, e, F, Z, T, considering also the working of U. The genome of a DNA-virus consists in a very long chain of thousands of nucleotides of four kinds: adenine, cytosine, guanine and thyomine denoted by A, C, G and T respectively, given in alphabetic order. In the case of an RNA-virus, the composition of the genome is similar: we have also four kinds of nucleotides, the same ones with the exception of thyomine which is replaced by uracil, denoted by U. We later refer to the alphabet {A,C,G,U} as the **RNA-alphabet**.

With those four letters, we may encode the alphabet of U. For example we can define the following correspondence, encoding a letter of U by two letters of the RNA-alphabet:

S X Y U T F W L R O 1 h d e Z UU AA CC GG UA UC UG CG AC AG CA CU GA GC GU AU As an example, state 42 of Table 3 looks like that: (7)

It is important to note that a code similar to (8) is dealt with by U but a code similar to (9) cannot be used by an RNA Turing machine. Let us see how such a universal RNA Turing machine works, say RNA universal Turing machine, denote it by rnaU. It is assumed that the data used by rnaU is constituted in the same way as (9), starting from (7). Table 5, in Appendix 2, displays the program of rnaU. In the table, as in Table 4, the halting instructions are represented by an empty entry. Moreover, as the program of Table 4, the program has been checked by a computer program I devised to simulate it, as already mentioned.

The principle of transforming U into rnaU is simple: each state of U contains 16 instructions. With a four-letter alphabet five states at most are needed to sort the instructions corresponding to those of U. Indeed, the execution of an instruction requires to write down two letters in place of the two ones scanned by the machine. That may require one, two, exceptionally four more states as a backward step may be required to overwrite a two symbol pattern. Most often a state is called by just a single other one from a single instruction. The calling instruction I has a move, say  $\mu \in \{L, R\}$ . Most often too, the majority of the instructions under the state s called by I have the same move  $\mu$ . Most often too, in the calling state, the calling instructions also have the same move. Consequently we have the following two patterns for those sets of instructions:

To left, in (10), we have the pattern for a move to right, to left of (10), the patter for a move to left. Note that the 'instructions' are in fact pseudoinstructions which should be replaced by the right state corresponding to the letter of U represented by the position of the considered pseudo-instruction. In the case when several instructions with opposite moves call the same state, we need both sets together. In Table 5, it happens once for states 88 up to 95 which simulate state 21 of U. But in several case, when the state only has two

or three non-halting instructions, we need less states and also much less non halting instructions in the RNA version. In (11), (12) and (13) we give three examples of the translation required for rnaU.

42	L	L	R43	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	
			A	- C	- G	- U	-				
		191	L192	- L193	- L194	1 - L19	95 -				
		192			- L191	-	-				
		193	R194	- L191	- L191	L - L19	91 -				(11)
		194			- L191	-	-				
		195		- L191	- L191	L -	-				

Those instructions belong to the Table 5, but they are not the code of such a machine. We shall go back to that point in Sub-section 4.2. The piece of a table given in (11) implements the instructions of the state 42 of U as described in Section 3. Note that (11) applies to 16 instructions of U while Table 3 given in Section 3 displays 10 instructions only for states 40 up to 45. That can be checked in Table 4 of Appendix 1 to the paper. In (12), we can see, to left, the transcription of state 13, to right, that of state 14. In Table 4, both states have only two non halting instructions. In state 13, although the calling instruction and the instructions of state 13 themselves have R as motion, as 1 is encoded cu and d is encoded GC, the rewriting of the scanned square requires a step to left in order to write down the appropriate letter followed by another step to right as the move is R. The same steps are required in state 14 to rewrite 1 by h. But the second letter in the RNA-code is the same for 1 and h, which allows us to use the same RNA-state for the step to right as there are halting instructions only for a first RNA-letter A. Hence two RNA-states are enough to translate state 14 instead of three ones required to translate state 13.

	Α	-	С	-	G	-	U	-		A	-	С	-	G	-	U	-	
057		-	R058	3 -		-		-	060		-	R061			-		-	(10)
058		- (	GR05	9-		- (	CL05	8-	061	R062	! - (	GR06	0-		- 4	AL06	1-	(12)
059		-	R060	) -		-		-										

In (13), with have the unique case where the translation of a state of U requires 9 RNA-states. It concerns state 21 which is called by state 17 and by state 23. In state 17 the calling instruction is to left while it is to right under state 23.

- C - G - U С -G -U А A G089 - G090 - G091 - G092 -- D095 - D096 -088 093 D094 -- D102 - CG088- CG090-089 094 - AG090-(13)- G088 - D102 -G089 - G090 - G097 - CG090-090 GD097-095 091 GD091-- AG095- D089 -096 - D102 -092 - D089 -

It can be seen that the instruction from state 17 arrives on s, while that from state 23 arrives on F.

The number of letters of the code of U is 10,351 so that its translation in

the RNA-alphabet yields 20,702 letters. Note that the genome of the SARS-Cov2 virus contains around 31,000 letters, each one being materialised by a nucleotides. The genome of that virus has 15 genes, we shall go back to that point in Sub-section 4.2

If we consider the RNA-universal machine, its application to the RNAcode of the machine whose program is given in Table 1 requires 2,303,033 steps corresponding to the 106 steps of the courteous addition of that table. It was checked by a computer program  $\mathcal{P}_2$  I devised for that purpose. The initial configuration of rnaU is:

#### UUUGCACUGAGAGGCUCUGAGAGGCUCUGAGAGGCUCUGAGA GGCACUGAGAGGCUCUGAGAGGCUCUGAGAGGCACUGAGACG

and its final configuration is:

UUUGCACUGAGAGGCUCUGAGAGGCUCUGAGAGGCUCUGAGA GGCACUGAGAGGCUCUGAGAGGCUCUGAGAGGCACUGAGAGG CUCUGAGAGGCUCUGAGAGGCUCUGAGAGGCUCUGAGA GGCUCUGAGAGGCACUGAGACG

In that final configuration, we can note that the head, UG, is on the square containing the leftmost \* encoded as CACUGAGA. We can also check that there are five squares GGCUCUGAGA, where CUCU encodes |.

Compared to the 1,143,717 steps of the pedagogical universal Turing machine, the RNA-universal machine requires a little more than twice that number of steps.

At this point, I have to indicate that it is easy to get a smaller universal Turing machine which can still be considered as pedagogic. There are two possible solutions which can also be both applied. The first solution is to note that the construction of the area to the left of  $\mathbf{F}$  with the unary pattern for the powers of 2 not greater than P requires 29 states. The price to pay is to require that the code of the simulated Turing machine M contains the area constructed by U between the leftmost  $\mathbf{s}$  and the rightmost  $\_$  to the left-hand side of that  $\mathbf{s}$ . The other solution consists in noticing that the majority of instructions in U are glides. If we append a new letter, say M, we can replace all halting instructions by the code MDM where D is the move and M says that the element at the place of the symbol is the same as in the scanned square for the letter and the new state is the current one.

We conclude that subsection by indicating the RNA-translation of the small universal Turing machine whose instructions are displayed by Table 2. We use (7) and (14) displays the RNA-code which requires 410 letters.

AACCCACACUGAACCUCCCUCUGAGAACCU	
CCCACUGAGAACCUCCCUGAGAGAAGCACU	
AACCCUCUGAGAAGCUCACUCCCUCUGAGAAGCACU	
CCCUCUGAGAAGCUCCCUGAGAGAAGCACU	
AACCCACACUGAACCUCUCCCACUGAGAAGCUCACU	
CCCACUGAGAACCUCUCCCACACUGAACCUCACU	
AACCCUGAGAGAAGCUCACUCCCUCUGAGAAGCACACU	
CCCACUGAGAAGCACUCCCAGAGAGAAGCACACU	
AACCCACUGAGAACCUCUCCCUCUGAGAAGCACUCU	
CCCACUGAGAACCACUCUCCCACACUGAAGCUCACU	
AACCCUGAGAGAAUCACUCUCCCUCUGAGAAGCUCACU	
CCCACUGAGAACCACACUCCCUCUGAGAAGCU	

(14)

It is time to turn back to the dispute.

### 4.2 Continuation of the dispute

Clearly, the complexity of the RNA-code obtained from the code of U is significantly less than the size of the SARS-Cov2. It is comparable to the size of an influenza virus. At first glance, as the behaviour of U is unpredictable we could conclude that, *a fortiori*, the behaviour of the SARS-Cov2 is also unpredictable. Although the history of the pandemia confirms that point up to now, is that comparison of code sizes a relevant argument?

One can certainly raise the following objection: you speak of completely incomparable objects, what a Turing machine has to do with a virus?

At first glance, the objection seems to be sound. Moreover, the tape of a Turing machine is a linear thread like which is also the case of any code written within a segment of the tape even if the segment does not contain any empty square. Indeed, a virus has a complex 3D-structure which is not at all represented by the Turing tape. It can be objected to the objection that a Turing tape is an abstract object. What is important, in the tape, is that it has a linear order. Whether the tape is folded in whichever way is meaningless for the computation. For RNA or DNA strands, the way they are folded may be important as far as elements which are far away from each other in the linear order may become neighbours thanks to the folding. That means more complication on the side of viruses. Consequently, that objection does not seem to me a serious one. Moreover, the 3D-folding which makes molecules close neighbours has a kind of counter-parts in the Turing technology: in the pedagogical universal Turing machine most instructions of a state refer to a rather neighbouring states according to their numbering, if not the immediate successor or the immediate predecessor. Other references to distant states which are less frequent may be looked as a jump which makes close states which are not according to their numbering. Accordingly, the jumps perform what the folding does.

Another objection could be the fact that in the concept of a Turing machine, at least those considered in the present paper, the tape is infinite in both directions which has no meaning in a biological context. I answered to that objection in underlying that the configuration of a Turing machine is finite at each step of

19

its computation. Remember that namely that property allows us to construct universal Turing machines. I already stressed that point in the section devoted to the pedagogical universal Turing machine. Now, a finite segment which can be continued step by step as long as needed has its relevance in a biological context. Another point on the side of the relevance is the consideration of polite machines only as simulated machines. We mentioned that theoretically that restriction does not alter the generality of the result as far as a non-polite machine can be simulated by a polite one. But such a limitation on the tape means that it has a beginning which is also the case for RNA-strands of a virus.

The complexity is not the single argument in favour of the unpredictability of the behaviour or evolution of viruses. The other reason I have to consider that comparison as relevant lies in the behaviour of the pedagogical universal Turing machine. What are its actions if we only look at the behaviour of the head? We can mainly see two basic features. In many cases, the head runs over the configuration looking after some pattern which is expected to be somewhere on the tape. It is most probably something which also happens within a cell during a replication process. That latter word points at the other main activity of the Turing machine: it copies some portion of the tape over another portion of the tape. What does a virus do? It takes advantage of the copying possibilities contained in a cell to replicate itself as it cannot do that by itself only. Copying and searching something are the basic actions of a Turing machine and those phenomena are also at work at a bio molecular level. But there is a third point. A code by itself can do nothing. It must be treated by a Turing machine in the case of abstract computations, it must be treated by the cell machinery in case of the replication of a virus. The computation of a Turing machine is performed in the head of the human being which created it. The same person may create a computer program in order to perform that computation, in particular to check the correctness of the machine he/she built. It is the reason why at several places I clearly distinguished between a Turing machine and its encoding. As an example, (14) is a code which can be performed by the machine of which (11), (12) and (13) display a few states.

There are simple model of computations, more simple but more abstract than the Turing machine, which are based on that copying process like Post systems, for example, see [2] for a short description. I have no room to consider them here but it is significant that such models are used in order to make the machine of Table 2 able of universal computations. Universality lies in the data too. Which makes us return to the code.

Another point about Turing machines and viruses are the contrast with errors. When a Turing machine works on some data, it is assumed that not only the data can be read by the machine but also that there are no errors in the data. It is also assumed that there is no error in the program of the Turing machine. In the abstract world in which they are living, Turing machines are error free objects which work on error free data without error during the computation. A Turing machine exactly does what is written in its program. Clearly, such a perfect behaviour is far away from what happens in a biological context. However, things are not that different if we look at Turing machines as objects created by human brains. How does a human being who decides to create a Turing machine in order to solve a given problem? He/she writes down the program and submit it with the data to a simulator of Turing machines. Whether the simulator is borrowed from the web or it is created by the same person, there are several trials before the human being obtains a machine he/she can trust as error free. Those trials are interesting as far as there are usually errors during that tuning process. There are errors in the data which are not completely conformal to the format which they are supposed to obey. There are also errors in the Turing machine which does not behave as expected. Sometimes, the Turing machine halts because no instruction corresponds to what it reads under its current state. Sometimes too, the Turing machine runs a so long time without halting that its creator thinks it never halts. Looking carefully, the human being detects an error in his/her program or in the data he/she wrote. Both cases may also happen together. Accordingly, data and program mute until they arrive to a sufficiently stable form. That real life process of creating a Turing machine looks like some evolution process of real life. Things occur as just described as far as nobody can prove the correctness of a program as far as the controlling tools are guaranteed to work just by long enough trials. We reach here the same limit to the power of universal Turing machine: there are (infinitely many) problems which they cannot solve. That latter sentence is a theorem.

Another interesting point consists in the following statistics: among the 1652 instructions of the RNA universal Turing machine (4 letters and 413 states), 958 of them are glides: the head does not overwrite the scanned square. Also, among those 1652 instructions, 542 of them are halting instructions, so that we remain with 152 instructions which overwrite the scanned square with another letter: it means less than 10% of the instructions make changes on the tape. It looks like the situation in the genome where active coding elements are a small minority, which does not mean that the others are useless as is the case in the RNA universal Turing machine: only 45 instructions among the non-halting one does not change the state of the head. Moreover among those 45 instructions, 24 of them replace the letter of the scanned square by another one. The big number of changes of states comes from the fact that the pedagogical universal Turing machine U has 16 letters in its alphabet while the RNA universal Turing machine has only 4 of them at its disposal. In Table 5, we dispatch the table of that latter machine by grouping its states according to those of U which they are simulating. In each such groups, the first state dispatches the execution into at most four states in order to sort what is read according to the letter it represents in terms of those of U. Note that the operations of U can be split into nine parts, so that in mean, from 30 up to 53 states of the RNA-universal Turing machine correspond to a specific action. More precisely, those groups are the following:

 $1\text{-}53::\;54\text{-}101::\;102\text{-}132::\;133\text{-}182::\;183\text{-}231::\;232\text{-}281::\;282\text{-}331::\;332\text{-}373::\;374\text{-}413$ 

The number of genes in a virus is very small. Let us remember that, as an example, the SARS-Cov2 has 15 of them.

Assuming that the comparison I raised with the present paper is relevant, what can we infer from that?

The first conclusion is the unpredictability of the evolution of a virus. All reasons which were raised against the relevance of the comparison point at the same conclusion: a virus is much more complex than a Turing machine so that if the behaviour of the latter is unpredictable, it is all the more the case for the former. Does it mean that there is nothing to do to limit as strongly as possible the damage a virus can do when it is virulent? Certainly not. The comparison I consider means that something which looks like algorithmic has little chance to fight a virus. At that point, let us go back to the comparison. Computer scientists introduced sometimes a topology on data from which it can be proved that Turing machines viewed as operators on the data are continuous. The notion of continuity corresponding to that topology can be stated in a more concrete way: for a given Turing machine, if it is given long enough data and if there are no differences between the data within that length, the Turing machine gives the same output for those data. The larger that length with no difference is, the finer, we say, the continuity is. In other words, if different data entail the same behaviour of the machine, it means that the considered data are big and that 'close to the machine' we could say, they are not different. Every thing relies on the fineness of that continuity.

The consequences of that continuity theorem are that viruses try to cheat on the immune system of their hosts, it is the way they can survive. When I say that 'the virus tries' it is a short-circuit for the sentence: among the 'child'virions produced by the 'parent'-virions, those which are the more adapted to the present situation survive and only them. From the side of the immune system, its efficiency is a kind of measure of the fineness of its continuity. If it can be cheated only when data are very large and are little different, then it will be difficult for a virus to deceive it. Viruses and our immune systems have a long history of constant struggle one against the other.

Homo sapiens sapiens is very proud of the knowledge that could be accumulated thanks to science. Let us remember that the basis of today sciences stems from late 16<sup>th</sup> century, mathematics being a bit older. Certainly it is a little duration at the geological scale. Indeed, that means less than 500 years, around 3000 years for mathematics. Life on our planet exists from over than 3,000,000,000 years. For sure, life evolution requires much time to evolve from viruses and bacteria to plants, to animals, to man. Let us stress that human beings are newcomers at geological scale. The way life appeared make all its components interact, and that was apparently always the case. Science of which homo sapiens sapiens is so proud is the work of how many people? Certainly less than one million and a half persons at world scale at the present moment and we have to compare that with the more than 7,000,000,000 human beings at present. Moreover, the number of to day scientists is several times more than the number of dead scientists since the beginning of science, at some point 5,000 years ago, roughly speaking. That large estimate shows us that scientific activity, whatever the appraisal of its results, is a very partial and very recent activity of mankind. Can it allow us to play with our environment as it were something given at our free use and free will? It seems to me that the answer is no. We should be careful when dealing with our environment. We should try to foresee as far as possible the consequences of our actions on the environment.

Let us be more modest and let us try to take benefit of what can we learn from the other fields of science in each field of science. Theoretical computer scientists observe their environment and that careful look brought them valuable results. Perhaps Turing machine may be of help to better understand phenomena studied by other sciences. When computation and information are involved we may have relevant points of view for other people. Theoretical computer science bring some light to philosophical problems too, but that point goes for beyond the goal of that paper.

I hope that the reader will find those comments of interest, whatever his/her point of view.

# 5 Conclusion

# References

- M. Margenstern, Frontier between decidability and undecidability: a survey. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 231(2), (2000), 217-251.
- [2] M. L. Minsky, Computation: Finite and Infinite Machines, Prenice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, (1967)
- [3] D. Woods, T. Neary, The complexity of small universal Turing machines: A survey, *Theoretical Computer Science*, 410(4-5), (2009), 443-450.
- [4] T. Neary, D. Woods, Four Small Universal Turing Machines, Fundamenta Informaticae, 91(1), (2009), 123-144.
- [5] Yu. V. Rogozhin, Seven Universal Turing machines, Systems and Theoretical Programming, Matematicheskie Issledovania, 69, (1982), Akademia Nauk Moldavskoi SSR, Kishinev, 76-90, (in Russian).
- [6] Yu. Rogozhin, Small universal Turing machines, *Theoretical Computer Sci*ence, 168, (1996), 215-240.

#### Appendix 1

**Table 4** Table of U: it is devided in several sub-tables where the columns are labelled by the states and the lines are labelled by the letters. That latter display is more convenient, taking into account the number of states.

Sub-table 4.a: building the area between S and  $F.\ T$  is missing as not used at that stage.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
-				0D05			1R08				FR12
0	L		R	L	R	L	1R08	R	R	L	L
1	L		R	L	R	L	L	R	R	L	L
L	L		R	L	R	L		R	R	L	L
R	L		R	L	R	L		R	R	L	L
X	L	FR03	L06		R			R	FL10	L	L
Y	L		WL04	L	R	L		R	R	L	L
U											
W	SL			L	YR03						
S	R02			L	R		L	R	L11	L07	L
h	L		R	L	R	L		R	R	L	L
d											
е											
F			R	L	R	L07		XR09			
Z			R	L	R	L		R	R	L	L

**Sub-table 4.b**: States for constructing the scale of powers of two not greater than P. The missing letters, namely \_, 0, L, X, Y, U, W and T, involve halting instructions. It is the reason why the corresponding lines are missing in the table.

	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
1	dR13	dR14	hR15	dL16		hL18		L20	dR16		
R					L	R	L	R		hL22	hL
S						L21		L20			
h					RR17	R	L	R	dL16	OL	L
d					eR17	R	eR17			L	
е					L	R	L16	R		dR	dL
F					R19					R23	R23

**Sub-table 4.c:** To left: states for preparing the pattern to the left-hand side of F allowing to convert the binary representation of  $n \leq P$  into  $h^n$ . The following symbols are not concerned by the states 23 up to 27: L, R, X, Y, U, W, e, Z and T. To right, on two sub-tables, the motion of the head to the W indicating the scanned square on the tape of M. Here too a few symbols are not concerned: \_, d, e, F and T.

	23	24	25	26	27		28	29		28	29
_		dR25		0R25		0	R	R	U		R
0	1R	L	R	L		1	R	R	W		R30
1	R	L		L	OL	L	R	R	S	R	R
S	L27					R	R	R	h		R
h	1L26		R			X	WR29	R	Z	R	R
d	1L24	L	R	L		Y	R	R			
F	R21	L	R23	L	R28				_		

**Sub-table 4.d**: States for copying the binary representation of n in the scanned square of the tape of M onto the pattern of the useful powers of two. Here there is no missing letter as far as each letter is read under at least one state among those of that part of the table.

	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39
_									R 39	
0	dL31	L	L	R	R	L	L	L	L	R
1	eL35	L		R	R	L		L	L	
L		L		R	R	L		L		
R		L		R	R	L		L		
Х	L37	L		R	R	L		L		
Y	L37	L		R	R	L		L		
U		L			R	L		L		
W		L		R 34	R 30	L		L		
S		L		R	R	L		L		
h	L37	L	L	R	R	L	L	L	L	R
d	R	L	hR33		0R30	L	eR33	OL	L	R
е	R	L	L	R	1R30	L	L	1L	L	LR40
F		L32		R		L36		L38		
Z		L		R	R	L		L		
Т		L		R	R	L		L		

**Sub-table 4.e**: Left-hand side half, states 40 up to 45: transformation of the binary representation of n copied to the right-hand side of F into n copies of h to the right-hand side of F. Those states are used twice during the simulation of one step of M computation.

Right-hand side half, states 45 up to 46: thanks to the unary representation of n, location of the instruction to be applied under the state marked by W.

	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50
0	R	hL42	L	YR40		R	R	R	L	L	R
1		L	L				R	R	L		R
L			R43		dR45		R	R	L		R
R			L	R	eL		R	R	L		R
X							R		L		R
Y			L	R	OL		R	FL48	L		R
U	R		L	R	dL						
W							R47		L		R
S							R		L49		R
h	R	R	L	UR40		0R46	R	R	L	0R50	R
d	hR		L			R					
е	R		L	RR40		LR40					
F	R41	L42	L	L44		R				R51	YR47
Z				L84			R	R	L		R
Т							R80				

oquaro	Scannec	• • <i>9</i> 1.1 1								
	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60
0	R	dR53	R	dL55		L	R	eL59		L
1	R	eR57	R	dL55		L	R	eL59		L
L	R		R			L	R			L
R	R		R			L	R			L
Х	R		R			L	R			L
Y	R	R	R			L	R			L
U			R			L	R			L
W	R		R54		L56	R52	R58		L60	R52
S	R		R			L	R		L	L
h	R	L61	R	dL55		L	R	eL59	L	L
d		R		R	L	R52		R	L	L
e		R		R	L	R52		R	L	L
F	WR52									L
Z	R		R			L	R			L

Sub-table 4.f: States 51 up to 60. Copying the letter of the instruction onto the square scanned by M.

**Sub-table 4.g**: States 61 up to 70. Return to the scanned square in order to erase the markings and then return to clear the instruction from the markings. Then, U performs the move of the head of M over its tape.

	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70
-										UL71
0	L	R	hR	L	L	R	R	L	R	R
1	L	R	hR	L	L	R	R	L	R	R
L		R		L	L	UR67	R		R	R
R		R		L	L	FR69	R		R	R
Х		R		L	L		R		R	
Y		R		L	L		R		R	
U		R		L	L		R	WL76	R	WL76
W	R62	R63		L65	R66		UL68		UR70	
S		R		L	L		R		R	
h		R	L64	L	L	R	R	L	R	R
d	OL		OR	L	L					
е	1L		1R	L	L					
Z		R		L	L		R		R	R

**Sub-table 4.h**: States 71 up to 79. Creating a new empty square at the right-hand side end of the tape of M. The first states also clear the marking performed during the action indicated by Sub-table 4.g. We also have a new call to states 30 up to 45 to copy the binary representation of the new state onto the scale-pattern to the left-hand side of F and to compute to the right-hand side of it the unary representation of that number.

	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79
_		hL73							
0				eR72		L	L	R	R
1				RR72	R	L	L	R	R
L							L	R	R
R		R		L	1R		L	R	R
Х							L	R	R
Y		R		L	hR	L	L	R	R
U		R	L74	R75	WR	L	L		LR30
W						L	L	XR79	R
S						L77	TR78		
h	YR72	R	L	YR72	1L76	L	L	R	R
e		R		L	OR				
F							L		RR30
Z							L	R	R

	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87
-					R85			
0	R	R	R	R	L	R	R	L
1	R		R	R	L		R	L
L	R		R	R	L		R	L
R	R		R	R	L		R	L
X	FL84		R	FL84	L		R	L
Y	R		R	R	L		R	L
W				YR	L		YR	R29
S							L87	
h	R	R	R	R	L	0R81	R	L
d					L	R		
F			XR83		L	R	WR	
Z	R		R	R	L		R	L
Т		R82			L	SR86	R	

**Sub-table 4.i**: States 70 up to 87. Locating the new state and, when it is obtained, start the simulation of the next step of M in its computation.

#### Appendix 2

**Table 5** The RNA-universal Turing machine: it works with the encoding defined both by that of the pedagogical universal Turing machine and by its translation in the RNA-alphabet. The label **state** n indicates the state of the pedagogical universal Turing machine which is simulated by the states of the RNA-universal Turing machine which follows it. Empty entries are halting instructions.

	A	-	С	-	G	-	U	-	a+ + +			С	-	G	-	U	-
stat	ce 1								44		-	L46					-
1 2	L2 L1	-	L3 L1	-				-	45 46	L44	-	L44 L44	-		-		-
3	L1	-	L1 L1	-	UL	-	L1	-	47 48	L44	-		-		-	L29	-
4 5	L1	_	L1	-		-	R3 R2	-		ь44 ;е 11		L44	-		-	L29	-
	ce_2								49	L50	-	L51	-	L52	-	L53	-
6 7		-	R9	-		-		-	50 51	L49 L49	-	L49 L49	-	CR54	-		-
8	UR7	-		-		-		-	52 53	L49 L49	-	UR51 L49	_		-	L49	-
	te 3		D 4 4		<b>D40</b>		D40										
9 10	L13	-	RII R9	_	R12 R9	-	R13 R9	-	stat	;e 12							
11	R9	-	GL12	-		-	R9	-				R55	_		_		_
12 13	R9 L24	-	UL14 R9	_	R9	-		-	55		-	R55 GR56 R57	-		-	CL	-
	ce 4								a+ a+	~ 12					-		-
14	L15	-	L16	-	L17	-	L18	-	57	.0 10	_	R58 GR59 R60	_		_		-
15 16	L14	-	L14 L14	_	L14	-	L14	-	58		-	GR59	-		-	CL	-
17	L14	-	R	-	AR19	-	L14	-	59	;e 14	-	R60	-		-		-
18	L14 te 5	-	L14	-		-	L14	-	60		_	R61	_		_		-
19 sta		_	<b>P</b> 01	_	R22	_	<b>B</b> 03	_	61	R62	_	GR	-		-	AL	-
20	R19	_	R19	-	R19	-	R19	-	stat	;e 15							
21 22	R19	-	R19	-	1110	-	R19	-	62 63		-	R63 GL65 L65	-		-	CL	-
22	R19	-	R19	_	CL22	-	R19	_	64		-	L65	-		-		-
	ce 6								stat	e 16							
	L25	-	L26	-	L27	-	L28	-	65 66	L66	-	L67 R80 UR70	-	L68	-	L69	-
25 26	L24	_	L24 L24	_	L24	_	L29	-	67	GRIU	-	UR70	-	R	-	R66	-
27	L24	-	104	-	L24	-		-	68 60	L65	-		-	TCE	-		-
28	L24 ce 7	-	L24	-		-		-	0+ 2+	· 17							
29	130	_		_	L31	_	1.32	_	70	R71	_	R72 GL75	_	R73	_	R74	-
30	IIR33	-	R	-	IIB33	-		-	71		-	GL75	-	R70	-	L88	-
31 32		-	R30 L29	_		-	L29	-	72	R70	_	R70	_		_	AL/1 R70	-
	ce 8		120				220						-		-	L71	-
33	R34	-	R35	-	R36	-	R37	-		e 18							
34 35	R33 R33	-	R33 R33	-	R33	2	R33 R33	-	75 76	L76	_	L// UR70	-	L78 L75	-	L79	-
36	523	_		_		-	AR37	-	77		-	L77 UR70	-	R76	-		-
37	R38	-	AL36	-		-	R33	-	78 79	L75	-		-	L65	-		-
sta1 38	e 9		D10		R41		D/10			;e 19				100			
39	сL42	_	п40 R38	_	R38	_	л42 R38	-	80	R81	_	R82	-	R83	-	R84	-
40	R38	-	R38	-		-	R38	-	81		-	TOF	-	R80	-	L85 L	-
41 42	к38 UL44	_		_	R38	_	L49 L41	-	83	R80	_	L85	-		_	R80	-
43		-		-		-	L44	-	84		-		-		-	L81	-

A - C	- G	- U	-	А	- C -	G -	U -
state 20 85 L86 - 86 CL87 - 87 - GR86 state 21 88 L89 - L90	- L91	- L92	-	state 30 133 R134 134 L137 135 CL131 136 L134 137 L169 state 31	_ T169 _	T169 -	_
89 - R102 90 GR97 - 91 GR - 92 - 93 R94 - 94 - 95 L89 - L90	- L88 - AL95 - R89 - R95 - AL90	- R102 - R89 - - R96 -		138 L139 139 L138 140 L138 141 L138 142 L138 state 32	- L138 - - L138 -  - L138 -	L138 - L138 - L138 - L138 -	L138 - L143 - L138 - L138 -
96       -       R102         96       -       R102         97       L98       -       L99         98       -       R102         99       -       -         100       GR99       -         101       -	- L100	- L101	-	143 L144 144 145 146 147 state 33	- L145 - - L143 - - AR148 - 	L146 - L143 - R - L148 - L143 -	L147 - - - - -
101 - 	- R98 ·	-	_	148 R149 149 R148 150 R148 151 R148	- R.148 -	R148 -	R148 -
102 - R103 103 UR102 - 104 UL103 - UL	- CL115 - CL106	- R102 -	-	152 R148 state 34	- R148 -	R153 -	R148 -
105       -       R88         state       24         106       L107       -         107       -       L106         108       -       -         109       -       GR10         110       -       L106	8 - T.109	- 1110	_	153 R154 154 R153 155 R153 156 R153 157 R153 158 R133	- R153 - - R153 - - AL155 -	R153 - CR158 - R153 -	R153 - R153 - L158 -
109         - GR10           110         - L106           state 25         -           111         - R112           112         R111           113         R111           114         - R102	2 - R113	- R114	-	state 35 159 L160 160 L159 161 L159 162 L159 163 L159 state 36	- L161 - - L159 - - L159 - 	L162 - L159 - L159 - L159 -	L163 - L159 - L164 - L159 -
state 26 115 L116 - L117 116 - L118 117 - 118 - R 119 - L118 - L118	5 - - L115 - AR111 5 -	- - L115 -	- - -	164 L165 165 166 167 168 state 37	- L164 - - UR148 - 	L167 - L164 - R - L164 -	L168 - - - - -
state 27 120 - L121 121 - R124 122 - R 123 - L120 state 28	L	- L122 - R - AL123 -	- - 3 - -	169 L170 170 L169 171 L169 172 L169 173 L169 state 38	- L171 - - L169 - - L169 - - AL171 -	CR172 - L169 -	L174 - L169 -
124 R125 - R126 125 GL127 - R124 126 R124 - R124 127 UR126 - state 29	l - R124 l - R128 -	- R124 - R124 - R124	- -	174 L175 175 176 177	- L174 -	L174 - L174 - R179 -	L178 - - - - -
128 R129 - R130 129 R128 - R128 130 R128 - R128 131 R128 - GL13 132 -	3 - R128 3 -	- R128 - R128 -	-	180 R179 181 R179		AR182 - -	- CL180 -

A - C - G - U state 40 183 R184 - R184 - R185 - R186 -184 R183 --185 R183 - AR183 - R183 - R183 -- R187 - -186 state 41 187 - R188 - R189 -188 L - GL191 - -189 R187 - - --\_ \_ 190 state 42 191 L192 - L193 - L194 - L195 - 

 192
 L191
 L191

 192
 L191
 L191

 193
 R194
 L191
 L191

 194
 L191
 R196
 L191

 195
 L191
 L191

 state 43 196 R197 - R198 - R199 - R200 -197 L393 - - R196 - L -198 CR183 - R196 - AR200 - L201 -199 GR183 - R196 - R196 - GL198 -- L198 - R183 -200 state 44 - L202 - L203 - L204 -201 201 - L202 - L203 -202 GR203 - R204 - UL204 -203 GR202 - R206 - R205 -204 GR203 - AL205 - L201 -205 - L201 - CL204 state 45 
 206
 R207
 R208
 R209

 207
 R206
 R183
 CR209

 208
 L207
 R206
 AR207
 CL

 209
 R210
 R206
 state 46 210 R211 - R212 - R213 - R214 -211 R210 - R210 - R210 - R210 -212 R210 - R210 - R210 - R210 -213 R210 - -214 R374 -- R215 - R210 state 47 215 R216 - R217 - R218 - R219 -216 - R215 - R215 - R215 -217 R215 - L218 - - R215 -218 R215 - UL220 -219 state 48 

 State 40

 220
 L221 - L222 - L223 - L224 

 221
 L220 - L220 - L220 

 222
 L220 - L220 

 1
 L220 - L220 
 223 L220 - -224 L220 - L220 -- L220 -- L225 state 49 225 L226 - L227 -225 L226 - L227 - -226 R228 - L225 - CR -227 - R233 - - R state 50 228 R229 - R230 - R231 - R232 - 
 229
 R228
 R228
 R228
 R228
 230
 R228
 R228
 R228
 R228
 R228
 R228
 R228
 R228
 R228
 CR
 CR
 -< - L231 - R228 - R228 -232

A - C - G - U state 51 233 R234 - R235 - R236 - R237 -236 R233 -- GR238 - R233 - R233 -237 

 state 52

 238
 R239
 R240
 R241

 239
 GR242
 CR

 240
 CL239
 R238
 L239

 241
 L240
 R238
 R238

 242
 R238
 R238
 R238

 242
 R243
 R263

 state 52 state 53 243 R244 - R245 - R246 - R247 -244 R243 - R243 - R243 - R243 - 
 245
 R243
 R243
 R243
 R243
 246
 R243
 247
 R243
 247
 R243
 R243
 247
 R243
 R243
 247
 R243
 <t state 54 

 248
 R249
 R250
 R252

 249
 GL253
 L253

 250
 CL249
 CL249
 CL249

 251
 CL249
 R248
 R248

 251 CL249 - R248 -252 \_ state 55 253 - L254 - L255 - L256 -254 - - L253 --- L257 -255 - L253 -256 state 56 257 L258 - L259 - L260 - L261 -258 L257 - L257 - L257 -259 L257 - L257 - R260 - R238 -260 L257 - R238 - L257 - R262 -261 L257 - L257 - R260 - L257 -262 - R238 - state 57 263 R264 - R265 - R266 - R267 -264 R263 - R263 - R263 - R263 -265 R263 - R263 - R263 - R263 -R263 - R263 - R263 -state 58 268 - R269 - R270 - -269 UL - GL271 - - L -270 UL - R268 - L271 - R268 state 59 271 L272 - L273 - L274 - L275 -- - L271 -272 - L271 - - -- L271 - - -- - L276 -273 \_ 274 \_ - L271 - L271 -275 state 60 276 L277 - L278 - L279 - L280 -277 L276 - L276 - L276 -278 L276 - L276 - L276 - L276 -279 L276 -- L276 - R281 -280 L276 - L276 - L276 - L276 -- - R238 -281

	А	-	С	-	G	-	U	-	
282 283 284 285 286		-	L282 AL286	-	L285 R287 CR CL282		L286 R283	- - -	sta <sup>-</sup> 332 333 sta <sup>-</sup> 334 335
287 288 289 290 291	R287 R287 R287		R289 R287 R287		R290 R287 R287 R292		R291 R287 R287 R287	- - -	336 337 sta 338 339 340
292 293 294 295	te 63 L R292	-	GR295	-	R294 CR295 L296	-	R295 AL L293 R292	- - -	sta 341 342 343 344
296 297 298 299 300	L296 L296	-	L298 L296 L296 L296	-	L299 L296 L296 L296 L296 L296		L300 L301 L296	- - -	345 sta 346 347 348 349
301 302 303 304 305 306	te 65 L302 L301 L301 L301 L301 L301			-	L304 L301 L301 L301 L301 R307		L305 R306 L301		350 351 352 sta 353 354 355
307 308 309 310 311	R307 R307 UR310		GL R312	-	R310 CL311 R312	-	R311 R307	- - -	355 356 357 sta <sup>-</sup> 358 359 360
312 313 314 315 316	te 67 R313 R312 R312 R312 R312						R312 R312 GL317		361 362 sta <sup>-</sup> 363 364 365
317 318 319 320 321	te 68 L318 te 69		L319 L317 L317	-	L320 L317 UL353		L321		366 367 sta <sup>-</sup> 368 369 370
322 323 324 325 326	R323 R322 R322 R322 R322	-	R322	-	R325 R322 R327 R322 L325		R322 R322 GR324	-	371 372 373 
stat 327 328 329 330 331	R327	-	R329 R327 GL332		R330 R327 L L331 UL353				

A -	С	-	G	-	U -
state 71 332 L333 - 333 CR334 - state 72		-	CR	-	- -
334 R335 - 335 - 336 - 337 R334 -	GL338	-			- - R334 -
state 73 338 L339 - 339 - 340 -		- - -	L340 L338 L341	- -	- -
state 74 341 L342 - 342 UR334 - 343 CR334 - 344 L341 - 345 -		- ( - -	R346 R343	-	L345 - GR334 - - - -
347 CR - 348 R346 - 349 UL351 - 350 UL - 351 - 352 -	R348 GR348 AL347	- - 1 - - - (			R350 - R346 - R346 - AL352 - - - -
state 76 353 L354 - 354 - 355 - 356 - 357 -	L355 L353 L353 L353	- - -	L356 L353 L353		L357 - - - L353 - L358 -
state 77 358 L359 - 359 L358 - 360 L358 - 361 L358 - 362 L358 - state 78	L358 L358	- - -	L361 L358 L358		L362 - AR363 - L358 - L358 - R359 -
363 R364 - 364 R363 - 365 R363 - 366 R363 - 367 R368 - state 79	R365 R363 R363	- - -	R366 R363 AL366		R367 - R363 - R363 - AR367 - -
368 R369 - 369 R368 - 370 R368 - 371 R368 -	R370 R368 R368 R133 GL371	- - 1 - (		-	R372 - R368 - R368 - AR373 - - -
state 80 374 R375 - 375 CL378 - 376 R374 - 377 R374 - 378 UL393 -	R374	-	R377 R374		R374 - R374 - - - -

A - C - G -	U	-		A	-	С	-	G	-	U	-
state 81			stat	ce 85							
379 - R380 - R381 -	R382	-	398		-	R399	-	R400	-	R401	-
380 R379		-						CR402			-
381 R379		-									-
382 R383		-							-		-
state 82				te 86			-		-		-
383 R384 - R385 - R386 -								<b>D</b> 400		D 4 0 7	
384 R383 - R383 - R383 -			403 404					R406 R403			
386 B383	AR387	-						R403			
385 R383 - R383 386 R383 387 R388 - AL386	mioor	-									
state 83			407	R403	- (	GR403	-	CL406	-	L408	-
388 R389 - R390 - R391 -	R392	_	408		-		-		-	L409	-
389 CL392 - R388 - R388 -			stat	ce 87							
390 R388 - R388			409	L410	-	L411	-	L412	-	L413	-
391 R388								L409			-
392 UL393 CL391 -		-	411					D100		ъ	-
state 84								R128			-
393 L394 - L395 - L396 -		-	413	L409	-	L409	-		-		-
394 L393 - L393 - L393 -											
395 L393 - L393 - L393 - 396 L393 - R - R398 -											
397 L393 - L393		_									
2000											