Mapping the Synchronization Effect of Gamma-Aminobutyric Acid Inhibition on the Cerebral Cortex Using Magnetic Resonance Imaging

Laura Blanco-Hinojo, PhD^{1,2}; Jesús Pujol, MD^{1,2,*}; Dídac Macià, PhD¹; Gerard Martínez-Vilavella, MsC¹; Rocío Martín-Santos, MD^{3,4}; Víctor Pérez-Sola, MD^{2,5,6}; Joan Deus, PhD^{1,7}

¹MRI Research Unit, Department of Radiology, Hospital del Mar, Barcelona, Spain

²Centro Investigación Biomédica en Red de Salud Mental, CIBERSAM, Barcelona, Spain

³Department of Psychiatry and Psychology, Hospital Clínic, Institut d'Investigacions Biomèdiques August Pi i Sunyer (IDIBAPS), Barcelona, Spain

⁴Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, and Institute of Neuroscience, University of Barcelona (UB), Barcelona, Spain

⁵Institute of Neuropsychiatry and Addictions, Hospital del Mar-IMIM, Barcelona, Spain

⁶Department of Psychiatry, Autonomous University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

⁷Department of Psychobiology and Methodology in Health Sciences, Autonomous University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

^{*}Corresponding author: Dr. Jesus Pujol, MRI Research Unit, Department of Radiology, Hospital del Mar, Passeig Marítim 25-29, Barcelona 08003, Spain. Email: <u>21404jpn@comb.cat.</u> Telephone: +34932212180 Fax: +34932212181.

Abstract

BACKGROUND: Functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) of spontaneous brain activity permits the identification of functional networks on the basis of region synchrony. The functional coupling between the elements of a neural system increases during brain activation. However, neural synchronization may also be the effect of inhibitory gammaaminobutyric acid (GABA) neurons in states of brain inhibition such as sleep or pharmacological sedation. We investigated the effects of an oral dose of alprazolam, a classical benzodiazepine known to enhance inhibitory neurotransmission, using recently developed measures of local functional connectivity. METHODS: In a randomized, doubleblind, placebo-controlled, crossover design, 32 non-treatment-seeking individuals with social anxiety underwent two identical resting-state fMRI sessions on separate days after receiving 0.75mg of alprazolam and placebo. Functional connectivity maps of the cerebral cortex were generated using multidistance functional connectivity measures defined within iso-distant local areas. RESULTS: Relative to placebo, increased intracortical functional connectivity was observed in the alprazolam condition in visual, auditory, and sensorimotor cortices, and in areas of sensory integration such as the posterior insula and orbitofrontal cortex (OFC). Alprazolam significantly reduced subjective arousal compared with placebo, and the change was associated with variations in multidistance functional connectivity measures in the OFC. DISCUSSION: In conclusion, we report evidence that alprazolam significantly modifies neural activity coupling at rest in the form of functional connectivity enhancement within the cerebral cortex. The effect of alprazolam was particularly evident in the cortical sensory system, which would further suggest a differentiated effect of GABA inhibition on sensory processing.

Keywords: alprazolam; functional connectivity; GABA; inhibition; neuronal synchrony

Impact Statement

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) signal synchronization between brain regions is regularly used as a measure of functional connectivity denoting activity of a network or co-activation of its elements. Less studied in the functional MRI field, however, is neural synchronization in states of brain inhibition. We mapped the effect of a pharmacological agent known to enhance gamma-aminobutyric acid inhibitory neurotransmission on the local functional structure of the cerebral cortex. We observed that alprazolam significantly increased intracortical activity coupling at rest, particularly in the cortical sensory system. The results emphasize that changes in functional connectivity measures may also reflect the synchronization effect of inhibitory neurons.

Introduction

At all levels of the nervous system, from single neurons (Tsodyks et al., 1999) and cortical columns (Arieli et al., 1995) to the whole brain (Biswal et al., 1995), there are spontaneous activity oscillations related to the functional organization of the brain (Greicius et al., 2009; Vincent et al., 2007). Based on low-frequency (below 0.1 Hz) fluctuations in the blood-oxygen level dependent (BOLD) signal, functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) of spontaneous brain activity permits the identification of functional networks on the basis of region synchrony, defined as functional connectivity (Biswal et al., 1995; Fox and Raichle, 2007). Several different methodologies such as independent component analysis (ICA) and region-of-interest (ROI)-based connectivity have predominantly been used for characterizing the intrinsic architecture of large-scale brain networks. However, there is growing interest in the study of local cortical connectivity accessed through fMRI, as activity integration at this level is one of the most important organizational principles of the brain in vertebrates (Abeles, 1982; Felleman and Van Essen, 1991; Tononi et al., 1994).

To characterize the continuous smooth spatial gradient of local fMRI correlations, our group has recently described a novel imaging approach based on Iso-Distant Average Correlation (IDAC) measures (Macià et al., 2018). The IDAC measures are designed to be able to capture the average coupling of a given voxel with all its neighboring voxels as other featured connectivity methods (Sepulcre et al., 2010; Tomasi and Volkow, 2010; Zang et al., 2004). However, by targeting local functional connectivity at varying distances, this approach extends preceding efforts in the field by capturing the distance-graded change in local functional connectivity, thus providing anatomically rich representations of the dynamics of local functional connectivity. Importantly, using a display strategy consistent with the representation of three distance intervals at a time, our functional connectivity mapping was able to parcel the cerebral cortex revealing borders consistent with cytoarchitectonically distinct cortical areas (Macià et al., 2018). The degree of correspondence with classical

anatomo-functional areas suggests that IDAC mapping captures primary features of the functional structure of the cerebral cortex.

A subsequent study interestingly illustrated how a pathological condition may indeed alter the functional structure of the cortex by differentially affecting each local distance (Pujol et al., 2019). The whole cerebral cortex was mapped using this new imaging approach in a large sample of 160 obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) patients and a comparative control subject group at rest (Pujol et al., 2019). The results indicated reduced functional connectivity in terms of the patients' IDAC measures in primary areas of each sensory modality, and in the orbitofrontal cortex (OFC). Moreover, symptom severity was significantly associated with the degree of functional structure alteration in OCD-relevant regions. This weaker intracortical activity coupling at rest was interpreted as a neural activity desynchronization likely to reflect deficient cortical inhibition (Pujol et al., 2019). Such results emphasize that variations in functional connectivity measures do not necessarily express variations in the number of co-activated principal neurons (Macià et al., 2018; Niessing et al., 2005), but they may also reflect the synchronization effect of inhibitory gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) neurons on principal neurons (Buzsáki and Watson, 2012; Mathalon and Sohal, 2015; Turkheimer et al., 2015). Therefore, a deficient GABA inhibition would result in cortical desynchronization as proposed in OCD (Pujol et al., 2019), whereas enhanced GABA inhibition would be associated with cortical synchronization (Alonso et al., 2010; Fingelkurts et al., 2004; Kiviniemi et al., 2005; Licata et al., 2013).

In the present study, we tested the effect of a pharmacological agent known to enhance the brain's GABA inhibitory neurotransmission on our IDAC measures of cortical synchronization. Thirty-five non-treatment-seeking individuals with high social anxiety scores received a single oral dose of alprazolam, a classical benzodiazepine acting as a positive allosteric modulator at GABA_A receptors (Verster and Volkerts, 2004), in a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, two-period crossover design. We predicted that our IDAC

measures of cortical activity coupling would be altered following the administration of alprazolam as compared with placebo in the form of significant enhancement, reflecting the pharmacologically-induced increase in neural inhibitory activity.

Materials and Methods

Study participants

All participants were recruited through public media advertisement (local newspapers and poster advertisements). Participants contacted the study center (Pharmacology Research Unit) by email and, subsequently, a clinical researcher would conduct a preliminary interview by telephone. A screening visit was then carried out to confirm inclusion/exclusion criteria and good physical health by means of a complete physical examination. Individuals with a Liebowitz Social Anxiety Scale (LSAS; Liebowitz, 1987) score ≥ 50 and aged between 18 and 64 years were eligible. Subjects with relevant medical or neurological disorder, substance abuse history, or other psychiatric illness were not included. All participants underwent a urine test for drugs of abuse and a breath alcohol test at screening to discard any pre-study positive results. Importantly, the selected subjects were not seeking treatment for their social anxiety and were not receiving any psychotherapy or course of medication that could confound the study results. From an initial sample of 35 randomized participants, 3 subjects were excluded after image preprocessing, two owing to excessive head movement during MRI acquisition and one due to image normalization artifacts and, therefore, the final sample for the main analysis included 32 participants (21 women; mean ± SD [range] age = 25.9 ± 8.6 [18-52] years). Participants' mean LSAS score was 88.8 points (SD = 17.8, range = 53-126; maximum possible score 144 points). All participants were righthanded and were either studying or had completed higher education.

The study was in compliance with the Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki) and was approved by the local Ethics Committee (CEIC-IMAS, Barcelona), and it was registered at EudraCT (200600421248). Written informed consent was obtained from all the participants and all of them were compensated for their participation.

Study design

The investigation was designed as a randomized, balanced double-blind, placebo-controlled, within-subject crossover study. All participants underwent two identical fMRI scan sessions on separate days occurring within a maximum period of 3 weeks (mean \pm SD (range), 10 \pm 4 days (6-21)). On 1 day, subjects received 0.75 mg oral alprazolam (Xanax® Tablets – Pfizer Ltd.; experimental condition), and on the next day an identical-appearing placebo (control condition) with complete counterbalancing, with half the subjects in this order and the other half receiving the placebo first. To ensure blinding, both alprazolam and placebo were administered in capsule form and were identical in both appearance and administration method. Subjects were instructed to refrain from tobacco, alcohol and caffeine consumption 12h before the fMRI session. After oral administration of alprazolam, peak plasma concentration is usually achieved within 1-2 h (Barbanoj et al., 2007; Verster and Volkerts, 2004), to ensure an appropriate exposure to the drug, the 0.75 mg dose of alprazolam or placebo was administered 1.5 \pm 0.5 h before fMRI acquisition.

Behavioral assessment

On both MRI scanning days, immediately before the fMRI scan, subjects were requested to fill in the state portion of the Spielberger State-Trait anxiety inventory (STAI-S; Spielberger, 1983). In addition, four 0-100 mm visual analogue scales (VAS) were administered to participants at each test time to evaluate changes in subjective anxiety and arousal states that might result from benzodiazepine administration. The scales used represented a

continuum along a 100 mm horizontal line with 0 on the left and 100 on the right between the pairs of extremes as follows: alert-drowsy, calm-excited, sleepy-awake, and tense-relaxed. To avoid susceptibility to left-right response bias, a counterbalance design was used with two VAS marking the most negative connotation for a specific aspect on the leftmost side of the line and the most positive connotation on the rightmost side (sleepy [0] – awake [100], tense [0] – relaxed [100]), whereas in the other two VAS the opposite pattern was presented (alert [0] – drowsy [100], calm [0] – excited [100]). A new variable was calculated from the average of the four VAS scores to give a "global measurement of the arousal state", including the counterbalance of responses.

MRI acquisition

Participants were assessed by using a 1.5-T Signa Excite system (General Electric, Milwaukee, WI) equipped with an eight-channel phased-array head coil and single-shot echo-planar imaging software. Functional sequences consisted of gradient recalled acquisition in the steady state (repetition time, 2000 ms; echo time, 50 ms; and pulse angle, 90°) in a 24-cm field of view, with a 64 x 64 pixel matrix and a slice thickness of 4 mm (interslice gap, 1.5mm). Twenty-two interleaved slices, parallel to the anterior-posterior commissure line, were acquired to generate 180 whole-brain volumes (total duration of 6 min). The first four (additional) images in each run were discarded to allow magnetization to reach equilibrium. Participants were instructed to simply relax, stay awake, and lie still, while keeping their eyes open throughout the session.

We also acquired a high-resolution T1-weighted anatomical image for each subject using a three-dimensional (3D) fast spoiled gradient inversion-recovery prepared sequence. A total of 130 contiguous slices were acquired with repetition time 11.8 ms, echo time 4.2 ms, flip angle 15°, field of view 30 cm, 256 x 256 pixel matrix, and a slice thickness of 1.2 mm.

MRI preprocessing

Anatomical and functional images were all visually inspected before analysis to detect possible acquisition artifacts. Imaging data were processed by using MATLAB version 2014b (The MathWorks Inc, Natick, Mass) and Statistical Parametric Mapping software (SPM12; The Wellcome Department of Imaging Neuroscience, London).

As in our previous study (Macià et al., 2018), fMRI images were slice-time corrected and realigned to their mean (motion-corrected). Image volumes were then coregistered to their anatomical images with an affine transformation using SPM and smoothed by means of a 4 \times 4 \times 4 mm³ full width at half maximum Gaussian kernel. A warping matrix was also estimated for every subject to match a group template created from the 3D anatomical individual acquisitions and then normalized to the Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space using DARTEL (see *IDAC maps* below). Finally, image volumes were resliced to 3 \times 3 mm resolution.

Filtering and denoising of data. All fMRI time series were band-passed with a Discrete Cosine Transform filter letting through frequencies in the 0.01-0.1Hz interval. We regressed all time series on the 6 rigid body realignment parameters and their first-order derivatives, and on the average white matter, CSF and global brain signals extracted from the native tissue masks.

Control of potential head motion effects

Participants were excluded from analyses when showing evident head displacements throughout the course of the scan (translations > 3 mm in any of the x, y, or z directions, rotations > 3°) or residual motion artifacts in the first-level image (typically appearing in tissue boundaries, brain ventricles and white matter). In addition, within-subject, censoring-based MRI signal artifact removal (scrubbing) (Power et al., 2014) was used to discard

motion-affected volumes using affine realignment parameters obtained during image preprocessing. For each subject, inter-frame motion measurements (Pujol et al., 2014) served as an index of data quality to flag volumes of suspect quality across the run. At points with inter-frame motion > 0.3 mm, the corresponding volume, the immediately preceding volume and the two successive volumes were all discarded. Using this procedure, the average number of censored volumes per acquisition was 1% (SD, 2%, range, 0-19%). Censored volumes in excluded cases were 36 in one participant and 9 in another participant, who additionally showed translations > 3 mm.

IDAC maps

Whole-brain IDAC maps were then generated for each subject by estimating the average temporal correlation (mean correlation z-score) of each voxel's fMRI signal and the fMRI signal of all its neighboring voxels placed at increasingly separated iso-distant intervals. Proof-of-concept and sensitivity analyses are extensively described in our early report, as well as precise image processing procedures (Macià et al., 2018). In short, the estimation of IDAC values for each voxel was carried out on all the subjects' native space within a gray matter mask separately for each hemisphere. The two hemispheres were brought back together once the IDAC values had been calculated. Estimated DARTEL normalizations (Ashburner, 2007) to the MNI space obtained in the image preprocessing steps were finally applied to the IDAC results to enable group inferences.

Three IDAC maps were obtained at the distance intervals 5-10, 15-20, and 25-30 mm. Multidistance IDAC color maps were obtained from the overlay of IDAC values from the different intervals by using a red, green, and blue (RGB) color codification, enabling the display of three values at the same time. RED was chosen to display results from 5 to 10 mm IDAC map analyses, GREEN from 15 to 20 mm, and BLUE from 25 to 30 mm. In this way, the resulting maps show a full range of secondary colors that are informative of variations in the functional structure of the cerebral cortex in both the comparison between alprazolam and placebo and correlations.

Statistical analysis

Behavioral analysis

Requirement for parametrical statistical tests was tested in all cases. STAI-S and VAS scores under both placebo and alprazolam conditions were compared by means of the parametric paired Student *t*-test. All analyses were performed using SPSS Statistics v20.0.

Imaging analysis

Single-subject IDAC connectivity scores were carried forward to subsequent group-level linear model in SPM adopting a 2x3 design, factorial model (treatment [alprazolam, placebo] by distance [5-10, 15-20, and 25-30 mm]). Alprazolam and placebo conditions did not significantly differ in terms of total motion (mean \pm SD, 0.4 \pm 0.3 mm alprazolam; 0.3 \pm 0.2 mm placebo; t = 2.87, p = 0.262). However, a small but significant difference was found for the mean interframe motion parameter (0.05 \pm 0.02 mm alprazolam; 0.03 \pm 0.01 mm; t = 2.50, p = 0.018) and this measure was included as a covariate in the analysis. We tested for bidirectional treatment differences across the 3 distances (Alprazolam > Placebo and Alprazolam < Placebo). Differences in connectivity were then estimated separately for each of the 3 IDAC maps at distances 5-10, 15-20, and 25-30 mm.

In addition, correlation analyses were performed to map the possible relationships between clinical measurements (STAI-S, VAS scores and global arousal, separately) and whole-brain functional connectivity for each of the 3 IDAC maps. To identify the brain areas in which the magnitude of the connectivity changes by treatment was related to the change in the subjective anxiety and arousal states, we estimated voxel-wise the correlations between

functional connectivity changes (i.e., alprazolam IDAC values minus placebo IDAC values) and behavioral changes (alprazolam STAI-S and VAS scores minus placebo STAI-S and VAS scores) by using linear regression in SPM. For each subject, 'difference' images were computed over alprazolam and placebo conditions at each distance and the images were used to map the functional connectivity changes in the whole sample by means of one-sample t-tests.

Finally, a control analysis was performed to test the extent to which the social anxiety condition by itself was associated with variations in our cortical connectivity measures. The primary sample of 32 participants in the placebo condition was compared with an independent sample of 24 reference healthy volunteers with equivalent age, educational status, laterality and gender distribution (14 women p = 0.78; mean ± SD [range] age = 27.0 ± 7.9 [21-44] years; p = 0.63), who underwent an identical assessment with a single fMRI scan session without treatment. A 2x3 factorial model in SPM (group [anxiety, control] by distance [5-10, 15-20, and 25-30 mm]) was used to compare IDAC measures.

Thresholding criteria. Results were considered significant when clusters formed at a threshold of P < 0.005 survived whole-brain family-wise error (FWE) correction (P < 0.05) calculated by using SPM.

Results

Behavioral results: STAI-S and VAS scores

On both MRI scanning days (alprazolam and placebo), immediately before the fMRI examination (peak drug effect), STAI-S and VAS scores were collected to estimate subjective anxiety and arousal levels. Alprazolam showed a tendency to reduce STAI-S scores compared with the placebo condition ([percentiles, mean ± SD] alprazolam: 41.2 ±

24, placebo: 46.9 ± 25 ; t = 1.76, P = 0.088) and significantly reduced general arousal ("global measurement of the arousal state" variable; alprazolam: 38.3 ± 14 , placebo: 46.9 ± 16 ; t = -3.06, P = 0.005). We found significant effects of alprazolam in 3 out of the 4 administered VAS when tested separately. Specifically, the VAS statistics for each dimension were: (1) alert-drowsy: alprazolam= 54.0 ± 19 , placebo= 45.5 ± 18 , t = -2.25, P = 0.032(alprazolam significantly increased drowsiness levels); (2) calm-excited: alprazolam= 30.0 ± 18 , placebo = 38.0 ± 20 , t = 2.22, P = 0.034 (alprazolam significantly increased calm sensation); (3) sleepy-awake: alprazolam= 43.9 ± 19 , placebo= 56.4 ± 22 , t = 2.40, P = 0.023 (alprazolam significantly increased the sensation of sleepiness); and (4) tenserelaxed: alprazolam= 66.9 ± 19 , placebo= 61.3 ± 22 , t = -1.66, P = 0.108. Changes in STAI-S and VAS measures are illustrated in Supplementary Figure S1.

Functional connectivity using IDAC measures

As an illustration of IDAC brain mapping, we produced a group-averaged map from the resting-state acquisition of the 24-participant reference group receiving no-treatment. IDAC functional connectivity measures at three different distances were overlaid together (multidistance) on the cortical surface by using an RGB color codification (Supplementary Fig. S2). Using this display strategy, IDAC maps were able to reveal a degree of brain parcellation into major anatomofunctional regions, which is consistent with our previous studies (Macià et al., 2018; Pujol et al., 2019). For instance, the visual cortex was distinguished from the temporal and parietal cortices and the perirolandic cortex from parietal and prefrontal areas. The angular gyrus was jointly identified with the supramarginal gyrus and the motor cortex with the somatosensory cortex.

Differences in IDAC measures between alprazolam and placebo

A factorial model was used to test whether there were any significant differences between alprazolam and placebo conditions in IDAC functional connectivity measures across the 3 distances (Effect of Treatment). Participants showed stronger IDAC functional connectivity in the alprazolam condition (alprazolam > placebo) bilaterally in ventral and lateral visual areas, the somatosensory cortex extending to the motor cortex and medial frontal cortex, auditory cortex and related areas of the temporal lobe, posterior insula and OFC (Figure 1, Table 1 and Figure S3. See also Figure S4). No significant results were found for the opposite contrast alprazolam < placebo.

Differences in local functional connectivity were then estimated separately at each distance (5-10, 15-20 and 25-30 mm; Figure 2). The pattern of findings reproduced the results of the combined analysis, but it identified some differences across the maps. In general, the alprazolam connectivity enhancement effect was more notable in sensory areas at short and mid-distances. The results of the analysis of variance interaction test between short (5-10mm) and long (25-30mm) distance and treatment confirmed such a distance effect (difference at short distance > difference at long distance) in the sensorimotor cortex and ventral and lateral aspects of the visual cortex. By contrast, in association areas of the prefrontal lobe and parieto-occipital junction, the increase in IDAC values was significantly greater at long versus short distance (difference at short distance < difference at long distance < difference < difference < difference < difference </td>

Correlations between IDAC measures and STAI and VAS scores

In the analysis of correlations, no significant results were demonstrated at whole-brain FWE correction between functional connectivity changes (i.e., alprazolam IDAC values minus placebo IDAC values) and changes in STAI-S and VAS scores (alprazolam score minus placebo score). Subthreshold (p < 0.01, cluster size > 1.4mL), a correlation was found between VAS_{drowsy-alert} and VAS_{sleepy-awake} score reduction and functional connectivity increase in a region extending from the OFC to the medial prefrontal cortex across the 3 IDAC

distance maps (Figure 4 and Table S2). Findings were observed in the direction of a greater decrease in self-reported arousal scores associated with a greater increase in functional connectivity measures.

Testing the effect of social anxiety condition on IDAC measures

A control analysis was performed to test whether the social anxiety condition itself was associated with variations in our cortical connectivity measures. We found no significant results when comparing the primary sample of 32 participants in the placebo condition with an independent sample of 24 reference healthy volunteers receiving no treatment.

Discussion

In the present study, we investigated the effects of an oral dose of alprazolam, a classical benzodiazepine, using a multidistance measure of functional connectivity in a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, cross-over design. Our findings revealed that acute administration of alprazolam increased intracortical activity coupling at rest. Specifically, an increase in local functional connectivity was identified in primary visual, auditory and sensorimotor cortices, and in areas of sensory integration in the occipito-temporal, posterior insula, and orbitofrontal cortices. A significant distance effect was observed, with a different degree of alteration in several areas in the short, middle, and long-distance maps. Subjective arousal reduction in the participants was associated with the degree of functional connectivity in the OFC.

In accordance with the nonspecific, dose-related, sedative effects of benzodiazepines (Lader, 2011), the administration of a single dose of 0.75 mg of alprazolam produced measurable subjective changes in the participants with a reduction of self-reported ratings of anxiety and general arousal relative to placebo. Changes in the VAS indicated that

alprazolam significantly increased the level of drowsiness, calm and sleepy sensations, and showed a tendency to increase relaxation, which is in agreement with results from previous studies using alprazolam (e.g., Barbanoj et al., 2007; De Witte et al., 2002; Reissig et al., 2015; Roy-Byrne et al., 1993; Streeter et al., 1998) in terms of subjective sedation (Lader, 2011; Verster and Volkerts, 2004).

Alprazolam facilitates GABAergic neurotransmission by potentiating GABA-induced chloride flux at the GABA_A-benzodiazepine receptor complex (Verster and Volkerts, 2004). Although GABA receptors are widely expressed throughout the brain (Andersson et al., 2019; Brown et al., 2011; Zilles et al., 2004), neuro-anatomical and histological studies have demonstrated a heterogeneous distribution with particularly high densities of GABAAbenzodiazepine binding sites in visual cortical areas, somatosensory and motor cortices, hippocampus, basal ganglia, thalamus, brainstem, and also in the OFC (Faull and Villiger, 1988; Fioravanti et al., 2019; Northoff et al., 2002; Puts et al., 2018; Young and Chu, 1990; Zilles et al., 2002). As the distribution of GABA_A receptors varies across the brain, it is conceivable that the effect of alprazolam on functional connectivity varies with the local receptor concentration. As seen in Figures 1-3, only specific brain regions showed significant connectivity changes in our study. In particular, we observed that alprazolam affected the local connectivity in areas primarily or secondarily related to sensory processing and motor function. We also found significant changes in the OFC, which has a role in both sensory integration (Rolls, 2019a, 2019b) and emotional experience (Milad and Rauch, 2007; Northoff et al., 2002). Interestingly, a relationship was observed between the increase in functional connectivity and subjective arousal reduction in the OFC. Behavioral studies support the existence of a relevant effect of alprazolam on sensory, motor, and emotional processing (Verster and Volkerts, 2004). Our pattern of findings is, therefore, in accordance with a differential behavioral effect of alprazolam on these systems.

The alprazolam-induced increase in functional connectivity demonstrated here using multidistance IDAC measures is consistent with results from previous studies investigating the effects of different sedative-type compounds on the resting-state BOLD signal based on other methodological approaches. For example, increased functional connectivity within sensory-related networks during light sedation with midazolam, another benzodiazepine, has been reported using both ICA of resting-state networks and ROI-based fMRI analysis (Greicius et al., 2008; Kiviniemi et al., 2005; Liang et al., 2015). Similarly, an acute oral dose of zolpidem, a non-benzodiazepine hypnotic, enhanced functional connectivity within sensory, motor and limbic networks identified by using resting-state ICA (Licata et al., 2013). Compared with placebo, and using networks-of-interest, alcohol administration significantly increased the overall connectivity strength of the visual network, with the primary visual cortex showing the most prominent alcohol-induced changes (Esposito et al., 2010; Khalili-Mahani et al., 2012). By contrast, decreased resting-state functional connectivity has been observed following the administration of a single dose of caffeine in the motor and visual cortices (Rack-Gomer et al., 2009; Wu et al., 2014).

Beyond merely substantiating results from previous research, our data are uniquely detailing the functional anatomy and specificity of the alprazolam effect on local functional connectivity. At the typical alprazolam dosage used in our study, neural synchronization was almost selectively observed in sensory cortices. Importantly, we also observed a level of selectivity within the sensory areas. Indeed, the effect within sensory areas was not general, but it differed across local distances. All in all, the functional anatomy of our results may indicate that the inhibitory neural elements are distributed according to a defined pattern consistent with the sensory cortex "functional structure" defined by local IDAC measures.

In the behavioral context, the notable selectivity of alprazolam on sensory cortices suggests that the sedative effects of alprazolam at relatively low doses may be achieved, at least in part, via a reduction of sensory-related neural arousal. On the other hand, sensory processing dampening may potentially contribute to the common benzodiazepine adverse effects related to decreased alertness (e.g., reducing driving skills).

The inhibitory activity of alprazolam has been demonstrated in neurophysiological studies and its effects on electroencephalography (EEG) are characteristic of benzodiazepines in that they cause a decrease in cortical alpha and an increase in beta activity (Allen et al., 1991; Barbanoj et al., 2007), generally associated with such inhibitory states. On the other hand, studies recording event-related potentials have shown that alprazolam administration led to significantly reduced N1 and P1 amplitude, which are believed to reflect the inhibitory influence on stimulus-induced cortical arousal (Allen et al., 1991; Bond et al., 1992; Premoli et al., 2014; Semlitsch et al., 1995), and they also gave rise to significantly decreased P300 amplitude and increased P300 latency, thus reflecting a reduction in information processing and prolonged stimulus evaluation time, respectively (Semlitsch et al., 1995; Münte et al., 1996). In line with our findings, pharmaco-EEG studies investigating the consequences of inhibition have also documented an increase in EEG connectivity after the administration of alprazolam (Alonso et al., 2010) and other benzodiazepines (Fingelkurts et al., 2004), showing GABA signaling to reorganize the dynamics of local neuronal populations and GABAergic inhibition to be a powerful mechanism of neuronal synchronization (Fingelkurts et al., 2004).

MRI signal synchronization between brain regions is regularly used as a measure of functional connectivity denoting activity of a network or co-activation of its elements. Similarly, lower connectivity is generally associated with decreased activity. Nevertheless, this association is not that straightforward insofar as variations in functional connectivity measures do not necessarily express variations in the number of co-activated principal neurons (Macià et al., 2018; Niessing et al., 2005), but may also reflect the synchronization effect of GABA neurons on principal neurons (Buzsáki and Watson, 2012; Mathalon and Sohal, 2015; Turkheimer et al., 2015). Therefore, a deficient GABA inhibition can result in cortical desynchronization (Pujol et al., 2019) and the reverse also holds true for the

association of lower activity with higher connectivity, which is well established in the EEG literature (Fingelkurts et al., 2004), but less studied in the fMRI field. Our study adds to existing research by emphasizing that neural synchronization is also possible in states of brain inhibition.

Limitations. The interpretation of fMRI functional connectivity can be complicated by the dependence of the BOLD signal on both neural and vascular factors (Liu, 2013). Wholebrain cerebral blood flow (CBF) and regional cerebral blood volume have been found to decrease in response to acute alprazolam administration (Roy-Byrne et al., 1993; Verster and Volkerts, 2004; Streeter et al., 1998). Similarly, physiological factors, not considered in our study, such as cardiac and respiratory fluctuations are potentially capable of modulating resting-state connectivity, which may represent a limitation in the interpretation of the present results. However, alprazolam did not affect mean ventilation or heart rate in healthy individuals (Carraro et al., 2009), and other studies using pharmacological agents also demonstrating a decrease in CBF, such as caffeine, have found opposite effects (i.e., decreases) on functional connectivity that were not correlated with the observed changes in baseline CBF (Rack-Gomer et al., 2009), which does not support the vascular origin of our findings. Controlling these possible confounding variables would be useful in future studies for a more accurate interpretation of connectivity changes.

Also, alprazolam was administered at 0.75 mg to obtain mild-to-moderate sedative effects within the range of clinical use. Therefore, the present results apply to this dose but may not be generalizable to all dosage regimes. We assume that the modulatory effect of alprazolam on the different brain systems could change with either a lower or higher dosage, producing different results.

Conclusion

We report evidence that alprazolam significantly alters intracortical neural activity coupling at rest. Our previous study using IDAC measures demonstrated neural activity desynchronization in OCD patients, a population exhibiting deficient neuronal inhibition. Accordingly, we now show the opposite in a pharmacologically-induced inhibitory state. The direction of the alteration is also consistent with previous research using other sedative agents. However, by using a multidistance functional connectivity measure, we go beyond identifying the anatomical location of changes, and also provide details as to the pattern of alterations in the functional structure of cortical connections at varying local distances. The effect of alprazolam was particularly evident in the cortical sensory system, which would further suggest a differentiated effect of GABA inhibition on sensory processing. It may be of interest for future studies to disentangle how the different behavioral effects of alprazolam relate to the connectivity changes observed in our study.

Acknowledgments

The Agency of University and Research Funding Management of the Catalonian Government participated in the context of Research Groups SGR2017/1198 and SGR2017/134.

Author Contribution

JP and JD contributed to the study design, and LBH, JP, GMV, and JD to data acquisition and analysis; DM developed fMRI analysis tools; LBH and JP contributed to drafting the article. GMV, JD, DM, RMS and VPS provided critical revision of the article for important intellectual content. All authors have revised and approved the article for publication.

Disclosure Statement

Financial Disclosure: none Non-financial Disclosure: none

Funding

The study was partly supported by the Institute of Health Carlos III-CIBER, Government of Spain, reference number C1589923, and Centers of Excellence for Drug Discovery (CEDD), GSK, grant number CRH108751.

References

Abeles M. Local cortical circuits. An electrophysiological study. Berlin: Springer; 1982.

Allen D, Curran HV, Lader M. The effects of repeated doses of clomipramine and alprazolam on physiological, psychomotor and cognitive functions in normal subjects. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 1991;40:355-62.

Alonso JF, Mañanas MA, Romero S, Hoyer D, Riba J, Barbanoj MJ. Drug effects on EEG connectivity assessed by linear and nonlinear couplings. Hum Brain Mapp. 2010;31:487-97.

Andersson JD, Matuskey D, Finnema SJ. Positron emission tomography imaging of the γ aminobutyric acid system. Neurosci Lett. 2019;691:35-43.

Arieli A, Shoham D, Hildesheim R, Grinvald A. Coherent spatiotemporal patterns of ongoing activity revealed by real-time optical imaging coupled with single-unit recording in the cat visual cortex. J Neurophysiol. 1995;73:2072-93.

Ashburner J. A fast diffeomorphic image registration algorithm. Neuroimage. 2007;38:95-113.

Barbanoj MJ, Urbano G, Antonijoan R, Ballester MR, Valle M. Different acute tolerance development to EEG, psychomotor performance and subjective assessment effect after two intermittent oral doses of alprazolam in healthy volunteers. Neuropsychobiology. 2007;55:203-12.

Biswal B, Yetkin FZ, Haughton VM, Hyde JS. Functional connectivity in the motor cortex of resting human brain using echo-planar MRI. Magn Reson Med. 1995;34:537-41.

Bond AJ, Silveira JC, Lader MH. The effects of alprazolam alone and combine with alcohol on central integrative activity. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 1992;42:495-98.

Brown EN, Purdon PL, Van Dort CJ. General anesthesia and altered states of arousal: a systems neuroscience analysis. Annu Rev Neurosci. 2011;34:601-28.

Buzsáki G, Watson BO. Brain rhythms and neural syntax: implications for efficient coding of cognitive content and neuropsychiatric disease. Dialogues Clin Neurosci. 2012;14:345-67.

Carraro GE, Russi EW, Buechi S, Bloch KE. Does oral alprazolam affect ventilation? A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. J Psychopharmacol. 2009;23:322-7.

De Witte JL, Alerte C, Sessel DI, Camp G. Preoperative alprazolam reduces anxiety in ambulatory surgery patients: a comparison with oral midazolam. Anesth Analg. 2002;95:1601-06.

Esposito F, Pignataro G, Di Renzo G, Spinali A, Paccone A, Tedeschi G, et al. Alcohol increases spontaneous BOLD signal fluctuations in the visual network. Neuroimage. 2010;53:534-43.

Faull RL, Villiger JW. Multiple benzodiazepine receptors in the human basal ganglia: a detailed pharmacological and anatomical study. Neuroscience. 1988;24:433-51.

Felleman DJ, Van Essen DC. Distributed hierarchical processing in the primate cerebral cortex. Cereb Cortex. 1991;1:1-47.

Fingelkurts AA, Fingelkurts AA, Kivisaari R, Pekkonen E, Ilmoniemi RJ, Kähkönen S. Enhancement of GABA-related signaling is associated with increase of functional connectivity in human cortex. Hum Brain Mapp. 2004;22:27-39.

Fioravanti C, Kajal SD, Carboni M, Mazzetti C, Ziemann U, Braun C. Inhibition in the somatosensory system: An integrative neuropharmacological and neuroimaging approach. Neuroimage. 2019;202:116139. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.116139.

Fox MD, Raichle ME. Spontaneous fluctuations in brain activity observed with functional magnetic resonance imaging. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2007;8:700-11.

Greicius MD, Kiviniemi V, Tervonen O, Vainionpää V, Alahuhta S, Reiss AL, et al. Persistent default-mode network connectivity during light sedation. Hum Brain Mapp. 2008;29:839-47.

Greicius MD, Supekar K, Menon V, Dougherty RF. Resting-state functional connectivity reflects structural connectivity in the default mode network. Cereb Cortex. 2009;19:72-8.

Khalili-Mahani N, Zoethou RM, Beckmann CF, Baerends E, de Kam ML, Soeter RP, et al. Effects of morphine and alcohol on functional brain connectivity during "resting state": A placebo-controlled crossover study in healthy young men. Hum Brain Mapp. 2012;33:1003-18.

Kiviniemi VJ, Haanpää H, Kantola JH, Jauhiainen J, Vainionpää V, Alahuhta S, et al. Midazolam sedation increases fluctuation and synchrony of the resting brain BOLD signal. Magn Reson Imaging. 2005;23:531-37.

Lader M. Benzodiazepines revisited—will we ever learn? Addiction. 2011;106:2086-109.

Liang P, Zhang H, Xu Y, Jia W, Zang Y, Li K. Disruption of cortical integration during midazolam-induced light sedation. Hum Brain Mapp. 2015;36:4247-61.

Licata SC, Nickerson LD, Lowen SB, Trksak GH, MacLean RR, Lukas SE. The hypnotic zolpidem increases the synchrony of BOLD signal fluctuations in widespread brain networks during a resting paradigm. Neuroimage. 2013;70:211-22.

Liebowitz MR. Social phobia. Modern problems of Pharmacopsychiatry. 1987;22:141-73.

Liu TT. Neurovascular factors in resting-state functional MRI. Neuroimage. 2013;80:339-48.

Macià D, Pujol J, Blanco-Hinojo L, Martínez-Vilavella G, Martín-Santos R, Deus J, et al. Characterization of the spatial structure of local functional connectivity using multi-distance average correlation measures. Brain Connect. 2018;8:276-87.

Mathalon DH, Sohal VS. Neural oscillations and synchrony in brain dysfunction and neuropsychiatric disorders: it's about time. JAMA Psychiatry. 2015;72:840-44.

Milad MR, Rauch SL. The role of the orbitofrontal cortex in anxiety disorders. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2007;1121:546-61.

Münte TF, Gehde E, Johannes S, Seewald M, Heinze HJ. Effects of alprazolam and bromazepam on visual search and verbal recognition memory in humans: a study with event-related brain potentials. Neuropsychobiology. 1996;34:49-56.

Niessing J, Ebisch B, Schmidt KE, Niessing M, Singer W, Galuske RA. Hemodynamic signals correlate tightly with synchronized gamma oscillations. Science. 2005;309:948-51.

Northoff G, Witzel T, Richter A, Gessner M, Schlangenhauf F, Fell J, et al. GABA-ergic modulation of prefrontal spatio-temporal activation pattern during emotional processing: a combined fMRI/MEG study with placebo and lorazepam. J Cogn Neurosci. 2002;14:348-70.

Power JD, Mitra A, Laumann TO, Snyder AZ, Schlaggar BL, Petersen SE. Methods to detect, characterize, and remove motion artifact in resting state fMRI. Neuroimage. 2014;84:320-41.

Premoli I, Castellanos N, Rivolta D, Belardinelli P, Bajo R, Zipser C, et al. TMS-EEG signature of GABAergic neurotransmission in the human cortex. J Neurosci. 2014;34:5603-12.

Pujol J, Blanco-Hinojo L, Macià D, Alonso P, Harrison BJ, Martínez-Vilavella G, et al. Mapping alterations of the functional structure of the cerebral cortex in obsessivecompulsive disorder. Cereb Cortex. 2019;29:4753-62.

Pujol J, Macià D, Blanco-Hinojo L, Martínez-Vilavella G, Sunyer J, de la Torre, R, et al. Does motion-related brain functional connectivity reflect both artifacts and genuine neural activity? Neuroimage. 2014;101:87-95.

Puts NA, Heba S, Harris AD, Evans CJ, McGonigle DJ, Tegenthoff M, et al. GABA levels in left and right sensorimotor cortex correlate across individuals. Biomedicines. 2018;6:pii: E80. Doi: 10.3390/biomedicines6030080.

Rack-Gomer AL, Liau J, Liu TT. Caffeine reduces resting-state BOLD functional connectivity in the motor cortex. Neuroimage. 2009;46:56-63.

Reissig CJ, Harrison JA, Carter LP, Griffiths RR. Inhaled vs. Oral alprazolam: subjective, behavioral and cognitive effects, and modestly increased abuse potential. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 2015;232:871-83.

Rolls ET. Taste and smell processing in the brain. Handb Clin Neurol. 2019;164:97-118.

Rolls ET. The orbitofrontal cortex and emotion in health and disease, including depression. Neuropsychologia. 2019;128:14-43.

Roy-Byrne P, Fleishaker J, Arnett C, Dubach M, Stewart J, Radant A, et al. Effects of acute and chronic alprazolam treatment on cerebral blood flow, memory, sedation, and plasma catecholamines. Neuropsychopharmacology. 1993;8:161-9.

Semlitsch HV, Anderer P, Saletu B. Acute effects of the anxiolytics suricione and alprazolam on cognitive information processing utilizing topographic mapping of event-related brain potentials (P300) in healthy subjects. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 1995;49:183-91.

Sepulcre J, Liu H, Talukdar T, Martincorena I, Yeo BT, Buckner RL. The organization of local and distant functional connectivity in the human brain. PLoS Comput Biol. 2010;6:e1000808.

Spielberger CD, Gorsuch RL, Lushene RE, Vagg PR, Jacobs GA. Manual for the state trait anxiety inventory, n/a ed. Palo Alto, USA. CA:Consulting Psychologists Press; 1983.

Streeter CC, Ciraulo DA, Harris GJ, Kaufman MJ, Lewis RF, Knapp CM, et al. Functional magnetic resonance imaging of alprazolam-induced changes in humans with familial alcoholism. Psychiatry Res. 1998;82:69-82.

Tomasi D, Volkow ND. Functional connectivity density mapping. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2010;107:9885-90.

Tononi G, Sporns O, Edelman GM. A measure for brain complexity: relating functional segregation and integration in the nervous system. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1994;91:5033-37.

Tsodyks M, Kenet T, Grinvald A, Arieli A. Linking spontaneous activity of single cortical neurons underlying functional architecture. Science. 1999;286:1943-46.

Turkheimer FE, Leech R, Expert P, Lord LD, Vernon AC. The brain's code and its canonical computational motifs. From sensory cortex to the default mode network: a multi scale model of brain function in health and disease. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2015;55:211-22.

Verster JC, Volkerts ER. Clinical pharmacology, clinical efficacy, and behavioral toxicity of alprazolam: a review of the literature. CNS Drug Rev. 2004;10:45-76.

Vincent JL, Patel GH, Fox MD, Snyder AZ, Baker JT, Van Essen DC, et al. Intrinsic functional architecture in the anaesthetized monkey brain. Nature. 2007;447:83-6.

Wu WC, Lien SH, Chang JH, Yang SC. Caffeine alters resting-state functional connectivity measured by blood oxygenation level-dependent MRI. NMR Biomed. 2014;27:444-52.

Young AB, Chu D. Distribution of GABAA and GABAB receptors in mammalian brain: Potential targets for drug development. Drug Dev Res. 1990;21:161-7.

Zang Y, Jiang T, Lu Y, He Y, Tian L. Regional homogeneity approach to fMRI data analysis. Neuroimage. 2004;22:394-400.

Zilles K, Palomero-Gallagher N, Grefkes C, Scheperjans F, Boy C, Amunts K, et al. Architectonics of the human cerebral cortex and transmitter receptor fingerprints: reconciling functional neuroanatomy and neurochemistry. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol. 2002;12:587-99. Zilles K, Palomero-Gallagher N, Schleicher A. Transmitter receptors and functional anatomy of the cerebral cortex. J Anat. 2004;205:417-32.

Figures



Figure 1. Differences in the local architecture of functional connectivity as assessed with IDAC measures between alprazolam and placebo (effect of treatment). The brain views illustrate significant findings obtained in the contrast Alprazolam > Placebo (positive treatment effect – stronger functional connectivity). Top row: lateral view of right and left hemispheres. Bottom row: dorsal and ventral surfaces. Color bar represents t value. IDAC, Iso-Distant Average Correlation.



Figure 2. Differences in IDAC measures between alprazolam and placebo (contrast Alprazolam > Placebo) at 3 functional connectivity distances: 5-10mm, 15-20mm, and 25-30mm. Alprazolam showed higher functional connectivity measures in the 3 analyses. However, the effect was not identical for each distance. Data are displayed on the lateral, dorsal and ventral surfaces. Color bars represent t values.



Figure 3. RGB display summarizing alterations in the local architecture of functional connectivity under alprazolam relative to placebo. The color map corresponds to the superimposition of within-group differences (contrast Alprazolam > Placebo) in IDAC measures at functional connectivity distances 5-10 mm (red), 15-20 mm (green), and 25-30 mm (blue). That is, the RGB combination of the 3 sets of results shown in Figure 2. The final output is made up of primary RGB colors and their secondary combinations. Color bars represent *t* values. RGB, red, green, and blue.



Figure 4. Correlation between changes in VAS scores for alertness (alprazolam score minus placebo score) and IDAC functional connectivity changes (alprazolam IDAC values minus placebo IDAC values). Top row: regions showing significant inverse correlation between VAS score reduction and connectivity increase in different IDAC maps. Middle row: results obtained in separate maps superimposed by using RGB display. Data are displayed on the right lateral, ventral, and medial cortical surfaces. Color bars represent *t* values. Bottom: the scatter plot illustrates the correlation between self-reported VAS score change and OFC

functional connectivity change (R^2 =0.38, p< 0.001) at coordinates x=7, y=36, and z=-21 for the IDAC distance 15-20 mm. OFC, orbitofrontal cortex; VAS, visual analogue scale.

Mapping the synchronization effect of GABA inhibition on

the cerebral cortex using MRI

Supplementary Material

Supplementary Figures



Figure S1. Treatment effects of alprazolam vs. placebo in visual analogue scales (VAS) and Spielberger state-trait anxiety inventory (STAI-S) scores. LEFT: box plot of the change (alprazolam - placebo) in self-rated alertness, excitation, wakefulness and tension. A negative change in VAS scores indicated the subjected reported more drowsiness, calmness, sleepiness and relaxation after alprazolam than after placebo administration. RIGHT: mean change (alprazolam - placebo) in STAI-S score (percentile). The box plot data shows the median, interquartile range, and maximum and minimum values. °, corresponds to outliers.



Figure S2. Formation of a group-averaged multidistance Iso-Distance Average Correlation (IDAC) map. IDAC values are calculated voxelwise as the group average of 24 reference healthy subjects during a resting state with no treatment, using one-sample t-test analyses. TOP: separate IDAC maps obtained from three different distance lags projected onto a cortical surface (right hemisphere lateral view). The maps are scaled to their maximal t value using conventional, automated SPM tools. BOTTOM: result of overlapping the three IDAC maps from the top row using an RGB display (red for r = 5-10 mm, green for r= 15-20 mm, and blue for r = 25-30 mm). The color bar range maintains the original scaling.



Figure S3. Bar charts showing Iso-Distance Average Correlation (IDAC) functional connectivity measures for alprazolam and placebo conditions. Selected, representative treatment effects (Alprazolam vs. Placebo) at 3 functional connectivity distances from data reported in Table 1. Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals.



Figure S4. Repetition of the analysis reported in Figure 1 from the main text adopting different motion correction strategies. A) corresponds to the use of only standard motion correction, consisting of motion estimation followed by realignment of data in the preprocessing step of individual subject images; B) corresponds to the use of realignment of the images plus including in the model the motion estimates as covariates of no interest together with censoring of motion-affected volumes (scrubbing) at the individual subject-level analyses; C) is identical to Figure 1 and corresponds to the use of realignment, motion estimates as covariates and scrubbing at the subject-level, then including a motion summary measure for each participant as a covariate of no interest at the second-level, group, analyses. The brain views correspond to right and left lateral and top and ventral surfaces. Color bars represent t values.



Figure S5. ANOVA, interaction between short (5-10mm) and long (25-30mm) distances and treatment (Alprazolam > Placebo) as to local functional connectivity IDAC measures (see also Suppl. Table 1). TOP: short distance greater effect (Alprazolam > Placebo at short distance) > (Alprazolam > Placebo at long distance). BOTTOM: long distance greater effect (Alprazolam > Placebo at long distance) > (Alprazolam > Placebo at short distance). The brain views correspond to right lateral and ventral (top row) and left lateral and coronal (bottom row) surfaces. Color bars represent t values.

Supplementary Tables

Table S1. Differences in Iso-Distance Average Correlation (IDAC) functionalconnectivity measures. ANOVA, interaction between short (5-10mm) and long (25-30mm) distances and treatment (Alprazolam > Placebo).

	Cluster	P _{FWE} -	Peak							
	size, ml	corr	x y z	Т	Р					
Short Distance Greater Effect										
(Alprazolam > Placebo at short										
distance) > (Alprazolam > Placebo at										
long distance)										
L Sensorimotor Cortex	4.8	3e-5	-5 -21 60	4.3	1e-5					
R Sensorimotor Cortex	4.2	0.004	25 -24 63	4.0	5e-5					
R Visual Cortex	9.7	2e-6	16 -75 9	4.6	4e-5					
L Visual Cortex	8.0	1e-7	-11 -78 3	4.7	3e-6					
Long Distance Greater Effect										
(Alprazolam > Placebo at long										
distance) > (Alprazolam > Placebo at										
short distance)										
L Prefrontal Cortex	4.2	0.001	-29 27 54	4.6	4e-5					
R Parieto-Occipital Cortex	2.8	0.002	49 -69 30	3.8	8e-5					
Posterior Cingulate Cortex	7.5	3e-5	-11 -54 33	4.3	1e-5					

P_{FWE-corr}, P (Family-Wise Error corrected). x y z, coordinates given in Montreal

Neurological Institute (MNI) space.

Table S2. Correlation between change in VAS scores and change in functionalconnectivity measures based on Iso-Distance Average Correlations (IDAC) for thecontrast Alprazolam > Placebo.

	IDAC Cluster		Peak		
	1• /	• •		Т	Р
	distance	size, ml	xyz		
Decrease VAS _{drowsy-alert}					
Medial Frontal Cortex	5-10mm	3.6	-2 54 18	4.4	0.0007
R Orbitofrontal Cortex	5-10mm	1.8	7 42 -21	3.5	0.001
Medial Frontal Cortex	15-20mm	11.5	-14 42 12	3.9	0.0002
R Orbitofrontal Cortex	15-20mm	3.8	7 36 -21	4.3	8e-5
L Parieto-Occipital Cortex	15-20mm	3.2	-56 -72 24	3.6	0.001
L Orbitofrontal Cortex	25-30mm	11.3	-17 60 -9	4.4	7e-5
Medial Frontal Cortex	25-30mm	1.8	-2 54 18	5.1	9e-6
Decrease VAS _{sleepy-awake}					
R Orbitofrontal Cortex	15-20mm	1.8	1 36 -18	4.6	3e-5

No significant result was demonstrated at whole-brain family wise error (FWE) correction. All the findings were in the direction of decreased VAS scores associated with increased functional connectivity, thresholded at p < 0.01 and cluster size > 1.4ml. x y z, coordinates given in Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space.