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#### Abstract

A nonlinear congruential pseudorandom number generator with modulus $M=2^{w}$ is proposed, which may be viewed to comprise both linear as well as inversive congruential generators. The condition for it to generate sequences of maximal period length is obtained. It is akin to the inversive one and bears a remarkable resemblance to the latter.


## 1. Introduction

A standard method of generating uniform pseudorandom numbers in the interval $I=[0,1)$ (denoted as PRN) is the linear congruential one, which is given as follows: For a large modulus $M$, let

$$
Z_{M}=\{0,1, \ldots, M-1\}=Z / M
$$

A sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ of integers in $Z_{M}$ is generated by the linear recursion

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+1} \equiv c y_{n}+b(\bmod M), \quad n=0,1, \ldots \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c, b \in Z_{M}$. The PRN are obtained by the normalization

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n}=y_{n} / M \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This linear method is widely used, and has been investigated by several authors [9]. However, there is some drawback owing to the linearity of the recursion, e.g., so-called coarse lattice structure. This state of affairs provided the motivation for several recent proposals of nonlinear congruential generators [1, 5, 9, 12].

Among them, one of the most interesting is the inversive congruential method [12], with prime modulus ( $M=p$ for a prime $p$ ) or power of two modulus $\left(M=2^{w}\right.$ for a large integer $w$ ). The latter is described as follows: For $M=2^{w}$, let

$$
G_{M}=\{1,3, \ldots, M-1\}=\{\text { positive odd integers less than } M\}
$$

For any $u \in G_{M}$, there is a unique $\bar{u} \in G_{M}$ such that $u \bar{u} \equiv 1(\bmod M)$. Now a sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\} \subset G_{M}$ is generated by the inversive recursion

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+1} \equiv a \bar{y}_{n}+b \quad(\bmod M), \quad n=0,1, \ldots \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]in which $a, b \in Z_{M}$ are chosen so that $y_{n} \in G_{M}$ implies $y_{n+1} \in G_{M}$.
In the present note, we propose another nonlinear method similar to (1.3), i.e., for the modulus $M=2^{w}$, we put with $y_{0} \in G_{M}$,
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+1} \equiv a \bar{y}_{n}+b+c y_{n} \quad(\bmod M), \quad n=0,1, \ldots \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

in which of course $a, b, c \in Z_{M}$ should be chosen so that $y_{n} \in G_{M}$ implies $y_{n+1} \in$ $G_{M}$. The PRN $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is defined by (1.2).

We will show that the modified inversive method (1.4) bears a close resemblance to (1.3). That is, we prove the following theorem.
Theorem. Let $M=2^{w}, w \geq 3$. Then the $\operatorname{PRN}\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ derived from (1.4) is purely periodic with period $M / 2$ if and only if

$$
a+c \equiv 1(\bmod 4) \quad \text { and } \quad b \equiv 2(\bmod 4) .
$$

Among the constants in the theorem, one of $a$ or $c$ may be zero, hence (1.4) can be viewed as to comprise both (1.1) and (1.3).

The discrepancy as well as lattice structure of the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$, generated by (1.4), will be studied in future papers.

Our proof of the theorem is very similar to the proofs in [5, Theorem] and [12, Theorem 8.9]. But we hope that the modified method (1.4) would be of some interest. By the way, we note that the difference equation

$$
y(t+1)=y(t)+b+a / y(t)
$$

has been studied from the viewpoint of complex analytic theory $[7,8,13,14,15]$. Its solutions exhibit distinctly fractal features.

## 2. Proof of Theorem

We divide the proof into three subsections (I),(II),(III).
(I) Necessity. Write the period of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ as $\operatorname{per}\left(x_{n}\right)$. Obviously, $\operatorname{per}\left(x_{n}\right) \leq M / 2$.

Suppose that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is purely periodic with $\operatorname{per}\left(x_{n}\right)=M / 2$. Then $\left\{y_{0}, y_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.y_{M / 2-1}\right\}=G_{M}$, so we can assume that $y_{0}=1$. If we consider the sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ modulo 4 , then it has period 2 ; hence $y_{2} \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. If this sequence is taken modulo 8 , then it has period 4 ; hence $y_{2} \neq 1(\bmod 8)$, and so $y_{2} \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$. Since $\bar{u} \equiv u(\bmod 8)$ for $u \in G_{M}$, it follows from (1.4) that

$$
y_{2} \equiv c(a+b+c)+b+a(a+b+c)=(a+c)^{2}+(a+c+1) b \bmod 8
$$

Suppose $a+c$ is even. Then $b$ must be odd since $y_{1} \equiv a+b+c(\bmod 8) \in G_{M}$. Put $a+c=2 r, b=1+2 s$. Then $y_{2}=4 r^{2}+(1+2 r)(1+2 s)=1+2(r+s)+4 r s+4 r^{2}$, which must be $\equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. Hence, $r+s=2 t, t \in Z$. Then $y_{1}=1+4 t \equiv 1(\bmod$ $4)$, which contradicts that $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ has period $2(\bmod 4)$. Therefore, $a+c$ must be odd. Hence, $(a+c)^{2} \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$, and we have

$$
y_{2} \equiv 1+(a+c+1) b \quad(\bmod 8)
$$

so $(a+c+1) b \equiv 4(\bmod 8)$. This implies $a+c \equiv 1(\bmod 4), b \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$.
(II) Sufficiency for the case where $c$ is an even number. Suppose $a+c \equiv 1$ $(\bmod 4)$ and $b \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$. Consider first the case $y_{0}=1$. For $M=8$, it is checked by the above arguments that $\operatorname{per}\left(y_{n}\right)=4$. Now let $M=2^{w}$ with $w \geq 4$.

In this subsection we suppose that $c$ is an even number.
Define a sequence $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\} \subset G_{M}, n=0,1,2, \ldots$, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{n+2} \equiv \bar{\alpha}_{n}\left(a \alpha_{n}^{2}+b \alpha_{n} \alpha_{n+1}+c \alpha_{n+1}^{2}\right) \quad(\bmod M) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put $\alpha_{0}=\alpha_{1}=1$. By induction on $n$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n} \equiv \bar{\alpha}_{n} \alpha_{n+1} \quad(\bmod M), n=0,1, \ldots \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Write (2.1) as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha_{n+2} \equiv(a+c) \alpha_{n}+b \alpha_{n+1}+c \beta_{n}(\bmod M)  \tag{2.3}\\
\beta_{n}=\bar{\alpha}_{n}\left(\alpha_{n+1}^{2}-\alpha_{n}^{2}\right) \tag{2.3'}
\end{gather*}
$$

With the integer matrix

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
a+c & b
\end{array}\right)
$$

we see, from (2.3), that

$$
\binom{\alpha_{n+1}}{\alpha_{n+2}} \equiv A\binom{\alpha_{n}}{\alpha_{n+1}}+c\binom{0}{\beta_{n}}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{\alpha_{n}}{\alpha_{n+1}} \equiv A^{n}\binom{\alpha_{0}}{\alpha_{1}}+R_{n} \quad(\bmod M) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
R_{n}=c\binom{0}{\beta_{n-1}}+c A\binom{0}{\beta_{n-2}}+\cdots+c A^{n-1}\binom{0}{\beta_{0}} .
$$

By induction on $h \geq 2$, using $a+c \equiv 1(\bmod 4), b \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$, it is shown, as in [12, p.188], that for $m=2^{h}$

$$
A^{m} \equiv\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 m p+m+1 & 2 m q+3 m  \tag{2.5}\\
2 m q+3 m & 2 m p+3 m+1
\end{array}\right) \quad \bmod 4 m=2^{h+2}
$$

for all $h \geq 2$, with some integers $p, q$.
We will show that, for $m=2^{h}(2 \leq h \leq w-1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{m}=4 m S_{m} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for an integer vector $S_{m}$. Equation (2.6) holds for $h=2$, since $c$ is assumed to be even. Now suppose it holds for $m=2^{h}$. Then

$$
\alpha_{m}=1+2 m(p+q)+4 m+4 m T_{0}+4 m S_{m}^{(0)}+s_{m} M
$$

$$
\alpha_{m+1}=1+2 m(p+q)+6 m+4 m T_{1}+4 m S_{m}^{(1)}+s_{m+1} M
$$

with integers $T_{0}, T_{1}, s_{m}, s_{m+1}$, where we write $S_{m}={ }^{t}\left(S_{m}{ }^{(0)}, S_{m}{ }^{(1)}\right)$. Hence, we have

$$
\alpha_{m}=\alpha_{0}+2 m U_{0}, \quad \alpha_{m+1}=\alpha_{1}+2 m U_{1}
$$

with integers $U_{0}, U_{1}$. Then

$$
\beta_{m}=\bar{\alpha}_{m}\left\{\left(1+2 m U_{0}\right)^{2}-\left(1+2 m U_{1}\right)^{2}\right\}=4 m W_{0}=\beta_{0}+4 m W_{0}
$$

for an integer $W_{0}$. Thus,

$$
\alpha_{m+2}=(a+c)\left(\alpha_{0}+2 m U_{0}\right)+b\left(\alpha_{1}+2 m U_{1}\right)+c \beta_{m}=\alpha_{2}+2 m U_{2}
$$

with an integer $U_{2}$. It is easy to see that

$$
\bar{\alpha}_{m+1}=\bar{\alpha}_{1}+2 m V_{1}, \quad \bar{\alpha}_{m+2}=\bar{\alpha}_{2}+2 m V_{2} .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta_{m+1}= & \bar{\alpha}_{m+1}\left\{\left(\alpha_{2}+2 m U_{2}\right)^{2}-\left(\alpha_{1}+2 m U_{1}\right)^{2}\right\} \\
= & 4 m \bar{\alpha}_{m+1}\left(\alpha_{2} U_{2}-\alpha_{1} U_{1}+m U_{2}^{2}-m U_{1}^{2}\right) \\
& \quad+\left(\bar{\alpha}_{m+1}-\bar{\alpha}_{1}\right)\left(\alpha_{2}^{2}-{\alpha_{1}}^{2}\right)+\bar{\alpha}_{1}\left(\alpha_{2}^{2}-\alpha_{1}^{2}\right) \\
= & \beta_{1}+4 m W_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

with an integer $W_{1}$, since $\alpha_{k+1}{ }^{2}-\alpha_{k}^{2}$ is divided by 8 for any $k$.
Repeating this procedure, we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha_{m+k}=\alpha_{k}+2 m U_{k} \text { with some integer } U_{k}, \quad k=0, \ldots, m-1,  \tag{2.7}\\
\bar{\alpha}_{m+k}=\bar{\alpha}_{k}+2 m V_{k} \text { with some integer } V_{k}, \quad k=0, \ldots, m-1,  \tag{2.8}\\
\beta_{m+k}=\beta_{k}+4 m W_{k} \text { with some integer } W_{k}, \quad k=0, \ldots, m-1 \tag{2.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now

$$
\binom{\alpha_{2 m}}{\alpha_{2 m+1}}=A^{2 m}\binom{\alpha_{0}}{\alpha_{1}}+R_{2 m}
$$

in which we obtain by (2.9)

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
R_{2 m}= & c\binom{0}{\beta_{2 m-1}}+c A\binom{0}{\beta_{2 m-2}}+\cdots+c A^{m-1}\binom{0}{\beta_{m}} \\
& +c A^{m}\binom{0}{\beta_{m-1}}+c A^{m+1}\binom{0}{\beta_{m-2}}+\cdots+c A^{2 m-1}\binom{0}{\beta_{0}} \\
=c & c\binom{0}{\beta_{m-1}}+c A\binom{0}{\beta_{m-2}}+\cdots+c A^{m-1}\binom{0}{\beta_{0}} \\
& +A^{m}\left\{c\binom{0}{\beta_{m-1}}+c A\binom{0}{\beta_{m-2}}+\cdots+c A^{m-1}\binom{0}{\beta_{0}}\right\} \\
& +4 m c\left\{\binom{0}{W_{m-1}}+A\binom{0}{W_{m-2}}+\cdots+A^{m-1}\binom{0}{W_{0}}\right\} \\
= & \left(I+A^{m}\right) R_{m}+4 m c W,
\end{array}
$$

thus by (2.5) and (2.6) we have, with an integer vector $W$,

$$
R_{2 m}=8 m\left\{\left(I+A^{m}\right) / 2\right\} S_{m}+8 m(c / 2) W=4(2 m) S_{2 m}
$$

since $c$ is even by our assumption. Hence (2.6) holds for $2 m=2^{h+1}$. Thus, we obtain (2.6) for any $m=2^{h}(2 \leq h \leq w-1)$, from which we see by the above arguments that (2.7) holds for any $m=2^{h}(2 \leq h \leq w-1)$.

By (2.7) with $m=M / 2 \quad(h=w-1)$, we obtain $\alpha_{n+M / 2}=\alpha_{n}$ for any $n$, which implies $y_{n+M / 2}=y_{n}$. Therefore, $\operatorname{per}\left(y_{n}\right)$ divides $M / 2$. Since we already know that $\operatorname{per}\left(y_{n}\right) \leq M / 2$, to prove that $\operatorname{per}\left(y_{n}\right)=M / 2$, it suffices to show that $\operatorname{per}\left(y_{n}\right)>M / 4$.

If we had $\operatorname{per}\left(y_{n}\right) \leq M / 4$, then $y_{M / 4}=y_{0}=1$, and so $\alpha_{M / 4+1} \equiv \alpha_{M / 4}(\bmod M)$ by (2.2). However, by (2.4) with $n=M / 4$, and by (2.5), (2.6) with $h=w-2$, we obtain a contradiction $\alpha_{M / 4+1} \equiv \alpha_{M / 4}+M / 2(\bmod M)$. So $\operatorname{per}\left(y_{n}\right)=M / 2$ is proved if $y_{0}=1$. In particular, $\left\{y_{0}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{M / 2-1}\right\}=G_{M}$. If we have an arbitrary initial value $y_{0} \in G_{M}$, then the sequence $y_{0}, y_{1}, \ldots$ is a shifted version of the sequence with initial value 1 , and so again $\operatorname{per}\left(x_{n}\right)=\operatorname{per}\left(y_{n}\right)=M / 2$.
(III) Sufficiency for the case where $c$ is an odd number. Now we turn to the case when $c$ is odd. Then $a$ must be even.

The equation (1.4) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+1} \equiv(a+c) y_{n}+b+a \bar{y}_{n}\left(1-y_{n}^{2}\right) \bmod M \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e.,

$$
\binom{y_{n+1}}{1} \equiv\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a+c & b \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\binom{y_{n}}{1}+a\binom{z_{n}}{0} \quad \bmod M
$$

in which $z_{n}=\bar{y}_{n}\left(1-y_{n}{ }^{2}\right)$. Put

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a+c & b \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

We obtain that

$$
\binom{y_{n}}{1} \equiv A^{n}\binom{y_{0}}{1}+R_{n}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n}=a\binom{z_{n-1}}{0}+a A\binom{z_{n-2}}{0}+\cdots+a A^{n-1}\binom{z_{0}}{0} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $a+c \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ and $b \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$, we see by induction that, for $m=2^{h}, h \geq 1$,

$$
A^{m}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1+4 m P_{m} & 2 m+4 m Q_{m}  \tag{2.12}\\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

with integers $P_{m}$ and $Q_{m}$. We will show that, for $m=2^{h}, 1 \leq h \leq w-1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{m}=4 m S_{m} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

with integer vector $S_{m}={ }^{t}\left(s_{m}, 0\right)$. Equation (2.13) is easily seen to hold for $m=$ $2(h=1)$. Suppose it holds for $m=2^{h}$. Then

$$
y_{m}=y_{0}+4 m P_{m} y_{0}+2 m+4 m Q_{m}+4 m s_{m}=y_{0}+2 m U_{0}
$$

with an integer $U_{0}$. Further, it is easy to see that

$$
\bar{y}_{m}=\bar{y}_{0}+2 m V_{0}
$$

with an integer $V_{0}$. Then

$$
z_{m}=\left(\bar{y}_{0}+2 m V_{0}\right)\left\{1-\left(y_{0}+2 m U_{0}\right)^{2}\right\}=z_{0}+4 m W_{0}
$$

with an integer $W_{0}$, since $z_{0}=0$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{m+1} & \equiv(a+c)\left(y_{0}+2 m U_{0}\right)+b+a\left(z_{0}+4 m W_{0}\right) \\
& =(a+c) y_{0}+b+a z_{0}+2 m U_{1}=y_{1}+2 m U_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Repeating this procedure, we get, for $k=0,1, \ldots, m-1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{m+k}=y_{k}+2 m U_{k}  \tag{2.14}\\
& \bar{y}_{m+k}=\bar{y}_{k}+2 m V_{k}  \tag{2.15}\\
& z_{m+k}=z_{k}+4 m W_{k} \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, with some integer vector $T_{m}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{2 m}= & a\binom{z_{2 m-1}}{0}+a A\binom{z_{2 m-2}}{0}+\ldots+a A_{m-1}\binom{z_{m}}{0} \\
& +a A^{m}\binom{z_{m-1}}{0}+a A^{m+1}\binom{z_{m-2}}{0}+\ldots+a A^{2 m-1}\binom{z_{0}}{0} \\
= & a\binom{z_{m-1}}{0}+a A\binom{z_{m-2}}{0}+\ldots+a A^{m-1}\binom{z_{0}}{0} \\
& +A^{m}\left\{a\binom{z_{m-1}}{0}+a A\binom{z_{m-2}}{0}+\ldots+a A^{m-1}\binom{z_{0}}{0}\right\} \\
& +4 m a\left\{\binom{W_{m-1}}{0}+A\binom{W_{m-2}}{0}+\ldots+A^{m-1}\binom{W_{0}}{0}\right\} \\
= & R_{m}+A^{m} R_{m}+4 m a T_{m} \\
= & 4 \times(2 m)\left[\left(I+A^{m}\right) / 2\right] S_{m}+4 \times(2 m)(a / 2) T_{m} \\
= & 4 \times(2 m) S_{2 m},
\end{aligned}
$$

since $a$ is even, which shows that (2.13) holds for $2 m=2^{h+1}$. Therefore, (2.13) holds for any $m=2^{h}, 1 \leq h \leq w-1$.

Hence, (2.14) holds for $m=M / 2=2^{w-1}$, i.e., we obtain $y_{M / 2}=y_{0}$. Thus, $\operatorname{per}\left(y_{n}\right)$ divides $M / 2$. Suppose $\operatorname{per}\left(y_{n}\right) \leq M / 4$. Then $y_{M / 4}=y_{0}$. But by (2.12) and (2.13), we obtain $y_{M / 4} \equiv y_{0}+M / 2(\bmod M)$, which is absurd. As in (II), we conclude that $\operatorname{per}\left(y_{n}\right)=M / 2$.
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