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#### Abstract

A family of binary sequences is presented and proved to have optimal correlation property and large linear span. It includes the small set of Kasami sequences, No sequence set and TN sequence set as special cases. An explicit lower bound expression on the linear span of sequences in the family is given. With suitable choices of parameters, it is proved that the family has exponentially larger linear spans than both No sequences and TN sequences. A class of ideal autocorrelation sequences is also constructed and proved to have large linear span.
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## I. Introduction

Binary sequences are important for CDMA systems, spread spectrum systems, and broadband satellite communications [1]. Families of sequences for such applications are desired to have low autocorrelation, low cross-correlation, and large linear span [2], [3]. Families of Gold-pairs [4], [5] and bent function sequences [6], [7] as well as the small and large families of Kasami sequences [8], [9] all have desirable correlation properties. However, these sequences, except the bent function functions, have small values of linear span. In [10], relaxing correlation, Gong constructed a sequence set with a much larger linear span.

Important results are obtained for increasing linear span of sequences while keeping the sequences optimal in correlation respect to the Welch bound [11], [12], [13]. No and Kumar [12] proposed a family of No sequences of period $2^{n}-1$, which are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{h}(t)=\operatorname{tr}_{1}^{m}\left\{\left[\operatorname{tr}_{m}^{n}\left(\alpha^{2 t}\right)+\gamma_{h} \alpha^{\left(2^{m}+1\right) t}\right]^{r}\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n=2 m, \alpha$ is a primitive element of the finite field $F_{2^{n}}, \gamma_{h}$ ranges over each element of $F_{2^{n / 2}}$ exactly once as $h$ ranges from 1 to $2^{n / 2}$, and $r$ is an integer with $1 \leq r<2^{m}-1$ such that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(r, 2^{m}-1\right)=1$. When $r=1$, the family is the small set of Kasami sequences. The maximal linear span of No sequences is $O\left(n \cdot 4^{\frac{n}{4}}\right)$. Klapper [13] generalized the family to that of so-called Trace-Norm (TN) sequences with an expression of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{h}(t)=\operatorname{tr}_{1}^{m}\left\{\left[\operatorname{tr}_{m}^{m k}\left(\operatorname{tr}_{m k}^{n}\left(\alpha^{2 t}\right)+\gamma_{h} \alpha^{\left(2^{m k}+1\right) t}\right)\right]^{r}\right\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n, \alpha, \gamma_{h}$ and $r$ are the same as for the No-Kumar family, while other two parameters $m$ and $k$ satisfy $m k=\frac{n}{2}$. For suitable $k$ and $r$, TN sequences have much larger linear spans than that of No sequences, and their maximal linear span is $O\left(n \cdot 5^{\frac{n}{4}}\right)$. These constructions were extended by No et al. in 1997 [14], and generalized by Gong in 2002 [10]. These extended and generalized families of sequences have the same correlation properties as that of No sequences and TN sequences [10]. It remains unanswered whether a family of sequences with larger linear span exists.

In this correspondence, we study the linear span of binary sequences defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{h}(t)=\sum_{i \in I}\left\{t r_{m}^{m k}\left[\left(\operatorname{tr}_{m k}^{n}\left(\alpha^{2 t}\right)+\gamma_{h} \alpha^{\left(2^{m k}+1\right) t}\right)^{u}\right]\right\}^{i} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

TABLE I
FAMILIES OF BINARY SEQUENCES OF PERIOD $2^{n}-1$ WITH OPTIMAL CORRELATION $R_{\max }=2^{\frac{n}{2}}+1$

| Family | $n$ | Family size | Maximum linear span |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bent function sequences | $4 m$ | $2^{\frac{n}{2}}$ | $\geq\binom{ n / 2}{n / 4} 2^{n / 2}$ |
| Small set of Kasami sequences | 2 m | $2^{\frac{n}{2}}$ | $\frac{3 n}{2}$ |
| No sequences | 2 m | $2^{\frac{n}{2}}$ | $n\left(2^{\frac{n}{2}}-1\right) / 2$ |
| TN sequences | 2 mk | $2^{\frac{n}{2}}$ | $>3 n(3 k-1)^{m-2} / 2$ |
| Sequences we studied | 2 mk | $2^{\frac{n}{2}}$ | $>3^{k-1} n\left[2^{k-2}(3 k-1)\right]^{m-2} / 2$ |

where $n, m, k, \alpha, \gamma_{h}$ and $r$ are the same as for the Klapper family, and $u$ satisfies $1 \leq u<$ $2^{m k}-1$ and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(u, 2^{m k}-1\right)=1$. The index set $I$ is chosen such that for a primitive element $\beta$ of $F_{2^{m}},\left\{\sum_{i \in I} \beta^{i t_{1}}\right\}_{t_{1}=0}^{\infty}$ represents an ideal autocorrelation sequence of period $2^{m}-1$. When $I=\left\{r, 2 r, \cdots, 2^{m-1} r\right\}$ and $u=1$, sequences in Eq. (3) is the Klapper sequences.

This family of sequences defined by Eq. (3) can be regarded as a special case of the generalized Kasami signal set [10], whose linear span was not considered. This correspondence gives a lower bound on linear spans of sequences in Eq. (3). More precisely, for $u=\sum_{j=0}^{k-2} 2^{m j}$ and $3 \leq k \leq 5$, we prove that a majority of sequences in the family have linear spans at least $O\left(n \cdot 2^{\frac{2 n}{3}}\right)\left(2^{\frac{2 n}{3}}>6.32^{\frac{n}{4}}\right)$, which is significantly larger than the linear span $O\left(n \cdot 4^{\frac{n}{4}}\right)$ for NoKumar sequences and $O\left(n \cdot 5^{\frac{n}{4}}\right)$ for Klapper sequences. Table I summarizes the family size and linear span properties of the families mentioned above.

The family of sequences in Eq. (3) has optimal correlation property. It contains an ideal autocorrelation sequence [15], whose linear span, although very large, is much less than that of any other sequence in the family. In order to obtain sequences with ideal autocorrelation and large linear span, we will further specify $I$ to relate it to Legendre sequences and derive a tighter lower bound on the linear span of this ideal autocorrelation sequence. This will be presented in Section IV.

The remainder of this correspondence is organized as follows. Section II gives some necessary notations and preliminary lemmas. Section III derives lower bounds on linear spans of the
sequences. Section IV shows that a class of sequences with ideal autocorrelation property also have large linear span. Section V concludes the study.

## II. Preliminaries

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the family of $M$ binary sequences of period $N=2^{n}-1$ given by

$$
\mathcal{F}=\left\{\left\{s_{h}(t), 0 \leq t \leq N-1\right\} \mid 0 \leq h \leq M-1\right\}
$$

The correlation function of the sequences $\left\{s_{h}(t)\right\}$ and $\left\{s_{l}(t)\right\}$ in $\mathcal{F}$ is

$$
R_{h, l}(\tau)=\sum_{t=0}^{N-1}(-1)^{s_{h}(t)-s_{l}(t+\tau)}
$$

where $0 \leq h, l \leq M-1$, and $0 \leq \tau \leq N-1$. The maximum magnitude $R_{\max }$ of the correlation values is

$$
R_{\max }=\max \left|R_{h, l}(\tau)\right|
$$

where $0 \leq h, l \leq M-1,0 \leq \tau \leq N-1$, and the cases of in-phase autocorrelations ( $h=$ $l$ and $\tau=0$ ) are excluded. A family of binary sequences of period $2^{n}-1$ is said to have optimal correlation property if $R_{\max } \leq 2^{\frac{n}{2}}+1$. For $h=l, R_{h, l}(\tau)$, abbreviated by $R_{h}(\tau)$, is the autocorrelation function of $\left\{s_{h}(t)\right\}$. The sequence $\left\{s_{h}(t)\right\}$ is said to have an ideal autocorrelation property if

$$
R_{h}(\tau)=\left\{\begin{aligned}
N & \text { if } \tau \equiv 0 \bmod N \\
-1 & \text { otherwise }
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Let $F_{2^{n}}$ be the finite field with $2^{n}$ elements, and $n=e m$ for some positive integers $e$ and $m$. The trace function $\operatorname{tr}_{m}^{n}(\cdot)$ from $F_{2^{n}}$ to $F_{2^{m}}$ is defined by

$$
\operatorname{tr}_{m}^{n}(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{e-1} x^{2^{i m}}
$$

where $x$ is an element in $F_{2^{n}}$.
The trace function has the following properties [16]:
i) $\operatorname{tr}_{m}^{n}(a x+b y)=a \cdot t r_{m}^{n}(x)+b \cdot t r_{m}^{n}(y)$, for all $a, b \in F_{2^{m}}, x, y \in F_{2^{n}}$.
ii) $\operatorname{tr}_{m}^{n}\left(x^{2^{m}}\right)=\operatorname{tr}_{m}^{n}(x)$, for all $x \in F_{2^{n}}$.
iii) $\operatorname{tr}_{1}^{n}(x)=\operatorname{tr}_{1}^{m}\left(\operatorname{tr}_{m}^{n}(x)\right)$, for all $x \in F_{2^{n}}$.

The operation of multiplying by 2 divides the integers modulo $2^{m}-1$ into sets called the cyclotomic cosets modulo $2^{m}-1$. The cyclotomic coset containing $s$ is $\left\{s, 2 s, 2^{2} s, \cdots, 2^{e_{s}-1} s\right\}$, where $e_{s}$ is the smallest positive integer such that $2^{e_{s}} s \equiv s\left(\bmod 2^{m}-1\right)$. Furthermore, $e_{s}$ divides $m$, and $e_{s}=m$ for $m$ prime and $s \not \equiv 0\left(\bmod 2^{m}-1\right)$. The smallest positive integer in the cyclotomic coset $\left\{s, 2 s, 2^{2} s, \cdots, 2^{e_{s}-1} s\right\}$ is called its coset leader [17].

For two integers $a$ and $b$ with $a \leq b$, let $[a, b]$ be the interval consisting of all integer $c$ with $a \leq c \leq b$, and the length is $b-a+1$. When $a=b,[a, b]$ is called a single point interval and written as $[a]$. Two intervals $[a, b]$ and $[c, d]$ are un-incorporative if $b+2 \leq c$ or $d+2 \leq a$. A set of several pairwisely un-incorporative non-negative intervals $\left\{\left[a_{j}, b_{j}\right] \mid j \in J\right\}$ determines a positive integer $\sum_{j \in J} \sum_{x \in\left[a_{j}, b_{j}\right]} 2^{x}$, where $J$ is an index set. For a positive integer $c$, there exist an index set $K$ consisting of non-negative integers such that $c=\sum_{k \in K} 2^{k}$, which determines a set of un-incorporative intervals $\left\{\left[a_{j}, b_{j}\right] \mid j \in J\right\}$ such that $\bigcup_{j \in J}\left[a_{j}, b_{j}\right]=K$. This fact will be used in derivation of the main result in this correspondence.

The following notations are used in the rest of this correspondence:

- $m, k$, and $n$ : positive integers, $n=2 m k$;
- $N=2^{n}-1, M=2^{m}-1$, and $T=\frac{N}{M}=\frac{2^{n}-1}{2^{m}-1}$;
- $F_{2^{n}}$ : the finite field with $2^{n}$ elements;
- $\alpha$ : a primitive element of $F_{2^{n}}$;
- $\beta=\alpha^{T}$ : a primitive element of $F_{2^{m}}$;
- $\Gamma(m)$ : the set consisting of all non-zero coset leaders modulo $2^{m}-1$;
- $C_{i}=\left\{i 2^{j}\left(\bmod 2^{m}-1\right) \mid j=0,1, \cdots, m\right\}$, i.e., the cyclotomic coset modulo $2^{m}-1$ containing the element $i$;
- $e_{i}=\left|C_{i}\right|$;
- $Z_{p}$ : a residue ring of integers modulo $p$;
- $V=\{0,1, \cdots, k-1\}\}$, where $k$ is a positive integer;
- $V^{t}=V \times V \times \cdots \times V$ is the Cartesian product of $t$ copies of $V$;
- $w(i)$ : the weight of integer $i$, i.e., the number of ones in the coefficients of the binary expansion of $i$;
- $\lfloor z\rfloor$ : the largest integer not exceeding $z$;
- $[a, b]$ : the integer interval consisting of all integer $c$ with $a \leq c \leq b$.
- $\gamma_{0}=0, \gamma_{1}, \cdots, \gamma_{2^{m k}-1}$ : all $2^{m k}$ elements of the field $F_{2^{m k}}$.

The following lemmas will be used to prove our results.
Lemma 1 (Proposition 1, [10], or Theorem 5, [15]): Let $I \subseteq Z_{M}$ be an index set. If the binary sequences $\left\{a\left(t_{1}\right)\right\}$ of period $M$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
a\left(t_{1}\right)=\sum_{i \in I} \beta^{i t_{1}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

has the ideal autocorrelation property, then so does the binary sequence $c(t)$ of period $N$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(t)=\sum_{i \in I}\left\{t r_{m}^{m k}\left[\left(t r_{m k}^{n}\left(\alpha^{2 t}\right)\right)^{u}\right]\right\}^{i} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $u$ satisfying $\operatorname{gcd}\left(u, 2^{m k}-1\right)=1$.
It is noted that any binary sequence of period $2^{m}-1$ with ideal autocorrelation property can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
a\left(t_{1}\right)=\sum_{0 \leq i \leq 2^{m}-2} A_{i} \beta^{i t_{1}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{i} \in\{0,1\}$ and $A_{i}$ take a same value on each cyclotomic coset modulo $2^{m}-1$, i.e., $A_{2 i}=A_{i}$ for any $1 \leq i<2^{m}-1$ [18].

Take $I$ as the set of all $i$ with $A_{i} \neq 0$, then Eq. (6) becomes Eq. (4). $I$ is a union of several cyclotomic cosets, and $I=\cup_{i \in I \cap \Gamma(m)} C_{i}$. For the goal of obtaining a good lower bound on linear span, we assume in this correspondence that there is an $i_{0} \in I$ such that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(i_{0}, 2^{m}-1\right)=1$ (This is an assumption satisfied by many ideal autocorrelation sequences). Since $\operatorname{gcd}\left(2^{m-1}-\right.$ $\left.1,2^{m}-1\right)=1$, replacing $\beta$ with $\beta^{\left(2^{m-1}-1\right) i_{0}^{-1} \bmod 2^{m}-1}$, we can further assume $2^{m-1}-1 \in I$.

The optimal correlation property of the family of sequences expressed in Eq. (7) is restated as Lemma 2 for completeness.

Lemma 2: (Proposition 2, [10]) Let $\gamma_{0}=0, \gamma_{1}, \cdots, \gamma_{2^{m k}-2}$, and $\gamma_{2^{m k}-1}$ be the all elements of $F_{2^{m k}}$. For $0 \leq h \leq 2^{m k}-1$, define $\left\{s_{h}(t)\right\}$ as the sequence by

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{h}(t)=\sum_{i \in I}\left\{\operatorname{tr}_{m}^{m k}\left[\left(\operatorname{tr}_{m k}^{n}\left(\alpha^{2 t}\right)+\gamma_{h} \alpha^{\left(2^{m k}+1\right) t}\right)^{u}\right]\right\}^{i} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I$ is the index set as mentioned above, and $1 \leq u \leq 2^{m k}-1$ is an integer relatively prime to $2^{m k}-1$. Then the family $\mathcal{F}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}=\left\{\left\{s_{h}(t)\right\}_{0 \leq t<2^{n}-1} \mid 0 \leq h \leq 2^{m k}-1\right\} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

of $2^{m k}$ binary sequences of period $N$ is an optimal correlation sequence set with respect to Welch's bound. Furthermore, $R_{h, k}(\tau) \in\left\{-1,2^{\frac{n}{2}}-1,-2^{\frac{n}{2}}-1\right\}$ for any out-of-phase shift $(h, k, \tau)$ ( $h \neq k$ or $\tau \neq 0$ ).

By Lemma 1, $\left\{s_{0}(t)\right\}$ is an ideal autocorrelation sequence.

## III. Linear span of Sequences

This section proves sequences in the family $\mathcal{F}$ have large linear span.
The linear span of a sequence is the smallest degree of which a linear recursion satisfied by the sequence exists. Key [20] described a method for determining the linear span of a binary sequence of period $2^{n}-1$. The linear span of $\left\{s_{h}(t)\right\}_{0 \leq t<2^{n}-1}$ can be determined by expanding the expression of $s_{h}(t)$ as a polynomial in $\alpha^{t}$ of degree less than $2^{n}-1$ and then counting the number of monomials in $\alpha^{t}$ with nonzero coefficients occurring in the expansion. This technique will be applied to determine the linear span of sequences in family $\mathcal{F}$.

Denote each exponent $i \in I$ in Eq. (5) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
i=2^{i_{1}}+2^{i_{2}}+\cdots+2^{i_{w(i)}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0 \leq i_{1}<i_{2}<\cdots<i_{w(i)} \leq m-1$.
Let $x=\alpha^{t}$ and $y=x^{2^{m k}-1}$. Substituting Eq. (9) into Eq. (7). Then $s_{h}(t)$ can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
s_{h}(t) & =\sum_{i \in I}\left[\sum_{v=0}^{k-1}\left(\alpha^{2 t}+\gamma_{h} \alpha^{\left(2^{m k}+1\right) t}+\alpha^{2^{m k+1} t}\right)^{u 2^{m v}}\right]^{i} \\
& =\sum_{i \in I}\left(\sum_{v=0}^{k-1}\left[x^{2}\left(1+\gamma_{h} y+y^{2}\right)\right]^{u \cdot 2^{m v}}\right)^{i} \\
& =\sum_{i \in I} \prod_{j=1}^{w(i)} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\left[x^{2}\left(1+\gamma_{h} y+y^{2}\right)\right]^{u \cdot 2^{m v+i_{j}}}  \tag{10}\\
& =\sum_{i \in I} \sum_{\underline{v} \in V^{w(i)}}\left[x^{2}\left(1+\gamma_{h} y+y^{2}\right)\right]^{\delta(i, \underline{v})}
\end{align*}
$$

where $V=\{0,1, \cdots, k-1\}, \underline{v}=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \cdots, v_{w(i)}\right) \in V^{w(i)}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(i, \underline{v})=\sum_{j=1}^{w(i)} u \cdot 2^{m v_{j}+i_{j}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

As the first step to count the number of monomials in $\alpha^{t}$ with nonzero coefficients occurring in right side of Eq. (10), we show the following

Lemma 3: For different pairs $(i, \underline{v})$ and $\left(i^{\prime}, \underline{v}^{\prime}\right)$, there is no monomial that appears with nonzero coefficients in the expansions of both $\left(x^{2}\left(1+\gamma y+y^{2}\right)\right)^{\delta(i, \underline{v})}$ and $\left(x^{2}\left(1+\gamma y+y^{2}\right)\right)^{\delta\left(i^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)}$.

Proof: Since $y=x^{2^{m k}-1}$, each monomial in $x$ in the expansion of $x^{2}\left(1+\gamma_{h} y+y^{2}\right)$ has an exponent (respect to $x$ ) congruent to 2 modulo $2^{m k}-1$. Thus, each monomial in the expansion of $\left(x^{2}\left(1+\gamma_{h} y+y^{2}\right)\right)^{\delta(i, \underline{v})}$ has an exponent congruent to $2 \cdot \delta(i, \underline{v})$ modulo $2^{m k}-1$.

If there is a monomial that appears with nonzero coefficients in the expansions of both $\left(x^{2}(1+\right.$ $\left.\left.\gamma y+y^{2}\right)\right)^{\delta(i, v)}$ and $\left(x^{2}\left(1+\gamma y+y^{2}\right)\right)^{\delta\left(i^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \cdot \delta(i, \underline{v}) \equiv 2 \cdot \delta\left(i^{\prime}, \underline{v}^{\prime}\right) \bmod \left(2^{m k}-1\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integer $2 u$ is relatively prime to $2^{m k}-1$. By Eq. (11) and Eq. (12), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{w(i)} 2^{m v_{j}+i_{j}} \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{w\left(i^{\prime}\right)} 2^{m v_{j}^{\prime}+i_{j}^{\prime}} \bmod \left(2^{m k}-1\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{w(i)} 2^{m v_{j}+i_{j}} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{w(i)} 2^{m(k-1)+i_{j}}=2^{m(k-1)} i<2^{m k}-1
$$

Similarly, $\sum_{j=1}^{w\left(i^{\prime}\right)} 2^{m v_{j}^{\prime}+i_{j}^{\prime}}<2^{m k}-1$. Eq. (13) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{w(i)} 2^{m v_{j}+i_{j}}=\sum_{j=1}^{w\left(i^{\prime}\right)} 2^{m v_{j}^{\prime}+i_{j}^{\prime}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $2^{m v_{j}} \equiv 1 \bmod \left(2^{\mathrm{m}}-1\right)$ and $2^{m v_{j}+i_{j}} \equiv 2^{i_{j}} \bmod \left(2^{\mathrm{m}}-1\right)$, by Eq. (14), one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{w(i)} 2^{i_{j}} \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{w\left(i^{\prime}\right)} 2^{i_{j}^{\prime}} \bmod \left(2^{\mathrm{m}}-1\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the both sides of Eq. (15) are less than $2^{m}-1$, then

$$
i=\sum_{j=1}^{w(i)} 2^{i_{j}}=\sum_{j=1}^{w\left(i^{\prime}\right)} 2^{i_{j}^{\prime}}=i^{\prime} .
$$

Eq. (14) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{w(i)} 2^{m v_{j}+i_{j}}=\sum_{j=1}^{w(i)} 2^{m v_{j}^{\prime}+i_{j}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $0 \leq i_{1}<i_{2}<\cdots<i_{w(i)} \leq m-1, m v_{j}+i_{j}$ are pairwise incongruent modulo $m$ for all different $j$. This implies that the two sides of Eq. (16) are the binary expansions of the same integer, and hence,

$$
\left\{m v_{j}^{\prime}+i_{j}: 1 \leq j \leq w(i)\right\}=\left\{m v_{j}^{\prime}+i_{j}: 1 \leq j \leq w(i)\right\}
$$

Comparing the integers with the same remainder modulo $m$, we have $v_{j}=v_{j}^{\prime}$ for all $j$, i.e., $\underline{v}=\underline{v^{\prime}}$. Thus, $(i, \underline{v})=\left(i^{\prime}, \underline{v^{\prime}}\right)$. The proof ends.

Let $\rho(i, \underline{v})$ denote the number of monomials in $y$ appearing in the expansion of $\left(1+\gamma_{h} y+\right.$ $\left.y^{2}\right)^{\delta(i, v)}$ with nonzero coefficients. By Eq. (10) and Lemma 3, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
L S\left(\left\{s_{h}(t)\right\}\right)=\sum_{i \in I} \sum_{\underline{v} \in V^{w(i)}} \rho(i, \underline{v}) . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, Eq. (17) can be written as follows.
Proposition 4:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L S\left(\left\{s_{h}(t)\right\}\right)=\sum_{i \in I \cap \Gamma(m)} \sum_{\underline{v} \in V^{w(i)}} e_{i} \cdot \rho(i, \underline{v}) . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Note that $I$ is a union of several cyclotomic cosets, i.e., $I=\cup_{i \in I \cap \Gamma(m)} C_{i}$, to prove Eq. (18), it is sufficient to show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\underline{v} \in V^{w(i)}} \rho(i, \underline{v})=\sum_{\underline{v} \in V^{w}\left(i^{\prime}\right)} \rho\left(i^{\prime}, \underline{v}\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for any $i, i^{\prime} \in I$ with $i \equiv 2 i^{\prime} \bmod \left(2^{m}-1\right)$.
In Eq. (10), let

$$
\Delta(x)=\sum_{v=0}^{k-1}\left(x^{2}+\gamma_{h} x^{\left(2^{m k}+1\right)}+x^{2^{m k+1}}\right)^{u 2^{m v}}=\operatorname{tr}_{m}^{m k}\left[\left(x^{2}\left(1+\gamma_{h} y+y^{2}\right)\right)^{u}\right]
$$

For any $x \in F_{2^{n}}, \Delta(x) \in F_{2^{m}}$ and hence $\Delta(x)^{i}=\left(\Delta(x)^{i^{\prime}}\right)^{2}$ if $i \equiv 2 i^{\prime} \bmod \left(2^{m}-1\right)$. From Eq. (10), one has

$$
\Delta(x)^{i}=\sum_{\underline{v} \in V^{w(i)}}\left[x^{2}\left(1+\gamma_{h} y+y^{2}\right)\right]^{\delta(i, \underline{v})},
$$

and then

$$
\sum_{\underline{v} \in V^{w(i)}}\left[x^{2}\left(1+\gamma_{h} y+y^{2}\right)\right]^{\delta(i, \underline{v})}=\left\{\sum_{\underline{v} \in V^{w\left(i^{\prime}\right)}}\left[x^{2}\left(1+\gamma_{h} y+y^{2}\right)\right]^{\delta\left(i^{\prime}, \underline{v}\right)}\right\}^{2}
$$

Since $\left(\Delta(x)^{i^{\prime}}\right)^{2}$ and $\Delta(x)^{i^{\prime}}$ have the same number of nonzero monomials in their expansions, comparing the numbers of nonzero monomials in the expansions of the both sides of the above equality, Eq. (19) holds.

By Proposition 4, the linear span can be determined by finding $\rho(i, \underline{v})$ for all $i \in I \cap \Gamma(m)$ and $\underline{v} \in V^{w(i)}$.

No and Kumar [12] determined the number of nonzero monomials in the expansion of $(1+$ $\left.\gamma_{h} y+y^{2}\right)^{j}$ for $j<2^{m k}-1$. When $j \geq 2^{m k}-1$, we can replace $j$ with $j \bmod \left(2^{m k}-1\right)$. Then,
$\rho(i, \underline{v})$ equals to the number of nonzero monomials in the expansion of $\left(1+\gamma_{h} y+y^{2}\right)^{\delta^{\prime}(i, \underline{v})}$, where $\delta^{\prime}(i, \underline{v})$ is the remainder of $\delta(i, \underline{v})$ modulo $2^{m k}-1$.

For $\gamma_{h} \neq 0$, define $\varepsilon_{h}=-1$ if the quadratic $y^{2}+\gamma_{h} \cdot y+1=0$ is reducible over $F_{2^{m k}}$, and $\varepsilon_{h}=1$ otherwise. Let $c_{h}$ be an integer with $0 \leq c_{h} \leq 2^{m k-1}$ such that

$$
\delta_{h}= \begin{cases}\alpha^{c_{h}\left(2^{m k}+1\right)} & \text { if } \varepsilon_{h}=-1  \tag{20}\\ \alpha^{c_{h}\left(2^{m k}-1\right)} & \text { if } \varepsilon_{h}=1\end{cases}
$$

is a root of $y^{2}+\gamma_{h} \cdot y+1=0$. Let $g_{h}=\operatorname{gcd}\left(c_{h}, 2^{m k}+\varepsilon_{h}\right)$. Then, $g_{h}<2^{m k-1}$ [12].
Let $R(i, \underline{v})$ be the total number of 1-runs occurring within the binary expansion of $\delta^{\prime}(i, \underline{v})$, and $L(i, \underline{v}, j)$ be the length of the $j$-th 1 -run, $1 \leq j \leq R(i, \underline{v})$, with the runs being consecutively numbered from the least to the most significant bits. Then, $\delta^{\prime}(i, \underline{v})$ can be written as

$$
\delta^{\prime}(i, \underline{v})=\sum_{j=1}^{R(i, \underline{v})} 2^{d_{j}} \cdot\left(\sum_{l=0}^{L(i, \underline{v}, j)} 2^{l}\right)
$$

where $d_{j}$ denotes the lowest exponent of 2 associated with the $j$-th 1-run.
By Theorem 2 in [12], the number of monomials with nonzero coefficients appearing in the expansion of $\left(1+\gamma_{h} y+y^{2}\right)^{\delta^{\prime}(i, \underline{v})}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(i, \underline{v})=\prod_{j=1}^{R(i, \underline{v})}\left\{2^{L(i, \underline{v}, j)+1}-1-2\left\lfloor\frac{\left(2^{L(i, \underline{v}, j)}-1\right) g_{h}}{2^{m k}+\varepsilon_{h}}\right\rfloor\right\} . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\gamma_{h}=0$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(i, \underline{v})=2^{\tau(i, \underline{v})} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

[12], where $\tau(i, \underline{v})$ is the weight of $\delta^{\prime}(i, \underline{v})$. It was proved in [12] that $\rho(i, \underline{v})$ is always larger for $\gamma_{h} \neq 0$ than $\gamma_{h}=0$. Thus, the linear span of the ideal autocorrelation sequence $\left\{s_{0}(t)\right\}$ is always less than that of other sequences in the family $\mathcal{F}$.

Run lengths in Eq. (21) deserves further consideration. For $k \geq 2$ and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=1+2^{m}+\cdots+2^{(k-2) m} . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(i, \underline{v})=\sum_{j=1}^{w(i)} u \cdot 2^{m v_{j}+i_{j}}=\sum_{j=1}^{w(i)} \sum_{l=0}^{k-2} 2^{m\left(v_{j}+l\right)+i_{j}} . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 5: Let $c_{j, l}$ be the remainder of $v_{j}+l$ modulo $k$ for $1 \leq j \leq w(i)$ and $0 \leq l \leq k-2$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{\prime}(i, \underline{v})=\sum_{j=1}^{w(i)} \sum_{l=0}^{k-2} 2^{m c_{j, l}+i_{j}} . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Since $2^{m\left(v_{j}+l\right)} \equiv 2^{m c_{j, l}} \bmod \left(2^{m k}-1\right), \delta(i, \underline{v}) \equiv \delta^{\prime}(i, \underline{v}) \bmod \left(2^{m k}-1\right)$.
For a fixed $j$, any two elements of $\left\{v_{j}+l \mid 0 \leq l \leq k-2\right\}$ are pairwise incongruent modulo $k$. Then $\left\{c_{j, l} \mid 0 \leq l \leq k-2\right\}$ are pairwise different and take values of $k, k-1, \cdots$, and 1 for a maximal summation. Hence

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{w(i)} \sum_{l=0}^{k-2} 2^{m c_{j, l}+i_{j}}=\sum_{j=1}^{w(i)} 2^{i_{j}} \sum_{l=0}^{k-2} 2^{m c_{j, l}} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{w(i)} 2^{i_{j}} \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} 2^{m l} \leq\left(2^{m}-1\right) \cdot \frac{2^{m k}-2^{m}}{2^{m}-1}<2^{m k}-1 .
$$

Since $\delta^{\prime}(i, \underline{v})$ is the remainder of $\delta(i, \underline{v})$ modulo $2^{m k}-1$, Eq. (25) holds.
From the proof of Lemma 5 and Eq. (25), the weight of $\delta^{\prime}(i, \underline{v})$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(i, \underline{v})=(k-1) \cdot w(i) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

To guarantee the period of $\left\{s_{h}(t)\right\}$ reaching $2^{n}-1$, the parameter $u$ must be relatively prime to $2^{m k}-1$. The following lemma gives such an integer.

Lemma 6: Let $k \geq 2$ and $u$ be defined as Eq. (23). Then

$$
\operatorname{gcd}\left(u, 2^{m k}-1\right)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(k-1,2^{m}-1\right)
$$

Proof: Since

$$
2^{m k}-1-\left(2^{2 m}-2^{m}\right)\left(1+2^{m}+\cdots+2^{(k-2) m}\right)=2^{m}-1
$$

and

$$
1+2^{m}+\cdots+2^{(k-2) m}=k-1\left(\bmod 2^{m}-1\right)
$$

one has

$$
\operatorname{gcd}\left(u, 2^{m k}-1\right)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(u, 2^{m}-1\right)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(k-1,2^{m}-1\right)
$$

From this point on we assume $\operatorname{gcd}\left(k-1,2^{m}-1\right)=1$. Then $\operatorname{gcd}\left(u, 2^{m k}-1\right)=1$.

To simplify Eq. (21), we consider a subfamily of $\mathcal{F}$ as

$$
\mathcal{F}^{\prime}=\left\{\left\{s_{0}(t)\right\},\left\{s_{h}(t)\right\}: h \neq 0, g_{h}<\frac{2^{m k}+\varepsilon_{h}}{2^{m-1}+\varepsilon_{h}} \text { and } 0 \leq c_{h} \leq 2^{m k-1}\right\}
$$

and estimate a lower bound for linear spans of sequences in this subfamily. This subfamily contains a great majority of the sequences in $\mathcal{F}$ as shown by

$$
\left|\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right|>\frac{1}{2}\left(\phi\left(2^{m k}-1\right)+\phi\left(2^{m k}+1\right)\right)
$$

[13], where $\phi(t)$ is Euler's phi function. The subfamily size is close to $2^{m k}$.
For a sequence $\left\{s_{h}(t)\right\}$ in $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ with $h \neq 0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(i, \underline{v})=\prod_{j=1}^{R(i, \underline{v})}\left\{2^{L(i, \underline{v}, j)+1}-1\right\} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $i \in I$ and $\underline{v} \in V^{w(i)}$. We use an approach proposed by Klapper [13] to estimate a lower bound on $\sum_{\underline{v} \in V^{w(i)}} \rho(i, \underline{v})$ for some $i$.

For $1 \leq t \leq m-1$, let $i^{(t)}=\sum_{j=1}^{t} 2^{j-1}$ with the weight $t$.
Lemma 7: Let $1 \leq t \leq m-1$. Then
(1) For $\gamma_{h}=0$,

$$
\sum_{\underline{v} \in V^{t}} \rho\left(i^{(t)}, \underline{v}\right)=\left(2^{k-1} k\right)^{t} .
$$

(2) For $\gamma_{h} \neq 0$,

$$
\sum_{\underline{v} \in V^{t}} \rho\left(i^{(t)}, \underline{v}\right)>3^{k-1} k\left((3 k-1) 2^{k-2}\right)^{t-1}
$$

Proof: (1) The conclusion follows that for each $\underline{v} \in V^{t}, \rho\left(i^{(t)}, \underline{v}\right)=2^{(k-1) t}$ by Eq. (22) and Eq. (26).
(2) Assume $\gamma_{h} \neq 0$. We establish a lower bound on $\sum_{\underline{v}^{\prime} \in V^{t+1}} \rho\left(i^{(t+1)}, \underline{v}^{\prime}\right) / \sum_{\underline{v} \in V^{t}} \rho\left(i^{(t)}, \underline{v}\right)$ for $1 \leq t \leq m-2$ and then deduce the conclusion.

For any $\underline{v}=\left(v_{1}, \cdots, v_{t}\right) \in V^{t}$ and $v_{t+1} \in V$, let $\underline{v}^{\prime}=\left(v_{1}, \cdots, v_{t}, v_{t+1}\right) \in V^{t+1}$. By Eq. (24) and Eq. (25),

$$
\delta\left(i^{(t)}, \underline{v}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{t} \sum_{l=0}^{k-2} 2^{m\left(v_{j}+l\right)+j-1} \text { and } \delta^{\prime}\left(i^{(t)}, \underline{v}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{t} \sum_{l=0}^{k-2} 2^{m c_{j, l}+j-1} .
$$

There are similar expressions for $\delta\left(i^{(t+1)}, \underline{v^{\prime}}\right)$ and $\delta^{\prime}\left(i^{(t+1)}, \underline{v^{\prime}}\right)$. Define

$$
\widetilde{\delta}\left(i^{(t)}, \underline{v}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{t} \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} 2^{m c_{j, l}+j-1}
$$

For fixed integers $d$ and $j(0 \leq d \leq k-1$ and $1 \leq j \leq t)$, there exists a unique integer $l$ with $0 \leq l \leq k-1$ such that $c_{j, l}=d$. This indicates that all run intervals of $\tilde{\delta}\left(i^{(t)}, \underline{v}\right)$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
[0, t-1],[m, m+t-1], \cdots,[m(k-1), m(k-1)+t-1] . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, the run intervals of $\widetilde{\delta}\left(i^{(t+1)}, \underline{v^{\prime}}\right)$ are

$$
[0, t],[m, m+t], \cdots,[m(k-1), m(k-1)+t] .
$$

By deleting all terms with the form $2^{m c_{j, k-1}+j-1}(1 \leq j \leq t)$ from the binary expansion of $\widetilde{\delta}\left(i^{(t)}, \underline{v}\right)$, the binary expansion of $\delta^{\prime}\left(i^{(t)}, \underline{v}\right)$ is obtained. Thus, the run intervals of $\delta^{\prime}\left(i^{(t)}, \underline{v}\right)$ can be obtained by deleting the integers $m c_{j, k-1}+j-1(1 \leq j \leq t)$ from the run intervals in Eq. (20).

A run interval of $\delta^{\prime}\left(i^{(t)}, \underline{v}\right)$ is called a type-I interval if it contains an integer of form $m c_{t, l}+t-1$, where $0 \leq l \leq k-2$, and is called a type-II interval otherwise. Thus, $\delta^{\prime}\left(i^{(t)}, \underline{v}\right)$ has exactly $(k-1)$ run intervals in type-I. Let $u_{l}$ denote the length of the run interval containing $m c_{t, l}+t-1$.

When $v_{t+1}=v_{t}$, for any $0 \leq l \leq k-1$, one has

$$
v_{t+1}+l=v_{t}+l \text { and } m c_{t+1, l}+t=\left(m c_{t, l}+t-1\right)+1 .
$$

This means that the length of each type-I run interval of $\delta^{\prime}\left(i^{(t+1)}, \underline{v^{\prime}}\right)$ is larger by 1 than that of a corresponding type-I run interval of $\delta^{\prime}\left(i^{(t)}, \underline{v}\right)$, and that all type-II run intervals of $\delta^{\prime}\left(i^{(t+1)}, \underline{v}^{\prime}\right)$ coincide with that of $\delta^{\prime}\left(i^{(t)}, \underline{v}\right)$. (Example 8 (1) illustrates this.) Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\rho\left(i^{(t+1)}, \underline{v^{\prime}}\right)}{\rho\left(i^{(t)}, \underline{v}\right)}=\prod_{l=0}^{k-2} \frac{2^{u_{l}+1+1}-1}{2^{u_{l}+1}-1}>\prod_{l=0}^{k-2} 2=2^{k-1} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $v_{t+1} \neq v_{t}$, one has

$$
m c_{t+1, l^{\prime}}+t=\left(m c_{t, l}+t-1\right)+1
$$

if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
l^{\prime}=l+v_{t}-v_{t+1}(\bmod k) . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $l_{0}\left(0 \leq l_{0} \leq k-1\right)$ be the unique solution of

$$
l+v_{t}-v_{t+1}=k-1(\bmod k)
$$

Then $0 \leq l_{0} \leq k-2$.
For any $0 \leq l \leq k-2$ with $l \neq l_{0}$, let $0 \leq l^{\prime} \leq k-2$ be determined by Eq. (29). Then among the run intervals of $\delta^{\prime}\left(i^{(t+1)}, \underline{v^{\prime}}\right)$, the length of the interval containing the integer $m c_{t+1, l^{\prime}}+t$ is larger by 1 than that of the interval of $\delta^{\prime}\left(i^{(t)}, \underline{v}\right)$ containing $m c_{t, l}+t-1$. On the other hand, the interval of $\delta^{\prime}\left(i^{(t)}, \underline{v}\right)$ containing the integer $m c_{t, l_{0}}+t-1$ is identical to a corresponding interval of $\delta^{\prime}\left(i^{(t+1)}, \underline{v}^{\prime}\right)$. So does each type-II interval of $\delta^{\prime}\left(i^{(t)}, \underline{v}\right)$. Notice that the integer $m c_{t, k-1}+t$ is not in any interval of $\delta^{\prime}\left(i^{(t)}, \underline{v}\right)$, and $\left[m c_{t, k-1}+t\right]=\left[m c_{t+1, l_{1}}+t\right]$ is a single-point run interval of $\delta^{\prime}\left(i^{(t+1)}, \underline{v^{\prime}}\right)$, where $l_{1}=k-1+v_{t}-v_{t+1}(\bmod k)$ and $0 \leq l_{1} \leq k-2$. (Example 8 (2) illustrates this .) Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\rho\left(i^{(t+1)}, \underline{v^{\prime}}\right)}{\rho\left(i^{(t)}, \underline{v}\right)}=\left(2^{1+1}-1\right) \prod_{l=0, l \neq l_{0}}^{k-2} \frac{2^{u_{l}+1+1}-1}{2^{u_{l}+1}-1}>3 \cdot 2^{k-2} . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Eq. (29) and Eq. (31), one has

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{\underline{v}^{\prime} \in V^{t+1}} \rho\left(i^{(t+1)}, \underline{v^{\prime}}\right) & =\sum_{\underline{v} \in V^{t}}\left(\sum_{v_{t+1}=v_{t}} \rho\left(i^{(t+1)},\left(\underline{v}, v_{t+1}\right)\right)+\sum_{v_{t+1} \neq v_{t}} \rho\left(i^{(t+1)},\left(\underline{v}, v_{t+1}\right)\right)\right. \\
& >\sum_{\underline{v} \in V^{t}}\left(2^{k-1}+(k-1) 3 \cdot 2^{k-2}\right) \rho\left(i^{(t)}, \underline{v}\right)  \tag{32}\\
& =(3 k-1) \cdot 2^{k-2} \sum_{\underline{v} \in V^{t}} \rho\left(i^{(t)}, \underline{v}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

For $v_{1} \in V=\{0,1, \cdots, k-1\}$, one has $\delta\left(1, v_{1}\right)=\sum_{l=0}^{k-2} 2^{m\left(v_{1}+l\right)}$ and $\delta^{\prime}\left(1, v_{1}\right)=\sum_{l=0}^{k-2} 2^{m c_{1, l}}$. There are exactly $(k-1)$ 1-runs of length 1 . Thus,

$$
\rho\left(i^{(1)}, v_{1}\right)=\rho\left(1, v_{1}\right)=\prod_{l=0}^{k-2}\left(2^{1+1}-1\right)=3^{k-1}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{v_{1} \in V} \rho\left(1, v_{1}\right)=k \cdot 3^{k-1} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Eq. (33), and Eq. (32) iteratively, one has Lemma 7 (2).
Example 8: (1) Suppose that $m=7, k=t=4, \underline{v}=(3,0,3,1)$ and $\underline{v^{\prime}}=(3,0,3,1,1)$. The run intervals of $\widetilde{\delta}\left(i^{(5)}, \underline{v}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\widetilde{\delta}\left(i^{(4)}, \underline{v}\right)$ are

$$
[0,3],[7,10],[14,17],[21,24]
$$

and

$$
[0,4],[7,11],[14,18],[21,25]
$$

respectively. A direct calculation will find the run intervals of $\delta\left(i^{(4)}, \underline{v}\right)$ and $\delta\left(i^{(5)}, \underline{v^{\prime}}\right)$ are

$$
[0,2],[7,10]^{*},[15],[17]^{*},[21],[23,24]^{*}
$$

and

$$
[0,2],[7,11]^{*},[15],[17,18]^{*},[21],[23,25]^{*},
$$

respectively, where the intervals marked with $*$ are in type-I and type-II otherwise.
Obviously, the type-I run intervals $[7,11],[17,18]$, and $[23,25]$ are of lengths larger by 1 than $[7,10],[17]$, and $[23,24]$, respectively, and all type-II run intervals of $\delta\left(i^{(5)}, \underline{v}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\delta\left(i^{(4)}, \underline{v}\right)$ coincide.
(2) If $\underline{v^{\prime}}=(3,0,3,1,2)$, then the run intervals of $\delta\left(i^{(5)}, \underline{v^{\prime}}\right)$ are

$$
[0,2],[4],[7,10]^{+},[15],[17,18]^{*},[21],[23,25]^{*} .
$$

Since $l_{0}=k-1+v_{t+1}-v_{t}=0(\bmod k)$, for $0 \leq l \leq k-2$ with $l \neq l_{0}$, i.e., for $l=1$ or 2 , $l^{\prime}=l+v_{t}-v_{t+1}=0$ or 1 . Then

$$
\left\{m c_{t+1, l^{\prime}}+t \mid l^{\prime}=0,2\right\}=\{18,25\}
$$

and we get two type-I run intervals marked with $*$, i.e., $[17,18]$ and $[23,25]$. Since $l_{1}=k-1+$ $v_{t}-v_{t+1}=2(\bmod k)$, the remaining type-I run interval is the single-point set [4]. The type-I interval of $\delta\left(i^{(4)}, \underline{v}\right)$ containing $m c_{t, l_{0}}+t-1=10$ is [7,10], it is a type-II interval of $\delta\left(i^{(5)}, \underline{v^{\prime}}\right)$, which is marked with + . Other type-II run intervals of $\delta\left(i^{(5)}, \underline{v^{\prime}}\right)$ and $\delta\left(i^{(4)}, \underline{v}\right)$ coincide.

Now we deduce the main result of the correspondence as follows.
By the assumption, we have $i^{(m-1)} \in I \cap \Gamma(m)$. The size of the cyclotomic coset containing $i^{(m-1)}$ is $m$. Applying Proposition 4 to such an index set $I$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
L S\left(\left\{s_{h}(t)\right\}\right) \geq m \cdot \sum_{\underline{v} \in V^{m-1}} \rho\left(i^{(m-1)}, \underline{v}\right) . \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Lemma 7 to Eq. (32), one has the theorem below.
Theorem 9: Let $\left\{s_{h}(t)\right\} \in \mathcal{F}^{\prime}$.
(1)

$$
L S\left(\left\{s_{0}(t)\right\}\right) \geq L_{0}=m\left(2^{k-1} k\right)^{m-1}
$$

(2) For $h \neq 0$,

$$
L S\left(\left\{s_{h}(t)\right\}\right)>L_{1}=3^{k-1} m k\left[2^{k-2}(3 k-1)\right]^{m-2}
$$

TABLE II
The lower bound of Linear span of Sequences with period $2^{n}-1$ In family $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$

| $k$ | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | $6 m$ | $8 m$ | $10 m$ |
| $L_{0}$ | $12^{\frac{n}{6}} n / 72$ | $2^{\frac{5 n}{8}} n / 256$ | $80^{\frac{n}{10}} n / 800$ |
| $L_{1}$ | $9 n \cdot 2^{\frac{2 n}{3}} / 512$ | $27 n \cdot 44^{\frac{n}{8}} / 3872$ | $81 n \cdot 112^{\frac{n}{10}} / 25088$ |

For a large integer $n$, the lower bound $L_{1}$ given in Theorem 9 is maximized when $k=4$. By Lemma 6 , we choose $k=4$ when $m$ is odd and choose $k=3$ or 5 when $m$ is even. Table II lists the bounds $L_{0}$ and $L_{1}$.

Remark 10: The bounds $O\left(n \cdot 2^{\frac{2 n}{3}}\right), O\left(n \cdot 44^{\frac{n}{8}}\right)$ and $O\left(n \cdot 112^{\frac{n}{10}}\right)$, given by taking $k=3,4,5$, respectively, are exponentially larger than that of No sequences and TN sequences, whose bounds are $O\left(n \cdot 4^{\frac{n}{4}}\right)$ and $O\left(n \cdot 5^{\frac{n}{4}}\right)$, respectively [13]. If we take $k=2$, the lower bounds in Theorem 9 will be the same as that of TN sequences.

More precisely, let $U_{N o}=2^{\frac{n}{2}} \cdot n / 2$ and $U_{T N}=9 n \cdot(16 / 3)^{\frac{n}{4}-3}$. Then $U_{N o}$ and $U_{T N}$ are upper bounds on linear spans of No sequences and TN sequences, respectively [12], [13], which is exponentially smaller than the lower bounds in Theorem 9 (2), since $44^{\frac{1}{8}}>112^{\frac{1}{10}}>2^{\frac{2}{3}}>$ $(16 / 3)^{\frac{1}{4}}>2^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

## IV. An extension to sequences with ideal autocorrelation

Instantiating the ideal autocorrelation sequence in Eq. (6), we can tighten the bound in Theorem 9 (1), and construct a class of ideal autocorrelation sequences with larger linear span. Most of known ideal autocorrelation sequences have very small linear span [21], [22], [23]. Legendre sequences of a prime period can achieve an upper bound on linear span of binary ideal autocorrelation sequences [18].

Let $p=2^{m}-1$ be a Mersenne prime for some prime $m \geq 3$. A Legendre sequence of period
$p$ is defined as $\{a(t)\}$ where

$$
a(t)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } t \equiv 0(\bmod p) \\ 0, & \text { if } t \text { is a quadratic residue modulo } p \\ 1, & \text { if } t \text { is a quadratic nonresidue modulo } p\end{cases}
$$

It is easy to verify that $\{a(t)\}$ is an ideal autocorrelation sequence. Furthermore, its trace representation is given as follows.

Lemma 11: (Main theorem of [19]) Let $\gamma$ be a primitive element of $Z_{p}$. There is a primitive element $\beta$ of $F_{2^{m}}$ such that

$$
a(t)=\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2 m}-1} t r_{1}^{m}\left(\beta^{\gamma^{2 j} t}\right)
$$

is the trace representation of $\{a(t)\}$.
For $\zeta=0$ or 1 , define two sequences $\left\{a^{(\zeta)}(t)\right\}$ where

$$
a^{(\zeta)}(t)=\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2 m}-1} t r_{1}^{m}\left(\beta^{t \gamma^{2 j+\zeta}}\right)
$$

Then, $\left\{a^{(0)}(t)\right\}=\{a(t)\}$, and $\left\{a^{(1)}(t)\right\}$ is the $\gamma$-decimation of $\{a(t)\}$. Therefore, both sequences have ideal autocorrelation property.

Let $k \geq 2$ and $u=1+2^{m}+\cdots+2^{(k-2) m}$. Assume $\operatorname{gcd}(k-1, p)=1$. We construct ideal autocorrelation sequences from $\left\{a^{(0)}(t)\right\}$ and $\left\{a^{(1)}(t)\right\}$ as follows. For $\zeta=0$ or 1 , define

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{(\zeta)}(t)=\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2 m}-1} \operatorname{tr}_{1}^{m}\left(\left\{t r_{m}^{m k}\left[\left(r_{m k}^{n}\left(\alpha^{2 t}\right)\right)^{u}\right]\right\}^{2^{j+\zeta}}\right) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma $1,\left\{s^{\zeta}(t)\right\}$ is an ideal autocorrelation sequence of period $2^{2 m k}-1$.
The following lemma is needed for deducing a tighter bound on the linear span of $\left\{s^{(\zeta)}(t)\right\}$.
Lemma 12: (1) ([19]) When $i$ varies from 0 to $\frac{p-1}{m}-1, \gamma^{i}$ runs through all the $\frac{p-1}{m}$ cyclotomic cosets of size $m$ modulo $p$. For some integer $j, \gamma^{\frac{p-1}{m}}=2^{j}$.
(2) Among $\frac{p-1}{m}$ cyclotomic cosets of size $m$ modulo $p$, the number of cosets consisting of integers of weight $i$ is $\binom{m}{i} / m$.

Theorem 13: For either $\zeta=0$ or 1, the linear span of sequences defined as in Eq. (33) satisfies

$$
L S\left(\left\{s^{(\zeta)}(t)\right\}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(1+2^{k-1} k\right)^{m}-1-\left(2^{k-1} k\right)^{m}\right] .
$$

Proof: For $\zeta=0$ or 1, Proposition 4 together with Eq. (22) and Eq. (26) yields

$$
L S\left(\left\{s^{(\zeta)}(t)\right\}\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2 m}-1} m \cdot\left(2^{k-1} k\right)^{w\left(\gamma^{2 j+\zeta}\right)}
$$

One has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L S\left(\left\{s^{(0)}(t)\right\}\right)+L S\left(\left\{s^{(1)}(t)\right\}\right) \\
= & \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2 m}-1} m \cdot\left(2^{k-1} k\right)^{w\left(\gamma^{2 j}\right)}+\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2 m}-1} m \cdot\left(2^{k-1} k\right)^{w\left(\gamma^{2 j+1}\right)} \\
= & \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p-1}{m}-1} m \cdot\left(2^{k-1} k\right)^{w\left(\gamma^{j}\right)} \\
= & \binom{m}{1} \cdot\left(2^{k-1} k\right)+\binom{m}{2} \cdot\left(2^{k-1} k\right)^{2}+\cdots+\binom{m}{m-1} \cdot\left(2^{k-1} k\right)^{m-1} \\
= & \left(1+2^{k-1} k\right)^{m}-1-\left(2^{k-1} k\right)^{m} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, Theorem 13 holds.
Remark 14: An analysis to $L_{0}=m\left(2^{k-1} k\right)^{m-1}$ show that, for any given $n$, the bound $L_{0}$ is maximized only if $k \leq 6$. In this case, if $m \geq 2^{k} k+1$, then the bound in Theorem 13 is tighter than that in Theorem 9 (1). More precisely,

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(1+2^{k-1} k\right)^{m}-1-\left(2^{k-1} k\right)^{m}\right] \geq m\left(2^{k-1} k\right)^{m-1}
$$

holds for $k \leq 6$ and $m \geq 2^{k} k+1$.
Sequences defined in Eq. (33) with the period of $2^{2 m k}-1$ are an application of the construction of Eq. (7) to the case of $k \geq 2$. If we take $k=1$ and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\widetilde{s}^{(\zeta)}(t)=\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2 m-1}} \operatorname{tr}_{1}^{m}\left(\left[r_{m}^{2 m}\left(\alpha^{2 t}\right)\right]\right]^{2 j+\zeta}\right), \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $\zeta=0$ or 1 ), we will get two ideal autocorrelation sequences of period $2^{2 m}-1$, and their linear span can be shown as

$$
L S\left(\left\{\widetilde{s}^{(\zeta)}(t)\right\}\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2 m-1}} m \cdot 2^{w\left(\gamma^{2 j+\zeta}\right)}
$$

by Proposition 4 and Eq. (22). An analysis similar to Theorem 13 shows either $\left\{\widetilde{s}^{(0)}(t)\right\}$ or $\left\{\widetilde{s}^{(1)}(t)\right\}$ has linear span not less than $\left(3^{m}-1-2^{m}\right) / 2$.

Eq. (33) and Eq. (34) provide a way to generate ideal autocorrelation sequences with large linear span.

Example 15: Let $\left\{a(t)=\sum_{j=0}^{8} \operatorname{tr}_{1}^{7}\left(\alpha^{3^{2 j} t}\right)\right\}$ be a Legendre sequence of period 127 and $\{b(t)=$ $a(3 t)\}$ be its 3-decimation. The linear span of the sequence $\left\{s^{(1)}(t)\right\}$ derived from $\{b(t)\}$ is $1232>1029=\left(3^{7}-1-2^{7}\right) / 2$, which is larger than that of the sequence of period $2^{14}-1$ given in Example 9 of [23].

## V. CONCLUDING REMARKS

The generalized Kasami sequence set [10] is given by

$$
\Gamma=\left\{g\left(t r_{n / 2}^{n}\left(x^{2}\right)+\beta x^{2^{m k}+1}\right), \beta \in F_{2^{m k}}, x \in F_{2^{n}}^{*}\right\}
$$

where $\left\{g(x), x \in F_{2^{m k}}^{*}\right\}$ is any one sequence with ideal autocorrelation property. The set $\Gamma$ has optimal correlation property with respect to Welch bound. The linear span of sequences in $\Gamma$ depends on $g(x)$.

Let $g(x)=\sum_{i \in I}\left[t r_{m}^{m k}\left(x^{u}\right)\right]^{i}$. Consider the linear span of sequences in $\Gamma$. To obtain large linear span, an efficient approach is to choose $u$ and index set $I$ appropriately such that the integer $\delta^{\prime}(i, \underline{v})$ has large binary weight,

$$
\delta^{\prime}(i, \underline{v})=\sum_{j=1}^{w(i)} u \cdot 2^{m v_{j}+i_{j}}\left(\bmod 2^{\mathrm{mk}}-1\right)
$$

where $i \in I$ and $\underline{v}=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \cdots, v_{w(i)}\right) \in V^{w(i)}$. In the original Kasami construction and No sequences, $I$ was equal to $\{1\}$. No sequences achieved large linear span by having $u$ with large weight. Klapper took $u=1$ and $I$ consisting of only one integer with large binary weight, such that TN sequences can obtain even larger linear span than No sequences and the small set of Kasami sequences.

This correspondence discusses a new case where both $u$ and one element in $I$ have large binary weight. For appropriate parameters $(m, k, u, I)$, sequences discussed in this correspondence can obtain larger linear span than that of Kasami sequences (small set), No sequences and TN sequences.

Very likely by choosing $u$ with other forms, sequences with larger linear span can be found.
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