A GENERAL NETWORK ARCHITECTURE FOR SOUND EVENT LOCALIZATION AND DETECTION USING TRANSFER LEARNING AND RECURRENT NEURAL NETWORK

Thi Ngoc Tho Nguyen^{*}, *Ngoc Khanh Nguyen*, *Huy Phan*[‡], *Lam Pham* ^{\diamond}, *Kenneth Ooi*^{*}, *Douglas L. Jones*[†], *Woon-Seng Gan*^{* *}

* School of EEE, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore
[‡] School of EECS, Queen Mary University of London, UK
[◊] School of Computing, University of Kent, UK
[†] Department of ECE, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, USA

ABSTRACT

Polyphonic sound event detection and localization (SELD) task is challenging because it is difficult to jointly optimize sound event detection (SED) and direction-of-arrival (DOA) estimation in the same network. We propose a general network architecture for SELD in which the SELD network comprises sub-networks that are pretrained to solve SED and DOA estimation independently, and a recurrent layer that combines the SED and DOA estimation outputs into SELD outputs. The recurrent layer does the alignment between the sound classes and DOAs of sound events while being unaware of how these outputs are produced by the upstream SED and DOA estimation algorithms. This simple network architecture is compatible with different existing SED and DOA estimation algorithms. It is highly practical since the sub-networks can be improved independently. The experimental results using the DCASE 2020 SELD dataset show that the performances of our proposed network architecture using different SED and DOA estimation algorithms and different audio formats are competitive with other state-of-the-art SELD algorithms. The source code for the proposed SELD network architecture is available at Github¹.

Index Terms— direction-of-arrival estimation, network architecture, sound event detection, recurrent neural network.

1. INTRODUCTION

Polyphonic sound event localization and detection (SELD) find a wide range of applications in urban sound sensing [1], wild life monitoring [2], surveillance [3], autonomous driving [4], and robotics [5]. The SELD task [6] recognizes the sound class, and estimates the direction-of-arrival (DOA), the onset, and offset of a detected sound event. Polyphonic SELD refers to cases where multiple sound events overlap in time.

SELD is an emerging topic in audio processing. It consists of two subtasks, which are sound event detection (SED) and DOA estimation (DOAE). These two subtasks are mature research topics, and there exists a large body of effective algorithms for SED and DOAE [7, 8]. Over the past few years, majority of the methods proposed for SELD have focused on jointly optimizing SED and DOAE in the same network. Hirvonen formulated the SELD task as multiclass classification where the number of output classes is equal to the number of DOAs times the number of sound classes [9]. Adavanne et al. proposed a single-input multiple-output CRNN model called SELDnet that jointly detects sound events and estimates their DOAs [6]. It has been shown that the joint optimization indeed affects the performance of both the SED and DOAE subtasks. Alternatively, Cao et al. proposed a two-stage strategy to train two separate SED and DOA models [10] and used SED outputs as masks to select DOA outputs. This training scheme significantly improves the SELD performance over the jointly-trained SELDnet. Cao et al. later proposed a jointly-trained SELD network [11] that takes raw audio waveform as input and segregates the SELD output into event-independent tracks of events, which was first proposed in [12]. Huy et al. improved jointly-trained SELD models by adding an attention layer and using mean-square-error loss for SED instead of cross-entropy loss [13]. The top-ranked solution for DCASE 2020 SELD challenge improved the jointly-trained models by synthesizing a larger dataset from the provided data and exploiting a large ensemble of complex networks [14].

The advantage of a jointly-trained network for SELD is clear since it needs only one joint network and requires a single forwardpass on the audio signal to produce the final predictions. However, it is challenging to jointly train multi-task networks as large models are prone to over-fitting and the subtasks' convergence may be outof-sync [15]. In the context of SELD, when the SED and DOAE subtasks share a sub-network, this sub-network is potentially pulled in different directions during the joint optimization because the former relies on spectro-temporal patterns of the audio signals while the latter relies on the phase or magnitude differences between the input channels. In addition, joint training requires datasets with joint annotations. However, such datasets are difficult to collect and annotate accurately. The current most popular SELD dataset was simulated and limited to 10-hour long [16].

Our previously proposed sequence matching network (SMN) shows that it might be more beneficial to train SED and DOAE separately than jointly [12, 17]. However, these SMNs are tied to a signal processing-based method for DOAE and it is not straightforward to accommodate other DOAE algorithms. In this paper, we propose a novel network architecture for SELD as shown in Fig. 1. In this architecture, the networks for SED and DOAE are pre-trained independently. An alignment network based on recurrent neural network

^{*}This research was conducted at Singtel Cognitive and Artificial Intelligence Lab for Enterprises (SCALE@NTU), which is a collaboration between Singapore Telecommunications Limited (Singtel) and Nanyang Technological University (NTU) that is funded by the Singapore Government through the Industry Alignment Fund - Industry Collaboration Projects Grant.

¹https://github.com/thomeou/General-network-architecture-for-soundevent-localization-and-detection



Fig. 1: A general SELD network architecture.

(RNN) is then trained to align the SED and DOA output sequences on the basis that overlapping sounds often have different onsets and offsets. By matching the onsets, the offsets, and the active segments in the output sequences of the sound event detector and the DOA estimator, we can associate the estimated DOAs with the corresponding sound classes. For 2D SELD, the DOAE module only needs to estimate azimuth. When 3D SELD is required, both azimuth and elevation will be separately estimated by the DOAE module. The azimuth and elevation decoupling significantly reduces the dimension of the DOA outputs. We choose classification format for both SED and DOAE subtasks since it is generally easier to optimize a classification model than a regression model. In addition, the classification is necessarily multi-label to tackle the polyphonic events. The SELD output can be in class-wise format [6, 10] or track-wise format [12, 11]. The proposed SELD network architecture offers several advantages. First, it is easier to optimize as the SED and DOAE modules are trained separately. Second, as a generic framework, it can accommodate various SED and DOAE algorithms which may be constrained to a specific application. Third, the network architecture offers a robust SELD system without unwanted association between sound classes and DOAs since the SED and DOAE modules are pre-trained independently. Fourth and most importantly, the proposed network architecture is highly practical. Each module can be improved independently and existing task-specific SED or DOAE datasets (rather than joint annotation) can be utilized for fine-tuning. In addition, we argue that the alignment network requires smaller joint SELD datasets to train compared to a joint SELD model trained from scratch since the alignment network is light-weight, uses highlevel inputs, and does not need to know how SED and DOAE outputs are produced by the upstream modules.

In this paper, we demonstrate the practicality and efficacy of the proposed architecture by incorporating different SED and DOAE algorithms for both first-order ambisonic (FOA) and mic-array format. Specially, for each input format, we pre-train two different SED models and two different DOA models. Transfer learning is used for one SED model to demonstrate how available datasets can be utilized for SED. One of the DOA model is based on signal-processing algorithm while the other purely relies on deep learning. A bidirectional GRU is used to realize the RNN in the alignment network. Experimental results using the DCASE 2020 SELD dataset show that our proposed framework obtains competitive performances compared to other state-of-the-art SELD algorithms. The rest of our paper is organized as follows. Section II describes our proposed SELD framework. Section III presents the experimental results and discussions. Finally, we conclude the paper in Section IV.

2. A GENERAL NETWORK ARCHITECTURE FOR SELD

Fig. 1 shows the block diagram of the proposed SELD framework. Both the SED and DOAE subtasks are formulated as multi-label multi-class classification to tackle the multiple-source problem. Each module takes in its respective input features and produces classification outputs for each frame. Particularly. the DOAE module has two output branches for azimuth and elevation. In the case of 2D SELD, the elevation branch can be removed. The SED and DOAE outputs are then concatenated along the classification-output dimension and presented to the alignment network whose task is to associate the sound classes and the DOAs. The alignment network, which is implemented by an RNN, is learned to produce SELD predictions either in class-wise or track-wise format. We use class-wise format here for simplicity purpose. The whole SELD system is trained in two stages. First, the SED and DOAE modules are pretrained independently. After that, the alignment network is trained by treating the SED and DOAE modules as feature extractors. The weights of SED and DOAE modules in the second training stage can either be fixed or fine-tuned. In this work, we fix the weights of the pre-trained SED and DOAE models.

2.1. Sound event detection

Both SED and DOAE modules are built using a convolutional recurrent neural network (CRNN) as illustrated in Fig. 2. The CRNN architecture consists of 4 Conv blocks, followed by bidirectional gated recurrent units (GRUs) of size 128, and a fully connected (FC) layer. The SED network has 1 layer of GRU with hidden size of 128. The numbers of filters of the 4 Conv blocks are shown in Table 1. For each audio format, we train two SED models that use multi-channel and single-channel log-Mel spectrogram with 128 and 64 filters as inputs, respectively. To demonstrate the flexibility of the SELD framework, we train another SED model, SED-T, using transfer learning. The weights of the 4 Conv blocks of the SED-T model is initialized using a pre-trained convolutional neural network (CNN) model named Cnn14_mAP=0.431 [18], which was trained on the Audioset dataset [19]. Mix-up, frequency shift, random-cutout, and specaugment are used for data augmentation [17]. Both of the SED models are trained using binary cross-entropy loss.

2.2. Direction-of-arrival estimation

We train a multi-task multi-label CRNN model that predicts azimuth and elevation separately. The DOAE network has 4 Conv blocks, followed by 2 bidirectional GRUs of size 128 and 2 FC layers. One FC layer outputs azimuth estimation, and the other outputs elevation estimation. Details of the DOAE networks are shown in Table 1. The input features of the DOA-iv and DOA-gcc models for FOA and mic-array format are intensity vectors (IV) and generalized crosscorrelation with phase transform (GCC-PHAT), respectively.

To demonstrate that the SELD network architecture can also be used with signal processing-based DOAE methods, we use a singlesource (SS) histogram algorithm that was used in our previous proposed SMN [12, 17]. This algorithm outputs a directional histogram of SS bins for each input frame. More information about this method can be found in [17] and [20]. To convert the histogram into the multi-label multi-class classification format, we marginalize the 2D histograms into two 1D histograms of azimuth and elevation for each frame. Then, we stack the 1D azimuth histograms of consecutive frames together and use these 2D pseudo images as input features for a CRNN model (AZI-hist) to predict azimuth. Similar procedure is used for elevation model ELE-hist. The details of the AZI-hist and ELE-hist networks are shown in Table 1. Note that we only use the FOA format to train the AZI-hist and ELE-hist since the provided steering vector of the mic-array format is convoluted and not directly applicable for the SS histogram method.



Fig. 2: Left: Block diagram of the SED and DOAE networks. n_{frames} is the number of input frames. $n_{channels}$ and $n_{features}$ depend on types of input features. Both SED and DOAE are formulated as multi-label multi-class classification. Right: Block diagram of the alignment network. During inference, classes with prediction probabilities above a SED threshold are selected as active classes. DOAs of the events associated with these classes are selected correspondingly. n_{frames} in the alignment network is different from the n_{frames} in the SED/DOAE network due to difference in input feature frame rate and label frame rate in the DCASE 2020 dataset.

Table 1: Hyper-parameters for SED and DOAE networks

Model	Audio format	Output	Input feature	# of input channel	# of input features	# of Conv2d filters	# of GRU layer	GRU hidden size
SED-M	FOA, mic-array	n_sound_classes=14	mutil-channel log-mel	4	128 (Mel filters)	64-128-256-512	1	128
SED-T	FOA, mic-array	n_sound_classes=14	single-channel log-mel	1	64 (Mel filters)	64-128-256-512	1	128
DOA-iv	FOA	n_azis=72, n_eles=19	intensity vector	3	128 (Mel filters)	32-64-128-256	2	128
DOA-gcc	mic-array	n_azis=72, n_eles=19	GCC-PHAT	6	128 (time lags)	32-64-128-256	2	128
AZI-hist	FOA	n_azis=72	azimuth histogram	1	72 (n_azis)	32-64-128-256	2	128
ELE-hist	FOA	n_eles=19	elevation histogram	1	19 (n_eles)	16-32-64-128	2	64

2.3. Alignment network using RNN

The core component of the alignment network is an RNN as shown in Fig. 2. As previously mentioned, we realize the RNN using two bidirectional GRU layers. The hidden size of the GRU is 128. In this paper, we use the class-wise output format for SELD to simplify the optimization process. This proposed alignment network can be easily modified to suit different SELD output formats by changing the FC layers and their corresponding activation layers [12]. SED is formulated as multi-label multi-class classification while DOAE is formulated as regression. The total loss of the alignment network is a weighted sum of SED binary cross-entropy loss and DOA regression's mean-squared error loss. We only computed DOA meansquared error loss for frames with labelled active classes. For each frame, the alignment network outputs the probabilities of all sound classes, and their DOAs. The DOA output format is the (x, y, z) coordinate on the unit sphere. During inference, we first select active classes whose classification probabilities are above a SED threshold. After that, the the DOA values corresponding to these active events are selected.

3. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

We used the DCASE 2020 SELD dataset [16] for our experiments. This dataset provides both FOA and mic-array format with 4 microphones. The dataset consists of 400, 100, and 100 one-minute audio clips for training, validation, and testing, respectively. There are 14 sound classes. The azimuth and elevation ranges are $[-180^\circ, 180^\circ)$

and $[-45^{\circ}, 45^{\circ}]$, respectively. We used an angular resolution of 5° . As a result, the number of discrete azimuths and elevations was $n_{azimuths} = 72$ and $n_{elevations} = 19$ respectively. Validation set was used for model selection while test set was used for evaluation.

3.1. Evaluation metrics

The 2020 SELD evaluation metrics [21], which are the official metrics of the DCASE 2020 SELD challenge, were used to evaluate the SELD performance. A sound event was considered a correct detection if it has correct class prediction and its estimated DOA is less than 20° from the DOA ground truth. The DOA metrics were computed for each class before averaging across all classes. The DCASE 2020 SELD task adopted four evaluation metrics: DOAdependent error rate (ER), F1-score for SED; and SED-dependent DOA error (DE), frame recall (FR) for DOA. A good SELD system should have lower ER, high F1, low DE, and high FR. We also reported SELD error which was computed as SELD = (ER + (1 - CR))F1) + DE/180 + (1 - FR))/4 to aggregate all four metrics. In addition, we used segment-based ER and F1 to evaluate the SED networks with segment length of 1 second. We used mean average precision to evaluate azimuth and elevation classification to avoid the usage of a threshold.

3.2. Hyper-parameters and training procedure

Hyper-parameters for audio processing are sampling rate of 24 kHz, window length of 1024 samples, hop length of 300 samples (12.5

				FOA			Mic-array						
Group	SELD model	SED model	DOA model	ER↓	F1(%)↑	DE↓	FR(%)↑	SELD↓	ER↓	F1(%)↑	DE↓	FR(%)↑	SELD↓
	SELDnet [16]	-	-	0.720	37.4	22.8°	60.7	0466	0.780	31.4	27.3°	59.0	0.506
Pasalina	SELD-Huy [13]	-	-	0.600	49.2	19.0°	65.6	0.390	0.590	50.8	18.2°	64.1	0.380
Dasenne	SELD-Cao [11]	-	-	0.470	61.5	16.7	75.4	0.298	-	-	-	-	-
	SMN [17]	-	-	0.401	66.6	15.0°	81.0	0.252	-	-	-	-	-
Proposed	SELD-M	SED-M	DOA-iv or DOA-gcc	0.445	62.5	19.7°	80.5	0.281	0.478	59.2	23.6°	78.8	0.307
SELD	SELD-T	SED-T	DOA-iv or DOA-gcc	0.424	64.1	18.2°	80.1	0.267	0.455	61.0	23.8°	81.7	0.290
frame-	SELD-M-hist	SED-M	AZI-hist + ELE-hist	0.443	62.8	18.0°	80.3	0.279	-	-	-	-	-
work	SELD-T-hist	SED-T	AZI-hist + ELE-hist	0.437	63.0	19.6°	82.2	0.276	-	-	-	-	-

Table 2: Experimental results for SELD. \downarrow indicates the lower the better. \uparrow indicates the higher the better.

Table 3: Experimental results for SED

	FO FO	A	Mic-array			
Model	ER	F1	ER	F1		
SED-M	0.302	80.0	0.302	79.2		
SED-T	0.263	82.1	0.266	82.1		

ms), Hann window, and 1024 FFT points. As a result, the input frame rate for SED and DOAE networks was 80 frames per second. The input and output frame rate of the alignment network was the same as the label frame rate, which was 10 frames per second. Because the SED and DOAE networks made use of pooling four times with a kernel size of (2, 2), we temporally up-sampled the outputs of these networks by a factor of 2 to match the label frame rate. We used inputs of length 4 seconds to train SED and DOAE models, and input lengths of 6 seconds to train the alignment networks. The loss weights for SED and DOAE in the alignment network were set to (0.7, 0.3). Adam optimizer was used to train all the models. Learning rate was set to 0.001 and gradually decreased to 0.0001. The SED-T models with transferred weights were fine-tuned for 20 epochs. The number of training epochs for the SED/DOAE and the alignment network were 60 and 100, respectively. A threshold of 0.3 was used to decide active classes in the SED outputs.

3.3. Baselines and the proposed SELD models

We mixed and matched different pre-trained SED and DOA models with the alignment models to form different SELD models as shown in Table 2. We compared these SELD models with top-ranked SELD systems in the DCASE 2020 SELD challenge. We selected baselines that used only one audio format and did not use ensemble for a fair comparison. The following four baselines were considered:

- **SELDnet**: jointly-trained SELD model [16], baseline of DCASE 2020 SELD challenge,
- SELD-Huy: jointly-trained SELD model with attention and MSE loss for both SED and DOAE [13], ranked 6th,
- **SELD-Cao**: jointly-trained SELD model with track-wise output format [11], ranked 4th,
- **SMN**: our previously-proposed SMN for SELD [17], whose an ensemble ranked 2^{nd} .

3.4. SELD experimental results

Table 3 shows the experimental results for SED on the test set. For both FOA and mic-array audio formats, the model SED-T with transferred weights outperforms the model SED-M which was trained from scratch. This confirms the benefit of transfer learning in improving the performance of the SED models. Tabel 4 shows the experimental results for DOAE. The AZI-hist model obtained the best

Table 4: Experimental results for DOAE

Model	DOA-iv	DOA-gcc	AZI-hist	ELE-hist
Azimuth mAP	0.339	0.426	0.509	-
Elevation mAP	0.391	0.406	-	0.400

mAP score for azimuth. The SS histogram method was developed to tackle multi-source cases in reverberant and noisy environments by using only SS time-frequency bins to estimate DOA. As expected, it performs better than DOA-gcc and DOA-iv which were trained from GCC-PHAT and IV features without any treatment to deal with multi-source, reverberation and noise. Elevation is more difficult to estimate than azimuth. All three models have similar mAP scores for elevation estimation.

Table 2 shows the experimental results for the joint task SELD. All the proposed SELD models result in very competitive performance compared to the baseline models. The SELD models for mic-array format have lower performance than the SELD models for FOA format. For FOA format, the model SED-T is ranked second just after the SMN. For mic-array format, the model SED-T is ranked first thanks to the absence of baseline models in mic-array format. These results show that our proposed network architecture work well with different audio formats and different sub-networks for both SED and DOAE. Even though the DOA-iv and DOA-gcc models result in lower standalone performance than the AZI-hist and ELE-hist, the joint SELD models formed by the DOA-iv and DOAgcc models achieve similar performance as the joint SELD model formed by AZI-hist and ELE-hist. The SELD models that use the SED-T model with transfer learning from the Audioset dataset performs slightly better than the SELD models that use the SED-M. These performance gains could not be obtained if multi-channel input features were used to train the SED models because we do not have any large-scale multi-channel dataset available at the moment. The performance of our proposed SELD models is lower than those of the SMN model for FOA format most likely because the SMN combines part of the DOAE network and the alignment network. This results suggest that we should fine-tune the whole SELD models after pre-training the sub-networks for SED and DOAE.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we have proposed a simple yet effective network architecture for SELD with pre-trained sub-networks for SED and DOAE, and an RNN-based alignment network that matches and fuses the SED and DOAE outputs. For future work, we would like to explore if fine-tuning the pre-trained SED and DOAE components could further improve the SELD performance. There is also room for improvement in terms of architectures for the alignment network.

5. REFERENCES

- J. Salamon and J. P. Bello, "Deep convolutional neural networks and data augmentation for environmental sound classification," *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 279–283, March 2017.
- [2] D. Stowell, M. Wood, Y. Stylianou, and H. Glotin, "Bird detection in audio: A survey and a challenge," in 2016 IEEE 26th International Workshop on Machine Learning for Signal Processing (MLSP), Sep. 2016, pp. 1–6.
- [3] P. Foggia, N. Petkov, A. Saggese, N. Strisciuglio, and M. Vento, "Audio surveillance of roads: A system for detecting anomalous sounds," *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 279–288, Jan 2016.
- [4] M. K. Nandwana and T. Hasan, "Towards smart-cars that can listen: Abnormal acoustic event detection on the road.," in *INTERSPEECH*, 2016, pp. 2968–2971.
- [5] J. M. Valin, F. Michaud, B. Hadjou, and J. Rouat, "Localization of simultaneous moving sound sources for mobile robot using a frequency-domain steered beamformer approach," in *IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, *ICRA'04*. IEEE, 2004, vol. 1, pp. 1033–1038.
- [6] S. Adavanne, A. Politis, J. Nikunen, and T. Virtanen, "Sound event localization and detection of overlapping sources using convolutional recurrent neural networks," *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Signal Processing*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 34–48, March 2019.
- [7] E. Cakır, G. Parascandolo, T. Heittola, H. Huttunen, and T. Virtanen, "Convolutional recurrent neural networks for polyphonic sound event detection," *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, vol. 25, no. 6, pp. 1291–1303, 2017.
- [8] S. Mohan, M. E. Lockwood, M. L. Kramer, and D. L. Jones, "Localization of multiple acoustic sources with small arrays using a coherence test," *The Journal of the Acoustical Society* of America, vol. 123, no. 4, pp. 2136–2147, 2008.
- [9] T. Hirvonen, "Classification of spatial audio location and content using convolutional neural networks," in *Audio Engineer*ing Society Convention 138. Audio Engineering Society, 2015.
- [10] Y. Cao, Q. Q. Kong, T. Iqbal, F. An, W. Wang, and M. D. Plumbley, "Polyphonic sound event detection and localization using a two-stage strategy," in *Detection and Classification* of Acoustic Scenes and Events 2019 Workshop (DCASE2019), 2019.
- [11] Y. Cao, T. Iqbal, Q. Q. Kong, Y. Zhong, W. Wang, and M. D. Plumbley, "Event-independent network for polyphonic sound event localization and detection," in *Detection and Classification of Acoustic Scenes and Events 2020 Workshop* (DCASE2020), 2020.
- [12] T. N. T. Nguyen, D. L. Jones, and W. Gan, "A sequence matching network for polyphonic sound event localization and detection," in *ICASSP 2020 - 2020 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, 2020, pp. 71–75.
- [13] H. Phan, L. Pham, P. Koch, N. Q. K. Duong, I. McLoughlin, and A. Mertins, "On multitask loss function for audio event detection and localization," in *Detection and Classification* of Acoustic Scenes and Events 2020 Workshop (DCASE2020), 2020.

- [14] Q. Wang, H. Wu, Z. Jing, F. Ma, Y. Fang, Y. Wang, T. Chen, J. Pan, J. Du, and C. H. Lee, "The ustc-iflytek system for sound event localization and detection of dcase2020 challenge," Tech. Rep., DCASE2020 Challenge, July 2020.
- [15] W. Wang, D. Tran, and M. Feiszli, "What makes training multimodal classification networks hard?," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, June 2020.
- [16] A. Politis, S. Adavanne, and T. Virtanen, "A dataset of reverberant spatial sound scenes with moving sources for sound event localization and detection," *arXiv e-prints: 2006.01919*, 2020.
- [17] T. N. T. Nguyen, D. L. Jones, and W. Gan, "Ensemble of sequence matching networks for dynamic sound event localization, detection, and tracking," in *Detection and Classification* of Acoustic Scenes and Events 2020 Workshop (DCASE2020), 2020.
- [18] Q. Kong Y., Cao, T. Iqbal, Y. Wang, W. Wang, and M. D. Plumbley, "Panns: Large-scale pretrained audio neural networks for audio pattern recognition," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1912.10211*, 2019.
- [19] J. F. Gemmeke, D. P. W. Ellis, D. Freedman, A. Jansen, W. Lawrence, R. C. Moore, M. Plakal, and M. Ritter, "Audio set: An ontology and human-labeled dataset for audio events," in 2017 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), 2017, pp. 776–780.
- [20] T. N. T. Nguyen, W. S. Gan, R. Ranjan, and D. L. Jones, "Robust source counting and doa estimation using spatial pseudospectrum and convolutional neural network," *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, vol. 28, pp. 2626–2637, 2020.
- [21] A. Mesaros, S. Adavanne, A. Politis, T. Heittola, and T. Virtanen, "Joint measurement of localization and detection of sound events," in *IEEE Workshop on Applications of Signal Processing to Audio and Acoustics (WASPAA)*, New Paltz, NY, Oct 2019.