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#### Abstract

In this paper, we construct asymmetric quantum error-correcting codes(AQCs) based on subclasses of Alternant codes. Firstly, We propose a new subclass of Alternant codes which can attain the classical Gilbert-Varshamov bound to construct AQCs. It is shown that when $d_{x}=2, Z$-parts of the AQCs can attain the classical Gilbert-Varshamov bound. Then we construct AQCs based on a famous subclass of Alternant codes called Goppa codes. As an illustrative example, we get three [[55, 6, 19/4]], [[55, 10, 19/3]], [[55, 15, 19/2]] AQCs from the well known $[55,16,19]$ binary Goppa code. At last, we get asymptotically good binary expansions of asymmetric quantum GRS codes, which are quantum generalizations of Retter's classical results. All the AQCs constructed in this paper are pure.


## I. Introduction

In many quantum mechanical systems the mechanisms for the occurrence of bit flip and phase flip errors are quite different. Recently, several papers argue that in most of the known quantum computing models, the phase-flip errors ( $Z$ type errors) happen more frequently than the bit-flip errors ( $X$ type errors) and other types of errors. And the asymmetry is large in general [7]. Motivated by this phenomena, asymmetric quantum error-correcting codes (AQCs) are designed to adjust this asymmetry, which may have more flexbility than general quantum error-correcting codes (QECs).

Steane first stated the importance of AQCs in [20]. Some recent progress is given in [1], [5], [7]. Sarvepalli et al. constructed AQCs using a combination of BCH and finite geometry LDPC codes in [18]. A more comprehensive characterization of AQCs was given by Wang et al. which unified the nonadditive AQCs as well [24]. Ezerman et al. [8] proposed so-called CSS-like constructions based on pairs of nested subfield linear codes. They also used nested codes (such as BCH codes, circulant codes, etc.) over $\mathbb{F}_{4}$ to construct AQCs in their earlier work [9]. The asymmetry was introduced into topological quantum codes in [10].

Alternant codes are a very large family of linear errorcorrecting codes. Many interesting and famous subclasses of Alternant codes have been obtained, for instance, BCH codes, Goppa codes, etc. There exist long Alternant codes meeting the Gilbert-Varshamov bound. BCH codes and GRS codes
have been widely used to construct QECs [12] and AQCs [16], [18]. However, other subclasses of Alternant codes have received less attention. And there is an important problem that whether existing asymptotically good quantum Alternant codes could attain the quantum Gilbert-Varshamov bound. Inspired by these, we carry out the construction of asymmetric quantum Alternant codes.

## II. Preliminaries

Let $p$ be a prime number and $q$ a power of $p$, i.e., $q=p^{r}$ for some $r>0$. Let $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ denote the finite field with $q$ elements. The finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}$ is a field extension of degree $m$ of the field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. The trace mapping $\operatorname{Tr}: \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q}$ is given by $\operatorname{Tr}(a)=a+a^{q}+\ldots+a^{q^{m-1}}$, for $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}$.

## A. Classical Codes

We review some basic results of GRS codes and Alternant codes firstly.

The Reed-Solomon code of length $n=q^{m}-1$ (denoted by $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{S}(n, \delta)$ ) is a cyclic code over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}$ with roots $1, \alpha, \ldots, \alpha^{\delta-2}$, where $\delta$ is an integer, $2 \leq \delta \leq n-1, \alpha$ is a primitive element of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}$. The parameters of $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{S}(n, \delta)$ are $[n, k, d]_{q^{m}}$, where $k=n-\delta+1, d=\delta$. The parity check matrix of $\mathcal{R S}(n, \delta)$ is given by

$$
H_{\mathcal{R S}(n, \delta)}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 1 & \cdots & 1  \tag{1}\\
1 & \alpha & \cdots & \alpha^{n-1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
1 & \alpha^{\delta-2} & \cdots & \alpha^{(n-1)(\delta-2)}
\end{array}\right)
$$

GRS codes are obtained by a further generalization of RS codes. Let $\mathbf{a}=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$ where the $\alpha_{i}$ are distinct elements of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}$, and let $\mathbf{v}=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)$ where the $v_{i}$ are nonzero elements of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}$. For any $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, the GRS code $\mathcal{G} \mathcal{R} \mathcal{S}_{k}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v})$ is defined by

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathcal{G} \mathcal{R} \mathcal{S}_{k}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v})=\left\{\left(v_{1} F\left(\alpha_{1}\right), v_{2} F\left(\alpha_{2}\right), \ldots, v_{n} F\left(\alpha_{n}\right)\right) \mid\right. \\
\left.F(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}[x], \operatorname{deg} F(x)<k\right\} . \tag{2}
\end{array}
$$

The parameters of $\mathcal{G} \mathcal{R} \mathcal{S}_{k}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v})$ are $[n, k, n-k+1]_{q^{m}}$. The dual of a GRS code is also a GRS code, i.e., $\mathcal{G} \mathcal{R} \mathcal{S}_{k}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v})^{\perp}=$
$\mathcal{G} \mathcal{R} \mathcal{S}_{n-k}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{y})$, where $\mathbf{y}=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$ and $y_{i} \cdot v_{i}=$ $1 / \prod_{j \neq i}\left(\alpha_{i}-\alpha_{j}\right)$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$. The parity check matrix of $\mathcal{G} \mathcal{R} \mathcal{S}_{k}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v})$ is given by

$$
H_{\mathcal{G R S}_{k}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v})}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
y_{1} & y_{2} & \cdots & y_{n}  \tag{3}\\
\alpha_{1} y_{1} & \alpha_{2} y_{2} & \cdots & \alpha_{n} y_{n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\alpha_{1}^{r-1} y_{1} & \alpha_{2}^{r-1} y_{2} & \cdots & \alpha_{n}^{r-1} y_{n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $r=n-k$.
Both RS codes and GRS codes are MDS codes. The Hamming weight enumerator of any MDS code $[n, k, d]_{q}$ where $d=n-k+1$ is completely determined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{w}=\binom{n}{w}(q-1) \sum_{j=0}^{w-d}(-1)^{j}\binom{w-1}{j} q^{w-d-j} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

from [15].
Alternant codes are obtained as subfield subcodes of GRS codes. For the notation given above, Alternant code $\mathcal{A}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{y})$ is defined as $\mathcal{A}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{y})=\mathcal{G} \mathcal{R} \mathcal{S}_{k}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}) \mid \mathbb{F}_{q}$. Therefore $\mathcal{A}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{y})$ has the same parity check matrix as $\mathcal{G} \mathcal{R} \mathcal{S}_{k}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v})$.

## B. Quantum Error-Correcting codes

Let $\mathbb{C}$ be the complex number field. For a positive integer $n$, let $V_{n}=\left(\mathbb{C}^{q}\right)^{\otimes n}=\mathbb{C}^{q^{n}}$ be the $n$th tensor product of $\mathbb{C}^{q}$.

Definition 2.1: A $q$-ary asymmetric quantum code of length $n$, denoted by $\left[\left[n, k, d_{z} / d_{x}\right]\right]_{q}$ is a subspace $Q$ of $V_{n}$ over finite field $F_{q}$ with dimension $q^{k}$, which can detect $d_{x}-1$ qubits of $X$-errors and, at the same time, $d_{z}-1$ qubits of $Z$ errors.

Lemma 2.2 (AQCs Constructions[18], [24]): Let $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ denote two classical linear codes with parameters [ $\left.n, k_{1}, d_{1}\right]_{q}$ and $\left[n, k_{2}, d_{2}\right]_{q}$ such that $C_{2}^{\perp} \subseteq C_{1}$. Then there exists an $\left[\left[n, k_{1}+k_{2}-n, d_{z} / d_{x}\right]\right]_{q}$ AQC, where $d_{z}=\mathrm{wt}\left(C_{1} \backslash C_{2}^{\perp}\right)$ and $d_{x}=\mathrm{wt}\left(C_{2} \backslash C_{1}^{\perp}\right)$. If $d_{z}=d_{1}$ and $d_{x}=d_{2}$, then the code is pure.

For a given pair $\left(\delta_{x}, \delta_{z}\right)$ of real numbers and a family $\mathcal{Q}=$ $\left\{\left[\left[n^{(i)}, k^{(i)}, d_{z}^{(i)} / d_{x}^{(i)}\right]\right]\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of asymptotic quantum codes with

$$
\liminf _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d_{x}^{(i)}}{n^{(i)}} \geq \delta_{x}, \quad \liminf _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d_{z}^{(i)}}{n^{(i)}} \geq \delta_{z}
$$

denote the asymptotic quantity as

$$
R_{\mathcal{Q}}\left(\delta_{x}, \delta_{z}\right)=\limsup _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k^{(i)}}{n^{(i)}}
$$

One of the central asymptotic problems for quantum codes is to find families $\mathcal{Q}$ of asymptotic quantum codes such that for a fixed pair $\left(\delta_{x}, \delta_{z}\right)$, the value $R_{\mathcal{Q}}\left(\delta_{x}, \delta_{z}\right)$ is as large as possible. The best known nonconstructive lower bound on $R_{\mathcal{Q}}\left(\delta_{x}, \delta_{z}\right)$ can be obtained from [6]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\mathcal{Q}}\left(\delta_{x}, \delta_{z}\right) \geq 1-H\left(\delta_{x}\right)-H\left(\delta_{z}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H(x)=-x \log _{2} x-(1-x) \log _{2}(1-x)$ is the binary entropy function. It is the quantum Gilbert-Varshamov bound for AQCs.

## III. Asymptotically $Z$-parts Good Asymmetric Quantum Alternant Codes

We take $\mathbf{y}=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$ as the encoded codeword of the RS code with parity check matrix $H_{\mathcal{R S}(n, \delta)}$. The elements in the codeword must be all nonzero. Then all such codes consist a subclass of Alternant codes, which we call SubAlternant codes. The code in the subclass is denoted by $\mathcal{S}-\mathcal{A}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{y})$.

In this section, we only consider the binary primitive Alternant codes, i.e., we take $q=2, n=2^{m}-1, \alpha_{i}=$ $\alpha^{i}, 0 \leq i \leq n-1, r=n-k$. Then the parity check matrix of the binary primitive Alternant code $\mathcal{A}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{y})$ is given by

$$
H_{\mathcal{A}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{y})}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
y_{1} & y_{2} & \cdots & y_{n}  \tag{6}\\
y_{1} & y_{2} \alpha & \cdots & y_{n} \alpha^{(n-1)} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
y_{1} & y_{2} \alpha^{r-1} & \cdots & y_{n} \alpha^{(n-1)(r-1)}
\end{array}\right)
$$

It is easy to see that $H_{\mathcal{A}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{y})}=H_{\mathcal{R S}(n, r+1)} \cdot \operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{y})$ where $\operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{y})$ is a diagonal matrix with $\mathbf{y}$ as the diagonal elements.

Definition 3.1: For any $\mathbf{y}=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{R} \mathcal{S}(n, \delta)$ whose every position is nonzero element, i.e., $H_{\mathcal{R S}(n, \delta)} \mathbf{y}^{T}=$ 0 , and $y_{i} \neq 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then $\mathcal{S}-\mathcal{A}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{y})$ is defined as:

$$
\mathcal{S}-\mathcal{A}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{y})=\left\{c \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n} \mid H_{\mathcal{A}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{y})} c^{T}=0\right\}
$$

where $H_{\mathcal{R S}(n, \delta)}$ is the parity check matrix in (1) and $H_{\mathcal{A}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{y})}$ is the one in (6).

We have the following asymptotic behavior of these SubAlternant codes.

Lemma 3.2: Let $\delta / 2<r<\min \{\delta, n / 2\}$, there exist long codes $\mathcal{S}-\mathcal{A}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{y})$ meeting the Gilbert-Varshamov bound.

Proof: Consider any binary word $\mathbf{c}=\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{n}\right)$ of weight $t$. For $\mathbf{c}$ to be a codeword of $\mathcal{S}-\mathcal{A}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{y})$, it must satisfy $H_{\mathcal{A}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{y})} \mathbf{c}^{T}=0$. Then

$$
H_{\mathcal{R S}(n, r+1)}\left(y_{1} c_{1}, y_{2} c_{2}, \ldots, y_{n} c_{n}\right)^{T}=0
$$

Let the nonzero elements in $\mathbf{c}$ be $\left\{c_{i_{1}}, c_{i_{2}}, \ldots, c_{i_{t}}\right\}$ where $1 \leq$ $i_{1}<i_{2}<\cdots<i_{t} \leq n$. Then we have

$$
H_{\mathcal{R S}(n, r+1)}\left(\ldots, y_{i_{1}} c_{i_{1}}, \ldots, y_{i_{t}} c_{i_{t}}, \ldots\right)^{T}=0
$$

where ". . ." denote the zero elements if necessary. This implies that $H_{\mathcal{R S}(n, r+1)}\left(\ldots, y_{i_{1}}, \ldots, y_{i_{t}}, \ldots\right)^{T}=0$ because $\mathbf{c}$ is binary. If we let

$$
B_{w}^{\prime}=\left(2^{m}-1\right) \sum_{j=0}^{w-(r+1)}(-1)^{j}\binom{w-1}{j} 2^{m(w-(r+1)-j)}
$$

then the Hamming weight enumerator of the RS code with parity check matrix $H_{\mathcal{R S}(n, r+1)}$ is $B_{w}=\binom{n}{w} B_{w}^{\prime}$. Then the number of $\left(\ldots, y_{i_{1}}, \ldots, y_{i_{t}}, \ldots\right)$ is at most $B_{t}^{\prime}$.

According to Definition 3.1 and $r<\delta$, we have $H_{\mathcal{R S}(n, r+1)}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)^{T}=0$. Then

$$
H_{\mathcal{R S}(n, r+1)}\left(\ldots, y_{j_{1}}, \ldots, y_{j_{(n-t)}}, \ldots\right)^{T}=0
$$

where $\left(\ldots, y_{j_{1}}, \ldots, y_{j_{(n-t)}}, \ldots\right)^{T}=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)^{T}-$ $\left(\ldots, y_{i_{1}}, \ldots, y_{i_{t}}, \ldots\right)^{T}, 1 \leq j_{1}<j_{2}<\ldots<y_{j_{(n-t)}} \leq n$, ". .." denote the zero elements if necessary. Then the number of $\left(\ldots, y_{j_{1}}, \ldots, y_{j_{(n-t)}}, \ldots\right)$ is at most $B_{n-t}^{\prime}$. Therefore the number of $\mathbf{y}=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$ is at most $B_{t}^{\prime} B_{n-t}^{\prime}$. Notice that

$$
B_{w}^{\prime} \leq\left(2^{m}-1\right)^{w-r}
$$

then

$$
B_{t}^{\prime} B_{n-t}^{\prime} \leq\left(2^{m}-1\right)^{n-2 r}
$$

Therefore for all codewords of weight $t<\omega$, the number of vectors $\mathbf{y}$ that include such codewords in the corresponding Alternant code $\mathcal{S}-\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{y})$ is at most

$$
\sum_{t=r+1}^{\omega-1} B_{t}^{\prime} B_{n-t}^{\prime}\binom{n}{t} \leq\left(2^{m}-1\right)^{n-2 r} \sum_{t=r+1}^{\omega-1}\binom{n}{t}
$$

On the other hand, the total number of such Alternant codes equal to the number of choices for $\mathbf{y}$, which is

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{n} & =\left(2^{m}-1\right) \sum_{j=0}^{n-\delta}(-1)^{j}\binom{n-1}{j} 2^{m(n-\delta-j)} \\
& \geq\left(2^{m}-1\right) 2^{m(n-\delta)}\left(1-\frac{n-1}{2^{m}}\right) \\
& >\left(2^{m}-1\right)^{n-\delta}
\end{aligned}
$$

So if

$$
\left(2^{m}-1\right)^{n-2 r} \sum_{t=r+1}^{\omega-1}\binom{n}{t}<\left(2^{m}-1\right)^{n-\delta}
$$

which can be simplified

$$
\sum_{t=r+1}^{\omega-1}\binom{n}{t}<\left(2^{m}-1\right)^{2 r-\delta}
$$

there exists a $\left[2^{m}, \geq 2^{m}-m(2 r-\delta), \geq \omega\right]$ code. Using the estimates of binomial coefficients in [15, Ch.10. Corollary 9] and taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we can write this condition as

$$
\begin{equation*}
H\left(\frac{d}{n}\right)+o(1)<\frac{m(2 r-\delta)}{n}+o(1) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\tau=2 r-\delta, \epsilon=o(1)$ and choose the values of parameters properly, then there exists a Sub-Alternant code with $m \tau / n=H(d / n)+\epsilon$. And by a property of Alternant codes, the rate $R$ of this code satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
R & \geq 1-\frac{m \tau}{n} \\
& >1-H\left(\frac{d}{n}\right)-\epsilon \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence the above Sub-Alternant code is asymptotically close to the Gilbert-Varshamov bound.

From Definition 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, we have the following result directly.

Theorem 3.3: There exists a family of AQCs with parameters

$$
[[n, \geq n-m r-1, \geq r+1 / 2]]
$$

where $3 \leq n \leq 2^{m}+1,1<r<\delta<n$.
As $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\delta / 2<r<\min \{\delta, n / 2\}$, there exist a family $\mathcal{Q}$ of asymptotically $Z$-type good AQCs such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
R_{\mathcal{Q}}=1-H\left(\delta_{z}\right)-\epsilon \\
\delta_{x}=\frac{2}{n} \rightarrow 0 \\
0<\delta_{z}<\frac{1}{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof: Let $I=\underbrace{[11 \cdots 1]}_{n}$ and $C_{1}=[n, n-1,2]$ with $I$ as its parity check matrix. For any $C_{2}=\mathcal{S}-\mathcal{A}_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{y})$ and let $r<\delta$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{y})} \cdot I^{T} & =H_{\mathcal{R S}(n, r+1)} \cdot \operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{y}) \cdot I^{T} \\
& =H_{\mathcal{R S}(n, r+1)} \cdot \mathbf{y}^{T} \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $C_{1}^{\perp} \subseteq C_{2}$. By Lemma 2.2 there exists a family of AQCs with parameters

$$
[[n, \geq n-m r-1, \geq r+1 / 2]]_{q}
$$

where $3 \leq n \leq q^{m}+1,1<r<\delta<n$.
The asymptotic result follows from Lemma 3.2 immediately.
It shows that when $d_{x}=2, Z$-parts of our new AQCs can attain the classical Gilbert-Varshamov bound, not just the quantum version.

## IV. AQCs From Nested Goppa Codes

In 1970s, V. D. Goppa introduced a class of linear codes called Goppa codes or $\Gamma(L, G)$ codes which form an important subclass of Alternant codes and asymptotically meet the Gilbert-Varshamov bound [15].

Definition 4.1: Let $G(z)$ be a monic polynomial with coefficients from $\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}, L=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}[z]$ such that $\forall i, G\left(\alpha_{i}\right) \neq 0$. The Goppa code $\Gamma(L, G)$ of length $n$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, is the set of codewords $c=\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{c_{i}}{z-\alpha_{i}}=0 \bmod G(z) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$G(z)$ is called the Goppa polynomial, $L$ is the location set.
We have the following nested Goppa codes which are similar to nested cyclic codes.

Lemma 4.2: Let $G(z), F(z)$ be Goppa polynomials of $q$-ary Goppa codes $\Gamma(L, G)$ and $\Gamma(L, F)$ respectively. If $F(z) \mid G(z)$, then $\Gamma(L, G) \subseteq \Gamma(L, F)$.

Proof: Let $G(z) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}[z]$ be a monic polynomial of degree $r_{1}$. Then we can decompose the Goppa polynomial $G(z)$ into distinct irreducible polynomials $G_{u}(z)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}$ as: $G(z)=\prod_{s}^{s}\left\{G_{u}(z)\right\}^{d_{u}}$, where $d_{u}$ and s are integers that satisfy $\sum_{u=1}^{s} d_{u}\left(\operatorname{deg} G_{u}(z)\right)=r_{1}, \operatorname{deg} G_{u}(z) \geq 1$. Since the polynomials $G_{u}(z), u=1,2, \ldots, s$ are relatively prime, the defining set (9) for $\Gamma(L, G)$ can be rewritten as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{c_{i}}{z-\alpha_{i}}=0 \bmod \left\{G_{u}(z)\right\}^{d_{u}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

TABLE I
Good Binary AQCs constructed from nested Goppa codes using Magma

| No. | Field | $\Gamma(L, G)$ | $G(z)$ | $\Gamma(L, F)^{\perp}$ | $F(z)$ | $\left[\left[n, k, d_{z} / d_{x}\right]\right]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathbb{F}_{2^{6}}$ | [ $55,16,19]$ (OPC) | $z^{9}+1$ | [55, 49, 3](OPC) | $(z-1)^{6} \cdot G(z)$ | [[55, 10, 19/3]] |
| 2 | $\mathbb{F}_{2^{6}}$ | [56, 16, 20](OPC) | ETC | [56, 50, 3](OPC) | DETC | [[56, 10, 20/3]] |
| 3 | $\mathbb{F}_{2^{6}}$ | [ $54,16,18]$ (OPC) | PTC | [54, 48, 3](OPC) | DPTC | [[54, 10, 18/3]] |
| 4 | $\mathbb{F}_{2^{6}}$ | [ $55,16,19]$ (OPC) | $z^{9}+1$ | [55, 45, 4](BKLC) | $(z-1)^{2} \cdot G(z)$ | [[55, 6, 19/4]] |
| 5 | $\mathbb{F}_{2}{ }^{6}$ | [55, 15, 20](OPC) | EPC | [55, 46, 3(4)] | DEPC | [[55, 6, 20/3]] |
| 6 | $\mathbb{F}_{2^{6}}$ | [56, 16, 20](OPC) | ETC | [56, 46, 4](BKLC) | DETC | [[56, 6, 20/4]] |
| 7 | $\mathbb{F}_{2^{6}}$ | [54, 15, 19](OPC) | STC | [54, 45, 3(4)] | DSTC | [[54, 6, 19/3]] |
| 8 | $\mathbb{F}_{2^{6}}$ | [54, 16, 18](OPC) | PTC | [54, 44, 4](BKLC) | DPTC | [[54, 6, 18/4]] |
| 9 | $\mathbb{F}_{2^{8}}$ | [239, 123, 35](OPC) | $z^{17}+1$ | [239, 229, 4](BKLC) | $(z-1)^{60} \cdot G(z)$ | [[239, 113, 35/4]] |
| 10 | $\mathbb{F}_{2^{8}}$ | [ $239,122,36]$ (OPC) | EPC | [239, 230, 3(4)] | DEPC | [[239, 113, 36/3]] |
| 11 | $\mathbb{F}_{2^{8}}$ | [ $240,123,36]$ (OPC) | ETC | [240, 230, 4](BKLC) | DETC | [[240, 113, 36/4]] |
| 12 | $\mathbb{F}_{2^{8}}$ | [238, 122, 35](OPC) | STC | [238, 229, 3(4)] | DSTC | [[238, 113, 35/3]] |
| 13 | $\mathbb{F}_{2}{ }^{8}$ | [238, 123, 34](OPC) | PTC | [238, 228, 4](BKLC) | DPTC | [[238, 113, 34/4]] |
| 14 | $\mathbb{F}_{2}{ }^{8}$ | [239, 123, 35](OPC) | $z^{17}+1$ | [239, 218, 6](BKLC) | $(G(z))^{5}$ | [[239, 102, 35/6]] |
| 15 | $\mathbb{F}_{2^{8}}$ | [239, 122, 36](OPC) | EPC | [239, 219, 5(6)] | DEPC | [[239, 102, 36/5]] |
| 16 | $\mathbb{F}_{2^{8}}$ | [ $240,123,36]$ (OPC) | ETC | [238, 217, 6](BKLC) | DETC | [[238, 102, 34/6]] |
| 17 | $\mathbb{F}_{2}{ }^{8}$ | [238, 122, 35](OPC) | STC | [240, 219, 6](BKLC) | DSTC | [[240, 102, 36/6]] |
| 18 | $\mathbb{F}_{2}{ }^{8}$ | [ $238,123,34]$ (OPC) | PTC | [238, 218, 5(6)] | DPTC | [[238, 102, 35/5]] |
| 19 | $\mathbb{F}_{2^{8}}$ | [239, 123, 35](OPC) | $z^{17}+1$ | [239, 208, 8](BKLC) | $(z-1)^{30} \cdot G(z)$ | [[239, 92, 35/8]] |
| 20 | $\mathbb{F}_{2^{8}}$ | [239, 122, 36](OPC) | EPC | [239, 209, 7(8)] | DEPC | [[239, 92, 36/7]] |
| 21 | $\mathbb{F}_{28}$ | [240, 123, 36](OPC) | ETC | [240, 209, 8](BKLC) | DETC | [[240, 92, 36/8]] |
| 22 | $\mathbb{F}_{2}{ }^{8}$ | [238, 122, 35](OPC) | STC | [238, 208, 7(8)] | DSTC | [[238, 92, 35/7]] |
| 23 | $\mathbb{F}_{2}{ }^{8}$ | [238, 123, 34](OPC) | PTC | [238, 207, 8](BKLC) | DPTC | [[238, 92, 34/8]] |

for $u=1,2, \ldots, s$. (9) and (10) are equivalent for $\Gamma(L, G)$.
Since $F(z) \mid G(z)$, then:

$$
F(z)=\prod_{v \in\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{t}\right\}}\left\{G_{v}(z)\right\}^{f_{v}}
$$

where $t$ and $f_{v}$ are integers, and $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{t}\right\} \subseteq\{1$, $2, \ldots, s\}, 0 \leq f_{v} \leq d_{v}, v \in\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{t}\right\}$.

It is easy to see that, for every $c=\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{n}\right) \in$ $\Gamma(L, G)$ which satisfies (10) also satisfies

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{c_{i}}{z-\alpha_{i}}=0 \bmod \left\{G_{v}(z)\right\}^{f_{v}}
$$

for $v=u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{t}$.
Then, there is $c=\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{n}\right) \in \Gamma(L, F)$. Therefore $\Gamma(L, G) \subseteq \Gamma(L, F)$

From Lemma 4.2, we know that the nested Goppa codes are widespread. People have found that certain Goppa codes have good properties and some of these codes have the best known minimum distance of any known codes with the same length and rate. It induces us to identify these codes and investigate their nested relationship. And we use Magma to compute the dual distance of nested Goppa codes to some computationally reasonable length. Some good AQCs are given in TABLE [ The shorthands in the tables are explained as follows. If a code is both BKLC and BDLC, or achieves the upper bound, we call it OPC(optimal code). "EPC" stands for expurgated code, "ETC" stands for extended code, "STC" stands for shortened code and "PTC" stands for punctured code. "DEPC" stands for the dual of expurgated code, others are the same. " $d=$ $3(4)$ ", for example, means the minimum distance is 3 , and
the corresponding BKLC's distance is 4. "Dim" stands for dimension of the code. "LB" stands for lower bound of the code. Firstly we give an explicit example below.

Example 4.3: Loeloeian and Conan gave a $\Gamma(L, G)=$ $[55,16,19]$ binary Goppa code in [13] which is a BKLC (Best known linear code), a BDLC (Best dimension linear code) and a BLLC (Best length linear code) over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ in the databases of Magma and [11]. The Goppa polynomial of $\Gamma(L, G)$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G(z)=\left(z-\alpha^{9}\right)\left(z-\alpha^{12}\right)\left(z-\alpha^{30}\right)\left(z-\alpha^{34}\right)\left(z-\alpha^{42}\right) \\
& \cdot\left(z-\alpha^{43}\right)\left(z-\alpha^{50}\right)\left(z-\alpha^{54}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha$ is a primitive element of $\mathbb{F}_{2^{6}}$. Take $\Gamma(L, F)$ with Goppa polynomial $F(z)=\left(z-\alpha^{9}\right)^{2} \cdot G(z)$, then $\Gamma(L, F) \subseteq$ $\Gamma(L, G)$. Using Magma, we know that $\Gamma(L, F)^{\perp}=[55,45,4]$. Then we get an $[[55,6,19 / 4]]$ AQC. If $F(z)=\left(z-\alpha^{9}\right)^{6}$. $G(z)$, then $\Gamma(L, F)^{\perp}=[55,49,3]$, we get an $[[55,10,19 / 3]]$ AQC. From Theorem 4.4 below, we get an $[[55,15,19 / 2]]$ AQC. From the databases, we know that $[55,45,4],[55,49,3]$ and $[55,54,2]$ are all BKLCs. $[55,49,3]$ and $[55,54,2]$ are BDLCs and BLLCs as well. Therefore $[[55,10,19 / 3]]$ and $[[55,15,19 / 2]]$ are BDAQCs(Best dimension asymmetric quantum code).

In [3], Bezzateev and Shekhunova described a subclass of Goppa codes with minimal distance equal to the design distance. We find that their codes can be used to construct AQCs with $d_{x}=2$.

Theorem 4.4: Let the polynomial $\mathcal{G}(z)=z^{t}+A \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{m}}[z]$, where $t \mid\left(2^{m}-1\right)$, i.e., $2^{m}-1=t \cdot l$ and $A$ is a $t$ th power in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{m}} \backslash\{0\} . \mathcal{N}=\left\{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{m}}: \mathcal{G}(\alpha) \neq 0\right\}$. Denote $S=$

TABLE II
Binary aQCs constructed from Goppa codes with $d_{x}=2$

$\sum_{\mu=1}^{l-1} 1 /\left(\alpha^{\mu t}+1\right), \alpha$ is a primitive element of $\mathbb{F}_{2^{m}}$. Then $S$ must be 1 or 0 .
(1) If $S=1$, then for a Goppa code $\Gamma(L, G)$ with Goppa polynomial $G(z)=\mathcal{G}(z)$ and $L=\mathcal{N}$, there exists an AQC with parameters

$$
\left[\left[2^{m}-t, \geq 2^{m}-t-m t-1,2 t+1 / 2\right]\right]
$$

this code can be extended to

$$
\left[\left[2^{m}-t+1, \geq 2^{m}-t-m t-1,2 t+2 / 2\right]\right]
$$

and can be punctured to

$$
\left[\left[2^{m}-t-1, \geq 2^{m}-t-m t-1,2 t / 2\right]\right]
$$

(2) If $S=0$, for punctured $\Gamma(L, G)$ with $G(z)=\mathcal{G}(z)$ and $L=\mathcal{N}-\{0\}$, there exists a punctured AQC with parameters

$$
\left[\left[2^{m}-t-1, \geq 2^{m}-t-m t-1, \geq 2 t / 2\right]\right]
$$

Proof: We follow the proof process of Theorem 2.1 given by Bezzateev \& Shekhunova in [3]. For simplicity, we take $A=1$. For $S=\sum_{\mu=1}^{l-1} 1 /\left(\alpha^{\mu t}+1\right)$, then $S=1$ or 0 as $S=S^{2}$.
(1) If $S=1$. We take $G(z)=\mathcal{G}(z)=z^{t}+1, L=$ $\mathcal{N}=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right\}$. For $1 \leq \mu \leq l-1$, we consider binary vectors $\mathbf{a}_{\mu}=\left(a_{1}^{\mu}, a_{2}^{\mu}, \ldots, a_{n}^{\mu}\right)$ with Hamming weight $t$ and such that its nonzero components are on positions which correspond to the following subset of $L$ :

$$
\left\{\left(\alpha^{l}\right)^{i} \cdot \beta_{\mu}, \quad i=0,1, \ldots, t-1\right\}
$$

$\alpha$ is a primitive element of $\mathbb{F}_{2^{m}}$ and $\beta_{\mu}=\alpha^{\mu}$. Then

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j}^{\mu} \frac{1}{x-\alpha_{j}}=\frac{1}{\beta_{\mu}^{t}+1} x^{t-1} \quad \bmod x^{t}+1
$$

for $1 \leq \mu \leq l-1$.
Let the last binary vector $\mathbf{a}_{l}=\left(a_{1}^{l}, a_{2}^{l}, \ldots, a_{n}^{l}\right)$ have only one nonzero component on the position which correspond to $\{0\}$. Then for this vector

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j}^{l} \frac{1}{x-\alpha_{j}}=x^{t-1} \quad \bmod x^{t}+1
$$

Now let us consider the sum of vectors $\mathbf{a}_{1}, \mathbf{a}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_{l}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{\mu=1}^{l} a_{j}^{\mu} \frac{1}{x-\alpha_{j}}=\left(\frac{1}{\beta_{1}^{t}+1}+\cdots+\right. & \left.\frac{1}{\beta_{l-1}^{t}+1}+1\right) \\
& \cdot x^{t-1} \bmod x^{t}+1
\end{aligned}
$$

So as $S=\sum_{\mu=1}^{l-1} \frac{1}{\beta_{\mu}^{t}+1}=\sum_{\mu=1}^{l-1} \frac{1}{\alpha^{\mu t}+1}=1$, then

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{\mu=1}^{l} a_{j}^{\mu} \frac{1}{x-\alpha_{j}}=0 \quad \bmod x^{t}+1
$$

Thus vector $\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{a}_{1}+\mathbf{a}_{2}+\cdots+\mathbf{a}_{l}=(1,1, \ldots, 1)$ is a codeword of the Goppa polynomial $G(z)=z^{t}+1$ and $L=\mathcal{N}$ and its Hamming weight is equal to $2^{m}-t$. Therefore there exists an AQC with parameters

$$
\left[\left[2^{m}-t, \geq 2^{m}-t-m t-1,2 t+1 / 2\right]\right]
$$

this code can be extended into

$$
\left[\left[2^{m}-t+1, \geq 2^{m}-t-m t-1,2 t+2 / 2\right]\right]
$$

and can be punctured into

$$
\left[\left[2^{m}-t-1, \geq 2^{m}-t-m t-1,2 t / 2\right]\right]
$$

(2) If $S=0$, we take $\Gamma(L, G)$ with $G(z)=\mathcal{G}(z)$ and $L=\mathcal{N}-\{0\}$, the proof is similar to (1) above. And we can omit the last binary vector $\mathbf{a}_{l}=\left(a_{1}^{l}, a_{2}^{l}, \ldots, a_{n}^{l}\right)$ as $S=0$. Then there exists a punctured AQC with parameters

$$
\left[\left[2^{m}-t-1, \geq 2^{m}-t-m t-1, \geq 2 t / 2\right]\right]
$$

From the proof of Theorem 4.4, we know that classical codes corresponding to $X$-parts of AQCs are all $[n, n-1,2$ ] optimal codes. Therefore the error correction abilities of the corresponding Goppa codes are all transformed into $Z$-parts of AQCs with only one information bit loss each. Maatouk et al. [14] found that the classical codes described in Theorem4.4 achieved better than the GV bound when the field size is small. For some "typical" cases, the estimation of the dimension is much better than the lower bound [4], [19], [21], and sometimes the estimation is the true dimension [22], [23]. AQCs derived from Theorem 4.4 are given in TABLEII When the field size is large we only give partial AQCs with loose lower bound(LB).

## V. Asymptotically Good Binary Expansion of Quantum GRS Codes

In [17], Retter showed that most binary expansions of GRS codes are asymptotically good.

Theorem 5.1 ([17, Theorem 1]): For any small $\epsilon>0$, there exists an $n$ such that the binary expansions of most GRS codes of any length greater than $n$ satisfy

$$
H\left(\frac{d}{n}\right)>1-\frac{k}{n}-\epsilon
$$

From [2], we have the following result.
Corollary 5.2: Let $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ be codes over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{m}}$ and $C_{2}^{\perp} \subseteq C_{1}$. Let $\alpha_{i}, i=1, \ldots, m$, be self-dual basis of $\mathbb{F}_{2^{m}}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$, i.e.,

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\alpha_{i} \alpha_{j}\right)=\delta_{i j}
$$

Let $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}^{\perp}$ be codes obtained by the symbolwise binary expansion of codes $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}^{\perp}$ in the basis $\alpha_{i}$. Then $D_{2}^{\perp} \subseteq D_{1}$ and $D_{2}^{\perp}$ is the binary dual of $C_{2}$.

Let $N=2^{m}-1, N / 2 \leq K_{1} \leq K_{2} \leq N-1$ be integers, for a GRS code $\mathcal{G} \mathcal{R} \mathcal{S}_{K_{1}}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v})$ of length $N$. It follows immediately that $\mathcal{G} \mathcal{R} \mathcal{S}_{K_{1}}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v})^{\perp}=\mathcal{G} \mathcal{R} \mathcal{S}_{N-K_{1}}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{y}) \subseteq \mathcal{G} \mathcal{R} \mathcal{S}_{K_{1}}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{y}) \subseteq$ $\mathcal{G} \mathcal{R} \mathcal{S}_{K_{2}}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{y})$, where $y_{i} \cdot v_{i}=1 / \prod_{j \neq i}\left(\alpha_{j}-\alpha_{i}\right)=\alpha_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq$ $N$. Then there exists a corresponding AQC with parameters:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left[N, K_{1}+K_{2}-N, N-K_{1}+1 / N-K_{2}+1\right]\right]_{2^{m}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote $C_{1}=\mathcal{G} \mathcal{R} \mathcal{S}_{K_{1}}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v})$ and $C_{2}=\mathcal{G} \mathcal{R} \mathcal{S}_{K_{2}}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{y})$ of length $N$. Then $C_{2}^{\perp} \subseteq C_{1}$. The binary expansions of $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ with respect to a self-dual basis give $D_{2}^{\perp} \subseteq D_{1}$ of binary codes with parameters $n=m N, k_{1}=m K_{1}, k_{2}=m K_{2}$.

From Theorem5.1 we can choose suitable y to make sure $D_{2}$ is asymptotically good. Because $y_{i} \cdot v_{i}=1 / \prod_{j \neq i}\left(\alpha_{j}-\right.$ $\left.\alpha_{i}\right)=\alpha_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq N$, then different $\mathbf{y}$ gives different $\mathbf{v}$. Since the binary expansions of most GRS codes are asymptotically good when $n$ is large, there always exist the corresponding $\mathbf{v}$ which also give asymptotically good $D_{1}$.

Summing up, we have the following theorem.
Theorem 5.3: For a pair of $\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)$ real numbers satisfying $0<\alpha_{1} \leq \alpha_{2}<1 / 2$, there exists a family $\mathcal{Q}$ of AQCs which can attain the asymmetric quantum Gilbert-Varshamov bound with

$$
R_{\mathcal{Q}}=1-\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}
$$



Fig. 1. Comparison of different versions of binary GV bound.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{x} & \geq H^{-1}\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \\
\delta_{z} & \geq H^{-1}\left(\alpha_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof: For the asymmetric quantum GRS codes (11), it follows from the CSS constructions Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 5.1 that there exist a family $\mathcal{Q}$ of AQCs with parameters

$$
\left[\left[n, k_{1}+k_{2}-n, d_{z} / d_{x}\right]\right]_{2}
$$

where $n=m N, k_{1}=m K_{1}, k_{2}=m K_{2}, d_{x} \geq d_{1}$, and $d_{z} \geq$ $d_{2}$, the corresponding classical codes are $D_{1}=\left[n, k_{1}, d_{1}\right]_{2}$ and $D_{2}=\left[n, k_{2}, d_{2}\right]_{2}$ which satisfy

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{k_{1}}{n}=1-\alpha_{1}, \frac{k_{2}}{n}=1-\alpha_{2} \\
\delta_{1}=\frac{d_{1}}{n} \geq H^{-1}\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \\
\delta_{2}=\frac{d_{2}}{n} \geq H^{-1}\left(\alpha_{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
R_{\mathcal{Q}}=\frac{k_{1}}{n}+\frac{k_{2}}{n}-1=1-\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2} \\
\delta_{x}=\frac{d_{x}}{n} \geq \delta_{1} \geq H^{-1}\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \\
\delta_{z}=\frac{d_{z}}{n} \geq \delta_{2} \geq H^{-1}\left(\alpha_{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem5.3 is also available for QECs. The comparison of classical GV bound and two versions of quantum GV bound is given in Fig. 1 .

## VI. CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION

In this paper, we have constructed several classes of pure asymmetric quantum Alternant codes (AQACs) based on their nested relationships. As a special case, $Z$-parts of our AQACs can attain the classical Gilbert-Varshamov bound when $d_{x}=$ 2. We have identified the nested Goppa codes and computed the dual distance of some special Goppa codes. When $d_{x}=$ 2, a famous subclass of Goppa codes with fixed minimum
distance are converted to AQCs with only one information bit loss each. Some AQACs with good parameters are listed. At last, Retter's classical results about the asymptotically good binary expansions of GRS codes have been generalized to the quantum situation.

The asymptotic problem for general AQACs and symmetric quantum Alternant codes is still unsolved. How to construct quantum codes using binary Alternant codes especially binary Goppa codes is an interesting problem which need further exploring.
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