Institutional Repository

## Publication information

| Title | Precision Enhancement of 3D Surfaces from Multiple Quantized Depth <br> Maps |
| :--- | :--- |
| Author(s) | Wan, Pengfei; Cheung, Gene; Chou, Philip A.; Florencio, Dinei; Zhang, Cha; Au, <br> Oscar C. |
| Source | 2013 IEEE 11th IVMSP Workshop, Seoul , 2013, p. 1-4 |
| Version | Pre-Published version |
| DOI | https://doi.org/10.1109/IVMSPW.2013.6611913 |
| Publisher | IEEE |

## Copyrightinformation

Copyright © 2013 IEEE. Reprinted from (P. Wan, G. Cheung, P. A. Chou, D. Forencio, C. Zhang and O. C. Au, "Precision enhancement of 3D surfaces from multiple quantized depth maps," IVMSP 2013, Seoul, 2013, pp. 1-4). This material is posted here with permission of the IEEE. Such permission of the IEEE does not in any way imply IEEE endorsement of any of The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology's products or services. Internal or personal use of this material is permitted. However, permission to reprint/republish this material for advertising or promotional purposes or for creating new collective works for resale or redistribution must be obtained from the IEEE by writing to pubs-permissions@ieee.org. By choosing to view this document, you agree to all provisions of the copyright laws protecting it.

## Notice

This version is available at HKUST Institutional Repository via http://hdl.handle.net/1783.1/59227
If it is the author's pre-published version, changes introduced as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing and formatting may not be reflected in this document. For a definitive version of this work, please refer to the published version.

# PRECISION ENHANCEMENT OF 3D SURFACES FROM MULTIPLE QUANTIZED DEPTH MAPS 

Pengfei Wan ${ }^{o}$, Gene Cheung\#, Philip A. Chou ${ }^{\$}$, Dinei Forencio ${ }^{\$}$, Cha Zhang ${ }^{\$}$, Oscar C. Au ${ }^{o}$<br>${ }^{o}$ Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, \# National Institute of Informatics, ${ }^{\$}$ Microsoft Research


#### Abstract

Transmitting from sender compressed texture and depth maps of multiple viewpoints enables image synthesis at receiver from any intermediate virtual viewpoint via depth-image-based rendering (DIBR). We observe that quantized depth maps from different viewpoints of the same 3D scene constitutes multiple descriptions (MD) of the same signal, thus it is possible to reconstruct the 3D scene in higher precision at receiver when multiple depth maps are considered jointly. In this paper, we cast the precision enhancement of 3D surfaces from multiple quantized depth maps as a combinatorial optimization problem. First, we derive a lemma that allows us to increase the precision of a subset of 3D points with certainty, simply by discovering special intersections of quantization bins ( QB ) from both views. Then, we identify the most probable voxel-containing QB intersections using a shortest-path formulation. Experimental results show that our method can significantly increase the precision of decoded depth maps compared with standard decoding schemes.


Index Terms - Texture-plus-depth representation, 3D reconstruction, multiple descriptions

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Texture-plus-depth [1] has quickly become a popular format for dynamic 3D scene representation. One reason is because receiver can use texture and depth maps transmitted from sender from different viewpoints for synthesis of novel images as seen from freely chosen virtual viewpoints via depth-image-based rendering (DIBR) [2]. Another reason is because mature video coding tools like H. 264 [3] and HEVC [4] can be easily and modestly adjusted for compression of the new video format. To reduce coding rate to reasonable size, however, input texture and depth videos are typically lossily compressed via quantization using these tools, resulting in quantization errors at decoder that corrupt the fidelity of the reconstructed signal.


Fig. 1. Multiple descriptions for scalar quantizers and for 3D scene.

[^0]To lessen the ill effects of depth video quantization at receiver, we observe that quantized depth maps from different viewpoints of the same 3D scene constitute multiple descriptions (MD) of the same signal. Thus, it is possible to enhance the depth precision of the described 3D scene at receiver when multiple quantized depth maps are considered jointly. As a motivating analogy, consider first MD of scalar quantizers; an example is shown in Fig. 1(a) where there are two scalar quantizers offset by 2 from each other. If the decoder receives only the quantization bin $(\mathrm{QB})$ index of the left quantizer, then one can only deduce the coded scalar to be between 4 and 8 . If the decoder receives QB indices of both left and right quantizers, then one can deduce the scalar to exist in the intersection of the two QBs-concluding the scalar to be between 6 and 8 -enhancing the precision from 4 to 2 .

Similarly, consider a 3D point (called voxel in the sequel) in the captured 3D scene that is visible from both left and right cameras, as shown in Fig. 1(b), resulting in one sample in each of the two depth maps ${ }^{1}$. If only the left depth sample is considered, then decoder can only deduce that a voxel exists inside the one QB (blue QB in Fig. 1(b)). If both depth samples are considered, then decoder can deduce that a voxel exists in the intersection of the two QBs, enhancing the depth resolution of the 3D scene.

Unlike the scalar quantizer case, however, correct matching of a pair of left and right QBs that contain the same voxel is non-trivial. In this paper, we formalize the QB matching problem to enhance depth precision of the 3D scene at receiver as a combinatorial optimization problem. First, we derive a lemma that allows us to increase the precision of a subset of 3D points with certainty, simply by discovering special intersections of quantization bins ( QB ) from both views. Then, we identify the most probable voxel-containing QB intersections using a shortest-path formulation. Experimental results show that our proposed method significantly outperforms single depth map in accuracy with respect to the ground truth signal.

The outline of the paper is as follows. We first discuss related work in Section 2. We then overview our system in Section 3. We formalize our optimization in Section 4. Finally, experimental results and conclusions are presented in Section 5 and 6, respectively.

## 2. RELATED WORK

While much efforts have been invested into efficient compression schemes for 3D visual data in texture-plus-depth format-e.g., unique characteristics of depth maps like piecewise smoothness have been exploited to improve depth map coding efficiency $[5,6]$ majority of the proposals are simple extensions or modest modifications of existing coding tools like H. 264 [3] or HEVC [4] instead of a complete coding architecture overhaul. It is thus likely that

[^1]the same hybrid motion-compensation / transform-based residual coding framework will remain in place for the foreseeable future.

Nonetheless, we stress that our proposed depth precision enhancement algorithm is applicable to any texture-plus-depth coding scheme from which we can derive an independent QB for each depth sample in each view. For block-based coding schemes like H. 264 where transform coefficients of a $K$-pixel block are quantized and transmitted, one can derive a QB for each depth pixel in the block as follows. We first identify the $K$-dimensional quantization region that corresponds to the scalar quantized coefficients of the $K$-pixel block. If the same quantization step size is used for each coefficient, then the quantization region is a hypercube. We then construct a bounding box ${ }^{2}$ with sides that are either parallel or perpendicular to the pixel domain axes, that tightly contains the quantized region (solvable via linear programming). The width of the bounding box along each pixel domain axis is the size of the QB for that pixel.

While we focus our study of precision enhancement of 3D surface using quantized depth maps at the decoder only, knowledge gained from our study can be leveraged at the encoder, so that appropriate bit allocation can be performed among the multiple coded depth maps, improving overall rate-distortion (RD) performance. Joint optimization of depth map coding at encoder and depth map enhancement at decoder will be considered as future work.

## 3. SYSTEM OVERVIEW



Fig. 2. Left view for the dude sequence.
We consider a scenario where the most likely 3D surface is estimated at the decoder, given quantized color / depth map pairs from two camera viewpoints (left and right). See Fig. 2 for an example. The color / depth map pairs are rectified, so that a row of pixels in the left view corresponds to a row of pixels in the right view. We assume that the depth maps are coarsely quantized compared to the spatial resolution. That means for each voxel on the 3D surface, there is both a color and a depth pixel sample in both the left and right view, if the voxel is visible from both viewpoints (no occlusion). Our depth resolution enhancement work is constructed based on this double-sample assumption. We further assume Lambertian surface characteristic for the 3D scene, so that the same voxel visible from both views will result in similar color (RGB) values.

### 3.1. QBs, ICs and Quantized Curves

Given the depth maps are rectified, we consider one row of pixels in the two depth / color map pairs at a time, corresponding to a 2 D epipolar plane in 3D space. Possible depth values at each pixel location are partitioned into quantization bins (QB). The shape of a QB on the epipolar plane is approximated by a rectangle, whose width depends on the spatial resolution and length depends on the depth

[^2]

Fig. 3. One epipolar plane of dude's two views. Active QB is represented by a line segment, and the intersection of two active QBs is an IC.
quantization granularity. The QB with index that is actually coded is called active; the captured voxel of the 3D surface must exist within the active QB confine. The $i$-th QB from the left and right views are denoted as $Q_{i}^{l}$ and $Q_{i}^{r}$, respectively.

A cell is an intersection of two QBs. We denote the intersection of $i$-th QB of left camera $\left(Q_{i}^{l}\right)$ and $j$-th QB of right camera $\left(Q_{j}^{r}\right)$ by $V_{i, j}$; see Fig. 4(a). We reserve the term intersection cell (IC) to mean intersection of two active QBs. Note that an active QB may have multiple ICs with different active QB from another view.

An IC is called true if it contains a voxel that is part of the actual 3D surface. Since an IC is by definition smaller than QB in size (higher precision), the problem of depth precision enhancement is thus the selection of true ICs within active QBs.

On the epipolar plane, the 3D surface can be divided into individual contiguous segments; e.g., foreground and background segments. A quantized curve is a spatially contiguous series of QBs (at low precision) or ICs (at high precision). Fig. 3 shows QBs from a single pixel row in left and right views of the dude sequence.


Fig. 4. Example for deterministic ICs and probabilistic ICs. Voxels (black dots) are connected to show the original 3D curve.

### 3.2. Deterministic ICs

We first identify ICs that can be certified as true with probability 1 (called deterministic ICs) without color information. We identify these ICs with the following lemma.

Lemma 1. $V_{i, j}$ is true with probability 1 if: (i) $V_{i, j}$ is the only IC of $Q B Q_{i}^{l}$ and other cells of $Q_{i}^{l}$ are not occluded by active $Q B$ in right view; or (ii) $V_{i, j}$ is the only IC of $Q_{j}^{r}$ and other cells of $Q_{j}^{r}$ are not occluded by active QBs in the left view.

As an example, there are five ICs ( $a$ to $e$ ) in Fig. 4(a), only $a$ and $e$ satisfy Lemma 1 and thus are deterministic ICs.

We outline a proof of Lemma 1 as follows. Suppose the first condition in Lemma 1 is true. Because other cells $V_{i, k}$ of $Q_{i}^{l}$ are visible from the right camera (not occluded), there would be an active QB $Q_{k}^{r}$ intersecting with active $\mathrm{QB} Q_{i}^{l}$ if there is a voxel in cell $V_{i, k}$. However, we know active $\mathrm{QB} Q_{i}^{l}$ only intersects with active $\mathrm{QB} Q_{j}^{r}$. Hence, there can be no voxels in $V_{i, k}, k \neq j$. Since $\mathrm{QB} Q_{i}^{l}$ is active, $V_{i, j}$ must be true.

In general, it is possible that no cells in a local area satisfy the condition in Lemma 1; see Fig. 4(b) for an example. In this case, we
will use color information to disambiguate among candidate cells in a probabilistic manner.

## 4. QUANTIZED CURVE ESTIMATION

Having identified deterministic ICs, we now formulate the problem of estimating the most likely quantized curve. We first divide QBs on an epipolar plane into segments, so that contiguity of quantized curve can be enforced within a segment. Each segment is further divided into process units (PU), each with well defined start and end cells. Finally, we estimate a contiguous maximum liklihood (ML) quantized curve for each PU via a shortest-path formulation.

### 4.1. Grouping QBs into Segments



Fig. 5. Grouping QBs into segments and dividing a segment into PUs.
To group QBs in an epipolar plane into segments, we do the following. First, neighboring active QBs of the left view-two QBs are neighbors if they are side-by-side or diagonal from each otherare grouped together as $\left\{G^{l}(k)\right\}$. The same procedure is performed for active QBs of the right view, resulting in $\left\{G^{r}(k)\right\}$. Then, for each pair of groups that have at least one overlapping cell, we take the union of them to be a new combined group. We continue this step until no more group pairs have overlapping cells; the remaining groups are the individual segments. As an example, in Fig. 5(a) all the left and right groups are merged into one segment because they have overlapping cells. A segment represents an actual physical object in the 3D scene, e.g. a person's body. Hence we will enforce contiguity within a segment when estimating a quantized curve.

### 4.2. Dividing Segment into Process Units

We now identify one or more PUs in a segment. A PU is composed of ICs only. In the following sections, we estimate an ML quantized curve for each PU independently. For any indeterminant $Q B$-an active QB with at least one non-IC cell (i.e. color information is available from only one view)-that connects PUs into a segment, we will choose the middle cell for curve reconstruction to minimize worst-case error, as done in conventional decoding schemes.

We first search for the left-most active vertical QB containing ICs from the left view (second blue column from the bottom-left in Fig. 5(a)); these are the first ICs in the first PU. We initialize the middle cell in the QB to the left of this QB as start cell $V_{s}$.

For each side-by-side vertical QB to the right that contains ICs, we add the corresponding ICs to the PU; see the $3 \times 2$ ICs in the bottom left of Fig. 5(a). At the right-most QB of this PU, by segment construction there are only two cases: i) an active vertical QB diagonal from this PU, or ii) an active horizontal QB on top of this PU (shown in Fig. 5(a)). In the first case, the corner cell that connects to
the diagonal QB is the end cell $V_{e}$ of this PU . The connecting corner cell of the diagonal QB is the start cell of the new PU, if the QB is not indeterminant. If it is, then the middle cell is selected.

In the second case, the horizontal QB on top must be indeterminant, and so we pick the middle cell as the end cell $V_{e}$ of the first PU. At the top of this heap of horizontal QBs, by segment construction there will be a diagonal cell. If this cell belongs to an active vertical QB , then the situation is same as case one above. If not, it must belong to an active horizontal QB , and the situation is then the same as case two above. This procedure is repeated until all the cells in the segment are examined.

In the end, one or more PUs with corresponding start and end cells $V_{s}$ and $V_{e}$ are identified within a segment. Note that some of the $V_{s}\left(V_{e}\right)$ are not ICs, which will be addressed in Section 4.4.

### 4.3. Maximum Likelihood Formulation

For a given PU, we now formulate the IC selection problem in a ML formulation. We first construct a graph $\mathcal{G}$ : each IC $V_{i, j}$ is a node that is connected to its neighboring ICs $\mathcal{N}_{i, j}$-to the left, right, top, down and diagonal-with edges ${ }^{3}$. Given color information from the left and right views, $\left\{\mathbf{Y}^{l}, \mathbf{Y}^{r}\right\}$, our goal is to find the ML quantized curve-a most likely ordered set of nodes denoted by $\mathbf{C}=\left\{V^{1}, \ldots, V^{K}\right\}, V^{k} \in \mathcal{G}$, of some size $K:$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{\mathbf{C}} \operatorname{Pr}\left(\mathbf{Y}^{l} \mathbf{Y}^{r} \mid \mathbf{C}\right), \text { s.t. } \mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{C} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{C}$ is the feasible set of quantized curves in a PU. Any $\mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{C}$ must satisfy the following constraints:

1. $\forall Q_{i}^{l}, \exists V_{i, n} \in \mathbf{C}$ for some $n$.
2. $\forall Q_{j}^{r}, \exists V_{m, j} \in \mathbf{C}$ for some $m$.
3. $\forall V_{i, j}^{k} \in \mathbf{C}, 1<k<K, \exists V^{k-1}, V^{k+1} \in \mathcal{N}_{i, j}$.

Constraints 1 and 2 state that a feasible curve must include at least one IC in each active QB. Constraint 3 states that a feasible curve must be contiguous within a PU.

Probabilities of elements in $\mathbf{C}$ are assumed independent. Using color matching as conditional probability, (1) becomes:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \max _{\mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{C}} \prod_{k=1}^{K} \operatorname{Pr}\left(\mathbf{Y}_{k}^{l} \mathbf{Y}_{k}^{r} \mid V^{k}\right) \\
\Leftrightarrow & \min _{\mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{C}} \sum_{k=1}^{K}-\log \operatorname{Pr}\left(\mathbf{Y}_{k}^{l} \mathbf{Y}_{k}^{r} \mid V^{k}\right) \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that (2) is essentially a sum of node costs (or edge weights) along a contiguous curve $\mathbf{C}$. Specifically, the weight of an edge arriving at $V^{k}$ is $W_{k}=-\log \operatorname{Pr}\left(\mathbf{Y}_{k}^{l} \mathbf{Y}_{k}^{r} \mid V^{k}\right)$, i.e. the consistency (color matching) of $V^{k}$,s color vectors from the two views ( $\mathbf{Y}_{k}^{l}$ and $\left.\mathbf{Y}_{k}^{r}\right)$. For example, $W_{k}=\left\|\mathbf{Y}_{k}^{l}-\mathbf{Y}_{k}^{r}\right\|_{1}$ if we assume Laplacian probability model for color matching. Exceptions are made for deterministic ICs as arriving nodes with edge weights $W=0$.

### 4.4. Shortest Path as Estimated Quantized Curve

Given graph $\mathcal{G}$ and start and end cells $V_{s}$ and $V_{e}$ for each PU, we argue that a suitable version of a shortest-path formulation will result in an ML optimal solution for defined feasible set $\mathcal{C}$. We start from the simplest case where $V_{s}$ and $V_{e}$ are opposite corner ICs of the PU; an example is PU2 in Fig. 6. In this case, $\mathcal{C}$ is the set of all paths

[^3]between $V_{s}$ and $V_{e}$. The solution of (2) is then simply the shortest path from $V_{s}$ to $V_{e}$ on $\mathcal{G}$. This can be solved efficiently using any shortest path algorithms, such as Bellman-Ford (BF) [7].

If $V_{s}$ and $V_{e}$ are corner ICs on the same side (e.g. PU3), feasible solution set $\mathcal{C}$ is the set of paths from $V_{s}$ to $V_{e}$ that must pass through at least one intermediate cell $V_{i}$ of the furthest row or column (the intermediate $V_{i}$ for PU3 is the three ICs in the last row). This can be solved by calling BF twice (with starting node set at $V_{s}$ and $V_{e}$ for each run), and choosing the union of two shortest paths $V_{s} \rightarrow V_{i}$ and $V_{i} \rightarrow V_{e}$, whose sum of costs is minimal among all possible $V_{i}$.

When $V_{s}$ or $V_{e}$ is not an IC (e.g. PU1), the start (end) cells of $\mathcal{G}$ become the ICs that are connected to $V_{s}$ or $V_{e}$ (which is outside the PU). Because $V_{s}\left(V_{e}\right)$ has at most 3 connected ICs in the PU, the number of combinations of start and points for the PU is at most 9. For each combination, we need to assign at most 2 intermediate cells to satisfy constraints 1 and 2 in Section 4.3 (at least one IC of an active QB must be traversed). This can be similarly solved by calling BF multiple times.

As a whole, the ML quantized curve can be calculated in polynomial time for any PU. Combining the ML quantized curves for all PUs in all segments on all epipolar planes, we arrive at a quantized $3 D$ surface with reduced uncertainty (enhanced precision).


Fig. 6. Example of PUs with different start/end cells (marked in yellow).

## 5. EXPERIMENTATION

Two test sequences, sphere $(400 \times 400)$ and dude $(480 \times 800)$, are used for experiments. They are both composed of two rectified views with depth and color maps. In sphere, the camera baseline is 5.4 and the depth range is $[9.0,10.16]$; in dude, the camera baseline is 1.0 and the depth range is $[1.15,2.6]$.

To decode depth values, the standard method picks the center depth values of QBs. In our method, center values of the estimated quantized 3D surface (composed of ICs in the solution of (2), and middle cells for indeterminant QBs) are used as decoded depth.

Mean Square Error (MSE) $\varepsilon$ is used as metric:

$$
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon & =\left(\varepsilon^{l}+\varepsilon^{r}\right) / 2 \\
\varepsilon^{l} & =\frac{1}{M N}\left\|\mathbf{D}^{l}-\hat{\mathbf{D}}^{l}\right\|_{F}^{2}, \quad \varepsilon^{r}=\frac{1}{M N}\left\|\mathbf{D}^{r}-\hat{\mathbf{D}}^{r}\right\|_{F}^{2} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\varepsilon^{l}$ and $\varepsilon^{r}$ are respectively the MSE of the left and right decoded depth maps. $\hat{\mathbf{D}}$ is the ground-truth 12-bit depth map. $\mathbf{D}$ is the decoded depth map. $M \times N$ is the spatial resolution of the sequence.

Depth maps with varying bit-precision (3-bit~6-bit, denoted by $\mathrm{d} 3 \sim \mathrm{~d} 6$ respectively) are used as inputs. Color maps with 6 -bit or 8 bit precision (c6 and c8) are used as side information. MSE results
are shown in Table. 1, where 'sta' refers to standard method and 'our' refers to proposed method.

Table 1. MSE Comparisons

|  | sphere |  |  | dude |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | sta | our-c6 | our-c8 | sta | our-c6 | our-c8 |
| d3 | $2.30 \mathrm{e}-3$ | $1.96 \mathrm{e}-4$ | $1.66 \mathrm{e}-4$ | $4.28 \mathrm{e}-3$ | $1.07 \mathrm{e}-3$ | $1.07 \mathrm{e}-3$ |
| d4 | $5.02 \mathrm{e}-4$ | $8.55 \mathrm{e}-5$ | $5.82 \mathrm{e}-5$ | $7.73 \mathrm{e}-4$ | $2.00 \mathrm{e}-4$ | $2.00 \mathrm{e}-4$ |
| d5 | $1.18 \mathrm{e}-4$ | $4.61 \mathrm{e}-5$ | $2.51 \mathrm{e}-5$ | $1.86 \mathrm{e}-4$ | $5.36 \mathrm{e}-5$ | $5.36 \mathrm{e}-5$ |
| d6 | $2.86 \mathrm{e}-5$ | $2.38 \mathrm{e}-5$ | $1.37 \mathrm{e}-5$ | $4.28 \mathrm{e}-5$ | $1.69 \mathrm{e}-5$ | $1.69 \mathrm{e}-5$ |

We can see that our method is able to achieve significantly higher precision: the MSE of proposed method is less than $10 \%$ of that of standard method for sphere with 3-bit input depth and 6-bit color. Although in general lower MSE will be obtained with better color information, 6-bit and 8-bit color maps didn't make a difference for dude whose color tends to be locally uniform; see Fig. 2.

Some visual results are shown in Fig. 7. We can see that our solution aligns with ground-truth 3D surface much better than standard method who simply picks the center of QBs.


Fig. 7. Example of decoded surface of proposed method (green spots) and ground-truth (black crosses) for dude with 6-bit depth and 6-bit color.

## 6. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we consider the scenario of recovering a high precision 3D surface represented by multi-view texture-plus-depth maps. We formulate it as a maximum likelihood problem which can be effectively solved using a shortest-path algorithm. Effectiveness of proposed method is verified in accuracy of decoded depth maps.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ We will assume spatial resolutions of the depth maps are sufficiently high to provide enough samples for this assumption to hold true.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ Though the size of the bounding box is larger than the hypercube, our depth enhancement algorithm can nonetheless improve depth precision of the decoded depth signal.

[^3]:    ${ }^{3}$ We connect a middle cell of an indeterminant QB to its neighboring ICs in the same way, but if none exists, we draw a single edge to its nearest IC.

