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## Dear editor,

This letter models and analyzes the Matthew effect under switching social networks via distributed competition. A competitive strategy is leveraged to trace the evolution of individuals in social systems under the Matthew effect. In addition, a consensus filter is utilized to decentralize the model with undirected graphs used to describe the interactions among individuals in social networks. Further, a term is added to the dynamic consensus filter to compensate for the influence of the switching of communication networks on the model. In this letter, the convergence of the proposed Matthew effect model is theoretically proved, and simulative experiments are conducted to verify the availability of the model. In particular, this letter points out the state and evolution of each individual in social systems with distributed competition, and the influence of the social environment on the development of individuals is also intuitively displayed.
Introduction: The Matthew effect is essentially a process in which individuals or organizations with development advantages in some fields of social systems further accumulate advantages, which means that the strong get stronger and the weak get weaker, and it exists widely in social systems. Most of the existing work has been devoted to proving the existence of the Matthew effect in a certain field and characterizing it through language. Farys and Wolbring [1] investigate the influence of the Nobel Prize effect on citations based on the case of obtaining the Sveriges Riksbank Prize in Economic Sciences in Memory of Alfred Nobel, and results show that there is a cumulative advantage of the Matthew effect in this field. They estimate a linear panel regression model with the logged number of yearly citations as outcomes, in which the relationship between the Nobel Prize and the number of citations is quantitatively described, and the causal relationship between them is explored, which is devoted to proving the existence of the Matthew effect. In addition, Regarding the impact of journal rankings on the number of citations received by their papers, Drivas and Kremmydas [2] explore the Matthew effect contained therein. Moreover, the Matthew effect has also been widely confirmed in the fields of network science [3], education [4], economics [5], and politics [6], etc.
Agent-based modeling (ABM) adopts computational methods to simulate social phenomena [7], [8]. Through abstracting individuals in the real world into simplified agents and simulating operations and interactions performed by multiple agents simultaneously, complex social processes are reproduced. In this way, a better understanding of social structure, functions, and changes can be obtained, and further, the evolution direction of social systems can be predicted and evaluated [9]. In view of the feasibility and effectiveness of ABM in simulating social phenomena, to investigate the Matthew effect in social systems in depth, a computational model is established in this letter using the ABM technology based on the theoretical research and empirical basis of this social effect. The above-mentioned model
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simulates the dynamic evolutionary process of social systems under the Matthew effect, from which to obtain guidance on human behaviors and provide optimized solutions for decision-making.
Due to the dynamic nature of social systems, social networks tend to change over time. In addition, changes in interferences from various factors in complex systems may cause switching of social networks. In view of this, when establishing the computational model of social systems under the Matthew effect, it is essential to consider the dynamic communication in social networks. In recent years, many scholars conduct a series of research on the impact of switching in communication networks on the accuracy of models [10]. For instance, in [11], the cooperative output regulation problem of the singular multi-agent system subject to the jointly connected switching networks is examined. Wang and Yang [12] present a cooperative fault-tolerant control method under switching directed networks.
This letter exploits ABM technology for the first time to design a Matthew effect model that can ensure the accuracy and stability of the system under switching social networks. Facing the challenge of how to construct the model accurately, firstly a communication topology is established, and a distributed consensus filter with compensation for switching communication topologies is selected to accurately describe the interactions among agents. Then, competitive strategy is utilized for tracing the influence of the Matthew effect. Finally, the evolutionary behaviors of individuals in social systems are modeled. Through theoretical analyses and numerical simulations, the convergence and effectiveness of the constructed model are verified. Moreover, this letter points out possible application scenarios of the proposed model. The schematic diagram of this letter is shown in Fig. 1.
Problem statement: Under a switching and jointly connected communication topology, the question is how to effectively model the Matthew effect in social systems in a distributed manner. Specifically, under the premise that at least one topology is maintained for a long enough time in all switching time periods, $n$ agents continue to develop with the goal of occupying the monopoly position in their field. Among them, $k$ agents currently occupying development advantages have cumulative advantages and are able to develop themselves rapidly. Ultimately, $k$ agents occupy the monopoly position in the field, and the remaining $n-k$ agents lose.

## Preliminary and scheme formulation:

1) Communication topology: Undirected and jointly connected graphs are used to represent social networks, reflecting the interactions among individuals in social systems. Each node in the undirected graph represents an agent (individual, organization, or area in social systems), and the interactions among agents are represented by the adjacency matrix $\mathcal{A}=\left[a_{i j}\right]^{n \times n}$ of the undirected graph. In addition, the corresponding communication status is expressed by the Laplacian matrix $\mathcal{L}=\left[l_{i j}\right]^{n \times n}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\mathcal{A} 1_{n}\right)-\mathcal{A}$ where $\mathbf{1}_{n}$ is a vector composed of $n$ elements with each one being $\mathbf{1}$. Define the neighbor set of the $i$ th agent as $\mathcal{N}_{i}$. When there is an edge between the $i$ th node and the $j$ th node, we call the $j$ th agent the neighbor of the $i$ th agent, that is, $j \in \mathcal{N}_{i}$.

Under these circumstances, the $i$ th agent and the $j$ th agent in the social network can communicate with each other directly. If all topologies in the topology series are balanced and the union of all the topologies is connected, then this set of topologies is called jointly connected. It is worth noting that the social network discussed in this work is based on the assumption of joint connectivity.
2) Distributed consensus filter: In social networks, each agent is often unable to know the global information, and they obtain the reference for the next decision-making through concurrent information interaction with their neighbors. To achieve distributed communication, various distributed filters are utilized to decentralize models [13]-[15]. Therefore, in order to better describe the distribution of interactions among individuals in social systems, thereby improving the reliability, usability, and scalability of the model, the distributed consensus filter presented by Freeman et al. [16] is considered


Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of this letter.

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{\alpha}_{i}(t)= & -\sum_{j \in N_{i}} \mathcal{A}_{i j}\left(\alpha_{i}(t)-\alpha_{j}(t)\right)-\vartheta\left(\alpha_{i}(t)-\gamma_{i}(t)\right) \\
& -\sum_{j \in N_{i}} \mathcal{A}_{i j}\left(\beta_{i}(t)-\beta_{j}(t)\right) \\
\dot{\beta}_{i}(t)= & \sum_{j \in N_{i}} \mathcal{A}_{i j}\left(\alpha_{i}(t)-\alpha_{j}(t)\right) \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\gamma_{i}(t)$ is the signal followed by the filter; $\alpha_{i}(t)$ is the decision variable; $\beta_{i}(t)$ is the internal estimator state; $\vartheta>0$ is the global estimated coefficient.
Due to the dynamic characteristics of the social system and the limitation of the communication range, the communication topology often changes over time. Furthermore, unpredictable physical constraints and interferences in complex scenarios may cause the switching of the communication network. The change of the Laplacian matrix $\mathcal{L}$ before and after topology switching will cause system fluctuations. Therefore, the product of the state at the switching time and the difference between the Laplacian matrix $\mathcal{L}$ before and after the switching time is considered to compensate for the impact caused by the switching of the communication network, which is specifically expressed as $\sum_{\kappa=1}^{\sigma} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \Delta \mathcal{H}_{i j}(T(\kappa))\left[\alpha_{i}(T(\kappa))-\right.$ $\left.\alpha_{j}(T(\kappa))\right]$ in [17]. Thus, according to (1), the consensus filter can be reformulated as

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{\alpha}(t)= & -\mathcal{L} \alpha(t)-\vartheta(\alpha(t)-\gamma(t))-\mathcal{L}^{T} \int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{L} \alpha(\iota) d \iota \\
& +\sum_{\kappa=1}^{\sigma} \Delta \mathcal{L}(T(\kappa)) \alpha(T(\kappa)) \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

of which superscript $T$ represents the transpose operation; $T(\kappa)$ indicates the time at which the $\kappa$ th switching of the communication topology happens; $\sigma$ denotes the index set of the switch times; $\Delta \mathcal{L}(T(\kappa))$ signifies the difference of the Laplacian matrix before and after time $T(\kappa)$. When the topology is fixed, the compensation term remains 0 . After each topology switching, the system needs to reconverge to the correct solution in the new state. Therefore, there must be at least one topology to be maintained for a long enough time in all switching time periods to ensure the convergence of the filter [18]. Furthermore, the following theorem holds for switching balanced graphs.
Theorem 1: For consensus filter (2) running on a balanced and jointly connected graph with switching, assuming that there is an infinite sequence of uniformly bounded, non-overlapping time intervals, $\alpha(t)$ converges to $\mathbf{1}_{n} / n\left(\gamma(t) \mathbf{1}_{n}^{T}\right)$.
Proof: The Dirac delta function $\sum_{\kappa=1}^{\infty} \omega(t-T(\kappa))$ is used to describe the derivative of the Laplace function with respect to time

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\mathcal{L}}(t)=\Delta \mathcal{L}(t)+\sum_{\kappa=1}^{\infty} \omega(t-T(\kappa)) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the additional item can be simplified to

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{\kappa=1}^{\sigma} & \Delta \mathcal{L}(T(\kappa)) \alpha(T(\kappa))=\int_{0}^{t} \dot{\mathcal{L}} \alpha(\iota) d \iota \\
& =\mathcal{L} \alpha(t)-\mathcal{L}(0) \alpha(0)-\int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{L} \dot{\alpha}(\iota) d \iota \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathcal{L}(0)$ and $\alpha(0)$ are the Laplacian matrix and the state value at the initial time, respectively. According to (4), (2) can be reformulated as

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{\alpha}(t)= & -\vartheta(\alpha(t)-\gamma(t))-\mathcal{L}^{T} \int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{L} \alpha(\iota) d \iota \\
& -\mathcal{L}(0) \alpha(0)-\int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{L} \dot{\alpha}(\imath) d \iota . \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, define an auxiliary variable

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(t)=\alpha(t)+(\mathcal{L}+\vartheta I) \int_{0}^{t} \alpha(\iota) d \iota \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I$ is an $n \times n$ identity matrix and its time derivative is

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{\varphi}(t) & =\dot{\alpha}(t)+\alpha(t)(\mathcal{L}+\vartheta I) \\
& =\vartheta \gamma(t)-\mathcal{L}^{T} \int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{L} \alpha(\iota) d \iota-\mathcal{L}(0) \alpha(0) . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Because $\ddot{\varphi}(t)=\vartheta \dot{\gamma}(t)+\mathcal{L}^{T}\left(\dot{\alpha}(t)+\gamma \mathbf{1}_{n}\right)-\mathcal{L}^{T} \dot{\varphi}(t)$ is a first-order linear differential equation, $\dot{\varphi}$ is exponentially convergent. Multiply both sides of formula (7) by $\mathbf{1}_{n}^{T}$ obtaining

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{1}_{n}^{T} \dot{\varphi}(t)=\vartheta \mathbf{1}_{n}^{T} \gamma(t) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming that the communication topology is jointly connected in an infinite sequence of uniformly bounded, non-overlapping time intervals, it can be concluded that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \dot{\varphi}(t)=\mathbf{1}_{n} / n\left(\vartheta \mathbf{1}_{n}^{T} \gamma(t)\right)$. According to (7), $\dot{\alpha}(t)=\dot{\varphi}(t)-\alpha(t)(\mathcal{L}+\vartheta I)$, and thus the bounded output value $\alpha(t)$ is stable as $\mathbf{1}_{n} \ln \left(\gamma(t) \mathbf{1}_{n}^{T}\right)$.
Matthew effect model: Since the winner-take-all (WTA) competitive strategy is a kind of manifestation of the Matthew effect, the WTA network can be leveraged to control the evolution of agents in social systems under the Matthew effect. A neural network that can select the maximum value from a set of inputs is called a WTA network. As a generalization of WTA, $k$-winners-take-all ( $k$-WTA) refers to selecting $k$ maximum values from a set of $n$ inputs, and there have been a lot of related researches [19], [20]. A centralized $k$-WTA network [21] is presented by Hu and Wang

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { State equation } \frac{d \rho(t)}{d t}=-\delta\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{i}(t)-k\right) \\
& \text { Output equation } \gamma_{i}(t)=\mathcal{H}_{\Psi i}\left(\rho(t)+\frac{v_{i}(t)}{2 m}\right) \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $v_{i}(t)$ represents the $i$ th input; $\gamma_{i}(t)$ represents the $i$ th output; $\rho(t)$ is auxiliary variables; $\delta$ is a positive coefficient related to the convergence rate of the network, and within the allowable range of the hardware and calculation, the larger the value of $\delta$, the faster the convergence speed of the $k$-WTA network; $m$ is a constant being
enough small. For $i=1, \ldots, n$ and $\Psi_{i}=[0,1], \mathcal{H}_{\Psi_{i}}$ is defined as

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\Psi i}= \begin{cases}-1, & \rho(t)+\frac{v_{i}(t)}{2 m}<-1 \\ \rho(t)+\frac{v_{i}(t)}{2 m}, & -1 \leq \rho(t)+\frac{v_{i}(t)}{2 m} \leq 1 \\ 1, & \rho(t)+\frac{v_{i}(t)}{2 m}>1\end{cases}
$$

The network (9) can assign the corresponding outputs of the $k$ largest inputs to 1 , which is expressed as

$$
\gamma_{i}(t)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } v_{i}(t) \text { is one of the } k \text { largest inputs }  \tag{10}\\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

In order to describe the evolutionary behaviors of individuals in social systems, individuals are abstracted into different agents, and the evolution law of each agent is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\lambda}_{i}(t)=\gamma_{i}(t)\left(-\dot{\phi}(t)+\ell \varsigma_{i}(t)\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $\dot{\lambda}_{i}(t)$ represents the current development status of the $i$ th agent; $\phi(t)$ represents the monopoly position in the current field; $\gamma_{i}(t)$ is the parameter to judge whether the $i$ th agent is in a dominant position; $\ell>0$ affects the changes of the social environment. Besides, $\varsigma_{i}(t)=\partial v_{i}(t) / \partial \lambda_{i}(t)$, and $v_{i}(t)$ is the development speed of the $i$ th agent, which is denoted as

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{i}(t)=-\left\|\lambda_{i}(t)-\phi(t)\right\|_{2}^{2} / 2 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to (12), when the current development status of the $i$ th agent is close to the monopoly position in the field, its development speed is correspondingly fast. Furthermore, $m \leq 1 / 2\left(\hat{v}_{k}(t)-\hat{v}_{k+1}(t)\right)$ with $\hat{v}_{k}(t)>\hat{v}_{k+1}(t)$ needs to be satisfied to ensure the availability of the $k$-WTA network, where $\hat{v}_{k}(t)$ is the $k t$ thargest element in $v$.
Theorem 2: Evolution law (11) is regarded as a system with bounded input and bounded output, if and only if the value of $\phi(t)$ is bounded.

Proof: According to (12), it can be obtained that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varsigma_{i}(t)=\frac{\partial v_{i}(t)}{\partial \lambda_{i}(t)}=\phi(t)-\lambda_{i}(t) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting the above expression into (11), and organizing obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\phi}(t)-\dot{\varsigma}_{i}(t)=\gamma_{i}(t)\left(-\dot{\phi}(t)+\ell \varsigma_{i}(t)\right) . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, (14) can be transformed into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\varsigma}_{i}(t)+\ell \gamma_{i}(t) \varsigma_{i}(t)=\left(1+\gamma_{i}(t)\right) \dot{\phi}(t) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varsigma_{i}(t)=\varsigma_{i}(0) e^{-\ell \gamma_{i}(t) t}+e^{-\ell \gamma_{i}(t) t} \int\left(1+\gamma_{i}(t)\right) \dot{\phi}(t) e^{\ell \gamma_{i}(t) t} d t \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the solution of (14) converges exponentially, the exponential stability of (11) is proved.
Taking $\gamma_{i}(t)$ as input of (2), $1 / n \sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{i}(t)$ is estimated distributedly to obtain the solution $\gamma(t)$ of the output equation in (9), which is then applied to (11) as the driving signal of each agent. Define $\Theta=\left[\varsigma_{1}(t), \ldots, \varsigma_{n}(t)\right]^{T}$, the Matthew effect model under switching social networks is formulated as

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{\alpha}(t)= & -\mathcal{L} \alpha(t)-\vartheta(\alpha(t)-\gamma(t))-\mathcal{L}^{T} \int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{L} \alpha(\iota) d \iota \\
& +\sum_{\kappa=1}^{\sigma} \Delta \mathcal{L}(T(\kappa)) \alpha(T(\kappa)) \\
\dot{\rho}(t)= & -\delta\left(\mathbf{1}_{n}^{T} \alpha(t)-k\right) \\
\dot{\lambda}(t)= & (-\dot{\phi}(t)+\ell \Theta) \mathcal{H}_{\Psi}\left(\mathbf{1}_{\eta n} \rho(t)+\mathbf{1}_{\eta} \otimes \frac{v(t)}{2 m}\right) \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\eta$ represents the dimension of $\lambda(t)$ and $\phi(t) ; \otimes$ is the Kronecker product.

Experiments: The $k$-WTA network is exploited to select the dominant individuals in the current social environment, and then their evolution laws and the interactions among different individuals are described. In the end, some social individuals occupy the monopoly position in their field.
When measuring the development status of individuals in social systems, it is often necessary to consider multiple dimensions. For the convenience of demonstration, in the simulative experiment, we define three dimensions (that is, $\eta=3$ ) for $\lambda$ and $\phi$, namely $X, Y$ and
Z. Moreover, due to the dynamic characteristics of the social system, the monopoly position in the current field will change over time, which is shown in the experiment as a dynamic change of $\phi$.

What is more, a total of four different communication topologies are switched in order, and each topology is maintained for 2 s . Their adjacency matrices are used to describe the interactions among individuals in the social system. As the social system evolves, the social network will also change accordingly.
In our example, the number of agents in the field is set to $n=12$, the number of agents that finally occupy the monopoly position in the field is set to $k=2$, and the dimension of the development status of agents is set to $\eta=3$. Within the allowable range of hardware and calculation, in order to achieve fast convergence of the model, the remaining parameters are set to $m=0.01, \ell=1, \delta=5, \vartheta=10^{5}$, and the execution time $\mathcal{T}=8 \mathrm{~s}$. In the field of the example, the initial development status of the twelve agents (A1, A2, ..., A12) are randomly assigned, and then they continue to accumulate advantages under the influence of the Matthew effect, and finally two of them obtain monopoly status in this field. When the gap between an agent and the monopoly position is less than 0.01 , the agent is considered to be in a monopoly position. The simulative results are shown in Fig. 2.

The structures of four communication topologies of the social network composed of twelve agents are shown in Fig. 2(a). In Fig. 2(b), the outputs of the $k$-WTA network converge to the correct value in a short time. Among them, the corresponding outputs of the two agents with cumulative advantages are 1 , and the others are 0 . The outputs of the $k$-WTA network undergo a change at $t=0.35 \mathrm{~s}$, which means that the agents with cumulative advantages changed. In addition, Fig. 2(c) displays the gaps between each agent and the monopoly position. Figs. 2(d)-2(f) show the entire process of system evolution under the Matthew effect.
According to Fig. 2(d), it can be seen that, initially, the monopoly position in the field is around $(7.7,7.8,0.3)$, which is marked with a red cross. The twelve agents are marked with circles of different colors, randomly distributed in society. Contact Fig. 2(b) to know that the two most advantageous agents at this time are A6 and A8. Their corresponding $k$-WTA network outputs are 1 . Therefore, these two agents develop towards the monopoly position, and the gaps between them and the monopoly position are constantly narrowing.

The remaining ten agents are difficult to accumulate advantages to develop themselves, and can only grow or shrink with changes of the social environment (such as the promulgation of new policies, shortage of raw materials, and climate changes, etc.). With the evolution of the system, referring to Figs. 2(b) and 2(c), A2 (which is called the new winner) surpasses A8 (which is called the new loser) to become one of the two most dominant agents at $t=0.35 \mathrm{~s}$ and then develops rapidly with A6, while the development of A8 stagnates. When $t=6 \mathrm{~s}$, A2 and A6 successfully occupy the monopoly position in this field. The overall trajectory of these simulative results is smooth with slight fluctuations. Additionally, the above simulative results prove the effectiveness of the proposed model (17).

Furthermore, the proposed social system evolution model under the Matthew effect can be applied in many fields. For example, in elections in the field of political science, candidates with greater current advantages are more likely to obtain more resources to strengthen themselves, thereby attracting more voters' supports. In the field of economics, the ultimate manifestation of the WTA phenomenon is oligopoly, that is, only a few large and powerful companies control most of the market share. Besides, due to the existence of herd mentality, brands at the top of the rankings are more likely to be selected by consumers in brand marketing. These social phenomena all match the model proposed in this letter.
Conclusions: This letter has investigated the influence of the Matthew effect in the evolution of individuals in social systems under switching social networks. The $k$-WTA competitive strategy has been utilized to control the evolution of agents in social systems under the Matthew effect, and the distributed consensus filter with compensation for switching communication topologies has been used to describe the interactions among individuals in social systems. Through theoretical analyses and simulative experiments, the effectiveness and availability of the proposed model have been verified. In future research, we will consider various influencing factors in social systems, such as development obstacles and time delay, so as to further enhance the flexibility and accuracy of the model.
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