## Foreword to the Special Issue on Hyperspectral Image and Signal Processing

**T** HIS SPECIAL issue presents state-of-the-art algorithms and applications for hyperspectral image and signal processing. Algorithms address topics such as spectral unmixing, classification, target and anomaly detection, compression, data fusion, noise reduction. Applications include monitoring of vegetation and environment. Seventy-four papers were selected for this issue. The large number of papers included in this special issue is indicative of the high level of research activity, interest, and applications for hyperspectral image and signal analysis.

The 5th Workshop on Hyperspectral Image and Signal Processing—Evolution in Remote Sensing (WHISPERS) was held on June 25–28, 2013 in Gainesville, FL, USA. WHIS-PERS 2013 received the technical sponsorship of the IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Society (GRSS) and support from the University of Florida and the WHISPERS Foundation. The workshop was held on two parallel tracks over 3 days and was a great success, welcoming over 180 international researchers. At the workshop, 158 papers were presented, covering a wide range of topics related to hyperspectral image and signal processing.

Hyperspectral imagery provides a wealth of information about an imaged scene. Each pixel consists of a spectrum resulting from the combination of radiance information from one or more materials in a physical region. Different materials reflect and emit varying amounts of radiance across the electromagnetic spectrum and, as a result, generally have distinguishing signatures. These unique spectral signatures provide the promise of being able to distinguish, detect, and classify materials at the subpixel level [1]. Given the amount of information that can be obtained from hyperspectral imagery, many applications make use of hyperspectral data and the development of algorithms which leverage all the information is an active area of research. In this special issue, several current algorithmic methods and applications for hyperspectral image and signal analysis are presented. In the following, a brief overview of the algorithmic topics covered in this special issue is provided.

Spectral unmixing: Spectra of materials can mix in a variety of ways. Even with high spatial resolution, the measured spectrum at a pixel is a combination of materials in the pixel's field of view and dependent upon the point-spread function of the optical system. Spectral unmixing, the problem of decomposing each pixel into it's respective material signatures (i.e., *endmembers*) and the amount of each endmember (i.e., *abundances*), is a major area of study. Spectral unmixing algorithms depend on the assumption of a mixing model. Both linear and nonlinear mixing models have been considered in the literature [1]–[5]. In this special issue, 14 papers on spectral unmixing are presented. This includes a review and comparison of nonlinear methods. Sparse unmixing methods [5]–[7], which assume that each pixel is a mixture of a sparse subset of the endmembers in a scene, is a current area of research. Spectral unmixing methods that leverages spatial information is also a major area of research since neighboring pixels in a scene are likely to be composed of the same materials [8]–[10]. Methods that address and incorporate endmember spectral variability during unmixing can result in more physically accurate models and proportion estimates [11]. Finally, given the large number of spectral unmixing methods, algorithms that can be used to evaluate and compare spectral unmixing results is an important topic of study.

*Classification:* Classification methods provide algorithms to segment and classify pixels or regions in hyperspectral imagery. Like many spectral unmixing algorithms, many current classification algorithms investigate methods to incorporate spatial information during analysis [12]. Sparsity promotion has also been employed to help improve classification results [13]. In addition, many current topics in the machine learning literature such as multiple kernel approaches [14], [15] and swarm optimization [16], have been adapted and applied toward classification of hyperspectral data.

*Compression and high-performance computing:* Given the extremely large size of most hyperspectral data cubes, compression methods and methods that make use of high-performance computing approaches are an important area of study and development. Studies have been conducted on employing and evaluating various lossy and lossless compression approaches for hyperspectral imagery [17], [18].

*Target and anomaly detection:* Given the ability to perform subpixel analysis, target and anomaly detection at the subpixel level is an area of great interest in the hyperspectral community [19], [20]. Topics in this area include investigations into methods to accurately model background characteristics, predict detection performance, and the development of a new target and anomaly detection algorithms.

*Data fusion:* Data fusion between other imagery from other sensor types and hyperspectral imagery can provide more information about an imaged scene than either data set individually. For example, LIDAR provides elevation information that can be used in conjunction with hyperspectral data [21], [22]. Fusion between multispectral and hyperspectral data is also an area of interest [23], [24].

*Noise reduction:* As with all sensor data, hyperspectral imagery can be, and is often, corrupted with sensor noise. Often, particular wavelengths in a hyperspectral image have

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/JSTARS.2014.2341811

1939-1404 © 2014 IEEE. Personal use is permitted, but republication/redistribution requires IEEE permission. See http://www.ieee.org/publications\_standards/publications/rights/index.html for more information.

more noise than others. As such, methods for noise reduction are an important area of study [25].

As can be seen, there is a wide variety of topics for hyperspectral image and signal analysis that are under study. We believe that this issue provides a wide sampling of the interesting and promising research topics within this area. We thank all of the contributors who made this special issue such an interesting overview of many of the current areas of study.

ALINA ZARE, *Guest Editor* Electrical and Computer Engineering University of Missouri Columbia, MO 65211 USA

JEREMY BOLTON, *Guest Editor* Computer and Information Science and Engineering University of Florida Gainesville, FL 32611 USA

JOCELYN CHANUSSOT, *Guest Editor* Grenoble Institute of Technology, GIPSA-Lab Grenoble F38031, France Faculty of Electrical and Computer Engineering University of Iceland Reykjavík, Iceland

PAUL GADER, *Guest Editor* Computer and Information Science and Engineering University of Florida Gainesville, FL 32611 USA Grenoble Institute of Technology, GIPSA-Lab Grenoble F38031, France

## REFERENCES

- J. M. Bioucas-Dias *et al.*, "Hyperspectral unmixing overview: Geometrical, statistical, and sparse regression-based approaches," *IEEE J. Sel. Topics Appl. Earth Observ. Remote Sens.*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 354–379, Apr. 2012.
- [2] A. Halimi, Y. Altmann, N. Dobigeon, and J.-Y. Tourneret, "Nonlinear unmixing of hyperspectral images using a generalized bilinear model," *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, vol. 49, no. 11, pp. 4153–4162, Nov. 2011.
- [3] Y. Altmann, A. Halimi, N. Dobigeon, and J.-Y. Tourneret, "Supervised nonlinear spectral unmixing using a postnonlinear mixing model for hyperspectral imagery," *IEEE Trans. Image Process.*, vol. 21, no. 6, pp. 3017–3025, Jun. 2012.
- [4] R. Heylen and P. Scheunders, "Calculation of geodesic distances in nonlinear mixing models: Application to the generalized bilinear model," *IEEE Geosci. Remote Sens. Lett.*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 644–648, Jul. 2012.
- [5] Q. Qu, N. Nasrabadi, and T. Tran, "Abundance estimation for bilinear mixture models via joint sparse and low-rank representation," *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, vol. 52, no. 7, pp. 4404–4423, Jul. 2014.
- [6] M.-D. Iordache, J. Bioucas-Dias, and A. Plaza, "Sparse unmixing of hyperspectral data," *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, vol. 49, no. 6, pp. 2014–2039, Jun. 2011.

- [7] A. Castrodad *et al.*, "Learning discriminative sparse representations for modeling, source separation, and mapping of hyperspectral imagery," *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, vol. 49, no. 11, pp. 4263–4281, Nov. 2011.
- [8] A. Zare and P. Gader, "Piece-wise convex spatial-spectral unmixing of hyperspectral imagery using possibilistic and fuzzy clustering," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Fuzzy Sys. (FUZZ)*, Jun. 2011, pp. 741–746.
- [9] G. Martin and A. Plaza, "Spatial-spectral preprocessing prior to endmember identification and unmixing of remotely sensed hyperspectral data," *IEEE J. Sel. Topics Appl. Earth Observ. Remote Sens.*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 380–395, Apr. 2012.
- [10] K. Canham, A. Schlamm, A. Ziemann, B. Basener, and D. Messinger, "Spatially adaptive hyperspectral unmixing," *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, vol. 49, no. 11, pp. 4248–4262, Nov. 2011.
- [11] A. Zare and K. Ho, "Endmember variability in hyperspectral analysis: Addressing spectral variability during spectral unmixing," *IEEE Signal Process. Mag.*, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 95–104, Jan. 2014.
- [12] M. Cui, S. Prasad, W. Li, and L. Bruce, "Locality preserving genetic algorithms for spatial-spectral hyperspectral image classification," *IEEE J. Sel. Topics Appl. Earth Observ. Remote Sens.*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 1688– 1697, Jun. 2013.
- [13] J. Liu, Z. Wu, Z. Wei, L. Xiao, and L. Sun, "Spatial-spectral kernel sparse representation for hyperspectral image classification," *IEEE J. Sel. Topics Appl. Earth Observ. Remote Sens.*, vol. 6, no. 6, pp. 2462– 2471, Dec. 2013.
- [14] Y. Gu, S. Wang, and X. Jia, "Spectral unmixing in multiple-kernel hilbert space for hyperspectral imagery," *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, vol. 51, no. 7, pp. 3968–3981, Jul. 2013.
- [15] D. Tuia, G. Camps-Valls, G. Matasci, and M. Kanevski, "Learning relevant image features with multiple-kernel classification," *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, vol. 48, no. 10, pp. 3780–3791, Oct. 2010.
- [16] H. Yang, Q. Du, and G. Chen, "Particle swarm optimization-based hyperspectral dimensionality reduction for urban land cover classification," *IEEE J. Sel. Topics Appl. Earth Observ. Remote Sens.*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 544–554, Apr. 2012.
- [17] A. Karami, M. Yazdi, and G. Mercier, "Compression of hyperspectral images using discerete wavelet transform and tucker decomposition," *IEEE J. Sel. Topics Appl. Earth Observ. Remote Sens.*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 444–450, Apr. 2012.
- [18] Q. Du and J. Fowler, "Hyperspectral image compression using JPEG2000 and principal component analysis," *IEEE Geosci. Remote Sens. Lett.*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 201–205, Apr. 2007.
- [19] H. Kwon and N. Nasrabadi, "Kernel RX-algorithm: A nonlinear anomaly detector for hyperspectral imagery," *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, vol. 43, no. 2, pp. 388–397, Feb. 2005.
- [20] D. W. J. Stein *et al.*, "Anomaly detection from hyperspectral imagery," *IEEE Signal Process. Mag.*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 58–69, Jan. 2002.
- [21] M. Pedergnana, P. Marpu, M. Dalla Mura, J. Benediktsson, and L. Bruzzone, "Classification of remote sensing optical and LiDAR data using extended attribute profiles," *IEEE J. Sel. Topics Signal Process.*, vol. 6, no. 7, pp. 856–865, Nov. 2012.
- [22] M. Dalponte, L. Bruzzone, and D. Gianelle, "Fusion of hyperspectral and LiDAR remote sensing data for classification of complex forest areas," *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, vol. 46, no. 5, pp. 1416–1427, May 2008.
- [23] N. Yokoya, T. Yairi, and A. Iwasaki, "Coupled nonnegative matrix factorization unmixing for hyperspectral and multispectral data fusion," *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, vol. 50, no. 2, pp. 528–537, Feb. 2012.
- [24] Y. Zhang, S. De Backer, and P. Scheunders, "Noise-resistant wavelet-based bayesian fusion of multispectral and hyperspectral images," *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, vol. 47, no. 11, pp. 3834–3843, Nov. 2009.
- [25] Y. Qian and M. Ye, "Hyperspectral imagery restoration using nonlocal spectral-spatial structured sparse representation with noise estimation," *IEEE J. Sel. Topics Appl. Earth Observ. Remote Sens.*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 499–515, Apr. 2013.



Alina Zare received the Ph.D. degree from the University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA, in 2008. She is currently an Assistant Professor with the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering,

University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, USA. She has published over 40 journals and refereed conference articles. Her research interests include machine learning, Bayesian methods, sparsity promotion, image analysis, pattern recognition, hyperspectral image analysis, and human geography.

Dr. Zare has received the National Science Foundation Career Award for outstanding young scientists.



**Jeremy Bolton** received the Bachelor's of Science degree in computer engineering from the University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA, in 2003, and the Master of Engineering and Doctor of Philosophy degrees in computer engineering from the same University in 2008.

He was a Research Scientist with the Computational Science and Intelligence Laboratory, Computer and Information Sciences and Engineering Department, University of Florida. His research interests include the development of algorithms, methodologies, and models with various applications but a focus on landmine detection. Current and previous research applies these methods to a variety of data including hyperspectral, multispectral, radar, and infrared. Notable research includes the development of the Choquet metric, the

random set framework for context-based classification, and random set methods for multiple instance learning techniques.

Dr. Bolton is a Member of IEEE Computational Intelligence Society, IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Society, and Society of Photographic Instrumentation Engineers.



**Jocelyn Chanussot** (M'04–SM'04–F'12) received the M.Sc. degree in electrical engineering from the Grenoble Institute of Technology (Grenoble INP), Grenoble, France, in 1995, and the Ph.D. degree from Savoie University, Annecy, France, in 1998.

In 1999, he was with the Geography Imagery Perception Laboratory for the Delegation Generale de l'Armement (DGA—French National Defense Department). Since 1999, he has been with Grenoble INP, Saint-Martin-d'Hères, France, where he was an Assistant Professor from 1999 to 2005, an Associate Professor from 2005 to 2007, and is currently a Professor of Signal and Image Processing. He is a Member of the Institut Universitaire de France (2012–2017). Since 2013, he has been an Adjunct Professor with

the University of Iceland, Reykjavk, Iceland. His research interests include image analysis, multicomponent image processing, nonlinear filtering, and data fusion in remote sensing.

Dr. Chanussot is the founding President of IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing French chapter (2007–2010), which received the 2010 IEEE GRS-S Chapter Excellence Award. He was the Corecipient of the NORSIG 2006 Best Student Paper Award, the IEEE GRSS 2011 Symposium Best Paper Award, the IEEE GRSS 2012 Transactions Prize Paper Award, and the IEEE GRSS 2013 Highest Impact Paper Award. He was a Member of the IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Society AdCom (2009–2010), in charge of membership development. He was the General Chair of the first IEEE GRSS Workshop on Hyperspectral Image and Signal Processing, Evolution in Remote Sensing (WHISPERS). He was the Chair (2009–2011) and Cochair of the GRS Data Fusion Technical Committee (2005–2008). He was a Member of the Machine Learning for Signal Processing Technical Committee of the IEEE Signal Processing, (2006–2008) and the Program Chair of the IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters (2005–2007) and for Pattern Recognition (2006–2008). Since 2007, he has been an Associate Editor for the IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON GEOSCIENCE AND REMOTE SENSING. Since 2011, he has been the Editor-in-Chief of the IEEE JOURNAL OF SELECTED TOPICS IN APPLIED EARTH OBSERVATIONS AND REMOTE SENSING. In 2013, he was a Guest Editor for the Institut Universitaire de France (2012–2017).



**Paul Gader** (M'86–SM'9–F'11) received the Ph.D. degree in mathematics for image processing related research from the University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA, in 1986.

He has worked as a Senior Research Scientist with the Honeywell, Morristown, NJ, USA, as a Research Engineer and Manager with the Environmental Research Institute of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA, and as a Faculty Member with the University of Wisconsin–Oshkosh, Oshkosh, WI, USA, the University of Missouri–Columbia, and the University of Florida, where he is currently a Professor of Computer and Information Science and Engineering. He performed his first research in image processing in 1984 working on algorithms for detection of bridges in Forward Looking Infra-Red imagery as a summer

student fellow at Eglin AFB. His dissertation research focused on algebraic methods for parallel image processing. He has since worked on many theoretical and applied research problems including fast computing with linear algebra, mathematical morphology, fuzzy sets, Bayesian methods, handwriting recognition, automatic target recognition, bio-medical image analysis, landmine detection, human geography, and hyperspectral and LiDAR image analysis projects. He has published many refereed journal and conference papers.